Update on CDF Results on Diffraction

K. Goulianos
The Rockefeller University
(Representing the CDF Collaboration)

DIS 2005
27 April – 1 May
Madison, Wisconsin

$\bar{p}$-p Interactions

Diffractive:

vacuum exchange

Protons retain their quantum numbers



Non-diffractive:

color exchange

Protons acquire color and break apart

Goal: understand the nature of the colorless exchange

CDF Run 1-0 (1988-89)

Elastic, single diffractive, and total cross sections @ 546 and 1800 GeV



Roman Pot Spectrometers



Roman Pot Detectors

- Scintillation trigger counters
- Wire chamber
- Double-sided silicon strip detector

Additional Detectors

Trackers up to $|\eta| = 7$

Results

- Total cross section $\sigma^{tot} \sim s^{\varepsilon}$
- Elastic cross section $d\sigma/dt \sim \exp[2\alpha' \ln s]$ → shrinking forward peak
- Single diffraction Breakdown of Regge factorization

Run 1-0 results in perspective



KG, PLB 358 (1995) 379



CDF Run 1 (1992-1995)

Run-IC





Diffraction@CDF in Run I

16 papers

- Elastic scattering PRD 50 (1994) 5518
- Total cross section PRD 50 (1994) 5550
- Diffraction

SOFT diffraction



PRD 50 (1994) 5535 | PRL 87 (2001)141802 | PRL 93(2004)141601 | PRL 91(2003)011802

HARD diffraction

PRL references



with roman pots

JJ 84 (2000) 5043		
JJ 88 (2002) 151802		

W 78 (1997) 2698	JJ 74 (1995) 855	JJ 85 (2000) 4217
JJ 79 (1997) 2636	JJ 80 (1998) 1156	
b-quark 84 (2000) 232	JJ 81 (1998) 5278	
J/ψ 87 (2001) 241802		

Diffractive Fractions @ CDF

$$\bar{p}p \rightarrow (Hd + X) + \text{gap}$$

Fraction:
SD/ND ratio at 1800 GeV

Hd	Fraction(%)
W	1.15 (0.55)
JJ	0.75 (0.10)
b	0.62 (0.25)
J/ψ	1.45 (0.25)

All ratios ~ 1%
➔ ~ uniform suppression
~ FACTORIZATION

R(SD/ND) vs x_{Bj}

$$R(x) = \frac{F_{jj}^{SD}(x)}{F_{jj}^{ND}(x)}$$



0.035 < ξ < 0.095

Flat ξ dependence

$$R(x) = x^{-0.45}$$

Tevatron vs HERA: Breakdown of QCD Factorization



Restoring Factorization



The diffractive structure function measured on the proton side
in events with a leading antiproton
is NOT suppressed relative to predictions based on DDIS

Run 2 Diffractive Program

- Single Diffraction
  - ξ and Q^2 dependence of F_{jj}^D
  - Process dependence of $F^D(W, J/\psi)$
- Double Diffraction
  - Jet-Gap-Jet: $\Delta\eta^{gap}$ for fixed large $\Delta\eta^{jet}$
- Double Pomeron Exchange
  - F_{jj}^D on p-side vs ξ-pbar

Also:

Exclusive central production

- Dijets, χ_c

Other

- Tev4LHC issues

SD and ND collisions

$$\text{SD:}\quad \bar{p}p \to \bar{p} + \text{gap} + X$$

$$\text{ND:}\quad \bar{p}p \to X$$

Particle density



Energy flow



CDF-II



ROMAN POT DETECTORS

BEAM SHOWER COUNTERS:
Used to reject ND events



Artist's View of MiniPlug



MiniPlug Run II Data





Multiplicity distribution in SD and ND events



ADC counts in MiniPlug towers in a pbar-p event at 1960 GeV.

