

Prospectus for Synthesis and Assessment Product 1.2

Past Climate Variability and Change in the Arctic and at High Latitudes

Lead Agency: USGS

Supporting Agencies: NOAA, NASA, DOE, NSF

1. Overview: Description of Topic, Audience, Intended Use and Questions to be Addressed

This prospectus has been prepared according to the *Guidelines for Producing Climate Change Science Program (CCSP) Synthesis and Assessment Products*. The prospectus will be reviewed and approved by the CCSP Interagency Committee. The document describes the focus of this synthesis and assessment product, and the process that will produce it. The document does not express any regulatory policies of the United States or any of its agencies, or make any findings of fact that could serve as predicates for regulatory action.

1.1 Description of Topic

Current documented rapid rates of warming in the Arctic regions of the Earth have focused the attention of multiple social, political, and economic sectors on the potential impacts a sustained Arctic warming trend would have in many segments of human endeavor. The extent to which current changes in the Arctic and at high latitudes can be informed by the record of past climate fluctuations is the topic of this Synthesis and Assessment Product. The record of past changes and an understanding of the mechanisms that caused those changes can help better define the causes and potential impacts of the changes currently underway.

This CCSP synthesis and assessment report will summarize the state of knowledge of the history of Arctic and subarctic climate as it relates to ongoing and future climate. The state of knowledge will be classified into those results that have been clearly demonstrated, those supported with the balance of evidence, and those that are suggested but not demonstrated. Such a classification should be useful to policymakers and stakeholders. Results from outside the Arctic and subarctic that are required to reveal the status of the northern high latitudes will be summarized in the same way.

The four questions detailed in section 1.3 will serve as the overarching framework for the report. These four questions were chosen because they unify the main scientific work that has been ongoing in the Arctic and subarctic, and lead to results that will be of greatest importance to policymakers and stakeholders in the Arctic and worldwide.

1.2 Introduction and Background

Over the past century the planet has shown an overall warming of 0.7°C. Instrumental records indicate that, over the past 30 years, temperatures in the Arctic have exhibited a greater rate of increase than the planet as a whole, although the variability is also greater in the Arctic.

Attendant changes include reduced sea ice, reduced glacier extent, increased coastal erosion,

1 changes in vegetation and wildlife habitats, and permafrost degradation. Global climate models
2 incorporating the current trend of increasing greenhouse gases predict continued warming in the
3 near future and a continued amplification of global signals in the Arctic. The sensitivity of the
4 Arctic to changed forcing is due to powerful positive feedbacks in the Arctic climate system.
5 These feedbacks produce large impacts on Arctic climate while also having significant impacts
6 on the global climate system.

7
8 Summaries of recent Arctic environmental change (e.g. Arctic Climate Impact Assessment) are
9 mostly based on observations and instrumental records. This CCSP product will utilize
10 paleoclimate records to provide a longer-term context for recent Arctic warming in order to
11 better anticipate future climate changes. Paleoclimate records enable us to define the range of
12 natural variability in the Arctic and the magnitude of polar amplification, to evaluate the past
13 rates of Arctic climate change – and thereby provide a long-term context for current rates of
14 change, and to identify past Arctic warm states that are potential analogs of future conditions.
15 The paleoclimate record also permits quantification of the impacts of abrupt perturbations and
16 threshold behaviors (e.g. large injections of volcanic ash into the atmosphere), and offers insights
17 into how the Arctic has behaved during past warm times by identifying critical feedbacks and
18 their mechanisms. Understanding threshold behavior in the highly non-linear Arctic system is
19 one of the key areas of uncertainty in predicting future impacts. In addressing the above issues
20 we will seek to characterize the levels of uncertainty associated with paleoclimate data and to
21 define research priorities for ways to reduce these uncertainties.

22
23 Large inter-agency programs like SEARCH (Study of Environmental Arctic Change;
24 <http://psc.apl.washington.edu/search/index.html>) have now been launched to monitor ongoing
25 change and to develop models for predicting its magnitude and direction. An evaluation of
26 periods in the recent geologic past when the Arctic was as warm or warmer than it is today is
27 crucial to evaluating current warming trends in both the Arctic and throughout the world.
28 Paleoenvironmental data provide us with specific examples of naturally-driven circumarctic
29 warming and the impacts of that warming on natural systems in and beyond the Arctic. These
30 examples will be outlined in this report in order to demonstrate the range of consequences of
31 future warming in the coming century, as estimated by Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
32 Change (2003).

