

# Delaware



Category	Available	Expended	Percent	Balance
	, tranabio	Expensed	1 0100111	Dalanoo
Total All Funds	\$9,649,220	\$8,775,189	91.0%	\$874,031
Adult Program Funds	\$2,315,954	\$2,315,954	100.0%	\$0
Carry in (non add)	\$340,504	\$340,504	100.0%	\$0
Dislocated Worker Program				
Funds	\$1,839,117	\$1,839,117	100.0%	\$0
Carry in (non add)	\$271,733	\$271,733	100.0%	\$0
Youth Program Funds	\$3,313,575	\$2,951,518	89.0%	\$362,057
Carry In (non add)	\$489,509	\$489,509	100.0%	\$0
Out-of-School Youth				
(non add)	\$1,065,144	\$879,580	83.0%	\$189,564
In-School Youth (non add)	\$1,465,048	\$1,412,701	96.0%	\$52,347
Summer Employment Opportunities (non add)	\$783,383	\$659,237	84.0%	\$124,146
Local Administrative Funds	\$938,025	\$763,784	81.0%	\$174,241
Carry in (non add)	\$270,938	\$270,938	100.0%	\$0
Rapid Response Funds	\$60,000	\$60,000	100.0%	\$0
Carry in (non add)	\$3,209	\$3,209	100.0%	\$0
Statewide Activity Funds	\$1,182,549	\$844,816	69.0%	\$337,733
Carry in (non add)	\$358,431	\$344,232	96.0%	\$14,199

Cost Effectiveness								
Categories	Total Participants Served	C-E Ratio PY01						
Adult Program	982	.79-1						
Adult Program	902	.79-1						
Dislocated Worker Program	556	1.3-1						
Older Youth Program	128	.37-1						
OVERALL TOTALS	1,666	.62-1						





#### Cost -Effectiveness ratios

This effort at utilizing Cost-Effectiveness analysis analyzes only the benefit of the

WIA service when other services are not provided or resources expended. It is

expected that as we develop this area of analysis, we will refine the method for

calculating these rates. Each category listed above, share similar outcome goals

with many of DWIB's partners, including Adult Education, Welfare-to-Work and

TANF. These goals include: GET A JOB, KEEP A JOB, SELF-SUSTAINING

WAGES.

Assumptions:

- The data provided on the performance standards does provide sufficient information to begin this process
- Internal reports that support the Federal financial reports, but which are in a slightly different format, are the best source of data on available funds and expenditures
- Earnings and other performance captured as part of the Federal reporting process on a 6 month basis can be extrapolated to reflect 12 month performance.

Cost-Effectiveness Measures

- Adult Earnings change for each WIA dollar expended
- Older Youth earnings change for each WIA dollar expended
- Total Dislocated Worker earnings for each WIA dollar expended
- Total Overall earnings for each WIA dollar expended



#### Delaware Workforce Investment Board (DWIB) Program Year 01 Annual Report Narrative (7/1/01-6/30/02) Submitted: December 6, 2002 **DISCUSSION OF WORKFORCE INVESTMENT ACTIVITIES**: Because of the

inherent F.E.R.P.A. (Family Rights & Privacy Act, Title 34, Volume 1, Parts 1 to 299 from Code of Federal Regulations) conflict for Delaware's Community College during the initial implementation of W.I.A., that required a disclosure of Social Security numbers of non-W.I.A. funded students, the local Community College did not participate in the Individual Training Accounts (ITA) for Adults. That dramatically increased our average cost of an ITA. The unit cost of training in smaller proprietary schools is usually higher than a Community College. On February 22, 2001, our Board adopted a policy, consistent with W.I.A. section 122 (d)(3)(b) allowing the Community College to submit their performance data "consistent with the requirements for eligibility under Title IV of the Higher Education Act of 1965 (20 U.S.C. 1070 et seq.) from a provider for purposes of enabling the provider to fulfill the applicable requirements of this subsection, if such information is substantially similar to the information required under this sub section." This change made a remarkable "continuous improvement" in our Adult programs for Program Year 2001. Delaware Technical & Community College was named Community College of the Year in 1999 and has understandable concerns regarding the FERPA and WIA conflict. We remain hopeful that Congress will address this conflict in the reporting requirements prior to June 30, 2003.

