FY03 (PY02) Annual Report

Adult, Dislocated Worker and Youth Activities Workforce Investment Act of 1998, Title I-B

submitted by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts

to the United States Department of Labor Employment and Training Administration

December 1, 2003

Commonwealth of Massachusetts Mitt Romney, Governor

Department of Workforce Development Jane C. Edmonds, Director

Commonwealth Corporation Jonathan Raymond, President

Division of Career Services Susan Lawler, Commissioner

WIA Title I-B Annual Report Form (ETA 9091)

A. Cost of workforce investment activities and effects on the performance of participants.

For state Fiscal Year 2003 (Program Year 2002), the Commonwealth of Massachusetts received a WIA Title I-B allotment of approximately \$38.4 million. This allocation represented a loss of \$3.5 million dollars, an eight percent decrease from the Fiscal Year 2002 allotment of \$41.9 million. While the Youth funding stream was level-funded, the federal allocation decreases affected the Adult (8% cut) and Dislocated Worker (18% cut) programs. There were significant decreases in the number of Title I participants in One-Stop Career Center activities as a result of these funding reductions. In addition to annual FY2003 allotments, there were carry-in funds across all categories in the amount of \$10.1 million dollars. The total of available funds for FY2003 WIA Title I-B activities was about \$48.5 million.

The Workforce Investment Act requires that local funds be expended within two program years, with the further requirement that 80% of available funds be spent and/or obligated by the end of an allotment's first year. Funds set aside for statewide activities, including Rapid Response, may be spent over a period of three years. Because of Congressional concern over the timely and efficient use of these resources, the Department of Labor has encouraged states to established a goal of spending, not just obligating, at least 80% of available funds each program year.

Of the combined total of over \$48.5 million, approximately 81%, or \$39.5 million, had been expended by June 30, 2003. As in FY2002, this level of expenditures exceeds the goal of spending at least 80% of available funds. Of the remaining balance of \$9 million, \$3.5 million was already obligated as of June 30, 2003. The Commonwealth's end-of-year total of expenditures and obligations was, therefore, \$43 million, representing 89% of total statewide availability. Chart 1 on the following page shows availability and expenditure detail by program reporting categories.

With the continued high demand for services, the Dislocated Worker local program funds were almost entirely spent out, with 92% of available funds spent and a total of 95% spent and obligated. Approximately 88% of the Adult local program funds were spent, with a total of 91% spent and obligated. These rates of expenditures were very similar to the corresponding FY2002 rates. Local Youth funds, due to more long-term contracts that cross the program years, were expended at the 79% level, although 92% of all funding was either spent or obligated. These percentages represent increases in the rate of expenditures compared to FY2002 (73% expenditure rate). Across the four local funding categories of Adult, Dislocated Worker, Youth and Local Administration, \$30.6 of the \$36.4 million available (84%) was expended by June 30, 2003.

Statewide Rapid Response funds, including funds made available for additional assistance to local areas, were expended at the rate of 85% of availability, with a total of 96% spent and obligated. The Governor's 15% Reserve for statewide activities and state oversight was only about two-thirds expended. These funds, however, can be spent over a period of three years and some funds are used for multi-year contracts.

Chart 1: WIA Title I-B Expenditures and Cost per Participant Ratios

				Balance	Balance
Total All Fund Sources	Available	Expended	Pct	Remaining	Obligated
Adult Local Program	\$8,555,441	\$7,561,737	88%	\$993,704	\$220,134
Carry in Monies (non add)	\$1,198,943	\$1,198,943	100%	\$0	\$0
Dislocated Worker Local Program	\$7,743,198	\$7,152,725	92%	\$590,473	\$188,795
Carry in Monies (non add)	\$754,201	\$754,201	100%	\$0	\$0
Youth Local Program	\$16,277,768	\$12,834,810	79%	\$3,442,958	\$2,063,699
Carry in Monies (non add)	\$4,033,873	\$4,033,873	100%	\$0	\$0
Out-of-School Youth	NA	\$6,018,654	(47% of youth expenditures)		
In-School Youth	NA	\$6,816,156		% of youth expe	
Summer Employment Opportunities	NA	\$3,088,301	(309	% of youth expe	nditures)
Local Administration Funds	\$3,901,897	\$3,056,502	78%	\$845,395	\$47,077
Carry in Monies (non add)	\$947,521	\$947,521	100%	\$0	\$0
Statewide Rapid Response Funds	\$4,123,349	\$3,523,112	85%	\$600,237	\$437,209
Carry in Monies (non add)	\$1,045,683	\$842,918	81%	\$202,765	\$78,744
Statewide 15% Activity Funds	\$7,924,404	\$5,368,157	68%	\$2,556,247	\$500,000
Carry in Monies (non add)	\$2,167,679	\$1,726,963	80%	\$440,716	\$200,000
Combined Totals	\$48,526,057	\$39,497,043	81%	\$9,029,014	\$3,456,914
Carry in Monies (non add)	\$10,147,900	\$9,504,419	94%	\$643.481	\$278.744

Costs per Participant

Program Strategies	Total Participants	Cost per Participant	Entered Employment Rate	Retention at Six Months	Post-Pgm Earnings Gain
Adult Program	2.818	\$2,700	74.5%	79.9%	\$2,765
Training/Education Services	2,010	\$2,700 \$3.150	76.8%	81.0%	\$2,705 \$2.815
Core/Intensive Services Only	712	\$1,300	71.5%	78.3%	\$2,700
Dislocated Worker Program	4,937	\$1,935	85.8%	85.8%	80.9%
Training/Education Services	2,890	\$2,650	86.8%	87.5%	80.5%
Core/Intensive Services Only	2,047	\$940	85.0%	84.4%	81.3%
Youth Program	4,518	\$2,850	54.4%	68.4%	NA
Out-of-School Youth	1,776	\$3,400	56.5%	72.0%	NA
In-School Youth	2,742	\$2,500	50.5%	56.5%	NA

There were over 2,800 adult participants and 4,900 dislocated worker participants in FY2003 Title I programs. These enrollment counts represented decreases of 9% and 17% from FY2002 participation levels, not surprising given the cuts in the program year allotments. A review of program strategies and expenditures (see again Chart 1) shows that the majority of WIA Title I adult and dislocated worker participants are receiving education and/or occupational training, although at lower rates than JTPA. The overall cost per participant in the adult program (\$2,700) is much higher than that of the dislocated worker program (\$1,935). Title I adults are more likely to have significant barriers to employment, to have less work experience and to have lower levels of basic skills. About 74% of adult participants received some type of training and/or education service whereas about 58% of dislocated workers received training and education.

