Department of Human Services Addictions and Mental Health Division Children's System Advisory Committee October 27, 2006 -- draft

IN ATTENDANCE: Debra Depew, Robbi Perry, Shelley Joyce, Mitch Anderson, Maria Martinez, Paula Bauer, Bill Wellard, Ron Sipress, Diane Ponder, Michelle Westfall, Janet Arenz, Bob Lieberman, Jammie Farish, Chris Anderson by phone, Mary Lightfield, Lee Coleman,

Guests: Chris Heinz, Mary Dallas Allen, Mary Koontz, PSU, Theresa Rice, Wendi Conway

OMHAS: Judy Rinkin, Bill Bouska, Jon Collins, Kathleen Burns, Marion David, Matthew Pearl, Janet Zeyen-Hall (note taker)

TOPIC	Key Discussion Points	Action/Task/Decision	Responsible	Due
		Log	Party	Date
Announcements	Added to agenda – funding for education services	September Minutes –		
Agenda Review	in psychiatric residential and day treatment. Ron	Motion to approve by		
Minute	Sipress requested Robbi Perry from Dept of Ed to	Lee Coleman, seconded		
Approval	provide some insight under Policy Issues.	by Maria Martinez –		
		Motion to approve		
	Youth to serve on CSAC Send names to Judy	passed.		
	and will forward to Bob Nikkel for approval.			
	Youth will be invited to attend the November			
	meeting.			
Member	Debra Depew shared her family story. Thanks.			
Sharing				
Committee	A. Update on Motion Issues	Both papers to be		
Business	The Motion matrix or the Monitoring matrix are	updated, posted to the		
	available today due to other assignments at AMH.	web and emailed ASAP.		
	Both these documents will be updated and placed on			

	the web. Judy will also email these documents out.		
	B. PAMAC information		
	Bob Lieberman – shared information discussed at the		
	October meeting.		
	*Suggestion/Concern/Positive Issue paper generated a	Judy to send out edited	
	lot of discussion. Several MHO's and Providers have	form to CSAC members	
	expressed concern about duplicative processes. Paper		
	was approved by PAMAC with edits to include		
	consumers. ASAC (Adult System Advisory		
	Committee) expressed concern over the form and		
	wants it to be universal for all consumers, not just		
	children. ASAC members questioned:		
	1. how will the form be distributed, reviewed		
	2. 'will use' implies a mandatory action, ASAC		
	members feel not appropriate		
	3. form needs to be broadly circulated, reviewed		
	before it is adopted		
	4. would like to see more thought, time before final		
	version is put forward	Jammie and/or Bob to	
	5. CSAC members agreed to continue to collaborate in	meet with the co-chairs	
	discussion with ASAC with a timeline for review and	of ASAC/ AMH will	
	feedback to CSAC	facilitate this meeting	
	* Provide an opportunity to work with ASAC and		
	other groups that have concerns and/or questions		
	about the form.		
	* The 'Suggestion/Concern/Positive Feedback Form'		
	does not need to be approved by AMH for use.		
	Providers can use document on their own, in their		
	facilities.		
	*could the document be used as a voluntary document C. CSCI Monitoring Matrix – See above.		
System			
System	A. Data Points		<u> </u>

Monitoring	Acute Care /Financial Update -Financial up-date: information available at a later date Acute Care – Marion David (refer handout) *Document addresses children that have had more than one service before they went into the hospital. Information shared is pre – CSCI. *Question was brought forward on data of the non-Medicaid children. Would like to see a side-by-side comparison between Medicaid and non-Medicaid *Hospitals under no obligation to report any private data so some don't completely report.	Jon will bring a report back in a couple of months. EMAIL questions and comments to Judy, pass them along to Marion	
	B. CASII/Community based Service – tabled until next month.	Have on agenda for November meeting	
	C. PSU report /PPT Presenting Evaluation of CSCI Members were asked to response electronically to report www.rri.pdx.edu by November 15, 2006. *Oregon has done more work in one year than many other states have done in yearsGood Job. *E-board will receive full report 11/30/06, need feed back by 11/15, will attach addendums with feed back. * Persons chosen to be interviewed were represented from MHO's: directors, system coordinators, care coordinators; county level, system coordinators		
	 *Questions/comments from CSAC members: Two other areas of concern not in the report are the struggles between CW and MH Budget impact on providers needs to be addressed in the report. FFS vs. capitation – bears mention, 		

	 and credit for the state's work to address the problem. Department of Ed – only one person was asked for input CSAC members would like to see other systems be included in the change process Clarify language on page 9 last bullet Requested from a visitor on why only 9 family members where chosen and there where 44 professionals – PSU/RRI staff wanted to find people who were involved in the CSCI over the last year, want to interview different parts of the system and areas of the state. Report is not looking at outcomes Member requested information as to when the e-board report will be made public 	*AMH staff is using the report to address next steps in the CSCI * Comments from today's discussion will be addressed and will look at the findings. *E-Board report will be available after 11/30/06 *AMH will distribute report to CSAC	
Policy Issues	A. Policy Options Packages up-date	Bill gave up-date	
	 B. Block Grant Resources State has \$20,030 to allocate to children. (see handout) State Planner -Defined position that organizing block grant adults and children. Split cost between child 1/3 and adult 2/3. Money for travel reimbursement. Contact with OHSU to increase the telepsychriatic services Discussion on effective family involvement, AMH to support a full time person Discussion on the formal motion from last year that AMH felt was too administratively burdensome. Other recommendations: 	Motion by Shelley to support recommendations, with friendly addition of state planner dedicates at least to 50/50 of time on kids. Robbi seconded, motion passed	

	Workforce development for forcity			
	Workforce development for family			
	members/ case management – comments			
	from AMH staff, already an RFP in process			
	 Fulltime family specialist comments from 			
	AMH staff. This continues to be in the			
	current Policy Option Packages submitted by AMH			
	 All extra dollars should go specifically to a 			
	state wide network for family mentors/ use			
	Washington state 'navigator' to get through			
	the system			
	 Peer coach training/ use seed money to get 			
	started and keep running			
	Cross-train in several areas	MITCH Anderson -		
	Develop a pilot program with proven	Motion money be put in		
	outcomes	some form of contractual		
	 AMH to create contract of parent mentors/ 	fashion to begin building		
	family navigators statewide/ not to limit to	a statewide network of		
	high-end children, make sure that all	Parent Navigators.		
	children from all age groups are included	Second Michelle, passed		
	D. Building bridges document not available	November meeting	Jammie/Bob	
	E. Nutrition Issues Brief not available		Bob/Ron	
		November meeting	DOU/KOII	
	F. Funding for education services in psychiatric	November meeting		
	residential and day treatment.			
Comments				
from Visitors				
Data Issues		Next meeting		
Meeting Ending	November 17, 2006			
	Add Youth Inclusion to the November Agenda.			