

# Vermont

# STATE OF VERMONT

ANNUAL REPORT WORKFORCE INVESTMENT ACT TITLE I-B JULY 2001 – JUNE 2002

SUBMITTED BY:

STEVEN M. GOLD COMMISSIONER VERMONT DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING

**DECEMBER 6, 2002** 

# VERMONT DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING

December 5, 2002

U.S. Department of Labor Employment and Training Administration Attn: Eric Johnson, Performance and Results Office Room S-4231 Washington, DC 20210

Dear Eric:

I am pleased to present you with Vermont's PY 2001 Annual Report for WIA Title I-B.

Throughout its third year of WIA implementation Vermont continued to make great strides in bringing some of the key principles of WIA to fruition. Chief among these is the growing network of partnerships that serve as the foundation of successful implementation. These partnerships have played a pivotal role in the overall success of our flagship one-stop Career Resource Center in Burlington and its on-site Youth Jump Start Center. They also fostered a strong collaborative environment throughout our twelve Career Resource Centers, ensuring that precious resources, both human and fiscal, worked together for optimum customer service.

I would also like to recognize and express my appreciation to the staff at the ETA Regional Office for their technical assistance and general support through our three years of WIA implementation.

Sincerely,

Steven M. Gold Commissioner

### I. ADVANCING THE ONE-STOP SYSTEM

A central tenet of the Workforce Investment Act (WIA) is the establishment of a one-stop career center system. As Vermont ended its third year of WIA implementation, Vermont's flagship one-stop, the Burlington Career Resource Center (CRC), has continued to expand the one-stop concept and evolve into a place where, as one journalist put it, "you don't just find a job…you choose your working destiny."

From the technologically sophisticated and well equipped self help center to in-depth employment counseling and job training, the CRC is becoming the place for customers to go to obtain the information and gain the skills necessary to compete in the 21<sup>st</sup> century labor market.

### **Continuous Improvement**

The fundamental strategy for the CRC system is simple: Connect all services effectively and efficiently and make them easy to access for all customers. While cost efficiency and continuous improvement are an ongoing focus, in an environment of limited resources they become paramount. During year three, in an effort to improve the workforce system, achieve cost efficiencies, and continue to provide comprehensive, easy to access services to customers, Vermont has sought to bring about a number of significant changes. Examples include:

### Staff Proficiency Training: Ensuring Quality Customer Service

The Jobs and Training division, which administers WIA, the Employment Service, the Trade Act, Apprenticeship, and other related DOL programs, comprises a complex array of programs and functions. The delivery of optimal services in a professional manner requires highly proficient staff. Most J & T staff are well trained and competent but we discovered that even the most able had gaps in their knowledge.

To ensure that all staff are well versed in the essentials of every program, we began a process, that included focus groups with staff, to identify the core skills and knowledge necessary to be a skilled Career Resource staff. The list of competencies resulting from that process provides the framework for a comprehensive staff proficiency, skill enhancement project. The competencies are organized into eight categories: Labor Market Information, Team Skills, Customer Focus Skills, Technology Skills, Assessment Tools, Communication Skills, DET Specific Skills and Interpersonal Skills.

Every new employee and any staff being promoted is required to complete a skills assessment. The supervisor then develops a plan to address the gaps and meets frequently with the staff member as a mentor and to document progress. To cap the process, all individuals are required to convene at central office to participate in several scenarios during which observers rate the performance of the individual.

No new or recently promoted employee is allowed to go off "probationary" status until he/she can demonstrate the requisite knowledge in all of the competencies.

Many staff faced with this program are initially resistant and apprehensive but upon completion they believe it really contributes to their self esteem and sense of professionalism. DET believes its customers are significantly better served because of this effort.

### Vermont Job Link: Improving Access to Information for Employers and Job Seekers

It is DET's firm belief that information technology will revolutionize the workforce development system, just as it is revolutionizing other parts of our economy. Early next year, DET plans to role out *Vermont Job Link*, a web-based operating and information system. The system was developed in conjunction with a consortium of states called America's Job Link Alliance, of which Vermont is member.

