

**Department of Human Services  
Addiction and Mental Health Division  
Mental Health Organization Contractors Meeting  
March 15, 2007  
DRAFT—DRAFT**

<b>TOPIC</b>	<b>KEY DISCUSSION POINTS</b>	<b>ACTION/TASK DECISION</b>	<b>RESPONSIBLE PARTY</b>	<b>DUE DATE</b>
<b>INTRO / ANNOUNCEMENTS / AGENDA REVISIONS</b>	<p>Tracey Robichaud is now part of Ralph Summers' Mental Health &amp; Addictions Medicaid Policy Unit.</p> <p>No agenda revisions</p> <p>The July planning conference call falls on July 4<sup>th</sup>. Needs to be rescheduled for either Tuesday or Thursday.</p>	<p>Approved to move Conference call to Tuesday July 3, 2007.</p>		
<b>MHO Issues</b>	<p><b>MHO performance measures-</b> AMH is interested in working with the Plans to create shared Performance Measures. Current AMH Performance Measures are hospitalizations per/1000 and post hospital follow up. AMH currently takes MHO data &amp; FFS data to calculate performance measures, doing the calculations in-house. DMAP's measures are on their web site. Chris Barber will get an example to Ralph Summers to email out. Within DMAP, each plan calculates their own PM using DMAP methodology. AMH administration wants to move in that direction. Concerns: If the state already has the data, can they pull it? If each plan starts doing this,</p>	<p>Continue this discussion at the April MHO meeting.</p> <p>Plans to contact their MHO QI coordinators for additional information on what measures are currently in use within their retrospective plans.</p> <p>Tracey Robichaud will bring back this discussion to the QI</p>		

	<p>there will be nine submissions of different data, depending on the measures. There will also be an additional administrative burden on the plan's.</p> <p>The positive aspects: Plans will see the data right away. No time delay.</p> <p>AMH is required to do performance measures for the Federal Government.</p> <p>Plans will contact their QI coordinators to get additional information to continue this discussion at the April contractors meeting. Including the end users in the discussion/planning is beneficial.</p>	<p>Coordinator's mtg and will bring back any information reflecting any commonalities in QA plans.</p>		
	<p><b>Enrollment changes for CAF Children</b>  Postpone this until January of 2008. CAF concerned that MMIS systems do not have clear data markers to identify the legal jurisdiction for a child. CAF meeting with Ramona Foley next week. We only have 60 days to know what direction that CAF wants to take.</p>			
	<p><b>ISA Workgroup discussion</b>  Jill Archer and Mary Rumbaugh –  Reviewed “Top of the Pyramid” handout (green sheet) The following statements are key points from the presentation/discussion:  - The group reviewed 55 children.  - Each MHO identified their own children. -  The number of times the child was moved seemed to be the strongest indicator.  - Boarderline IQ #'s also seemed high. Want to have workgroup review.</p>			

<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>-Number of days in-patient was significant.</li><li>-Was the size of the MHO taken into account?</li><li>-Plans were not limited to 10 to 12 clients reviewed. 10 to 12 was the average reviewed?</li><li>-PRTS as a marker was identified as being at the bottom of the pyramid. PRTS reflected both similarities and differences in their care.</li><li>-Identified which agencies were responsible for what, with respect to each child.</li><li>-Can interventions be targeted to prevent kids from raising to top of the pyramid?</li><li>-Work with Child Welfare partners.</li><li>-What is the difference between these kids and other ISA kids that have had a more stable environment?</li><li>-How much are kids costing? (Will workgroups have access to this data from Plan to Plan?)</li></ul> <p>Data may not reflect fairly. Get larger Plans to submit more kids than the smaller ones for the same percentage represented for each. Also, look at the bottom of the pyramid, and draw differences between the two groups. Contact Audit &amp; Evaluation Unit to determine an appropriate sample for each plan. What will this data be used for?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>*Analyze the data in order to do targeted intervention so kids do not become the top of the pyramid kids.</li><li>*The original intentions were to bring the information forward to all plans for</li></ul>			
--	--	--	--

