Table 1-2 Monetary Determinations in the Five Pilot States and the United States, fiscal year 1998

_	State						United	Pilot States'
	<u>Nebraska</u>	New Jersey	South Carolina	West Virginia	<u>Wisconsin</u>	<u>States</u>	<u>States</u>	Share of U.S.
Monetary								
determinations Insufficient wage	39,764	362,256	174,307	66,796	297,951	941,074	10,605,719	0.089
credits	1,147	51,407	22,779	4,353	20,433	100,119	1,204,455	0.083
Sufficient wage credits Proportion with	38,617	310,849	151,528	62,443	277,518	840,955	9,401,264	0.089
insufficient wage of	credits 0.029	0.142	0.131	0.065	0.069	0.106	0.114	

Source: ETA 218 reports on monetary determinations.

Table 1-3 Separation Determinations in the Five Pilot States and the United States, fiscal year 1998

-	<u>Nebraska</u>	New Jersey S	State South Carolina	West Virginia	Wisconsin	Five Pilot <u>States</u>	United States	Pilot States' Share of U.S.		
Panel A. Initial and Reopened Claims, Determinations and Denials										
Initial and reopened UI claims	52,747	463,538	265,045	79,547	458,943	1,319,820	15,470,036	0.085		
Determinations:										
Total	49,931	84,830	35,409	15,806	109,110	295,086	3,406,948	0.087		
Voluntary quit	35,837	33,696	8,622	6,832	49,773	134,760	1,484,076	0.091		
Misconduct	13,874	51,134	26,771	8,804	59,337	159,920	1,829,846	0.087		
Denials:										
Total	41,423	50,115	28,296	9,211	50,443	179,488	1,857,356	0.097		
Voluntary quit	33,571	28,779	7,995	5,881	31,848	108,074	1,093,239	0.099		
Misconduct	7,632	21,336	20,285	3,325	18,595	71,173	744,729	0.096		
Panel B: Determinat	ion Rates and	Denial Rates								
Determination Rates	.									
Total	0.947	0.183	0.134	0.199	0.238	0.224	0.220			
Voluntary quit	0.679	0.073	0.033	0.086	0.108	0.102	0.096			
Misconduct	0.263	0.110	0.101	0.111	0.129	0.121	0.118			
Denial Rates:										
Total	0.830	0.591	0.799	0.583	0.462	0.608	0.545			
Voluntary quit	0.937	0.854	0.927	0.861	0.640	0.802	0.737			
Misconduct	0.550	0.417	0.758	0.378	0.313	0.445	0.407			

Source: ETA 207 reports on nonmonetary separation determinations.

Table 1-4 Nonseparation Determinations in the Five Pilot States and the United States, fiscal year 1998

<u>N</u>	ebraska	New Jersey S	State South Carolina	West Virginia	Wisconsin	Five Pilot States	United States	Pilot States' Share of U.S.		
Panel A. Claimant Contacts, Determinations and Denials										
Claimant contacts										
(thousands)	417	5,311	1,474	883	3,153	11,238	131,614	0.085		
Determinations:										
Total	21,995	91,234	11,924	11,414	128,169	264,736	4,332,298	0.061		
Able and available	3,752	44,108	5,245	6,145	25,671	84,921	1,360,205	0.062		
Disqualifying income	5,767	6,787	1,891	1,007	8,552	24,004	1,012,111	0.024		
Reporting										
requirements	7,460	29,594	645	1,870	34,190	73,759	764,433	0.096		
Other	4,514	3,053	3,219	1,084	53,518	65,388	983,037	0.067		
Denials:										
Total	18,346	52,351	7,824	5,904	76,641	161,066	2,363,134	0.068		
Able and available	2,828	29,509	4,326	2,343	14,193	53,199	743,836	0.072		
Disqualifying income	,)	•	,	,	•	•	•			
Reporting	4,946	1,961	3	991	7,341	15,242	589,377	0.026		
requirements	6,859	17,169	506	1,452	13,465	39,451	482,081	0.082		
Other	3,657	2,119	2,752	786	40,306	49,620	483,917	0.103		
Panel B: Determination F	Potos (por	10 Claimant Ca	entacta) and Dar	oial Patas						
Panei B. Determination r	kates (per	10 Claimant Co	iniacis) and Dei	iiai Raies						
Determination Rates:										
Total	0.528	0.172	0.081	0.129	0.406	0.236	0.329			
Able and available	0.090	0.083	0.036	0.070	0.081	0.076	0.103			
Disqualifying income	0.138	0.013	0.013	0.011	0.027	0.021	0.077			
Reporting										
requirements	0.179	0.056	0.004	0.021	0.108	0.066	0.058			
Other	0.108	0.006	0.022	0.012	0.170	0.058	0.075			

