State of Oregon

Department of Human Services

Children, Adults and Families

Dashboard Report - District and Statewide

The Children Adults and Families Cluster of the Oregon Department of Human Services seeks to continuously improve how it helps those it serves achieve good outcomes. For Child Welfare, this means the safety and permanency of all the children it serves. For Self Sufficiency it means promoting independence and timely, accurate eligibility determination. Measurably improving the specific areas of work reported in the DHS Dashboard is a major way of demonstrating improved services to Oregonians.

July 2008

Table of Contents

Primary Performance Measures							
Combined	Self Sufficiency						
Rankings – CW and SS combined	Rankings – SS Only 10						
Child Welfare	Food Stamp – Accuracy of Eligibility Determination						
Rankings – CW only	Food Stamps – Timeliness of Issuance						
Adoptions – Median Months	Medical Programs – Accuracy of Eligibility Determination 13						
CPS Assessments – Timeliness6	TANF – Validated JOBS Placements						
CPS Response – Timeliness	TANF – Participation Rate – All15						
Face-to-face Contact – Children							
Foster Care – Reunification							


Secondary Performance Measures									
Child Welfare	Self Sufficiency								
Adoptions - Percent achieved in 24 months or less	Food Stamps – Timeliness of Issuance - Expedited								
CPS Timeliness – 24 Hour and 5 Day, percent met 18	Food Stamps – Timeliness of Issuance - Non Expedited 25								
CPS Timeliness – District Table, response by type 19	Food Stamps – Use by those in poverty								
Face-to-face Contact – Adults	TANF – Participation Rate – Adults and Teens								
Foster Care – Re-entry									
Foster Care – Relative Placements									
Reabuse – Percent of recurrence									
Hist	ory								
Child Welfare	Self Sufficiency								
Adoptions – Median Months	Food Stamp – Accuracy of Eligibility Determination								
CPS Assessments – Timeliness	Food Stamps – Timeliness of Issuance								
CPS Response – Timeliness	Medical Programs – Accuracy of Eligibility Determination 37								
Face-to-face Contact – Children	TANF – Validated JOBS Placements								
Foster Care – First time placements/relative	TANF – Participation Rate – All								
Foster Care – Reunification									
Combined									
Definition of Measures 40									

Changes to the Dashboard July 2008:

Due to the migration of the perpetrator database data for Reabuse is not available for this quarter. Reabuse target changed from 6.1% or less to 5.6% or less.


ī
7
7
2
Q
y 1
Ē
)
e C
2. S
77
<i>1</i>
77
2
2.
G
Z
7
2
e

Average Rank for CW and SS Measures and Rank July 2008 Meeting




13District_Ranking_200807.xls 6/26/2008

Average Rank for Child Welfare Measures and Ranking July 2008 Meeting




Median Months to Adoption Quarter Ending March 2008



CAF Program Performance and Reporting Page 5


CPS Assessments - Timeliness Effective Date 6/10/08




6/26/2008

CAF Program Performance and Reporting Page 6

Timeliness of CPS Response Percent of All Referrals Meeting Assigned Timeline April 2008



Face-To-Face Contact Within 30 Days - Children April 2008




6/26/2008

45 Day Face to Face Report from Orbit http://apps.dhs.state.or.us

CAF Program Performance and Reporting Page 8


Reunification: Percent of Children Reunified Within 12 Months Quarter Ending March 2008




6/26/2008

CAF, Program Performance and Reporting Page 9

Average Rank for Self Sufficiency Measures and Ranking July 2008 Meeting



Targeted Food Stamp Review - Percent of Reviews Without Errors May 2008




6/26/2008

CAF, Program Performance and Reporting Page 11


Food Stamp Timeliness: 'New' Issuance -Expedited / Non-Expedited

Oregon




* Difference between top of bar and 100%=% of untimely issuance, over 7 days(expedited) or 30 days(non-exp). 6/26/2008

CAF Program Performance and Reporting Page 12 MAA/MAF/EXT Program Reviews - Percent Without Errors May 2008




CAF, Program Performance and Reporting Page 13


TANF Placements - Percent of Validated TANF Cases Placed in Employment May 2008




CAF, Program Performance and Reporting Page 14


TANF Participation Rate* - All May 2008







Timeliness of CPS Response Percent of 24 Hour and 5 Day Referrals Meeting Assigned Timeline April 2008




