# CONSOLIDATED STATE PERFORMANCE REPORT: Parts I and II

for
STATE FORMULA GRANT PROGRAMS
under the
ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT
As amended by the
No Child Left Behind Act of 2001

For reporting on School Year 2005-2006



PART I DUE DECEMBER 1, 2006 PART II DUE FEBRUARY 1, 2007

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION WASHINGTON, DC 20202

#### INTRODUCTION

Sections 9302 and 9303 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 (NCLB) provide to states the option of applying for and reporting on multiple ESEA programs through a single consolidated application and report. Although a central, practical purpose of the Consolidated State Application and Report is to reduce "red tape" and burden on states, the Consolidated State Application and Report are also intended to have the important purpose of encouraging the integration of state, local, and ESEA programs in comprehensive planning and service delivery and enhancing the likelihood that the state will coordinate planning and service delivery across multiple state and local programs. The combined goal of all educational agencies -- state, local, and federal -- is a more coherent, well-integrated educational plan that will result in improved teaching and learning.

The Consolidated State Application and Report includes the following ESEA programs:

- Title I, Part A Improving Basic Programs Operated by Local Educational Agencies.
- o Title I, Part B, Subpart 3 William F. Goodling Even Start Family Literacy Programs.
- o Title I, Part C Education of Migratory Children.
- o Title I, Part D Prevention and Intervention Programs for Children and Youth Who Are Neglected, Delinquent, or At-Risk.
- o Title I, Part F Comprehensive School Reform.
- o Title II, Part A Improving Teacher Quality State Grants (Teacher and Principal Training and Recruiting Fund).
- o Title II, Part D Enhancing Education through Technology.
- o Title III, Part A English Language Acquisition, Language Enhancement, and Academic Achievement Act.
- o Title IV, Part A, Subpart 1 Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities State Grants.
- Title IV, Part A, Subpart 2 Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities National Activities (Community Service Grant Program).
- o Title IV, Part B 21<sup>st</sup> Century Community Learning Centers.
- o Title V. Part A *Innovative Programs*.
- o Title VI, Section 6111 Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities.
- o Title VI, Part B Rural Education Achievement Program.

In addition to the programs cited above, the Title X, Part C - Education for Homeless Children and Youths program data will be incorporated in the CSPR for SY 2005-06.

The NCLB Consolidated State Performance Report for the SY 2005-06 consists of two information collections. Part I of this report is due to the Department by December 1, 2006. Part II is due to the Department by February 1, 2007.

#### PART I

Part I of the Consolidated State Performance Report, which states must submit to the Department by **December 1, 2006**, requests information related to the five ESEA Goals, established in the June 2002 Consolidated State Application, and information required

for the Annual State Report to the Secretary, as described in section 1111(h)(4) of ESEA. The five ESEA Goals established in the June 2002 Consolidated State Application are as follows:

- Performance goal 1: By SY 2013-14, all students will reach high standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.
- **Performance goal 2**: All limited English proficient students will become proficient in English and reach high academic standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.
- **Performance goal 3**: By SY 2005-06, all students will be taught by highly qualified teachers.
- **Performance goal 4**: All students will be educated in learning environments that are safe, drug free, and conducive to learning.
- Performance Goal 5: All students will graduate from high school.

#### PART II

Part II of the Consolidated State Performance Report consists of information related to state activities and outcomes of specific ESEA programs for the SY 2005-06. Part II of the Consolidated State Performance Report is due to the Department by **February 1**, **2007**. The information requested in Part II of the Consolidated State Performance Report for the SY 2005-06 necessarily varies from program to program. However, for all programs, the specific information requested for this report meets the following criteria.

- 1. The information is needed for Department program performance plans or for other program needs.
- 2. The information is not available from another source, including program evaluations.
- 3. The information will provide valid evidence of program outcomes or results.
- 4. The Consolidated State Performance Report is the best vehicle for collection of the data.

The Department is continuing to work with the Performance-Based Data Management Initiative (PBDMI) to streamline data collections for the SY 2005-06 and beyond.

#### **GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS AND TIMELINES**

All states that received funding based on the Consolidated State Application for the SY 2005-06 must respond to this Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR). Part I of the Report is due to the Department by December 1, 2006. Part II of the Report is due to the Department by February 1, 2007. Both Part I and Part II should reflect data from the SY 2005-06, unless otherwise noted.

The format states will use to submit the Consolidated State Performance Report changed to an online submission starting with SY 2004-05. This online submission tool is being developed through the Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and will make the submission process less burdensome. The data entry screens include or provide access to all instructions and notes on the current CSPR forms; additionally, the screens are designed to balance efficient data collection and reduction of visual clutter.

Initially, a state user will log onto EDEN and be provided with an option that takes him or her to the "SY 2005-06 CSPR". The main CSPR screen will allow the user to select the section of the CSPR that he or she needs to either view or enter data. After selecting a section of the CSPR, the user will be presented with a screen or set of screens where the user can input the data for that section of the CSPR. A user can only select one section of the CSPR at a time. After a state has included all available data in the designated sections of a particular CSPR Part, a lead state user will certify that Part and transmit it to the Department. Once a Part has been transmitted, ED will have access to the data. States may still make changes or additions to the transmitted data by creating an updated version of the CSPR. Detailed instructions for transmitting the SY 2005-06 CSPR will be found on the main CSPR page of the EDEN Web site (https://EDEN.ED.GOV/EDENPortal/).

#### TRANSMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS

The Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR) data will be collected online from the SEAs, using the EDEN web site. The EDEN web site will be modified to include a separate area (sub-domain) for CSPR data entry. This area will utilize EDEN formatting to the extent possible and the data will be entered in the order of the current CSPR forms. The data entry screens will include or provide access to all instructions and notes on the current CSPR forms; additionally, an effort will be made to design the screens to balance efficient data collection and reduction of visual clutter.

Initially, a state user will log onto EDEN and be provided with an option that takes him or her to the "SY 2005-06 CSPR". The main CSPR screen will allow the user to select the section of the CSPR that he or she needs to either view or enter data. After selecting a section of the CSPR, the user will be presented with a screen or set of screens where the user can input the data for that section of the CSPR. A user can only select one section of the CSPR at a time. After a state has included all available data in the designated sections of a particular CSPR Part, a lead state user will certify that Part and transmit it to the Department. Once a Part has been transmitted, ED will have access to the data. States may still make changes or additions to the transmitted data by creating an updated version of the CSPR. Detailed instructions for transmitting the SY 2005-06 CSPR will be found on the main CSPR page of the EDEN Web site (https://EDEN.ED.GOV/EDENPortal/).

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1965, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control

number for this information collection is 1810-0614. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 111 hours per response. This includes the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the necessary data, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimate(s) contact School Support and Technology Programs, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Washington, DC 20202-6140. Questions about the online submission tool should be directed to the EDEN Partner Support Center at 1-877-HLP-EDEN (1-877-457-3336).

OMB Number: 1810-0614 Expiration Date: 07/31/2007 Consolidated State Performance Report For State Formula Grant Programs under the Elementary And Secondary Education Act as amended by the No Child Left Behind Act of 2001 Check the one that indicates the report you are submitting: \_Part I, 2005-2006 Part II, 2005-2006 Name of State Educational Agency (SEA) Submitting This Report: Address: Person to contact about this report: Name: Telephone: Fax: e-mail: Name of Authorizing State Official: (Print or Type): Signature Date

#### **CONSOLIDATED STATE PERFORMANCE REPORT: PART I**

# For reporting on School Year 2005-2006



PART I DUE DECEMBER 1, 2006

#### 1.1. STANDARDS AND ASSESSMENT DEVELOPMENT

Section 1111(b)(1) of ESEA requires States to adopt challenging academic content and achievement standards in mathematics, reading/language arts, and science and to develop assessments in mathematics, reading/language arts, and science that meet the requirements of section 1111(b)(3) in the required grade levels. In the following sections, States are asked to provide a detailed description of their progress in meeting the NCLB standards and assessments requirements.

1.1.1. Please provide a detailed description of the State's progress in adopting challenging academic content standards in science that meet the requirements of section 1111(b)(1). [1.1.1.]
STATE RESPONSE

1.1.2	Please provide a detailed description of the State's progress in developing and implementing, in consultation with LEAs, assessments in mathematics, reading/language arts, and science that meet the requirements of section 1111(b)(3) in the required grade levels. Please provide in your response a description of the State's progress in developing alternate assessments for students with disabilities, including alternate assessments aligned to alternate achievement standards and those aligned to grade-level achievement standards.  [1.1.2]
STAT	E RESPONSE

1.1.3 Please provide a detailed description of the State's progress in setting, in consultation with LEAs, academic achievement standards in mathematics, reading/language arts, and science that meet the requirements of section 1111(b)(1). If applicable, please provide in your response a description of the State's progress in developing alternate achievement standards for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. [1.1.3]
STATE RESPONSE

#### 1.2 PARTICIPATION IN STATE ASSESSMENTS

#### Participation of All Students in 2005-2006 State Assessments

In the following tables, please provide the total number and percentage for each of the listed subgroups of students who participated in the State's 2005-2006 school year academic assessments.

