Appendix J Allocation of Interim Natural Resource Damage Assessment Costs Incurred in Connection with the Hylebos Waterway

Robert A. Taylor December 3, 2001

The Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act, 42 U.S.C. § 9601, *et seq.* (CERCLA), provides that parties responsible for releases of hazardous substances into the environment are liable for "damages for injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources, including the reasonable costs of assessing such injury, destruction, or loss resulting from such a release." 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a)(4)(C). As a consequence of their efforts to assess natural resource damages in the Commencement Bay environment, the Trustees have incurred significant assessment costs that they intend to collect from responsible parties. This memorandum identifies those costs compiled to date and explains how the data were developed.

Each Trustee agency or tribe is responsible for tracking and accounting for its costs associated with the Commencement Bay Natural Resource Damage Assessment. The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), serving as lead administrative trustee, receives periodic assessment cost reports from the Trustees and compiles the data. The following analysis is based upon those data reports.

For purposes of the Hylebos Waterway Natural Resource Damage Settlement Proposal Report (Report), the Trustees have determined the total sum of natural resource damage assessment costs for which all Hylebos Waterway potentially responsible parties (PRPs) are liable, and proposed an allocation of those costs. As the Settlement Proposal Report explains, the Trustees have proposed an allocation of their damages claim among Hylebos Waterway sites. Where more than one PRP may be responsible for a single site, the Trustees are leaving it to the involved PRPs to resolve among themselves how the liability for that site should be divided. The Trustees are taking the same approach in allocating liability for assessment costs, making the allocation by site and leaving it to the parties involved to determine how the assessment cost liability for the site should be divided.

Determining the total sum of Hylebos Waterway-related assessment costs is complicated by several factors:

- Trustees have at times tracked under a single account number assessment costs that are appropriately attributable to multiple problem areas or subparts of the Commencement Bay environment. For example, in the early stages of the damage assessment, although specific tasks or work efforts may have focused on a specific area or waterway, many Trustees used a single accounting number to track all Commencement Bay-related assessment costs.
- Trustees have incurred some assessment costs that are attributable to a single operation or

party while other costs are attributable to the Hylebos Waterway as a whole or to the entire Commencement Bay site.

- Some PRPs have at different times entered into agreements with the Trustees under which the PRPs reimbursed a portion of the Trustees' assessment costs in return for participating more directly in planning and carrying out parts of the assessment process (referred to as funding and participation agreements, or FPAs). In addition, in connection with one round of settlement negotiations the PRPs involved reimbursed a portion of the Trustees' assessment costs.
- In prior settlements of natural resource damage claims with several parties the Trustees have recovered a portion of their assessment costs.

The attached worksheet (Attachment 1) identifies the Trustees' current assessment cost and reimbursement data and proposes an allocation of the unreimbursed costs among Hylebos Waterway facilities. The amounts shown in the worksheet are provisional and will increase as the Trustees complete work on this settlement proposal and negotiated individual settlements. The specific amount of past assessment costs for which the parties associated with each facility are liable will be identified later in the settlement negotiation process. Following are the steps in the past cost allocation analysis. A note on terminology: "Costs Incurred" refers to gross costs, or costs incurred before crediting reimbursements.

Allocate a Portion of Commencement Bay-wide Costs to the Hylebos Waterway

This process is necessary to distribute among unresolved waterways the costs tracked by the Trustees under a Commencement Bay-wide accounting category. The Trustees assume that assessment costs incurred regarding the Commencement Bay site as a whole should be borne by each unresolved waterway in proportion to each waterway's share of the total assessment costs incurred for all waterways. Because the Trustees have already had a portion of their assessment costs reimbursed by parties involved in specific waterways, the Trustees use the gross costs incurred, rather than net unreimbursed costs, in developing the waterway allocation percentage.

<u>Step 1</u>: Compile *Costs Incurred* for unresolved waterways/problem areas. Prior settlements with the Port of Tacoma, Simpson Tacoma Kraft Co. and Champion International Corp. resolved claims for past natural resource damages with respect to the St. Paul Waterway, the Milwaukee Waterway, the Sitcum Waterway and the Blair Waterway. Remaining unresolved are claims regarding the Hylebos Waterway, Middle Waterway, Thea Foss Waterway (partial), and the ASARCO smelter sediments. For simplicity in this analysis, the ASARCO smelter sediments operable unit is treated as a "waterway." Adding the *Costs Incurred* for the unresolved waterways generates an *Adjusted Costs Incurred* figure.

