State of Illinois Report on Workforce Development

Annual Report Narrative Information

Program Year 2002

State of Illinois Report on Workforce Development

Annual Report Narrative Information Program Year 2002

Introduction

This narrative contains Illinois' federally required information on the status of state evaluations of workforce investment activities for Program Year 2002 and the cost of these activities relative to their effect. The following report:

- presents the required discussion and data tables regarding the cost-effectiveness of workforce investment activities.
- presents the required summary of evaluations of workforce investment activities, and
- provides a copy of the required Annual Report Tables. These tables have also been transmitted to USDOL/ETA in accordance with the instructions contained in TEGL No. 14-03; they reflect outcomes for the full PY 2002 (all four quarters).

Cost-Effectiveness Analysis

Table 1 provides a Workforce Investment Act (WIA) Title I Financial Statement, which includes the Operating Results. Tables 2 through 5 provide relevant Cost-Effectiveness information for the State for each WIA Title I program. Illinois has adapted the format suggested for use in TEGL 14-00, Change 1. Rather than providing an overall cost-effectiveness ratio for the Title I programs, we have developed cost-effectiveness measures for each program. This has enabled us to take into account the important differences in the targeted populations for each program and the variations in the intended outcomes of each program.

<u>Methodology</u>. The methodology for deriving cost-effectiveness measures related to the basic performance outcomes for each program is as follows:

- 1. An overall cost per participant number was computed for each program by dividing the total program expenditures by the number of persons served. The cost figure for Dislocated Workers includes Dislocated Worker and Rapid Response funding.
- 2. The number of participants that were included in each performance category was determined from the federal quarterly 9091 Report. Generally, this corresponds to the number of participants included in the denominator for each measure.
- 3. The overall participant cost per amount for the program from (1) was multiplied by the number from (2) to yield an estimate of the total cost associated with services to the participants included in the performance measure. This was done because the number of participants in each measure varies, depending on the counting rules for the measure. It would be inappropriate to attribute the entire cost of the program to the subset of those included in each measure. Except for the Younger Youth Skill Attainment measure, the participants counted in each measure are exiters as reported in the Annual Report.

4. The estimated cost number from (3) was divided by the number of successful outcomes from the performance measure. This corresponds to the numerator for each performance measure. This calculation yielded an overall cost per outcome for each performance measure. For the Earnings measures, the Return on Investment (ROI) per participant for the exit year was determined.

For the Skill Attainment measure, the value is based on the cost of each attainment. The participants for this measure are all active youth in the Program Year.

Limitations. There are several limitations to the methodology as described below:

- 1. The major limitation to any cost-effectiveness analysis for WIA is the absence of cost information at the customer level. The only generally applicable cost-effectiveness measure, therefore, is an overall cost per participant (customer). This value has been computed for each program (Adult, Dislocated Workers, Older Youth and Younger Youth). Because there is no requirement for separate tracking of older youth and younger youth expenditures, a single cost per value has been computed for all youth programs and applied to each subprogram.
- 2. The method is highly sensitive to the volume of exiters. As the number of participants and exiters varies based on funding, the cost per outcome will vary.
- 3. The method assumes that the entire benefit derives from program participation, which is unlikely, but cannot be evaluated without comparison group information.

Results. The results of the analysis are included in the WIA Title I Financial Statement (Table 1). The State offers no evaluative judgment about these outcomes. At best, they may constitute a baseline against which subsequent results can be compared.

The State does not intend to present through these measures a definitive judgment on the cost-effectiveness of the Title I program. The State views these measures as suggestive and experimental in nature. The State expects that the methodology will be refined.

Cost-effectiveness measures have been developed for the following core performance outcomes:

Program	Measures
Adults	Earnings Change
	Employment
	Employment Retention
Dislocated Workers	Earnings Recovered
	Employment
	Employment Retention
Older Youth	Earnings Change
	Employment
	Employment Retention
Younger Youth	Skill Attainment
	Diploma Attainment
	Employment and Educational Retention

State of Illinois WIA Title I Financial Statement Table 1

Operating Results

Operating Results				Balance
	Available	Expended	Percent	Remaining
Total all fund Sources	\$237,879,916	\$165,155,825	69.43%	\$72,724,091
Adult Program Funds	\$47,275,933	\$41,154,557	87.05%	\$6,121,376
Carry-in funds (no add)	\$5,241,578	\$5,386,684	102.77%	(\$145,106)
Dislocated Worker Program Funds	\$48,514,149	\$39,012,624	80.41%	\$9,501,525
Carry-in funds (no add)	\$1,850,612	\$2,224,898	120.22%	(\$374,286)
Youth Program Funds	\$50,008,954	\$40,168,610	80.32%	\$9,840,344
Carry-in funds (no add)	\$6,003,331	\$6,185,641	103.04%	(\$182,310)
Out of School Youth	\$13,633,059	\$17,904,924	131.33%	(\$4,271,865)
In School Youth	\$27,359,360	\$16,078,046	58.77%	\$11,281,314
Summer Employment Opportunities		\$1,213,761		\$(1,213,761)
Local Administration Funds	\$19,097,499	\$10,869,873	56.92%	\$8,227,626
Carry-in funds (no add)	\$4,352,683	\$3,650,982	83.88%	\$701,701
Rapid Response funds	\$25,256,982	\$17,806,822	70.50%	\$7,450,160
Carry-in funds (no add)	\$2,366,059	\$2,284,219	96.54%	\$81,840
Statewide Activities Funds	\$47,726,399	\$16,143,339	33.82%	\$31,583,060
Carry-in funds (no add)	\$18,119,931	\$6,915,745	38.17%	\$11,204,186

In Table 1 the over expenditure in PY-2001 Adult, Youth and Dislocated Workers is offset by the under expenditure in PY 2001 administration.

Tables 2 – 5 present WIA performance data in terms of cost-effectiveness. They provide expenditure information for staff-assisted core services, intensive services and training services. Information is provided by earnings change and earnings recovered, employment, and retention measures for adults, dislocated workers and older youth; and skill attainment, diplomas and retention for younger youth.

Illinois expended more than \$138 million in PY 2002 across the adult, dislocated workers (including rapid response) and youth clusters (both older and younger youth). More than 48,000 customers were served. The data indicate that the WIA program has operated effectively in Illinois, with an overall cost per participant of \$2,844, which includes administrative costs.