The data provided below for students with disabilities should include participation results from all students with disabilities as defined under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act and do not include results from students covered under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

#### 1.2.1 Student Participation in 2005-2006 School Year Test Administration

#### 1.2.1.1 2005-2006 School Year Mathematics Assessment

[1.2.1.1.x.x.]	Total Number of	Percent of Students
	Students Tested	Tested
All Students	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
American Indian or Alaska Native	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
Asian or Pacific Islander	[3.1.]	[3.2.]
Black, non-Hispanic	[4.1.]	[4.2.]
Hispanic	[5.1.]	[5.2.]
White, non-Hispanic	[6.1.]	[6.2.]
Students with Disabilities	[7.1.]	[7.2.]
Limited English Proficient	[8.1.]	[8.2.]
Economically Disadvantaged	[9.1.]	[9.2.]
Migrant	[10.1.]	[10.2.]
Male	[11.1.]	[11.2.]
Female	[12.13]	[12.2.]

Additional racial/ethnic groups or combinations of racial/ethnic groups may be reported that are consistent with the major racial/ethnic categories that you use under NCLB.

#### 1.2.1.2 2005-2006 School Year Reading/Language Arts Assessment

[1.2.1.2.x.x.]	Total Number of	Percent of Students
	Students Tested	Tested
All Students	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
American Indian or Alaska Native	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
Asian or Pacific Islander	[3.1.]	[3.2.]
Black, non-Hispanic	[4.1.]	[4.2.]
Hispanic	[5.1.]	[5.2.]
White, non-Hispanic	[6.1.]	[6.2.]
Students with Disabilities	[7.1.]	[7.2.]
Limited English Proficient	[8.1.]	[8.2.]
Economically Disadvantaged	[9.1.]	[9.2.]
Migrant	[10.1.]	[10.2.]
Male	[11.1.]	[11.2.]
Female	[12.1.]	[12.2.]

Additional racial/ethnic groups or combinations of racial/ethnic groups may be reported that are consistent with the major racial/ethnic categories that you use under NCLB.

#### 1.2.2 Participation of Students with Disabilities in State Assessment System

Students with disabilities (as defined under IDEA) participate in the State's assessment system either by taking the regular State assessment, with or without accommodations, by taking an alternate assessment aligned to grade-level standards, or by taking an alternate assessment aligned to alternate achievement standards. In the following table, please provide the total number and percentage of students with disabilities who participated in these various assessments.

The data provided below should include participation results from all students with disabilities as defined under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act and do not include results from students covered under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

### 1.2.2.1 Participation of Students with Disabilities in the 2005-2006 School Year Test Administration – Math Assessment

[1.2.2.1.x.x.]	Total Number of Students with Disabilities Tested	Percent of Students with Disabilities Tested
Regular Assessment, with or without accommodations	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
Alternate Assessment Aligned to Grade-Level Achievement Standards	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
Alternate Assessment Aligned to Alternate Achievement Standards	[3.1.]	[3.2.]

## 1.2.2.2 Participation of Students with Disabilities in the 2005-2006 School Year Test Administration – Reading/Language Arts Assessment

[1.2.2.2.x.x.]	Total Number of Students with Disabilities Tested	Percent of Students with Disabilities Tested
Regular Assessment, with or without accommodations	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
Alternate Assessment Aligned to Grade-Level Achievement Standards	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
Alternate Assessment Aligned to Alternate Achievement Standards	[3.1.]	[3.2.]

#### 1.3 STUDENT ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT

In the following charts, please provide student achievement data from the 2005-2006 school year test administration. Charts have been provided for each of grades 3 through 8 and high school to accommodate the varied State assessment systems in mathematics and reading/language arts during the 2005-2006 school year. States should provide data on the total number of students tested as well as the percentage of students scoring at the proficient or advanced levels for those grades in which the State administered mathematics and reading/language arts assessments during the 2005-2006 school year.

The data for students with disabilities should include participation results from all students with disabilities as defined under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, including results from alternate assessments, and do not include results from students covered under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

#### 1.3.1 Grade 3 - Mathematics

[1.3.1.x.x.]	Total Number of Students Tested	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced School Year 05-06
All Students	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
American Indian or Alaska Native	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
Asian or Pacific Islander	[3.1.]	[3.2.]
Black, non-Hispanic	[4.1.]	[4.2.]
Hispanic	[5.1.]	[5.2.]
White, non-Hispanic	[6.1.]	[6.2.]
Students with Disabilities	[7.1.]	[7.2.]
Limited English Proficient	[8.1.]	[8.2.]
Economically Disadvantaged	[9.1.]	[9.2.]
Migrant	[10.1.]	[10.2.]
Male	[11.1.]	[11.2.]
Female	[12.1.]	[12.2.]

 Additional racial/ethnic groups or combinations of racial/ethnic groups may be reported that are consistent with the major racial/ethnic categories that you use under NCLB.

#### 1.3.2 Grade 3 - Reading/Language Arts

[1.3.2.x.x.]	Total Number of Students Tested	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced School Year 05-06
All Students	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
American Indian or Alaska Native	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
Asian or Pacific Islander	[3.1.]	[3.2.]
Black, non-Hispanic	[4.1.]	[4.2.]
Hispanic	[5.1.]	[5.2.]
White, non-Hispanic	[6.1.]	[6.2.]
Students with Disabilities	[7.1.]	[7.2.]
Limited English Proficient	[8.1.]	[8.2.]
Economically Disadvantaged	[9.1.]	[9.2.]
Migrant	[10.1.]	[10.2.]
Male	[11.1.]	[11.2.]
Female	[12.1.]	[12.2.]

#### 1.3.3 Grade 4 - Mathematics

[1.3.3.x.x.]	Total Number of Students Tested	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced School Year 05-06
All Students	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
American Indian or Alaska Native	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
Asian or Pacific Islander	[3.1.]	[3.2.]
Black, non-Hispanic	[4.1.]	[4.2.]
Hispanic	[5.1.]	[5.2.]
White, non-Hispanic	[6.1.]	[6.2.]
Students with Disabilities	[7.1.]	[7.2.]
Limited English Proficient	[8.1.]	[8.2.]
Economically Disadvantaged	[9.1.]	[9.2.]
Migrant	[10.1.]	[10.2.]
Male	[11.1.]	[11.2.]
Female	[12.1.]	[12.2.]

 Additional racial/ethnic groups or combinations of racial/ethnic groups may be reported that are consistent with the major racial/ethnic categories that you use under NCLB.

#### 1.3.4 Grade 4 - Reading/Language Arts

[1.3.4.x.x.]	Total Number of Students Tested	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced School Year 05-06
All Students	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
American Indian or Alaska Native	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
Asian or Pacific Islander	[3.1.]	[3.2.]
Black, non-Hispanic	[4.1.]	[4.2.]
Hispanic	[5.1.]	[5.2.]
White, non-Hispanic	[6.1.]	[6.2.]
Students with Disabilities	[7.1.]	[7.2.]
Limited English Proficient	[8.1.]	[8.2.]
Economically Disadvantaged	[9.1.]	[9.2.]
Migrant	[10.1.]	[10.2.]
Male	[11.1.]	[11.2.]
Female	[12.1.]	[12.2.]

#### 1.3.5 Grade 5 - Mathematics

[1.3.5.x.x.]	Total Number of Students Tested	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced School Year 05-06
All Students	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
American Indian or Alaska Native	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
Asian or Pacific Islander	[3.1.]	[3.2.]
Black, non-Hispanic	[4.1.]	[4.2.]
Hispanic	[5.1.]	[5.2.]
White, non-Hispanic	[6.1.]	[6.2.]
Students with Disabilities	[7.1.]	[7.2.]
Limited English Proficient	[8.1.]	[8.2.]
Economically Disadvantaged	[9.1.]	[9.2.]
Migrant	[10.1.]	[10.2.]
Male	[11.1.]	[11.2.]
Female	[12.1.]	[12.2.]

 Additional racial/ethnic groups or combinations of racial/ethnic groups may be reported that are consistent with the major racial/ethnic categories that you use under NCLB.

#### 1.3.6 Grade 5 - Reading/Language Arts

[1.3.6.x.x.]	Total Number of Students Tested	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced School Year 05-06
All Students	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
American Indian or Alaska Native	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
Asian or Pacific Islander	[3.1.]	[3.2.]
Black, non-Hispanic	[4.1.]	[4.2.]
Hispanic	[5.1.]	[5.2.]
White, non-Hispanic	[6.1.]	[6.2.]
Students with Disabilities	[7.1.]	[7.2.]
Limited English Proficient	[8.1.]	[8.2.]
Economically Disadvantaged	[9.1.]	[9.2.]
Migrant	[10.1.]	[10.2.]
Male	[11.1.]	[11.2.]
Female	[12.1.]	[12.2.]

#### 1.3.7 Grade 6 - Mathematics

[1.3.7.x.x.]	Total Number of Students Tested	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced School Year 05-06
All Students	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
American Indian or Alaska Native	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
Asian or Pacific Islander	[3.1.]	[3.2.]
Black, non-Hispanic	[4.1.]	[4.2.]
Hispanic	[5.1.]	[5.2.]
White, non-Hispanic	[6.1.]	[6.2.]
Students with Disabilities	[7.1.]	[7.2.]
Limited English Proficient	[8.1.]	[8.2.]
Economically Disadvantaged	[9.1.]	[9.2.]
Migrant	[10.1.]	[10.2.]
Male	[11.1.]	[11.2.]
Female	[12.1.]	[12.2.]