In addition to the decrease in the number of participants, the cost per participant went down slightly in FY2003, with less funds being spent per participant in training services. Based on WIA Plans, it is estimated that the cost per participant for adult individuals who received training services is \$3,150, about \$1,850 more on average than the cost for an individual receiving only core and intensive career center services (\$1,300). This is a decrease of about \$400 per training participant compared to FY2002. The investment in training services does appear to result in these customers obtaining and retaining employment at a higher rate than that of customers receiving only core services. They also have a slightly higher rate of post-program earnings gain, although the six month period for the earnings measure is too soon after the program to show the impact of training.

In calculating the cost for dislocated worker programs, it is possible to include estimates for some partner programs with the WIA allocation. In addition to Rapid Response additional assistance distributed to local areas, many TAA/NAFTA training participants are co-enrolled in WIA Title I. An estimate of \$2.4 million from these programs was added to the \$7.1 million in Dislocated Worker expenditures to calculate the cost per participant on Chart 1. The cost for training participants is \$2,650, about \$1,700 more than the average for customers of core and intensive services only (\$940). This is a decrease of about \$225 per training participant compared to FY2002 levels. As with adults, employment and retention for training customers exceeds that of core services customers. Earnings results are about the same.

The number of youth participants in FY2003 was a little over 4,500 youth, a very slight increase from FY2002. The number of out-of-school youth increased from 31% to 39% of total participants, and expenditures on out-of-school youth increased from 37% of total FY2002 expenditures to 47% of FY2003 expenditures. These levels far exceed the DOL requirements of spending at least 30% of funds on out-of-school youth. The average cost per youth participant increased to \$2,850 from the FY2002 level of \$2,500. The costs for the out-of-school population increased to \$3,400 per participant (from FY2002 \$2,950) and in-school youth costs increased, albeit more slowly, to \$2,500 per from the FY2002 level of \$2,275. It appears that more hard-to-serve youth are being enrolled, and are being served in longer-term program sequences.

B. Description of State Evaluations of Workforce Investment Activities

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts has initiated a series of projects to research and evaluate workforce investment activities. These initiatives are designed to explore and promote the effectiveness and efficiency of the statewide workforce investment system. This section will briefly summarize the results of two completed studies and provide an outline of current and future research and evaluation plans. Descriptions and/or preliminary results for the two initial state evaluations were presented in last year's annual report and at the US DOL meeting of State Evaluation Liaisons in early June 2003. A summary of key findings follows.

Effect of Participation and Training on the Labor Market Outcomes of Low-Income Adults

The Center for the Study of Urban Poverty at the University of California, Los Angeles was engaged by the Commonwealth Corporation to prepare a study about the impact of publicly-funded employment and training programs on the earnings of low-income adults. The study is significant for several reasons. This is the first comprehensive longitudinal study in Massachusetts making use of Unemployment Insurance (UI) wage record data to track earnings of low-income adults who participated in a federally-funded training program. The study tells us about the pre-program labor market experience of the adults, as well as their post-program labor market experience, especially their employment and earnings. Short of a randomized experiment, which would establish causation, the study uses among the most advanced statistical methods to estimate program impact, using earnings data over a period of several years.

The study assesses whether workforce development programs have had an impact on the disadvantaged adults who have participated in these services. Among the major questions addressed in the analysis were:

- Does participating in a Massachusetts' workforce development program have an effect on post-program earnings and employment?
- Are certain workforce development activities more effective than others in achieving employment and earnings gains?
- Are certain types of workforce development service providers more effective than others in achieving employment and earnings gains?

The study included participants and applicants for adult program services under the Job Training Partnership Act (JTPA). JTPA was the federal law governing workforce training investments prior to enactment of the Workforce Investment Act (WIA). Title IIA of JTPA governed the provision of training to economically disadvantaged adults. As the population and services under JTPA were similar to those of the low-income target group under the WIA Adult program, the results of this study may provide some insights for current WIA programs.

This study compares the employment rates and quarterly earnings from UI-covered employment (employment at firms subject to unemployment compensation laws) in Massachusetts of:

• Individuals who participated in a JTPA Title IIA funded workforce development program. (this group constitutes the principal "treatment" or program group) and,

• Individuals who completed an objective assessment of their eligibility for workforce development services, were deemed eligible, and yet did not participate in a JTPA-funded workforce development program (this group is the principal comparison group).

In both groups, those who started objective assessment no earlier than the first quarter of 1997, and no later than the second quarter of 1999, were included. Probabilistic matching techniques were utilized to align the comparison group to the program group.

The labor market outcome measures used to evaluate the effects of program participation included average quarterly earnings, average annual earnings, and average quarterly employment rate. Variations in quarterly earnings were analyzed, based on UI wage records from the first quarter of 1995 to the first quarter of 2002, or from the two years before and the two years after the year of program participation. The principal test of the effect of the program on earnings and employment, in this study, is a test of the significance of the difference in the earnings and employment measures between the program and comparison groups before and after the training intervention.

As to the key findings, Massachusetts' JTPA Title IIA-funded workforce development programs were shown to have a positive effect on the earnings and employment of disadvantaged adults.

- Workforce development services received increased the average annual earnings of participants by \$2,200 in the second year after program completion, compared to the earnings of those in the comparison group.
- The positive effects of participating in a workforce development program are somewhat larger for men than for women. In the second year after program completion, men experience an average annual earnings increase of \$2,800 compared to the earnings of men in the comparison group, while the average increase for women is approximately \$2,100.
- People who participated in workforce development programs are more likely to be employed two years after completing the program than individuals with similar characteristics who did not enroll in the program. The magnitude of the effect for men and women is similar, about 5 percentage points.

The use of different analytic strategies and associated sensitivity tests change the estimates of earnings and employment effects to a modest degree, but in all instances the earnings and employment effects remain large and statistically significant.

The study also indicates that employment and earnings may be impacted differently based on the type of training and provider. The vast majority of participants were in occupational skills training, and thus, the overall results for participation in workforce development activities closely match the occupational results. While further research is needed to either confirm or disprove these preliminary results, it appears that the workforce development activity that contributes to the largest gains in earnings for women is integrated occupational skills and basic training, and for men both on-the-job training and basic education are associated with larger gains in earnings. All workforce development service provider types had a positive effect on the earnings of program participants, although community-based organizations appear to have the largest effects for women, while trade/technical schools had the largest impacts for men. Further research is needed to confirm these last two findings.

Effect of Job Loss and Post-Layoff Training on the Earnings Trajectories of Displaced Workers

In this study, the impact of displacement and training on the earnings trajectories of limited English proficient displaced workers in Massachusetts was explored. The data set for the study combined background and participation data on 1,103 individuals who exited from publicly funded displaced worker training programs in Massachusetts between July 1, 1997 and June 30, 2000 with longitudinal data on their quarterly earnings from UI-covered employment. (The methodology and some preliminary results were included in last year's Annual Report.)

In the data analyses, Generalized Least Squares regression analysis was used to fit random effects models of individual earnings as a function of time, displacement, and training. The results show that displaced workers with poor English skills typically have low earnings. These workers face a steep drop in earnings at layoff and have low labor market re-entry earnings. They do, however, enjoy earnings recovery post-layoff.