This self-help application will offer job seekers a whole host of new tools to manage their careers, upgrade their skills, and gain access to programs and services. For employers, it offers the opportunity to better match job openings with skilled workers and access crucial labor market information upon which to base informed business decisions.

In addition, the system offers a variety of options to enhance coordination with partners through joint case management as well as provide applications related to unemployment insurance.

Taken together, the multiple facets of the system will enhance customer service, enable staff to more effectively manage client information, and serve to better coordinate services with our One-Stop Career Resource Center partners.

### **Innovative Programs**

In addition to continuous improvement measures, WIA funding has been instrumental in establishing **innovative initiatives** that have gone beyond traditional programming parameters to meet the vital needs of Vermonters:

### Public/Private Partnership Opens Doors for Dislocated Workers.

This past year brought a major increase in worker dislocations, and with them came the many challenges of helping workers to survive the shock of a layoff and successfully reenter the workforce. One action in particular, the layoff of almost 1,000 IBM workers in June, produced an outcome that will hopefully change forever how we work with private sector partners in providing re-employment services.

Outplacement services to former IBM employees are provided under a contract between IBM and Drake Beam Morin. Following an earlier layoff in November of last year, DBM had established a Career Center in Colchester. While DET maintained a presence at the DBM site following the first action, it was the installation of a new management team at DBM in June that dramatically changed the level of cooperation and interaction between the two agencies. This new partnership embraced a common goal of creating opportunities for their clients.

The Director and Associate Director at DBM quickly established a close working relationship with DET staff at both the Career Resource Center level and at the central office level. This inclusive effort facilitated more effective service to individual clients at the local level, while establishing initiatives at the state level that impacted identified client

groups. DBM played a major role in establishing connections with (and between) important resources such as The University of Vermont, Fletcher Allen Hospital, The Department of Education, Vermont Agency of Hospitals and Health Services, and numerous private employers, all with the purpose of increasing career opportunities. As a direct result, significant numbers of former IBMers are preparing to begin new careers in education and health care. Together DET and DBM recognized our individual strengths, and worked together to achieve a mutual goal, the successful re-employment of these highly skilled workers.

DBM's willingness to partner with DET, and to become knowledgeable about our resources has enabled clients to take maximum advantage of funding for training and other services available to dislocated workers.

The working relationship established over the past six months between DBM and DET has set a high standard for such partnerships in the future and it will be a model for all future dislocations.

### Apprenticeship: A New Approach to Classroom Training

DET strongly believes that we must improve the job opportunities for the 70% of Vermonters who do not attend or finish college. A college degree should not be the only gateway to high skilled, high paying jobs. In an effort to provide high-quality job prospect to the thousands of Vermonters who do not finish college—and even for some that do—DET has committed significant resources to developing and improving Vermont's Registered Apprenticeship Program.

With the help of Senator Jeffords, DET received a federal grant to improve the related-instruction or classroom component of the Register Apprenticeship Program. The project is called the *Vermont Apprenticeship Opportunity Project*.

Currently, after a full day's work, apprentices must travel to classroom training sites two nights a week for three hours each night, often a long way from home. This is difficult for many apprentices and in some instances has presented an insurmountable barrier to participation in apprenticeship. As a small, rural state, Vermont often contends with issues related to economies of scale. The Registered Apprenticeship Program is not immune to this reality. For example, it is not unusual for a handful of willing apprentices who are spread throughout the state to be in need of related-instruction. In these instances, the question is whether to provide the classroom instruction to small numbers at varying locations around the state at a significant cost or not to enroll the prospective apprentice in the program. Unfortunately, it is becoming increasingly difficult from an economic standpoint to choose the former. In addition, the classroom training for small apprenticeship programs has been characterized by varying levels of instructional quality.

The objective of the *Vermont Apprenticeship Opportunity Project* is to establish a web-based, related-instruction component for the Registered Apprenticeship Program. We anticipate the results will include a more cost efficient program, a program that will provide a higher level of instruction statewide, and a program that will be more easily accessible to a wider range of individuals.