 Additional racial/ethnic groups or combinations of racial/ethnic groups may be reported that are consistent with the major racial/ethnic categories that you use under NCLB.

#### 1.3.8 Grade 6 - Reading/Language Arts

[1.3.8.x.x.]	Total Number of Students Tested	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced School Year 05-06
All Students	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
American Indian or Alaska Native	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
Asian or Pacific Islander	[3.1.]	[3.2.]
Black, non-Hispanic	[4.1.]	[4.2.]
Hispanic	[5.1.]	[5.2.]
White, non-Hispanic	[6.1.]	[6.2.]
Students with Disabilities	[7.1.]	[7.2.]
Limited English Proficient	[8.1.]	[8.2.]
Economically Disadvantaged	[9.1.]	[9.2.]
Migrant	[10.1.]	[10.2.]
Male	[11.1.]	[11.2.]
Female	[12.1.]	[12.2.]

#### 1.3.9 Grade 7 - Mathematics

[1.3.9.x.x.]	Total Number of Students Tested	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced School Year 05-06
All Students	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
American Indian or Alaska Native	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
Asian or Pacific Islander	[3.1.]	[3.2.]
Black, non-Hispanic	[4.1.]	[4.2.]
Hispanic	[5.1.]	[5.2.]
White, non-Hispanic	[6.1.]	[6.2.]
Students with Disabilities	[7.1.]	[7.2.]
Limited English Proficient	[8.1.]	[8.2.]
Economically Disadvantaged	[9.1.]	[9.2.]
Migrant	[10.1.]	[10.2.]
Male	[11.1.]	[11.2.]
Female	[12.1.]	[12.2.]

 Additional racial/ethnic groups or combinations of racial/ethnic groups may be reported that are consistent with the major racial/ethnic categories that you use under NCLB.

#### 1.3.10 Grade 7 - Reading/Language Arts

[1.3.10.x.x.]	Total Number of Students Tested	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced School Year 05-06
All Students	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
American Indian or Alaska Native	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
Asian or Pacific Islander	[3.1.]	[3.2.]
Black, non-Hispanic	[4.1.]	[4.2.]
Hispanic	[5.1.]	[5.2.]
White, non-Hispanic	[6.1.]	[6.2.]
Students with Disabilities	[7.1.]	[7.2.]
Limited English Proficient	[8.1.]	[8.2.]
Economically Disadvantaged	[9.1.]	[9.2.]
Migrant	[10.1.]	[10.2.]
Male	[11.1.]	[11.2.]
Female	[12.1.]	[12.2.]

#### 1.3.11 Grade 8 - Mathematics

[1.3.11.x.x.]	Total Number of Students Tested	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced School Year 05-06
All Students	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
American Indian or Alaska Native	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
Asian or Pacific Islander	[3.1.]	[3.2.]
Black, non-Hispanic	[4.1.]	[4.2.]
Hispanic	[5.1.]	[5.2.]
White, non-Hispanic	[6.1.]	[6.2.]
Students with Disabilities	[7.1.]	[7.2.]
Limited English Proficient	[8.1.]	[8.2.]
Economically Disadvantaged	[9.1.]	[9.2.]
Migrant	[10.1.]	[10.2.]
Male	[11.1.]	[11.2.]
Female	[12.1.]	[12.2.]

 Additional racial/ethnic groups or combinations of racial/ethnic groups may be reported that are consistent with the major racial/ethnic categories that you use under NCLB.

#### 1.3.12 Grade 8 - Reading/Language Arts

[1.3.12.x.x.]	Total Number of Students Tested	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced School Year 05-06
All Students	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
American Indian or Alaska Native	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
Asian or Pacific Islander	[3.1.]	[3.2.]
Black, non-Hispanic	[4.1.]	[4.2.]
Hispanic	[5.1.]	[5.2.]
White, non-Hispanic	[6.1.]	[6.2.]
Students with Disabilities	[7.1.]	[7.2.]
Limited English Proficient	[8.1.]	[8.2.]
Economically Disadvantaged	[9.1.]	[9.2.]
Migrant	[10.1.]	[10.2.]
Male	[11.1.]	[11.2.]
Female	[12.1.]	[12.2.]

#### 1.3.13 High School - Mathematics

[1.3.13.x.x.]	Total Number of Students Tested	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced School Year 05-06
All Students	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
American Indian or Alaska Native	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
Asian or Pacific Islander	[3.1.]	[3.2.]
Black, non-Hispanic	[4.1.]	[4.2.]
Hispanic	[5.1.]	[5.2.]
White, non-Hispanic	[6.1.]	[6.2.]
Students with Disabilities	[7.1.]	[7.2.]
Limited English Proficient	[8.1.]	[8.2.]
Economically Disadvantaged	[9.1.]	[9.2.]
Migrant	[10.1.]	[10.2.]
Male	[11.1.]	[11.2.]
Female	[12.1.]	[12.2.]

 Additional racial/ethnic groups or combinations of racial/ethnic groups may be reported that are consistent with the major racial/ethnic categories that you use under NCLB.

#### 1.3.14 High School - Reading/Language Arts

[1.3.14.x.x.]	Total Number of Students Tested	Percent of Students Proficient or Advanced School Year 05-06
All Students	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
American Indian or Alaska Native	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
Asian or Pacific Islander	[3.1.]	[3.2.]
Black, non-Hispanic	[4.1.]	[4.2.]
Hispanic	[5.1.]	[5.2.]
White, non-Hispanic	[6.1.]	[6.2.]
Students with Disabilities	[7.1.]	[7.2.]
Limited English Proficient	[8.1.]	[8.2.]
Economically Disadvantaged	[9.1.]	[9.2.]
Migrant	[10.1.]	[10.2.]
Male	[11.1.]	[11.2.]
Female	[12.1.]	[12.2.]

#### 1.4 SCHOOL AND DISTRICT ACCOUNTABILITY

**1.4.1** For all public elementary and secondary schools and districts in the State (Title I and non-Title I), please provide the total number and percentage of all schools and districts that made adequate yearly progress (AYP), based on data from the 2005-2006 school year.

School Accountability [1.4.1.1.x.]	Total number of public elementary and secondary schools (Title I and non-Title I) in State	elementary and secondary schools	Percentage of public elementary and secondary schools (Title I and non-Title I) in State that made AYP
Based on 2005- 2006 School	[1.]	[2.]	[3.]
Year Data			

	Total number of public	Total number of public	Percentage of public
	elementary and	elementary and	elementary and
District	secondary districts	secondary districts	secondary districts
Accountability	(Title I and non-Title I) in	(Title I and non-Title I) in	(Title I and non-Title I) in
[1.4.1.2.x.]	State	State that made AYP	State that made AYP
Based on 2005-	[1.]	[2.]	[3.]
2006 School			
Year Data			

**1.4.2** For all Title I schools and districts in the State, please provide the total number and percentage of all Title I schools and districts that made AYP, based on data from the 2005-2006 school year.

Title I School Accountability [1.4.2.1.x.]	Total number of Title I schools in State	Total number of Title I schools in State that made AYP	Percentage of Title I schools in State that made AYP
Based on 2005-	[1.]	[2.]	[3.]
2006 School			
Year Data			

Title I District Accountability [1.4.2.2.x.]	Total number of Title I districts in State	Total number of Title I districts in State that made AYP	Percentage of Title I districts in State that made AYP
Based on 2005-	[1.]	[2.]	[3.]
2006 School			
Year Data			

#### 1.4.3 Title I Schools Identified for Improvement

1.4.3.1 In the following chart, please provide a list of Title I schools identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring under section 1116 for the 2006-2007 school year, based upon data from the 2005-2006 school year. For each school listed, please provide the name of the school's district, the areas in which the school missed AYP (e.g., missing reading proficiency target, reading participation rate, other academic indicator), and the school improvement status for the 2006-2007 school year (e.g., school in need of improvement year 1, school in need of improvement year 2, corrective action, restructuring planning, restructuring - implementation). Additionally, for any Title I school identified for improvement, corrective action, or restructuring for the 2006-2007 school year, that made AYP based upon data from the 2005-2006 school year, please add "Made AYP 2005-2006."

# 1.4.3.1 Title I Schools Identified for Improvement, Corrective Action, and Restructuring (in 2006-07 based on the data from 2005-06) [1.4.3.1.x.]

	Area(s) in which school missed AYP							
		Reading/Language Arts		Mathematics		Other Academic Indicator		
District Name & NCES/CCD ID Code	School Name & NCES/CCD ID Code	Proficiency Target	Participation Rate	Proficiency Target	Participation Rate	Academic Indicator (elementary/ middle schools)	Graduation Rate (high school)	School Improvement Status for SY 2006-2007
[1.] Name [2.] ID Code	[3.] Name [4.] ID Code	[5.1.1.]	[5.1.2.]	[5.2.1]	[5.2.2.]	[5.3.1]	[5.3.2.]	[6.]

1.4.3.2	Briefly describe the measures being taken to address the achievement problems of <b>schools</b> identified for improvement, corrective action, and restructuring. [1.4.3.2.]

#### 1.4.4 Title I Districts Identified for Improvement.

1.4.4.1 In the following chart, please provide a list of Title I districts identified for improvement or corrective action under section 1116 for the 2006-2007 school year, based upon data from the 2005-2006 school year. For each district listed, please provide the areas in which the district missed AYP (e.g., missing reading proficiency target, reading participation rate, other academic indicator), and the district improvement status for the 2006-2007 school year (e.g., district in need of improvement year 1, district in need of improvement year 2, corrective action). Additionally for any Title I district identified for improvement or corrective action for the 2006-07 school year that made AYP based on data from the 2005-06 school year, please add "Made AYP for 2005-06."

