Missouri continues to use a multi-faceted approach to performance measurement systems for improvement in workforce programs; and strategic evaluation sessions to gauge the Workforce Development System's effectiveness.

Missouri's Performance Measurement System

In addition to the WIA measures and other federal program reports, Missouri uses System-wide Workforce Outcomes, which access the Entered Employment, Retention, and Earnings change for clients for all programs, using the Common Measures methodology. These are broken down by Missouri's fourteen Workforce Investment Areas as well as its six Economic Development Regions.

Wage Record Interchange System

The Division of Workforce Development (DWD), under an agreement with Missouri Department of Labor and Industrial Relations (DOLIR) participates in the nationwide Wage Record Interchange System (WRIS). This facilitates performance accountability by providing a means for exchanging interstate wage record data among states and was implemented in Missouri for Program Year 2002.

Wage Record Interchange System

Effective November 1, 2003, the Missouri Division of Workforce Development, in partnership with the Missouri Department of Labor and Industrial Relations, has access to the operation of the Wage Record Interchange System (WRIS).

Participation with the WRIS will enable Workforce Development to use WRIS in Missouri's performance measure reporting activities. We will be able to report performance for all US Department of Labor programs that require assessment and reporting as set forth in the Workforce Investment Act. This application permits Performance Accountability and Customer Information Agency (PACIA) to request wage information on specific groups of Social Security numbers. The State Unemployment Insurance Agency (SUIA) imports these requests and returns matching wage records to the (PACIA) via the Lockheed Martin hub.

In the course of access to the WRIS, Missouri as well as participating WRIS authorized states have access to certain data that is furnished to the Lockheed Martin Information Technology through the WRIS. This information will contain the year/quarter index and relevant wage/employer information.

Social security numbers and wage and employment data are confidential, and they may not be disclosed to others. The rules and procedures governing the use and treatment of confidential WRIS data are set forth in a document entitled, Standard and Guidelines for the Handling of confidential WRIS Data by Performance Accountability and Customer Information Agency (PACIA) and (SUIA) Employees.

There are 42 states that have joined WRIS. Seven of the eight states that border Missouri have joined WRIS. In addition, the Division is finalizing an agreement to share Unemployment Insurance data with Illinois.

Business and Process Measures

Having identified the employer as its primary customer, Missouri is now calculating Market Penetration measures that assess the proportion of new hires and employer use of Missouri's Workforce System. Our FY03 Strategic Plan includes many action items designed to increase market share including a new evaluative measure, Missouri's Job Order Cycle Time, to assess how quickly we can satisfy employers' requests for skilled workers. This measure is also targeted in our strategic efforts and has seen a 41% decrease from the first quarter of PY2002 to the first quarter of PY2003. These measures are also broken down by WIA region and Economic Development Region and are provided on-line, real-time on Missouri's workforce client tracking system, Toolbox.

Continuous Improvement Reviews

The Continuous Improvement Reviews (CIR) examines the delivery of local workforce development services in terms of certain targeted WIA system elements. In order to study the effects of process change and integration on the performance of local systems, the review team meets with program and research staff to analyze each region's most recent outcomes to identify strengths and weaknesses. The review team uses this information in conjunction with the results of last year's CIR to identify how a region's changed processes, which resulted from the previous CIR, improved the region's performance.

The comparison of the CIR and performance measures provides a linkage from the subjective CIR to the objective performance measures. The combination of these evaluation processes provides the Division of Workforce Development with the human element of question and answer/observation and the actual outcomes of customers. The information gleaned from analyzing the two tells what processes work and are shared with other regions.

Business and Process Measures

Having identified the employer as its primary customer, Missouri is now calculating Market Penetration measures that assess the proportion of new hires and employer use of Missouri's Workforce System. Our FY03 Strategic Plan includes many action items designed to increase market share including a new evaluative measure, Missouri's Job Order Cycle Time, to assess how quickly we can satisfy employers' requests for skilled workers. This measure is also targeted in our strategic efforts and has seen a 41% decrease from the first quarter of PY2002 to the first quarter of PY2003. These measures are also broken down by WIA region and Economic Development Region and are provided on-line, real-time on Missouri's workforce client tracking system, Toolbox.

