

Massachusetts

### FY02 (PY01) Annual Report

# Adult, Dislocated Worker and Youth Activities Workforce Investment Act of 1998, Title I-B

submitted by the Commonwealth of Massachusetts

to the
United States Department of Labor
Employment and Training Administration

December 20, 2002

Commonwealth of Massachusetts Jane Swift, Governor

Department of Labor and Workforce Development Angelo Buonopane, Director

Commonwealth Corporation Jonathan Raymond, President

#### WIA Title I-B Annual Report Form (ETA 9091)

#### A. Cost of workforce investment activities and effects on the performance of participants.

For state Fiscal Year 2002 (Program Year 2001), the Commonwealth of Massachusetts received a WIA Title I-B allotment of \$41,910,887. In addition, carry-in funds in the amount of \$9,944,323 were available for WIA Title I-B activities. Of the combined total of over \$51.8 million, approximately 80%, or \$41.7 million, had been expended by June 30, 2002. Of the remaining balance of \$10.1 million, \$4.7 million was already obligated as of June 30, 2002. The Commonwealth's end-of-year total of expenditures and obligations was, therefore, \$46.4 million, representing 90% of total availability, meeting and exceeding the WIA goal of 80%. Chart 1 on the following page shows availability and expenditure detail by program reporting categories.

With the end-of-year rescission, and the increased demand for services, Dislocated Worker local funds were almost entirely spent out (93% of availability). Adult funds were also spent at a faster rate than the previous program year at 88% of availability. Local Youth funds, due to more longer term contracts that cross the program years, were only expended at the 73% level, although 90% of all funding was either spent or obligated.

A review of program strategies and expenditures (see again Chart 1) shows that the majority of WIA Title I adult and dislocated worker participants are receiving education and/or occupational training, although at lower rates than JTPA. The overall cost per participant in the adult program (\$2,915) is much higher than that of the dislocated worker program (\$1,950). Title I adults are more likely to have significant barriers to employment, to have less work experience and to have lower levels of basic skills. About 70% of adult participants received some type of training and/or education service whereas about 55% of dislocated workers received training and education.

Based on WIA Plans, it is estimated that the cost per participant for adult individuals who received training services is \$3,575, about \$2,275 more on average than the cost for an individual receiving only core and intensive career center services (\$1,300). The investment in training services does result in these customers obtaining and retaining employment at a higher rate than that of customers receiving only core services. They also have a much higher rate of post-program earnings gain.

In calculating the cost for dislocated worker programs, it is possible to include with the WIA allocation estimates for some partner programs. In addition to Rapid Response additional assistance distributed to local areas, the majority of TAA/NAFTA training participants are co-enrolled in WIA Title I. An estimate of \$2.4 million from these programs was added to the \$9.3 million in Dislocated Worker expenditures to calculate the cost per participant on Chart 1. The cost for training participants is \$2,875, about \$1,925 more than the average for customers of core and intensive services only (\$950). As with adults, employment and earnings for training customers exceeds that of core services customers.

The average cost per youth participant increased to \$2,500. The difference in the costs for out-of-school (\$2,950) and in-school youth (\$2,275) actually narrowed from the previous program year. It appears that more in-school youth are being served in longer-term program sequences and less in short term programs such as summer employment.

**Chart 1: WIA Title I-B Expenditures and Cost per Participant Ratios** 

Total All Fund Sources	Available	<b>;</b>	Expend	led	Pct	Balance Remaining	Balance Obligated
Adult Local Program	\$10,336,6	666	\$9,13	7.723	88%	\$1,198,943	\$508,37
Carry in Monies (non add)	\$2,332,6		\$2,332		100%	\$0	\$
Dislocated Worker Local Program	\$10,093,1	196	\$9,338	8,995	93%	\$754,201	\$240,31
Carry in Monies (non add)	\$1,526,5	74	\$1,526	,574	100%	\$0	\$0
Youth Local Program	\$15,196,0	)96	\$11,162	2,223	73%	\$4,033,873	\$2,687,46
Carry in Monies (non add)	\$2,952,2	01	\$2,952	,201	100%	\$0	\$0
Out-of-School Youth	Λ	NΑ	\$4,074	4,362	(37%	of youth exper	nditures)
In-School Youth	N	NΑ	\$7,08	7,861	(63%	of youth exper	iditures)
Summer Employment Opportunities	Ν	NΑ	\$3,324	4,555	(30%	of youth expen	ditures)
Local Administration Funds	\$3,887,7	739	\$2,940	0,218	76%	\$947,521	\$52,35
Carry in Monies (non add)	\$686,1	30	\$686,130		100%	\$0	\$0
Statewide Rapid Response Funds	\$4,503,4	182	\$3,45	7,799	77%	\$1,045,683	\$569,87
Carry in Monies (non add)	\$849,8	94	\$689	,947	81%	\$159,947	\$131,82
Statewide 15% Activity Funds	\$7,838,0	)31	\$5,670	0,352	72%	\$2,167,679	\$663,23
Carry in Monies (non add)	\$1,596,8	34	\$1,195	,896	75%	\$400,938	\$23,25
Combined Totals	\$51,855,2	210	\$41,70	7,310	80%	\$10,147,900	\$4,721,61
Carry in Monies (non add)	\$9,944,3	23			94%	\$560,887	\$155,08
Costs per Participant							
	<b>—</b> .	~			Entered	Retention at	Post-Pgm
Program Strategies	Total Participants		st per cipant	Em	ployment Rate	Six Months	Earnings Gain
Adult Program	3,136	\$2	,915		74.1%	80.0%	\$3,852
Training/Education Services	2,224		,515 .575		75.8%	80.5%	\$4,416
Core/Intensive Services Only	912		,300		71.7%	79.2%	\$2,992
Dislocated Worker Program	5,916	\$1	,950		78.8%	85.7%	88%
Training/Education Services	3,236		,875		81.7%	86.6%	90%
Core/Intensive Services Only	2,680		950		76.8%	85.1%	86%
Youth Program	4,470	\$2	,500		60.5%	63.1%	NA
Out-of-School Youth	1,380		,950		63.0%	64.5%	NA
In-School Youth	3,090		\$2,930 \$2,275		56.6%	62.6%	NA

