



































## FY 2008 IHCIF Allocations

Area	Operating Unit (Site)	2007 User Count	2007 Cost Benchmark	2007 IHS \$ per User	2007 FDI % Score	\$ to Raise Score to 40%	2008 IHCIF @ 61.8 cents per \$
Aberdeen	(SR-SU) McLaughlin	3,995	\$ 3,884	\$ 1,939	 49.9%	\$0	\$ -
Aberdeen	(SR-SU) Standing Rock	4,946	\$ 3,741	\$ 1,939	 51.8%	\$0	\$ -
Aberdeen	Cheyenne River	7,775	\$ 3,483	\$ 1,847	 53.0%	\$0	\$ -
Aberdeen	Crow Creek	3,741	\$ 3,870	\$ 1,893	 48.9%	\$0	\$ -
Aberdeen	(F-SU) Flandreau	1,820	\$ 3,980	\$ 2,003	 50.3%	\$0	\$ -
Aberdeen	(Y-SU) Yankton-Santee Of Nebraska	1,055	\$ 4,101	\$ 2,003	 48.9%	\$0	\$ -
Aberdeen	Lower Brule	2,009	\$ 3,905	\$ 2,128	 54.5%	\$0	\$ -
Aberdeen	Northern Ponca	2,615	\$ 3,834	\$ 1,633	 42.6%	\$0	\$ -
Aberdeen	Omaha	3,468	\$ 3,834	\$ 1,938	 50.5%	\$0	\$ -
Aberdeen	(PR-SU) Pine Ridge	15,123	\$ 3,695	\$ 2,002	 54.2%	\$0	\$ -
Aberdeen	(PR-SU) Kyle	3,726	\$ 3,053	\$ 2,003	 65.6%	\$0	\$ -
Aberdeen	(PR-SU) Wanblee	1,947	\$ 3,158	\$ 2,003	 63.4%	\$0	\$ -
Aberdeen	Rapid City	13,552	\$ 3,447	\$ 1,293	 <b>37.5%</b>	\$1,167,384	\$ 721,100
Aberdeen	Rosebud	12,143	\$ 3,511	\$ 1,931	 55.0%	\$0	\$ -
Aberdeen	Sac & Fox	1,783	\$ 3,917	\$ 1,235	 <b>31.5%</b>	\$591,317	\$ 365,200
Aberdeen	Sisseton-Wahpeton	6,021	\$ 3,653	\$ 3,380	 92.5%	\$0	\$ -
Aberdeen	Spirit Lake (FT-SU)	5,215	\$ 3,703	\$ 1,643	 44.4%	\$0	\$ -
Aberdeen	Three Affiliated (FB-SU)	5,684	\$ 3,620	\$ 1,610	 44.5%	\$0	\$ -
Aberdeen	Trenton	1,631	\$ 3,883	\$ 1,903	 49.0%	\$0	\$ -
Aberdeen	Turtle Mountain	12,743	\$ 3,433	\$ 2,253	 65.6%	\$0	\$ -
Aberdeen	Winnebago	4,579	\$ 3,663	\$ 4,823	 100.0%	\$0	\$ -
Aberdeen	(Y-SU) Yankton-Wagner	3,808	\$ 3,870	\$ 1,989	 51.4%	\$0	\$ -
<b>Aberdeen Area Sites In Total</b>		<b>119,379</b>	<b>\$ 3,615</b>	<b>\$ 2,045</b>	 <b>56.6%</b>	<b>\$1,758,701</b>	<b>\$ 1,086,300</b>