With respect to training, the results indicate that training affects the post-layoff earnings trajectories of workers but that this effect may depend on time and English reading ability. Sensitivity analyses further showed that this effect is first and foremost an effect on employment. These results justify a greater emphasis on programs designed to increase the skills and educational attainment of these workers once re-employed. This may allow workers to minimize their losses and firms to meet their demand for skilled labor. A *Research and Evaluation Brief* and an academic paper for submission in a professional journal are being prepared.

Research and Evaluation Priorities: 2003-2006

To identify where to focus research and evaluation resources beyond these initial studies, the Department of Workforce Development (previously known as the Department of Labor and Workforce Development) established a *Workforce Development Research and Evaluation Agenda* for the period 2003-2006. The Department of Workforce Development crafted the agenda in summer 2003 in conjunction with leaders from industry, labor, education, workforce development, economic development, research, and evaluation. The agenda will be discussed in late fall 2003 with an expanded group of individuals and organizations at the national, state, regional, and local levels with a vested interest in the outcomes of and returns to public investments in workforce development in the Commonwealth.

This agenda reflects the Commonwealth's commitment to (1) determining the effectiveness of public workforce development programs, (2) disseminating study results for use in a continuous improvement process, and (3) promoting research and evaluation as integral parts of workforce development service delivery and as the foundations of well-informed, evidence-based policy making.

For the 2003-2006 period, research and evaluation will address five priorities:

Priority 1: Evaluate the effectiveness of the One Stop Career Centers

The One Stop Career Centers (OSCC) are Massachusetts' primary service delivery system for workforce development. These centers have sufficiently matured to warrant an investigation of their effectiveness and to identify effective practices. An OSCC evaluation needs to minimally:

- Identify who participates in what services and with what results (employment, earnings, and customer satisfaction).
- Estimate the net impact of participation on employment and earnings for customers who receive intensive services.
- Determine the effect of participation in different types of services on the length of time that customers receive UI benefits for UI claimants.
- Document the business impact of services for employers.

Priority 2: Conduct and disseminate analyses of state and regional labor market information

The responsiveness of workforce development policies and programs to changes in the labor market and related economic development initiatives is critical. Therefore, regular analyses of state and regional labor market information and dissemination of findings from these analyses need to:

• Expand upon existing *Regional Workforce Investment and Labor Market Profiles* and *Census Briefs/Analyses* to identify system impact and responsiveness and point at areas where new program development or improvement of current policies and programs is needed.

Priority 3: Gather baseline study for all workforce development programs and update annually

Basic information about who participates in what and with what results for major workforce development programs is lacking today. To alleviate this shortage of information, the Commonwealth will:

- Facilitate agreement on a working definition of which federal and state programs constitute public workforce development in Massachusetts.
- Convene a Performance Accountability Round Table to develop a *Report Card* that:
 - (1) answers basic questions about publicly funded workforce development programs in Massachusetts (including minimally WIA programs and programs operated by WIA partners) including questions about how many individuals are served and eligible, about the level and type of services provided, about resources, and about outcomes (using *Core Performance Measures* as a starting point), and
 - (2) rates the performance of OSCCs, major workforce development/training programs, and the workforce development system.

<u>Priority 4: Increase the Number of Net Impact and Benefit-Cost Analyses (ROI Studies) of Major</u> <u>Workforce Development Programs:</u>

Given scarce public resources for workforce development, the Commonwealth needs recent information on the outcomes of and public returns to its investments in workforce development. To this end, the Commonwealth will:

- Disseminate widely findings from completed studies of the WIA Title I State Evaluation, which examined the effect of participation in training on quarterly employment rates and earnings of low-income adults.
- Evaluate current and new sectoral and career ladder initiatives focusing on both worker outcomes (e.g., skill gains, employment, mobility, earnings) and impact on business/industry (e.g., recruitment cost, quality of care).
- Incorporate in new evaluations, the Massachusetts' *Core Performance Measures* as the primary outcome measures to minimally examine the effect of programs on worker mobility and their impact on business/industry and supplement the *Core Performance Measures* with current or new measures of impact on state and regional economic growth and employment and other areas of policy interest (e.g., preserve UI Trust Fund).
- Evaluate larger programs (\$s and people) that have been in operation for a few years first, that have not been evaluated comprehensively, and that were designed to or have the ability to serve/support state policy objectives including programs for the emerging workforce (particularly programs for vulnerable out-of-school youth), programs for the transitional workforce (e.g., Wagner Peyser), and programs for the incumbent workforce (such as the Workforce Training Fund or Workplace Education programs).

Priority 5: Design, implement, and evaluate at least one demonstration project/program innovation.

Labor market analyses in conjunction with the results from completed evaluations point at areas where program innovation is desired. The Commonwealth will identify at least one area where such innovation is sorely needed and will design, implement, and evaluate at least one demonstration project. Possible candidates for such demonstration projects include:

- Experimental study to determine the effect of integrated education and job skills training programs on educational/skill attainment, employment, job/career advancement, and wages/earnings of older youth and adults.
- Experimental or non-experimental study to determine if intensive case management services reduce the length of time that UI claimants collect UI benefits.
- Non-experimental evaluation of the effect of programs that transition workers from one type of workforce development services to the next (e.g., ABE to post-secondary or advanced training).

Key Evaluation Responsibilities:

Through the Department of Workforce Development and its lead research and evaluation agency, Commonwealth Corporation, in collaboration with workforce partners including the Division of Career Services and Division of Unemployment Insurance, the Commonwealth will:

- Support selected research and evaluation studies on behalf of workforce development system that speak directly to the five priorities.
- Build partnerships with workforce development agencies to support research and evaluation and expand their investments in net impact and benefit-cost analyses.
- Broker public workforce development research and evaluation resources available in the state to implement the five priorities on the agenda.
- Leverage federal and private resources to support research and evaluation of workforce development programs.

The Commonwealth will develop specific products to meet these goals. These include:

- Development and testing of Annual Report Card
- Establishment of an on-line database with research and evaluation resources
- Electronic dissemination of reports and research and evaluation briefs with results and implications to practitioners and policy makers
- Organization of an Annual Research and Evaluation Conference to provide system customers and the public with updates on what worked and what hasn't worked
- Creation of a series of public data sets to facilitate engagement of the public and private research and evaluation communities
- Establishment of a Competitive Scholarship Program for Graduate Research focused on the priorities identified for workforce development research and evaluation

PY02 Title I Performance Review – Statewide Tables

The following section includes the required statewide tables on PY02 performance. This data has been entered into the USDOL on-line reporting system. Here is a brief overview of the results.