- **"jet" indicates an energy cluster and may be just a hadron.**

Diffractive Structure Function



$$R(x_{Bj}) \equiv \frac{\text{Rate}_{jj}^{SD}(x_{Bj})}{\text{Rate}_{jj}^{ND}(x_{Bj})}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{F_{jj}^{SD}(x_{Bj})}{F_{jj}^{ND}(x_{Bj})}$$

Diffractive Dijet Sample

$$\xi = \frac{\Sigma_{\text{all towers}} E_T e^{-\eta}}{\sqrt{s}}$$



$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\xi} \sim \frac{1}{\xi} \Rightarrow \frac{d\sigma}{d\log\xi} \sim \text{constant}$$

ξ^{RP} vs ξ^{cal}









ξ^{cal} distribution for slice of ξ^{RP}

σ/mean ~ 30%

$$R_{ND}^{SD}\left(x_{B_J}\right)$$





Ratio of SD/ND dijet event rates

- agreement with Run 1 result
- no ξ dependence in $0.03<\xi<0.1$

⇨ confirms Run I results

No appreciable Q^2 dependence

in region $100 < Q^2 < 1{,}600\ GeV^2$

⇨ Pomeron evolves as proton

MORE DATA CURRENTLY AT HAND

F_{jj}^{D} @ low ξ

Measure ξ-dependence of Fjj(ξ,β,t) down to ξ~0.001

using gap trigger



STATUS:
Data at hand
Analysis in progress

Diffractive W production

Probes the quark content of the Pomeron
➔ More direct comparison with HERA



Run I: 8,246 W(ev) events - PRL 78 (1997), 2698

R_W (SD/ND) = 1.15 ± 0.51(stat) ± 0.20(syst) %



hard-quark dominated Pomeron



hard-gluon dominated Pomeron
(rate lower by α_s)

Status: data at hand, analysis in progress

Gap Between Jets

Is the diffractive exchange BFKL-like
or simply a color rearrangement?

$\bar{p} + p \rightarrow \text{Jet} + \text{Gap} + \text{Jet}$





Work in progress: low luminosity run needed

Exclusive Dijet Production

Use dijet rate to calibrate Higgs production calculations

Khoze, Martin, Ryskin: Eur. Phys. J. C23, 311 (2002); C25,391 (2002);C26, 229 (2002)

Boonekamp, Peschanski, Royon: PRL 87, 251806(2001)





Exclusive Dijets in Run 1

PRL 85 (2000) 4215



Dijet Mass fraction

$$R_{jj} = \frac{M_{jj}}{M_X}$$

Exclusive dijet limit:

σ_{jj} (excl.) < 3.7 nb (95% CL)

Theoretical expectation (KMR) ~1 nb



Run 2 dijet mass fraction

$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{p} + JJ$

$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{p} + JJ + gap^{proton}_{5.5<\eta<7.5}$

$\bar{p}p \rightarrow \bar{p} + JJ + gap^{p}_{3.6<\eta<7.5}$





Minimum E_T(Jet1)	Cross section (R_{jj}>0.8)
10 GeV	1.1 ± 0.1(stat) ± 0.5(syst) nb
25 GeV	25 ± 3(stat) ± 10(syst) pb

Exclusive Dijet Events ?









Limits on Exclusive production



Martin, Kaidalov, Khoze, Ryskin, Stirling
hep-ph/0409258): ~ 40 pb (E_T>25 GeV) (factor ~2 uncertainty)

Heavy flavor exclusive dijets

Theory:
J_Z= 0 spin selection rule
$gg \rightarrow gg$ dominant contribution at LO
$gg \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ suppressed when $M_{jj} >> m_q$

Experimental method:
normalize $R_{jj}(q\bar{q})$ to R_{jj}(all jets)
⇨ look for suppression at large R_{jj}

Pros: many systematics cancel out
good HF quark id
small g mistag O(1%)

Cons: heavy quark mass:
suppression is not complete



Heavy flavor tagged dijet fraction



exclusive production?

need:

- to compare with MC
- more data !

$R_{btag}(R_{jj}>0.7)/R_{btag}(R_{jj}<0.4)=0.59 \pm 0.33\ (stat) \pm 0.23\ (syst)$

Exclusive χ_c



χ_c

$$p\bar{p} \rightarrow p\ \chi_c\ \bar{p}$$
$$\chi_c \rightarrow J/\psi\ \gamma \rightarrow \mu\mu\ \gamma$$

From inclusive J/ψ data:

Cross section **upper limit**: $\sigma_{excl}(J/\psi+\gamma) = 49 \pm 18(stat) \pm 39(syst)$ pb

Khoze, Martin, Ryskin, and Stirling ⟶ ~ 70 pb [Eur. Phys. J. C 35, 211 (2004)]

STATUS: data from new gap + J/ψ + gap trigger are being analyzed

CONCLUSION

Run 2

- CDF has a comprehensive Run 2 diffractive program
  - Data at hand are being analyzed
  - More data are being collected
  - Proposal for low luminosity (~10^{30}) run under study

Beyond Run 2

- Tev4LHC studies