Denial Rates:								
Total	0.834	0.574	0.656	0.517	0.598	0.606	0.545	
Able and available	0.754	0.669	0.825	0.381	0.553	0.626	0.547	
Disqualifying income)							
Reporting	0.858	0.289	0.002	0.984	0.858	0.635	0.582	
requirements	0.919	0.580	0.784	0.776	0.394	0.535	0.631	
Other	0.810	0.694	0.855	0.725	0.753	0.759	0.492	

Source: ETA 207 reports on nonmonetary nonseparation determinations.

Table 4-5 Responsibility for Erroneous Denials, by State and Type of Denial (percentages with number of cases)

	State							
_	<u>Nebraska</u>	New Jersey	South Carolina	West Virginia	Wisconsin	States		
Erroneous Monetary Denials								
Third party	5.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7		
Agency	23.5	60.9	22.7	15.0	40.5	32.6		
Employer	41.2	13.0	52.3	45.0	32.4	38.3		
Employer and agency	5.9	8.7	2.3	5.0	13.5	7.1		
Claimant	17.7	4.4	4.6	20.0	2.7	7.8		
Claimant and agency	5.9	4.4	4.6	15.0	2.7	5.7		
Claimant and employer	0.0	8.7	11.4	0.0	8.1	7.1		
Claimant, employer, and agency	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.0	0.7		
Number of cases	17	23	44	20	37	141		
Erroneous Separation Denials								
Agency	87.5	68.2	50.0	66.7	65.0	66.3		
Employer	0.0	13.6	30.0	33.3	0.0	9.3		
Employer and agency	12.5	4.6	0.0	0.0	2.5	3.5		
Claimant	0.0	4.6	10.0	0.0	20.0	11.6		
Claimant and agency	0.0	0.0	10.0	0.0	12.5	7.0		
Claimant and employer	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2		
Claimant, employer, and agency	0.0	4.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2		
Number of cases	8	22	10	6	40	86		

Table 4-5 (continued)
Responsibility for Erroneous Denials, by State and Type of Denial (percentages with number of cases)

	State						
	<u>Nebraska</u>	New Jersey	South Carolina	West Virginia	Wisconsin	States	
Erroneous Nonseparation Denials							
Third party	0.0	3.6	5.4	0.0	0.0	2.0	
Agency	76.9	71.4	67.6	85.7	52.3	67.1	
Agency and third party	3.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	
Employer	0.0	0.0	5.4	0.0	4.6	2.7	
Employer and agency	3.9	0.0	2.7	7.1	2.3	2.7	
Claimant	11.5	17.9	5.4	0.0	20.5	12.8	
Claimant and agency	0.0	7.1	10.8	7.1	11.4	8.1	
Claimant and employer	3.9	0.0	2.7	0.0	6.8	3.4	
Claimant, employer, and agency	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.7	
Number of cases	26	28	37	14	44	149	

Source: Tabulated from Denied Claims Accuracy Pilot records (error responsibility code in error issue table).

Table 4-6 Summary of Actions on Erroneous Denials, by State and Type of Denial (percentages with number of cases)

	State						
	<u>Nebraska</u>	New Jersey	South Carolina	West Virginia	<u>Wisconsin</u>	<u>States</u>	
Erroneous Monetary Denials							
Denial erroneous; payment should							
have resulted	100.0	100.0	97.7	100.0	91.9	97.2	
Claimant properly denied							
but for wrong reason	0.0	0.0	2.3	0.0	8.1	2.8	
Number of cases	17	23	44	20	37	141	
Erroneous Separation Denials							
Overpayment occurred	12.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.2	
Denial erroneous; payment should							
have resulted	87.5	95.5	70.0	83.3	80.0	83.7	
Claimant properly denied							
but for wrong reason	0.0	4.6	30.0	16.7	20.0	15.2	
Number of cases	8	22	10	6	40	86	
Erroneous Nonseparation Denials							
Denial erroneous; payment should							
have resulted	76.9	71.4	51.4	71.4	70.5	67.1	
Overpayment occurred	23.1	7.1	32.4	7.1	15.9	18.8	
Claimant properly denied							
but for wrong reason	0.0	21.4	16.2	21.4	13.6	14.1	
Number of cases	26	28	37	14	44	149	

Source: Tabulated from Denied Claims Accuracy Pilot records (error issue action code in error issue table).