## FY 2008 IHCIF Allocations

Area	Operating Unit (Site)	2007 User Count	2007 Cost Benchmark	2007 IHS \$ per User	2007 FDI % Score	\$ to Raise Score to 40%	2008 IHCIF @ 61.8 cents per \$
Alaska	Aleutian Pribilof Islands Association	1,251	\$ 4,693	\$ 4,154	88.5%	\$0	\$ -
Alaska	Arctic Slope Native Assn.	4,325	\$ 4,771	\$ 3,496	73.3%	\$0	\$ -
Alaska	Bristol Bay Area Health	5,884	\$ 4,438	\$ 4,297	96.8%	\$0	\$ -
Alaska	Chugachmiut Tribe	1,688	\$ 4,693	\$ 3,481	74.2%	\$0	\$ -
Alaska	Copper River Native Associaton	635	\$ 4,688	\$ 5,258	100.0%	\$0	\$ -
Alaska	Eastern Aleutian Tribe	1,094	\$ 4,852	\$ 4,149	85.5%	\$0	\$ -
Alaska	Kenaitze Indian Tribe	2,759	\$ 4,094	\$ 1,836	44.8%	\$0	\$ -
Alaska	Ketchikan Indian Corporation	2,797	\$ 4,603	\$ 2,551	55.4%	\$0	\$ -
Alaska	Kodiak	2,265	\$ 4,617	\$ 3,311	71.7%	\$0	\$ -
Alaska	Maniilaq	7,630	\$ 4,262	\$ 4,446	100.0%	\$0	\$ -
Alaska	Metlakatla Indian Tribe	1,442	\$ 4,824	\$ 4,081	84.6%	\$0	\$ -
Alaska	Misc. Anchorage Tribes	552	\$ 4,170	\$ 4,182	100.0%	\$0	\$ -
Alaska	Ninilchik	1,195	\$ 4,342	\$ 1,597	36.8%	\$167,612	\$ 103,500
Alaska	Norton Sound	8,309	\$ 4,455	\$ 2,767	62.1%	\$0	\$ -
Alaska	Seldovia	1,500	\$ 4,183	\$ 1,658	39.6%	\$22,112	\$ 13,700
Alaska	Southcentral Foundation	41,773	\$ 3,927	\$ 2,209	56.2%	\$0	\$ -
Alaska	Southeast Alaska Regional	12,169	\$ 4,295	\$ 3,360	78.2%	\$0	\$ -
Alaska	Tanana Chiefs Conference	12,839	\$ 4,060	\$ 2,325	57.3%	\$0	\$ -
Alaska	Yukon Kuskokwim	24,636	\$ 4,287	\$ 2,048	47.8%	\$0	\$ -
<b>Alaska Area Sites In Total</b>		<b>134,743</b>	<b>\$ 4,214</b>	<b>\$ 2,687</b>	<b>63.8%</b>	<b>\$189,724</b>	<b>\$ 117,200</b>

## FY 2008 IHCIF Allocations

Area	Operating Unit (Site)	2007 User Count	2007 Cost Benchmark	2007 IHS \$ per User	2007 FDI % Score	\$ to Raise Score to 40%	2008 IHCIF @ 61.8 cents per \$
Albuquerque	(ZR-SU) Ramah	1,977	\$ 2,692	\$ 1,634	 60.7%	\$0	\$ -
Albuquerque	(ZR-SU) Zuni	8,664	\$ 3,022	\$ 1,833	 60.7%	\$0	\$ -
Albuquerque	Acoma-Canoncito-Laguna	11,090	\$ 2,877	\$ 1,551	 53.9%	\$0	\$ -
Albuquerque	Albuquerque	32,260	\$ 2,967	\$ 1,098	 <b>37.0%</b>	\$2,870,635	\$ 1,773,100
Albuquerque	Jicarilla	3,488	\$ 3,343	\$ 2,016	 60.3%	\$0	\$ -
Albuquerque	Mescalero	4,585	\$ 3,106	\$ 1,868	 60.1%	\$0	\$ -
Albuquerque	Santa Fe	14,884	\$ 2,877	\$ 1,614	 56.1%	\$0	\$ -
Albuquerque	So Colorado Ute	5,573	\$ 3,155	\$ 1,428	 45.2%	\$0	\$ -
Albuquerque	Taos	2,196	\$ 3,323	\$ 1,860	 56.0%	\$0	\$ -
Albuquerque	Ysleta Del Sur	954	\$ 3,549	\$ 2,955	 83.3%	\$0	\$ -
<b>Albuquerque Area Sites In Total</b>		<b>85,671</b>	<b>\$ 2,990</b>	<b>\$ 1,473</b>	 <b>49.3%</b>	<b>\$2,870,635</b>	<b>\$ 1,773,100</b>