PS Referrals - Includes PS Referrals Still in Assessment April 2008

		24 Hour		0 1	5 Day	Total			
District	Number	Percent Met Timeliness	Percent of Total Referrals	Number	Percent Met Timeliness	Percent of Total Referrals	Number	Percent Met Timeliness	
District 1	44	79.5%	46.3%	51	78.4%	53.7%	95	78.9%	
District 2	285	88.1%	44.5%	355	75.8%	55.5%	640	81.3%	
District 3	264	88.3%	85.2%	45	93.3%	14.5%	309	89.0%	
District 4	113	81.4%	70.6%	47	85.1%	29.4%	160	82.5%	
District 5	205	69.3%	76.2%	64	81.3%	23.8%	269	72.2%	
District 6	40	62.5%	69.0%	18	66.7%	31.0%	58	63.8%	
District 7	41	82.9%	71.9%	16	75.0%	28.1%	57	80.7%	
District 8	155	85.2%	72.1%	60	76.7%	27.9%	215	82.8%	
District 9	15	100.0%	48.4%	16	81.3%	51.6%	31	90.3%	
District 10	47	97.9%	51.6%	44	97.7%	48.4%	91	97.8%	
District 11	61	80.3%	92.4%	5	80.0%	7.6%	66	80.3%	
District 12	48	89.6%	67.6%	23	95.7%	32.4%	71	91.6%	
District 13	39	76.9%	69.6%	17	94.1%	30.4%	56	82.1%	
District 14	45	88.9%	86.5%	7	71.4%	13.5%	52	86.5%	
District 15	118	88.1%	54.6%	98	93.9%	45.4%	216	90.7%	
District 16	190	64.7%	71.4%	76	55.3%	28.6%	266	62.0%	
Statewide	1710	81.5%	64.5%	942	79.1%	35.5%	2,652	80.6%	

I)istrict narcants should	the statewide averane	nortormanco in oach	category is highlighted
	the state while average		


Includes Referrals still in assessment

Face-To-Face Contact Within 30 Days - Adults April 2008




CAF Program Performance and Reporting Page 20

Foster Care Re-entry - Percent Equal to or Less Than 12 Months Quarter Ending March 2008



Foster Care - Children in Relative Care June 12, 2008



Oregon Chart 2053 6/26/2008


Reabuse - Percentage of All Recurrences Reabuse Through March 2008 (Initial Abuse July '07- Sept '07)

25% Target: 5.6% or Lower 20% Due to the migration of the perpetrator database data for this time period is not available. 15% 10% 5% 0% State Dist 10 Dist 1 Dist 2 Dist 3 Dist 4 Dist 5 Dist 6 Dist 7 Dist 8 Dist 9 Dist 11 Dist 12 Dist 13 Dist 14 Dist 15 Dist 16 Total 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% Percent of Recurrance 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 Children Reabused 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 **Total Children** 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% 5.6% Target

6/26/2008

% of All Recurrances


Food Stamps - Expedited: Percent of All 'New' Issuance/Percent Timely April 2008




Statewide Chart 2 6/26/2008

CAF Program Performance and Reporting Page 24

Food Stamps - Non Expedited: Percent of All 'New' Issuance/Percent Timely April 2008




Food Stamp Use Compared to Poverty Level May 2008



Population/Estimated Poverty Level data was updated effective December 2007 report. 6/26/2008


Oregon

TANF Participation Rate* - Adults and Teen Parents May 2008




Criteria modified effective 10/1/07 6/26/2008

CAF Program Performance and Reporting Page 27




Median Months to Adoption Quarterly - History




6/26/2008


CAF, Program Performance and Reporting Page 29



Timeliness of CPS Response - History



29CPS Timeliness Hx 200804.xls 6/26/2008



30F2FChild Hx 200804.xls 6/26/2008

First Placement: Percent of Times Children Were Placed in Relative Care on First Episode/First Placement

July 2002 through December 2007

NOTES: This data was adjusted to exclude those instances where SEFC is only a payment code.


SMED Service Type NOT included.