Table 4-7
Point at which Error Was First Detected in the Investigation, by State and Type of Denial (percentages with number of cases)

States
States
38.3
21.3
3.6
36.2
0.7
141
27.9
24.4
2.3
45.4
86
0.7
6.0
21.5
8.1
63.8
149

Source: Tabulated from Denied Claims Accuracy Pilot records (error detection point code in error issue table).

Table 4-8
Prior Agency Action on Erroneous Denials, by State and Type of Denial (percentages with number of cases)

_	State						
	<u>Nebraska</u>	New Jersey	South Carolina	West Virginia	Wisconsin	<u>States</u>	
Erroneous Monetary Denials							
Investigation determined agency:							
Could not detect issue	64.7	8.7	52.3	55.0	21.6	39.0	
Was already resolving issue	5.9	34.8	31.8	15.0	48.7	31.2	
Took incorrect action	0.0	39.1	0.0	0.0	10.8	9.2	
Did not identify issue	23.5	4.4	2.3	25.0	8.1	9.2	
Did not follow procedures	5.9	13.0	13.6	5.0	10.8	10.6	
Number of cases	17	23	44	20	37	141	
Erroneous Separation Denials							
Investigation determined agency:							
Could not detect issue	0.0	22.7	20.0	33.3	20.0	19.8	
Was already resolving issue	0.0	13.6	20.0	0.0	5.0	8.1	
Took incorrect action	37.5	59.2	20.0	66.7	65.0	55.8	
Did not identify issue	50.0	4.6	30.0	0.0	0.0	9.3	
Did not follow procedures	12.5	0.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	7.0	
Number of cases	8	22	10	6	40	86	
Erroneous Nonseparation Denials	•						
Could not detect issue	19.2	21.4	27.0	0.0	27.3	22.2	
Was already resolving issue	3.9	3.6	5.4	7.1	18.2	8.7	
Took incorrect action	65.4	60.7	35.1	85.7	38.6	51.0	
Did not identify issue	3.9	10.7	8.1	7.1	2.3	6.0	
Did not follow procedures	7.7	3.6	24.3	0.0	13.6	12.1	
Number of cases	26	28	37	14	44	149	

Source: Tabulated from Denied Claims Accuracy Pilot records (prior agency action code in error issue table).

Table 4-9
Prior Employer Action on Erroneous Denials, by State and Type of Denial (percentages with number of cases)

	State					
	<u>Nebraska</u>	New Jersey	South Carolina	West Virginia	Wisconsin	<u>States</u>
Erroneous Monetary Denials						
Employer's information was:						
Adequate and timely	29.4	34.8	11.4	26.3	29.7	24.3
Adequate and late	0.0	4.4	4.6	0.0	13.5	5.7
Inadequate and timely	17.7	17.4	52.3	42.1	10.8	30.0
Inadequate and late	5.9	4.4	4.6	0.0	5.4	4.3
Employer did not respond	17.7	13.0	6.8	5.3	18.9	12.1
No information requested	17.7	4.4	2.3	10.3	18.9	10.0
No employer issue	11.8	21.7	18.2	15.8	2.7	13.6
Number of cases	17	23	44	19	37	140
Erroneous Separation Denials						
Employer's information was:						
Adequate and timely	62.5	54.6	30.0	50.0	65.0	57.0
Inadequate and timely	25.0	22.7	40.0	33.3	2.5	16.3
Employer did not respond	12.5	13.6	0.0	16.7	0.0	5.8
No information requested	0.0	4.6	20.0	0.0	30.0	17.4
No employer issue	0.0	4.6	10.0	0.0	2.5	3.5
Number of cases	8	22	10	6	40	86
Erroneous Nonseparation Denials	S					
Employer's information was:						
Adequate and timely	23.1	7.2	5.4	7.1	29.6	16.1
Inadequate and timely	3.9	0.0	8.1	7.1	6.8	5.4
Inadequate and late	0.0	0.0	2.7	0.0	2.3	1.3
Employer did not respond	7.7	0.0	2.7	0.0	6.8	4.0
No information requested	0.0	4.4	2.3	35.7	9.1	6.7
No employer issue	65.4	89.3	81.1	50.0	45.5	66.4
Number of cases	26	28	37	14	44	149

Source: Tabulated from Denied Claims Accuracy Pilot records (prior employer action code in error issue table).