The improved related-instruction component using web-based technology will be realized through a partnership between DET and Vermont Technical College, and will exemplify DET's efforts to create innovative opportunities for Vermonters to transition into high skilled occupations.

### **II. COST BENEFIT AND EVALUATIONS**

### A. Cost benefit analysis

Vermont is a single workforce investment area state and all 85% monies are allocated to the local area. Each Career Resource Center is given an annual allocation, which is used in accordance with customers' identified needs rather than through a preselected mix of activities.

Recruiting and serving youth, particularly out-of-school, older youth (19-21 yrs), continues to be the most challenging activity area. To bring older youth into the service delivery system requires a heavy investment in outreach and building partnerships with other youth service providers. Teamwork to get older youth involved and to keep them involved is crucial. Costs related to outreach, intake, assessment, case management and follow-up exceed direct payments on behalf of participants by a large percentage. The greatest demand for direct participant payment is for on-the-job work experience and summer employment opportunities.

In addition, and as noted in the Performance Measures section, the increase in dislocated workers due to a substantial increase in layoffs statewide has stressed DET's ability to assist customers to achieve desired outcomes.

	PY 2	2000	PY 2001		
r		-		F	
	Number	Average	Number	Average	
	Served	Cost	Served	Cost	
Adult	329	\$5,600	538	\$3,368	
Youth	917	\$2,191	1257	\$2,088	
Dislocated					
Worker	183	\$4,600	527	\$2,472	
Total	1429	\$4,241	2322	\$2,896	

It is interesting to note that with fewer staff, due to DET layoffs in January 2001, DET served more individuals and lowered the cost per participant. However, performance has suffered. This suggests a relationship between employment and training dollars available for staff and the ability to achieve positive outcomes with customers.

### B. Evaluations of workforce investment activities

The Department of Employment and Training has contracted with the Economics Department at St. Michael's College to develop a formal evaluation of workforce investment activities. The report is due in January at which time it will be shared with the Regional Office. The report will be based on current and new follow-up survey information.

### **III. PERFORMANCE MEASURES**

After two years of excellent performance, DET, along with the economy, has slipped in terms of performance. It cannot claim positive results except in a few isolated categories such as services to younger youth, certain adult measures, and customer satisfaction. Historically, in a recessionary environment, performance suffers in programs that focus on moving people into the job market. This particular recession has proven troublesome in a number of respects, not the least of which has been two significant layoffs at IBM Corporation. During this program year, nearly 1500 high-paid, high-skilled IBM employees were laid off. This had a ripple effect throughout the economy affecting jobs across many industries. In addition, while Vermont has maintained a relatively low unemployment rate, there have been a significant number of layoffs in high wage manufacturing employment across the state, affecting both large and small employers. In fact, the numbers were so great that DET had to apply for a National Emergency Grant in order to meet the basic demands of laid off workers.

In addition to the troubled economy and as noted in the Cost Benefit section, four characteristics stand out regarding performance for this program year as compared to last program year: 1. More participants were served; 2. Fewer staff were available to serve them; 3. The cost per participant was lower; 4. Performance suffered. In addition, the labor market has made it increasingly difficult for job seekers to find work and for employers to invest in training prospective workers. Nonetheless, DET is troubled by its performance and has begun a determined process to ascertain all the reasons for it. Moreover, it is committed to returning to the outstanding performance it has enjoyed during the previous two program years.