In addition, a mid-year analysis that was reviewed by the Executive Committee, illustrated a potential for provider-driven outcomes versus the consumer choice

model that was intended. For that reason, the Board adopted a four-week job search requirement that would offer customers an opportunity to truly explore all of their options available through the One-Stop system versus rushing to demand an ITA. We are committed to offering bona-fide "informed consumer choice" and ensuring that funds are not depleted mid-year, which can leave unemployed customers with no source of hope or help. After initial reluctance from the training providers, the transition has increased consumer choice and proved to be a useful training tool for providers who had difficulty making the transition from J.T.P.A.

The Board has implemented a formal review of all quarterly performance reports, prior to their submission to U.S. DOL, in addition to fiscal reports. This has given the Board an opportunity to address questions and/or concerns earlier in the process for Program Year 01 and will continue to improve throughout Program Year 02. Delaware was randomly selected for a performance audit of our adult programs, by the Office of Inspector General. Although the findings were positive overall, the recommendations for improvement included improved case file documentation and monitoring of all aspects of W.I.A. We remain hopeful that Congress will more clearly define the auditing responsibilities of the State Board and provide funds to effectively monitor the process.

DWIB private sector board members have worked diligently in the planning and implementation phase of WIA, and continue to ask questions that keep the



process focused on basic business management principles, such as Return on Investment, and on-going assessments. We have effectively implemented the basics of our workforce development system and are dedicating our efforts to the consolidation and integration of true partnerships among stakeholders in workforce and economic development.

John McMahon, Chairman of DE Workforce Investment Board, has served on the various workforce initiative committees and commissions with **Governor Ruth Ann Minner** and **Lt. Governor John Carney** for several years. John is a strong leader with a passionate commitment to building the bridges that will bring the workforce development system partners together.

Delaware's Workforce Investment Board kicked off the 2001 Program Year with a marketing/community outreach campaign that included bus signs and Public Service Announcements. **Pete Booker, President of Delmarva Broadcasting Company**, is the new Chairman of the Public Relations & Community Outreach Committee. Pete brings enthusiasm as well as expertise to this important leadership position.

Several members of the Governor's cabinet are active members of the Board:



### Delaware Workforce Investment Board (DWIB) Program Year 01 Annual Report Narrative (7/1/01-6/30/02) Submitted: December 6, 2002 Our new Director for Delaware Economic Development Office, Judy Cherry.

Judy served on the Workforce Commission since 1993 and is uniquely qualified to chart our path forward in workforce and economic development.

Harold Stafford, Secretary of Labor, is committed to quality and customer service as he works diligently to ensure that citizens are prepared to meet Delaware's labor market demand.

Vince Meconi, Secretary of Health & Social Services, brings a broad spectrum of experience and expertise to the workforce, education and economic development arena.

Valerie Woodruff, Secretary of Education, has served on the Board, as well as the Youth Council for a number of years, and appreciates the opportunity to bring real life experience and job training to DE's youth.

And, Saundra Johnson, Secretary of Housing, is one of our most enthusiastic board members! Although each of DE's subsidized housing residents currently has access to resource rooms and case managers, Sandy is working with the Board to develop Job Fairs and to partner with the U.S. Dept. of Labor's **Business Relations Group** – National Hiring Partnerships.

As you can see, we are well on our way to developing sound strategies for workforce and economic development, for all of Delaware. We recognize that the entry level scientists for the next decade are in elementary school today and that strong math, science, and critical thinking skills will be necessary. We know





that our 30-40 year old citizens are excellent resources for training into new

careers to meet today's labor market demand.

We know that we can't do this alone. Together - we will find the answers to the

puzzle of "just in time" delivery for Delaware's labor market demand... for the

businesses who are here today... as well as for the businesses who will be here

tomorrow!

Respectfully submitted,

Patricia A. Cannon Executive Director DE Workforce Investment Board 302-577-6202, ext. 11 pacannon@state.de.us www.delawareworks.com/wib Delaware Works is more than just a slogan... It's a commitment!

