Quality Measures Sample Size for Hawaii Page 1 of 1 .S. Census Bureau Contact ACS | Site Map | Search ACS AMERICAN Community SURVEY USING THE DATA DATA TABLES RANKING TABLES PUBLIC USE MICRODATA SURVEY BASICS ADVANCED METHODOLOGY Census > ACS Home > Using the Data > Quality Measures > Sample Size - Data: Hawaii Using the Data: Quality Measures **Quality Measures - Main** Sample Size Pick List: Sample Size: **Data** | Definitions **Coverage Rates:** Data | Definitions Response Rates: Data | Definitions Item Allocation Rates: Data | Definitions Select a State Hawaii Sample Size- Initial Addresses Selected and Final Interviews Year Initial Addresses Selected **Final Interviews** 2004 006,560 004,304 Hawaii 006,466 2003 004,230 Hawaii 2002 005,808 003,968 Hawaii 2001 006,556 004,489 Hawaii 2000 006,587 004,119 Hawaii

Source: U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey Office Last revised: Thursday August 25, 2005

Census Bureau Links: Home · Search · Subjects A-Z · FAQs · Data Tools · Catalog · Census 2000 · Quality · Privacy Policy · Contact Us

USCENSUSBUREAU

Helping You Make Informed Decisions

Page Last Modified: August 25, 2005

U.S. Cen	sus Bureau	× × × +		
American Communit Survey	Ϋ			Contact ACS Site Map Search ACS
DATA TABLES	RANKING TABLES PUBLIC		USING THE DATA	ADVANCED METHODOLOGY
<u>Census</u> > <u>ACS H</u>	<u>lome</u> > <u>Using the Data</u>	> <u>Quality Measures</u> > Coverage	ge Rates - Data: H	awaii
Using the D	Data: Quality Mea	sures		
Quality Measu Sample Size: Response Rat	<u>ires - Main</u> <u>Data Definitions</u> es: <u>Data</u> <u>Definitions</u>	Coverage Rates: Data Item Allocation Rates: Data	<u>Definitions</u> <u>Definitions</u>	Coverage Rates Pick List: Select a State
Hawaii	Coverage Rates (n percent)		
	Year	Total Population	Male	Female
Hawaii	2004	93.0	92.4	93.6
Hawaii	2003	91.0	89.8	92.1
Hawaii	2002	96.1	96.5	95.6
Hawaii	2001	97.8	96.8	98.8
Hawaii	2000	94.9	94.2	95.6

Source: U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey Office Last revised: Monday August 29, 2005

Census Bureau Links: Home · Search · Subjects A-Z · FAQs · Data Tools · Catalog · Census 2000 · Quality · Privacy Policy · Contact Us

USCENSUSBUREAU

Helping You Make Informed Decisions

Page Last Modified: August 29, 2005



	Year	Response Rate ¹	Refusal	Unable to Locate	No One Home	Temporarily Absent	Language Problem	Insufficient Data	Other
Hawaii	2004	92.8	1.0	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.0	0.7	5.1
Hawaii	2003	95.7	2.4	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.0	0.3	0.8
Hawaii	2002	98.1	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.5	0.3
Hawaii	2001	97.5	1.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.0	0.6	0.3
Hawaii	2000	94.9	1.3	0.0	0.7	0.1	0.1	1.2	1.6

¹ As a result of a reduction in funding in 2004, ACS dropped the telephone and personal visit followup operations for the January 2004 panel, thus only allowing mail respondents to contribute to the overall response for that panel. Dropping the nonresponse followup operations for that single panel month reduced the annual response rate by about four percentage points. If we exclude the January panel from the calculation, the annual response rate rises to 97.3% The Census Bureau revised the methodology for calculation of the response rate in 2004 and although a similar cost reduction measure was taken in 2002 the response rates provided for 2002 do not reflect this new method.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey Office Last revised: Monday August 29, 2005

Census Bureau Links: Home · Search · Subjects A-Z · FAQs · Data Tools · Catalog · Census 2000 · Quality · Privacy Policy · Contact Us

