## Portfolio Review Scoresheet

## Measurement Scale, Anchors and Scores

		Modod	rement Scale, Anchors and	1 000100	1	1	_	
Section 1: Relevance			Degree of Relevance		total score	Total Relevance Score> 0	Grand Total>	0.0000
1.1 Scope	Purpose: Describe what the portfolio can provide in terms of coverage of work with the available funds	3-Portfolio coverage is exceptional	2- Portfolio coverage is satisfactory	1 - Portfolio coverage is weak	40% of section score	If score includes 1 or 2, please provide comments and recommendations		and
	Score				0			
1.2 Focus	Purpose: Demonstrate portfolio ability to remain focused on issues, topics and critical needs of nation	3- Highly focused	2 - Moderately focused	1- Poorly focused	20% of section score	If score includes 1 or 2, plea recommendations	se provide comments a	and
	Score				0			
1.3 Contemporary and/or Emerging Issues	Purpose: Identify contemporary and/or emerging issues that are consistant and relevant to the portfolio and its mission	3 - Many contemporary and/or emerging issues identified	2 - Some contemporary and/or emerging issues identified	1 - Few contemporary and/or emerging issues identified	20% of section score	If score includes 1 or 2, plea recommendations	se provide comments a	and
	Score				0			
1.4 Integration	Purpose: Demonstrate functional integration of CSREES research, extension and education efforts in the portfolio	3 - Highly integrated	2 - Moderately integrated	1 - Poorly integrated	10% of section score	If score includes 1 or 2, plea recommendations	se provide comments a	and
	Score				0			
1.5 Multi-disciplinary Balance	Purpose: Demonstrate multi- disciplinary balance of the portfolio in solving scientific problems	3 - Highly balanced	2 - Moderately balanced	1 - Poorly balanced	10% of section score	If score includes 1 or 2, plea recommendations	se provide comments a	and
	Score				0			

## Portfolio Review Scoresheet

Section 2: Quality		Degree of Quality		30% of total	Total Quality Score> 0		
2.1 Significance of Findings	Purpose: Demonstrate generation of significant findings in the portfolio	3 - Many significant findings	2 - Some significant findings	1 - Few significant findings	30% of section score	If score includes 1 or 2, please provide comments and recommendations	
	Score				0		
2.2 Stakeholder/ Constituent Inputs	Purpose: Demonstrate stakeholder/constituent input to the portfolio	3 - Many stakeholder/ constituent inputs	2 - Some stakeholder/ constituent inputs	1 - Few stakeholder/ constituent inputs	30% of section score	If score is 1 or 2, please provide comments and recommendations	
	Score				0		
2.3 Alignment with Current State of Science	Purpose: Demonstrate portfolio alignment with current state of science-based knowledge and previous work	3- Highly aligned	2 - Moderately aligned	1 - Poorly aligned	20% of section score	If score is 1 or 2, please provide comments and recommendations	
	Score				0		
2.4 Appropriate and/or Cutting Edge Methodology	Purpose: Demonstrate use of appropriate and/or cutting edge methods and techniques for funded projects	3 - Appropriate and/or cutting edge methodologies routinely applied	2 - Appropriate and/or cutting edge methodologies usually applied	Appropriate     and/or cutting edge     methodologies rarely     applied	20% of section score	If score is 1 or 2, please provide comments and recommendations	
	Score				0		

## Portfolio Review Scoresheet

Section 3: Performance		Degree of Performance		30% of total score	Total Performance 0 Score>	
3.1 Portfolio Productivity	Purpose: Demonstrate the ability of CSREES to create and provide services through funding, directing, managing and partnering with its various stakeholders	3 - High productivity	2 - Moderate productivity	1 - Low productivity	30% of section score	If score is 1 or 2, please provide comments and recommendations
	Score				0	
3.2 Portfolio Comprehensiveness	Purpose: Demonstrate comprehensiveness of portfolio in terms of areas of work, outputs and outcomes	3 - High comprehensiveness	2 - Moderate comprehensiveness	1 - Low comprehensiveness	20% of section score	If score is 1 or 2, please provide comments and recommendations
	Score				0	
3.3 Portfolio Timeliness	Purpose: Demonstrate the extent to which funded activities were completed within funding time frame	3- Most projects achieve closure on time	2 - Some projects achieve closure on time	1 - Few projects achieve closure on time	20% of section score	If score is 1 or 2, please provide comments and recommendations
	Score				0	
3.4 Agency Guidance	Purpose: Demonstrate strength	and management is	2 - Portfolio leadership and management is satisfactory	1 - Portfolio leadership and management is weak	20% of section score	If score is 1 or 2, please provide comments and recommendations
	Score				0	
3.5 Portfolio Accountability	Purpose: Demonstrate the extent to which funded projects of the portfolio have been completed with thoroughness, clarity, timeliness, adequacy and usefulness	3 - High level of accountability	2 - Moderate level of accountability	1 - Low level of accountability	10% of section score	If score is 1 or 2, please provide comments and recommendations
	Score				0	