Cost-Effectiveness Tables 2-5

Table 2: Adult Programs

Expenditures	\$41,154,557		
Participants	14,636		
Cost per Participant	\$2,812		
Measures:	Earnings Change	Employment	Retention
Participants in measure	2,214	2,225	2,385
Cost	\$6,225,768	\$6,256,700	\$6,706,620
Outcome	\$8,245,957	1,744	2,052
Cost per outcome		\$3,588	\$3,268
Return on Investment Per Participant	\$912		

Table 3: Dislocated Workers Programs

Expenditures	\$56,819,446		
Participants	20,430		
Cost per Participant	\$2,781		
Measures:	Earnings Recovered	Employment	Retention
Participants in measure	3,156	3,912	3,367
Cost	\$8,776,836	\$10,879,272	\$9,363,627
Outcome	\$48,635,192	3,367	3,072
Cost per outcome		\$3,231	\$3,048
Return on Investment Per Participant	\$12,629		

Table 4: Older Youth Programs

Expenditures	\$40,168,610		
Participants	13,501		
Cost per Participant	\$2,975		
Measures:	Earnings Change	Employment	Retention
Participants in measure	433	569	494
Cost	\$1,288,175	\$1,692,775	\$1,469,650
Outcome	\$1,485,369	398	397
Cost per outcome		\$4,253	\$3,702
Return on Investment Per Participant	\$455		

Table 5: Younger Youth Programs

Expenditures	\$40,168,610		
Participants	13,501		
Cost per Participant	\$2,975		
Measures:	Skill Attainment	Diplomas	Retention
Skills Attained	12,301		
Participants in measure		2,866	1,148
Cost	\$36,595,475	\$8,526,350	\$3,415,300
Outcome	9,079	1,857	776
Cost per outcome	\$4,031	\$4,591	\$4,401

State Evaluations of Workforce Investment Activities

The following is a description of several workforce investment evaluation projects recently completed or currently underway in Illinois. Further information about each of these projects can be obtained by contacting the Illinois Department of Commerce and Economic Opportunity, Bureau of Workforce Development, 620 East Adams Street, 5th Floor, Springfield, Illinois 62701.

Name of the	World Class One-Stop Project
evaluation study:	
Questions the evaluation will/did address:	The purpose of this project was to assist Illinois in assessing, analyzing, and recommending approaches and key actions to make the world-class One-Stop vision a reality. Work performed by the project contractor included:
	Analyze the way current programs serve customers, improve the process and cut bureaucratic red tape.
	Create models and prototypes to demonstrate promising One-Stop practices based on reviewing the best in the country.
	3. Develop corporate operational plans to hold each One-Stop partner responsible for the outcomes.
Description of the evaluation's methodology:	All comprehensive One-Stop centers in Illinois responded to a series of questions about their facility, management structure, partners, program volume, assessment tools, and other descriptive features. Corporation for a Skilled Workforce conducted process mapping at 10 One-Stops sites around the state to review their major business processes.
Status of evaluation:	On June 6, 2001 the Illinois Workforce Board adopted the report "Developing a World-Class One-Stop System for Illinois: Moving Beyond WIA." The report describes the evolution of Illinois' Workforce Development System: "where we've beenwhere we areand, most importantly, where we are going." The IWIB adopted the report as the road map that will guide all partners as we work together to build a world-class system for the citizens of Illinois. Critical steps were undertaken to address key needs identified at the state and local level that include: technological integration and support of the One-Stop System and the development of a common customer database. Concrete program improvements in key areas were also funded to advance Illinois One-Stop Centers beyond compliance and to further the development and implementation activities that will support the Illinois vision for a World-Class One-Stop Delivery System. Specifically, all resource room materials were reviewed and updated statewide; high speed internet access was made available in all One-Stop Centers in Illinois; and local grants were made available to all Local Workforce Boards for purposes of conducting community audits, regional strategic planning and development of operational plans for each One-Stop Center.

Name of the evaluation study:	Benchmarking Workforce Development in Illinois
Questions the evaluation will/did address:	In 2002, the Illinois Workforce Investment Board (IWIB), through its Evaluation and Accountability Committee (EAC), created a mechanism to evaluate the State's progress in meeting its goals for the workforce development system. In its report entitled "Measuring Progress: Benchmarking Workforce Development in Illinois," the IWIB recommended the following actions to support greater accountability and continuous improvement:
	Institutionalize benchmarking into the Illinois workforce development system as a continuous improvement strategy;
	2. Measure functional adult literacy in Illinois at least every five years;
	3. Adopt the Self-Sufficiency Standard as the best available measure of individual and family ability to meet basic economic needs;
	4. Provide leadership and resources to expand the Illinois Common Performance Management System and use it to measure the success of the Illinois workforce development system; and
	5. Develop a comprehensive method of measuring participation in education and job training in Illinois.

(Continued)

Name of the evaluation study:	Benchmarking Workforce Development in Illinois (Continued)
Description of the evaluation's methodology:	Based upon an extensive process of stakeholder and expert input, the IWIB has also recommended ten critical benchmarks. The first six measure workforce quality and are arranged in an order that tells a story about the educational life of a worker – tracing the life history of workers through various educational milestones. The next two benchmarks focus on the earnings of our workforce, since high wages are an indicator of the State's economic health and a successful workforce. The final two benchmarks are key to measuring Illinois' competitive business advantage. They are as follows:
	1. Educational level of working-age adults;
	Percentage of the adult workforce in education or workforce training;
	3. Adult literacy;
	 Percentage of high school graduates transitioning to education or workforce training;
	5. High school dropout rate;
	6. The number of youth transitioning from 8 th grade to 9 th grade;
	7. Percentage of individuals and families at economic self-sufficiency;
	8. Average growth in pay;
	9. Net job growth; and
	10. Productivity per employee.
Status of evaluation:	The IWIB's report was published in late 2002. It contains the ten benchmarks, baseline information for Illinois, and the recommendations for next steps to be taken in supporting greater accountability and continuous improvement of the State's workforce development system.
	Public Act 93-0331 requires that the IWIB implement a method for measuring the progress of the workforce development system by using the ten benchmarks. Therefore, the EAC is in the process of identifying the most significant early indicators for each benchmark, establishing mechanisms to collect data and track the benchmarks on an annual basis, and using the results to set goals for each benchmark, inform planning, and ensure the effective use of resources.