Percent with Relative Care on First Placement												
	Branch	July - Dec.	Jan June	July - Dec	Jan June	July - Dec.	Jan June	July - Dec.		July - Dec.		July - Dec.
Branch	Description	2002	2003	2003*	2004	2004	2005	2005	Jan - Jun 2006	2006	Jan - Jun 2007	2007
1	BAKER	0.0%	11.8%	10.5%	0.0%	26.7%	25.0%	12.5%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	30.0%
2	BENTON	0.0%	30.8%	10.0%	9.5%	14.3%	11.8%	7.1%	20.0%	11.1%	57.1%	0.0%
3	CLACKAMAS	9.1%	15.6%	18.1%	10.5%	8.9%	14.0%	16.0%	13.6%	13.1%	7.1%	0.0%
4	CLATSOP	10.0%	4.3%	21.9%	25.7%	0.0%	7.7%	11.5%	11.1%	31.3%	4.0%	9.1%
5	COLUMBIA	18.8%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%
6	COOS	0.0%	3.6%	10.5%	7.1%	3.8%	6.3%	14.6%	8.1%	3.6%	13.0%	28.6%
7	CROOK	10.0%	0.0%	14.3%	16.7%	31.3%	17.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	40.0%
8	CURRY	30.0%	45.5%	18.2%	21.4%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%
9	DESCHUTES	21.7%	2.6%	9.4%	18.9%	11.4%	22.7%	37.5%	5.3%	11.1%	12.0%	11.4%
10	DOUGLAS	0.0%	13.2%	12.5%	21.4%	23.1%	23.9%	10.1%	20.3%	21.6%	16.4%	21.2%
11	GILLIAM	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
<u>12</u> 13	GRANT HARNEY	0.0%	42.9% 0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5% 9.5%	66.7% 18.8%	0.0%	0.0% 25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	55.6%
13	HOOD RIVER	25.0%	13.6%	14.3%	14.3%	9.5% 7.7%	0.0%	25.0%	14.3%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%
14	JACKSON	7.8%	14.4%	5.5%	26.6%	17.9%	21.6%	17.7%	24.8%	20.7%	17.4%	23.5%
15	JEFFERSON	33.3%	44.4%	0.0%	26.6%	20.0%	50.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
16	JOSEPHINE	23.3%	18.0%	20.9%	31.7%	20.0%	26.3%	2.4%	20.0%	28.6%	14.0%	23.9%
18	KLAMATH	8.3%	20.5%	5.4%	20.5%	20.3%	6.8%	14.3%	23.6%	13.7%	17.3%	13.3%
19	LAKE	20.0%	14.3%	0.0%	81.8%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	N/A	0.0%	60.0%
20	LANE	25.8%	16.4%	26.9%	28.8%	24.0%	27.8%	26.8%	25.6%	22.4%	29.2%	23.1%
20	LINCOLN	3.0%	3.2%	25.0%	6.0%	14.6%	18.4%	8.3%	31.8%	19.2%	23.8%	7.1%
22	LINN	18.4%	14.6%	3.4%	9.9%	16.8%	22.7%	10.1%	12.7%	18.3%	7.4%	14.1%
23	MALHEUR	0.0%	3.7%	23.3%	0.0%	11.1%	15.6%	7.5%	15.2%	3.0%	16.7%	12.5%
24	MARION	20.8%	17.5%	9.2%	5.1%	9.5%	12.3%	12.8%	9.9%	16.0%	8.5%	11.1%
25	MORROW	20.0%	0.0%	30.0%	0.0%	18.2%	11.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
27	POLK	0.0%	15.6%	0.0%	9.1%	32.5%	18.2%	13.5%	7.1%	11.8%	18.8%	13.3%
28	SHERMAN	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0%	N/A	N/A	0.0%	0.0%
29	TILLAMOOK	40.0%	20.0%	27.3%	20.0%	11.1%	20.0%	0.0%	33.3%	28.6%	0.0%	0.0%
30	UMATILLA	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	9.7%	9.6%	4.8%	6.7%	6.8%	2.7%	7.7%	15.8%
31	UNION	13.6%	22.2%	9.5%	20.8%	0.0%	22.2%	7.1%	53.3%	9.1%	8.3%	0.0%
32	WALLOWA	N/A	N/A	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	N/A	0.0%	0.0%
33	WASCO	5.6%	20.0%	8.7%	14.8%	10.5%	18.8%	22.2%	9.5%	16.0%	0.0%	27.3%
34	WASHINGTON	24.6%	16.6%	21.6%	24.0%	12.1%	17.1%	17.4%	14.4%	14.7%	15.1%	36.7%
35	WHEELER	0.0%	N/A	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
36	YAMHILL	20.0%	17.6%	21.1%	22.6%	20.0%	3.7%	10.7%	14.3%	25.0%	0.0%	15.4%
38	HERMISTON	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
40	MULT ST JNS	21.6%	34.7%	4.7%	8.8%	11.9%	31.4%	25.0%	0.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A
42	MULT EAST	15.7%	3.9%	12.6%	29.9%	12.1%	21.8%	16.3%	27.9%	0.0%	20.0%	22.2%
43	MULT MIDTWN	16.3%	11.1%	16.4%	24.7%	14.5%	22.6%	12.0%	12.7%	30.2%	8.8%	17.2%
44	MULT NE	27.0%	3.9%	14.1%	16.3%	27.0%	17.2%	21.1%	7.0%	9.9%	10.3%	25.0%
46	ROCKWOOD	00 70/	10.00/	NOT EST		10.00/	44.004	0.0%	60.0%	4.3%	7.1%	11.4%
47	GRESHAM	22.7%	12.6%	21.2%	25.4%	10.8%	16.2%	13.0%	12.5%	17.5%	16.3%	26.8%
49	NEW MARKET	14.3%	5.3%	5.9%	26.5%	16.2%	11.4%	10.0%	25.0%	22.2%	8.7%	0.0%
50	WOODBURN	0.0%	42.9%	20.0%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
70	BEAVERTON			OT ESTABLISHE			7.9%	21.3%	15.6%	8.7%	9.3%	22.5%
75			N	OT ESTABLISHE			6.9%	11.8%	0.0%	N/A	N/A	N/A
78	N CLACKAMAS	N/A	N/A	N/A	NOT EST/ N/A		N/A	N/A	N/A	50.0% N/A	12.5%	2.6% N/A
85 86	GRAND RONDE WARM SPRINGS	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	N/A 12.5%	13.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	N/A 0.0%	0.0%
87	UMATILLA TRIBE	0.0% N/A	0.0% N/A	0.0% N/A	0.0% N/A	N/A	N/A	16.7%	0.0% N/A	0.0%	0.0%	0.0% N/A
<u>87</u> 90	SILETZ	100.0%	100.0%	75.0%	N/A 87.5%	50.0%	N/A 83.3%	N/A	N/A 0.0%	0.0% N/A	N/A 100.0%	N/A N/A
	e Percentages	16.6%	14.3%	14.4%	18.1%	15.1%	17.4%	14.7%	15.3%	15.8%	100.0%	17.5%
	ren entered care during th		17.3/0	17.4/0	10.1/0	13.170	17.470	14.170	13.370	13.0 /0	Source: CSDM Case	

