fair use rights as the the right to make copies of content for our own personal usage.

let our government know that we don't want our fair use rights trampled by laws like the DMCA

further, why should the lawful purchaser be burdened with the proof of the need for an 'exemption', when he/she should have Constitutionally protected rights to personal property in the first place.

How did a 'special interest' group get away with stealling those rights in the first place ??

Every limitation granted to 'special interests' groups like DMCA, RIAA has resulted in devices that unfairly prevent many users from accessing their purchased property and technical problems that interfere with most such users. If DMCA and RIAA et al do not wish to offer for sale their unrestricted wares, they do not have to.

Mark Chatterton Maryland

First, a proponent must attempt to identify the specific technological measure that is the causal source of the

[[Page 57530]]

alleged problem, and show why that technological measure "effectively controls access to a [copyrighted] work."

Second, a proponent must specifically explain what noninfringing activity the prohibition is adversely affecting.

Third, a proponent must establish that the prevented activity is, in fact, a noninfringing use under current law.