



webserver@yucca-web2.ymp.gov on 11/20/2006 12:41:37 PM

065046

To: EIS_Comments@notes.ymp.gov
cc:
Subject: ANOI Rail Comment

User Filed as: Not Categorized in ERMS

November 20, 2006 12:41:37

IP address: 64.113.172.13

The Commentors Name:
---> Albert C Raney

The Commentors Address:
---->
--->Hazen, Nevada 89408

Email Information:
--->acraney@phonewave.net
---> Add commentor to the mailing list : yes

Contact Information:
---> fax number :
---> phone number :
---> organization :
---> position :

Comment Text :
-->Perhaps the best alternative would be to not produce nuclear waste . . But if we must, then all alternatives of shipping nuclear waste from it's origin to a repository should be eliminated. The only ethical and moral alternative to consider here is shipping nuclear waste to qualified recycling centers when they become available. I understand other counties are successfully recycling their nuclear waste today. Why are we not doing the same?

Moving nuclear waste from all over America just to store it in another place is not productive nor does it make economic sense. The American people and our environment are at far less peril if the waste stays where it originated. Moving the nuclear waste just to store it in another place produces nothing, endangers more Americans lives and their environment, wastes American wealth for no good reason, and the inventory of waste simply increases.

The future viability of our nuclear generating capacity can only be truly accomplished by directing our money and efforts into recycling plants, not a repositories. The Yucca Mountain Repository project is nothing more than a boondoggle that enriches big contactors and big government while the American people and our environment lose and we become even more ugly in the eyes of others . . . but by recycling, everyone wins and the World is a better place.

Only when recycling plants are built should nuclear waste be shipped