### Tables

TABLE A - WOLKIOTCE INVESTIGENT ACT Customer Satisfaction Results									
Customer	Negotiated	Actual	Number of	Number of	Number of	Response			
Satisfaction	Performance	Performance	Completed	Customers	Customers	Rate			
	Level	Level-ACSI	Surveys	Eligible for	Included in				
			-	The Survey	The Sample				
Participants	77%	76.3%	318	724	724	43.9%			
Employers	67%	74.9%	598	1,000	1,1000	59.8%			

**TABLE A - Workforce Investment Act Customer Satisfaction Results** 

<b>TABLE B - Adult Program</b>	<b>Results At-A-Glance</b>
--------------------------------	----------------------------

TABLE D - Adult I Togram Results At-A-Grance							
	Negotiated	Actual					
	Performance Level	Performance	Numerator/				
		Level	Denominator				
Entered Employment	73%	61.6%	N=90				
Rate			D=146				
Employment Retention	83%	80.0%	N=116				
Rate			D=145				
Earnings Change in Six	\$3100	\$3685	N=\$534,345				
Months			D=145				
Employment &	55%	55.5%	N=61				
Credential Rate			D=110				

Reported	1	ic Assistance	Veterans		Individuals With		Older Individuals	
Information	Intensi	ents Receiving ve or Training Services			Disabilities			
Entered Employment Rate	56.5%	N=26 D=46	66.7%	N=14 D=21	61.5%	N=32 D=52	54.5%	N=6 D=11
Employment Retention Rate	81.3%	N=26 D=32	83.3%	N=20 D=24	76.9%	N=30 D=39	100%	N=8 D=8
Earnings Change in Six Months	\$5,079	N=\$162,531 D=32	\$5,044	N=\$121,064 D=24	\$2,869	N=\$111,880 D=39	\$3,217	N=25,738 D=8
Employment & Credential Rate	37.5%	N=12 D=32	54.2%	N=13 D=24	40.0%	N=12 D=30	50.0%	N=2 D=4

 TABLE C - Outcomes for Adult Special Populations

TABLE D - Other Outcome Information for the Adult Program

			8		
Reported Information	Individuals Who		Individuals Who		
	Received Tr	raining	Received Only Core and		
	Services		Intensive Services		
Entered Employment Rate	74.6%	N=50	50.6%	N=40	
1 5		D=67		D=79	
Employment Retention Rate	84.1%	N=74	73.7%	N=42	
r		D=88		D=57	
Earnings Change in Six	\$3,851	\$338,895	\$3,429	\$195,450	
Months		88		57	

### TABLE E - Dislocated Worker Program Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level	Numerator/ Denominator
Entered Employment Rate	79%	78.2%	N=68 D=87
Employment Retention Rate	88%	85.3%	N=58 D=68
Earnings Replacement in Six Months	90%	76.4%	N=\$720,606 D=\$943,564
Employment & Credential Rate	55%	50.0%	N=24 D=48

TABLE F - Outcomes for Dislocated We	orker Special Populations
--------------------------------------	---------------------------

Reported Information	Veterans		Individuals With Disabilities		Older Individuals		Displaced Homemakers	
Entered Employment Rate	75.0%	N=12 D=16	87.5%	N=7 D=8	88.2%	N=15 D=17	100.0%	N=5 D=5
Employment Retention Rate	91.7%	N=11 D=12	71.4%	N=5 D=7	80.0%	N=12 D=15	80.0%	N=4 D=5
Earnings Replacement Rate	79.3%	N=\$171,658 D=\$216,388	84.0%	N=\$78,885 D=\$94,187	71.1%	N=\$176,001 D=\$247,410	266.9%	N=\$36,912 D=\$13,832
Employment & Credential Rate	63.6%	N=7 D=11	25.0%	N=1 D=4	80.0%	N=4 D=5	50.0%	N=1 D=2

TIDEE 6 Other Outcome Information for the Dislocated Worker Frogram								
Reported Information	Individuals Who		Individuals Who Received					
	Received Training		Only Cor	e and Intensive				
	Services		Services					
Entered Employment Rate	77.1%	N=37	79.5%	N=31				
1 2		D=48		D=39				
Employment Retention Rate	89.2%	N=33	80.6%	N=25				
1		D=37		D=31				
Earnings Replacement Rate	78.9%	N=\$416,603	73.2%	N=\$304,003				
		D=\$528,319		D=\$415,245				