Name of the	Pilot Study of ACT's WorkKeys Assessment System
evaluation study:	
Questions the evaluation will/did address:	Illinois is funding pilot projects in the use of the WorkKeys assessment system in four locations: Chicago Workforce Board (LWIA 9), the Workforce Investment Board of Western Illinois (LWIA 14), the Central Illinois Workforce Development Board (LWIA 15), and the Southern Illinois Workforce Investment Board (LWIA 25). The project is designed to assess the utility of the WorkKeys tool. The following are some of the questions that will be addressed:
	1. How satisfied were workers who took the exam?
	2. How many workers who took the exam sought and obtained remediation? How many completed remediation?
	3. Are client test scores consistent with work history?
	4. Does use of WorkKeys increase the ease of employee recruiting and retention?
	5. How transferable are the WorkKeys profiles between employers?
	6. Are job seekers who hold a credential based on WorkKeys assessment more marketable to employers than someone without such a credential?
	7. Do employers consider use of WorkKeys a valuable service to be provided by the One-Stop system?
Description of the evaluation's methodology:	Each project will involve the operational use of the WorkKeys assessment system. Employers will be recruited to participate in job profiling and job matching activities. Clients will be recruited to take the assessment and participate in remediation of skill deficiencies.
Status of evaluation:	The WorkKeys Assessment grants will end on December 31, 2003, with a final report due to the State by January 31, 2004. Copies of the final report will be made available upon request.

Name of the evaluation study:	Chicago Workforce Board Return on Investment (ROI) Study of Chicago One-Stop Partner Programs
Questions the evaluation will/did address:	The purpose of this project was to apply and further develop state-of-the- art standards that measure the return on investment of workforce and related programs for the Chicago Workforce Board. It was anticipated that addressing the goals of this project might well involve the development of new economic and social ROI measures.
Description of the evaluation's methodology:	 The core economic ROI model consisted of three measures: ROI-T (ROI to the Taxpayer, the amount theoretically available to be returned to the state and federal treasury through reduced public assistance dependency and increased state and federal level tax contributions); ROI-D (ROI Disposable Income, new money potentially available to go into the local economy and local tax infrastructure based on the net change in disposable income); and
Status of	3. ROI-E (an estimate of the system-wide economic impact of program expenditures and results using economic multiplier principles and the US Department of Commerce Regional Input-Output Model.) Social ROI measures are customized to individual programs. This project was completed on schedule in early 2003. Copies of the final
evaluation:	report are available upon request.

Name of the evaluation study:	Chicago Workforce Board Evaluation Project
Questions the evaluation will/did address:	The Chicago Workforce Board is undertaking a major evaluation of its One-Stop system. In Chicago, the state employment and training system is structured around five One-Stop centers; however, programs and services are delivered via a wide network of partner agencies and organizations throughout the city. Most of the four priority areas of analysis require that data be collected from several of these partner agencies and organizations. The four areas being evaluated are: 1. Who is being served by the One-Stop system in Chicago, and what services are they receiving? Where are the gaps in service? 2. What happens to customers who visit the One-Stop system? Are they being referred to appropriate services? 3. Which employers use the services of the One-Stop system, and why? Of employers who do not use the One-Stop system, why don't they? 4. What program resources are available to employers in the Chicago area, and how do these programs relate to each other?
Description of the evaluation's methodology:	 Examine existing data from all programs required by the Workforce Investment Act (WIA) and associated Executive Orders by the Governor of the State of Illinois to be provided in conjunction with the local One-Stop system. 1. Visit One-Stop centers and observe how clients are treated and directed to services. 2. Assess how employers who currently access services use these services and analyze their level of customer satisfaction. Assess why other employers don't use the services. 3. Collect data on several state programs intended for employer use of public resources and services. Focus on getting basic information on the Chicago area, such as the number of Chicago companies participating, the number of employees or jobs involved and the dollars accessed or spent annually.
Status of evaluation:	This project is underway with an expected completion date of December 2004.

Name of the evaluation study:	Chicago Workforce Board Internship Study
Questions the evaluation will/did address:	 The purpose of this study is to better understand how the Chicago Workforce Board can facilitate increased internship opportunities for youth ages 16 to 21. The study will address the following questions: What are the general principles of effective practice in youth internships, with a focus on employer-side practices? What are some examples of promising/best practice internship and career awareness models in three specific industries? What are employer perceptions and experiences with internships, as well as employer willingness to develop internship programs?
Description of the evaluation's methodology:	The project will employ a combination of literature review, expert interviews, case studies, an employer survey, and one-on-one employer interviews.
Status of evaluation:	Phase I of this project has been completed. Phase II, which includes piloting a business intermediary model, is scheduled for completion in December 2003.

Name of the evaluation study:	Decatur Impact Study					
Questions the evaluation will/did address:	Workforce Investment Solutions (LWIA 19) in cooperation with Millikin Institute undertook a study of the local One-Stop system in addressing the needs of the area's 1,500 workers who were dislocated as a result of the closing of Decatur's Bridgestone Firestone Plant. The study was designed to address the following questions:					
	1. What services are offered by local providers?					
	2. How are affected workers treated by service provider staff?					
	3. What pay levels do affected workers indicate that they will accept, and how does this change over time?					
	4. What pay levels do affected workers achieve upon re-employment?					
	5. What demographic factors affect the prospects for re-employment?					
	6. What factors prompt affected workers to leave the workforce?					
	7. How is the financial, physical and emotional well-being of affected workers changed as a result of the plant closing?					
Description of the evaluation's methodology:	The study gathered information about each program that serves affected workers. It tracked a sample of affected workers, interviewing them every three months. Tracking information was obtained by peer counselors selected and supported through the Manpower Assistance Program of the Illinois AFL-CIO, the Community Foundation of Decatur/Macon County, the Decatur Community Partnership, and the Heritage Behavioral Health Center.					
Status of evaluation:	Participants in the study included staff from the Local Workforce Investment Board, the Decatur Employment and Training Center, the AFL-CIO Peer Outreach Program, and the Community Foundation of Decatur and Macon County, as well as individuals dislocated from the Firestone facility in Decatur. The goals of the study were to assess the personal and community costs of a major plant closing and assess the progress of displaced workers as they tried to re-establish themselves in the labor force. The project analyzed factors such as average age at displacement; change in marital status; wage considerations; job satisfaction; change in personal income, bankruptcy, and pension plans; identification of the symptoms of stress and frequency of stress-related illness; and an overall assessment of the individuals' perceptions of their life since layoff from Firestone.					
	The study and final report was released in June of 2003. Copies of the study are available upon request.					