#### B. Description of State evaluations of workforce investment activities.

As planned, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts initiated during state FY02 (PY01) a series of initiatives to research and evaluate workforce investment activities. These initiatives were designed and implemented to explore and promote the efficiency and effectiveness of the statewide workforce investment system in improving the employability for job seekers and competitiveness for employers. This report will briefly summarize the results of FY02 evaluation studies and update progress regarding ongoing evaluation studies.

#### Questions Addressed by Evaluations

The Commonwealth Corporation placed great emphasis on designing outcome evaluation studies that would be able to provide -- over time -- answers to the following key questions of interest to customers and state, regional, and local policymakers and practitioners:

- What is the nature of the earnings growth trajectories of low-income adults, displaced workers, and welfare recipients? Do these earnings growth trajectories vary by selected individual background, workforce investment activity, vendor, and labor market characteristics?
- Does participation in (different types of) workforce investment activities explain the variation in earnings growth, controlling for selected individual background, service, vendor, and labor market characteristics?
- Do changes in earnings over time indicate that adults who participated in workforce investment activities move closer to economic self-sufficiency?

In FY02, a subset of these questions was chosen to design and test an exploratory study. Since Massachusetts UI earnings data were available for this type of evaluation work for the first time in FY02, a small-scale, exploratory or pilot study seemed sensible. This small study focused on displaced workers with special emphasis on immigrant laid off workers and what happened to their earnings pre-layoff, at layoff, and post-layoff and post-program. Through this study, as the results below indicate, it became possible to see if there were any associations between participation in workforce investment activities and labor market outcomes. It further allowed the Commonwealth Corporation to learn several important lessons regarding data management, cleaning, and analyses that have been incorporated into the design of larger FY03 studies currently underway.

#### Description of Evaluation Methodology

Data Sources: Outcome evaluations in FY02 consisted of secondary analyses that combined programmatic data on the JTPA and WIA Title I workforce investment activities of dislocated workers with quarterly UI earnings data – available through an agreement with the Division of Employment and Training - for pre-program and post-program periods. These data sources were used to create a person-period data set. It is this latter data set that was used to describe and explain earnings growth trajectories of laid off workers.

Analytic Sample: From the above data set, an analytic sample for the first study was created from JTPA Title III (dislocated worker) data for state program years 1998, 1999, and 2000 and WIA Title I data for program year 2001. Earnings data were used covering calendar quarters in the January 1, 1995-December 2001 period. Workers age 50+ were excluded from the sample because older workers tend to make different decisions about labor market participation than younger workers do. After data cleaning and after imposing the aforementioned constraints on the sample, approximately 1,100 immigrant, limited English proficient dislocated workers were included in the analysis. Almost 60% were women. Thirty percent received basic readjustment services (i.e., job search assistance) only. The remainder received training and/or education in addition to basic readjustment services.

*Measures:* Multi-wave, inflation adjusted quarterly earnings data (transformed into their natural logarithm) made up the outcome variable. Predictors included time, cohort, worker and family background, participation, vendor, and labor market/business cycle characteristics thought to be related to earnings.

Methods/Analytic Strategies: To describe earnings trajectories and explain variation in earnings within and between individuals over time, Generalized Least Squares Regressions was used to fit to the data a series of random effects earnings models and logit models to predict the probability of employment. Based on the FY02 pilot study, this analytic strategy has proven effective in explaining the variation in earnings growth – and employment - using multiple predictors thought to be related to employment and earnings. All analyses were conducted separately for men and women due to known gender-based differences in labor market participation decisions and patterns. Several sensitivity analyses (including, for instance, the fitting of fixed effects models) are being conducted to determine whether estimates of participation effects on employment and earnings are sensitive to outcome variable specifications and selection bias.

#### **Findings**

As planned, i.e., by the end of state FY2002 (PY01), the Commonwealth Corporation is hereby issuing a brief study summary highlighting the preliminary results of the first evaluation study. A full report including detailed final results will be prepared and released in state FY2003 (PY02).

The preliminary findings from the state FY02 (PY01) exploratory study are:

- UI quarterly earnings appear to be a viable outcome measure for studies that examine the earnings profiles of displaced workers. Matching rates (i.e., positive earnings in quarters for which an earnings record matching request was submitted based on Social Security Numbers) appeared high, particularly in the 4-6 quarters preceding and 4-6 quarters following lay off. This suggests that, at least for displaced workers, this outcome variable may be appropriate in determining the effects of participation in workforce investment activities on subsequent earnings. It is less clear whether this finding would hold for youth and low-income adults.
- The earnings trajectories of displaced workers reflect growth in the early pre-layoff period, begin to "dip" or gradually decline in the quarters leading up to layoff, drop drastically at layoff, recover slowly in the first few quarters following layoff, and seem to gradually increase after

approximately 18 months. It may be that laid off workers – both those who received training and/or education services and those who didn't - experience earnings growth after layoff that is not large enough to make up for earnings losses experienced at and immediately following layoff. These findings are consistent with previous studies of laid off workers.