<u>Customer Satisfaction (Table A):</u> Results for both participants and employers increased as compared to PY01. While participant scores far exceed goal (78 vs. 72), results for employers continue to trail the participant scores and are slightly below goal (70 vs. 72). There was also a significant decrease in the response rates for both the participant and employer surveys. The DOL/OMB recommended response rate is 70%; the participant response rate fell to about 60% and the employer rate fell to only 50%. While there were some delays in establishing the customer satisfaction follow-up contract for the program year, it appears that the primary problem with the response rate is the inconsistent identification and collection of contact person information. The Commonwealth will take steps to improve these procedures as well as to take other necessary actions to meet the required response rate to ensure the validity of survey results.

<u>Overall Adult (Table B)</u>: The entered employment rate increased slightly over PY01 performance (74.5% vs. 74.1%), and continues to exceed the goal of 73%. The retention rate remained at the same level as PY01 (80%) and continues to be slightly below goal (80% vs. 82%). The employed with credential measure, however, showed marked improvement over PY01 (66.8% vs. 55.3%) and now exceeds the goal of 60% by a large margin. While the employment dimension of this measure remained about the same, a greater proportion of training completers attained a credential. Most adults completing a training program are being consistently reported by training providers as attaining some type of recognized credential, even if not a formal degree or certificate.

Given the decline in the economy, it is remarkable that the One-Stop Career Center system was able to maintain levels of placement and retention close to the goals of the WIA Five Year Plan. The exiters included in the performance measures of this report completed the program during the period of October 1, 2001 through September 30, 2002. Their post-program period for retention and earnings extends to the end of calendar year 2003. During this period, there has been a dramatic increase in the unemployment rate (from 3.5% to 6%), and in the number of UI claimants and exhaustees. There has been a decline in average weekly wages and there has been a rise in underemployment, with more workers accepting jobs below their skill levels or with lower wages and/or hours per week.

The one measure that has not escaped the impact of the overall economy is the earnings gain at six months measure. The average earnings gain for the state is \$2,765, only 71% of the goal of \$3,900. The results for this measure declined dramatically last year and have now decreased by another 25% this program year (\$2,765 vs. \$3,852). The DOL threshold for failing to meet a negotiated performance level is 80%. Along with the earnings results for the Older Youth program, this marks the first program year where the Commonwealth has failed to meet a Title I performance measure. While economic conditions appear to be the principal reason for the decline, it is also true that an increasing percentage of participants in the adult program have significant pre-program earnings, making the achievement of post-program gains more challenging.

Overall Dislocated Workers (Table E): The results for dislocated workers are similar to the adult performance. The entered employment rate did increase, however, over PY01 performance (85.8% vs. 78.8%), and continues to exceed the goal of 79%. The retention rate remained at the same level as PY02 (about 86%) and continues to be slightly below goal (86% vs. 89%). The employed with credential measure also showed marked improvement over PY02 (78.8% vs. 55.7%) and now exceeds the goal of 60% by a large margin. Many, if not all, of the comments in the preceding section on adults seem to also apply to dislocated worker performance.

The earnings replacement rate (i.e., earnings in post-program quarters two and three as compared to pre-program earnings) for dislocated workers was 80.9%, about 86% of the goal of 94%. While not falling below the DOL threshold for meeting a performance goal, this was a decrease from PY01 (80.9% vs. 87.6%). As with adults, this continued the downward trend from the prior program year.

<u>Overall Older Youth (Table H):</u> The entered employment rate for older youth (age 19-21) was 58.4%, or 90% of the goal of 65%. This was another measure that declined versus PY01 (58.4% vs. 65.8%). The retention rate also slightly decreased from PY01 (76.4% vs. 77.6%), although it is still close to the goal of 79%. As with the adult and dislocated worker programs, the credential rate improved over PY01 (46.3% vs. 41.9%) and is at 93% of the goal of 50%. There also continues to be a relatively small number of exiters in the older youth category and thus there is great variation across workforce regions, with some having only a few such exiters in a program year. It is reasonable to expect some greater volatility in these performance results.

Similar to the adult program, the earnings gain rate for older youth significantly declined again in PY02 (\$2,149 vs. \$2,630). This average earnings gain of \$2,149 is only 72% of the performance goal of \$3,000. This becomes the second measure for the year that is in the category of failed to meet the negotiated performance level. It does appear that the economic conditions have greatly affected the earnings potential of low-income and hard-to-serve adults and youth.

<u>Overall Younger Youth (Table J)</u>: The skill attainment rate for younger youth (14-18) exceeded the standard at 78.7% versus the goal of 74%, although it declined from PY01 (78.7% vs. 83.4%). The diploma attainment rate of 57% improved over the PY01 level of 54.2% and met the goal of 57%. The retention rate decreased significantly from 61.8% in PY01 to 51.2%, about 91% of the goal of 56%. The decrease in employment opportunities caused by overall economic conditions most likely impacted this measure.

<u>Summary:</u> Most significantly, the Commonwealth failed the two performance measures for adult and older youth post-program earnings gain. The Commonwealth will conduct additional analyses to review this issue, and develop a corrective action strategy taking into consideration the current economic conditions. Despite some year-to-year changes, seven of the remaining measures exceeded goal, the same number as in PY01. There was considerable improvement in the credential rates, in part due to more consistent data collection and reporting. The response rates for the customer satisfaction surveys are far below the required levels and the Commonwealth will explore policies and procedures to improve these rates. With the failure to meet two performance goals, and with the survey response rates below the minimum requirement, the Commonwealth recognizes that the level of performance necessary to qualify for an incentive grant has not been attained. The Commonwealth will develop corrective action plans to address these issues.

Commonwealth of Massachusetts

WIA Title I-B PY02 Annual Report Form (ETA 9091)

Customer Satisfaction	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level - American Customer Satisfaction Index	Number of Completed Surveys	Number of Customers Eligible for the Survey	Number of Customers Included in the Sample	Response Rate
Participants	72	78.2	1589	6616	2634	60.3%
Employers	72	70.0	1473	6387	3046	48.4%

 Table A - Workforce Investment Act Customer Satisfaction Results

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance L	evel
Entered Employment Rate	73%	74.5%	1105 1483
Employment Retention Rate	82%	79.9%	1091 1366
Earnings Change in Six Months	\$3,900	\$2,765	\$3,342,546 1209
Employment And Credential Rate	60%	66.8%	683 1022

Table B - Adult Program Results At-A-Glance

Table C - Outcomes for Adult Special Populations

Reported Information	Recipier Intensive	Assistance hts Receiving e or Training ervices	Vet	erans		uals With bilities	Older Indi	viduals
Entered		335		22		89		50
Employment Rate	69.6%	481	64.7%	34	62.2%	143	64.1%	78
Employment		286		20		78		54
Retention Rate	73.3%	390	74.1%	27	78.0%	100	93.1%	58
Earnings Change		\$1,177,746		\$35,832		\$255,008		\$69,524
in Six Months	\$3,591	328	\$1,558	23	\$2,898	88	\$1,390	50
Employment And		227		10		49		22
Credential Rate	63.4%	358	62.5%	16	59.0%	83	50.0%	44

