

The CHAIRMAN. Our next witness is Anne Neamon, representing Citizens for God and Country, and Truth in Press, Inc.

Come around, Ms. Neamon. You will hold up your hand and be sworn.

Do you swear that the evidence you give in this hearing shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

TESTIMONY OF ANNE NEAMON, NATIONAL COORDINATOR, CITIZENS FOR GOD AND COUNTRY, AND TRUSTEE, TRUTH IN PRESS, INC.

Ms. NEAMON. I do, so help me God.

Senator, I want to thank you for your undertaking, and in view of the fact that some of these matters have not been addressed to the candidate, would you kindly find opportunity to address them to her, please, in view of the omissions of every member of this body?

The nomination of Justice O'Connor occurs with continuing outcries from those who elected Reagan, mandating change, not compromise—restore U.S. Constitution, Christian law priority. Every nominee's background and intentions are vital at these times. Public right to know seeks answers.

Free nations are guided in law and jurisprudence by founding religious principles. Traditional Biblical morality, Christian ethics, guide good government and moral order against invasions by unconstitutional, shifting political whims, to secure all rights including diversity by individual rights, private means.

Quoting reassertions of the U.S. Supreme Court: "We are founded to legislate, propagate, and secure general Christian faith, which is and always has been our common law. Nothing be done to hurt Christianity. Bring infidels and savages unto human civility for a quiet and settled government. Morality of the Nation is deeply ingrafted in general Christian faith. We are a Christian Nation. Secularism is unconstitutional. It is the duty of government to deter no-belief religions. Government facilities"—and this includes abortions or whatever moral issue—"government facilities do not offend, commit inhibition, hostility, jeopardy, handicap, prohibition to Godly belief. Authority which can establish Christianity, with emphasis in exclusion of all other religions, as guides to good government. . . . Separation was never required. Scrupulous Christian neutrality was the issue." Our legislation and our security should be based on such values. (Holy Trinity, U.S. 143; *Everson*, U.S. 330; *Engel*, U.S. 469; *Abington*, U.S. 142, 119; *Roemer*, 74-730; *Stone v. Graham*, 80-321 (1980).)

Over 90 percent of taxes come from Christians, yet over 90 percent of deceptive, anti-Christian, anti-Semitic programs are converting U.S. Constitution, Christian law priority, to Soviet Constitution and "Communist Manifesto."

Propagate worldwide atheism, separation of church and state, classless society, communistic morality, centralized education, workers of the world unite.

Since the original U.S. Constitution has been recently and illegally altered, and misrepresented by foreign ideology, not only the nominee but every member of this committee is obligated to reaf-

firm in this public record loyalty to U.S. Constitution-Christian law priority.

By public right to know, then, the urgency to restore the original Constitution and address the moral crisis: One, will the nominee address the public pleadings of none other than President Carter and the late Senator H. Humphrey?

We must all abandon corruption and greed and dedicate ourselves to family and morality in the interest of national security. Values, values, values.

Two, will the nominee challenge Court status of plaintiffs whose policies advocate foreign ideological changes to our Constitution, such as some members of the left-thinking membership of ABA, ACLU, and others who propagate communistic worldwide atheism? Will the nominee refuse to accept honorariums from such anti-Christian, anti-Semitic sources?

Three, return Bibles to courts, since Biblical morality guides law and jurisprudence and scriptural guides identify Justices as ministers of God—black robes a reminder? Will she address militant atheists who have no constitutional standing, since they disturb the moral order, safety, and peace of society? Quoting the U.S. Supreme Court: “They”—atheists—“do not interfere. There is nothing compatible. They go their own way. Nothing be done to hurt Christianity.” What knowledge, obligation, loyalty does an anti-Christian, anti-Semitic have to “legislate, propagate, and secure general Christian faith”?

Four, address attacks against family and morality. Place women’s rights in perspective with family rights, restoring the constitutionally guaranteed moral order; securing homes against TV invasions to indoctrinate Marxist paganism, and securing schools and churches against illegal coercions of international atheism?

Five, will she in Court action, and in duty above and beyond secure youth against official disorientation into crime, youth pregnancies, drug, alcohol, and social diseases?

Six, address media and other invading hostilities on falsehoods of U.S. Supreme Court cases, which do permit both legislated and unlegislated prayers for love of country, belief in God, for discipline, harmony, unity, and enhancing of teachers’ authority, prayers and Bible for ethics, Biblical ethics, Christian ethics by nonsectarian Bible reading and prayers?

Seven, confine freedom of press and speech within the scope of the U.S. Constitution, Christian ethics as mandated by the intent of the Constitution—justice, tranquillity, and blessings of freedom, not vices?

Eight, in poorly structured cases, will the nominee defend against future harm by obligation due society, perceive disinformation strategies of the press for paganism, review legislative history of 36 U.S.C. 172, one Nation under God, “to protect our babes from rabid communism”? So identified in the legislative history of this law.

Nine, restore purity of free elections, denouncing totalitarian teacher-power and student-power, upholding first amendment neutrality to Godless belief, article 4, section 4, “guarantee republican form of government, and secure against invasions”?

Ten, will nominee acknowledge political brutalities of appellate power for labor unions, resulting in crime and violence, denial of human right to work, free enterprise, and consequences of critical inflation? Will she enforce strong warnings of former Attorney General Bell against appellate power for school prayers, threatening all liberties? State courts have proven not only incapable but unworthy, to wit, Kentucky Supreme Court, 10 Commandments case, Justices Lukowsky, Palmore, Sternberg, denouncing Biblical ethics and advocating atheism as guides to public administration. In such cases, will the nominee admonish such impeachable offenses, deny Court status for ACLU for its national policy to harass all our institutions out of Christian law priority, by its national policy for Soviet constitutional separation of church and state?

Will over 90 percent of the Christians in this Christian Nation be assured of loyalties to President Reagan's intent to restore and defend Christian law priority?

The CHAIRMAN. We wish to thank you, Ms. Neamon—

Ms. NEAMON. Senator, since these matters were never brought out by any member of the committee, in justice to the national outcries, the moral crisis, and the President's anxiety to restore U.S. Constitution and our ethics, could you find opportunity to address these questions to the nominee?

The CHAIRMAN. Well, you have made your statement. That will be available to all the Senators.

Ms. NEAMON. I wonder if they will find the time to really, collectively address it, and will the nominee have the opportunity to respond to their addressing of this matter?

The CHAIRMAN. Well, you see, the nominee now is through with her testimony, and it is too late to address questions in these proceedings.

Ms. NEAMON. Can she be recalled?

The CHAIRMAN. No; we cannot recall her. We are giving everybody an opportunity. We have had 3 days of hearings.

Ms. NEAMON. Thank you very much. I would appreciate it if there was anything you could do to extend your concerns, at least.

The CHAIRMAN. Thank you very much.

Our next witness is Stephen Gillers, representing the Committee for Public Justice, who is coming at the request of Senator Kennedy.

You will hold up your hand and be sworn.

Do you swear that the evidence you give in this hearing shall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help you God?

TESTIMONY OF STEPHEN GILLERS, COCHAIRMAN, COMMITTEE FOR PUBLIC JUSTICE

Mr. GILLERS. I do.

Mr. Chairman, I have also prepared a statement which I have given to the staff and which I ask be made part of the record. I will make some nonduplicative comments in addition to that, if I may.

The CHAIRMAN. You want this statement entered in the record in full?

Mr. GILLERS. Yes, sir.