Continuous Improvement Reviews

The Continuous Improvement Reviews (CIR) examines the delivery of local workforce development services in terms of certain targeted WIA system elements. In order to study the

effects of process change and integration on the performance of local systems, the review team meets with program and research staff to analyze each region's most recent outcomes to identify strengths and weaknesses. The review team uses this information in conjunction with the results of last year's CIR to identify how a region's changed processes, which resulted from the previous CIR, improved that region's performance.

The comparison of the CIR and performance measures provides a linkage from the subjective CIR to the objective performance measures. The combination of these evaluation processes provides DWD with the human element of question-and-answer/observation and the actual outcomes of customers. The information gleaned from analyzing the two tells what processes work and are shared with other regions.

State Name: MO Program Year: 2002

Table A: Workforce Investment Act Customer Satisfaction Results

Customer Satisfaction	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance - Level - American Customer Satisfaction Index	Number of Surveys Completed	Number of Customers Eligible for the Survey	Number of Customers Included in the Sample	Response Rate
Participants	70	81	534	9,167	643	83
Employers	68	75.5	534	15,978	746	71.6

Table B: Adult Program Results At-A-Glan

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level			
Entered Employment Pate	75	80.1	2,690		
Entered Employment Rate			3,358		
Employment Detention Dete	84	83.9	2,622		
Employment Ratention Rate			3,126		
Femines Chenne in Six Menth	2,718	2,879	8,690,308		
Earnings Change in Six Month		,	3,018		
Employment and Credential Bate	<u>co</u>	70.7	694		
Employment and Credential Rate	60	70.7	982		

Table C: Outcomes for Adult Special Populations

Reported Information	Public Assistance Recipients Receiving Intensive or Training Services		Veterans		Individuals With Disabilities		Old	Older Individuals	
Entered		1,334		225		76		100	
Employment Rate	78.8	1,692	80.9	278	71	107	78.1	128	
Employment Retention		1,363		203		82	81.5	88	
Rate	84.1	1,620	83.2	244	93.2	88		108	
Earnings Change in Six		5,897,629		765,256		328,054		239,422	
Months	3,783	1,559	3,256	235	4,001	82	2,302	104	
Employment	70	618	CO O	53	70	19	C0 E	15	
and Credential Rate	70	883	68.8	77	76	25	62.5	24	

Table D: Other Outcome Information for the Adult Program

Reported Information		Is Who Received ing Services		Individuals Who Only Received Core and Intensive Services		
Entered Employment Date	90.7	616	70.4	2,074		
Entered Employment Rate	82.7	745	79.4	2,613		
Employment Detention Date	85.9	718	83.1	1,904		
Employment Retention Rate	85.9	836	03.1	2,290		
Forningo Chongo in Six Months	4 474	3,606,441	2 202	5,083,867		
Earnings Change in Six Months	4,474	806	2,298	2,212		

Table E: Dislocated Worker Program Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Perfo	rmance Level
Enternal Employment Data	79	88.2	2,768
Entered Employment Rate		Actual Perfor	3,137
Employment Detention Date	91	92.2	2,552
Employment Retention Rate			2,768
Fernings Devlessment in Circ Menths	96	95	31,114,950
Earnings Replacement in Six Months			32,766,919
	60	70.0	792
Employment and Credential Rate		/6.2	1,040

Table F: Outcomes for Dislocated Worker Special Populations

Reported Information	Vet	erans	Individuals	With Disabilities	Olde	er Individuals	Displace	d Homemakers
Entered Employment Rate	87.8	324	78.9	30	79.6	266		21
	• • • •	369		38		334	- 75	28
Employment Retention		287		24		235		16
Rate	88.6	324	80	30	88.3	266	76.2	21
Earnings Replacement		4,073,266		244,048		2,495,425		138,210
Rate	91.9	4,433,447	93	262,494	76.9	3,245,089	149	92,748
Employmemt And Credential Rate		82		7		53	63.6	7
	75.9	108	63.6	11	65.4	81		11