## FY 2008 IHCIF Allocations

Area	Operating Unit (Site)	2007 User Count	2007 Cost Benchmark	2007 IHS \$ per User	2007 FDI % Score	\$ to Raise Score to 40%	2008 IHCIF @ 61.8 cents per \$
Bemidji	Bad River	1,804	\$ 3,766	\$ 1,611	 42.8%	\$0	\$ -
Bemidji	Bay Mills	1,158	\$ 3,550	\$ 1,631	 45.9%	\$0	\$ -
Bemidji	Bois Forte/Nett Lake (T-V)	1,399	\$ 3,650	\$ 1,654	 45.3%	\$0	\$ -
Bemidji	Fond Du Lac (T-V)	5,917	\$ 3,359	\$ 1,308	 <b>38.9%</b>	\$212,145	\$ 131,000
Bemidji	Forest County	1,129	\$ 3,736	\$ 1,311	 <b>35.1%</b>	\$206,435	\$ 127,500
Bemidji	Grand Portage	490	\$ 3,486	\$ 1,709	 49.0%	\$0	\$ -
Bemidji	Grand Traverse (T-V)	1,662	\$ 3,507	\$ 1,670	 47.6%	\$0	\$ -
Bemidji	Greater Leech Lake	10,067	\$ 3,127	\$ 1,394	 44.6%	\$0	\$ -
Bemidji	Greater Red Lake	7,824	\$ 3,143	\$ 1,975	 62.8%	\$0	\$ -
Bemidji	Greater White Earth	8,019	\$ 3,220	\$ 1,512	 47.0%	\$0	\$ -
Bemidji	Gun Lake	185	\$ 3,486	\$ 2,764	 79.3%	\$0	\$ -
Bemidji	Hannahville	752	\$ 3,710	\$ 1,877	 50.6%	\$0	\$ -
Bemidji	Ho-Chunk	4,736	\$ 3,491	\$ 1,272	 <b>36.4%</b>	\$587,365	\$ 362,800
Bemidji	Huron Potawatomi	406	\$ 3,972	\$ 2,545	 64.1%	\$0	\$ -
Bemidji	Keweenaw Bay (T-V)	1,700	\$ 3,766	\$ 1,607	 42.7%	\$0	\$ -
Bemidji	Lac Courte Oreilles	3,504	\$ 3,636	\$ 1,422	 <b>39.1%</b>	\$113,746	\$ 70,300
Bemidji	Lac Du Flambeau	2,819	\$ 3,636	\$ 1,574	 43.3%	\$0	\$ -
Bemidji	Lac Vieux Desert	407	\$ 3,486	\$ 2,781	 79.8%	\$0	\$ -
Bemidji	Little River Ottawa	1,087	\$ 3,486	\$ 1,377	 <b>39.5%</b>	\$18,236	\$ 11,300
Bemidji	Little Traverse Odawa	2,548	\$ 3,421	\$ 1,319	 <b>38.5%</b>	\$126,866	\$ 78,400
Bemidji	Lower Sioux	811	\$ 3,754	\$ 1,216	 <b>32.4%</b>	\$231,810	\$ 143,200
Bemidji	Menominee	6,793	\$ 3,342	\$ 1,347	 40.3%	\$0	\$ -
Bemidji	Mille Lacs (T-V)	2,518	\$ 3,540	\$ 1,434	 40.5%	\$0	\$ -
Bemidji	Oneida (T-V)	10,653	\$ 3,014	\$ 999	 <b>33.1%</b>	\$2,200,955	\$ 1,359,500
Bemidji	Pokagon Potawatomi	1,218	\$ 3,486	\$ 2,771	 79.5%	\$0	\$ -
Bemidji	Prairie Island	409	\$ 3,754	\$ 1,776	 47.3%	\$0	\$ -
Bemidji	Red Cliff	1,632	\$ 3,766	\$ 1,623	 43.1%	\$0	\$ -
Bemidji	Saginaw Chippewa	2,954	\$ 3,421	\$ 1,265	 <b>37.0%</b>	\$307,057	\$ 189,700
Bemidji	Saulte Sainte Marie (T-V)	11,037	\$ 3,116	\$ 1,121	 <b>36.0%</b>	\$1,380,211	\$ 852,500
Bemidji	Shakopee	825	\$ 3,313	\$ 1,155	 <b>34.9%</b>	\$140,490	\$ 86,800































## FY 2008 IHCIF Allocations

Area	Operating Unit (Site)	2007 User Count	2007 Cost Benchmark	2007 IHS \$ per User	2007 FDI % Score	\$ to Raise Score to 40%	2008 IHCIF @ 61.8 cents per \$
Bemidji	Sokaogon	379	\$ 3,486	\$ 2,165	62.1%	\$0	\$ -
Bemidji	St Croix	1,670	\$ 3,766	\$ 1,429	<b>37.9%</b>	\$129,473	\$ 80,000
Bemidji	Stockbridge-Munsee	1,520	\$ 3,627	\$ 1,819	50.2%	\$0	\$ -
Bemidji	Upper Sioux	211	\$ 3,754	\$ 2,856	76.1%	\$0	\$ -
<b>Bemidji Area Sites In Total</b>		<b>100,243</b>	<b>\$ 3,335</b>	<b>\$ 1,431</b>	<b>42.9%</b>	<b>\$5,654,790</b>	<b>\$ 3,493,000</b>

## FY 2008 IHCIF Allocations

Area	Operating Unit (Site)	2007 User Count	2007 Cost Benchmark	2007 IHS \$ per User	2007 FDI % Score	\$ to Raise Score to 40%	2008 IHCIF @ 61.8 cents per \$
Billings	Blackfeet	11,360	\$ 3,313	\$ 2,132	 64.4%	\$0	\$ -
Billings	Crow	13,066	\$ 3,276	\$ 2,016	 61.5%	\$0	\$ -
Billings	Flathead	11,085	\$ 4,387	\$ 1,752	 <b>39.9%</b>	\$32,316	\$ 20,000
Billings	Ft Belknap	4,665	\$ 3,531	\$ 2,579	 73.1%	\$0	\$ -
Billings	Ft Peck	8,444	\$ 3,426	\$ 1,906	 55.6%	\$0	\$ -
Billings	No. Cheyenne	6,431	\$ 3,537	\$ 2,332	 66.0%	\$0	\$ -
Billings	Rocky Boy	4,730	\$ 3,625	\$ 2,143	 59.1%	\$0	\$ -
Billings	Wind River	10,415	\$ 3,457	\$ 1,496	 43.3%	\$0	\$ -
<b>Billings Area Sites In Total</b>		<b>70,196</b>	<b>\$ 3,567</b>	<b>\$ 1,978</b>	 <b>55.4%</b>	<b>\$32,316</b>	<b>\$ 20,000</b>