# 1.4.4.1 Title I Districts Identified for Improvement and Corrective Action (in 2006-07 based on the data from 2005-06) [1.4.4.1.x.]

		Are	ea(s) in which d	istrict missed A	YP		
	Reading/Language Arts		Mathematics		Other Academic Indicator		
District Name & NCES/CCD ID Code	Proficiency Target	Participation Rate	Proficiency Target	Participation Rate	Academic Indicator (elementary/ middle schools)	Graduation Rate (high school)	District Improvement Status for SY 2006-2007
[1.] Name [2.] ID Code	[3.1.1.]	[3.1.2.]	[3.2.1.]	[3.2.2.]	[3.3.1.]	[3.3.2.]	[4.]

1.4.4.2	Briefly describe the measures being taken to address the achievement problems of districts identified for improvement and corrective action. [1.4.4.2.]
	Public School Choice and Supplemental Educational Services
1.4.5.1	Public School Choice
action,	ase provide the number of Title I schools identified for improvement, corrective and restructuring from which students transferred under the provisions for school choice under section 1116 of Title I during the 2005-2006 school year.  [1.4.5.1.1.]
provision school	se provide the number of public schools to which students transferred under the ons for public school choice under section 1116 of Title I during the 2005-2006 year [1.4.5.1.2.1.] How many of these schools were charter schools? [1.4.5.1.2.2.]
under tl	se provide the number of students who transferred to another public school he provisions for public school choice under section 1116 of Title I during the 006 school year[1.4.5.1.3.]
public s	se provide the number of students who were eligible to transfer to another school under the provisions for public school choice under section 1116 of Title the 2005-2006 school year[1.4.5.1.4.]

#### **Optional Information**:

5. If the State has the following data, the Department would be interested in knowing the following:
6. The number of students who applied to transfer to another public school under the provisions for public school choice under section 1116 of Title I during the 2005-2006 school year [1.4.5.1.5.]
7. The number of students, among those who applied to transfer to another public school under the Title I public school choice provisions, who were actually offered the opportunity to transfer by their LEAs, during the 2005-2006 school year[1.4.5.1.6.]
1.4.5.2 Supplemental Educational Services
1. Please provide the number of Title I schools identified for improvement, corrective action, and restructuring whose students received supplemental educational services under section 1116 of Title I during the 2005-2006 school year[1.4.5.2.1.]
2. Please provide the number of students who received supplemental educational services under section 1116 of Title I during the 2005-2006 school year
3. Please provide the number of students who were eligible to receive supplemental educational services under section 1116 of Title I during the 2005-2006 school year.  [1.4.5.2.3.]
Optional Information:
If the State has the following data, the Department would be interested in knowing the following:
4. The number of students who applied to receive supplemental educational services under section 1116 of Title I during the 2005-2006 school year

#### 1.5 TEACHER AND PARAPROFESSIONAL QUALITY

1.5.1 In the following table, please provide data from the 2005-06 school year for classes in the core academic subjects being taught by "highly qualified" teachers (as the term is defined in Section 9101(23) of the ESEA), in the aggregate for all schools and in "high-poverty" and "low-poverty" elementary schools (as the terms are defined in Section 1111(h)(1)(C)(viii) of the ESEA). Section 1111(h)(1)(C)(viii) defines "high-poverty" schools as schools in the top quartile of poverty in the State and "low-poverty" schools as schools in the bottom quartile of poverty in the State. Additionally, please provide information on classes being taught by highly qualified teachers by the elementary and secondary school level.

School Type [1.5.1.x.x.]	Total Number of Core Academic Classes	Number of Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers	Percentage of Core Academic Classes Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers		
All Schools in State	[1.1.1.]	[1.1.2.]	[1.1.3.]		
Elementary Level					
High-Poverty Schools	[2.1.1.]	[2.1.2.]	[2.1.3.]		
Low-Poverty Schools	[2.2.1.]	[2.2.2.]	[2.2.3.]		
All Elementary Schools	[2.3.1.]	[2.3.2.]	[2.3.3.]		
Secondary Level					
High-Poverty Schools	[3.1.1.]	[3.1.2.]	[3.1.3.]		
Low-Poverty Schools	[3.2.1.]	[3.2.2.]	[3.2.3.]		
All Secondary Schools	[3.3.1.]	[3.3.2.]	[3.3.3.]		

#### **Definitions and Instructions**

What are the core academic subjects?

English, reading or language arts, mathematics, science, foreign languages, civics and government, economics, arts, history, and geography [Title IX, Section 9101(11)]. While the statute includes the arts in the core academic subjects, it does not specify which of the arts are core academic subjects; therefore, States must make this determination.

#### How is a teacher defined?

An individual who provides instruction in the core academic areas to kindergarten, grades 1 through 12, or un-graded classes, or individuals who teach in an environment other than a classroom setting (and who maintain daily student attendance records) [from NCES, CCD, 2001-02]

#### How is a class defined?

A class is a setting in which organized instruction of core academic course content is provided to one or more students (including cross-age groupings) for a given period of time. (A course may be offered to more than one class). Instruction, provided by one or more teachers or other staff members, may be delivered in person or via a different medium. Classes that share space should be considered as separate classes if they function as separate units for more than 50 percent of the time [from NCES Non-fiscal Data Handbook for Early Childhood, Elementary, and Secondary Education, 2003].

Should 6th, 7th, and 8<sup>th</sup> grade classes be reported in the elementary or secondary category?

States are responsible for determining whether the content taught at the middle school level meets the competency requirements for elementary or secondary instruction. See Question A-14 in the August 3, 2006, Non-Regulatory Guidance for additional information. Report classes in grade 6 though 8 consistent with how teachers have been classified to determine their highly qualified status, regardless if their schools are configured as elementary or middle schools.

How should States count teachers (including specialists or resource teachers) in elementary classes?

States that count self-contained classrooms as one class should, to avoid overrepresentation, also count subject-area specialists (e.g., mathematics or music teachers) or resource teachers as teaching one class.

On the other hand, States using a departmentalized approach to instruction where a self-contained classroom is counted multiple times (once for each subject taught) should also count subject-area specialists or resource teachers as teaching multiple classes.

How should States count teachers in self-contained multiple-subject secondary classes?

Each core academic subject taught for which students are receiving credit toward graduation should be counted in the numerator and the denominator.

For example, if English, calculus, history, and science are taught in a self-contained classroom by the same teacher, count these as four classes in the denominator. If the teacher is Highly Qualified in English and history, he/she would be counted as Highly Qualified in two of the four subjects in the numerator.

**1.5.2** For those classes in core academic subjects being taught by teachers who are **not highly qualified** as reported in Question 1.5.1, estimate the percentages of those classes in the following categories. Note: Percentages should add to 100 percent of the classes taught by not highly qualified teachers in each of the two sections. [1.5.2.x.x.]

Reason For Being Classified as Not Highly Qualified	Percentage
ELEMENTARY SCHOOL CLASSES	
<ul> <li>a) Elementary school classes taught by certified general education teachers who did not pass a subject-knowledge test or (if eligible) have not demonstrated subject-matter competency through HOUSSE</li> </ul>	[1.5.2.1.1.]
b) Elementary school classes taught by certified special education teachers who did not pass a subject-knowledge test or have not demonstrated subject-matter competency through HOUSSE	[1.5.2.2.1.]
c) Elementary school classes taught by teachers who are not fully certified (and are not in an approved alternative route program)	1.5.2.3.1.]
d) Other (please explain)	[1.5.2.8.1.]
SECONDARY SCHOOL CLASSES	
a) Secondary school classes taught by certified general education teachers who have not demonstrated subject-matter knowledge in those subjects (e.g., out-of-field teachers)	[1.5.2.4.1.]
b) Secondary school classes taught by certified special education teachers who have not demonstrated subject-matter competency in those subjects	[1.5.2.5.1.]
c) Secondary school classes taught by teachers who are not fully certified (and are not in an approved alternative route program)	[1.5.2.6.1.]
d) Other (please explain)	[1.5.2.7.1.]

**1.5.3** Please report the State poverty quartile breaks for high- and low-poverty *elementary and secondary* schools used in the table in Question 1.5.1. [1.5.3.x.x.]

	High-Poverty Schools	Low-Poverty Schools
Elementary Schools	More than%	Less than% [1.2.]
Poverty Metric Used	[1.3.]	
Secondary Schools	More than%	Less than% [2.2.]
Poverty Metric Used	[	2.3.]

#### **Definitions and Instructions**

How are the poverty quartiles determined?

Separately rank order elementary and secondary schools from highest to lowest on your percent poverty measure. Divide the list into 4 equal groups. Schools in the first (highest group) are high-poverty schools. Schools in the last group (lowest group) are the low-poverty schools. Generally, states use the percentage of students who qualify for the free or reduced price lunch program for this calculation.

Since the poverty data are collected at the school and not classroom level, how do we classify schools as either elementary or secondary for this purpose?

States may include as elementary schools all schools that serve children in grades K-5 (including K-8 or K-12 schools) and would therefore include as secondary schools those that exclusively serve children in grades 6 and higher.

