

Judge HOERCHNER. I have a lawyer who was my moot court director at Yale Law School. His name is Ron Allen.

Senator DECONCINI. And he is a pro bono lawyer, or are you paying him?

Judge HOERCHNER. He has not submitted a bill yet. [Laughter.]

Senator DECONCINI. Lots of luck, Mr. Allen.

Thank you.

And just lastly, Dean Paul, you don't consider yourself a friend of Professor Hill. A professional acquaintance, is that fair to say?

Mr. PAUL. I would say that we were professional colleagues.

Senator DECONCINI. Professional colleagues.

Mr. PAUL. We are on friendly terms. I see Professor Hill typically once or twice a year at the annual meetings of the Association of American Law Schools.

Senator DECONCINI. Yes. Do you think you fall into the category, then, in her statement where she said:

It is only after a great deal of agonizing consideration that I am able to talk of these unpleasant matters to anyone but my closest friends.

She must consider you a friend, don't you think?

Mr. PAUL. I think that she considers me a friendly professional colleague. I don't know why she chose to relate the story to me. I don't know if she remembers relating the story to me. As I say, I haven't spoken to Professor Hill since prior to the Thomas nomination.

Senator DECONCINI. Thank you.

And thank you, Chairman, for the additional time. I appreciate it.

Senator THURMOND. Senator Specter?

Senator SPECTER. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

Ms. Wells, let me pick up with your statement as I wrote it down, when you heard the details as to what Professor Hill had said that Judge Thomas said to her, "so outraged you would have to do something." The issue which we have before us is one of credibility, as to whom to believe. We have gained substantial insights in a lot of testimony which has been given as to the view of a woman in a position of this sort.

You did not know the details. You only knew that it was inappropriate and sexual in nature, as to what Professor Hill had told you. That is what your testimony has been here today.

When you get the details and, as you say, you were outraged that you thought something would have to have been done, we have a situation where Professor Hill went from the Department of Education to the EEOC, and she was a classification attorney where she could have kept her job, and then she went with him voluntarily on a trip to Oral Roberts. I am not suggesting any impropriety, but she went with him. And, after that she called him on many occasions. There are 11 in a log, and we will have a witness later who will testify that she called him on many other occasions that weren't written down in the log because they got through to Judge Thomas.

And we have an astute professor, a law professor, a lawyer, who was concerned about being fired by Judge Thomas, so that when he gave her work assignments she wrote them all down, the date she