33 34 **1.3 List of Key Questions and their Relevance**

35
36 The report will be organized around ways that paleoclimatic data can help answer key questions
37 about the present and future changes of relevance to policy makers and stakeholders.

38 39 ➤ **What does the paleoclimate record tell us about potential changes of the Arctic sea 40 ice cover in the 21st century?**

41
42 Observations document significant retreat and thinning of the Arctic sea ice cover over the
43 past several decades, a trend that is expected to continue. A reduction in sea ice will
44 accelerate Arctic warming through the ice-albedo feedback mechanism. Through impacts on
45 the surface-energy budget and heating contrasts, changes in sea ice will influence weather
46 systems both in the Arctic and in middle latitudes. Changes in ice cover and freshwater flux

1 out of the Arctic Ocean may also affect oceanic circulation of the North Atlantic, which has
2 significant control on European and North American climate.

3
4 Continued reduction of sea ice will also accelerate coastal erosion. This is due to the longer
5 fetch of winds over open water areas that results in increased wave action. The Arctic Ocean
6 food web will change, at levels from phytoplankton production to top predators. Wildlife,
7 such as polar bears and seals that depend on the ice cover, are likely to be adversely affected.
8 This will affect indigenous human populations that harvest such species. On the other hand,
9 a loss of sea ice will allow for greater commercial exploitation of the Arctic Ocean.

10 Navigability of the northeast and northwest passages will provide expanded opportunities for
11 increased commercial shipping and increased natural resource exploitation. This increased
12 level of activity will result in impacts to the environment (e.g. from contamination, noise, and
13 infringement on natural habitats).

14
15 A persistent loss of sea ice cover raises issues of national security for the Arctic countries.
16 There may be greater ship-based access to unprotected and unpopulated coasts, providing
17 increased opportunity for illegal entry. Naval forces may need surface ships and aircraft
18 better able to operate safely in cold environments if an ice-reduced Arctic requires naval
19 action to protect or interdict shipping, or contend with a hostile force. Both navies and coast
20 guards may need to prepare for increased search and rescue activities as shipping and tourism
21 increase in response to diminished Arctic sea ice.

22
23 This report will document past periods when Arctic sea ice was reduced and the causes and
24 effects of these reductions. This information will provide a context within which the impacts
25 of the current and future ice-reduced state of Arctic sea ice can be evaluated.

26
27 ➤ **What does the paleoclimate record tell us about the status of the Greenland Ice**
28 **Sheet?**

29
30 Changes in glaciers, especially the Greenland ice sheet, may have widespread impacts.
31 Complete ice-sheet loss would raise global sea level by 7 m; even partial melting would cause
32 important coastal changes globally. Fresh water from ice-sheet melting would be delivered to
33 the oceans in sensitive regions, and could contribute to important changes in sea-ice extent,
34 ocean circulation, and climate, with strong regional and possibly global impacts. Dwindling
35 glaciers will contribute to sea level rise and will affect water availability for some municipal,
36 agricultural, and industrial activities. Continued retreat will potentially impact ecotourism as
37 well.

38
39 Changes in ice delivery to the oceans could affect iceberg distribution and thus shipping.
40 Paleoclimatic data allow reconstruction of changes in the size of the Greenland ice sheet at
41 various times in the past, and the climatic conditions that produced those changes. This report
42 will summarize available data and identify knowledge gaps and opportunities for filling those
43 gaps.

44
45 ➤ **What can paleoclimate records tell us about how much warmer/colder, wetter/drier**
46 **is it going to get in the next 100 years?**

1
2 The range and variability of past climate fluctuations are consistent with modern records, but
3 they appear to be imposed on a general long-term warming trend that is evident in both
4 historical (the past 120 years) and paleoclimate records. Continued warming will have
5 widespread impacts on all aspects of the Arctic system, some with global consequences.
6 More than 85 percent of the Arctic landscape is underlain by permanently frozen ground
7 (permafrost) that is vulnerable to warming. Permafrost thaw induces landscape instability
8 which impacts infrastructure, rivers, and ecosystems. Thawing of permafrost will increase the
9 decomposition of widespread high-latitude peatlands, significantly increasing the rate of CO₂
10 and methane release to the atmosphere from these areas. Injections of methane could also
11 come from breakdown of clathrates (frozen gas hydrates) known to be widespread beneath the
12 Arctic continental shelves. These changes will have global consequences, increasing
13 greenhouse gas loadings, in turn amplifying warming processes.
14