Table D - Other Outcome Information for the Adult Program

Reported Information	Individu Received Serv	Training	Individuals Who Received Only Core and Intensive Services		
		647		458	
Entered Employment Rate	76.8%	842	71.5%	641	
		647		444	
Employment Retention Rate	81.0%	799	78.3%	567	
		\$1,927,889		\$1,414,657	
Earnings Change in Six Months	\$2,814	685	\$2,700	524	

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance	
			2845
Entered Employment Rate	79%	85.8%	3314
			2442
Employment Retention Rate	89%	85.8%	2845
			\$32,365,621
Earnings Replacement in Six Months	94%	80.9%	\$39,995,292
			1192
Employment And Credential Rate	60%	78.8%	1513

Table E - Dislocated Worker Program Results At-A-Glance

Table F - Outcomes for Dislocated Worker Special Populations

Reported Information	Vet	terans		Individuals With Disabilities		Older Individuals		aced nakers
Entered Employment Rate	84.3%	225 267	84.7%	100 118	79.2%	401 506	77.8%	7 9
Employment Retention Rate	84.4%	190 225	86.0%	86 100	83.54%	335 401	100.0%	7 7
Earnings Replacement Rate	73.4%	\$2,890,958 \$3,936,855	81.2%	\$836,928 \$1,030,086	70.0%	\$4,138,054 \$5,909,301	111.1%	\$64,888 \$58,413
Employment And Credential Rate	78.3%	90 115	79.2%	38 48	71.9%	146 203	60.0%	3 5

Table G - Other Outcome Information for the Dislocated Worker Program

Reported Information	Individuals V Training		Individuals Who Received Only Core and Intensive Services		
		1314		1531	
Entered Employment Rate	86.8%	1513	85.0%	1801	
		1150		1292	
Employment Retention Rate	87.5%	1314	84.4%	1531	
		\$14,200,950		\$18,164,671	
Earnings Replacement Rate	80.5%	\$17,639,376	81.3%	\$22,355,915	

	Negotiated Performance Level		actual nance Level
			160
Entered Employment Rate	65%	58.4%	274
			146
Employment Retention Rate	79%	76.4%	191
			\$365,266
Earnings Change in Six Months	\$3,000	\$2,149	170
			145
Credential Rate	50%	46.3%	313

Table H - Older Youth Results At-A-Glance

 Table I - Outcomes for Older Youth Special Populations

Reported Information		Assistance piipients	Veterans		Individuals With Disabilities		Out-of-School Youth	
Entered		53		0		32		95
Employment	49.5%	107	0%	0	60.4%	53	55.9%	170
Employment		45		1		29		84
Retention Rate	72.6%	62	100%	1	85.3%	34	71.8%	117
Earnings		\$140,483		\$1,701		\$45,772		\$209,869
Change in Six	\$2,702	52	\$0	1	\$1,430	32	\$2,120	99
Credential		52		1		26		85
Rate	43.7%	119	100%	1	46.4%	56	43.6%	195

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level	
			4028
Skill Attainment Rate	74%	78.7%	5121
			363
Diploma or Equivalent Attainment Rate	57%	57.0%	637
			326
Retention Rate	56%	51.2%	637

Table K - Outcomes for Younger Youth Special Populations

Reported Information	Public Assistance Recipients			Individuals With Disabilities		Out-of-School Youth	
		1029		1256		966	
Skill Attainment Rate	77.1%	1335	77.8%	1614	70.6%	1368	
Diploma or Equivalent		79		102		166	
Attainment Rate	51.6%	153	69.9%	146	41.1%	404	
		74		68		164	
Retention Rate	44.6%	166	47.6%	143	46.6%	352	

	12 Mo Employ Reten Rat	yment ition	C (Adult 12 Mo Rep	 D. Earnings hange is and Older is outh) b. Earnings lacement ted Workers) 	Placemo Particip Nontrao Emplo	ants in litional	Wages At Entry Into Employment For Those Individuals Who Entered Unsubsidized Employment		Entry Into Unsubsidized Employment Related to the Training Received of Those Who Completed Training Services	
		896		\$4,009,070		429		\$4,152,780		263
Adults	74.1%	1209	\$3,612	1110	38.8%	1105	\$3,758	1105	41.0%	647
Dislocated		2001		\$27,449,491		849		\$17,356,623		336
Workers	83.7%	2392	97.3%	\$28,214,359	29.8%	2845	\$6,101	2845	26.0%	1314
Older		116		\$314,614		38		\$320,395		NA
Youth	71.2%	163	\$2,112	149	23.6%	161	\$1,990	161	NA	NA

Table L - Other Reported Information

Table M - Participation Levels

	Total Participants Served	Total Exiters
Adults	2,818	1,760
Dislocated Workers	4,937	2,895
Older Youth	687	341
Younger Youth	3,831	1,964

Table N - Cost of Program Activities

	Program	m Activity (PY02 & Carry-Over)	Total Federal Spending
Local Adults			\$7,561,737
Local Disloca	nted Workers		\$7,152,725
Local Youth			\$12,834,810
Rapid Respo	nse (up to 25%) §13	34 (a) (2) (A)	\$3,523,112
Statewide Re	quired Activiti	es (Up to 15%) §134 (a) (2) (B)	\$2,543,674
		Providing capacity building to local areas.	\$545,531
		Conducting research and/or demonstration projects.	\$232,610
		Incumbent worker projects.	\$350,000
Statewide		Support for eligible training provider system.	\$200,000
Allowable Activities §134 (a) (3) [exclude administration]	Program Activity Description		
Total of All F	Federal Spendir	ng Listed Above	\$34,944,199

State Name: MA Program Year: 2002

Table A: Workforce Investment Act Customer Satisfaction Results

Customer Satisfaction	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance - Level - American Customer Satisfaction Index	Number of Surveys Completed	Number of Customers Eligible for the Survey	Number of Customers Included in the Sample	Response Rate
Participants	72	78.2	1,589	6,616	2,634	60.3
Employers	72	70	1,473	6,387	3,046	48.4

Table B: Adult Program Results At-A-Glan

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Perform	nance Level
Entered Employment Beta	73	74.5	1,105
Entered Employment Rate			1,483
	82	79.9	1,091
Employment Ratention Rate			1,366
Forminger Changes in Six Month	3,900	2,765	3,342,546
Earnings Change in Six Month			1,209
Employment and Credential Rate	60	CC 0	683
	60	66.8	1,022