	<p>discussions. AMH still desires to have this discussion happen.</p> <p>*Put steps in place to eliminate foster care failure.</p> <p>Kids moving from one MHO/County to another. (Purple sheet) Agreed on how a transfer from MHO to another.</p> <p>MHOs are not comfortable with “accept in place” or “accept ISA eligibility status”, language in current language (purple sheet).</p> <p>MHOs agree content is supportable, but needs wordsmithed. Won’t change initial treatment plan, but reserve the right to change it at a later date. Both MHOs will enter into a period of treatment/transfer planning.</p> <p>PUT “DRAFT” ON ALL ISA WORKGROUP DOCUMENTS</p> <p>Information forthcoming on White Paper.</p>			
CSCI Implementation				
DMAP Updates	<p><b>Encounter Data – no update</b></p> <p>Draft NPI guide updated and on the web.</p>			
	<p>Chris Barber update –</p> <p>Care coordination group is starting up. ENCC Workgroup will start on April 3, 2007. Donna Harless, of DMAP Delivery Systems Unit (DSU), will lead the group. Want ENCC as participants, not QI Coordinators. Short notice, but need to include MH. What is the focus of the meeting?</p> <p>-Transportation – audit findings. DMAP going to Ways and Means next week.</p> <p>-DMAP has Dental RFA out.</p>	<p>DMAP will need to send a clarification to MHO directors with a welcome from Chris Barber</p>		

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Jim Edge taking Interim Director position at DMAP.</li> <li>-Chris Barber no longer managing HMU.</li> </ul>			
<p>AMH Updates</p>	<p><b>Encounter data update.</b> –  Kathy Ottelee to the MHOs– for SC provider can you provide us PhTech both QMHP and QMHA numbers</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-Need to use a agency number consistent with current policy.</li> <li>-SC provider types don't have their own numbers now. Not able to cross-walk the numbers.</li> <li>-William Johnson-Draft NPI companion guides are on the DMAP website.</li> <li>-Ralph Summers–Ways and Means presentation starts today. You can watch it via internet on Oregon Access Channel.</li> <li>-DMAP OHP Contractors meeting rescheduled for Monday.</li> <li>-AMH Doing presentation for next 6 months on A&amp;D. Going to hand out book on A&amp;D. Everyone will get a copy. The document will be available through the AMH reference center.</li> <li>-AMH is trying to arrange a screening of HBO video series on addictions.</li> <li>-Next month the manager of the Forecasting Unit, Judy Mohr-Peterson, will present DHS forecasts regarding trends and eligibles.</li> <li>-Tomorrow AMH will send out the charts and graphs provided by the Forecasting Unit</li> <li>-2007 MHO agreement on AMHwebsite.</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>-AMH invite Judy Mohr-Peterson to April meeting.</li> <li>-AMH will send out charts and graphs 3/16/2007.</li> </ul>		

	<p>-OHP regional meetings starting up this spring. Encouraged MHOs to attend.</p> <p>-AMH making site visits to the plans. Seth Bernstein stated their site visit was a very fair and relaxed process.</p>			
	<p><b>ISA workgoup updates –</b>  Review of the CPMS reports. Matthew Pearl, of the Children’s Treatment Systems Unit gave initial report, by service type.</p> <p>-focused on facilitation of Child and Family Teams. Is this the focus MHOs want the workgroup to take?</p> <p>-Workforce development-is this important to MHOs? AMH has brought this up previously.</p> <p>-AMH wants to know if AMH could invest dollars, where it would be most useful to obtain the skills you want? AMH had a training last year that focused on adults. Future trainings won’t replicate collegiate skills, instead: facilitation of family teams, ect.</p> <p><b>Rates / Finance group canceled</b></p> <p><b>Contract/Rules-</b>  <b>-July 1 amendment has been postponed until 1/1/08</b>, as is the requirement for an “end date” in Exhibit N.</p> <p>-Ralph Summers is asking for mutual agreement from MHOs to start reporting “end</p>			

	<p>date” sooner rather than later. The “when” to report will come through individual conversation with the plans. Plans don’t want 7/1 amend and have agreed to report the “end date” at AMH’s direction.</p> <p>-What is the timeline for verification of the 4<sup>th</sup> quarter encounter data? Washington County feels process is taking too long. 10/05-12/05 no verification received. AMH received data from 5 plans. Washington County didn’t submit.</p> <p><b>Contract language development</b> There are two more meetings of both Rules and Contracts and MHO Contractors for development of language to be included in the 2008 MHO Agreement.</p>	<p>Jay Yedziniak will send an email stating who has submitted and who hasn’t. Plans would like a spreadsheet including Validation / Confirmation / Completion.</p>		
	<p><b>Rolling Document</b> – Kellie Skenandore will have a draft available at the next meeting.</p>	<p>Kellie to develop matrix form.</p>		