## FY 2008 IHCIF Allocations



























Area	Operating Unit (Site)	2007 User Count	2007 Cost Benchmark	2007 IHS \$ per User	2007 FDI % Score	\$ to Raise Score to 40%	2008 IHCIF @ 61.8 cents per \$
California	(CVIHP) Cold Springs Tribal Council	143	\$ 3,496	\$ 1,441	 41.2%	\$0	\$ -
California	(CTHP) Coyote Valley Tribal Council	128	\$ 4,031	\$ 1,441	 35.7%	\$21,974	\$ 13,600
California	(CTHP) Guidiville Indian Rancheria	55	\$ 4,031	\$ 1,441	 35.7%	\$9,442	\$ 5,800
California	(CTHP) Hopland Band of Pomo Indians	218	\$ 4,031	\$ 1,441	 35.7%	\$37,424	\$ 23,100
California	(SCIHP) Lytton Rancheria	120	\$ 3,309	\$ 1,441	 43.5%	\$0	\$ -
California	(CTHP) Pinoleville Band of Pomo Indians	74	\$ 4,031	\$ 1,441	 35.7%	\$12,703	\$ 7,800
California	(LCIHP) Scotts Valley Band of Pomo Indians	60	\$ 4,031	\$ 1,441	 35.7%	\$10,300	\$ 6,400
California	(CTHP) Sherwood Valley Band of Pomo Indians	210	\$ 4,031	\$ 1,441	 35.7%	\$36,050	\$ 22,300
California	(CRIHB) MACT	2,042	\$ 3,167	\$ 1,784	 56.3%	\$0	\$ -
California	(CRIHB) Shingle Springs	942	\$ 3,618	\$ 1,528	 42.2%	\$0	\$ -
California	(CRIHB) Sonoma County	4,531	\$ 3,041	\$ 1,541	 50.7%	\$0	\$ -
California	(CRIHB) United Indian Health Services	6,911	\$ 2,976	\$ 1,431	 48.1%	\$0	\$ -
California	(CRIHB) Warner Mountain	126	\$ 3,689	\$ 4,139	 100.0%	\$0	\$ -
California	(CRIHB) - Ione Band of Miwok	66	\$ 3,689	\$ 1,783	 48.3%	\$0	\$ -
California	Cabazon	6	\$ 3,260	\$ 18,818	 100.0%	\$0	\$ -
California	(CV) - Central Valley	5,972	\$ 2,903	\$ 1,268	 43.7%	\$0	\$ -
California	Chapa De	4,774	\$ 3,041	\$ 1,239	 40.8%	\$0	\$ -
California	Colusa	112	\$ 3,689	\$ 2,581	 70.0%	\$0	\$ -
California	(CTHP) Consolidated	1,904	\$ 3,345	\$ 2,080	 62.2%	\$0	\$ -
California	Feather River	3,848	\$ 3,187	\$ 1,266	 39.7%	\$33,054	\$ 20,400
California	Greenville	1,199	\$ 3,618	\$ 1,432	 39.6%	\$17,889	\$ 11,000
California	Hoopa	2,927	\$ 3,187	\$ 1,805	 56.6%	\$0	\$ -
California	Indian Health Council	4,353	\$ 3,076	\$ 1,962	 63.8%	\$0	\$ -
California	Karuk	1,831	\$ 3,345	\$ 1,783	 53.3%	\$0	\$ -
California	Lake County	1,805	\$ 3,345	\$ 1,390	 41.6%	\$0	\$ -
California	Modoc	175	\$ 3,689	\$ 3,919	 100.0%	\$0	\$ -
California	Northern Valley	1,869	\$ 3,345	\$ 1,385	 41.4%	\$0	\$ -
California	Pit River	876	\$ 3,618	\$ 2,398	 66.3%	\$0	\$ -
California	Quartz Valley	163	\$ 3,689	\$ 1,321	 35.8%	\$25,262	\$ 15,600
California	Redding Rancheria	3,704	\$ 3,187	\$ 1,954	 61.3%	\$0	\$ -