USCENSUSBUREAU

Helping You Make Informed Decisions

Page Last Modified: August 29, 2005

Using the Data - Quality Measures: Item Allocation Rates - Hawaii

U.S. Cen	sus Bure	au					
American Communit Survey	Y		Co	ontact AC	S Site	Map Se	arch ACS
DATA TABLES	ANKING TABLES		DVANCED MET	HODOLOGY			
<u>Census</u> > <u>ACS H</u>	ome > <u>Using th</u>	<u>e Data</u> > <u>Quality Measures</u> > Item Allocation Rates - Data	: Hawaii		_		
Using the D	ata: Quality	Measures					
<u>Quality Measur</u> Sample Size: Response Rate	r <u>es - Main</u> Data Defini s: <u>Data</u> Defini	itions Coverage Rates: <u>Data</u> <u>Definitions</u> itions Item Allocation Rates: Data <u>Definitions</u>	★ Iter Sel	m Allocati	on Pick Li	st: Go	
Hawaii	Item Alloca	ation Rates					
	Housing:	Occupancy & Structural Characteristics Utilities Spec	ial Program	<u>s Mortg</u>	age Statu	is and Cos	ts
	Population:	Basic Demographics Origin and Language Education Grandparents and Fertility Military Labor Force Jou Income	<u>Mobility ar</u> rney to Wor	nd Migrat k Indus	ion Disa stry and C	bilities ccupation	. 1
	Housing: I	Physical Characteristics	P	Percent	Allocate	d	
	Item Vacancy Sta	itus	2004 1.5	2003 0.4	2002 5.6	2001 6.3	2000 2.7
	all vacar Tenure	it units	0.7	1.0	0.8	0.8	1.1
	all occup Units in Stru	vied units ucture	2.5	1.4	2.1	1.4	1.6
	all occup	ied and vacant units	3.0	3.0	2.2	3 5	20
	all occup	vied units	5.0	5.9	5.5	2.2	5.0
	Month move occupied	ed in I units into which households moved in the last two years	1.0	0.9	1.0	0.9	0.8
	Year built all occup	vied and vacant units	12.7	12.3	15.7	15.2	15.6
	Lot size occupied	l and vacant single family and mobile homes	3.1	3.9	3.3	4.3	3.9
	Agricultural occupied or equal to 1	sales I and vacant single family and mobile homes with lot size g acre	3.8 greater than	4.5	6.4	8.4	7.8
	Business on occupied	property I and vacant single family and mobile homes	1.8	2.3	6.8	8.0	11.8
	Number of r all occup	ooms vied and vacant units	11.8	5.2	4.6	5.0	5.1
	Number of t all occup	pedrooms vied and vacant units	3.1	12.9	12.9	12.7	13.1
	Complete pl all occup	umbing vied and vacant units	1.0	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.8
	Complete ki all occup	tchen vied and vacant units	1.0	0.7	1.0	1.0	0.9
	Telephone all occup	vied units	0.5	0.6	0.3	0.5	0.7
	Number of v all occup	vehicles vied units	0.8	1.4	0.8	1.0	1.1
						Back to	o the top

Housing: Utilities		Percent Allocated					
Item	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000		

Using the Data - Quality Measures: Item Allocation Rates - Hawaii				Page 2 of 5		
Heating fuel all occupied units	0.7	0.6	0.9	0.8	1.1	
Monthly electricity cost all occupied units	4.7	4.7	3.6	4.6	5.4	
Monthly gas cost all occupied units	7.3	7.5	7.4	9.5	10.9	
Yearly water and sewer cost all occupied units	5.7	5.1	3.9	5.2	6.1	
Yearly other fuel cost	6.3	6.4	6.9	8.1	9.8	

all occupied units

Back to the top

Housing: Special Programs	Percent Allocated						
Item	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000		
Yearly food stamp recipiency/amount all occupied units	2.1	2.3	2.6	2.0	2.6		