NA - No children entered care during the 6 month period


Source: CSDM Casey Table

Reunification: Percent of children reunified within 12 months - History




32Reunif Q Hx 200803.xls 6/26/2008 CAF, Program Performance and Reporting Page 34

Targeted Food Stamp Reviews- History




33FS Accuracy Hx 200805.xls 6/26/2008


Food Stamp Timeliness - History



34FS Timely Hx 200804.xls 6/26/2008




35Med Accuracy Hx 200806.xls 6/26/2008



36Placement Val Hx 200805.xls 6/26/2008

Participation Rate - All History



37Participation Hx 200805.xls 6/26/2008 *November 2007 Revised

Dashboard Reports - Definition of Measures Contact: Robi Henifin, <u>robi.henifin@state.or.us</u>

Child Welfare Program Improvement Plan

Face-to-Face Contact within 30 days-Children

This report is identical to that for adults except that it reports the number of children for whom a 30-day face-to-face contact is required and for whom a contact was documented during the reporting period.

For More Data: <u>http://apps.dhs.state.or.us</u> (requires username and password) 45 Day Face-to-Face Report.

Median Months to Adoption

Our goal for this measure is to achieve adoption for a child in 36 months or less. This quarterly report captures children adopted within this quarter, and measures the length of time from the date of removal to adoption finalization. As the goal of this measure is to have fewer months on the rankings charts the higher the bar, the worse the rank (it runs "backward").

For More Data: <u>http://apps.dhs.state.or.us</u> (requires username and password) Adoption Report.

Reunification - Percent of Children Reunified Within 12 Months

This chart reports the number of children who were reunified with their parents during the quarter and the percentage of those, which were reunified within 12 months from the date of removal to substitute care. The goal is 76.2% or greater. **For More Data:** <u>http://apps.dhs.state.or.us</u> (requires username and password) Reunification Report.