<u>Step 2</u>: Determine Hylebos pro rata share of the *Adjusted Costs Incurred*. Divide *Hylebos Costs Incurred* by *Adjusted Costs Incurred* (the results of step 1). This generates a *Pro Rata Percentage for the Hylebos*. <u>Step 3</u>: Adjust *Bay-wide Costs Incurred* to reflect reimbursements received from parties not subject to the Hylebos allocation. The Trustees have had portions of their assessment costs reimbursed in connection with prior settlements, under FPAs, and in connection with settlement negotiations. To avoid recovering costs already reimbursed, this step accounts for the reimbursements made by non-Hylebos parties and parties who have previously settled. This generates a *Bay-wide Adjusted Net Costs* figure for purposes of applying the *Hylebos Pro Rata Percentage*. Reimbursements of Bay-wide costs by Hylebos Waterway parties who are subject to the allocation will be deducted in a later step.

<u>Step 4</u>: Calculate the *Hylebos Share of Bay-wide Adjusted Net Costs*. Multiply the results of step 2 (the *Hylebos Pro Rata Percentage*) times the results of step 3 (the *Bay-wide Adjusted Net Costs*).

Determine Hylebos-Specific Costs Subject to Allocation

<u>Step 5</u>: Adjust Hylebos-specific costs to account for reimbursements made by parties not subject to the Hylebos allocation. In connection with a prior settlement, the Port of Tacoma made funds available to the Trustees to conduct the damage assessment process. Pursuant to that settlement, the Trustees used a portion of the funds received from the Port to cover the costs of damage assessment efforts relating to the Hylebos Waterway. The Trustees also have determined that a portion of the past assessment costs recovered in the City of Tacoma Bay-wide settlement should be attributed to the Hylebos Waterway. This step subtracts those amounts from the *Hylebos Costs Incurred* to reflect sums paid from those settlements. This step generates a *Hylebos-Specific Adjusted Net Costs* figure. Reimbursements of Hylebos-specific costs by Hylebos Waterway parties who have not previously settled will be deducted in a later step.

Determine Total Hylebos-Related Costs Subject to Allocation

<u>Step 6</u>: Calculate the *Combined Hylebos Adjusted Net Costs* figure for allocation among the Hylebos allocation parties. Add the results of step 4 (*Hylebos Share of Bay-wideAdjusted Net Costs*) to the results of step 5 (*Hylebos-Specific Adjusted Net Costs*).

Allocate Hylebos-Related Costs Among Hylebos Waterway Sites

Page 3 of the worksheet demonstrates how Hylebos-related assessment costs are allocated to specific facilities, how site-specific costs are included and how prior assessment cost contributions by parties subject to the allocation are credited. The examples used presume there is only one party liable for each site. Where there are multiple parties involved, the Trustees presume that the parties will resolve among themselves how to apportion the costs attributable to the site.

For purposes of this settlement proposal, the Trustees have calculated the scale of natural resource injuries resulting from contamination by determining the associated losses of ecological services provided by affected habitats. The Trustees have quantified their natural resource damages

claim in terms of lost discounted service acre-years, or DSAYs, as described in the Settlement Proposal Report and the other appendices. As part of this effort, the Trustees have proposed an allocation of the total lost DSAYs among Hylebos Waterway sites or facilities as explained in Appendix H.

The DSAY allocation report and tables note that a portion of the total lost DSAYs are not allocated to specific sites, either because of issues regarding the injury data or because the Trustees have not yet identified sites responsible for releasing associated contaminants. In addition, the allocation report assigns a portion of the lost DSAYs to three sites for which the City of Tacoma appears to be responsible. Since the Trustees have already reached a Bay-wide natural resource damages settlement with the City, the Trustees will not be asserting further natural resource damage or damage assessment cost claims against the City. Consequently, the unallocated DSAYs and the DSAYs allocated to the City of Tacoma sites do not figure into the assessment cost allocation. The assessment cost allocation percentages and the resulting site share of waterway costs are reflected on Attachment 2.

