

Senator HATCH. Nobody could get in or out without you?

Ms. HOLT. If I was there, that is true.

Senator HATCH. I bet you were a good one. I bet you were a good one.

Now I would like you to go back even further, to the time when all three of you worked at the EEOC. After any meeting or lunch between Anita Hill and Clarence Thomas, did you ever notice anything about Ms. Hill—or Professor Hill, excuse me—and her behavior, her moods or simply the way she looked, that ever led you to believe that anything unusual had really taken place between her and Clarence Thomas?

Ms. HOLT. No, never.

Senator HATCH. Never once?

Ms. HOLT. I never noticed anything.

Senator HATCH. Is it fair to say that their relationship was entirely professional?

Ms. HOLT. I would say that, yes.

Senator HATCH. How about the rest of you? Consider the same questions. Is there anything that would have indicated to you that the relationship was anything less than entirely professional? Ms. Alvarez?

Ms. ALVAREZ. No, sir. They always appeared to be very professional with one another. That was the way Clarence demanded it.

Senator HATCH. Ms. Fitch?

Ms. FITCH. Always professional. The times that Anita Hill and I went out together, and that might be no more than three times in a little over a year's period, we would leave work and we were talking about the job, talking about him, felt that he was going places and wanted to make sure that we, as his personal staff, were in the position to help him do what he needed to do to get there, so no.

Senator HATCH. Ms. Berry-Myers?

Ms. BERRY. I don't remember any time them having anything that was more than professional, cordial, friendly. She always indicated that she admired and respected the man.

Senator HATCH. Always?

Ms. BERRY. Always.

Senator HATCH. Right up to the day that she left to go to Oral Roberts University?

Ms. BERRY. To my knowledge, yes.

Senator HATCH. Now, Ms. Holt, in your opinion, or any of the others of you, is there any other person in the EEOC or any other person in this country who might have been in a better position to know whether or not Clarence Thomas and Anita Hill had anything other than a strictly professional relationship?

Ms. HOLT. I don't think anyone could say that they had anything other than the professional relationship.

Senator HATCH. Now, Ms. Holt, as I read this log, there are four messages in 1984, five messages in 1985, and then only one message in 1986, and then one in 1987, and then there follows a more than 3-year gap without any messages. What is the last message before that 3-year gap, in fact, the last message in the log book itself? What is the message of August 4, 1987?

Ms. HOLT. On August 4?

Senator HATCH. 1987.