- There appears to be a positive effect of both basic readjustment services and training on the likelihood of subsequent employment. In addition, it appears that the likelihood of employment of trainees is initially lower due mostly to the fact that workers are in training than the employment probability of workers who received basic readjustment services only. However, the likelihood of employment of those who received training seems to catch up with and eventually appears to exceed the likelihood of employment of those receiving basic readjustment services only.
- The effect of training and basic readjustment services on earnings is less clear at this stage of the study. While all workers certainly see their earnings grow in the post-layoff era, further sensitivity analyses are required to arrive at estimates of the effect of training on earnings. Some of the issues being examined are whether training effects:
  - are due to self-selection by workers and/or program/vendor selection bias,
  - are influenced by missing earnings data (e.g., currently there are no out-of-state data, tax-based earnings and self-employment income data, or household income possibly leading to underreporting) and how those data are treated (e.g., setting earnings in quarters with missing earnings data to zero); and
  - are influenced by the choice of comparison group(s),

#### Looking Ahead: Directions for Future Evaluation Studies

Looking ahead at next program year, the most immediate next step is to complete the sensitivity analyses and the final report of the pilot study and incorporate lessons learned in the analyses of broader samples of low-income adults, displaced workers, and welfare recipients. Simultaneously, obtaining access to UI earnings records from other states, as well as other sources of earnings data and associated data on hours worked would be advantageous, particularly if the interest of policymakers includes questions about whether training moves individuals closer to economic self-sufficiency. Also, assessing whether UI earnings records are workable outcome measures for youth, low-income adults, and welfare recipients needs to take place.

In addition, Massachusetts' SWIB will set additional research and evaluation priorities based on the *Core Performance Measures initially developed by a workgroup* of the *Governor's Task Force to Reform Adult Education and Worker Training*. This workgroup was responsible for identifying common outcome and process measures across workforce investment programs. These measures have now been accepted by all agencies and will become the foundation for the development of a system-wide accountability framework, report card, and research and evaluation agenda during the next year.

#### **PY01 Title I Performance – Statewide Tables**

The following section includes the required statewide tables on PY01 performance. This data has been entered into the USDOL on-line reporting system. Here is a brief overview of the results.

<u>Customer Satisfaction (Table A)</u>: Results for both participants and employers increased as compared to PY00. While participant scores far exceed goal (78 vs. 71), results for employers continue to trail the participant scores and are slightly below goal (69 vs. 71).

Overall Adult (Table B): The entered employment and retention rates increased slightly over PY00 performance, although retention is below goal (80 vs. 81). These results could be improved by access to other states' wage records and by more consistent recording of supplemental employment data on the MOSES MIS. Both earnings change and credential rate had significant decreases from PY00. Earnings change appears to have been affected by the following. As the economy declined during the time period of these performance outcomes, post-program earnings have remained steady or slightly decreased; at the same time, more individuals are being served in Title I that have some level of pre-program earnings than was the situation under JTPA (underemployed, working poor, etc.). The result is that there is less improvement in earnings during the initial six-month retention period. Credential rate seems to have been impacted by both inconsistent MIS data entry and the lack of formal credentials for some ITA training courses. Finally, the processes for the use of wage record data are still being refined and enhanced and this may have impacted some results.

Overall Dislocated Workers (Table E): The results are similar to adults. While the entered employment and retention rates increased slightly over last year, retention is below goal. Earnings replacement and credential rates also decreased from PY00, and are below goal. Many, if not all, of the comments above seem to also apply to dislocated worker performance.

Overall Older Youth (Table H): Only the retention rate increased from PY01, although the entered employment rate still is above goal. As with adults and dislocated workers, both earnings change and credential rate had significant decreases and are both below goal. One unavoidable issue potentially affecting Older Youth results is the low number of exiters. There is great variation across WIBs, with some having only a few such exiters in a program year. It is reasonable to expect great volatility in these performance results, as has been pointed out by many other states as well.

Overall Younger Youth (Table J): The skill attainment and retention rates exceed their standards, with only the diploma attainment rate slightly below goal. While there was some decrease in the skill attainment rate (83% vs. 91%), this was an expected leveling off as goals set during the initial program year came due during PY01. There does also appear to be some room for improvement in the collection of youth performance information and its data entry into MOSES.

<u>Summary:</u> It does not appear that the statewide performance exceeded a significant number of the negotiated goals and most likely will not be eligible for a performance incentive award. In addition to reviewing service strategies as well as the data collection procedures mentioned above, the Commonwealth may need to review the basis of the current negotiated levels of performance. The earnings and credential standards may be set at too high a level given the current economic conditions.

## Commonwealth of Massachusetts WIA Title I-B Annual Report Form (ETA 9091)

Table A - Workforce Investment Act Customer Satisfaction Results

Customer Satisfaction	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level - American Customer Satisfaction Index	Number of Completed Surveys	Number of Customers Eligible for the Survey	Number of Customers Included in the Sample	Response Rate
Participants	71	78.0	1736	6893	2577	67.4%
Employers	71	68.6	1545	5831	2390	64.6%

**Table B - Adult Program Results At-A-Glance** 

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level	
			880
Entered Employment Rate	72%	74.1%	1188
			919
<b>Employment Retention Rate</b>	81%	80.0%	1149
			\$3,959,938
<b>Earnings Change in Six Months</b>	\$3,800	\$3,852	1028
			499
<b>Employment And Credential Rate</b>	60%	55.3%	902

Table C - Outcomes for Adult Special Populations

Reported Information	Public Assistance Recipients Receiving Intensive or Training Services		Recipients Receiving Intensive or Training Mation  Recipients Receiving Intensive or Training Services  Veterans		Individuals With Disabilities		Older Individuals	
Entered		352		33		63		41
Employment Rate	72.4%	486	73.3%	45	57.3%	110	65.1%	63
Employment		323		29		56		49
Retention Rate	77.5%	417	80.6%	36	78.9%	71	89.1%	55
Earnings Change		\$1,673,570		\$193,203		\$210,264		\$64,833
in Six Months	\$4,701	356	\$5,855	33	\$3,391	62	\$1,247	52
<b>Employment And</b>		206		20		36		17
Credential Rate	56.6%	364	66.7%	30	51.4%	70	36.2%	47