<u>Step 7</u>: Multiply site DSAY allocation percentage times the results of step 6 (*Combined Hylebos Adjusted Net Costs*). This generates a *Site Share of Combined Hylebos Adjusted Net Costs* figure. Add to this any *Site-Specific Costs Incurred* to get the subtotal figure of *Site Combined Cost Liability Base*.

<u>Step 8</u>: Credit the party's prior assessment cost reimbursements. Subtract party's previous contributions (both Bay-wide and Hylebos-specific) from results of step 7. This gives the allocation party's *Net Assessment Costs Liability*.

Attachment 2 identifies the Trustees' interim allocation of assessment costs among Hylebos Waterway facilities. The allocation is done on an interim basis in part because the costs identified herein only reflect data reported by the Trustees as of the date of this memorandum. Each of the Trustees has incurred further costs that are not reflected in this memorandum, and will continue to incur costs during the process of negotiating settlements. The Trustees will seek to recover those costs as well, and will provide information on those additional costs as the settlement process progresses. In addition, as the incomplete columns on Attachment 2 indicate, the Trustees are also seeking to recover assessment costs incurred specific to certain facilities, and will credit previous cost reimbursements by Hylebos parties. These data will be reflected in the final assessment costs figures once it is determined which parties are responsible for which facilities.

Finally, the allocation in Attachment 2 assigns a share of the Trustees' assessment costs only among sites with a demonstrated basis for allocated liability. Costs are not allocated to contaminant footprints for which the Trustees have insufficient information to assign liability (designated as Type I or Type II Non-Allocated). To the extent that the Trustees receive information as part of the public review process for this proposal that leads them to adjust the liability allocation, they will also adjust the past cost allocation accordingly.

Attachment 1: Provisional Allocation of Past Natural Resource Damage Assessment Costs Worksheet

Attachment 2: Interim Allocation of Hylebos Waterway Assessment Costs

Attachment J-1 Provisional Allocation of Past Natural Resource Damage Assessment Costs Worksheet

| | | Allocation V | Vorksheet | | |
|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|--------------|-----------------------------|
| Area | Costs Incurred | | Combined Re | imbursments | Unreimbursed Costs |
| BAYWIDE | \$ | 3,270,625.50 | \$ | 1,114,241.61 | \$ 2,156,383.8 |
| HYLEBOS | \$ | 2,748,371.16 | \$ | 1,481,136.56 | \$ 1,267,234.6 |
| THEA-FOSS | \$ | 307,866.29 | \$ | 226,999.99 | \$ 80,866.3 |
| MIDDLE | \$ | 20,396.86 | \$ | - | \$ 20,396.8 |
| ASARCO SMELTER | \$ | 146,564.61 | \$ | 50,000.00 | \$ 96,564.6 |
| Total | \$ | 6,493,824.42 | \$ | 2,872,378.16 | \$ 3,621,446.2 |
| | Step 1 | | Step 2 | | |
| Pro Rata Base | Costs Incurred | | Pro Rata Perc | U | |
| HYLEBOS | \$ | 2,748,371.16 | | 85.27% | |
| THEA-FOSS | \$ | 307,866.29 | | 9.55% | |
| MIDDLE | \$ | 20,396.86 | | 0.63% | |
| ASARCO SMELTER | \$ | 146,564.61 | | 4.55% | |
| Adjusted Costs Incurred | \$ | 3,223,198.92 | | 100.00% | |
| Non-Consent Decree | | | | | |
| Reimbursements | Interim FPA | | Phase I FPA | | HCC-5 Negotiations Agreemer |
| ELF/ATOFINA | \$ | (21,428.57) | \$ | (35,888.08) | \$ (16,000.0 |
| OXY | \$ | (21,428.57) | \$ | (35,888.08) | \$ (16,000.0 |
| GENERAL METALS | | | | | \$ (16,000.0 |
| WEYERHAUSER | | | \$ | (35,888.08) | |
| MOBIL | \$ | (21,428.57) | \$ | (35,888.08) | |
| KAISER | \$ | (21,428.57) | \$ | (35,888.08) | \$ (16,000.0 |
| ASARCO | \$ | (21,428.57) | \$ | (35,888.08) | \$ (16,000.0 |
| CITY OF TACOMA | \$ | (21,428.57) | \$ | (35,888.08) | |
| PORT OF TACOMA | \$ | (21,428.57) | \$ | (35,888.08) | |
| CHAMPION | | · | \$ | (35,888.08) | |
| TOTALS | \$ | (149,999.99) | \$ | (322,992.72) | \$ (80,000.0 |