Back to the top

Housing: Mortgage Items		Percent	Allocate	d	
Item	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
Yearly real estate taxes owner-occupied units	26.5	26.7	24.3	26.8	27.6
Yearly property insurance owner-occupied units	31.8	32.0	32.0	33.2	34.1
Mortgage status owner-occupied units	1.2	1.6	1.6	1.4	2.2
Monthly mortgage payment owner-occupied units with a mortgage	6.7	8.0	6.6	7.6	8.7
Mortgage payment includes real estate taxes owner-occupied units with a mortgage	3.6	4.9	3.4	4.2	5.1
Mortgage payment includes insurance owner-occupied units with a mortgage	9.2	15.5	12.0	12.3	12.6
Second mortgage owner-occupied units	1.9	3.6	2.8	2.8	3.7
Home equity loan owner-occupied units	2.6	3.3	2.6	3.4	4.8
Other monthly mortgage payment(s) owner-occupied units with second mortgage or home equity loan	21.5	25.1	16.9	11.5	19.5

Housing: Other Financial Characteristics	Percent Allocated						
Item	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000		
Property value owner-occupied units and vacant units for sale	7.1	8.1	7.2	7.3	8.6		
Mobile home loan occupied mobile homes and other units	**	**	0.0	9.5	0.0		
Yearly mobile home costs occupied mobile homes and other units	100.0	69.2	63.1	76.0	100.0		
Monthly condominium fee owner-occupied units	1.9	2.0	1.9	2.3	2.2		
Monthly rent occupied units rented for cash rent and vacant units for rent	6.4	7.4	6.9	6.9	8.0		
Meals included in rent occupied units rented for cash rent and vacant units for rent	1.5	1.5	3.6	2.9	4.6		

Back to the top

Population: Basic Demographics		Percent	Allocate	d	
Item	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
www.census.gov/acs/www/UseData/sse/ita/hi htm				2	/24/2006

Using the Data - Quality Measures: Item Allocation Rates - Hawaii

Page 3 of 5

2.6	2.3	2.8	1.7	13
				1.5
2.7	2.6	2.8	2.5	5.4
0.5	0.5	0.6	0.3	1.1
1.6	1.5	1.4	1.4	3.9
1.9	1.3	1.9	1.2	3.4
0.9	1.9	1.9	1.6	3.5
	2.7 0.5 1.6 1.9 0.9	2.72.60.50.51.61.51.91.30.91.9	2.72.62.80.50.50.61.61.51.41.91.31.90.91.91.9	2.72.62.82.50.50.50.60.31.61.51.41.41.91.31.91.20.91.91.91.6

Back to the top

Population: Origin and Language		Percent /	Allocate	d	
Item	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
Place of birth all household population	11.1	11.6	4.5	3.9	6.9
Citizenship all household population	0.6	1.1	0.9	0.5	1.1
Year of entry all household population not born in US	7.7	8.3	6.9	7.3	11.5
Speaks another language at home household population age 5+	2.2	2.3	2.7	2.7	5.4
Other language spoken household population 5+ who speak another language at home	5.0	6.7	7.5	6.8	12.1
English ability household population 5+ who speak another language at home	3.4	4.6	4.2	4.3	8.6

Back to the top

Population: Education	Percent Allocated					
Item	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	
School enrollment household population age 3+	3.1	3.3	3.0	2.9	5.0	
Grade level attending household population age 3+ enrolled	7.4	6.4	5.2	3.9	5.4	
Educational attainment household population age 3+	3.9	4.7	4.2	3.7	6.1	

Back to the top

Population: Mobility and Migration	Percent Allocated				
Item Mobility status household population age 1+	2004 3.2	2003 3.2	2002 3.0	2001 2.5	2000 5.2
Migration state/foreign country household population age 1+ movers	5.4	6.1	5.6	6.7	18.0
Migration county household population age 1+ movers within US	6.1	7.4	7.0	7.0	18.4
Migration minor civil division household population age 1+ movers within US	6.1	7.4	7.0	7.0	18.7
Migration place household population age 1+ movers within US	6.9	8.5	7.8	7.5	19.4