15 Warming will also allow northward migration of agriculture and natural ecosystems. Climate
16 models suggest that the northward migration of the treeline will amplify climate changes
17 through feedback mechanisms. Anticipated increases in precipitation will alter the Arctic's
18 freshwater budget with impacts on sea ice, ecosystems and, potentially, thermohaline
19 circulation.
20

21 Historical records such as recovered instrumental observations, seasonal phenologies, and
22 descriptive records can be used to fill in the gap. These records show that the Arctic climate
23 has fluctuated from region to region in the past. Changes were noticed and recorded, and were
24 significant enough to affect agriculture, marine resources and transportation, for example.
25

26 This report will document what is known of environmental conditions during earlier warm
27 periods on a variety of time scales using sedimentary, biological and geochemical proxies.
28 Historical records will be used to link modern data and paleoclimate reconstructions. The
29 proxies permit quantification of changes in air, ground and sea surface temperature,
30 precipitation, and attendant ecosystem change for comparison with magnitudes and rates of
31 contemporary change. Past warm periods provide analogs for future change.
32

33 ➤ **What have been the past rates of change and what does this tell us about current
34 and future rates of change?**
35

36 Current climate models predict that annual temperatures in the Arctic will increase between 4
37 and 6 degrees Celsius by the end of the 21st century. Significant variations from year to year
38 and between decades are superimposed upon this general trend. These annual and decadal
39 variations can have significant socioeconomic and environmental impacts of importance to
40 policy makers and stakeholders. In addition to a similar variability, the paleoclimate record
41 also indicates that changes of even larger magnitude have occurred more rapidly, although
42 rarely. Climate models do not capture these rare events well. Faster or less-expected changes
43 have larger impacts on natural and human systems. Socioeconomic and infrastructure
44 viability thus depend on rates of change. Conditions more extreme than any experienced in
45 the memory of a system can be especially stressful. Even if such events should prove to be
46 highly unlikely, any nonzero probability motivates interest. For example, a sudden

1 rearrangement of the ocean-atmosphere circulation system conceivably could influence
2 conditions across much of the planet within years, possibly causing socioeconomic
3 dislocations and environmental refugees. Or, thawing of subsea permafrost could trigger a
4 massive undersea landslide from a steep continental shelf, generating a devastating tsunami
5 impacting ocean-basin-wide coasts. More-likely issues, such as interaction of human-forced
6 changes with natural variability to dampen or amplify total climate changes, also matter.
7 This report will summarize paleoclimatic data on variability in the Arctic and subarctic, with
8 special focus on those abrupt changes that have had widespread impacts. Knowledge of key
9 regions where discontinuities may develop will be assessed, as will any possible premonitory
10 events for abrupt changes. The report also will identify knowledge gaps and opportunities
11 for filling those gaps.

16 **1.4 Stakeholders**

18 This CCSP synthesis and assessment report is intended to provide state-of-the-art information
19 based on paleoclimate science to support U.S. government policy and adaptive-management
20 decision making. The information contained within this report is intended for use in national
21 resource assessments and socioeconomic decision support activities. Primary stakeholders
22 include but are not limited to: U.S. government Agencies; U.S. Congress; The Executive Branch;
23 energy and transportation sectors; federal, regional, and local resource and land managers; the
24 human health sector, circum-Arctic populations; and commercial and environmental sectors,
25 scientific researchers and the general public.

27 **1.5 Intended Use**

29 This report is intended to provide a synthesis and assessment of the most reliable paleoclimate
30 information available today and to utilize this record to provide a perspective on contemporary
31 climate change in the Arctic and sub-Arctic region. The report is intended to inform federal,
32 regional, and local policy decisions and land-use and resource management decisions, and to
33 provide the basis for informed adaptive-management decision-making by providing a long-term
34 perspective on current conditions of climate change in the Arctic. The report will also assist
35 funding Agencies to identify areas of future research.

37 **1.6 Data Resources**

39 The report will be based on the publicly available scientific literature. Refereed scientific papers
40 that are published or in press will be the primary data source, supplemented by limited use of
41 books and of abstracts of national and international conferences, which will be noted.