Attachment J-1 Provisional Allocation of Past Natural Resource Damage Assessment Costs Worksheet

| Adjustme | nts for Baywide Cost R | eimbursemen | nts by Non-Allocation Parties | - Step 3 | | |
|---|------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Baywide Costs Incurred | \$ 3,2 | 3,270,625.50 Notes | | | | |
| Interim EDA Contributions by Non | | | radita contributions by Mabil the | City of Topomo and the Port of | | |
| Interim FPA - Contributions by Non- | ¢ (| | | e City of Tacoma and the Port of | | |
| Hylebos Parties and Settled Parties | \$ (| 64,285.71) Ta | icoma | | | |
| Phase I FPA - Contributions by Non- | | Cı | redits contributions by Mobil, the | e City of Tacoma, the Port of | | |
| Hylebos Parties and Settled Parties | \$ (1 | | coma and Champion | | | |
| , | T (| | | ttling parties that were applied to | | |
| Bay-wide costs recovered under prior | • | | | on - \$177,941.06; Port of Tacoma | | |
| settlements | | 60,291.06) - \$ | | | | |
| Baywide Adjusted Net Costs | | 02,496.41 | · · · · · | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Allo | cation Of Baywide Adj | usted Net Cos | sts Among Waterways - Step | 4 | | |
| Waterway | | | | Hylebos Share of Baywide | | |
| - | Hylebos Pro Rata Per | centage Ba | aywide Adjusted Net Costs | Adjusted Net Costs | | |
| HYLEBOS | | 85.27% \$ | 2,502,496.41 | \$ 2,133,839.43 | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | | | y Hylebos Reimbursements | | | |
| Hylebos Costs Incurred | \$ 2,7 | 48,371.16 | No | tes | | |
| Hylebos-Specific Costs Covered | | | | | | |
| With Funds from Port of Tacoma | | | redits amounts received from the | e Port of Tacoma that were | | |
| Settlement | \$ (1,4 | 01,136.56) <i>ap</i> | plied to Hylebos-specific costs | | | |
| Hylebos Share of Past Costs | | | | | | |
| Reimbursed Through City of Tacoma | | | | paid in City of Tacoma Bay-wide | | |
| Settlement | \$ (| 43,910.68) set | ttlement | | | |
| Hylebos-Specific Adjusted Net | | | | | | |
| Costs - Step 5 | \$ 1,3 | 03,323.92 | | | | |
| Hylebos Share of Baywide Adjusted | | | | | | |
| Net Costs | \$ 2,1 | 33,839.43 | | | | |
| Combined Hylebos Adjusted Net Costs - Step 6 | \$ 3,4 | | | | | |
| | | 37,163.35 | | | | |

Attachment J-1 Provisional Allocation of Past Natural Resource Damage Assessment Costs Worksheet

| ŀ | -lypothetical | Allocation Of Hylebo | os Costs Among Example Sites | | | |
|----------------------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------------------------|----|-------------|--|
| Site A | | | Site B | | | |
| DSAY allocation for past cost | | | DSAY allocation for past cost | | | |
| analysis | | 4.35% | analysis | | 21.74% | |
| Site Share of Combined Hylebos | | | Site Share of Combined | | | |
| Adjusted Net Costs | \$ | 149,516.61 | Hylebos Adjusted Net Costs | \$ | 747,239.31 | |
| Site-Specific Costs Incurred | \$ | - | Site-Specific Costs Incurred | \$ | 155,000.00 | |
| Subtotal - Site Combined Cost | | | Subtotal - Site Combined Cost | | | |
| Liability Base - Step 7 | \$ | 149,516.61 | Liability Base - Step 7 | \$ | 902,239.31 | |
| Interim FPA contribution | \$ | - | Interim FPA contribution | \$ | (21,428.57) | |
| Phase 1 FPA contribution | \$ | - | Phase 1 FPA contribution | \$ | (35,888.08) | |
| HCC-5 negotiations contribution | \$ | - | HCC-5 negotiations contribution | \$ | (16,000.00) | |
| Subtotal prior contributions | \$ | - | Subtotal prior contributions | \$ | (73,316.65) | |
| Net Assessment Costs Liability - | | | Net Assessment Costs | | | |
| Step 8 | \$ | 149,516.61 | Liability - Step 8 | \$ | 828,922.66 | |
| Siep o | φ | 149,510.01 | | Φ | 626,922 | |