## FY 2008 IHCIF Allocations




















Area	Operating Unit (Site)	2007 User Count	2007 Cost Benchmark	2007 IHS \$ per User	2007 FDI % Score	\$ to Raise Score to 40%	2008 IHCIF @ 61.8 cents per \$
California	Riverside/San Bernardino	12,484	\$ 2,672	\$ 1,605	60.1%	\$0	\$ -
California	Round Valley	1,328	\$ 3,345	\$ 1,599	47.8%	\$0	\$ -
California	Santa Ynez	973	\$ 3,899	\$ 1,264	<b>32.4%</b>	\$287,780	\$ 177,800
California	Southern Indian Health Council	2,655	\$ 3,222	\$ 2,049	63.6%	\$0	\$ -
California	Susanville	976	\$ 3,618	\$ 1,827	50.5%	\$0	\$ -
California	Sycuan	60	\$ 3,769	\$ 4,944	100.0%	\$0	\$ -
California	Table Mountain	80	\$ 3,496	\$ 935	<b>26.8%</b>	\$37,051	\$ 22,900
California	Toiyabe	2,711	\$ 3,187	\$ 1,843	57.8%	\$0	\$ -
California	Tule River	2,506	\$ 3,103	\$ 1,774	57.2%	\$0	\$ -
California	Tuolumne Me-WUK	93	\$ 3,689	\$ 2,467	66.9%	\$0	\$ -
<b>California Area Sites In Total</b>		<b>75,010</b>	<b>\$ 3,098</b>	<b>\$ 1,621</b>	<b>52.3%</b>	<b>\$528,929</b>	<b>\$ 326,700</b>





























## FY 2008 IHCIF Allocations

Area	Operating Unit (Site)	2007 User Count	2007 Cost Benchmark	2007 IHS \$ per User	2007 FDI % Score	\$ to Raise Score to 40%	2008 IHCIF @ 61.8 cents per \$
Nashville	Alabama-Coushatta	873	\$ 3,329	\$ 1,854	 55.7%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	Catawba	1,041	\$ 3,208	\$ 2,230	 69.5%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	Cayuga	115	\$ 3,616	\$ 2,561	 70.8%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	Cherokee	10,295	\$ 2,884	\$ 2,091	 72.5%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	Chitimacha	450	\$ 3,316	\$ 2,205	 66.5%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	Choctaw	8,969	\$ 2,893	\$ 1,901	 65.7%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	Coushatta	448	\$ 3,452	\$ 1,980	 57.4%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	Houlton Band of Maliseet	428	\$ 3,470	\$ 3,237	 93.3%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	Jena Band of Choctaw	130	\$ 3,452	\$ 2,422	 70.2%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	Manlius (Onondaga)	269	\$ 3,616	\$ 1,739	 48.1%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	Miccosukee	718	\$ 3,590	\$ 2,612	 72.8%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	Micmac	480	\$ 3,464	\$ 3,583	 100.0%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	Mohegan	1,014	\$ 3,760	\$ 2,129	 56.6%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	Narragansett	680	\$ 3,828	\$ 2,816	 73.6%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	Oneida	1,758	\$ 3,437	\$ 1,812	 52.7%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	Passamaquoddy-Ind. Township	767	\$ 3,601	\$ 2,458	 68.3%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	Passamaquoddy-Pleasant Pt.	961	\$ 3,601	\$ 2,896	 80.4%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	Penobscot	1,342	\$ 3,653	\$ 2,540	 69.5%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	Pequot	690	\$ 3,393	\$ 2,338	 68.9%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	Poarch Creek	1,936	\$ 3,237	\$ 2,004	 61.9%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	Seminole	3,669	\$ 3,512	\$ 1,668	 47.5%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	Seneca	5,436	\$ 3,093	\$ 1,854	 59.9%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	St. Regis Mohawk	4,386	\$ 3,108	\$ 1,589	 51.1%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	Tunica-Biloxi	293	\$ 3,452	\$ 1,671	 48.4%	\$0	\$ -
Nashville	Wampanoag of Gayhead	290	\$ 3,828	\$ 2,898	 75.7%	\$0	\$ -
<b>Nashville Area Sites In Total</b>		<b>47,438</b>	<b>\$ 3,165</b>	<b>\$ 2,019</b>	 <b>63.8%</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$ -</b>