Back to the top

Population: Disabilities	Percent Allocated						
Item	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000		
Vision or hearing difficulty household population age 5+	3.5	3.1	3.2	3.4	5.8		

http://www.census.gov/acs/www/UseData/sse/ita/hi.htm

Using the Data - Quality Measures: Item Allocation Rates - Hawaii				Pag	ge 4 of 5
Physical difficulty household population age 5+	4.6	4.0	3.6	3.7	6.0
Difficulty remembering household population age 5+	3.1	3.0	3.3	3.3	6.1
Difficulty dressing household population age 5+	3.2	3.1	3.3	3.3	6.1
Difficulty going out household population age 16+	2.6	3.5	3.8	3.8	7.2
Difficulty working at a job household population age 16+	3.1	4.1	4.1	4.1	7.5

Back to the top

Population: Grandparents and Fertility		Percent	Allocate	d	
Item	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
Grandchildren living in home household population age 30+	0.7	0.8	4.5	4.5	7.8
Responsibility for grandchildren household population age 30+ who are grandparents with grando home	9.0 children in th	10.6 ne	14.2	12.5	16.7
Months responsible for grandchildren household population age 30+ who are grandparents with grando home that have responsibility	11.0 children in th	18.1 ne	20.1	19.0	26.5
Fertility female household population age 15-50	2.0	3.2	3.3	3.0	5.6

Back to the top

Population: Military	Percent Allocated				
Item	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
Veteran Status household population age 17+	2.5	3.9	3.6	3.6	6.5
Periods of military service household population age 17+ on active duty now or previously	4.9	7.6	9.7	9.2	12.6
Years of active duty household population age 17+ on active duty now or previously	4.1	5.5	5.3	5.4	7.6

Back to the top

Population: Labor Forces	Percent Allocated				
Item	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
Employment Status Recode household population age 16+	3.3	4.6	4.4	4.4	8.0
When last worked household population age 16+	3.4	5.0	4.5	4.4	8.1
Weeks worked in the past 12 months household population age 16+ who worked in the past 12 months	7.2	7.8	8.1	7.6	11.1
Hours worked per week household population age 16+ who worked in the past 12 months	6.6	7.4	7.1	7.2	10.7
Place of work state/foreign country household population age 16+ at work last week	3.7	4.2	3.7	3.5	7.6
Place of work county household population age 16+ at work last week	4.1	4.7	4.0	3.8	7.9
Place of work minor civil division household population age 16+ at work last week	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Place of work place household population age 16+ at work last week	4.5	5.6	4.6	4.3	8.5

Back to the top

Population: Journey to Work	Percent Allocated				
Item	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000

Using the Data - Quality Measures: Item Allocation Rates - Hawaii				Pag	ge 5 of 5
Transportation to work household population age 16+ at work last week	3.0	3.8	3.6	3.2	6.6
Carpool size household population age 16+ at work last week who drive to work	4.4	4.9	4.1	4.0	7.6
Time of departure household population age 16+ at work last week who don't work at h	8.1 nome	8.7	8.0	7.5	10.6
Commuting Time household population age 16+ at work last week who don't work at h	6.2 nome	6.9	6.1	5.7	9.4

Back to the top

Population: Industry and Occupation	ation Percent Allocated		d		
Item	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000
Class of worker household population age 16+ who worked in the last 5 years	4.9	6.4	5.4	5.8	9.5
Industry household population age 16+ who worked in the last 5 years	6.7	7.2	5.8	6.4	10.7
Occupation household population age 16+ who worked in the last 5 years	7.1	7.7	6.5	6.6	10.6

Back to the top

Population: Income	Percent Allocated				
Item Wages/salary income household population age 15+	2004 13.0	2003 13.1	2002 12.7	2001 13.1	2000 15.9
Self-employment income household population age 15+	3.7	5.0	4.4	4.3	7.4
Interest, dividends, etc. income household population age 15+	8.0	9.3	9.4	10.4	13.5
Social Security or Railroad Retirement household population age 15+	6.7	7.6	7.6	8.6	12.3
Supplemental Security Income household population age 15+	5.2	6.7	6.6	7.4	10.8
Public Assistance household population age 15+	5.4	6.8	7.3	7.9	11.1
Retirement income household population age 15+	5.8	7.4	7.3	8.2	11.5
Other income household population age 15+	5.4	7.0	7.2	7.7	11.0
Some or all income allocated household population age 15+	19.1	19.1	18.8	19.8	22.6