1.5.4 Paraprofessional Quality. NCLB defines a qualified paraprofessional as an employee who provides instructional support in a program supported by Title I, Part A funds who has (1) completed two years of study at an institution of higher education; (2) obtained an associate's (or higher) degree; or (3) met a rigorous standard of quality and be able to demonstrate, through a formal State or local academic assessment, knowledge of and the ability to assist in instructing reading, writing, and mathematics (or, as appropriate, reading readiness, writing readiness, and mathematics readiness) (Section 1119(c) and (d).) For more information on qualified paraprofessionals, please refer to the Title I paraprofessionals Guidance, available at:

#### http://www.ed.gov/policy/elsec/guid/paraguidance.doc

In the following chart, please provide data from the 2005-2006 school year for the percentage of Title I paraprofessionals (excluding those with sole duties as translators and parental involvement assistants) who are qualified.

School Year	Percentage of Qualified Title I Paraprofessionals
2005-2006 School Year	[1.5.4.1.1.]

#### 1.6 English Language Proficiency

#### 1.6.1.1 English Language Proficiency (ELP) Standards [1.6.1.1.x.]

Has the State developed ELP standards (k-12) as required under Section 3113(b)(2) and are these ELP standards fully approved, adopted, or sanctioned by the State governing
body?
Developed [1.6.1.1.1.] YesNo [1.6.1.1.2.]
Approved, adopted, sanctioned[1.6.1.1.3.] YesNo [1.6.1.1.4.]
Operationalized [1.6.1.1.5.] Yes [1.6.1.1.6.] No (e.g., Are standards being used by district and school teachers?)
used by district and school teachers:
Please provide a detailed description of the State's progress in establishing, implementing, and operationalizing English Language Proficiency (ELP) standards for raising the level of ELP, that are derived from the four domains of speaking, listening, reading, and writing, and that are aligned with achievement of the challenging State academic content and student academic achievement standards described in section 1111(b)(1). [1.6.1.1.7.]
STATE RESPONSE

1.6.1.2	Alignment of Standards
State Englis	de a detailed description of the State's progress for linking/aligning the h Proficiency Standards to the State academic content and student chievement standards in English language arts/reading and mathematics.
STATE RES	SPONSE

### 1.6.2 English Language Proficiency (ELP) Assessments [1.6.2.x.]

<ol> <li>The expectation for the full administration of the new or enhanced ELP assessment(s) that are aligned with the State's English language proficiency (ELP) standards as required under Section 3113(b)(2) is spring 2007. Please indicate if the State has conducted any of the following:</li> </ol>
<ul> <li>An independent alignment study [1.6.2.1.]</li> <li>Other evidence of alignment [1.6.2.2.]</li> </ul>
2. Provide an updated description of the State's progress in developing and implementing the new or enhanced ELP assessments. Specifically describe how the State ensures: [1.6.2.3.]
<ol> <li>The annual assessment of all LEP students in the State in grades k-12;</li> <li>The ELP assessment(s) which address the five domains of listening, speaking, reading, writing, and comprehension;</li> <li>ELP assessments are based on ELP standards;</li> <li>Technical quality (validity, reliability, etc.).</li> </ol>
STATE RESPONSE

#### 1.6.3 English Language Proficiency Data

In the following tables, please provide English language proficiency (ELP) data from the **2005-2006** school year test administration. The ELP data should be aggregated at the State level.

States may use the sample format below or another format to report the requested information. The information following the chart is meant to explain what is being requested under each column.

#### 1.6.3.1 English Language Proficiency (ELP) Assessment Data [1.6.3.1.x.x.x.]

**Note:** The total number of LEP students provided under (3) will be used to pre-populate question 2.1.1 in the Title III Biennial Report (T3BR). To ensure the correct figures are used in the T3BR, enter a separate row for each assessment followed by a Total row that shows the overall, unduplicated count. The T3BR application will pull the data from the last row of this question so make sure that the total, unduplicated count you wish to forward is on the last row.

2005-2006 Data for ALL LEP Students in the State													
Name of ELP	Total number of ALL Students		tal number  Total number and percentage of ALL students identified as LEP at each level of Englis  Percentage language proficiency						nglish				
Assessment(s)	assessed for ELP	iden	students tified as LEP	Perce at Ba	er and entage sic or rel 1	Number Percent Intermed Leve	age at diate or	Perce	ber and entage at ed <b>or Level</b> 3	Percer Profic	er and ntage at ient or rel 4	<b>Profic</b>	er and tage at ent or el 5
(1)	(2)		(3)	(4	4)	(5	)		(6)	(	7)	3)	<mark>3)</mark>
[1.]	# [2.]	# [3.1.]	% [3.2.]	# [4.1.1.]	% [4.1.2.]	# [4.2.1.]	# [4.4.1]	% [4.4.2.]	% [4.3.2.]	# [4.4.1]	% [4.4.2.]	# [4.5.1]	<mark>%</mark> [4.5.2.]
	#	#	%	#	%	#	#	%	%	#	%	<mark>#</mark>	<mark>%</mark>

<sup>(1)</sup> In column one, provide the name(s) of the English Language Proficiency Assessment(s) used by the State.

<sup>(2)</sup> In column two, provide the total number of <u>all</u> students assessed for limited English proficiency ("assessed" refers to the number of students evaluated using State-selected ELP assessment(s)).

<sup>(3)</sup> In column three, provide the total number and percentage of <u>all</u> students identified as LEP by each State-selected ELP assessment(s) ("identified" refers to the number of students determined to be LEP on State-selected ELP assessments).

(4-8) In columns four-eight, provide the total number and percentage of <u>all</u> students identified as LEP at each level of English language proficiency as defined by State-selected ELP assessment(s). The number (#) and percentage (%) of columns 4-8 should equate to the number (#) and percentage (%) of <u>all</u> students identified as limited English proficient in column 3.

### **1.6.3.2** Data Reflecting the Most Common Languages Spoken in the State [1.6.3.2.x.x.]

2005-2006 Data of the Most Common Languages Spoken by LEPs							
Language	Number and Percentage of ALL LEP Students in the State						
1. [1.1.]	# [1.2.1.]	% [1.2.2.]					
2.							
3.							
4.							
5.							
6.							
7.							
8.							
9.							
10.							

• In the above chart, list the ten most commonly spoken languages in your State. Indicate the number and percentage of LEP students that speak each of the languages listed in table 1.6.3.2.

#### 1.6.3.3 English Language Proficiency (ELP) Assessment Data [1.6.3.3.x.]

**Note:** The total number of LEP students who participated in Title III provided under (2) will be used to pre-populate question 2.1.2 in the Title III Biennial Report (T3BR). To ensure the correct figures are used in the T3BR, enter a separate row for each assessment followed by a Total row that shows the overall, unduplicated count. The T3BR application will pull the data from the last row of this question so make sure that the total, unduplicated count you wish to forward is on the last row.

2005-2006 Data for LEP Students in the State Served under Title III														
Name of ELP	e of ELP Total number and			Total number and percentage of Title III students identified at each level of English language proficiency							Total number and			
Assessment(s) (1)	student as L participa	entage of s identified EP who ated in Title ograms (2)	Perco at Ba <b>Le</b> v	per and entage asic or vel 1	Perce Interm or <b>Le</b>	er and entage at nediate evel 2	Numbe Percent Advanc <b>Leve</b> (5	age at ced <b>or</b> el 3	Numbe Percent Proficie <b>Leve</b> (6)	age at ent or	Perce at Pro	er and entage ficient evel 5	percentage of Title III LEP students transitioned for 2 year monitoring (8)	
[1.]	# [2.1.]	% [2.2.]	# [3.1.1. ]	% [3.1.2.]	# [3.2.1]	% [3.2.2.]	# [3.3.1.]	% [3.3.2.]	# [3.4.1.]	% [3.4.2.]	# [3.5.1.]	% [3.5.1.]	# [4.1.]	% [4.2.]
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%

- (1) In column one, provide the name of the English Language Proficiency Assessment used by the State.
- (2) In column two, provide the total number and percentage of LEP students who participated in a Title III language instruction educational program during the 2005-2006 school year.
- (3-7) In columns three-six, provide the total number and percentage of LEP students at each level of English language proficiency who received Title III services during the 2005-2006 school year. The number (#) and percentage (%) of columns 3-6 should equate to the number (#) and percentage (%) of <u>all</u> students identified as limited English proficient in column 2.
- (8) In column eight, provide the total number and percentage of LEP students who participated in a Title III language instruction educational program during the 2005-2006 school year and who were transitioned into a classroom not tailored for LEP children and are no longer receiving services under Title III.

#### 1.6.4 Immigrant Children and Youth Data [1.6.4.4.x.]

#### Programs and activities for immigrant children and youth

#### **Definitions:**

- # immigrants enrolled in the State = number of students, who meet the definition of immigrant children and youth in Section 3301(6), enrolled in the elementary or secondary schools in the State
- # immigrants served by Title III = number of immigrant students who participated in programs for immigrant children and youth funded under Section 3114(d)(1), using the funds reserved for immigrant education programs/activities
- # of immigrants subgrants = number of subgrants made in the State under Section 3114(d)(1), with the funds reserved for immigrant education programs/activities

Table 1.6.4 Education Programs for Immigrant Students								
	2005-2006							
# Immigrants	# Immigrants	#						
enrolled in the State	served by Title III	Immigrant subgrants						
[1.]	[2.]	[3.]						