## FY 2008 IHCIF Allocations

Area	Operating Unit (Site)	2007 User Count	2007 Cost Benchmark	2007 IHS \$ per User	2007 FDI % Score	\$ to Raise Score to 40%	2008 IHCIF @ 61.8 cents per \$
Navajo	(C-SU) Chinle	16,606	\$ 3,378	\$ 1,513	 44.8%	\$0	\$ -
Navajo	(C-SU) Pinon	9,002	\$ 2,122	\$ 1,482	 69.9%	\$0	\$ -
Navajo	(C-SU) Tsaille	7,927	\$ 2,122	\$ 1,334	 62.9%	\$0	\$ -
Navajo	(FD-SU) Fort Defiance	18,727	\$ 3,149	\$ 1,630	 51.8%	\$0	\$ -
Navajo	(FD-SU) Ganado	12,202	\$ 2,122	\$ 1,430	 67.4%	\$0	\$ -
Navajo	(G-SU) Gallup	33,812	\$ 2,754	\$ 1,492	 54.2%	\$0	\$ -
Navajo	(G-SU) Tohatchi	7,598	\$ 2,107	\$ 1,394	 66.1%	\$0	\$ -
Navajo	(K-SU) Inscription House	3,383	\$ 3,203	\$ 1,223	 <b>38.2%</b>	\$196,385	\$ 121,300
Navajo	(K-SU) Kayenta	13,171	\$ 2,903	\$ 1,507	 51.9%	\$0	\$ -
Navajo	(S-SU) Dzilth Na O Dith Hle	5,420	\$ 2,247	\$ 1,334	 59.4%	\$0	\$ -
Navajo	(S-SU) Shiprock	37,039	\$ 2,767	\$ 1,547	 55.9%	\$0	\$ -
Navajo	(S-SU) Red Mesa	2,785	\$ 2,402	\$ 1,268	 52.8%	\$0	\$ -
Navajo	(W-SU) Dilkon	6,424	\$ 3,043	\$ 1,410	 46.3%	\$0	\$ -
Navajo	(W-SU) Leupp	3,714	\$ 3,203	\$ 1,728	 53.9%	\$0	\$ -
Navajo	(W-SU) Winslow	5,223	\$ 3,043	\$ 1,350	 44.4%	\$0	\$ -
Navajo	Crownpoint	20,339	\$ 2,614	\$ 1,549	 59.3%	\$0	\$ -
Navajo	Tuba City	27,438	\$ 2,644	\$ 1,633	 61.8%	\$0	\$ -
Navajo	Utah Navajo	7,171	\$ 2,864	\$ 1,460	 51.0%	\$0	\$ -
<b>Navajo Area Sites In Total</b>		<b>237,981</b>	<b>\$ 2,731</b>	<b>\$ 1,510</b>	 <b>55.3%</b>	<b>\$196,385</b>	<b>\$ 121,300</b>































## FY 2008 IHCIF Allocations

Area	Operating Unit (Site)	2007 User Count	2007 Cost Benchmark	2007 IHS \$ per User	2007 FDI % Score	\$ to Raise Score to 40%	2008 IHCIF @ 61.8 cents per \$
Oklahoma	Abs Shawnee	7,499	\$ 2,552	\$ 904	 <b>35.4%</b>	\$879,533	\$ 543,300
Oklahoma	Cherokee	58,744	\$ 2,399	\$ 1,050	 43.8%	\$0	\$ -
Oklahoma	Chickasaw	31,217	\$ 2,452	\$ 1,518	 61.9%	\$0	\$ -
Oklahoma	Choctaw	33,633	\$ 2,439	\$ 1,701	 69.7%	\$0	\$ -
Oklahoma	Citizen Potawatomi	13,258	\$ 2,552	\$ 858	 <b>33.6%</b>	\$2,162,013	\$ 1,335,000
Oklahoma	Claremore	14,642	\$ 2,552	\$ 1,745	 68.4%	\$0	\$ -
Oklahoma	Clinton	9,641	\$ 3,130	\$ 1,667	 53.3%	\$0	\$ -
Oklahoma	Creek	18,181	\$ 2,539	\$ 1,485	 58.5%	\$0	\$ -
Oklahoma	Haskell	3,806	\$ 3,041	\$ 1,238	 40.7%	\$0	\$ -
Oklahoma	Iowa - KS NE	561	\$ 3,181	\$ 806	 <b>25.3%</b>	\$261,892	\$ 161,800
Oklahoma	Iowa Of Oklahoma	911	\$ 2,939	\$ 1,183	 40.2%	\$0	\$ -
Oklahoma	Kaw	938	\$ 3,133	\$ 1,575	 50.3%	\$0	\$ -
Oklahoma	Kickapoo Of Kansas	768	\$ 3,113	\$ 1,372	 44.1%	\$0	\$ -
Oklahoma	Kickapoo Of Oklahoma	6,116	\$ 2,882	\$ 943	 <b>32.7%</b>	\$1,280,935	\$ 791,200
Oklahoma	Kickapoo Of Texas	96	\$ 3,367	\$ 12,230	 100.0%	\$0	\$ -
Oklahoma	Lawton	22,433	\$ 2,452	\$ 1,279	 52.2%	\$0	\$ -
Oklahoma	Miami Consortium	3,382	\$ 2,870	\$ 1,455	 50.7%	\$0	\$ -
Oklahoma	Pawnee	10,577	\$ 2,742	\$ 1,606	 58.6%	\$0	\$ -
Oklahoma	Ponca Tribe Of Oklahoma	3,637	\$ 3,252	\$ 1,578	 48.5%	\$0	\$ -
Oklahoma	Prairie Band Pottawatomi	1,514	\$ 3,253	\$ 1,352	 41.6%	\$0	\$ -
Oklahoma	Sac & Fox	96	\$ 3,253	\$ 879	 <b>27.0%</b>	\$40,506	\$ 25,000
Oklahoma	Sac And Fox Of Oklahoma	4,986	\$ 2,939	\$ 1,012	 <b>34.4%</b>	\$815,795	\$ 503,900
Oklahoma	Tahlequah	57,159	\$ 2,399	\$ 946	 <b>39.4%</b>	\$809,892	\$ 500,300
Oklahoma	Wewoka	8,731	\$ 2,552	\$ 849	 <b>33.3%</b>	\$1,501,379	\$ 927,400
Oklahoma	Wyandotte / E Shawnee	1,375	\$ 2,870	\$ 1,223	 42.6%	\$0	\$ -
<b>Oklahoma Area Sites In Total</b>		<b>313,901</b>	<b>\$ 2,531</b>	<b>\$ 1,260</b>	 <b>49.8%</b>	<b>\$7,751,944</b>	<b>\$ 4,787,900</b>
