Back to the top

** This variable was not recorded in 2003 and 2004

Source: U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey Office Last revised: Tuesday August 24, 2004

Census Bureau Links: Home · Search · Subjects A-Z · FAQs · Data Tools · Catalog · Census 2000 · Quality · Privacy Policy · Contact Us

USCENSUSBUREAU

Helping You Make Informed Decisions

Page Last Modified: August 24, 2004

Contact ACS | Site Map | Search ACS

U.S. Census Bureau

AMERICAN COMMUNITY SURVEY

DATA TABLES TRANKING TABLES PUBLIC USE MICRODATA SURVEY BASICS

USING THE DATA

ADVANCED METHODOLOGY

<u>Census</u> ><u>ACS Home</u> > <u>Using the Data</u> > <u>Quality Measures</u> > <u>Sample Size Definitions</u>

Using the Data: Quality Measures

Available in this Section	Sample Size Definitions
Using the Data Main	1. How large is the ACS sample?
Understanding Change Profiles	The ACS sampled between 800,000 and 900,000 addresses annually in 2000 through 2003.
Subject Definitions	
Accuracy of the Data	2. What is sampling error?
Quality Measures >Sample Size: > <u>Data</u> >Definitions	ACS estimates are based on data from a sample rather than from all units in the population. For this reason, ACS estimates have a degree of uncertainty associated with them, called sampling error. In general, the larger the sample, the smaller the level of sampling error.
>Coverage Rates: > <u>Data</u> > <u>Definitions</u>	3. Why is it important to measure sampling error?
<pre>>Response Rates: >Data >Definitions >Item Allocation Rates: >Data >Definitions</pre>	The estimates produced by the ACS are not exact because they are based on a sample. The sampling error measures the degree of uncertainty associated with the estimate. If the degree of uncertainty is too large, users should be cautious in how the estimates are used.
Errata	4. How does the ACS measure sampling error?
Geography Explanation	The ACS calculates standard errors for each estimate produced and publishes the upper and lower bound of 90 percent confidence intervals (the Census Burgau standard). You can be
Past Notices ACS	90 percent confident that the interval defined by the upper and lower bounds includes the
Past Notices Suppl. Surveys	true value. See <u>Accuracy of Data</u> and <u>Understanding Change Profiles</u> under the section "Using the Data" for more details on how confidence intervals are calculated and interpreted.
	5. What other numbers provide important information on the reliability of ACS estimates?

Initial Addresses Selected - The number of addresses in each state and for the nation that were selected for the ACS sample for a particular year. Each year's sample is systematically divided into 12 monthly samples for ACS interviewing. This initial number includes addresses later determined to be commercial or nonexistent, as well as housing units that are not interviewed due to subsampling for personal visit follow-up, refusals or other reasons.

Final Interviews - The final number of interviews across all three modes of data collection for the ACS in a given year for the nation and by state. This number **includes** occupied and vacant housing units that were interviewed by mail, telephone, or personal visit methods between January 1 - December 31. It **excludes** addresses determined to be nonexistent or commercial, and addresses not selected in the 1 in 3 subsample for personal visit follow-up, and addresses that are not interviewed due to refusals or other reasons.

6. Are these numbers used to calculate the survey response rate?

These numbers by themselves are not used to measure the response to the request to participate in the ACS. See Survey Response Rates-- Definitions for more information.

7. How does the ACS calculate these two measures of sample size?

Using the Data - Quality Measures: Sample Size

Initial Addresses Selected (state x, year y) = Final Interviews (state x, year

y) =

the sum of the 12 monthly address samples selected from the Master Address File for state \boldsymbol{x} in year $\boldsymbol{y}.$

the sum of all interviews successfully conducted by mail, telephone, or personal visit between January 1 and December 31 of year y, for state x.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey Office Last revised: Friday August 19, 2005

Census Bureau Links: Home · Search · Subjects A-Z · FAQs · Data Tools · Catalog · Census 2000 · Quality · Privacy Policy · Contact Us

