

060022

NOTICE 11-1-06

From Ed Ylst Trustee for SN Trust with recorded Coaldale Interest [B60 Tonopah NV 89049] Phone 482-5442

To Ed Mueller Goldfield Ave Depository Oversight Program P.O. Box 490 Goldfield Nevada 89013 Phone 485-3419
Please forward to BLM, DOE, DOD, Study People, Esmeralda Commissionors-DA-Sheriff, and Justice of Peace.

The MINA RAIL ROUTE FEASABILITY STUDY is incompetant because at it contains many false statements:

The Mina Route via Silver Peak is un-reasonable because of exsessive grades and distance at a price of 1.5 Billion Dollars.

The Mina Route through ~~Goldfield~~ is more logical than the Silver Peak Route because it follows the old EXISTING workable railroad grade that provided sensible safe transportation for many decades.

Regarding the Caliente to Yucca Mountain Route and Mina Route:

The shortest distance between two piles of GRANTED money is a CROOKED RED-LINE.

TEST SITE RAIL ROUTE

Tonopah Test Site will be closing before 2010 (Tonopah Times Bonanza Front Page Report 10-26-2006)
WE CAN USE the existing TEST-SITE-RIGHT-OF-WAY. This BLM-DOD-DOE ROW, is a more logical, shorter, safer, and more cost effective route than all the other routes under discussion, and in conjunction with the following;
Using an old 1940 road from Crystal Springs to the Test Site is viable: (the road was unlawfully closed to begin with)
A route from Crystal Springs to Indian Springs, is a near level route approximately 70 miles long.

A route from Crystal Springs to Yucca Mountain is 85 miles along an old established RS2477 and Treaty Route. (1863)

A route just south of the east/west part of HWY 93 (1940 map) through the existing break in the mountain range is viable.

Mina Study uses non-sequetors and statements using presumptions or an unreasonable premise or two ... or much worse.
Let us begin with "BLM does not warrant the use of BLM DATA as complete, reliable or accurate" on BLM Maps-Papers.
On page 14 we see a false statement not evidenced by any lawful chain of title within the PUBLIC RECORD:

FALSE > "91% of the total land for the Mina Corridor is on BLM land"

On Page 5: We observe and witness another false presentment of information:

"Maximize use of Federal lands, except for those lands which have been withdrawn as a result of conflicting public-use issues (ergo wilderness study areas)" a) Who holds Title? b) How can a BLM lawfully shut down Treaty Routes? (1863)
Under Treaty of Ruby Valley concluded 1863: NO wilderness study is authorized to obstruct existing Routes for Travel.

- Conflicting public-use is not an issue; BLM conflicts with prior existing law 1791and Presidency of the U.S.A.
- The BLM, a foreign jurisdiction engages in unlawful conflict with 1864 Nevada, Treaty Terms, and People Rights.
- BLM unlawfully uses false presumptions of ownership, jurisdiction and issues un-lawful reports and actions.
- The entire BLM position is literally GROUNDLESS.
- Once a right-of-way is originated a right-of-way continues on right-of-way land.

Once a right-of-way..... Always a right of way!!!

Regarding: "Land Ownership and Management; Potential land use conflicts were identified" the land use conflicts were ignored in the MINA RAIL ROUTE FEASABILITY STUDY. The statement "Right of Way Conflicts on BLM Land and Native American lands" mis-identifies the subject lands and routes. The land is under 1863 Treaty-Terms-Conditions. The land is not under Foreign-Riparian-Terms-Conditions; Anything contrary to Treaty Terms is NOT WITH STANDING.

ONE IDEA promoted above all others is that "Transportation of Nuclear Waste is Safe."

We, the undersigned; in fact truly; I do believe; Truck transportation is safe using glass pellets in special-re-enforced casks.

I also believe; a Spent Fuel Recycling Plant within Nevada reducing my taxes is not unreasonable.

THE BIG QUESTION IS: Why are we not transporting through the shortest route available?

Is Vegas the shortest route? Is 100 miles a shorter distance than 250 miles?

Is the shortest distance between two piles of money a crooked line?

Do we dare face the truth?

Witnessed and Signed under Witness protection for a Better and Level-Headed understanding of land-use and L.A.W.

Date November 1, 2006

Ed Ylst Trustee for SN Trust with recorded Coaldale Interest

Please mark received by your _____ Date: _____ by _____;
(Office-Dept) (please print)

(please sign) Please make and return a copy to Ed Ylst [B60 Tonopah NV 89049]