**Table D - Other Outcome Information for the Adult Program** 

Reported Information	Individuals Who Received Training Services			ho Received Only tensive Services
		528		352
Entered Employment Rate	75.8%	697	71.7%	491
		568		351
<b>Employment Retention Rate</b>	80.5%	706	79.2%	443
		\$2,742,238		\$1,217,700
Earnings Change in Six Months	\$4,416	621	\$2,992	407

**Table E - Dislocated Worker Program Results At-A-Glance** 

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance	Level
			2258
Entered Empl oyment Rate	78%	78.8%	2865
			1936
<b>Employment Retention Rate</b>	88%	85.7%	2258
			\$25,232,641
<b>Earnings Replacement in Six Months</b>	93%	87.6%	\$28,791,039
			653
<b>Employment And Credential Rate</b>	60%	55.7%	1173

**Table F - Outcomes for Dislocated Worker Special Populations** 

Reported Information	Ve	terans	Individuals With Disabilities Older Individuals			placed emakers		
Entered		166		61		289		9
Employment Rate	71.9%	231	75.3%	81	70.0%	413	75.0%	12
Employment		139		45		241		7
Retention Rate	83.7%	166	73.8%	61	83.4%	289	77.8%	9
Earnings		\$2,124,382		\$511,693		\$3,030,637		\$59,419
Replacement Rate	83.1%	\$2,557,480	77.9%	\$657,138	76.3%	\$3,971,341	182.9%	\$32,484
Employment And		51		16		76		3
Credential Rate	60.0%	85	69.6%	23	53.5%	142	30.0%	10

Table G - Other Outcome Information for the Dislocated Worker Program

Reported Information	Individuals Who Received Training Services		Individuals W Only Core an Servi	nd Intensive
		958		1300
Entered Employment Rate	81.7%	1173	76.8%	1692
		830		1106
<b>Employment Retention Rate</b>	86.6%	958	85.1%	1300
		\$10,638,994		\$14,593,647
Earnings Replacement Rate	89.5%	\$11,893,453	86.4%	\$16,897,586

**Table H - Older Youth Results At-A-Glance** 

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actu Performan	
			125
<b>Entered Employment Rate</b>	64%	65.8%	190
			114
<b>Employment Retention Rate</b>	78%	77.6%	147
			\$328,877
Earnings Change in Six Months	\$3,250	\$2,630	125
			93
Credential Rate	50%	41.9%	222

 $\label{thm:control_equation} \textbf{Table I - Outcomes for Older Youth Special Populations}$ 

Reported Information		Assistance cipients	Ve	eterans		viduals With sabilities	Out-o	f-School Youth
Entered		58		0		24		92
Employment	63.7%	91	0.0%	0	63.2%	38	68.7%	134
Employment		49		0		17		83
Retention Rate	74.2%	665	0.0%	0	92.3%	23	75.5%	110
Earnings		\$206,965		\$0		\$38,067		\$260,880
Change in Six	\$3,763	55	\$0	0	\$1,523	25	\$2,867	91
Credential		45		0		11		68
Rate	45.5%	99	0.0%	0	27.5%	40	42.8%	159

Table J - Younger Youth Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level	
			4123
Skill Attainment Rate	73%	83.4%	4942
			306
Diploma or Equivalent Attainment Rate	56%	54.2%	565
			338
Retention Rate	55%	61.8%	547

**Table K - Outcomes for Younger Youth Special Populations** 

Reported Information	Public Assistance Recipients		Individuals With Disabilities		Out-of-School Youth	
		374		437		317
Skill Attainment Rate	70.4%	531	80.0%	546	67.9%	467
Diploma or Equivalent		64		64		104
Attainment Rate	52.9%	121	60.4%	106	40.8%	255
		78		50		138
Retention Rate	54.9%	142	66.7%	75	48.6%	284

Table L - Other Reported Information

	12 M Emplo Reter Ra	yment ntion	12 Mo. Earnings Partice Replacement Nontre		Particip Nontrad	Wages At Entry Into Employment Placements for Participants in Nontraditional Employment  Wages At Entry Into Employment  For Those Individuals Who Entered Unsubsidized Employment		Entry Into Unsubsidized Employment Related to the Training Received of Those Who Completed Training Services		
		881		\$3,442,910		550		\$3,306,237		207
Adults	76.6%	1150	\$3,215	1071	62.5%	880	\$4,133	800	29.5%	701
Dislocated		1638		\$24,652,225		853		\$13,829,726		145
Workers	78.2%	2095	86.4%	\$28,521,569	37.8%	2258	\$6,441	2147	15.1%	958
Older		151		\$354,030		31		\$274,878		NA
Youth	64.5%	234	\$1,639	216	24.8%	125	\$2,476	111	NA	NA

**Table M - Participation Levels** 

	Total Participants Served	Total Exiters
Adults	3,136	1,814
Dislocated Workers	5,916	3,346
Older Youth	590	249
Younger Youth	3,880	1,606

**Table N - Cost of Program Activities** 

	Pr	rogram Activity (PY01 & Carry-Over)	Total Federal Spending
Local Adults			\$9,137,723
Local Disloc	ated Workers		\$9,338,995
Local Youth			\$11,162,223
Rapid Respo	nse (up to 25%) §1	34 (a) (2) (A)	\$3,457,799
Statewide Re	equired Activiti	<b>es</b> (Up to 15%) §134 (a) (2) (B)	\$3,501,292
		Providing capacity building to local areas.	\$506,031
		Conducting research and/or demonstration projects.	\$211,416
		Support for identification of eligible training providers.	\$112,201
Statewide Allowable Activities §134 (a) (3)	Program Activity Description		
Total of All I	Federal Spendin	g Listed Above	\$37,427,680

