

Oregon Residential Energy Code


Insulated Concrete Form Systems

This pamphlet is one in a series that describes residential energy conservation requirements of Appendix E in the Oregon One and Two Family Dwelling Code and Chapter 13 of the Structural Specialty Code. Other pamphlets in this series may be obtained from local code authorities or from the Oregon Building Codes Division.

Insulated Concrete Form (ICF) systems use a prefabricated form made of foam insulation that is assembled into walls at the building site and filled with concrete. Proprietary systems vary, but generally they are composed of a layer of foam insulation on the outside, a concrete layer in the middle and a layer of foam on the inside. Conventional finishes are applied to suit the building.

ICF systems are differentiated by the type of insulation unit, the shape of the cavity and the method of connecting the insulation layers. The basic cavity shapes are flat, grid and post-and-beam.

Figure 1. **Example cross section of flat-type ICF.**



Wall Insulation

Many, but not all, ICF systems meet the prescriptive requirement of the energy code. Prescriptive Path 1 requires R-21 batt insulation. Any wall with a U-value of 0.060 Btu/hr·ft^{2.}°F or less meets Path 1 requirements. Code requires only R-15 insulation or a U-value of 0.080 or less for basement walls in one- and two-family detached dwellings.

Thermal Storage

Thermal storage improves overall building performance. Adding mass in ICF systems has been shown to improve annual performance of a building. A study by the Portland Cement Association and the Berkeley Solar Group indicated that effective thermal performance of an ICF wall is 14 percent to 18 percent better than a wood frame wall.

Maximum code U-factors are based on steady-state conditions and do not include the effect of thermal storage (mass). Building components must meet code requirements based on their steady-state U-factors. "Effective" R-values commonly provided by vendors may not be used to demonstrate compliance. These values attempt to quantify the improved thermal performance of a tighter air seal and thermal storage and are dependent on the building's operating conditions and local climate.

Infiltration

ICF construction can produce very tight building envelopes, with infiltration commonly less than 0.3 air changes per hour. Thus, they may reduce energy use. But extra care is needed to ensure that sufficient air is available for all combustion appliances (gas and oil furnaces and water heaters, wood stoves and fireplaces). A tight building envelope without proper

OREGON OFFICE OF ENERGY

ventilation can result in indoor air quality problems, including back-drafting and build-up of hazardous combustion gases such as carbon monoxide.

Moisture Control

Energy code requires a one-perm vapor retarder in walls. ICF construction does not guarantee compliance with this requirement. Check vendor information or apply a one-perm moisture barrier on the warm side (in winter) of all interior walls and floors and a halfperm barrier on the warm side of all ceilings.

Heat Transfer

Using metal ties to connect the two layers of foam insulation greatly diminishes the thermal performance of the wall system, especially if the tie protrudes completely through the insulation. Heat transfer through the ICF system varies depending on conductivity. Most ICF systems that use metal ties do not meet Prescriptive Path 1 requirements without added insulation sheathing.

Default U-Values


The table on page 3 lists tested U-factors for common ICF products. Use "Total U-factor" values when using the thermal performance calculations table. (See pamphlet no. 12.) Total U-factor values include the effect of air films, T1-11 exterior sheathing and halfinch drywall sheathing. "ICF U-Factor" values are based on the ICF system only.

How to Handle Non-Compliant Walls

A home that has ICF walls with U-factors greater than allowed by the Prescriptive Path may comply with code using thermal performance calculation. (See pamphlet no. 12.) The calculation allows the use of components that exceed code requirements to compensate for those that do not meet them.

Another approach is increasing overall thermal performance of the wall so that it meets prescriptive path requirements. The simplest way to do this is to increase insulation on the interior or exterior of the wall assembly. All non-compliant wall types meet minimum code requirements with the addition of 3/4 inches or more of rigid insulation sheathing.

Figure 2. Heat transfer and conductivity.



Example: **Polysteel**

R-value of ICF only =					
1/U = 1/0.082 =	12.195 hr·ft ² ·°F/Btu				
Exterior air film	0.17				
T1-11 siding	0.77				
5/8" sheetrock	0.50				
Interior air film	0.68				
3/4" polystyrene board	<u>3.000</u>				
Total	17.315				
Total wall assembly U-factor = $1/17.315 =$	0.058				
U-factors less than or equal to 0.060 comply with Prescriptive Path 1 requirements.					

2

3

Table 1. **Default U-Factors.**

Brand Name		Insulation Thickness	Total Wall Thickness	Insulation Type	ICF U-Factor	Total U-Factor
BLUE MAXX	AAB Building Systems	4.75	11.25	EPS	0.048	0.043
		4.75	12.75	EPS	0.047	0.043
Diamond Snap Form	AFM Corp.	4	8	EPS	0.054	0.048
		4	10	EPS	0.052	0.048
		4	12	EPS	0.052	0.048
		4	14	EPS	0.052	0.048
Feather Lite	Feather Lite, Inc.	Varies	8	Foam	0.046	0.042
Fold-Form	Lite Form, Inc.	4	8	EPS	0.054	0.048
		4	10	EPS	0.054	0.048
		4	12	EPS	0.053	0.048
GreenBlock	GREENBLOCK Worldwide	4.5	9.875	EPS	0.055	0.049
Ice Block	Foam Block	Varies	9.25	EPS	0.082	0.070
		Varies	11	EPS	0.08	0.068
Lite Form	Lite Form, Inc.	4	8	XPS	0.047	0.043
		4	10	XPS	0.047	0.043
		4	12	XPS	0.047	0.043
		4	14	XPS	0.047	0.042
		4	16	XPS	0.046	0.042
Polysteel Form	American Polysteel Forms	4.8" avg	9.25	EPS	0.082	0.070
		5.0" avg	11	EPS	0.08	0.068
Quad-Lock	Quad Lock Building System	ns 4.5	8.125	EPS	0.048	0.044
		4.5	10.125	EPS	0.048	0.044
		4.5	12.125	EPS	0.048	0.043
		4.5	14.125	EPS	0.048	0.043
R-FORMS	R-Forms	4	8	XPS	0.047	0.043
		4	10	XPS	0.047	0.043
		4	12	XPS	0.047	0.043
		4	14	XPS	0.047	0.042
Reddi-Form	Reddi-Form	Varies	9.625	EPS	0.052	0.047
Reward Wall	Reward Wall	Varies	9.25	EPS	0.054*	0.048*
ThermoFormed	Thermoformed Block Corp.	Varies	8	EPS	0.063	0.055
Therm-O-Wall	Thermo-O-Wall	Varies	9.125	EPS	0.066	0.058
		Varies	11	EPS	N.A.	—

Source: Portland Cement Association, 1998 (*1998 Ecotope study) Note: Shaded values do not meet Prescriptive Path 1 requirements