## FY 2008 IHCIF Allocations

Area	Operating Unit (Site)	2007 User Count	2007 Cost Benchmark	2007 IHS \$ per User	2007 FDI % Score	\$ to Raise Score to 40%	2008 IHCIF @ 61.8 cents per \$
Phoenix	(CR-SU) Colorado River	5,641	\$ 3,029	\$ 3,063	100.0%	\$0	\$ -
Phoenix	(CR-SU) Peach Springs/Supai	2,522	\$ 3,302	\$ 2,906	88.0%	\$0	\$ -
Phoenix	(DV-SU) Owyhee	1,236	\$ 3,804	\$ 6,681	100.0%	\$0	\$ -
Phoenix	(E-SU) Duckwater	134	\$ 3,849	\$ 10,085	100.0%	\$0	\$ -
Phoenix	(E-SU) Elko	2,227	\$ 3,499	\$ 2,211	63.2%	\$0	\$ -
Phoenix	(E-SU) Ely	319	\$ 3,774	\$ 3,860	100.0%	\$0	\$ -
Phoenix	(S-SU) Fallon/Lovelock/Yomba	1,798	\$ 3,575	\$ 1,859	52.0%	\$0	\$ -
Phoenix	(S-SU) Ft. Mcdermitt	654	\$ 3,849	\$ 2,015	52.4%	\$0	\$ -
Phoenix	(S-SU) Las Vegas/Moapa	2,228	\$ 3,459	\$ 1,565	45.2%	\$0	\$ -
Phoenix	(S-SU) Pyramid Lake	1,720	\$ 3,575	\$ 1,105	30.9%	\$559,481	\$ 345,600
Phoenix	(S-SU) Reno-Sparks/Nevada Urban	3,975	\$ 3,431	\$ 1,683	49.0%	\$0	\$ -
Phoenix	(S-SU) Schurz/Walker River	875	\$ 3,804	\$ 5,871	100.0%	\$0	\$ -
Phoenix	(S-SU) Washoe	2,299	\$ 3,499	\$ 2,085	59.6%	\$0	\$ -
Phoenix	(S-SU) Yerington	641	\$ 3,849	\$ 3,028	78.7%	\$0	\$ -
Phoenix	Ft. Yuma	3,749	\$ 3,341	\$ 2,254	67.5%	\$0	\$ -
Phoenix	Gila River	23,071	\$ 2,613	\$ 1,359	52.0%	\$0	\$ -
Phoenix	Keams Canyon/Hopi	6,144	\$ 3,179	\$ 3,015	94.8%	\$0	\$ -
Phoenix	Paiute Tribe-Utah	688	\$ 3,490	\$ 3,117	89.3%	\$0	\$ -
Phoenix	Phoenix SU	62,842	\$ 2,597	\$ 1,234	47.5%	\$0	\$ -
Phoenix	San Carlos	11,461	\$ 2,889	\$ 1,283	44.4%	\$0	\$ -
Phoenix	Uintah-Ouray	3,907	\$ 3,274	\$ 1,990	60.8%	\$0	\$ -
Phoenix	Whiteriver	15,476	\$ 2,791	\$ 1,517	54.3%	\$0	\$ -
<b>Phoenix Area Sites In Total</b>		<b>153,607</b>	<b>\$ 2,845</b>	<b>\$ 1,647</b>	<b>57.9%</b>	<b>\$559,481</b>	<b>\$ 345,600</b>