## WIA Annual Report Data

#### State Name: DE Program Year: 2001

#### Table A: Workforce Investment Act Customer Satisfaction Results

Customer Satisfaction	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance - Level - American Customer Satisfaction Index	Number of Surveys Completed	Number of Customers Eligible for the Survey	Number of Customers Included in the Sample	Response Rate
Participants	70	59	474	618	618	76.7
Employers	68	63	500	598	598	83.6

#### Table B: Adult Program Results At-A-Glan

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level		
Entered Employment Bate	69	63	126	
Entered Employment Rate			200	
Employment Detention Date	79	74.1	177	
Employment Ratention Rate			239	
Forming of the sec in Six Month	3,774	1,943	464,369	
Earnings Change in Six Month	<i>.</i>		239	
Employment and Credential Rate	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	64.0	220	
	62	64.9	339	

#### Table C: Outcomes for Adult Special Populations

Reported Information			Veterans		Individuals With Disabilities		Older Individuals	
Entered		22		9		12		3
Employment Rate	68.8	32	69.2	13	48	25	33.3	9
Employment Retention		23		14		10		3
Rate	74.2	31	87.5	87.5	58.8	17	75	4
Earnings Change in Six		8,631		68,043		-45,632		2,155
Months	278	31	4,253	4,253 16	-2,684	17	539	4
Employment	69.2	28	70.7	16	40 E	16	20	3
and Credential Rate	68.3	41	72.7	49	48.5	33	30	10

#### Table D: Other Outcome Information for the Adult Program

Reported Information		als Who Received ning Services	Individuals Who Only Received Core and Intensive Services		
Entered Employment Date	63.3	126	0	0	
Entered Employment Rate	63.3	199	0	1	
Employment Detention Date	74.4	177	- 0	0	
Employment Retention Rate		238		1	
Formings Change in Six Menths	4.054	464,369	0	0	
Earnings Change in Six Months	1,951	238	0	1	

#### Table E: Dislocated Worker Program Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Per	formance Level
Enternal Englanmant Data	72	73.8	118
Entered Employment Rate			160
Employment Potention Pote	84	65.3	77
Employment Retention Rate			118
Fornings Ponlosement in Six Months	94	97.7	1,024,090
Earnings Replacement in Six Months			1,047,708
	62	65	104
Employment and Credential Rate		65	160

#### Table F: Outcomes for Dislocated Worker Special Populations

Reported Information	Veterans		Individuals With Disabilities		Older Individuals		Displaced Homemakers	
Entered Employment	85.7	18	70.6	12	65	13		19
Rate		21		17		20	73.1	26
Employment Retention	vmont Potention 10 8		6		14			
Rate	55.6	18	66.7	12	46.2	13	73.7	19
Earnings Replacement		102,355		76,156		60,477	90.1	148,724
Rate	79.4	128,831	134.4	56,683	74.3	81,445		165,140
Employmemt And Credential Rate		16		12	55	11	65.4	17
	76.2	21	70.6	17		20		26

#### Table G: Other Outcome Information for the Dislocated Worker Program

Reported Information	Individuals Who Re	eceived Training Services	Individuals Who Received Core and Intensive Services		
Entered Employment Rate		117		1	
	73.6	159	- 100	1	
Employment Retention Rate	65.8	77		0	
		117	<b>0</b>	1	
Earnings Replacement Rate	97.7	1,024,090	0	0	
	51.1	1,047,707		1	

#### Table H: Older Youth Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	erformance Level	
Entered Employment Rate	60	65	26
		00	40
Employment Determine Dete	72	53.8	21
Employment Retention Rate	12	55.0	39
Earnings Change in Six Months	3,213	865	33,739
			39
Credential Rate	52	73.7	42
			57