TABLE G - Other Outcome Information for the Dislocated Worker Program

### **TABLE H - Older Youth Program Results At-A-Glance**

	Negotiated	Actual	
	Performance Level	Performance	Numerator/
		Level	Denominator
ntered Employment Rate	73%	80.0%	N=36 D=45
Employment Retention Rate	80%	65.9%	N=27 D=41
Earnings Change in Six Months	\$2,365	\$1158	N=\$47,463 D=41
Employment & Credential Rate	55%	17.0%	N=9 D=53

### **TABLE I - Outcomes for Older Youth Special Populations**

Reported	Publi	ic Assistance	V	eterans	Individuals With		Out-of-School Youth	
Information		ents Receiving			Disabilities			
	Intensi	ve or Training						
		Services						
Entered Employment	68.8%	N=11	0.0%	N=0	75.0%	N=18	88.6%	N=31
Rate		D=16		D=1		D=24		D=35
Employment	72.7%	N=8	0.0%	N=0	61.1%	N=11	63.9%	N=23
Retention Rate		D=11		D=1		D=18		D=36
Earnings Change in	\$249	N=\$2,734	0	N=0	\$124	N=-\$2,230	\$996	N=\$35,862
Six Months		D=11		D=1		D=18		D=36
Employment &	5.9%	N=1	0.0%	N=0	11.5%	N=3	14.6%	N=6
Credential Rate		D=17		D=1		D=26		D=41

### TABLE J - Younger Youth Results At-A-Glance

TIDEE 9 Tounger Touth Results At A Gianee								
Reported Information	Negotiated	Actual						
	Performance Level	Performance	Numerator/					
		Level	Denominator					
Skill Attainment Rate	68%	79.9%	N=250					
			D=313					
Diploma or Equivalent	55%	51.3%	N=41					
Attainment Rate			D=80					
Retention Rate	60%	68.1%	N=64					
			D=94					

Reported Information	Public Assistance Recipients Receiving Intensive or Training		Individuals With Disabilities		Out-of-School Youth	
	Services					
Skill Attainment Rate	76.9%	N=50 D=65	82.0%	N=164 D=200	74.5%	N=35 D=47
Diploma or Equivalent Attainment Rate	43.8%	N=7 D=16	71.1%	N=27 D=38	20.9%	N=9 D=43
Retention Rate	57.1%	N=16 D=28	70.0%	N=35 D=50	60.0%	N=33 D=55

TABLE K - Outcomes for Younger Youth Special Populations

### **TABLE L - Other Reported Information**

	12 Month				nents for	Wages a	t Entry	Entry	Into	
	Emplo	oyment	(Adults a	nd Older Youth)	Partici	ipants in	Into Emp	ployment	Unsubsidized	
	Retenti	on Rate		or	Nontra	aditional	for Thos	e	Emplo	yment
			12 M	Io. Earnings	Emplo	oyment	Individu	als Who	Relate	d to the
			Replacen	nent (Dislocated	r J		Entered		Training	
			V	Workers)		Unsubsidized Receive		ved of		
							Employr	nent	Those	Who
									Comp	leted
									Traini	ng
									Servic	es
Adults	78.2%	N=172 D=220	\$3,232	N=\$710,967 D=220	6.7%	N=6 D=90	\$3,430	N=308,715 D= 90	100%	N=37 D=37
Dislocated Workers	82.4%	N=61 D=74	100.9%	N=\$846,171 D=\$838,226	7.4%	N=5 D=68	\$6,280	N=427,016 D=68	100%	N=26 D=26
Older Youth	75.9%	N=22 D=29	\$2,876	N=\$83,412 D=29	2.8%	N=1 D=36	\$1,475	N=53,086 D=36	N/A	N/A

#### **TABLE M - Participation Levels**

	Total Participants Served	Total Exiters
Adults	538	235
Dislocated Workers	527	174
Older Youth	139	65
Younger Youth	1118	381