State Name: MA Program Year: 2001

Table A: Workforce Investment Act Customer Satisfaction Results

Customer Satisfaction	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance - Level - American Customer Satisfaction Index	Number of Surveys Completed	Number of Customers Eligible for the Survey	Number of Customers Included in the Sample	Response Rate
Participants	71	78	1,736	6,893	2,577	67.4
Employers	71	68.6	1,545	5,831	2,390	64.6

#### Table B: Adult Program Results At-A-Glan

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Perforn	nance Level
Entered Employment Date	72	74.1	880
Entered Employment Rate			1,188
Employment Detention Date	81	80	919
Employment Ratention Rate			1,149
Faminas Change in Six Manth	3,800	3,852	3,959,938
Earnings Change in Six Month	, ·	,	1,028
E. d. a. d. louis III.	60	55.0	504
Employment and Credential Rate	60	55.9	902

Table C: Outcomes for Adult Special Populations

Reported Information		nce Recipients nsive or Training	V	eterans		lividuals With Disabilities	Older Individuals	
Entered		352		33		63		41
Employment Rate	72.4	486	73.3	45	57.3	110	65.1	63
Employment Retention	77.5	323	22.2	29	70.0	56	00.4	49
Rate	77.5	417	80.6	36	78.9	71	89.1	55
Earnings Change in Six	4.704	1,673,570	5.055	193,203	0.004	210,264	4.047	64,833
Months	4,701	356	5,855	33	3,391	62	1,247	52
Employment	EC C	206	66.7	20	E4.4	36	26.2	17
and Credential Rate	56.6	364	00.7	51	51.4	70	36.2	47

 Table D:
 Other Outcome Information for the Adult Program

Reported Information	Individuals Who Received Training Services			
Entered Employment Bate	75.0	528	74.7	352
Entered Employment Rate	75.8	697	71.7	491
Employment Detention Dete	90.5	568	70.0	351
Employment Retention Rate	80.5	706	79.2	443
Familiana Changa in Six Mantha	4.446	2,742,238	2 002	1,217,700
Earnings Change in Six Months	4,416	621	2,992	407

Table E: Dislocated Worker Program Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Perfor	mance Level
Entered Employment Bate	78	78.8	2,258
Entered Employment Rate			2,865
Employment Detention Dete	88	85.7	1,936
Employment Retention Rate		85.7	2,258
Famings Danissament in City Manths	93	87.6	25,232,641
Earnings Replacement in Six Months			28,791,039
	60	F7 7	677
Employment and Credential Rate		57.7	1,173

Table F: Outcomes for Dislocated Worker Special Populations

Reported Information	Vete	erans	Individuals \	With Disabilities	Olde	r Individuals	Displace	ed Homemakers	
Entered Employment	71.9	166	75.3	61	70	289		9	
Rate		231	1 010	81		413	75	12	
Employment Retention		139		45		241		7	
Rate	83.7	166	73.8	61	83.4	289	77.8	9	
Earnings Replacement		2,124,382		511,693		3,030,637		59,419	
Rate	83.1	2,557,480	77.9	657,138	76.3	3,971,341	182.9	32,484	
Employmemt And		51		16		76		3	
Credential Rate	60	60 85 69.6 23	53.5	142	30	10			

Table G: Other Outcome Information for the Dislocated Worker Program

Reported Information	Individuals Who Re	eceived Training Services	Individuals Who Received Core and Intensive Services		
Entered Employment Rate		958		1,300	
	81.7	1,173	76.8	1,692	
Employment Retention Rate		830		1,106	
Employment Netention Nate	86.6	958	85.1	1,300	
Earnings Replacement Rate	89.5	10,638,994	86.4	14,593,647	
	03.3	11,893,453	00.4	16,897,586	

Table H: Older Youth Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Negotiated Performance Level Actual Perform	
Entered Employment Bate	64	65.8	125
Entered Employment Rate	04	05.6	190
Employment Detention Date	78	77.6	114
Employment Retention Rate	76	77.0	147
Earnings Change in Six Months	3,250	2,686	335,775
	*	·	125
0.1.6.10	50	46.8	104
Credential Rate			222

Table I: Outcomes for Older Youth Special Populations

Reported Information	Public Assis	stance Recipients		Veterans	Individuals	dividuals With Disabilities Out-of-		-School Youth	
Entered Employment		58	_	0		24		92	
Rate	63.7	91	0	1	63.2	38	68.7	134	
Employment Retention		49		0		24			83
Rate	74.2	66	66	1	92.3	26	75.5	110	
Earnings Change in		206,965	_	0		38,067		260,880	
Six Months	3,763	55	0	1	1,523	25	2,867	91	
		45	_	0		11		68	
Credential Rate	45.5	99	0	1	27.5	40	42.8	159	

Table J: Younger Youth Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level	
Chill Attainment Data	70	92.4	4,123
Skill Attainment Rate	73	83.4	4,942
Diplome on Equivalent Attainment Data	56	54.2	306
Diploma or Equivalent Attainment Rate			565
Retention Rate	55	24.0	338
		61.8	547

 Table K:
 Outcomes for Younger Youth Special Populations

Reported Information	Public Assistance Recipients		Individuals Disabilities		Out-of-School Youth	
Skill Attainment		374	80	437		317
Rate	70.4	531		546	67.9	467
Diploma or Equivalent	52.9	64	60.4	64	40.8	104
Attainment Rate		121		106		255
D. C. C. D. C.	54.9	78	66.7	50	48.6	138
Retention Rate		142		75		284