Table G: Other Outcome Information for the Dislocated Worker Program

Reported Information	Individuals Who Re	eceived Training Services	Individuals Who Received Core and Intensive Services		
Entered Employment Rate		961		1,807	
	92.4	1,040	86.2	2,097	
Employment Retention Rate		887		1,665	
	92.3	961	92.1	1,807	
Earnings Replacement Rate	101.3	10,696,234	91.9	20,418,716	
	101.5	10,556,335	51.5	22,210,584	

Table H: Older Youth Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual P	erformance Level
Entered Employment Rate	63	97.6	364
	03	97.0	373
Employment Retention Rate	82	70.4	212
	02	79.1	268
Earnings Change in Six Months	2,249	2,791	728,345
			261
	40	38.9	160
Credential Rate			411

Table I: Outcomes for Older Youth Special Populations

Reported Information	ormation Public Assistance Recipients		Veterans		Individuals	With Disabilities	Out-of-School Youth	
Entered Employment Rate		317		4		22		260
	98.1	323	100	4	100	22	97.4	267
Employment Retention	n 78.8	182		2		9	80.5	157
Rate		231	100	2	64.3	14		195
Earnings Change in		654,263		8,053		43,967		522,183
Six Months	2,908	225	4,027	2	3,382	13	2,748	190
Credential Rate		140		1		11	37.5	110
	39.2	357	25	4	50	22		293

Table J: Younger Youth Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual P	erformance Level
Skill Attainment Rate	90	78.5	5,358
	90	76.5	6,823
	55	97.4	1,213
Diploma or Equivalent Attainment Rate		87.1	1,392
Potentian Pote	CE.	75.0	324
Retention Rate	65	75.3	430

Table K: Outcomes for Younger Youth Special Populations

Reported Information	Public Assis	tance Recipients	Individu	als Disabilities	Out-of-School Y	
Skill Attainment Rate		4,766		663		596
	71.9	6,632	11.4	5,797	10	5,989
Diploma or Equivalent	00.5	1,021		102		225
Attainment Rate	86.5	1,180	84.3	121	74.5	302
Retention Rate	74.9	259	00.0	37	70.0	115
		346	82.2	45	79.9	144

Table L: Other Reported Information

	Emplo	onth yment on Rate	12 Mo. Ear (Adults and C 0 12 Mo. Ear Replaceme (Dislocated V	r nings ent	Parti Non	ements for icipants in traditional ployment	Empl Those In Entered Uns	At Entry Into oyment For idividuals Who Employment subsidized ployment	Employm the Trainin Those W	Unsubsidized ent Related to ng Received of ho Completed ng Services
		2,397		8,522,592		24		9,420,149		251
Adults	/5.6	75.6 2,809 0.9 3,172 3,034 0.9	3,502	2,690	40.7	616				
Dislocated		1,726	*****	2,170,776		22		14,905,107		457
Workers	84.6	2,039	*******	1,909	0.9	2,569	5,802	2,569	52.8	865
Older	69.1	201		813,255		1	2,434	555,009		
Youth		291	2,925	278	0.4	0.4 228		228		

Table M:Participation Levels

	Total Participants Served	Total Exiters
Adults	7,495	4,555
Dislocated Workers	8,062	3,831
Older Youth	1,519	781
Younger Youth	6,524	3,347

Table N:Cost of Program Activities

		Program Activity	Total Federal Spending
Local Adult	Local Adults		\$13,186,277.00
Local Dislo	cated	l Workers	\$9,520,052.00
Local Youth	ו		\$13,893,645.00
Rapid Resp	onse	(up to 25%) 134 (a) (2) (A)	\$3,207,836.00
Statewide R	equi	red Activities (up to 25%) 134 (a) (2) (B)	\$3,821,967.00
Statewide		Capacity Bldg/TA	\$948,179.00
Allowable	Ę		\$183,239.00
Activities		\$3,859,715.00	
134 (a) (3)		\$33,718.00	
	Des	State Administration	\$728,415.00
	iť	WIA Annual Report	\$6,563.00
	Activity	AD/DW Activities	\$726.00
	m		
	Progra		
		Total of All Federal Spending Listed Above	\$49,390,332.00