State Name: IL Program Year: 2002

Table A: Workforce Investment Act Customer Satisfaction Results

Customer Satisfaction	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance - Level - American Customer Satisfaction Index	Number of Surveys Completed	Number of Customers Eligible for the Survey	Number of Customers Included in the Sample	Response Rate
Participants	76	72.8	2,058	18,554	3,511	58.6
Employers	67	66.3	518	1,093	863	60

Table B: Adult Program Results At-A-Glan

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Perform	ance Level
Entered Employment Rate	69	78.4	1,744
Entered Employment Nate			2,225
Employment Detention Date	76	86.1	2,052
Employment Ratention Rate			2,383
Farmings Change in Ciry Month	3,400	3,724	8,245,957
Earnings Change in Six Month	ŕ	,	2,214
	FF	04.0	1,050
Employment and Credential Rate	55	64.6	1,625

Table C: Outcomes for Adult Special Populations

Reported Information		ance Recipients ensive or Training	Veterans Individuals With Older Individu Disabilities		ler Individuals			
Entered		163		93		114		77
Employment Rate	70.9	230	83	112	69.5	164	75.5	102
Employment Retention	20.0	156	04.0	97		129	20.0	86
Rate	80.8	193	84.3	84.3	83.2	155	89.6	96
Earnings Change in Six	0.040	724,432	5.000	533,747	0.047	378,495	0.544	226,379
Months	3,916	185	5,233	102	2,647	143	2,544	89
Employment	62	80	68.1	62	40.4	44	E0.6	31
and Credential Rate	02	129	00.1	91	48.4	91	59.6	52

 Table D:
 Other Outcome Information for the Adult Program

Reported Information		als Who Received ning Services		uals Who Only Received and Intensive Services	
Fatored Familian and Data	04	872	75.0	872	
Entered Employment Rate	81	1,076	75.9	1,149	
Employment Detention Date	07.0	1,184	20.0	868	
Employment Retention Rate	87.8	1,349	83.9	1,034	
Earnings Change in Six Months	4,279	5,225,256	2.042	3,020,701	
		1,221	3,042	993	

Page 2 of 7 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:20 PM

Table E: **Dislocated Worker Program Results At-A-Glance**

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Perfor	mance Level
Entered Employment Date	78	86.1	3,367
Entered Employment Rate			3,912
Employment Detention Date	80	91.2	3,072
Employment Retention Rate			3,367
Formings Doubsesment in Six Months	84	84.7	41,185,453
Earnings Replacement in Six Months			48,635,192
	55	67.4	1,620
Employment and Credential Rate		67.4	2,403

Outcomes for Dislocated Worker Special Populations Table F:

Reported Information	Vet	erans	Individuals	With Disabilities	Olde	r Individuals	Displace	d Homemakers
Entered Employment	84.7	343	75.4	95	79.3	329		13
Rate	•	405		126		415	81.3	16
Employment Retention		302		82		284	92.3	12
Rate	88	343	86.3	95	86.3	329		13
Earnings Replacement		4,201,924		892,723		3,502,111	187.8	69,764
Rate	79.3	5,298,388	81.2	1,099,010	67.5	5,188,775		37,146
Employmemt And Credential Rate		168		43		133	46.2	6
	68.3	246	53.1	81	63	211		13

Table G: Other Outcome Information for the Dislocated Worker Program

Reported Information	Individuals Who Ro	eceived Training Services	Individuals Who Received	d Core and Intensive Services
Entered Employment Rate		2,069		1,298
Zinorou Zinpioyinoni Nato	86.3	2,397	85.7	1,515
Employment Retention Rate		1,879		1,193
Employment Netertion Nate	90.8	2,069	91.9	1,298
Earnings Replacement Rate	25,017,708		85.7	16,167,745
Lamings Replacement Rate		29,774,112	30.7	18,861,080

Table H: Older Youth Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual F	Performance Level
Entered Employment Rate	63.6	69.9	398
Entered Employment Rate	63.6	09.9	569
Employment Detention Dete	74	90.4	397
Employment Retention Rate	71	80.4	494
Earnings Change in Six Months	2,300	3,430	1,485,369
	·	,	433
O. L. dalbar	50	55.3	399
Credential Rate			721

Table I: Outcomes for Older Youth Special Populations

Reported Information	Public Assistance Recipients		Veterans		Individuals With Disabilities		Out-of-School Youth	
Entered Employment		67	_	0		61		359
Rate	67	100	0	1	70.1	87	69	520
Employment Retention	77.6	59	_	0		59		354
Rate		76	0	1	83.1	71	79.4	446
Earnings Change in		244,701		0	2,997	173,817		1,312,406
Six Months 3,65	3,652	67	0	1		58	3,306	397
Credential Rate 48.7		57		0		69		354
	48.7	117	0	1	62.7	110	53.8	658

Table J: Younger Youth Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual P	erformance Level
	67.4	74	9,113
Skill Attainment Rate	67.4	74	12,322
Dislama or Empirelant Attainment Bata	F0	05.5	2,014
Diploma or Equivalent Attainment Rate	58	65.5	3,077
Petertian Pete	00	07.0	779
Retention Rate	63	67.9	1,148

Page 5 of 7 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:20 PM

Table K: **Outcomes for Younger Youth Special Populations**

Reported Information	Public Assistance Recipients		Individuals Disabilities		Out-of-School Youth	
Skill Attainment Rate	20.0	673	77.7	1,731	07.0	2,197
	66.3	1,015		2,228	67.2	3,271
Diploma or Equivalent	56.2	276	82.4	660	55.4	981
Attainment Rate		491		801		1,771
Retention Rate	65.1	123	70.7	210	64.2	433
		189	70.7	297		674

Table L: Other Reported Information

	Emplo	lonth pyment on Rate	12 Mo. Ear (Adults and 0 0 12 Mo. Ear Replaceme (Dislocated V	r nings ent	Parti Non	ements for icipants in traditional ployment	Empl Those In Entered Uns	At Entry Into oyment For idividuals Who I Employment subsidized ployment	Employm the Traini Those W	Unsubsidized ent Related to ng Received of ho Completed ng Services
		1,826		8,266,656		114		4,732,154		449
Adults	77.5	2,357	3,704	2,232	6.5	1,744	4,244	1,115	96.1	467
Dislocated		2,655		36,022,055	_	202		14,159,109	93.9	1,161
Workers	83.9	3,166	86.2	41,776,395	6	3,367	6,586	2,150		1,236
Older	74.9	245		1,142,693		26		587,994		
Youth	outh 74.9	327	3,796	301	6.5	398	2,556	230		

Table M: Participation Levels

	Total Participants Served	Total Exiters
Adults	14,636	5,922
Dislocated Workers	20,430	7,167
Older Youth	3,336	1,213
Younger Youth	10,165	4,252

Table N: Cost of Program Activities

	Program Activity		Total Federal Spending
Local Adult	s		\$41,154,557.00
Local Dislo	cated	l Workers	\$39,012,624.00
Local Youth	1		\$40,168,610.00
Rapid Resp	onse	e (up to 25%) 134 (a) (2) (A)	\$17,806,822.00
Statewide R	equi	red Activities (up to 25%) 134 (a) (2) (B)	\$6,193,055.00
Statewide		Capacity Building and Technical Assistance	\$1,269,114.00
Allowable	۾	Board Staffing Grants	\$472,619.00
Activities 134 (a) (3)	riptio	Miscellaneous	\$6,497,100.00
	Description		
	Activity		
	Program		
	<u> </u>		
		Total of All Federal Spending Listed Above	\$152,574,501.00