Table C: Outcomes for Adult Special Populations

Reported Information	Public Assistance Recipients Receiving Intensive or Training Services		nformation Receiving Inte		V	/eterans		ividuals With Disabilities	Older I	ndividuals
Entered		335		22	22	89		50		
Employment Rate	69.6	481	64.7	34	62.2	143	64.1	78		
Employment Retention		286		20		78		54		
Rate	73.3 -	390	74.1	27	78	100	93.1	58		
Earnings Change in Six		1,177,746		35,832		255,008		69,524		
Months	3,591 -	328	1,558	23	2,898	88	1,390	50		
Employment	62.4	227	60 F	10		F 5	49	50	22	
and Credential Rate	63.4	358		59	83	50	44			

Table D: Other Outcome Information for the Adult Program

Reported Information		als Who Received ning Services		als Who Only Received nd Intensive Services
Entered Employment Rate	76.9	647	71.5	458
	76.8	842	71.5	641
Employment Detention Date	81	647	70.0	444
Employment Retention Rate		799	78.3	567
Formings Change in Six Menths	2,814	1,927,889	2 700	1,414,657
Earnings Change in Six Months		685	2,700	524

Table E: Dislocated Worker Program Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Perfor	mance Level
Entered Employment Date	79	85.8	2,845
Entered Employment Rate			3,314
Employment Detertion Dete	89	85.8	2,442
Employment Retention Rate			2,845
Formings Devlocement in Six Months	94	80.9	32,365,621
Earnings Replacement in Six Months			39,995,291
	60	70.0	1,192
Employment and Credential Rate		78.8	1,513

Table F: Outcomes for Dislocated Worker Special Populations

Reported Information	Veterans		ns Individuals With Disabilities		Older Individuals		Displaced Homemakers	
Entered Employment Rate	84.3	225	84.7	100	79.2	401		7
	••	267	•	118		506	77.8	9
Employment Retention		190		86		335		7
Rate	84.4	225	86	100	83.5	401	100	7
Earnings Replacement		2,890,958		836,928		4,138,054		64,888
Rate	73.4	3,936,855	81.2	1,030,086	70	5,909,301	111.1	58,413
Employmemt And Credential Rate		90		38		146	- 60	3
	78.3	115	79.2	48	71.9	203		5

Table G: Other Outcome Information for the Dislocated Worker Program

Reported Information	Individuals Who Re	eceived Training Services	Individuals Who Receive	d Core and Intensive Services
Entered Employment Rate		1,314		1,531
	86.8	1,513	85 -	1,801
Employment Retention Rate		1,150		1,292
	87.5	1,314	84.4	1,531
Earnings Replacement Rate	80,5	14,200,950	81.3	18,164,671
	00.5	17,639,376	01.0	22,355,915

Table H: Older Youth Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level	
	65	58.4	160
Entered Employment Rate	60	50.4	274
Employment Retention Rate	79	76.4	146
	19	70.4	191
Earnings Change in Six Months	3,000	2,149	365,266
			170
Credential Rate	50	46.3	145
Credential Rate			313

Table I: Outcomes for Older Youth Special Populations

Reported Information	Public Assistance Recipients		Veterans		Individuals With Disabilities		Out-of-School Youth	
Entered Employment		53		0		32		95
Rate	49.5	107	0	1	60.4	53	55.9	170
Employment Retention	tention 72.6	45		1		29		84
Rate		62	100	1	85.3	34	71.8	117
Earnings Change in	2,702	140,483		1,701		45,772	2,120	209,869
Six Months		52	1,701	1	1,430	32		99
Credential Rate		52		1		26		85
	43.7	119	100	1	46.4	56	43.6	195

Table J: Younger Youth Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level	
	74	78.7	4,028
Skill Attainment Rate	14	/0./	5,121
Dislama as Envirolant Attainment Data	57	57	363
Diploma or Equivalent Attainment Rate			637
Detention Dete	56		326
Retention Rate		51.2	637

Table K: Outcomes for Younger Youth Special Populations

Reported Information	Public Assis	tance Recipients	Individu	uals Disabilities	Out-o	f-School Youth
Skill Attainment Rate		1,029	77.8	1,256		966
	77.1	1,335		1,614	70.6	1,368
Diploma or Equivalent Attainment Rate		79	69.9	102	41.1	166
	51.6	153		146		404
Retention Rate	44.6	74	47.6	68	- 46.6	164
		166		143		352

Table L: Other Reported Information

	Emplo	onth yment on Rate	12 Mo. Ear (Adults and C 0 12 Mo. Ear Replaceme (Dislocated V	r nings ent	Parti Non	ements for icipants in traditional ployment	Empl Those In Entered Uns	At Entry Into oyment For dividuals Who I Employment ubsidized ployment	Employm the Traini Those W	Unsubsidized ent Related to ng Received of ho Completed ng Services
		896	0.040	4,009,070		429	0.750	4,152,780	10.0	263
Adults	74.1	1,209	3,612	1,110	38.8	1,105	3,758	1,105	40.6	647
Dislocated		2,001		27,449,491		849		17,356,623		336
Workers	83.7	2,392	97.3	28,214,359	29.8	2,845	6,101	2,845	25.6	1,314
Older	71.2	116		314,614		38		320,395	1	
Youth		163	2,112	149	23.8	160	2,002	160		

Table M:Participation Levels

	Total Participants Served	Total Exiters
Adults	2,818	1,760
Dislocated Workers	4,937	2,895
Older Youth	687	341
Younger Youth	3,831	1,964

Table N: Cost of Program Activities

	Program Activity		Total Federal Spending
Local Adult	s		\$7,561,737.00
Local Dislo	cated	l Workers	\$7,152,725.00
Local Youth	ו		\$12,834,810.00
Rapid Resp	onse	(up to 25%) 134 (a) (2) (A)	\$3,523,112.00
Statewide R	de Required Activities (up to 25%) 134 (a) (2) (B)		
Statewide		Providing capacity building to local areas	\$545,531.00
Allowable	5	Conducting research and/or demonstration projects	\$232,610.00
Activities	Description	Incumbent worker projects	\$350,000.00
134 (a) (3)	scri	Support for eligible training provider system	\$2,000,000.00
	Des	Program Activity	\$0.00
	īť	Program Activity	\$0.00
	ctivity	Program Activity	\$0.00
	►	Program Activity	\$0.00
	Program Activity Program Activity Program Activity Program Activity		\$0.00
			\$0.00
	_ L	Program Activity	\$0.00
		Total of All Federal Spending Listed Above	\$34,944,199.00

State Name: MA

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name:		Adults	386
25005	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	232
	Served	Older Youth	105
		Younger Youth	382
	Total Exiters	Adults	223
		Dislocated Workers	130
		Older Youth	46
		Younger Youth	179

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance		erformance .evel
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		72		77.7
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		72		72
	Adults		70		62.6
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		74		68.1
	Older Youth		63		55.2
	Adults		79		73.8
	Dislocated Workers		84		88
Retention Rate	Older Youth		77		66.7
	Younger Youth		50		
	Adults(\$)		3,800	3,800	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		90		
	Older Youth (\$)		2,950		
	Adults		57		51
	Dislocated Workers		55		42.2
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		48		48.6
	Younger Youth		51	57.6	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		68		95.9
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance				
Indicator Descriptio			0		0
Indicator Descriptio			0		0
		Not Met	Me	t	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Performance		0	0		0