\_

Program Activity			Total Federal Spending		
Local Adults			\$1,812,336		
Local Dislocated Wor	kers		\$1,303,197		
Local Youth			\$2,624,068		
Rapid Response (up to 134 (a) (2) (A)	0 25%)		\$ 147,845		
Statewide Required A 134 (a) (2) (B)	Statewide Required Activities (up to 25%)				
Statewide Allowable	Program Activity				
Activities 134 (a) (3)	Description				
Total of All Federal S	Total of All Federal Spending Listed Above				

# WIA Annual Report Data

### State Name: VT Program Year: 2001

### Table A: Workforce Investment Act Customer Satisfaction Results

Customer Satisfaction	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance - Level - American Customer Satisfaction Index	Number of Surveys Completed	Number of Customers Eligible for the Survey	Number of Customers Included in the Sample	Response Rate
Participants	77	76.3	318	724	724	43.9
Employers	67	74.9	598	1,000	1,000	59.8

### Table B: Adult Program Results At-A-Glan

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Perform	nance Level
Entered Employment Rate	73	61.6	90
			146
Employment Botention Bote	83	80	116
Employment Ratention Rate			145
	3,100	3,685	534,345
Earnings Change in Six Month			145
Employment and Credential Rate	<b>FF</b>	55.5	61
	55	55.5	110

### Table C: Outcomes for Adult Special Populations

Reported Information	Public Assistance Recipients Receiving Intensive or Training Services		Veterans		Individuals With Disabilities		Older Individuals		
Entered		26		14		32		6	
Employment Rate	56.5	46	66.7	21	61.5	52	54.5	11	
Employment Retention		26	00.0	20	70.0	30	100	400	8
Rate	81.3	32	83.3	24	76.9	39		8	
Earnings Change in Six		162,531		121,064		111,880		25,738	
Months	5,079	32	5,044	24	2,869	39	3,217	8	
Employment	27.5	12	54.2	13	40	12	FO	2	
and Credential Rate	37.5	32	<b>J4.</b> Z	40	40	30	50	4	

### Table D: Other Outcome Information for the Adult Program

Reported Information		als Who Received ning Services	Individuals Who Only Received Core and Intensive Services		
Entered Employment Date	74.6	50	50.6	40	
Entered Employment Rate	74.0	67	50.6	79	
	84.1	74	70.7	42	
Employment Retention Rate		88	73.7	57	
Earnings Change in Six Months	3,851	338,895	2,420	195,450	
		88	3,429	57	

### Table E: Dislocated Worker Program Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level		
Entered Employment Rate	79	78.2	68	
			87	
Employment Retention Rate	88	85.3	58	
			68	
Fornings Ponlossment in Six Months	90	76.4	720,606	
Earnings Replacement in Six Months			943,564	
Employment and Credential Rate	55	50	24	
		50	48	

### Table F: Outcomes for Dislocated Worker Special Populations

Reported Information	Vet	Veterans		Individuals With Disabilities		er Individuals	Displaced Homemakers	
Entered Employment	75	12	87.5	7	88.2	15	100	5
Rate		16	•••••	8		17	100	5
Employment Retention		11		5	80 -	12	80	4
Rate	91.7	12	71.4	7		15		5
Earnings Replacement		171,658		78,885		176,001	266.9	36,912
Rate	79.3	216,388	83.8	94,187	71.1	247,410		13,832
Employmemt And Credential Rate		7		1	80	4	- 50	1
	63.6	11	25	4		5		2

### Table G: Other Outcome Information for the Dislocated Worker Program

Reported Information	Individuals Who Re	eceived Training Services	Individuals Who Received Core and Intensive Services		
Entered Employment Rate		37		31	
	77.1	48	79.5	39	
Employment Retention Rate		33		25	
	89.2	37	80.6	31	
Earnings Replacement Rate	78.9	416,603	73.2	304,003	
Lannings Replacement Nate	10.9	528,319	10.2	415,245	

### Table H: Older Youth Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual P	erformance Level
Entered Employment Rate	73	80	36
	15	00	45
Employment Retention Rate	80	65.9	27
	80	65.9	41
Earnings Change in Six Months	2,365	1,158	47,463
	·		41
Credential Rate	55	17	9
			53