State Name: MO

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name:		Adults	740
Central Region Workforce Investment Board, Inc.	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	740
	Served	Older Youth	218
		Younger Youth	308
	Total Exiters	Adults	312
		Dislocated Workers	236
		Older Youth	67
		Younger Youth	183

		Negotiated Perfor	mance	Actual	l Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		70		81	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		68	75.		
	Adults		70		89	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		80		98	
	Older Youth		60		100	
	Adults		80		89	
	Dislocated Workers		82		99	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		80		100	
	Younger Youth		66		90	
	Adults(\$)		2,676		3,273	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		80		102	
	Older Youth (\$)		2,224		6,055	
	Adults		50		67	
	Dislocated Workers		50		74	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		46	59		
	Younger Youth		52	89		
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		90	71		
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance					
		Not Met	Ме	t	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Performance	mance	X				

State Name: MO

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name:		Adults	174
East Jackson County Region Workforce Investment Board	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	237
	Served	Older Youth	28
		Younger Youth	113
	Total Exiters	Adults	142
		Dislocated Workers	172
		Older Youth	18
		Younger Youth	67

		Negotiated Performa Level	ance	Actual Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		70	81	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		68	75.5	
	Adults		66	75	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		71	82	
	Older Youth		50		
	Adults		69	84	
	Dislocated Workers		80	93	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		60	100	
	Younger Youth		62		
	Adults(\$)	:	3,000		
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		89		
	Older Youth (\$)	2	2,500	7,099	
	Adults		43	58	
	Dislocated Workers		40		
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		50		
	Younger Youth		40	83	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		47	82	
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance				
		Not Met	Met	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance		х		

State Name: MO

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name: Jefferson/Franklin County		Adults	179
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	315
	Served	Older Youth	44
		Younger Youth	135
		Adults	81
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	125
		Older Youth	13
		Younger Youth	19

		Negotiated Perform Level	ance	Actual Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		70	81	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		68	75.5	
	Adults		67	93	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		66	95	
	Older Youth		63	83	
	Adults		76	95	
Defending Defe	Dislocated Workers		78	86	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		80	100	
	Younger Youth		75	60	
	Adults(\$)		3,000		
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		90	84	
	Older Youth (\$)		2,249	3,338	
	Adults		41	79	
One descript (Distance Date	Dislocated Workers		40		
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		38	78	
	Younger Youth		45		
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		71	87	
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance				
		Not Met	Ме	t Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance		Х		

State Name: MO

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name: Kansas City Region		Adults	859
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	849
	Served	Older Youth	260
		Younger Youth	1,108
		Adults	636
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	562
		Older Youth	133
		Younger Youth	521

		Negotiated Perforn Level	nance		Performance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		70		81
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		68		75.5
	Adults		65		82
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		73		87
	Older Youth		50		96
	Adults		72		82
Data da Data	Dislocated Workers		87		92
Retention Rate	Older Youth		77		77
	Younger Youth		58		79
	Adults(\$)		2,800		3,972
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		90		102
	Older Youth (\$)		2,500		3,591
	Adults		44		67
	Dislocated Workers		45		62
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		38	44	
	Younger Youth		48	86	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		70	90	
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance				
		Not Met	Me	t	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	x			

State Name: MO

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name: Northeast Missouri Workforce Investment Board, Inc.		Adults	195
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	415
	Served	Older Youth	49
		Younger Youth	151
		Adults	56
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	174
		Older Youth	13
		Younger Youth	55