State response: (Provide information on what has changed, e.g., sudden influx of large number of immigrant children and youth, increase/change of minority language groups, sudden population change in school districts that are less experienced with education services for immigrant students in the State during the 2 previous years.)

#### 1.6.5 Definition of Proficient [1.6.5.]

If the State has made changes since the last Consolidated State Performance Report submission (for school year 2004-2005), please provide the State's definition of "proficient" in English as defined by the State's English language proficiency standards and assessments under Section 3122(a)(3). Please include the following in your response:

- 1. The test score range or cut scores for each of the State's ELP assessments;
- 2. A description of how the five domains of listening, speaking, reading, writing, and comprehension are incorporated or weighted in the State's definition of "proficient" in English;
- 3. Other criteria used to determine attaining proficiency in English.

STATE RESPONSE	

#### 1.6.6 Definition of Making Progress [1.6.6.]

If the State has made changes since the last Consolidated State Performance Report submission (for school year 2004-2005), please provide the State's definition of "making progress" in learning English as defined by the State's English language proficiency standards and assessment(s) in Section 3122(a)(3). Please include the following in your response:

- 1. A description of the English language proficiency levels and any sub-levels as defined by the State's English language proficiency standards and assessments;
- 2. A description of the criteria students must meet to progress from one proficiency level to the next (e.g., narrative descriptions, cut scores, formula, data from multiple sources).

multiple sources).		
STATE RESPONSE		

#### 1.6.7 Definition of Cohort [1.6.7.]

**1.6.8** Information on the Acquisition of English Language Proficiency for ALL Limited English Proficient Students in the State.

Please provide information on the progress made by **ALL LEP students in your State** in learning English and attaining English language proficiency.

Did your State apply the Title III English language proficiency annual measurabl	е
achievement objectives (AMAOs) to <b>ALL LEP</b> students in the State? [1.6.8.1]	

Yes	No
-----	----

**If yes**, you may use the format provided below to report the requested information.

English Language Proficiency	Percent and Number of ALL LEP Students in the State Who Made Progressin Learning English			in the ogress	Percent and Number of ALL LEP Students in the State Who Attained English Proficiency			
[1.6.8.2.x.x.x.]	Projected AMAO Target		Actual		Projected AMAO Target		Actual	
2005-2006 School Year	% [1.1.1.]	# [1.1.2.]	% [1.2.1.]	# [1.2.2.]	% [1.3.1.]	# [1.3.2.]	% [1.4.1.]	# [1.4.2.]

**If no**, please describe the different evaluation mechanism used by the State to measure both the progress of ALL LEP students in learning English and in attaining English language proficiency and provide the data from that evaluation.

### 1.6.9 Annual Measurable Achievement Objectives (AMAOs) for English Language Proficiency for Title III Participants

#### Critical synthesis of data reported by Title III subgrantees

[SEC. 3121(a) p. 1701, 3123(b)(1, 3) p.1704]

Provide the results of Title III LEP students in meeting the State English language proficiency (ELP) annual measurable achievement objectives (AMAOs) for making progress and attainment of English language proficiency as required in Table 1.6.9.

#### TABLE 1.6.9 INSTRUCTIONS: [1.6.9.2.x.x.]

Report **ONLY** the results from State English language proficiency assessment(s) for LEP students who participate in Title III English language instruction educational programs in grades K-12.

Blackened cells in this form indicate information which, each SEA should collect and maintain, but which is not being collected at this time.

#### **Definitions:**

- **1. MAKING PROGRESS** = as defined by the State and submitted to OELA in the State Consolidated Application (CSA), or as amended.
- 2. **DID NOT MAKE PROGRESS** = The number and percentage of Title III LEP students who did not meet the State definition of "Making Progress."
- **3. ATTAINED ENGLISH PROFICIENCY** = as defined by the State and submitted to OELA in the State Consolidated Application (CSA), or as amended.
- **4. TOTAL** = the total number of students from **making progress**, **not making progress**, and **attainment**, for each year in the table.
- **5. AMAO TARGET** = the AMAO target for the year as established by State and submitted to OELA in the CSA (September 2003 submission), or as amended and approved, for each objective for "Making progress" and "Attainment" of English language proficiency.
- **6. ACHIEVEMENT RESULTS =** The number and percentage of Title III LEP students who met/did not meet the State definitions of "Making Progress" and the number and percentage of Title III LEP students who met the definition for "Attainment" of English language proficiency.

			2005-06
	AMAO TARGET		HIEVEMENT RESULTS
	%	#	%
MAKING PROGRESS	[1.1]	[1.2]	[1.3.]
DID NOT MAKE PROGRESS	[2.1.]	See Note [2.2.]	[2.3.]
ATTAINED ENGILSH PROFICIENCY	[3.1]	[3.2]	[3.3.]
TOTAL	[4.1.]	Auto Calc [4.2.]	[4.3.]

#### **Explanation of data for Table**

Check the answer to the following question.

Are monitored* LEP students reflected in the Table "Attainment"		
"Achievement Results"? [1.6.9.2.5]	Yes	No

- \* Monitored LEP students are those who
- have achieved "proficient" on the State ELP assessment
- have transitioned into classrooms that are not designed for LEP students
- are no longer receiving Title III services, and who are being monitored for academic content achievement for 2 years after transition

# 1.6.10. Title III program effectiveness in assisting LEP students to meet State English language proficiency and student academic achievement standards

[SEC. 3122(b)(2) p. 1703, 3123(b)(1, 4) p.1704-5, 3121(b)(2) p. 1701,]

Provide the count for each year. [1.6.10.x.]

It is not necessary to respond to the items in this form, which reference other collections. The information provided by each SEA to those other collections will be collected by OELA and utilized to produce the Biennial Report.

Title III Subgrantee Information		
	2005-06	
Total number of Title III subgrantees for each year	[1.6.10.1.]	
Total number of Title III subgrantees that met the AMAO target for making progress	[1.6.10.4.]	
Total number of Title III subgrantees that met the AMAO target for attaining English proficiency	[1.6.10.5.]	
Total number of Title III subgrantees that met the AMAO target for AYP  Total number of Title III subgrantees that met all three Title III AMAOs*	[1.6.10.6.] [1.6.10.2.]	
Total number of Title III subgrantees that met 2 AMAOs	[1.6.10.8.]	
Total number of Title III subgrantees that met 1 AMAO	[1.6.10.9.]	
Total number of Title III subgrantees that did not meet <b>any</b> AMAO	[1.6.10.3.]	
Total number of Title III subgrantees that did not meet AMAOs for two consecutive years	[1.6.10.11.]	
Total number of Title III subgrantees with an improvement plan for not meeting Title III AMAOs	[1.6.10.12.]	
Total number of Title III subgrantees who have not met Title III AMAOs for four consecutive years (beginning in 2007-08)	[1.6.10.13.]	

Did the <b>State</b> meet <b>all</b> three Title III AMAOs? *	YES	NO
[1.6.10.14.]		

<sup>\*</sup>Meeting all three Title III AMAOs means meeting each State set target for each objective: Making Progress, Attaining Proficiency and making AYP.

- 1.6.11 On the following tables for 2005-06, please provide data regarding the academic achievement of monitored LEP students who transitioned into classrooms not designated for LEP students and who are no longer receiving services under Title III. Please provide data only for those students who transitioned in 2005-2006 school year.
- **1.6.11.1** Number and percent of former Title III served, monitored LEP students scoring at the proficient and advanced levels on the State reading language arts assessments [1.6.11.1.x.x.]

Grade/Grade Span	Students Proficient & Advanced	
	#	%
3	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
4	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
5	[3.1.]	[3.2.]
6	[4.1.]	[4.2.]
7	[5.1.]	[5.2.]
8	[6.1.]	[6.2.]
H.S.	[7.1.]	[7.2.]

**1.6.11.2** Number and percent of former Title III served, monitored LEP students scoring at the proficient and advanced levels on the State mathematics assessments [1.6.11.2.x.x.]

Grade/Grade Span	Students Profic	eient & Advanced
	#	%
3	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
4	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
5	[3.1.]	[3.2.]
6	[4.1.]	[4.2.]
7	[5.1.]	[5.2.]
8	[6.1.]	[6.2.]
H.S.	[7.1.]	[7.2.]

#### 1.7 Persistently Dangerous Schools

In the following chart, please provide data for the number of schools identified as persistently dangerous as determined by the State by the start of the 2006-2007 school year. For further guidance on persistently dangerous schools, please refer to the Unsafe School Choice Option Non-Regulatory Guidance, available at:

	Number of Persistently Dangerous Schools
2006-2007 School Year	[1.7.]

#### 1.8 Graduation and Dropout Rates

#### 1.8.1 Graduation Rates [1.8.1.x.x.]

Section 200.19 of the Title I regulations issued under the No Child Left Behind Act on December 2, 2002, defines graduation rate to mean:

- The percentage of students, measured from the beginning of high school, who graduate from public high school with a regular diploma (not including a GED or any other diploma not fully aligned with the State's academic standards) in the standard number of years; or,
- Another more accurate definition developed by the State and approved by the Secretary in the State plan that more accurately measures the rate of students who graduate from high school with a regular diploma; and
- Avoids counting a dropout as a transfer.
- 1. The Secretary approved each State's definition of the graduation rate, consistent with section 200.19 of the Title I regulations, as part of each State's accountability plan. Using the definition of the graduation rate that was approved as part of your State's accountability plan, in the following chart please provide graduation rate data for the 2004-2005 school year.
- 2. For those States that are reporting transitional graduation rate data and are working to put into place data collection systems that will allow the State to calculate the graduation rate in accordance with Section 200.19 for all the required subgroups, please provide a detailed progress report on the status of those efforts.