Table L: Other Reported Information

	Emplo	lonth oyment on Rate		nings ent	Parti Non	ements for icipants in traditional ployment	Empl Those Ir Entered Uns	At Entry Into oyment For idividuals Who I Employment subsidized ployment	Employm the Traini Those W	Unsubsidized nent Related to ng Received of ho Completed ng Services				
		881		3,442,910		550		3,306,237		207				
Adults	Adults 76.6	1,150	3,215	1,071	62.5	880	4,133	800	29.5	701				
Dislocated		1,638		24,652,225		853		13,829,726	15.1	145				
Workers	78.2	2,095	86.4	28,521,569	37.8	2,258	6,441	2,147		958				
Older	64.5	151		354,030		31		274,878						
Youth	34.0	234	1,639	216	24.8	125	2,476		2,476	2,476	2,476	111		

Table M: Participation Levels

	Total Participants Served	Total Exiters
Adults	3,136	1,814
Dislocated Workers	5,916	3,346
Older Youth	590	249
Younger Youth	3,880	1,606

Table N: Cost of Program Activities

	Program Activity		Total Federal Spending
Local Adult	s		\$9,137,723.00
Local Dislo	cated	Workers	\$9,338,995.00
Local Youth	1		\$1,116,222.00
Rapid Resp	onse	(up to 25%) 134 (a) (2) (A)	\$3,457,799.00
Statewide R	Requi	red Activities (up to 25%) 134 (a) (2) (B)	\$3,501,292.00
Statewide		Capacity Building to Local Areas	\$506,031.00
Allowable	5	Research and Demonstration Projects	\$211,416.00
<b>Activities</b> 134 (a) (3)	Description	Support for Identification of Elig. Trng. Providers	\$112,201.00
	Activity		
	ا Act		
	gram		
	Program		
		Total of All Federal Spending Listed Above	\$37,427,680.00

**Table O: Summary of Participants** 

Local Area Name:		Adults	69
Berkshire County Regional Employment Board, Inc.	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	299
	Served	Older Youth	29
		Younger Youth	101
	Total Exiters	Adults	45
		Dislocated Workers	183
		Older Youth	14
		Younger Youth	93

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actua	l Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		71		77	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		71		65.1	
	Adults		72		66.7	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		78		71.9	
	Older Youth		64		61.5	
	Adults		81		80	
	Dislocated Workers		88		87.3	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		78		88.9	
	Younger Youth		55		56.3	
	Adults(\$)		3,800		4,158	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		93		96.5	
Replacement in Six Months	Older Youth (\$)		3,250		3,402	
	Adults		60		53.8	
	Dislocated Workers		60		57.9	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		50	35		
	Younger Youth		56		55.6	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		73	96.5		
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance					
		Not Met	Met	t	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	1	9		7	

State Name: MA Progam Year: 2001

#### **Table O: Summary of Participants**

Local Area Name: Boston Workforce Investment Board		Adults	385
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	264
	Served	Older Youth	85
		Younger Youth	328
	Total Exiters	Adults	172
		Dislocated Workers	122
		Older Youth	43
		Younger Youth	206

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actua	al Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		71		74.8	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		71		64.2	
	Adults		70		64	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		74		78.7	
	Older Youth		61		56.7	
	Adults		79		78	
But with a But	Dislocated Workers		84		83.8	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		75		88	
	Younger Youth		48		50.3	
	Adults(\$)		3,700		4,173	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		87		105.4	
	Older Youth (\$)		3,100		2,505	
	Adults		58		50.5	
One described / Divolence Deta	Dislocated Workers		56		54.7	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		47	48		
	Younger Youth		49		52.8	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		66		95.3	
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance					
Overall Status of Local Burfar		Not Met	Met	:	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	8		9	

**Table O: Summary of Participants** 

Local Area Name: Metro NorthRegional Employment Board		Adults	169
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	421
	Served	Older Youth	29
		Younger Youth	328
	Total Exiters	Adults	108
		Dislocated Workers	250
		Older Youth	12
		Younger Youth	94

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actua	Il Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		71		81	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		71			
	Adults		68		85.4	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		76		85.7	
	Older Youth		63		64.7	
	Adults		77		76.7	
But with a But	Dislocated Workers		86		80.5	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		77		69.2	
	Younger Youth		53		59.4	
	Adults(\$)		3,600		4,735	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		91		105.5	
	Older Youth (\$)		3,225		3,333	
	Adults		56		59.8	
One described / Disclares Deta	Dislocated Workers		58		51.2	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		49		42.1	
	Younger Youth		54		54.4	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		71	79.5		
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance					
		Not Met	Met	t	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	6		11	

**Table O: Summary of Participants** 

Local Area Name: North Central Mass. REB		Adults	65
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	167
	Served	Older Youth	30
		Younger Youth	92
		Adults	55
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	112
	1 2 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3 3	Older Youth	20
		Younger Youth	65

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actu	al Performance Level
Overteness Outlefootless	Program Participants		71		78.2
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		71		69.8
	Adults		72		75.8
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		78		93.5
	Older Youth		64		60
	Adults		81		83.3
	Dislocated Workers		88		88.9
Retention Rate	Older Youth		78		66.7
	Younger Youth		55		68.4
	Adults(\$)		3,800		3,769
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		93		78.9
Replacement in old months	Older Youth (\$)		3,250		1,200
	Adults		60		66.7
	Dislocated Workers		60		80.8
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		50		40
	Younger Youth		56		54.8
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		73	81.7	
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance				
		Not Met	Met		Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	Overall Status of Local Performance		9		9