### Table I: Outcomes for Older Youth Special Populations

Reported Information         Public Assistance Recipients		Veterans		Individuals With Disabilities		Out-of-School Youth		
Entered Employment		11		0	75	18		31
Rate	68.8	16	0	1		24	88.6	35
Employment Retention		8		0	61.1	11	63.9	23
Rate	72.7	11	0	1		18		36
Earnings Change in	249	2,734	_	0		2,230		35,862
Six Months		11	0	1	124	18	996	36
		1	_	0		3		6
Credential Rate	5.9	17	0	1	11.5	26	14.6	41

### Table J: Younger Youth Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual P	erformance Level
Skill Attainment Rate	68	79.9	250
	00	19.5	313
Diploma or Equivalent Attainment Rate	55	54.0	41
		51.3	80
Detertion Dete	60	<b>CD 4</b>	64
Retention Rate		68.1	94

### Table K: Outcomes for Younger Youth Special Populations

Reported Information	Public Assi	stance Recipients	Individu	uals Disabilities	Out-c	of-School Youth
Skill Attainment		50	82	164		35
Rate	76.9	65		200	74.5	47
Diploma or Equivalent		7	27		9	
Attainment Rate	43.8	16	71.1	38	20.9	43
	57.1	16	70	35		33
Retention Rate		28	70	50	60	55

### Table L: Other Reported Information

	12 Month Employment Retention Rate		12 Mo. Ear (Adults and C 0 12 Mo. Ear Replaceme (Dislocated V	r nings ent	Placements for Participants in Nontraditional Employment		Wages At Entry Into Employment For Those Individuals Who Entered Employment Unsubsidized Employment		Entry Into Unsubsidized Employment Related to the Training Received of Those Who Completed Training Services			
	70.0	172	0.000	710,967		6	3,430	3,430	0.400	308,715	100	37
Adults	78.2	220	3,232	220	6.7	90			90	100	37	
Dislocated	00.4	61	846,171	5	0.000	427,016	100	26				
Workers	82.4	74	100.9	838,226	7.4	68	6,280	68	100	26		
Older	75.9	22	83,412		1		53,086					
Youth		29	2,876	29	2.8	36	1,475	36				

### Table M:Participation Levels

	Total Participants Served	Total Exiters
Adults	538	235
Dislocated Workers	527	174
Older Youth	139	65
Younger Youth	1,118	381

### Table N: Cost of Program Activities

		Program Activity	Total Federal Spending
Local Adult	S		\$1,812,336.00
Local Dislo	cated	l Workers	\$1,303,197.00
Local Youth	า		\$2,624,068.00
Rapid Resp	ponse (up to 25%) 134 (a) (2) (A)		\$147,845.00
Statewide R	Requi	red Activities (up to 25%) 134 (a) (2) (B)	\$838,836.00
Statewide Allowable Activities 134 (a) (3)	Program Activity Description		
	1	Total of All Federal Spending Listed Above	\$6,726,282.00

# WIA Annual Report Data

## State Name: VT Progam Year: 2001

### Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name:		Adults	538
Vermont Human Resources Investment Council	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	527
	Served	Older Youth	139
		Younger Youth	1,118
	Total Exiters	Adults	224
		Dislocated Workers	157
		Older Youth	63
		Younger Youth	349

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance		Performance Level
Customer Catiofaction	Program Participants		77		76.3
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		67		74.9
	Adults		73		61.9
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		79		76.8
	Older Youth		73		79.5
	Adults		83		80.9
	Dislocated Workers		88		81
Retention Rate	Older Youth		80		55
	Younger Youth		60		71.4
	Adults(\$)		3,100		3,643
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		90		74.9
	Older Youth (\$)		2,365	94	
	Adults		55		60.4
	Dislocated Workers		55		52.3
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		55		15.4
	Younger Youth		55		54.9
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		68		80.1
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance				
Overall Status of Local Perfor		Not Met	Ме	t	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	11	0		6