High School Graduates	Graduation Rate
Student Group	04-05 School Year
All Students	[1.1.]
American Indian or Alaska Native	[2.1.]
Asian or Pacific Islander	[3.1.]
Black, non-Hispanic	[4.1.]
Hispanic	[5.1.]
White, non-Hispanic	[6.1.]
Students with Disabilities	[7.1.]
Limited English Proficient	[8.1.]
Economically Disadvantaged	[9.1.]
Migrant	[10.1.]
Male	[11.1.]
Female	[12.1.]

Additional racial/ethnic groups or combinations of racial/ethnic groups may be reported that are consistent with the major racial/ethnic categories that you use under NCLB.

#### 1.8.2 Dropout Rate [1.8.2.x.x.]

For purposes of calculating and reporting a dropout rate for this performance indicator, States should use the annual event school dropout rate for students leaving a school in a single year determined in accordance with the National Center for Education Statistics' (NCES) Common Core of Data.

Consistent with this requirement, States must use NCES' definition of "high school dropout," An individual who: 1) was enrolled in school at some time during the previous school year; and 2) was not enrolled at the beginning of the current school year; and 3) has not graduated from high school or completed a state- or district-approved educational program; and 4) does not meet any of the following exclusionary conditions: a) transfer to another public school district, private school, or state- or district approved educational program (including correctional or health facility programs); b) temporary absence due to suspension or school-excused illness; or c) death.

In the following chart, please provide data for the 2004-2005 school year for the percentage of students who drop out of high school, disaggregated by race, ethnicity, gender, disability status, migrant status, English proficiency, and status as economically disadvantaged.

Dropouts	Dropout Rate
Student Group	04-05 School Year
All Students	[1.1.]
American Indian or Alaska Native	[2.1.]
Asian or Pacific Islander	[3.1.]
Black, non-Hispanic	[4.1.]
Hispanic	[5.1.]
White, non-Hispanic	[6.1.]
Students with Disabilities	[7.1.]
Limited English Proficient	[8.1.]
Economically Disadvantaged	[9.1.]
Migrant	[10.1.]
Male	[11.1.]
Female	[12.1.]

Additional racial/ethnic groups or combinations of racial/ethnic groups may be reported that are consistent with the major racial/ethnic categories that you use under NCLB.

#### 1.9 EDUCATION FOR HOMELESS CHILDREN AND YOUTH PROGRAM DATA

Provide the following information for homeless children and youth in your State for the 2005-2006 school year (as defined by your State). To complete this form, compile data for LEAs with and without subgrants.

### 1.9.1 DATA FROM ALL LEAS WITH AND WITHOUT MCKINNEY-VENTO SUBGRANTS

1.9.1.1 How does your State define the period that constitutes a school year? (e.g., "The school year shall begin on the first day of July and end on the thirtieth day of June" or "A total of 175 instructional days"). [1.9.1.1.]			
STATE RESPONSE			

#### 1.9.1.2 What are the totals in your state as follows:

[1.9.1.2.x.x.]	Total Number in State	Total Number of LEAs Reporting
LEAs without Subgrants	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
LEAs with Subgrants	[2.1.]	[2.2.]

#### 1.9.1.3 Number of Homeless Children and Youth in the State

Provide the number of homeless children and youth in your State enrolled in public school (compulsory grades –excluding pre-school) during the 2005-2006 school year according to grade level groups below:

Grade Level	Number of homeless children/youth enrolled in public school in LEAs without subgrants	Number of homeless children/youth enrolled in public school in LEAs with subgrants
K	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
1	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
2	[3.1.]	[3.2.]
3	[4.1.]	[4.2.]
4	[5.1.]	[5.2.]
5	[6.1.]	[6.2.]
6	[7.1.]	[7.2.]
7	[8.1.]	[8.2.]
8	[9.1.]	[9.2.]
9	[10.1.]	[10.2.]
10	[11.1.]	[11.2.]
11	[12.1.]	[12.2.]
12	[13.1.]	[13.2.]

#### 1.9.1.4 Primary Nighttime Residence of Homeless Children and Youth

Of the total number of homeless children and youth (excluding preschoolers), provide the numbers who had the following as their primary nighttime residence at the time of initial identification by LEAs.

[1.9.1.4.x.x.]	* Number of homeless	* Number of homeless
5. W. L.	children/youth – <u>excluding</u>	children/youth – excluding
Primary Nighttime Residence	<u>preschoolers</u> LEAs without subgrants	preschoolers LEAs with subgrants
Shelters	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
Doubled-up	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
Unsheltered (e.g., cars, parks,	[3.1.]	[3.2.]
campgrounds, etc.)		
Hotels/Motels	[4.1.]	[4.2.]
Unknown	[5.1.]	[5.2.]

<sup>\*</sup> The primary nighttime residence is the basis for identifying homeless children and youth. The totals should match the totals in item #3 above.

#### 1.9.2 DATA FROM LEAS WITH MCKINNEY-VENTO SUBGRANTS

### 1.9.2.1 Number of Homeless Children and Youths Served by McKinney-Vento Subgrants

Provide the number of homeless children and youth that were served by McKinney-Vento subgrants in your State during the 2005-2006 academic school year disaggregated by grade level groups

Grade Levels of homeless children and youth served by subgrants in 2005-2006	Number of homeless children and youth <u>served</u> by subgrants enrolled in school by grade level
K	[1.1.]
1	[2.1.]
2	[3.1.]
3	[4.1.]
4	[5.1.]
5	[6.1.]
6	[7.1.]
7	[8.1.]
8	[9.1.]
9	[10.1.]
10	[11.1.]
11	[12.1.]
12	[13.1.]

#### 1.9.2.2. Number of homeless preschool-age children

Provide the number of homeless preschool-age children in your State in districts with subgrants attending public preschool programs during the 2005-2006 school year (e.g., from birth through pre-K).

Number of homeless preschool-age children enrolled in public preschool in LEAs with subgrants in 2005- 2006	
[1.9.2.2	2.]

#### 1.9.2.3. Unaccompanied Youths

Provide the number of unaccompanied youths served by subgrants during the 2005-2006 school year.

Number of homeless unaccompanied youths enrolled in public schools in LEAs with subgrants in 2005-2006

#### 1.9.2.4. Migrant Children/Youth Served

Provide the number of homeless migrant children/youth served by subgrants during the 2005-2006 school year.

Number of homeless migrant children/youth enrolled in public schools (Total for LEAs with subgrants)

#### 1.9.2.5. Number of Children Receiving Educational and School Support Services

Provide the number of homeless children and youth served by subgrants and enrolled in school during the 2005-2006 school year that received the following educational and school support services from the LEA.

[1.9.2.5.x.]  Educational and school related activities and services	Number of homeless students in subgrantee programs that received educational and support services
Special Education (IDEA)	[1.]
English Language Learners (ELL)	[2.]
Gifted and Talented	[3.]
Vocational Education	[4.]

#### 1.9.2.6. Educational Support Services

Provide the number of subgrantee programs that provided the following educational support services with McKinney-Vento funds.

[1.9.2.6.x.]  Services and Activities Provided by the McKinney-Vento subgrant program	Number of your State's subgrantees that offer these services
Tutoring or other instructional support	[1.]
Expedited evaluations	[2.]
Staff professional development and awareness	[3.]
Referrals for medical, dental, and other health services	[4.]
Transportation	[5.]
Early childhood programs	[6.]
Assistance with participation in school programs	[7.]
Before-, after-school, mentoring, summer programs	[8.]
Obtaining or transferring records necessary for enrollment	[9.]
Parent education related to rights and resources for children	[10.]
Coordination between schools and agencies	[11.]
Counseling	[12.]
Addressing needs related to domestic violence	[13.]
Clothing to meet a school requirement	[14.]
School supplies	[15.]
Referral to other programs and services	[16.]
Emergency assistance related to school attendance	[17.]
Other (optional)	[18.]

#### 1.9.2.7. Barriers to the Education of Homeless Children and Youth

Provide the number of subgrantees that reported the following barriers to the enrollment and success of homeless children and youth during the 2005-2006 school year.

[1.9.2.7.x.]  Barriers	List number of subgrantees reporting each barrier
Eligibility for	[1.]
homeless services	
School selection	[2.]
Transportation	[3.]
School records	[4.]
Immunizations	[5.]
or other medical	
records	
Other enrollment	[6.]
issues	

#### 1.9.2.8. Additional Barriers (Optional)

Note any other barriers not listed above that were frequently reported:

[1.9.2.8.x.x.]  List other barriers	List number of subgrantees reporting each barrier
[1.1.]	[1.2.]
[2.1.]	[2.2.]
[3.1.]	[3.2.]

#### 1.9.2.9. Academic Progress of Homeless Students

In order to ensure that homeless children and youth have access to education and other services needed to meet the State's challenging academic standards:

a) Check the grade levels in which your State administered a statewide assessment in reading or mathematics; b) note the number of homeless children and youth served by subgrants in 2005-2006 that were included in statewide assessments in reading or mathematics; and c) note the number of homeless children and youth that met or exceeded the State's proficiency level or standard on the reading or mathematics assessment.