**Table O: Summary of Participants** 

Local Area Name:		Adults	112
Central Massachusetts Regional Employment Board	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	325
	Served	Older Youth	35
		Younger Youth	430
		Adults	87
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers Older Youth	224
			22
		Younger Youth	379

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actua	l Performance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		71		75.3
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		71		67.2
	Adults		72		76.2
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		78		86.6
	Older Youth		64		54.5
	Adults		81		75.6
Data affect Data	Dislocated Workers		88		85.1
Retention Rate	Older Youth		78		62.5
	Younger Youth		55		56.4
	Adults(\$)		3,800		4,069
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		93		80.2
	Older Youth (\$)		3,250		1,679
	Adults		60		61.8
One described / Disclasses Date	Dislocated Workers		60		69.2
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		50		61.5
	Younger Youth		56		47.5
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		73	91.2	
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance				
		Not Met	Met	,	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Performance		1	7	-	9

**Table O: Summary of Participants** 

Local Area Name:		Adults	81
Metro South/West Regional Employment Board	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers  Older Youth	476
Board	Served		13
		Younger Youth	102
		Adults	48
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	286
		Older Youth	0
		Younger Youth	1

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actu	al Performance Level
Overtennen Oetlefeetlen	Program Participants		71		78.6
<b>Customer Satisfaction</b>	Employers		71		65.5
	Adults		72		92.3
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		78		72.2
	Older Youth		64		66.7
	Adults		81		84.6
	Dislocated Workers		88		81.4
Retention Rate	Older Youth		78		100
	Younger Youth		55		50
	Adults(\$)		3,800		8,167
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		93		76.1
Replacement in Old Months	Older Youth (\$)		3,250		5,024
	Adults		60		54.2
	Dislocated Workers		60		51
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		50		66.7
	Younger Youth		0		0
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		73	90.6	
Description of Other State Inc	dicators of Performance				
		Not Met	Met	:	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perform	rmance	0	8		9

**Table O: Summary of Participants** 

Local Area Name:		Adults	331
Merrimack Valley Workforce Investment Board	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers  Older Youth	181
	Served		93
		Younger Youth	274
		Adults Dislocated Workers Older Youth	202
	Total Exiters		83
			42
		Younger Youth	106

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actual	Performance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		71		78.5
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		71		63.3
	Adults		71		68.3
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		76		69.6
	Older Youth		61		52.6
	Adults		80		73.3
<b>5</b>	Dislocated Workers		86		78.2
Retention Rate	Older Youth		75		58.3
	Younger Youth		53		68.3
	Adults(\$)		3,800		3,105
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		93		84.8
	Older Youth (\$)		3,100		1,270
	Adults		59		60.9
On the dist/Pinton Pro	Dislocated Workers		58		57.6
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		47		38.1
	Younger Youth		54		44.4
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		71	79.8	
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance				
0 11 0 12 12 1		Not Met	Met		Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	2	11		4

State Name: MA Progam Year: 2001

#### **Table O: Summary of Participants**

Local Area Name: Greater Lowell Regional Employment Board		Adults	86
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	215
	Served	Older Youth	17
		Younger Youth	217
		Adults	50
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	130
		Older Youth	9
		Younger Youth	93

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actu	al Performance Level	
Overteness Outlefootless	Program Participants		71		81.6	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		71		70	
	Adults		72		64.3	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		78		63.9	
	Older Youth		64		76.9	
	Adults		81		73.1	
	Dislocated Workers		88		89.4	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		78		90.9	
	Younger Youth		55		79.6	
	Adults(\$)		3,800		2,243	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		93		89.8	
Replacement in old months	Older Youth (\$)		3,250		1,515	
	Adults		60		66.7	
	Dislocated Workers		60		60.5	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		50		35.7	
	Younger Youth		56		75	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		73	100		
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance					
		Not Met	Met	t	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	3	5		9	

**Table O: Summary of Participants** 

Local Area Name:		Adults	256
Southern Essex Regional Employment Board	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	330
	Served	Older Youth	28
		Younger Youth	196
		Adults	113
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	97
		Older Youth	6
		Younger Youth	103

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actual	Performance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		71		82.4
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		71		62.7
	Adults		71		75
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		78		75.5
	Older Youth		0		0
	Adults		80		80
<b>5</b>	Dislocated Workers		88		85
Retention Rate	Older Youth		0		0
	Younger Youth		55		100
	Adults(\$)		3,550		1,047
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		91		90.3
	Older Youth (\$)		0		0
	Adults		59		100
	Dislocated Workers		60		56.5
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		50		0
	Younger Youth		56		60
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		73	69.4	
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance				
		Not Met	Met	:	Exceeded
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	1	11		5

**Table O: Summary of Participants** 

Local Area Name: Brockton Workforce Investment Board		Adults	72
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	340
	Served	Older Youth	50
		Younger Youth	262
		Adults Dislocated Workers Older Youth	52
	Total Exiters		144
			20
		Younger Youth	34

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actua	al Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		71		81.5	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		71		70.3	
	Adults		72		83.3	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		78		71.3	
	Older Youth		64		75	
	Adults		81		87.5	
	Dislocated Workers		88		86.1	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		78		66.7	
	Younger Youth		55		73.2	
	Adults(\$)		3,800		4,203	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		93		90.4	
	Older Youth (\$)		3,250		1,289	
	Adults		60		56	
	Dislocated Workers		60		54	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		50		44.4	
	Younger Youth		56		61.9	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		73	88.3		
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance					
		Not Met	Met	t	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	1	8		8	

**Table O: Summary of Participants** 

Local Area Name:	Adults	Adults	179
Bristol Workforce Investment Board	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	839
	Served	Older Youth	37
		Younger Youth	403
		Adults Dislocated Workers Older Youth	127
	Total Exiters		599
			11
		Younger Youth	150