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name:		Adults	139
Northwest Illinois Workforce Investment Board - LWA 4	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	679
	Served	Older Youth	49
		Younger Youth	227
		Adults	37
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	188
		Older Youth	8
		Younger Youth	25

		Negotiated Perfo Level	rmance		Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		78		85.8	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		65		57.5	
	Adults		70		75	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		77.6		78.6	
	Older Youth		66.7		100	
	Adults		73.7		86.7	
	Dislocated Workers		79.9		93.5	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		71.4		83.3	
	Younger Youth		63.8		100	
	Adults(\$)		3,600		5,813	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		84		73.5	
Replacement in old Months	Older Youth (\$)		3,400		4,845	
	Adults		56.4		70	
	Dislocated Workers		53.7		59.9	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		48.3	83.3		
	Younger Youth		62.8		78.9	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		69.2		92.2	
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance					
			0		0	
			0		0	
		Not Met	Met		Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	2		15	

Page 1 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name:		Adults	610
River Valley Workforce Investment Board - LWA 5	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	510
200.0	Served	Older Youth	169
		Younger Youth	258
		Adults	235
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	167
		Older Youth	96
		Younger Youth	162

		Negotiated Perfo Level	rmance		erformance evel
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		75		71.9
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		64		67.6
Entered Employment Rate	Adults		69.6		89.5
	Dislocated Workers		79		94.4
	Older Youth		63.7		85.1
	Adults		73.8		90.6
	Dislocated Workers		80		96.4
Retention Rate	Older Youth		71.3		75.9
	Younger Youth		63.5		64.6
	Adults(\$)		3,028		3,011
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		92		94.8
Replacement in Oix Months	Older Youth (\$)		2,156		2,604
	Adults		54.6		60.7
Out to dist/Pistons Page	Dislocated Workers		59		88.6
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		49.2		79.4
	Younger Youth		59.3		52.2
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		66.8		75.7
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance				
			0		0
			0		0
		Not Met	Met	t	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	3		14

Page 2 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name:		Adults	212
DuPage County workforce Investment Board Inc LWA 6	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	739
	Served	Older Youth	94
		Younger Youth	200
	Total Exiters	Adults	58
		Dislocated Workers	128
		Older Youth	35
		Younger Youth	56

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actu	al Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		78		69.7	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		65		58.3	
	Adults		69.2		80.6	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		79.1		89.4	
	Older Youth		71.4		75	
	Adults		73.7		86.4	
Date of the Date	Dislocated Workers		79.9		94.5	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		71		75	
	Younger Youth		63.5		80	
	Adults(\$)		4,035		3,334	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		93.2		86.2	
replacement in old months	Older Youth (\$)		2,356		2,644	
	Adults		62.9		71.9	
One denoted / Divisions Date	Dislocated Workers		67.6		76	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		56.3		80	
	Younger Youth		67		84.1	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		65		72	
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance					
			0		0	
			0		0	
		Not Met	Me	t	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	4		13	

Page 3 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name:		Adults	1,829
The Cook County Presidents Office of Employment Training - LWA 7	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	2,191
	Served	Older Youth	366
		Younger Youth	1,090
		Adults	547
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	613
		Older Youth	55
		Younger Youth	188

		Negotiated Perfor	rmance	Actual Performance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		74.5	64.9
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		59	62.1
Entered Employment Rate	Adults		68.4	82.4
	Dislocated Workers		78.3	82.4
	Older Youth		62.5	72.7
	Adults		81.1	91.8
D. C. C. D. C.	Dislocated Workers		80	90.5
Retention Rate	Older Youth		71	80
	Younger Youth		63.8	
	Adults(\$)		3,412	3,602
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		82.5	
replacement in old months	Older Youth (\$)		2,247	2,235
	Adults		53.4	64.1
O a la stal / Diala a a Data	Dislocated Workers		53	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		48.2	
	Younger Youth		61.4	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		68.1	71.9
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance			
			0	0
			0	0
		Not Met	Met	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	3	14

Page 4 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name:		Adults	327		
The Workforce Board of Northern Cook County - LWA 8	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	1,814		
	Served	Older Youth	1,814 80 225 142 492		
		Younger Youth	80 225 142 492		
		Adults	142		
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	492		
		Older Youth	1,814 80 225 142 492		
		Younger Youth	57		

		Negotiated Perfor	mance	Actu	al Performance Level	
Overtone an Ootlefootlen	Program Participants		78		71.4	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		67		64	
	Adults		72		73.2	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		80		83.9	
	Older Youth		73.3		100	
	Adults		80.3		87.5	
	Dislocated Workers		80		89.1	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		75		100	
	Younger Youth		64.1		76.5	
	Adults(\$)		4,803		5,647	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		91.4		78.6	
Replacement in old Months	Older Youth (\$)		3,932		15,443	
	Adults		55		73	
	Dislocated Workers		55		74.3	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		55.3		80	
	Younger Youth		64.3		88.8	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		72		90.8	
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance					
			0		0	
			0		0	
		Not Met	Me	t	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	3		14	

Page 5 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name: Chicago Workforce Board - LWA 9		Adults	4,907		
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	5,255		
	Served	Older Youth	,		
		Younger Youth	1,092 2,613 2,579 2,386 487		
		Adults	2,579		
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	2,386		
		Older Youth	5,255 1,092 2,613 2,579 2,386		
		Younger Youth	1,198		

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actu	al Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		68		71.9	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		65		63.6	
	Adults		62.8		70.8	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		75.9		81.5	
	Older Youth		64.5		54.3	
	Adults		69.6		81.7	
Date of the Date	Dislocated Workers		79.8		90.6	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		70.7		75.9	
	Younger Youth		63.1		63.6	
	Adults(\$)		3,141		3,432	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		78.4		92.1	
replacement in old months	Older Youth (\$)		2,416		2,878	
	Adults		52.1		57.9	
On the state of the same But	Dislocated Workers		50.4		69.3	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		46.8		39.7	
	Younger Youth		42.9		56.2	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		70.2		63.7	
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance					
			0		0	
			0		0	
		Not Met	Me	t	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	4		13	

Page 6 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name:		Adults	524
Workforce Investment Board of Will County - LWA 10	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	580
Southly Ellix to	Served	Older Youth	74
		Younger Youth	850
		Adults	185
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	217
		Older Youth	28
		Younger Youth	442