U S C E N S U S B U R E A U

Helping You Make Informed Decisions

Page Last Modified: August 19, 2005



Coverage Rates -- Definitions

1. What is coverage error?

DATA TABLES TRANKING TABLES PUBLIC USE MICRODATA SURVEY BASICS

USING THE DATA

ADVANCED METHODOLOGY

<u>Census</u> >ACS Home > <u>Using the Data</u> > <u>Quality Measures</u> > Coverage Rates Definitions

Using the Data: Quality Measures

Available in this Section **Using the Data Main Understanding Change Profiles Subject Definitions** Accuracy of the Data **Quality Measures** >Sample Size: >Data >Definitions >Coverage Rates: >Data >Definitions >Response Rates: >Data >Definitions >Item Allocation Rates: >Data >Definitions

<u>Errata</u>

Geography Explanation

Past Notices ACS

Past Notices Suppl. Surveys

There are two kinds of coverage error: under-coverage and over-coverage.

Under-coverage exists when housing units or people do not have a chance of being selected in the sample.

Over-coverage exists when housing units or people have more than one chance of selection in the sample, or are included in the sample when they should not have been.

2. How does the ACS reduce coverage error?

The final ACS population estimates are adjusted for coverage error by controlling specific survey estimates to independent population controls by sex, age, race, and Hispanic origin. The final ACS estimates of housing units are controlled to independent estimates of total housing.

Refer to <u>Accuracy of the Data</u> to learn more about this weighting procedure.

3. Why is it important to measure coverage error?

If the characteristics of under-covered or over-covered housing units or individuals differ from those that are selected, the ACS may not provide an accurate picture of the population.

4. How does the ACS measure coverage error?

The Census Bureau calculates coverage rates to measure coverage error in the ACS. The coverage rate is the ratio of the ACS population estimate of an area or group to the independent estimated for that area or group, times 100.

Coverage rates are calculated by sex at the national and state levels, for Hispanics at the national level, and by the five major race categories for non-Hispanics–White, Black, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, and Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander--at the national level. These rates are weighted to reflect the probability of selection into the sample and subsampling for personal visit follow-up. As the coverage rate drops below 100 percent, the weights of the people in the survey need greater adjustment in the final weighting procedure to reach the independent estimate. If the rate is greater than 100 percent, the ACS population estimates are downweighted to match the independent estimates.

5. What is the independent population estimate?

The Census Bureau uses independent data on births, deaths, immigration, etc. to produce official estimates of the population each year. The base for these independent estimates is the decennial census counts. The ACS, like all other household surveys, controls some of its most basic estimates to these official estimates to correct for potential over- or under-coverage.

Using the Data - Quality Measures: Coverage Rates

6. How are Coverage Rates calculated?



Source: U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey Office Last revised: Friday August 19, 2005

Census Bureau Links: Home · Search · Subjects A-Z · FAQs · Data Tools · Catalog · Census 2000 · Quality · Privacy Policy · Contact Us

U S C E N S U S B U R E A U Helping You Make Informed Decisions

Page Last Modified: August 19, 2005



<u>Census</u> > <u>ACS Home</u> > <u>Using the Data</u> > <u>Quality Measures</u> > <u>Response Rates Definitions</u>

Using the Data: Quality Measures

Response Rates and Reasons for Noninterviews -- Definitions

1. What is Unit Nonresponse?

Understanding Change Profiles

Available in this Section

Subject Definitions

Using the Data Main

Accuracy of the Data

Quality Measures >Sample Size: >Data >Definitions >Coverage Rates: >Data >Definitions >Response Rates: >Data >Definitions >Item Allocation Rates: >Data >Definitions

Errata

Geography Explanation

Past Notices ACS

Past Notices Suppl. Surveys

Unit nonresponse is the failure to obtain the minimum required information from a housing unit in the sample. For the ACS, response rates are subtracted from 100 percent to measure unit nonresponse.

Unit nonresponse occurs when respondents are unable or unwilling to participate, interviewers are unable to locate addresses or respondents, or when other barriers exist to completing the interview.

2. How does the ACS adjust for unit nonresponse?

The ACS uses noninterview adjustment methods to give a higher weight to interviewed units. Higher weights are given to units at the tract-level for a given sample month. See Accuracy of the Data for more information on how the noninterview adjustment is calculated.