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actua	al Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		71		77.1	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		71		68.6	
	Adults		70		79.7	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		76		76.1	
	Older Youth		61		90.5	
	Adults		79		85.7	
<b>.</b>	Dislocated Workers		86		87.7	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		75		80	
	Younger Youth		50		81.8	
	Adults(\$)		3,400		2,718	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		93		80.9	
Replacement in old months	Older Youth (\$)		2,825		2,530	
	Adults		58		50.8	
On the dist/Pinton Pro	Dislocated Workers		58		51.9	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		47		54.5	
	Younger Youth		51		57.1	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		68	65.4		
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance					
		Not Met	Met	t	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	0	7		10	

State Name: MA Progam Year: 2001

#### **Table O: Summary of Participants**

Local Area Name:		Adults	452
Greater New Bedford Workforce Investment Board, Inc	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	803
and a sea a, mo	Served	Older Youth	31
		Younger Youth	459
		Adults	298
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	467
		Older Youth	7
		Younger Youth	46

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actu	al Performance Level	
Overtennen Oetlefeetlen	Program Participants		71		77	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		71		65.7	
	Adults		68		70.4	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		75		81.1	
	Older Youth		59		63	
	Adults		77		77.4	
	Dislocated Workers		85		88.5	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		73		76.2	
	Younger Youth		53		64.7	
	Adults(\$)		3,300		3,084	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		88		97.7	
	Older Youth (\$)		2,700		5,884	
	Adults		56		51.9	
	Dislocated Workers		57		55.7	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		45		53.1	
	Younger Youth		54		56.3	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		71	81.1		
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance					
Overall Status of Local Performance		Not Met	Met	:	Exceeded	
		0	4		13	

**Table O: Summary of Participants** 

Local Area Name: Cape & Islands Workforce Investment Board		Adults	161
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	206
	Served	Older Youth	40
		Younger Youth	199
		Adults	57
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	94
		Older Youth	21
		Younger Youth	104

		Negotiated Perfor	mance	Actual Perform Level	ance	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		71		77.4	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		71		64.7	
	Adults		68		61.5	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		78			
	Older Youth		55		75	
	Adults		77		75.5	
Date of the Date	Dislocated Workers		88		86.7	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		69		71.4	
	Younger Youth	47		63		
	Adults(\$)		3,300		2,246	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		89		97.2	
	Older Youth (\$)		2,500		3,288	
	Adults		56		51.4	
	Dislocated Workers		60		60	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		41		44.4	
	Younger Youth		48		59.5	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		65	75.6		
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance					
			Met	Excee	ded	
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	1	7	9		

**Table O: Summary of Participants** 

Local Area Name: South Coastal Workforce Investment Board		Adults	79
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	155
Journal	Served	Older Youth	13
		Younger Youth	84
		Adults	40
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	84
		Older Youth	2
		Younger Youth	7

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actua	l Performance Level	
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		71		76.3	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		71		63.1	
	Adults		72		68.2	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		78		84	
	Older Youth		64		0	
	Adults		81		88.6	
<b>5</b>	Dislocated Workers		88		92.1	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		78		0	
	Younger Youth		55		60	
	Adults(\$)		3,800		5,792	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		93		94.2	
	Older Youth (\$)		3,250		0	
	Adults		60		54.9	
On the dist/Pinters Pro-	Dislocated Workers		60		50	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		50		100	
	Younger Youth		56		66.7	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		73	84.5		
Description of Other State Ind	licators of Performance					
Overall Status of Local Perfor	mance	Not Met	Met		Exceeded	
The state of Education of the state of the s		3	4		10	

**Table O: Summary of Participants** 

Local Area Name:		Adults	582
Regional Employment Board of Hampden County, Inc.	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	664
Transparent Scarrisy, mor	Served	Older Youth	56
		Younger Youth	494
		Adults	344
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers	364
		Older Youth	20
		Younger Youth	125

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actu	al Performance Level	
Overtennen Oetlefeetlen	Program Participants		71		79.7	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		71		70.3	
	Adults		71		78.8	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		78		92.3	
	Older Youth		58		69.2	
	Adults		80		87.7	
	Dislocated Workers		88		85.9	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		72		77.8	
	Younger Youth		55		40	
	Adults(\$)		3,400		5,097	
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		89		80.9	
	Older Youth (\$)		3,000		1,129	
	Adults		59		54.7	
	Dislocated Workers		60		58.2	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		44		38.5	
	Younger Youth		56		75.9	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		73	92		
Description of Other State Inc	dicators of Performance					
		Not Met	Met	t	Exceeded	
Overall Status of Local Perform	rmance	2	6		9	

**Table O: Summary of Participants** 

Local Area Name: Franklin/Hampshire Regional Employment Board, Inc.		Adults	57
	Total Participants	Dislocated Workers	231
	Served	Older Youth	4
		Younger Youth	39
		Adults	16
	Total Exiters	Dislocated Workers Older Youth	107
			0
		Younger Youth	0

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actua	al Performance Level
Customer Satisfaction	Program Participants		71	81.2	
	Employers	71		67.7	
Entered Employment Rate	Adults		70	87.5	
	Dislocated Workers		76	84	
	Older Youth		60		50
Retention Rate	Adults		79	75	
	Dislocated Workers		86	86.8	
	Older Youth	74		100	
	Younger Youth		52		90
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Adults(\$)		3,350	5,255	
	Dislocated Workers		89	81.6	
	Older Youth (\$)		2,675		1,439
Credential / Diploma Rate	Adults		58	75	
	Dislocated Workers		58	66.7	
	Older Youth		46	50	
	Younger Youth		0		0
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		70	79.3	
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance				
Overall Status of Local Performance		Not Met	Met		Exceeded
		1	6		10