		Negotiated Perfo Level	rmance	Actua	al Performance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		78		72.7
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		65		72.5
	Adults		64.7		87.3
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		80.2		84.1
	Older Youth		60		83.3
	Adults		73.7		89.2
But with a But	Dislocated Workers		80		88.9
Retention Rate	Older Youth		71		87.5
	Younger Youth		61		74.2
	Adults(\$)		3,764		3,098
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		87		72.5
Replacement in old Months	Older Youth (\$)		2,300		7,036
	Adults		53.8		43.1
	Dislocated Workers		52.4		45.8
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		47.7		55.6
	Younger Youth		56.3		73.6
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		70.1		75.2
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance				
			0		0
			0		0
		Not Met	Met	t	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	5		12

Page 7 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name:		Adults	547
Grundy Livingston Kankakee Workforce Investment Board - LWA 11	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	614
	Served	Older Youth	133
		Dislocated Workers 61 Older Youth 13 Younger Youth 24 Adults 10 Dislocated Workers 10 Older Youth 3	
	Total Exiters	Adults	106
		Dislocated Workers	101
		Older Youth	31
		Younger Youth	104

		Negotiated Perfo Level	rmance		Performance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		78		77.5
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		65		62.6
	Adults		68.1		94.4
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		79.3		95.5
	Older Youth		67.6		83.3
	Adults		71.6		88.2
	Dislocated Workers		79.9		88.9
Retention Rate	Older Youth		71.5		100
	Younger Youth		64		70.6
	Adults(\$)		2,608		4,603
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		87.3		101.6
Replacement in old Months	Older Youth (\$)		2,407		7,013
	Adults		54.8		57.1
	Dislocated Workers		53.6		55.9
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		48.5		60
	Younger Youth		61.2		79
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		70.6		74.5
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance				
			0		0
			0		0
		Not Met	Met		Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	2		15

Page 8 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name:		Adults	229		
Workforce Investment Board #12 - LWA	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	549		
	Served	Older Youth	47		
		Younger Youth			
		Adults	44		
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	246		
		Older Youth	6		
		Younger Youth	47		

		Negotiated Perfo Level	rmance	Actual Performand Level	Э
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		78	7	3.6
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		65	6	8.6
	Adults		75.5	7:	2.2
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		79.7	9	2.4
	Older Youth		69.2		60
	Adults		75.6	9	0.5
	Dislocated Workers		80		94
Retention Rate	Older Youth		77.8	1	00
	Younger Youth		61.8	6	3.6
	Adults(\$)		3,790		103
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		75.2	8	6.7
Replacement in old Months	Older Youth (\$)		2,141	5,7	742
	Adults		54.9		72
	Dislocated Workers		51.7	5	9.1
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		52.6		70
	Younger Youth		58.2	6	6.7
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		71	8	3.2
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance				
			0		0
			0		0
		Not Met	Met	Exceeded	L k
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	3	14	

Page 9 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name:		Adults	303		
The Workforce Investment Board of Rock Island Henry and Mercer Counties	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	287		
- LWA 13	Served	Older Youth			
		Dislocated Workers 2 Older Youth Younger Youth 2 Adults 1 Dislocated Workers 1 Older Youth			
		Adults	197		
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	158		
		Older Youth	287 64 202 197 158 35		
		Younger Youth	119		

		Negotiated Perfo Level	rmance	Actual Performa Level	nce
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		78		71.7
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		65		61.4
	Adults		70		73.5
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		77.8		79.2
	Older Youth		61.5		60
	Adults		73		84.6
	Dislocated Workers		79.9		87.7
Retention Rate	Older Youth		71.4		77.8
	Younger Youth		63.6		64.6
	Adults(\$)		1,047		3,820
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		82	•	113.1
Replacement in old Months	Older Youth (\$)		1,930	;	3,304
	Adults		54.4		59.1
	Dislocated Workers		54		57
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		48.3		59.3
	Younger Youth		60.3		67
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		65.2		78.5
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance				
			0		0
			0		0
		Not Met	Met	Exceed	ed
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	3	14	

Page 10 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name:		Adults	278
Workforce Investment Board of Western Illinois - LWA 14	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	593
	Served	Older Youth	119
		Younger Youth	310
	Total Exiters	Adults	144
		Dislocated Workers	229
		Older Youth	74
		Younger Youth	224

		Negotiated Perfo	rmance	Actua	al Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		85		77.6	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		75		74.7	
	Adults		68.6		75	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		77.1		82.7	
	Older Youth		65		80	
	Adults		74.1		85.3	
But with a But	Dislocated Workers		79.9		87.7	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		76.5		73.1	
	Younger Youth		63.8		57.1	
	Adults(\$)		3,134		2,508	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers	93.7		8:		
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth (\$)		2,524	3,38		
	Adults		53.9		60.9	
	Dislocated Workers		54		46.4	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		49.3		56.3	
	Younger Youth		63.7		76.6	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		68.5		74.3	
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance					
			0		0	
			0		0	
		Not Met	Me	t	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	7		10	

Page 11 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

Progam Year: State Name: IL 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name:		Adults	259
Central Illinois Workforce Development Board - LWA 15	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	376
	Served	Older Youth	85
		Younger Youth	152
	Total Exiters	Adults	45
		Dislocated Workers	51
		Older Youth	4
		Younger Youth	53

		Negotiated Perfo Level	rmance	Actual Performance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		78	82.8
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		65	64.9
	Adults		75.1	87.5
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		77.4	96
	Older Youth		69.2	0
	Adults		74	96.3
	Dislocated Workers		79.9	89.6
Retention Rate	Older Youth		71	100
	Younger Youth		63.7	100
	Adults(\$)		3,943	7,273
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		82.9	98.1
Replacement in Oix Months	Older Youth (\$)		2,484	7,589
	Adults		54.4	73.3
Out to dist/Pistons Page	Dislocated Workers		54	62
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		48.5	100
	Younger Youth		61.1	0
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		67.6	95.9
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance			
			0	0
			0	0
		Not Met	Met	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	1	1	15

Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name:		Adults	157
Local Workforce Area - Region 16 -	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	150
	Served	Older Youth	45
		Younger Youth	107
		Adults	58
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	53
		Older Youth	8
		Younger Youth	32

		Negotiated Perfo Level	rmance	Actu	al Performance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		75		84.9
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		65		70.9
	Adults		68.6		92.3
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		80		100
	Older Youth		63.6		100
	Adults		74.7		93.8
Detection Date	Dislocated Workers		79.9		96.3
Retention Rate	Older Youth		70.9		100
	Younger Youth		55.3		90.5
	Adults(\$)		2,536		9,361
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		73		118.5
Replacement in elx mentile	Older Youth (\$)		2,300		7,544
	Adults		55		93.9
One described / Disclasses Date	Dislocated Workers		50.8		96
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		48.7		83.3
	Younger Youth		68		95.8
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		73.1		96.6
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance				
			0		0
			0		0
		Not Met	Me	t	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	0		17