State Name: MA

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name:		Adults	517
25015	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	570
	Served	Older Youth	91
		Younger Youth	536
	Total Exiters	Adults	311
		Dislocated Workers	297
		Older Youth	38
		Younger Youth	255

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance		erformance evel
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		72		83.5
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		72		71.5
	Adults		70		78.7
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		78		91.1
	Older Youth		59		62.5
	Adults		79		88.3
	Dislocated Workers		88		93.4
Retention Rate	Older Youth		73		85
	Younger Youth		51		
	Adults(\$)		3,400		2,971
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		90		79.6
Replacement in oix months	Older Youth (\$)		2,350		2,497
	Adults		57		76.5
	Dislocated Workers		59		87
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		44	31	
	Younger Youth		52		76.6
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		69		91.4
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance				
Indicator Descriptio			0		0
Indicator Descriptio			0		0
		Not Met	Ме	t I	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	Overall Status of Local Performance		0		0

State Name: MA

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name:		Adults	45
25020	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	193
	Served	Older Youth	23
		Younger Youth	77
	Total Exiters	Adults	39
		Dislocated Workers	102
		Older Youth	23
		Younger Youth	65

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance		rformance vel
Customer Catiofaction	Program Participants		72		71.9
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		72		66.6
	Adults		71		84.1
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		77		92
	Older Youth		62		76.9
	Adults		80		90
Determine Dete	Dislocated Workers		87		90.4
Retention Rate	Older Youth		76		76.2
	Younger Youth		53		
	Adults(\$)		3,450		
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		89		
	Older Youth (\$)		2,500		
	Adults		58	в	
	Dislocated Workers		58		92.9
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		47	48.1	
	Younger Youth		54		42.1
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		71		64.8
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance				
Indicator Descriptio			0		0
Indicator Descriptio			0		0
		Not Met	Ме	t E	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	0		0

State Name: MA

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name: 25025		Adults	125
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	261
	Served	Older Youth	37
		Younger Youth	214
		Adults	95
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	199
		Older Youth	26
		Younger Youth	94

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actual Perfor Level	mance
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		72		79
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		72		70
	Adults		71		82.3
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		78		
	Older Youth		62		64.3
	Adults		80		81.9
	Dislocated Workers		88		89.5
Retention Rate	Older Youth		76		80
	Younger Youth		54		
	Adults(\$)		3,650		
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		92		
	Older Youth (\$)		2,700		
	Adults		58		
	Dislocated Workers		59		88.4
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		47	66.7	
	Younger Youth		55		45.5
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		72		69.3
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance				
Indicator Descriptio			0		0
Indicator Descriptio			0		0
		Not Met	Me	t Exce	eded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	0	0	

State Name: MA

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name:		Adults	192
25030	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	325
	Served	Older Youth	27
		Younger Youth	233
		Adults	162
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	245
		Older Youth	14
		Younger Youth	156

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actual Per Lev	
Customer Setisfaction	Program Participants		72		74.1
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		72		71.8
	Adults		70		81.5
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		78		89.2
	Older Youth		59		40
	Adults		79		72.2
Defenden Defe	Dislocated Workers		88		77.8
Retention Rate	Older Youth		73		66.7
	Younger Youth		52		
	Adults(\$)		3,750		
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		93		
	Older Youth (\$)		2,700		
	Adults		57		
One described / Disclosure Derie	Dislocated Workers		59		86.7
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		44		46.2
	Younger Youth		53		55.9
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		70		73.7
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance				
Indicator Descriptio			0		0
Indicator Descriptio			0		0
		Not Met	Me	t E	xceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	0		0

State Name: MA

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name: 25035		Adults	75
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	155
	Served	Older Youth	33
		Younger Youth	243
		Adults	43
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	92
		Older Youth	13
		Younger Youth	157

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actual Perform Level	nance
Customer Setisfaction	Program Participants		72		83
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		72		71.9
	Adults		73		82.2
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		79		91.6
	Older Youth		62		50
	Adults		82		76.3
	Dislocated Workers		89		86.7
Retention Rate	Older Youth		76		71.4
	Younger Youth		53		
	Adults(\$)		3,900		
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		94		
	Older Youth (\$)		2,700		
	Adults		60		
	Dislocated Workers		60		87.5
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		47		42.3
	Younger Youth		54		42.9
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		71		87.7
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance				
Indicator Descriptio			0		0
Indicator Descriptio			0		0
		Not Met	Me	t Exce	eded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	0	0	

State Name: MA

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name: 25040		Adults	157
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	542
	Served	Older Youth	43
		Younger Youth	365
		Adults	102
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	359
		Older Youth	29
		Younger Youth	292

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actual Performanc Level	e
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		72	74	4.8
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		72	70).9
	Adults		71		9.3
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		77		7.9
	Older Youth		62	47	7.6
	Adults		80	78	3.5
Defention Defe	Dislocated Workers		87	1	84
Retention Rate	Older Youth		76	-	70
	Younger Youth		51	47	7.5
	Adults(\$)		3,450	1,99	50
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		89	77	7.5
	Older Youth (\$)		2,500		25
	Adults		58		3.6
	Dislocated Workers		58		2.2
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		47	42.9	
	Younger Youth		52		38
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		69	72.9	
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance				
Indicator Descriptio			0		0
Indicator Descriptio			0		0
		Not Met	Ме	t Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	0	0	

State Name: MA

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name: 25045		Adults	74
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	271
	Served	Older Youth	40
		Younger Youth	276
		Adults	46
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	140
		Older Youth	21
		Younger Youth	219

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actual Per Lev		
Customer Catiofaction	Program Participants		72		80.7	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		72		66.6	
	Adults		73		73.7	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		79		84.6	
	Older Youth		65		50	
	Adults		82		78.8	
Data da Data	Dislocated Workers		89		83.7	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		79		100	
	Younger Youth		56			
	Adults(\$)		3,900			
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		94			
	Older Youth (\$)		3,000			
	Adults		60		64.9	
	Dislocated Workers		60		73.3	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		50	27.8		
	Younger Youth		57		73.8	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		74		82.4	
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance					
Indicator Descriptio			0		0	
Indicator Descriptio			0		0	
		Not Met	Ме	t Ex	ceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	0	C)	

State Name: MA

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name: 25050		Adults	67
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	317
	Served	Older Youth	30
		Younger Youth	92
		Adults	48
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	221
		Older Youth	26
		Younger Youth	78