3. Why is it important to measure unit nonresponse?

We measure it because it has a direct effect on the quality of the data. If the rate of unit nonresponse is high, it increases the chance that the final survey estimates may contain bias. This will happen if the characteristics of nonresponding units differ from the characteristics of responding units.

4. How does the ACS measure unit nonresponse?

The Census Bureau calculates survey response rates to measure unit nonresponse in the ACS. The survey response rate is the ratio of the estimate of housing units interviewed after data collection is complete to the estimate of all units that should have been interviewed. For the ACS, this means all interviews after mail, telephone and personal visit follow-up. Interviews include complete and partial interviews with enough information to be processed.

To accurately measure unit nonresponse the ACS must estimate the universe of cases eligible to be interviewed and the survey noninterviews; that is, all eligible units in personal visit follow-up are given the appropriate weight as are all the noninterviews.

5. What are the primary reasons for unit nonresponse in the ACS?

The Census Bureau classifies all final noninterviews by one of the following six **Reasons for** Noninterviews to understand why unit nonresponse occurred:

- Refusal: Even though the ACS is a mandatory survey and households whose addresses are selected for the survey are required to answer the survey questions, there will still be a few households that are reluctant to cooperate and refuse to participate.
- Unable to Locate: If the interviewer cannot find the sample address after using all possible sources, they consider it "unable to locate".
- No One Home: Interviewers assign this code if they could not find anyone at the

Using the Data - Quality Measures: Response Rates

housing unit during the entire month's interview period.

- **Temporarily Absent:** The interviewers confirm that all household members are away during the entire month's interview period on vacation, a business trip, or caring for sick relatives.
- Language Problem: The interviewer could not conduct an interview because of language barriers, was not able to get an interpreter who could translate, and the supervisor or regional office could not help complete this case.
- **Other:** Unique situations when the reason for noninterview does not fit into one of the classifications described above. Possible reasons include "death in the family", "household quarantined", or "roads impassable".
- **Insufficient Data:** To be considered an interviewed unit in ACS, a household's response record had to have an "acceptability index" of at least 2.5. The index is computed by counting the number of basic survey items with answers, with a computable age counting as two, and dividing this total by the number of people in the household. Occupied housing units not meeting this minimum are treated as noninterviews in the estimation process. Response records for vacant housing units are not subject to a minimum data requirement.

6. Why does the Census Bureau weight the survey response rate?

Weighting is used because not all housing units have the same probability of selection. Differential sampling (4 different rates) and subsampling for personal visit follow-up (2 different rates) is used in this survey design. Weighting is used to account for the probability of sampling and subsampling.

7. How are survey response rates calculated?



Source: U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey Office Last revised: Friday August 19, 2005

Census Bureau Links: Home · Search · Subjects A-Z · FAQs · Data Tools · Catalog · Census 2000 · Quality · Privacy Policy · Contact Us

USCENSUSBUREAU

Helping You Make Informed Decisions

Page Last Modified: August 19, 2005



Allocation, on the other hand, involves using statistical procedures, such as withinhousehold or nearest neighbor matrices populated by donors, to impute for missing values.

3. Why is it important to measure item nonresponse?

So data users can judge the completeness of the data in which the survey estimates are based. Final estimates can be adversely impacted when item nonresponse is high and bias can be introduced if the characteristics of the nonrespondents differ from those reported by respondents. Item nonresponse and unit nonresponse should both be considered.

4. How does the ACS measure item nonresponse?

Item nonresponse is measured through the calculation of allocation rates which are published with the survey estimates.

5. How are item allocation rates calculated?

Allocation rate for item A (state x, year y) = total number of responses allocated for item A in state x for year y total number of responses to item A required in state x for year y

Source: U.S. Census Bureau American Community Survey Office Last revised: Tuesday August 24, 2004

Census Bureau Links: Home · Search · Subjects A-Z · FAQs · Data Tools · Catalog · Census 2000 · Quality · Privacy Policy · Contact Us

Errata

Geography Explanation

Past Notices Suppl. Surveys

Past Notices ACS

Page Last Modified: August 24, 2004