Page 13 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name:		Adults	292
East Central Illinois Workforce Development Board - LWA 17	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	263
	Served Older Youth	Older Youth	53
		Younger Youth	154
	Total Exiters	Adults	88
		Dislocated Workers	83
		Older Youth	21
		Younger Youth	95

		Negotiated Perfo	rmance	Actual Performance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		75	78.1
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		67.5	71.4
	Adults		75	69.6
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		81.7	89.3
	Older Youth		75	100
	Adults		76.9	75
	Dislocated Workers		80	94
Retention Rate	Older Youth		75	C
	Younger Youth		64.3	72.7
	Adults(\$)		3,340	604
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		97.1	76.7
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth (\$)		2,552	C
	Adults		55.6	100
	Dislocated Workers		54.9	72.7
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		58.3	100
	Younger Youth		67.4	75.4
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		78.5	75.8
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance			
			0	C
			0	C
		Not Met	Met	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	2	3	12

Page 14 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name:		Adults	176
Vermilion County Job Training Consortium - LWA 18	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	242
2.0.1.0	Comrad	Older Youth	27
		Younger Youth	149
	Total Exiters	Adults	98
		Dislocated Workers	106
		Older Youth	12
		Younger Youth	88

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actual Performanc Level	:e
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		78	•	78
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		67.5	62	2.1
	Adults		65.7	76	6.9
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		76.1	82	2.9
	Older Youth		57.5	10	00
	Adults		76.7	:	80
5	Dislocated Workers		79.9	91	1.2
Retention Rate	Older Youth		70.9	90	0.9
	Younger Youth		63.8	77	7.3
	Adults(\$)		3,482		23
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		81.1	83	3.7
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth (\$)		2,284	4,14	
	Adults		54.9	55	5.8
	Dislocated Workers		60	64	4.3
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		48.8	90	0.9
	Younger Youth		62.8	93	3.6
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		66.7	86	6.4
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance				
			0		0
			0		0
		Not Met	Met	t Exceeded	1
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	3	14	

Page 15 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name: Workforce Investment Board for Macon and DeWitt Counties - LWA 19	Adults	253	
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	374
	Served	Older Youth	34
	Yo	Younger Youth	163
	Adults Total Exiters Dislocated Workers	Adults	73
		Dislocated Workers	113
		Older Youth	19
		Younger Youth	77

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actu	al Performance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		78		69.5
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		65		73.2
	Adults		87.5		80.5
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		77.5		82
	Older Youth		75		83.3
	Adults		88.8		100
Detenden Dete	Dislocated Workers		82.4		100
Retention Rate	Older Youth		83.3		100
	Younger Youth		64.5		83.3
	Adults(\$)	1,300		4,333	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers	83.4		83	
Nopiacomoni in cix months	Older Youth (\$)		1,967		1,727
	Adults		54.9		55.6
On the state Distance But	Dislocated Workers		52.1		70.5
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		48.8		57.1
	Younger Youth		67.4		67.1
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		63.3		58.2
Description of Other State Ind	icators of Performance				
			0		0
			0		0
Occasil Otation of Land D. Co.		Not Met	Me	et	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	6		11

Page 16 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name:		Adults	267
Land of Lincoln Workforce Investment Board - LWA 20	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	309
	Served	Older Youth	32
		Younger Youth	372
		Adults	174
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	133
		Older Youth	24
		Younger Youth	210

		Negotiated Perfo Level	rmance	Actu	al Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		73		80.2	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		73		76.7	
	Adults		69.4		76.5	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		77.7		91	
	Older Youth		71.9		90.9	
	Adults		81.3		87.4	
Date of the Date	Dislocated Workers		80		95.1	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		76.3 64		93.3	
	Younger Youth				69.2	
	Adults(\$)		3,594		2,856	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		81.7		85.6	
	Older Youth (\$)		2,230		3,749	
	Adults		54.8	63		
	Dislocated Workers		64.7		82.1	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		55.4		65	
	Younger Youth		66.8	70		
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		66.7	70.6		
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance					
			0		0	
			0		0	
		Not Met	Me	t	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	1	0		16	

Page 17 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name: West Central Development Council - LWA 21		Adults	245
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	308
	Served	Older Youth	60
		Younger Youth	255
		Adults	96
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	98
		Older Youth	28
		Younger Youth	117

		Negotiated Perfor	mance	Actu	al Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		75		80.1	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		65		63.2	
	Adults		70.8		85.2	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		76.9		88.9	
	Older Youth		69.2		77.8	
	Adults		75.5		83.9	
Detention Date	Dislocated Workers		79.9		85.7	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		74.1		87.5	
	Younger Youth		63.6		58.3	
	Adults(\$)		3,525		3,904	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		87.1		83.9	
	Older Youth (\$)		2,939		4,239	
	Adults		54.7		71.3	
One described / Disclasses Date	Dislocated Workers		59.6		73.6	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		49.4		60	
	Younger Youth		62	63.8		
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		71.4	73.7		
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance					
			0		0	
			0		0	
		Not Met	Me	et	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	3		14	

Page 18 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name:		Adults	397
Madison-Bond Workforce Investment Board - LWA 22	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	282
	Served	Older Youth	75
		Younger Youth	335
		Adults	109
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	61
		Older Youth	7
		Younger Youth	69

		Negotiated Perfo	rmance	Actua	al Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		75		75.4	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		65		75.6	
	Adults		65.5		94.2	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		76.8		94.4	
	Older Youth		60		60	
	Adults		73.3		88.1	
Date of the Date	Dislocated Workers		79.8		92.6	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		70.9		83.3	
	Younger Youth		63.3		95.7	
	Adults(\$)		3,134		5,759	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		82		108.4	
	Older Youth (\$)		1,224		979	
	Adults		54.6		77.3	
	Dislocated Workers		53.1		81.1	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		48.8		72.7	
	Younger Youth		58.6	78.6		
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		67		85.3	
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance					
			0		0	
			0		0	
		Not Met	Met	t	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	Overall Status of Local Performance		2		15	

Page 19 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name:		Adults	255	
Crossroads Workforce Investment Board - LWA 23	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	1,908	
	Served	Older Youth		
		Younger Youth	194	
		Adults	125	
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	637	
		Older Youth	19	
		Younger Youth	37	