		Negotiated Perforr Level	nance		Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		70		81	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		68	75.		
	Adults		76		77	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		75		96	
	Older Youth		59		89	
	Adults		83		92	
	Dislocated Workers		88		93	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		80		100	
	Younger Youth		65		77	
	Adults(\$)		3,851		4,447	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		86		110	
	Older Youth (\$)		2,895		7,767	
	Adults		50		80	
	Dislocated Workers		51		85	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		53	33		
	Younger Youth		55	89		
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		59		94	
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance					
		Not Met	Me	t	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	x				

State Name: MO

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name:		Adults	375
Northwest Missouri Workforce Investment Board	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	596
	Served	Older Youth	60
		Younger Youth	159
	Total Exiters	Adults	160
		Dislocated Workers	240
		Older Youth	23
		Younger Youth	39

		Negotiated Perform Level	nance	Actual Performance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		70	81
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		68	75.5
	Adults		69	89
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		54	91
	Older Youth		60	100
	Adults		82	89
Detection Dete	Dislocated Workers		81	91
Retention Rate	Older Youth		71	71
	Younger Youth		61	75
	Adults(\$)		3,460	4,847
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		92	84
	Older Youth (\$)		2,660	3,347
	Adults		45	56
	Dislocated Workers		45	77
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		50	50
	Younger Youth		50	68
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		83	85
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance			
		Not Met	Met	t Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance		x	

State Name: MO

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name:		Adults	272
Ozark	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	553
	Served	Older Youth	50
		Younger Youth	404
	Total Exiters	Adults	156
		Dislocated Workers	260
		Older Youth	23
		Younger Youth	228

		Negotiated Perforr Level	nance		Performance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		70		81
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		68		75.5
	Adults		69		81
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		79		93
	Older Youth		56		95
	Adults		82		91
	Dislocated Workers		90		93
Retention Rate	Older Youth		80		79
	Younger Youth		47		89
	Adults(\$)		4,306	3	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		95		100
	Older Youth (\$)		2,580		1,668
	Adults		45		67
	Dislocated Workers		45		62
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		50		50
	Younger Youth		50		79
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		90		85
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance				
0		Not Met	Me	t	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	x			

State Name: MO

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name:		Adults	528
South Central Region	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	224
	Served	Older Youth	79
		Younger Youth	418
	Total Exiters	Adults	235
		Dislocated Workers	85
		Older Youth	30
		Younger Youth	110

		Negotiated Perforn Level	nance	Actual Performand Level	e
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		70		81
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		68	75	5.5
	Adults		75		87
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		76		92
	Older Youth		60		96
	Adults		80		85
	Dislocated Workers		80		85
Retention Rate	Older Youth		64		76
	Younger Youth		63		81
	Adults(\$)		3,200	4,3	89
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		95	1	15
	Older Youth (\$)		2,200	3,1	34
	Adults		70		86
	Dislocated Workers		65		88
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		30		44
	Younger Youth		67		77
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		89		85
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance				
		Not Met	Me	t Exceeded	I
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance		x		

State Name: MO

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name:		Adults	928
Southeast	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	779
	Served	Older Youth	273
		Younger Youth	1,315
	Total Exiters	Adults	518
		Dislocated Workers	353
		Older Youth	238
		Younger Youth	1,008

		Negotiated Perforr Level	nance	Actual Performance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		70	81
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		68	75.5
	Adults		67	79
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		77	94
	Older Youth		58	97
	Adults		80	86
	Dislocated Workers		89	94
Retention Rate	Older Youth		78	89
	Younger Youth		60	80
	Adults(\$)		2,800	3,289
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		85	109
	Older Youth (\$)		2,400	3,406
	Adults		47	81
	Dislocated Workers		50	84
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		46	49
	Younger Youth		54	88
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		88	73
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance			
		Not Met	Me	t Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance		x	

State Name: MO

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name:		Adults	68
St. Charles County Workforce Development Board of Directors	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	864
	Served	Older Youth	33
		Younger Youth	27
		Adults	53
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	325
		Older Youth	27
		Younger Youth	21