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance		erformance evel
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		72		80.6
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		72		70.6
	Adults		73		79.3
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		79		82
	Older Youth		65		47.4
	Adults		82		74.1
Data da Data	Dislocated Workers		89		83.9
Retention Rate	Older Youth		79 56		92.3
	Younger Youth				47.1
	Adults(\$)		3,900		869
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		94		
	Older Youth (\$)		3,000	3,763	
	Adults		60		
	Dislocated Workers		60	73.	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		50		
	Younger Youth		57	90	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		74	95.7	
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance				
Indicator Descriptio			0		0
Indicator Descriptio			0		0
		Not Met	Ме	t I	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	0		0

State Name: MA

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name: 25055		Adults	295
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	329
	0	Older Youth	88
		Younger Youth	317
		Adults	168
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	140
		Older Youth	26
		Younger Youth	105

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance		Performance Level
Customer Catiofaction	Program Participants		72		79.7
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		72		71.2
	Adults		69		74.6
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		75		87.4
	Older Youth		63		61.2
	Adults		78		81.1
Detection Dete	Dislocated Workers		85		88.9
Retention Rate	Older Youth		77		75.8
	Younger Youth		54		46.4
	Adults(\$)		3,800		
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		92		89.7
	Older Youth (\$)		3,000	2,75	
	Adults		56		67.4
	Dislocated Workers		56	81.	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		48		
	Younger Youth		55	61.1	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		72	82.3	
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance				
Indicator Descriptio			0		0
Indicator Descriptio			0		0
		Not Met	Me	t	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	0		0

State Name: MA

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name: 25060		Adults	230
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	387
	0	Older Youth	37
		Younger Youth	162
		Adults	136
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	199
		Older Youth	22
		Younger Youth	86

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actual Perfe Leve	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		72		82.2
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		72		68.6
	Adults		69		72.2
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		77		79.8
	Older Youth		61		71.4
	Adults		78		74.4
Defending Defe	Dislocated Workers		87		84.8
Retention Rate	Older Youth		75		42.9
	Younger Youth		53		40.5
	Adults(\$)		3,500		
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		90		
	Older Youth (\$)		2,600	1,09	
	Adults		56		77.8
	Dislocated Workers		58	61 55	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		46		
	Younger Youth		54	14	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		71	37.7	
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance				
Indicator Descriptio			0		0
Indicator Descriptio			0		0
		Not Met	Ме	t Ex	ceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	0	0	

State Name: MA

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name: 25065		Adults	58
		Dislocated Workers	92
		Older Youth	36
		Younger Youth	150
		Adults	39
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	46
		Older Youth	10
		Younger Youth	39

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance		erformance evel
Quetemer Catiofaction	Program Participants		72		78
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		72		66.1
	Adults		73		52.2
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		79		80.5
	Older Youth		65		100
	Adults		82		100
	Dislocated Workers		89		92.4
Retention Rate	Older Youth		79 56		100
	Younger Youth				12.5
	Adults(\$)		3,900		7,865
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		94		
	Older Youth (\$)		3,000		3,960
	Adults		60		45.5
	Dislocated Workers		60		
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		50		66.7
	Younger Youth		57	42.	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		74	68.5	
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance				
Indicator Descriptio			0		0
Indicator Descriptio			0		0
		Not Met	Ме	t	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	0		0

State Name: MA

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name:		Adults	71
25070	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	436
	0	Older Youth	32
		Younger Youth	170
		Adults	49
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	203
		Older Youth	13
		Younger Youth	36

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actual Perfo		
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		72		82.6	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		72		66	
	Adults		73		70.5	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		79		82.3	
	Older Youth		65		100	
	Adults		82		84.4	
	Dislocated Workers		89		89.2	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		79		100	
	Younger Youth		56		0	
	Adults(\$)		3,900	3,900		
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		94			
	Older Youth (\$)		3,000	62		
	Adults		60		65.8	
	Dislocated Workers		60	86		
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		50			
	Younger Youth		57		68	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		74	69.6		
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance					
Indicator Descriptio			0		0	
Indicator Descriptio			0		0	
		Not Met	Me	t Ex	ceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	0	0		

State Name: MA

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name: 25075		Adults	49
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	174
	0	Older Youth	5
		Younger Youth	52
		Adults	25
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	107
		Older Youth	1
		Younger Youth	12

		Negotiated Perfo Level	rmance	Actual Performance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		72	82.1
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		72	71.9
	Adults		69	100
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		78	86.9
	Older Youth		59	100
	Adults		78	80
	Dislocated Workers		88	86
Retention Rate	Older Youth		73	
	Younger Youth		52	50
	Adults(\$)		3,300	1,880
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		89	77.3
	Older Youth (\$)		2,300	3,174
	Adults		56	71.4
	Dislocated Workers		59	78.7
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		44	0
	Younger Youth		53	66.7
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		70	92
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance			
Indicator Descriptio			0	0
Indicator Descriptio			0	0
		Not Met	Met	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	0	0

State Name: MA

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name: 25080		Adults	126
	Served	Dislocated Workers	190
		Older Youth	33
		Younger Youth	190
		Adults	71
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	120
		Older Youth	21
		Younger Youth	128

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actual Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		72	68.9	
	Employers		72	69.1	
Entered Employment Rate	Adults		68	69.5	
	Dislocated Workers		75	87.9	
	Older Youth		61	47.6	
Retention Rate	Adults		77	73.1	
	Dislocated Workers		85	75.9	
	Older Youth		75	80	
	Younger Youth		54	61.4	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Adults(\$)		3,250	2,737	
	Dislocated Workers		86	80.7	
	Older Youth (\$)		2,400	2,570	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Adults		55	55	
	Dislocated Workers		56	91.3	
	Older Youth		46	44.8	
	Younger Youth		55		
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		72	77.9	
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance				
Indicator Descriptio			0		
Indicator Descriptio			0		
		Not Met	Me	t Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Performance		0	0	0	

State Name: MA

Progam Year:

2002

Local Area Name: 25085	Total Participants Served	Adults	351
		Dislocated Workers	463
		Older Youth	27
		Younger Youth	372
	Total Exiters	Adults	203
		Dislocated Workers	295
		Older Youth	12
		Younger Youth	63

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance		Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		72	75.7		
	Employers		72		72.3	
Entered Employment Rate	Adults		70		66.3	
	Dislocated Workers		72		80.4	
	Older Youth		61		85.7	
Retention Rate	Adults		79	76.5		
	Dislocated Workers		82		82.3	
	Older Youth		75		33.3	
	Younger Youth		50		51.3	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Adults(\$)		3,450	2,857		
	Dislocated Workers		85		89.1	
	Older Youth (\$)		2,500		-169	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Adults		57		59.4	
	Dislocated Workers		53	76		
	Older Youth		46	75		
	Younger Youth		51		58.5	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		68	38.2		
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance					
Indicator Descriptio			0		0	
dicator Descriptio			0		0	
		Not Met	Me	t	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Performance		0	0		0	