UNCERTAINTY FROM BIAS IN VIRTUAL MEASUREMENTS AND THE NIST CCCBDB

Karl Irikura, Russell Johnson III, Raghu Kacker NIST, Gaithersburg, MD 20899-8910

Mathematical and Computational Sciences Division APRIL 20, 2004

Raghu Kacker, NIST/ITL/MCSD

CONTENTS

- Generic approach based on ISO *Guide* to quantify uncertainty from bias in a virtual measurement (Raghu)
- Computational Chemistry Comparison and Benchmark Database (CCCBDB) developed by Russ Johnson of NIST
 - Estimated biases in virtual measurements from computational quantum chemistry models for many properties of many molecules
- Our approach to quantify uncertainties in quantum chemistry based on ISO *Guide* and CCCBDB (Karl)

ISO GUIDE ON EXPRESSION OF UNCERTAINTY

- Virtual measurement: output of a computational model
 - Virtual = Calculated, Physical = Measured = Experimental
- In computational chemistry, uncertainty arises mainly from bias relative to value of measurand
- Before ISO *Guide* no generally accepted approach to quantify and incorporate uncertainty arising form bias
- Also, some applications require both virtual and physical measurements
- For physical measurements, ISO *Guide* de facto standard
- In summary, ISO *Guide* is suitable for both virtual and physical measurements

ACCOUNTING FOR UNCERTAINTY FROM BIAS

- *Y* is value of measurand, *x* is virtual measurement, *X* is expected value and *u*(*x*) is standard deviation of *x*
- Additive bias: X Y, Fractional bias: X / Y
- ISO *Guide*: correct *x* for its bias and include uncertainty associated with correction in combined uncertainty
- Bias is a constant, but correction *C* for bias is a variable with state-of-knowledge distribution representing belief about required correction (negative/reciprocal of bias)
- Expected value and standard deviation of *C* are *c* and u(c)
- Corrected virtual measurement *y* for *Y* and uncertainty *u*(*y*) determined from *x*, *c*, *u*(*x*), and *u*(*c*)

CORRECTION FOR BIAS

- A measurement equation is required to apply correction
 - All quantities are variables with state-of-knowledge distributions
- Measurement equation for additive bias: Y = X + C
- y = x + c
- $u(y) = \sqrt{[u^2(x) + u^2(c)]}$
- Measurement equation for fractional bias: $Y = X \times C$
- $y = x \times c$
- $u_r(y) = \sqrt{[u_r^2(x) + u_r^2(c)]}$
- $u_r(y) = u(y)/y$, $u_r(x) = u(x)/x$, $u_r(c) = u(c)/c$

UNCERTAINTY IN VIRTUAL PREDICTIONS

- Repeat evaluations of *x* give same result
- So u(x) = 0
- Additive bias: $u(y) = \sqrt{[u^2(x) + u^2(c)]} = u(c)$
- Fractional bias: $u_r(y) = \sqrt{[u_r^2(x) + u_r^2(c)]} = u_r(c)$
- Thus $u(y) = y u_r(y) = y u(c)/c = x u(c)$
- Entire uncertainty arises from correction for bias

USE OF CCCBDB FOR SPECIFYING c AND u(c)

- CCCBDB is large collection of estimated biases in virtual measurements from quantum chemistry models
 - Estimated bias = $x_i z_i$ or x_i / z_i , where z_i is high quality physical measurement corresponding to virtual measurement x_i
- Bias for target molecule is unknown
- Suppose it is possible to identify a class of molecules in CCCBDB for which biases are believed to be similar to the bias in the target molecule
 - Scientific judgment
- Then estimated biases for the matching class may be used to specify E(C) = c and S(C) = u(c)

CORRECTION *c* AND UNCERTAINTY *u*(*c*)

- Suppose c_1, \ldots, c_m are estimated corrections in CCCBDB for matching class of molecules
- Estimated correction for additive bias $c_i = z_i x_i$
- Estimated correction for fractional bias $c_i = z_i / x_i$
- $c = \sum a_i c_i / \sum a_i$
- $u(c) = \sqrt{\{\sum a_i [c_i c]^2 / \sum a_i\}}$
- Many ways to specify $a_1, ..., a_m$ depending on application
- Simple case: $a_i = 1$, $c = c_A = \sum c_i / m$
- $u(c) = s = \sqrt{\{\sum [c_i c]^2 / m\}}$