Timeliness of CPS Response Percent of All Referrals Meeting Assigned Initial Contact Timeline

This chart indicates the number and percentage of CPS referrals that have met policy time frames for CPS initial contact. It includes those reports, which have been assigned a level of response by the screener and referred to a CPS worker for assessment during the 30-day reporting period. The response time is measured from the date and time that the department receives the report. It includes both levels of response whether 24 Hour or 5 Days, and requiring response. The target goal is 100% of cases will be completed within policy requirements. **For More Data:** <u>http://apps.dhs.state.or.us</u> (requires username and password) Timeliness Report.

CPS Assessments – Timeliness

All open referrals that have not yet been completed, which includes supervisor review, which is open for more than 60 days after receiving the report. **For More Data:** Due and Overdue Assessments Report

Benefit Delivery

Food Stamp Program - Accuracy of eligibility determination.

This reports the number of cases in the targeted reviews that do not have errors in Household Composition, Housing Costs, Utilities, Earned Income or Unearned Income. The statewide goal is an accuracy rate of 90% or greater.

For More Data: For reports through October 2006 <u>http://apps.dhs.state.or.us</u> (requires username and password) Food Stamp Review Tracker

For reports beginning November 2006 <u>http://apps.dhs.state.or.us/art</u> (requires username and password) All Review Tracker **Additional Information:** <u>http://www.dhs.state.or.us/training/foodstamps/webtools.htm</u>

Food Stamp Benefit Timeliness

This report measures the timeliness of new food stamp issuances. There are two categories, expedited and non-expedited. 'New' is defined as; the case received a FS benefit for the report month, did not receive benefits in the two prior months and also has a cert begin date equal to the report month. The cases that met this criteria were then divided into Expedited and Non Expedited issuances. Example: the case received a FS benefit for the month of April 07, did not receive benefits for February 07 or March 07 AND had a 4/1/2007 cert begin date with a CRT or REC action.

Medical Programs - Accuracy of eligibility determination.

This reports the number of cases in the targeted reviews that do not have errors in Household Composition, Housing Costs, Utilities, Earned Income or Unearned Income. Currently the targeted reviews are conducted on MAA, MAF, and EXT cases. The statewide goal is an accuracy rate of 90% or greater.

For More Data: <u>http://apps.dhs.state.or.us/art</u> (requires username and password) All Review Tracker

Employment Placements- Validated

Percent of TANF Cases Placed in Employment

Once a placement has been entered on TRACS AND there is an open plan, it is then subjected to the following tests in the month in which the placement was recorded AND in the following 3 months. Any one of these conditions would validate the placement:

- 1. There is a reduction in the grant from the prior month (case was 2/82 CP in prior month and in current month).
- 2. Case has gone from 2/82 CP in prior month to CL or NA.
- 3. Case has gone from 2/82 CP in prior month to M5/P2, CP/VP.
- 4. Case has gone from P2 with PRE NR to P2 without PRE NR or Closed.
- 5. Case has gone from P2 PRE in prior month (open Pre-TANF date on JAS) to M5/P2 not PRE (PRE-Tanf has end date) or Closed

.If they do not meet **any** of the above criteria during the 4 potential months, they are then placed in a not counted file.

Under the validated placement criteria, the placement will count in the month it is validated. So, a "January" placement is one that may have been recorded in Oct, Nov, Dec, or Jan, and was VALIDATED by one of the above 5 steps, in January. The goal is placements of 10%.

Workforce and Employment Plans

The TANF Participation Rate Measure

This measure has been changed to a monthly report. 50% of TANF work eligible individual must meet the participation requirements. For details regarding the method of calculation please see the document Peggy Condron sent with her reports.

Management Tools

Rank and Average Percentage of Goals Met

These charts group the measures together to show each DISTRICT it's all over standing on one page. On the "Rank" chart it is possible for DISTRICTs to have the same rank, in which case the next rank down will not be used (if two DISTRICTs have the rank of 3, the next rank is 5). The "Percentage of Goals Met" chart measures how close each DISTRICT was to meeting the goal for the measure, if the DISTRICT exceeded the goal the percentage would be more than 100 percent.

Secondary Measure Descriptions

Child Welfare Program Improvement Plan

Timeliness of CPS Response Percent of 24 Hour and 5 Day Referrals Meeting Assigned Timeline

This chart indicates the number and percentage of CPS reports that have met policy time frames for CPS assessment. It includes those reports, which have been assigned a level of response by the screener and referred to a CPS worker for assessment during the 30-day reporting period. The response time is measured from the date and time that the department receives the report. It includes both levels of response whether 24 Hour or 5 Days, and requiring response. The target goal is 100% of cases will be completed within policy requirements.