		Negotiated Perfo	rmance	Actu	al Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		75		74.8	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		65		70.7	
	Adults		68.6		78	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		77.3		88.9	
	Older Youth		60.6		94.4	
	Adults		76.8		88.9	
Detention Date	Dislocated Workers		79.9		91.6	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		71.1		86.4	
	Younger Youth	64.1		90.		
	Adults(\$)		3,223		3,991	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		82.2		80.5	
replacement in oix months	Older Youth (\$)		2,603		3,959	
	Adults		54	54.8		
	Dislocated Workers		53.8		66.3	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		49.1	5		
	Younger Youth		69.2	63.9		
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		68.9	89.4		
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance					
			0		0	
			0		0	
		Not Met	Me	t	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	3		14	

Page 20 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name: Mid America Workforce Investment Board - LWA 24		Adults	725		
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	398		
	Served	Older Youth	398 206 207 168		
		Younger Youth	s 398 206 207 168 s 110		
		Adults	168		
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	110		
		Older Youth	66		
		Younger Youth	73		

		Negotiated Perfo	rmance	Actu	al Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		78		76.5	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		65		64.4	
	Adults		65.5		75.8	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		79.4		79.4	
	Older Youth		60.4		83.3	
	Adults		79.7		88	
Date of the Date	Dislocated Workers		80		92.9	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		75		82.6	
	Younger Youth		64.3		53.5	
	Adults(\$)		4,393		5,277	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		91.3		79.5	
	Older Youth (\$)		2,550		4,838	
	Adults		54.8		64.2	
	Dislocated Workers		53.9		72.5	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		48.7		46.7	
	Younger Youth		65.7	57.4		
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		71.1	63.6		
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance					
			0		0	
			0		0	
		Not Met	Met	t	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	7		10	

Page 21 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name:		Adults	427
Southern illinois Workforce Investment Board - LWA 25	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	150
	Served	Older Youth	88
		Younger Youth	305
		Adults	149
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	55
		Older Youth	19
		Younger Youth	151

		Negotiated Perfo	rmance	Actu	al Performance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		75		85.5
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		75		73.3
	Adults		71.4		80
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		76.6		93.1
	Older Youth		53.5		87.5
	Adults		74.4		89.1
Detention Date	Dislocated Workers		79.9		92.5
Retention Rate	Older Youth		78.6		75
	Younger Youth		63.2		70
	Adults(\$)		3,928		3,962
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		72.9		85.3
	Older Youth (\$)		2,177		3,091
	Adults		65.9	71.1	
On Lord I / Distance Date	Dislocated Workers		64.3	80. 55.	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		47.8		
	Younger Youth		52.9	50	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		66.9		74.1
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance				
			0		0
			0		0
		Not Met	Me	t	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	3		14

Page 22 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name: Southern 14 Workforce Investment Board - LWA 26		Adults	374
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	129
	Served	Older Youth	60
		Younger Youth	280
		Adults	70
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	51
		Older Youth	20
		Younger Youth	130

		Negotiated Perfo Level	rmance		Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		78		74.3	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		65		66.2	
	Adults		64.9		91.1	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		75.7	75.7		
	Older Youth		55		100	
	Adults		74.6		89.1	
	Dislocated Workers		79.8		93.5	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		70.8		80	
	Younger Youth		63.6		71	
	Adults(\$)		3,834		3,566	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		87.4		106.2	
Replacement in old Months	Older Youth (\$)		1,876		6,205	
	Adults		67.7		85.5	
	Dislocated Workers	63.5		91.2		
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		70		87.5	
	Younger Youth		60.2		85.2	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		61.1	87.1		
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance					
			0		0	
			0		0	
		Not Met Met			Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Performance		0	2		15	

Page 23 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name:		Adults	485
Boone & Winnebago County Workforce Investment Board - LWA 3	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	955
	Served	Older Youth	151
		Younger Youth	409
	Total Exiters	Adults	248
		Dislocated Workers	457
		Older Youth	55
		Younger Youth	202

		Negotiated Perfor	mance	Actu	al Performance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		78		65.9
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		65		78.5
	Adults		66.4		82.2
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		77.9	77.9	
	Older Youth		60		81.6
	Adults		74.7		82.4
Detention Date	Dislocated Workers		80		89
Retention Rate	Older Youth		70.8		80
	Younger Youth		63		68.2
	Adults(\$)		1,713	1,713	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		92.5		78.1
	Older Youth (\$)		1,885	1,885	
	Adults	53.1			72.5
One described / Disclares Date	Dislocated Workers	50.1			50.5
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		47.8		55.6
	Younger Youth		58.3		57.7
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		67.5		71.1
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance				
			0		0
			0		0
Overall Otation of Land Building		Not Met	Me	et	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	Overall Status of Local Performance		3		14

Page 24 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name: Lake County Workforce Development Department - LWA 1	Adults	Adults	388
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	503
	Served	Older Youth	77
		Younger Youth	512 135
		Adults	135
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	136
		Older Youth	47
		Younger Youth	253

		Negotiated Perfor	rmance	Actu	al Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		78		71.1	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		65		62.1	
	Adults		64.6	88.8		
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		77		91.4	
	Older Youth		57		60.9	
	Adults		71.6		88	
Detention Date	Dislocated Workers		79.9		90.6	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		70.8		72.2	
	Younger Youth		61		58.3	
	Adults(\$)		3,053		3,443	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		77.5		90	
replacement in GIX mentile	Older Youth (\$)		1,752		2,201	
	Adults		54		81.8	
On Local District	Dislocated Workers		54.3		81.9	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		47.6		67.9	
	Younger Youth		61.1		55.2	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		59.6	82		
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance					
			0		0	
			0		0	
		Not Met	Not Met Me		let Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Performance		0	4		13	

Page 25 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM

State Name: IL Progam Year: 2002

Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name: McHenry County Job Training - LWA 2		Adults	31
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	272
	Served	Older Youth	4
		Younger Youth	72
	Total Exiters	Adults	12
		Dislocated Workers	98
		Older Youth	3
		Younger Youth	43

		Negotiated Perfo Level	rmance	Actu	al Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		78		74.9	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		65		64.9	
	Adults		67.3		87.5	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		80		95.7	
	Older Youth		66.7		100	
	Adults		74.9		81.8	
Data di Bata	Dislocated Workers		80		92	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		70.8		100	
	Younger Youth		63.7		100	
	Adults(\$)		3,359		3,777	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers	83.7		77.4		
Replacement in Olx Months	Older Youth (\$)		2,600		4,317	
	Adults		54		71.4	
	Dislocated Workers		52.9		88.1	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		48.3		66.7	
	Younger Youth		60.5	75		
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		66.7	75		
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance					
			0		0	
			0		0	
Overall Status of Local Performance		Not Met	Met	t	Exceeded	
		0	3		14	

Page 26 of 26 Report run on: Tuesday February 3 2004 12:52 PM