		Negotiated Perform Level	mance	Actual Perf	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		70		81
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		68		75.5
	Adults		70		90
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		64		87
	Older Youth		50		100
	Adults		50		90
Defending Defe	Dislocated Workers		88		92
Retention Rate	Older Youth		80		82
	Younger Youth		71		100
	Adults(\$)		3,346		2,822
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		80		88
	Older Youth (\$)		2,805		4,954
	Adults		50		80
One described (Discharge Date	Dislocated Workers		50		74
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		50		100
	Younger Youth		40		71
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		81		68
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance				
		Not Met	Me	t Ex	ceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance		Х		

State Name: MO

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name:		Adults	1,901
St. Louis City WIB	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	511
	Served	Older Youth	262
		Younger Youth	1,376
	Total Exiters	Adults	1,403
		Dislocated Workers	282
		Older Youth	112
		Younger Youth	661

		Negotiated Perform Level	nance		erformance evel
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		70		81
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		68		75.5
	Adults		71		80
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		76		81
	Older Youth		60		100
	Adults		76		81
Detention Dete	Dislocated Workers		90		89
Retention Rate	Older Youth		71		71
	Younger Youth		50		59
	Adults(\$)		2,720		1,631
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		95		95
	Older Youth (\$)		2,250		540
	Adults		40		42
One descript (Distance Date	Dislocated Workers		50		86
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		30		8
	Younger Youth		42		87
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		85		74
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance				
Overall Status of Loos! Durfar		Not Met	Me	t l	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	X			

State Name: MO

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name: Workforce Development Board of Saint Louis County	Total Participants Served	Adults	836
		Dislocated Workers	1,135
		Older Youth	67
		Younger Youth	565
	Total Exiters	Adults	562
		Dislocated Workers	687
		Older Youth	34
		Younger Youth	165

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actua	l Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		70			
	Employers		68	75.		
Entered Employment Rate	Adults		71		69	
	Dislocated Workers		83		83	
	Older Youth		67		100	
	Adults		82		84	
	Dislocated Workers		92		92	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		83		67	
	Younger Youth		64		67	
	Adults(\$)		2,800		2,859	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		84		84	
	Older Youth (\$)		3,000		1,704	
	Adults		60		66	
	Dislocated Workers		61		73	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		42		0	
	Younger Youth		65		96	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		90	65		
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance					
Overall Status of Local Performance		Not Met	Ме	t	Exceeded	
		X				

State Name: MO

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name: Workforce Development Board of Western Missouri, Inc.	Total Participants Served	Adults	190
		Dislocated Workers	575
		Older Youth	48
		Younger Youth	186
		Adults	99
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	225
		Older Youth	23
		Younger Youth	105

		Negotiated Perforr Level	nance	Actual Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		70	81	
	Employers		68		
Entered Employment Rate	Adults		61		
	Dislocated Workers		71		
	Older Youth		33		
	Adults		69	78	
	Dislocated Workers		78	95	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		64	68	
	Younger Youth		48		
	Adults(\$)		3,200		
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		79		
Replacement in Six Month's	Older Youth (\$)		2,137	2,449	
	Adults		30		
	Dislocated Workers		31		
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		33		
	Younger Youth		40		
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		69	92	
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance				
Overall Status of Local Performance		Not Met	Met	Exceeded	
		X			

State Name: MO

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name: Workforce Investment Board of Southwest Region	Total Participants Served	Adults	245
		Dislocated Workers	275
		Older Youth	48
		Younger Youth	365
		Adults	142
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	107
		Older Youth	27
		Younger Youth	165

		Negotiated Perforr Level	mance		erformance evel	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		70	8		
	Employers		68	75.		
Entered Employment Rate	Adults		69		77	
	Dislocated Workers		72		79	
	Older Youth		64		100	
	Adults		83		81	
	Dislocated Workers		92		89	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		75		69	
	Younger Youth		50		74	
	Adults(\$)		3,346		1,475	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		95		98	
	Older Youth (\$)		2,630		1,426	
	Adults		50		67	
	Dislocated Workers		53		77	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		29		33	
	Younger Youth		52		88	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		89	78		
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance					
Overall Status of Local Performance		Not Met	Me	t I	Exceeded	
		X				