For More Data: <u>http://apps.dhs.state.or.us</u> (requires username and password) Timeliness Report.

Face-to-Face Contact with 30 days-Adults

This chart reports the number of adults on open plans for whom a face-to-face contact was required by policy and documented for the reporting period. The data is reported in this chart by DISTRICT and by branch within the DISTRICT. This report is compiled 45 days after the end of the reporting period to allow for data entry to occur. In order for this data to be captured, a face-to-face contact must be done by the caseworker assigned to the plan. The system accommodates policy variances including courtesy supervision, permanent foster care, and residential treatment. The goal for accomplishment of 30-day contact with adults is 100%.

Source: <u>http://apps.dhs.state.or.us</u> (requires username and password) 45 Day Face-to-Face Report.

Foster care re-entries

A State meets the national standard for this indicator if, of all children who entered foster care during the period under review, **8.6%** or fewer of those children re-entered foster care within 12 months of a prior foster care episode. Quarterly report. **Source:** <u>http://apps.dhs.state.or.us</u> (requires username and password) Reentry Report.

Foster Care Placements

Percent of children in relative care on a point-in-time basis; count of children where the child's current service is Relative Foster Care (SREL), divided by the count of all children in subcare services (excluding Medical coverage only (SMED), children served via Seniors and Peoples with Disabilities in a developmentally disable foster home, children on trial home visits and children on runaway status). **Source:** Child Welfare datamart

Foster Care Placements

Six month data. First Placement; percent of times children were placed in relative care on first episode/first placement. Data was adjusted to reflect those instances where Family Shelter Care (SEFC) is only a payment code (i.e. placement counted as relative when the second listed subcare service is Relative Foster Care, but has the same provider number as the Family Shelter Care service listed as the first placement. (excluding Medical coverage only SMED),). Source: Child Welfare datamart

Adoption Achieved in less than 24 Months

Percentage of children whose adoptions were finalized in less than 24 months from the time of latest removal from home. The goal is 32 percent or greater. Quarterly report.

Source: <u>http://apps.dhs.state.or.us</u> (requires username and password) Adoption Report.

Reabuse

All Recurrences = Percentage of abused/neglected children who were reabused within six months of prior victimization. Quarterly report. The goal is 5.6% or less and is based on FFY 2004 National Outcomes. This report has a nine-month lag time. **Source:** http://apps.dhs.state.or.us (requires username and password) Reabuse Report.

Food Stamp Benefit Delivery

Food Stamp Benefit Timeliness

This report measures the timeliness of new food stamp issuances. There are two categories, expedited and non-expedited. 'New' is defined as; the case received a FS benefit for the report month, did not receive benefits in the two prior months and also has a cert begin date equal to the report month. The cases that met this criteria were then divided into Expedited and Non Expedited issuances. Example: the case received a FS benefit for the month of April 07, did not receive benefits for February 07 or March 07 AND had a 4/1/2007 cert begin date with a CRT or REC action.

Client Access to Food Stamp Benefits Measure

These charts report the ratio of persons at or below 100% of the federal poverty level to those persons receiving food stamp benefits. Eligibility begins at the 130% of poverty level. The number of persons in poverty is based upon 2007 census information from the U.S. census bureau and does not include people in military barracks, institutional group quarters or children in foster care. The target is 115%. **For More Data:** <u>http://www.oregon.gov/DHS/assistance/data/papage.shtml</u>

Workforce and Employment Plans

The TANF Participation Rate Measure

The requirement for adults is 30 hours per week in core or non-core activities of which 20 hour must be in core activities, the target for adult participation is 50%. Requirement for teen parents is to be enrolled in an educational activity and making satisfactory progress, the target for teens is 100%. Post TANF cases were added to the data October 2007.

Composite History

Publish date will always be three months ahead of the composite data, for example; July 2007 meeting dashboard will contain April 2007 composite data and charts.

All nine primary measures are averaged. All months are actual month of activity. If there was no activity or reporting for a measure in a particular month the cell is blank so as not to effect averaging. The exception is quarterly measures; the results for quarterly measures are used for all three months of the quarter. Some months will have no data for the quarterly measures (example: the report released 07/07 does not have quarterly data for 04/07 through 06/07, the data will not be available until the 08/07 report).

A free copy of Acrobat Reader can be downloaded here: <u>http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep2.html</u>, or contact your Help Desk for assistance.