Attachment J-2 Interim Allocation of Hylebos Waterway Assessment Costs

| | | | Assessment | | Pending Modifications | | | |
|---|------------------|----------------|------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------|------------|----------------|--|
| | Sites Liable for | | Cost | Share of | Previous Cost | | | |
| | | Assessment | Allocation | Waterway | Site Specific | Reimburse- | Assessment | |
| Site | All Sites | Costs | Percent | Costs | Costs | ments | Cost Liability | |
| 1670 MARINE VIEW DR (II) | 11.684 | | | | | | | |
| 3138 MARINE VIEW DR | 8.780 | 8.780 | 0.50% | \$17,254.95 | | | | |
| 3138 MARINE VIEW DR (II) | 1.396 | | | • • • • • • • • • | | | | |
| AIRO SERVICES | 23.121 | 23.121 | 1.32% | \$45,436.42 | | | | |
| AK-WA SHIPBUILDING | 441.675 | 441.675 | 25.25% | \$867,969.24 | | | | |
| AK-WA SHIPBUILDING (II) | 3.326 3.442 | 3.442 | 0.20% | \$6,765.10 | | | | |
| AOL EXPRESS B&L WOODWASTE LANDFILL | 4.623 | 4.623 | 0.20% | \$9,085.27 | | | | |
| BONNEVILLE POWER | 6.859 | 6.859 | 0.20% | \$13,479.71 | | | | |
| BUFFELEN | 18.463 | 18.463 | 1.06% | \$36,283.22 | | | | |
| CASCADE TIMBER (YARD #1) | 1.863 | 1.863 | 0.11% | \$3,660.94 | | | | |
| CENEX AG | 6.895 | 6.895 | 0.39% | \$13,549.72 | | | | |
| CITY OF TACOMA (#1) | 3.442 | 0.000 | 0.0070 | \$10,010.7 <u>2</u> | | | | |
| CITY OF TACOMA (STEAM PLANT) | 127.721 | | | | | | | |
| CITY OF TACOMA (STEAM PLANT) (II) | 2.268 | | | | | | | |
| DON OLINE AUTOFLUFF SITE | 24.915 | 24.915 | 1.42% | \$48,962.59 | | | | |
| DON OLINE AUTOFLUFF SITE (II) | 0.637 | | | ,, | | | | |
| DUNLAP TOWING | 7.575 | 7.575 | 0.43% | \$14,885.68 | | | | |
| DUNLAP TOWING (II) | 2.103 | | | | | | | |
| ELF ATOCHEM | 85.461 | 85.461 | 4.89% | \$167,946.23 | | | | |
| ELF ATOCHEM (II) | 11.353 | | | | | | | |
| GENERAL METALS OF TACOMA | 141.479 | 141.479 | 8.09% | \$278,031.03 | | | | |
| GENERAL METALS OF TACOMA (II) | 0.247 | | | | | | | |
| HYLEBOS MARINA | 2.290 | 2.290 | 0.13% | \$4,499.86 | | | | |
| HYLEBOS MARINA (II) | 0.144 | | | | | | | |
| JONES & GOODELL BOATBUILDING | 9.636 | 9.636 | 0.55% | \$18,936.56 | | | | |
| JONES & GOODELL BOATBUILDING (II) | 5.100 | | | | | | | |
| JONES CHEMICAL | 2.763 | 2.763 | 0.16% | \$5,429.67 | | | | |
| JOSEPH SIMON & SONS | 14.607 | 14.607 | 0.84% | \$28,705.39 | | | | |
| JOSEPH SIMON & SONS (II) | 8.151 | 105.050 | | <u> </u> | | | | |
| KAISER ALUMINUM & CHEMICAL | 135.076 | 135.076 | 7.72% | \$265,448.10 | | | | |
| LEVY, ROBERT E. (II) | 0.639 | 4.000 | 0.000/ | #0.005.07 | | | | |
| | 4.623 4.623 | 4.623 4.623 | 0.26% | \$9,085.27 | | | | |