## FY 2008 IHCIF Allocations

Area	Operating Unit (Site)	2007 User Count	2007 Cost Benchmark	2007 IHS \$ per User	2007 FDI % Score	\$ to Raise Score to 40%	2008 IHCIF @ 61.8 cents per \$
Portland	Burns Paiute	221	\$ 4,173	\$ 4,456	 100.0%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Chehalis	1,199	\$ 4,036	\$ 1,441	 35.7%	\$207,418	\$ 128,100
Portland	Coeur d'Alene	4,371	\$ 3,060	\$ 1,372	 44.8%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Colville	8,288	\$ 3,087	\$ 1,666	 54.0%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Coos, L Umpqua, Suislaw	766	\$ 3,652	\$ 2,506	 68.6%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Coquille	963	\$ 3,652	\$ 2,346	 64.2%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Cow Creek	1,955	\$ 3,406	\$ 1,358	 39.9%	\$8,537	\$ 5,300
Portland	Cowlitz	1,186	\$ 3,708	\$ 1,199	 32.3%	\$336,831	\$ 208,100
Portland	Grand Ronde	3,220	\$ 3,201	\$ 2,231	 69.7%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Hoh	28	\$ 4,090	\$ 7,128	 100.0%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Jamestown S'Klallam	397	\$ 4,173	\$ 2,366	 56.7%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Kalispel	270	\$ 4,173	\$ 2,601	 62.3%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Klamath	2,837	\$ 3,201	\$ 2,001	 62.5%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Kootenai	177	\$ 3,594	\$ 3,111	 86.6%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Lower Elwha	748	\$ 4,173	\$ 2,277	 54.6%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Lummi	3,981	\$ 3,460	\$ 1,816	 52.5%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Makah	2,013	\$ 3,575	\$ 2,049	 57.3%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Muckleshoot	4,272	\$ 3,314	\$ 1,034	 31.2%	\$1,243,389	\$ 768,000
Portland	Nez Perce	3,613	\$ 3,206	\$ 1,789	 55.8%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Nisqually	1,339	\$ 3,652	\$ 1,528	 41.8%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Nooksack	994	\$ 4,036	\$ 1,828	 45.3%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	NW Band Of Shoshoni	95	\$ 2,964	\$ 3,356	 100.0%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Port Gamble	1,522	\$ 3,652	\$ 1,421	 38.9%	\$60,485	\$ 37,400
Portland	Puyallup	7,977	\$ 3,049	\$ 1,589	 52.1%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Quileute	630	\$ 4,173	\$ 1,943	 46.5%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Quinault	2,493	\$ 3,531	\$ 2,183	 61.8%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Samish	461	\$ 4,173	\$ 2,059	 49.3%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Sauk-Suiattle	73	\$ 4,173	\$ 6,520	 100.0%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Shoalwater Bay	431	\$ 4,090	\$ 5,054	 100.0%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Shoshone-Bannock	6,072	\$ 2,767	\$ 1,726	 62.4%	\$0	\$ -

## FY 2008 IHCIF Allocations

Area	Operating Unit (Site)	2007 User Count	2007 Cost Benchmark	2007 IHS \$ per User	2007 FDI % Score	\$ to Raise Score to 40%	2008 IHCIF @ 61.8 cents per \$
Portland	Siletz	5,156	\$ 3,003	\$ 1,514	 50.4%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Skokomish	811	\$ 3,967	\$ 2,408	 60.7%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Snoqualmie	190	\$ 4,173	\$ 3,649	 87.4%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Spokane	1,781	\$ 3,652	\$ 2,355	 64.5%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Squaxin Island	747	\$ 4,090	\$ 3,653	 89.3%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Stillaguamish	125	\$ 4,173	\$ 3,686	 88.3%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Suquamish	336	\$ 4,173	\$ 4,635	 100.0%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Swinomish	1,183	\$ 4,173	\$ 2,135	 51.2%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Tulalip	4,599	\$ 3,314	\$ 1,168	 <b>35.2%</b>	\$726,066	\$ 448,500
Portland	Umatilla	2,897	\$ 3,201	\$ 2,209	 69.0%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Upper Skagit	411	\$ 4,173	\$ 1,216	 <b>29.1%</b>	\$186,325	\$ 115,100
Portland	Warm Springs	5,229	\$ 3,003	\$ 2,382	 79.3%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Western Oregon (Chemawa)	2,616	\$ 3,201	\$ 1,519	 47.5%	\$0	\$ -
Portland	Yakama	12,111	\$ 3,087	\$ 1,477	 47.8%	\$0	\$ -
<b>Portland Area Sites In Total</b>		<b>100,784</b>	<b>\$ 3,272</b>	<b>\$ 1,769</b>	 <b>54.1%</b>	<b>\$2,769,052</b>	<b>\$ 1,710,500</b>

## FY 2008 IHCIF Allocations

<i>Area</i>	<i>Operating Unit (Site)</i>	<i>2007 User Count</i>	<i>2007 Cost Benchmark</i>	<i>2007 IHS \$ per User</i>	<i>2007 FDI % Score</i>	<i>\$ to Raise Score to 40%</i>	<i>2008 IHCIF @ 61.8 cents per \$</i>
Tucson	Tohono O'Odham	18,213	\$ 2,892	\$ 1,584	54.8%	\$0	\$ -
Tucson	Yaqui	6,495	\$ 3,233	\$ 1,793	55.5%	\$0	\$ -
<b>Tucson Area Sites In Total</b>		<b>24,708</b>	<b>\$ 2,982</b>	<b>\$ 1,639</b>	<b>55.0%</b>	<b>\$0</b>	<b>\$ -</b>
<b>Grand Total for All Sites</b>		<b>1,463,661</b>	<b>\$ 3,079</b>	<b>\$ 1,680</b>	<b>54.5%</b>	<b>\$22,311,956</b>	<b>\$ 13,781,600</b>