MHO CONTRACTS & RULES WORKGROUP January 16, 2008

MHOs Attending: Bruce Abel (LaneCare), Dave Bast (JBH) Seth Bernstein (ABHA), Kim Burgess (Washington Co), Kevin Campbell (GOBHI), Sue Fillmore (FCI), Deborah Friedman (CMHO), David Hidalgo (Verity), Susan Montgomery (GOBHI), Jim Russell (BCN)

AMH Attending: Bill Bouska, Tracey Robichaud, Kellie Skenandore, Ralph Summers, Jay Yedziniak

Item	Discussion	Action	Due Date
1. SCIP/ SAIP funding	Utilization of SCIP services has decreased recently. Although it is too early to determine whether this is a long-term trend, it is likely an outcome of the CSCI and the focus on early intervention. As AMH considers a new RFP for SCIP, there has been some internal discussion about moving funds for these services to MHO capitation. AMH asked for feedback from the plans. The initial response was that while this makes sense from the clinical management perspective, there are concerns regarding managing risk for the very small population of children getting this level of service.	AMH will continue to consider options and look for feedback from the plans.	NA
2. 2008 contract amendment	 OHP 3001 Complaint Form – further edits were suggested. Due process language changes – AMH and DMAP are working to develop a single, consistent process that will have specific timeliness identified to complete both the appeal and the hearing process within 90 days. 	 Kellie requested that edits proposed since the end of November be resent to her, along with any other last comments by the end of the week. Each MHO will asked via email from Kellie to identify the number of days required for its appeal process. 	 January 18 Respond by the deadline in Kellie's email

	Exhibit G, Attachment 8 Hospital Network Adequacy Report – this is a new requirement of the FCHPs that is also being considered for the MHO contract. It is the result of negotiations by Bruce Goldberg with the FCHPs and OAHHS. AMH noted that hospitals have similar issues with MHOs related to their inability to predict the amount and methodology of reimbursement across MHOs. It was also noted that the DOJ believes the agreement reached with the FCHPs and OAHHS applies to MHOs.	To be reviewed in detail at the February meeting	■ Feb 20
	■ Exhibit G, Attachement 7 Three Highest Amount of Compensation – timing of submission of this report needs to be resolved, e.g., should it be tied to submission of one of the financial reports? AMH would like to move to "date certain" reporting for many of the financial reports, rather than based on plan-specific fiscal years.	To be reviewed in detail at the February meeting	■ Feb 20
3. ISA Progress Review Instrument	Discussion tabled.	AMH will bring draft instructions, a mock-up of required data elements and data submission specifications to the March meeting.	March 19
4. Performance Measures	Tracey reported that a subgroup of the QI Committee has been formed to discuss and make a recommendation regarding performance measures. Given our direction that performance measures should drive system changes, the group is starting with considering how the system should look in the future. They will get input from the rest of the QI Coordinators and then make a recommendation to this Work Group.	Following further discussion in the QI Committee, a recommendation on proposed performance measures will be made to the Contracts WG.	Unspecified

	On a side note, the QI Committee is also reviewing its charter and updating references, the name of the group (to reflect the new Quality Assessment – Performance Improvement language in the MHO Agreement), etc.		
5. 2008 Contract issues	AMH will review the items noted in the Contract Issues Tracker, prioritizing those that are questions of interpretation.	AMH will bring a response to the interpretation questions to the February meeting. Other items will be reviewed and included as necessary in 2009 contract revisions.	February 20

Next meeting: February 20, 2008

12:00 – 2:00 pm

HSB 456

Agenda: Exhibit G, Attachment 7 – Three Highest Amount of Compensation

Exhibit G, Attachment 8 – Hospital Network Adequacy Report

Other 2008 Contract Amendment items 2008 Contract interpretation issues