44 **2. Contact Information: E-mail and Telephone for Responsible Individuals at the** 45 **Lead and Supporting Agencies**

U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) – Lead Agency

Dr. Joan J. Fitzpatrick

jfitz@usgs.gov

303-202-4740

Dr. Thomas Armstrong

tarmstrong@usgs.gov

703-648-6917

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) – Supporting Agency

Dr. John Calder

john.calder@noaa.gov

301-713-2518 ext. 146

Dr. Kathleen Crane

kathy.crane@noaa.gov

301-713-2518 ext. 147

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) – Supporting Agency

Dr. Wanda Ferrell

Wanda.Ferrell@science.doe.gov

301-903-0043

National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) – Supporting Agency

Dr. Waleed Abdalati

wabdalat@hq.nasa.gov

202 358-0746

National Science Foundations (NSF) – Supporting Agency

Dr. David Verardo

dverardo@nsf.gov

(703) 292-8527

3. Lead Authors: Required Expertise of Lead Authors and Biographical Information for Proposed Lead Authors

Lead author nominees below have been identified based on their interest in this product and record of accomplishments in the relevant fields of expertise.

Richard Alley, The Pennsylvania State University

Julie Brigham-Grette, University of Massachusetts, Amherst

Gifford Miller, Institute for Arctic and Alpine Research, University of Colorado

Leonid Polyak, Ohio State University

1 Brief biographies of the lead authors are provided in Appendix A. It is anticipated that
2 additional contributing authors will be finalized by the lead agency in consultation with the
3 supporting Agencies.
4

5 6 **4. Stakeholder Interactions** 7

8 The process for drafting, receiving comments, and finalizing the document will be open to the
9 public and will comply with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA). The drafting
10 committee will be designated as a FACA committee; thus, all committee meetings will be open
11 to the public. Timely notice of each meeting will be published in the Federal Register, and other
12 types of public notice will be used to insure that all interested persons are notified of the meeting.
13 Interested persons will be encouraged to attend, appear before, or file statements with the
14 committee, subject to such reasonable rules or regulations that may be prescribed. All records of
15 the committee will be available for public inspection and reproduction at a designated location.
16 Requests for public comment will be posted in the Federal Register.
17

18 19 **5. Drafting Process (Including Materials to be Used in Preparing the Product)** 20

21 Under the leadership of a convening lead author for each of the chapters, the group of lead
22 authors and contributors is charged with the preparation of the scientific/technical analysis
23 section of the synthesis report. They will draw upon published, peer-reviewed scientific literature
24 in the drafting process.
25

26 The Synthesis and Assessment Product will include an Executive Summary which will present
27 key findings from the report. It will be written by a team consisting of a convening lead author
28 assisted by the convening lead authors from each of the chapters.
29

30 The Synthesis and Assessment Product will identify disparate views that have significant
31 scientific or technical support, and will provide confidence levels for key findings, as
32 appropriate.
33

34 The Synthesis and Assessment Product will pay special attention to addressing uncertainties and
35 confidence levels in our statements.
36

37 **6. Review** 38

39 The lead authors identified above will compile a draft of the synthesis for review by a panel of
40 experts in the field of paleoclimate research with some individuals knowledgeable about Arctic
41 paleoclimates.

42 Following expert review, the lead authors will revise the draft product by incorporating
43 comments and suggestions from the reviewers, as the lead authors deem appropriate.

1 Following this revision, the draft product will be released for public comment. The public
2 comment period will be 45 days and will take place in Winter 2008.

3
4 The lead authors will prepare a third draft of the product, taking into consideration the comments
5 submitted during the public comment period. The scientific judgment of the lead authors will
6 determine responses to the comments.

7
8 Once the revisions are complete, the lead agency will submit the synthesis and assessment
9 product to the CCSP Interagency Committee for approval. If the CCSP Interagency Committee
10 determines that further revision is necessary, their comments will be sent to the lead agency for
11 consideration and resolution by lead authors. If needed, the National Research Council will be
12 asked to provide additional scientific analysis to bound scientific uncertainty associated with
13 specific issues.

14
15 If the CCSP Interagency Committee review determines that no further revisions are needed and
16 that the product has been prepared in conformance with the *Guidelines for Producing CCSP*
17 *Synthesis and Assessment Products* and the Data Quality Act (including ensuring objectivity,
18 utility, and integrity as defined in 67 FR 8452), they will submit the product to the National
19 Science and Technology Council (NSTC) for clearance. Clearance will require the concurrence
20 of all members of the Committee on Environment and Natural Resources. Comments generated
21 during the NSTC review will be addressed by the CCSP Interagency Committee in consultation
22 with the lead and supporting agencies and the lead authors.