#### Table I: Outcomes for Older Youth Special Populations

Reported Information	Public Assistance Recipients		Veterans		Individuals With Disabilities		Out-of-School Youth	
Entered Employment		8	_	0		3		9
Rate	100 8 0 1 27.	27.3	11	81.8	11			
Employment Retention		5	_	0		1	60	6
Rate	55.6	9	0	1	33.3	3		10
Earnings Change in		8,899	_	0		3,411		7,452
Six Months	989	9	0	1	1,137	3	745	10
	100	9	_	0		5		10
Credential Rate	100	9	0	1	38.5	13	83.3	12

#### Table J: Younger Youth Results At-A-Glance

	Negotiated Performance Level	Actual Performance Level		
Skill Attainment Rate	73	66	1,298	
	73	00	1,966	
Diplome of Equivalent Attainment Data	<b>F</b> 0	25.0	117	
Diploma or Equivalent Attainment Rate	56	35.2	332	
Retention Rate		54.0	102	
	55	54.3	188	

#### Table K: Outcomes for Younger Youth Special Populations

Reported Information	Public Assistance Recipients		Individuals Disabilities		Out-of-School Youth	
Skill Attainment		131	66.3	459	68.9	104
Rate	63.3	207		692		151
Diploma or Equivalent	20.7	6	55.6	55	3.3	3
Attainment Rate		29		99		91
Retention Rate	32.1	9	54.1	20	37.5	15
		28		37		40

#### Table L: Other Reported Information

	12 Month Employment Retention Rate		(Adults and 0	ent		icipants in traditional	Wages At Entry Into Employment For Those Individuals Who Entered Employment Unsubsidized Employment		Entry Into Unsubsidized Employment Related to the Training Received of Those Who Completed Training Services	
	50.4	23	1 000	41,091		0	0.004	368,377		84
Adults	56.1	41	41 1,002	41	0	126	2,924	126	66.7	126
Dislocated		16	75.0	151,016	0.8	1	5,711	673,892	67.8	80
Workers	59.3	27	75.8	199,338		118		118		118
Older	0	0		0	0	0	1,154	29,991		
Youth	•	1	0	1		26		26		

#### Table M:Participation Levels

	Total Participants Served	Total Exiters		
Adults	998	412		
Dislocated Workers	573	220		
Older Youth	137	110		
Younger Youth	1,193	571		

### Table N: Cost of Program Activities

		Program Activity	Total Federal Spending
Local Adult	S		\$2,315,955.00
Local Dislo	cated V	Vorkers	\$1,839,117.00
Local Youth	า		\$2,951,518.00
Rapid Resp	onse (u	up to 25%) 134 (a) (2) (A)	\$60,000.00
Statewide F	Require	d Activities (up to 25%) 134 (a) (2) (B)	\$844,816.00
Statewide Allowable Activities 134 (a) (3)	Program Activity Description		
		Total of All Federal Spending Listed Above	\$8,011,406.00

# WIA Annual Report Data

#### Progam Year: State Name: DE

#### 2001

### Table O: Summary of Participants

Local Area Name:		Adults	998
Delaware Workforce Investment Board	oard Total Participants Served	Dislocated Workers	573
		Older Youth	137
		Younger Youth	1,193
	Total Exiters	Adults	412
		Dislocated Workers	220
		Older Youth	110
		Younger Youth	571

		Negotiated Perfor Level	mance	Actua	al Performance Level	
Customer Catiofastian	Program Participants		70		59	
Customer Satisfaction	Employers		68		63	
	Adults		69		63	
Entered Employment Rate	Dislocated Workers		72		73.8	
	Older Youth		60		65	
	Adults		79		74.1	
Defendence Defe	Dislocated Workers		84		65.3	
Retention Rate	Older Youth		72		53.8	
	Younger Youth		55		54.3	
	Adults(\$)		3,774	3,774		
Earnings Change / Earnings Replacement in Six Months	Dislocated Workers		94		97.7	
Replacement in oix months	Older Youth (\$)		3,213			
	Adults		62		64.9	
	Dislocated Workers		62		65	
Credential / Diploma Rate	Older Youth		52		73.7	
	Younger Youth		56		35.2	
Skill Attainment Rate	Younger Youth		73	66		
Description of Other State Inc	licators of Performance					
		Not Met	Me	let Exceeded		
Overall Status of Local Perfor	11	0		6		