| | 4.623 | 4.623 | 0.26% | \$9,085.27 | | | | |
| | 9.776 | 9.776 | 0.56% | ¢10 010 40 | | | | |
| MANKE LUMBER MANKE LUMBER (II) | 2.987 | 9.770 | 0.50% | \$19,212.49 | | | | |
| MODUTECH MARINE | 26.913 | 26.913 | 1.54% | \$52,888.55 | | | | |
| MODUTECH MARINE (II) | 1.813 | 20.915 | 1.5470 | ψ02,000.00 | | | | |
| MURRAY PACIFIC | 7.272 | 7.272 | 0.42% | \$14,290.99 | | | | |
| MURRAY PACIFIC (II) | 0.163 | 1.212 | 0.4270 | ψ14,200.00 | | | | |
| NO ALLOCATION | 207.562 | | | | | | | |
| NORDLUND PROPERTIES | 4.623 | 4.623 | 0.26% | \$9,085.27 | | | | |
| OCCIDENTAL | 355.640 | 355.640 | 20.33% | \$698,894.66 | | | | |
| OCCIDENTAL (II) | 14.008 | | | , | | | | |
| OLE & CHARLIE'S MARINA | 2.803 | 2.803 | 0.16% | \$5,508.18 | | | | |
| PETROLEUM RECLAIMING SERVICES | 38.460 | 38.460 | 2.20% | \$75,580.51 | | | | |
| PORT OF TACOMA (3002 TAYLOR WAY) | 22.389 | 22.389 | 1.28% | \$43,998.95 | | | | |
| PORT OF TACOMA (9533 E. 11TH ST) (II) | 8.195 | | | | | | | |
| SOUND REFINING | 83.292 | 83.292 | 4.76% | \$163,683.13 | • | | | |
| SOUND REFINING (II) | 55.937 | | | | | | | |
| SPECIALTY MACHINE SHOP | 2.360 | 2.360 | 0.13% | \$4,638.52 | | | | |
| STONE INVESTMENTS | 1.286 | 1.286 | 0.07% | \$2,527.94 | | | | |
| STONE INVESTMENTS (II) | 1.483 | | | | | | | |
| STREICH BROTHERS | 4.623 | 4.623 | 0.26% | \$9,085.27 | | | | |
| TACOMA BOATBUILDING | 48.776 | 48.776 | 2.79% | \$95,853.11 | | | | |
| TAYLOR WAY PROPERTIES | 101.649 | 101.649 | 5.81% | \$199,758.88 | | | | |
| TAYLOR WAY PROPERTIES (II) | 0.095 | | | A O 200 5- | | | | |
| | 1.721 | 1.721 | 0.10% | \$3,382.55 | | | | |
| | 206.684 | 00 700 | 4.000/ | ¢40.000.40 | | | | |
| | 23.760 | 23.760 | 1.36% | \$46,692.16 | | | | |
| | 0.434 | 47 400 | 0 740/ | ¢02.240.45 | | | | |
| | 47.482 | 47.482 | 2.71% | \$93,310.45 | | | | |
| U.S. NAVAL RESERVE (II) WASSER WINTERS | 6.473 5.873 | E 070 | 0.34% | ¢11 544 05 | | | | |
| | 5.0/3 | 5.873 | 0.34% | \$11,541.35 | | | | |

Attachment J-2 Interim Allocation of Hylebos Waterway Assessment Costs

| | | Sites Liable for | Cost | Share of | Previous Cost | | |
|---------------------|-----------|------------------|------------|----------------|---------------|------------|----------------|
| | | Assessment | Allocation | Waterway | Site Specific | Reimburse- | Assessment |
| Site | All Sites | Costs | Percent | Costs | Costs | ments | Cost Liability |
| WASSER WINTERS (II) | 1.014 | | | | | | |
| WEYERHAEUSER | 11.566 | 11.566 | 0.66% | \$22,730.19 | | | |
| WEYERHAEUSER (II) | 3.122 | | | | | | |
| Total | 2438.681 | 1,749.036 | 100.00% | \$3,437,163.35 | | | |