23 24 25 **7. Related Activities, Including Other National and International Assessment Processes**

26
27 The international science community is preparing to undertake the International Polar Year
28 during 2007-2009. There will be opportunities for further analysis of past instrumental data and
29 paleo-data, although many of these analyses may conclude after publication of this assessment
30 report. For example, NOAA and Roshydromet are engaged in a new analysis of radiosonde data
31 from the Russian Arctic that will improve our understanding of Arctic climate variability and
32 change from the early 20th century. The National Science Foundation will provide new support
33 for the Study of Environmental Arctic Change (SEARCH) that may include support for Arctic
34 paleo-studies. The International Study of Arctic Change (ISAC), the international parent of
35 SEARCH, may also conduct similar studies. The Arctic Council is considering what it should do
36 as a follow-up to the Arctic Climate Impact Assessment; additional studies of past climate
37 change are a possibility.

38 39 **8. Communications: Proposed Method of Publication and Dissemination of the Product**

40
41 USGS, as the lead agency, will produce and release the completed product using a standard
42 format for all CCSP synthesis and assessment products. The final product and the comments
43 received during the expert review and the public comment period will be posted, without
44 attribution (unless specific reviewers agree to attribution), on the CCSP web site.

45
46 The lead authors will also be encouraged to publish their findings in the scientific literature.

1		
2	9. Proposed Timeline	
3		
4	Task	Completion Date
5		
6	<i>Prospectus</i>	
7		
8	Draft prospectus delivered to CCSP	August 2006
9	Review of draft prospectus by CCSP	September/October 2006
10	Comment period (30 days)	November 2006
11	Revision of draft prospectus	December 2006
12	Prospectus Published	January 2007
13		
14	<i>Report (timeline dependent upon FACA process)</i>	
15		
16	First Lead Authors Meeting	January 2007
17	Zero order draft complete	August 2007
18	Second Lead Authors Meeting	August 2007
19	Expert Review (First) draft complete	October 2007
20	Expert review	November 2007
21	Third Lead Authors Meeting	December 2007
22	Public Comment (Second) Draft complete	January 2008
23	Public comment period	March 2008
24	Final draft complete	April 2008
25	Submission to CCSP	May/June 2008

1 **Appendix A. Biographical Information for Authors**

2
3 **Richard B. Alley:** Dr. Richard B. Alley is Evan Pugh Professor of Geosciences and Associate of
4 the EMS Environment Institute at The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA.
5 There he teaches and conducts research on the paleoclimatic records, dynamics, and sedimentary
6 deposits of large ice sheets, as a means of understanding the climate system and its history, and
7 projecting future changes in climate and sea level. Dr. Alley has spent three field seasons in
8 Antarctica and five in Greenland. He is a Fellow of the American Geophysical Union, and has
9 been awarded a Packard Fellowship, a Presidential Young Investigator Award, the Horton
10 Award of the American Geophysical Union Hydrology Section, the Wilson Teaching Award of
11 the College of Earth and Mineral Sciences and the Faculty Scholar Medal of the Pennsylvania
12 State University. His book on abrupt climate change, *The Two-Mile Time Machine*, was the
13 national Phi Beta Kappa Science Award winner for 2001. Dr. Alley recently chaired a National
14 Research Council study on *Abrupt Climate Change*, and serves, or has served, on many other
15 advisory panels and steering committees, such as the Polar Research Board of the National
16 Research Council, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, the Antarctic External
17 Review Panel (the “Augustine Commission”), and the board of directors of the Arctic Research
18 Consortium of the United States. He has authored or coauthored more than 120 refereed
19 publications, and his publications have been cited more than 4000 times in the refereed literature.
20 He received his Ph.D. in Geology, with a minor in Materials Science, from the University of
21 Wisconsin-Madison in 1987, and earned an MSc degree (1983) and BSc degree (1980) in
22 Geology from the Ohio State University in Columbus, Ohio.

23
24 **Julie Brigham-Grette:** Dr. Julie Brigham-Grette is a professor in the Department of
25 Geosciences at the University of Massachusetts, Amherst. Dr. Brigham-Grette received her
26 Ph.D. from the University of Colorado's Institute for Arctic and Alpine Research. After post-
27 doctoral research at the University of Bergen, Norway, and the University of Alberta, Canada
28 with the Canadian Geological Survey, she joined the faculty at the University of Massachusetts
29 in the fall of 1987. Dr. Brigham-Grette has been conducting research in the Arctic for nearly 24
30 years, including eight field seasons in remote parts of northeast Russia since 1991, participating
31 in both the science program as well as dealing with difficult logistics. Her research interests and
32 experience span a broad spectrum dealing with arctic paleoclimate records and the Late
33 Cenozoic evolution of the Arctic climate both on land and off shore, especially in the Bering
34 Strait region. She has published over 65 articles and refereed papers in her area of research
35 expertise. She served as member of the Arctic Logistics Task Force for the NSF OPP 1996-
36 1999 and 2000-2003, chaired the US Scientific Delegation to Svalbard for Shared
37 Norwegian/U.S. Scientific Collaborations and Logistical Platforms in 1999, and was member of
38 the OPP Office Advisory Council 2002-2004. Brigham-Grette is currently Chairman of the
39 International Geosphere/Biosphere Program's Science Steering Committee on Past Global
40 Change (PAGES) with an international program office in Bern, Switzerland, President of the
41 American Quaternary Association, and a member of a National Academy of Sciences committee
42 studying the role and future uses the US Icebreaker fleet. She also serves as one of two US
43 representatives to the International Continental Drilling Program.

1 **Leonid Polyak:** Dr. Leonid Polyak has been a Research Scientist at the Byrd Polar Research
2 Center of The Ohio State University and the Curator of the BPRC Sediment Core Facility since
3 1993. He received his M.S. degree in Biology and Ph.D. in Geology from the Leningrad Mining
4 Institute in 1980 and 1985, respectively. He is widely published in the fields of systematics and
5 ecology of benthic foraminifera, Quaternary stratigraphy of the central Arctic Ocean,
6 paleoceanography of the Arctic Ocean and marginal Arctic seas, the history of Pleistocene
7 glaciation in the Arctic, and modern Arctic marine environments and the impacts of
8 contaminants and climate change on these environments. He has participated in numerous Arctic
9 field projects and field expeditions including the SCICEX geophysical investigation of the Arctic
10 Ocean floor and the Trans-Arctic HOTRAX 2005 deep seismic profiling mission which involved
11 collaboration with research groups from the United States, Russia, Canada, Norway, and
12 Sweden. Utilizing sonar images of the Arctic Ocean floor during the SCICEX mission he has
13 been able to demonstrate the existence of ancient massive floating ice sheets in the Arctic during
14 the Pleistocene. Dr. Polyak's unique experience and expertise in Arctic sea ice history represent
15 a key component in building a comprehensive Arctic paleoclimate history for this synthesis and
16 assessment product.

17
18
19 **Gifford H. Miller:** Dr. Gifford Miller is a professor in the Department of Geological Sciences
20 and the Director of the Center for Geochronological Research at the University of Colorado,
21 Boulder. He received his B.A. in 1970 and Ph.D. in 1975 from the University of Colorado at
22 Boulder and was a Postdoctoral Fellow at the Geophysical Laboratory of the Carnegie Institute
23 from 1974-1976 and a visiting Professor at the University of Bergen, Norway in 1997 – 1980.
24 He is currently a visiting fellow at the Research School of Earth Sciences at Australian National
25 University in Canberra, Australia. He has served on the editorial boards of *Geology* (1992-
26 1994), *Quaternary Science Review* (1988-), *Journal of Quaternary Science* (1987-), *Quaternary*
27 *Geochronology* (1993-), and *Jøkull* (2003-).

28
29 His research has focused on glaciation, paleoenvironments and paleoclimate of the Eastern
30 Canadian Arctic, Svalbard and the Russian Arctic, and monsoonal variations, faunal extinctions
31 and human-landscape interactions in the Australian Arid Zone. His current research includes the
32 timing and mechanism of ice-sheet growth and decay in Arctic Canada and the European Arctic,
33 and the interactions between ice sheets, oceans, and the atmosphere during the last deglaciation;
34 developing new or improved applications of protein diagenesis in carbonate fossils to date
35 geological and archaeological events; climate-forcing of wet/dry cycles in monsoonal Australia,
36 the earliest immigration of humans to the continent, and their impact on climate, regional
37 vegetation and megafauna extinction; and high-resolution records of environmental change for
38 the Arctic over for the past 20,000 yr based on the record preserved in lake sediments.