Reading Assessment:

School Grade Levels *	a) Reading assessment by grade level (Check boxes where appropriate: Yes, No, NA, DNA)	b) Number of homeless children/youth taking reading assessment test.	c) Number of homeless children/youth that met or exceeded state proficiency.
Grade 3	[1.1.]	[1.2.]	[1.3.]
Grade 4	[2.1.]	[2.2.]	[2.3.]
Grade 5	[3.1.]	[3.2.]	[3.3.]
Grade 6	[4.1.]	[4.2.]	[4.3.]
Grade 7	[5.1.]	[5.2.]	[5.3.]
Grade 8	[6.1.]	[6.2.]	[6.3.]
Grade 9	[7.1.]	[7.2.]	[7.3.]
Grade 10	[8.1.]	[8.2.]	[8.3.]
Grade 11	[9.1.]	[9.2.]	[9.3.]
Grade 12	[10.1.]	[10.2.]	[10.3.]

#### Mathematics Assessment:

School Grade Levels *	a) Mathematics assessment by grade level (Check boxes where appropriate: Yes, No, NA, DNA)	b) Number of homeless children/youth taking mathematics assessment test.	c) Number of homeless children/youth that met or exceeded state proficiency.
Grade 3	[1.1.]	[1.2.]	[1.3.]
Grade 4	[2.1.]	[2.2.]	[2.3.]
Grade 5	[3.1.]	[3.2.]	[3.3.]
Grade 6	[4.1.]	[4.2.]	[4.3.]
Grade 7	[5.1.]	[5.2.]	[5.3.]
Grade 8	[6.1.]	[6.2.]	[6.3.]
Grade 9	[7.1.]	[7.2.]	[7.3.]
Grade 10	[8.1.]	[8.2.]	[8.3.]
Grade 11	[9.1.]	[9.2.]	[9.3.]
Grade 12	[10.1.]	[10.2.]	[10.3.]

<sup>\*</sup> Note: State assessments in grades 3-8 and one year of high school are NCLB requirements. However, States may assess students in other grades as well.

#### **CONSOLIDATED STATE PERFORMANCE REPORT: PART II**

# For reporting on School Year 2005-2006



PART II DUE FEBRUARY 1, 2007

### 2.1 IMPROVING BASIC PROGRAMS OPERATED BY LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES (TITLE I, PART A)

#### 2.1.1 Student Achievement and High-Poverty Schools

- **2.1.1.1** Please provide the number of public schools with poverty rates of 40% or greater reporting an increase in the number of students performing at the proficient or advanced levels of student achievement in **reading/language arts** as measured by State assessments administered in the 2005-2006 school year as compared to assessments administered in the 2004-2005 school year. \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_[2.1.1.1.]
- **2.1.1.2** Please provide the number of public schools with poverty rates of 40% or greater reporting an increase in the number of students performing at the proficient or advanced levels of student achievement in **mathematics** as measured by State assessments administered in the 2005-2006 school year as compared to assessments administered in the 2004-2005 school year. \_\_\_\_\_\_ [2.1.1.2.]

#### 2.1.2 Title I, Part A Schools by Type of Program

For the 2005-2006 school year, please provide the following:

2.1.2.1 Total Number of Title I schools in the State \_\_\_\_\_\_\_[2.1.2.1.]

2.1.2.2 Total Number of Title I Targeted Assistance Schools in the State \_\_\_\_\_\_\_[2.1.2.2.]

2.1.2.3 Total Number of Title I Schoolwide Program Schools in the State \_\_\_\_\_\_[2.1.2.3.]

#### 2.1.3 Title I, Part A Student Participation

### Student Participation in Title I, Part A by Special Services/Programs and Racial/Ethnic Groups

In the following tables, please provide the *unduplicated* number of children participating in Title I, Part A in the State by special services/programs and racial/ethnic groups during the 2005-2006 school year. Count a child only once (*unduplicated* count) in each category even if the child participated during more than one term or in more than one school or district in the State during the reporting period. Include students in both Title I schoolwide and targeted assistance programs.

### 2.1.3.1.1 Student Participation in Title I, A by Special Services or Programs 2005-2006 School Year [2.1.3.1.1.x.]

	Number of Students Served
Students with Disabilities	[1.]
Limited English Proficient	[2.]
Homeless	[3.]
Migrant	[4.]

### 2.1.3.1.2 Student Participation in Title I, A by Racial or Ethnic Group 2005-2006 School Year [2.1.3.1.2.x.]

	Number of Students Served
American Indian or Alaska Native	[1.]
Asian or Pacific Islander	[2.]
Black, non-Hispanic	[3.]
Hispanic	[4.]
White, non-Hispanic	[5.]

Additional racial/ethnic groups or combinations of racial/ethnic groups may be reported that are consistent with the major racial/ethnic categories that you use under NCLB.

#### 2.1.3.2 Student Participation in Title I, Part A by Grade Level

Title I, Part A student participation counts by grade and by public, private and local neglected should be reported as *unduplicated* counts. Please enter the number of participants by grade in Title I public targeted assistance programs (TAS), Title I schoolwide *programs* (SWP), private school students participating in Title I programs, and students served in Part A local neglected programs during the 2005-2006 school year.

[2.1.3.2.x.x.]

Student Participation in Title I, Part A by Grade Level 2005-2006 School Year						
	Public	Public		Local		Percent
	TAS	SWP	Private	Neglected	Total	of Total
Age 0-2	[1.1.]	[1.2.]	[1.3.]	[1.4.]	[1.5.]	[1.6.]
Age 3-5	[2.1.]	[2.2.]	[2.3.]	[2.4.]	[2.5.]	[2.6.]
K	[3.1.]	[3.2.]	[3.3.]	[3.4.]	[3.5.]	[3.6.]
1	[4.1.]	[4.2.]	[4.3.]	[4.4.]	[4.5.]	[4.6.]
2	[5.1.]	[5.2.]	[5.3.]	[5.4.]	[5.5.]	[5.6.]
3	[6.1.]	[6.2.]	[6.3.]	[6.4.]	[6.5.]	[6.6.]
4	[7.1.]	[7.2.]	[7.3.]	[7.4.]	[7.5.]	[7.6.]
5	[8.1.]	[8.2.]	[8.3.]	[8.4.]	[8.5.]	[8.6.]
6	[9.1.]	[9.2.]	[9.3.]	[9.4.]	[9.5.]	[9.6.]
7	[10.1.]	[10.2.]	[10.3.]	[10.4.]	[10.5.]	[10.6.]
8	[11.1.]	[11.2.]	[11.3.]	[11.4.]	[11.5.]	[11.6.]
9	[12.1.]	[12.2.]	[12.3.]	[12.4.]	[12.5.]	[12.6.]
10	[13.1.]	[13.2.]	[13.3.]	[13.4.]	[13.5.]	[13.6.]
11	[14.1.]	[14.2.]	[14.3.]	[14.4.]	[14.5.]	[14.6.]
12	[15.1.]	[15.2.]	[15.3.]	[15.4.]	[15.5.]	[15.6.]
Ungraded	[16.1.]	[16.2.]	[16.3.]	[16.4.]	[16.5.]	[16.6.]
TOTALS	[17.1.]	[17.2.]	[17.3.]	[17.4.]	[17.5.]	[17.6.]

### 2.1.3.3 Student Participation in Title I, Part A Targeted Assistance Programs by Instructional and Support Services - 2005-2006 School Year

In the following chart, please provide the number of students receiving instructional and support services funded by Title I, A in targeted assistance (TAS) programs during the 2005-2006 school year. [2.1.3.3.x.x.x.]

Instructional Services		
	Number of Students Served	
Mathematics	[1.1.1.]	
Reading/Language Arts	[1.2.1.]	
Science	[1.3.1.]	
Social Studies	[1.4.1.]	
Vocational/Career	[1.5.1.]	
Other (specify)	[1.6.1.]	
Support Services		
Health, Dental, and Eye Care	[2.1.1.]	
Supporting Guidance/Advocacy	[2.2.1.]	
Other (specify)	[2.3.1.]	

### 2.1.4 Staff Information for Title I, Part A Targeted Assistance Programs - 2005-2006 School Year

In the following chart, please provide the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff funded through Title I, A targeted assistance (TAS) programs during the 2005-2006 school year by job category. For administrators and supervisors who service both targeted assistance and schoolwide programs, report the FTE attributable to their TAS duties only. [2.1.4.x.]

	Number of Title I Targeted Assistance Program FTE Staff
Administrators (non-clerical)	[1.]
<b>Instructional Support Paraprofessionals</b>	[6.]
Non-Instructional Support	[7.]
Paraprofessionals.	
Teachers	[2.]
Support Staff (clerical and non-clerical)	[4.]
Other (specify)	[5.]

## 2.2 WILLIAM F. GOODLING EVEN START FAMILY LITERACY PROGRAMS (TITLE I, PART B, SUBPART 3)

#### 2.2.1 Subgrants and Even Start Program Participants

who have not gone beyond the 9th grade

For the 2005-2006 school year, please provide the following information:

2.2.1.1 Federally Funded Even Start Subgran	ts in the State	
1. Number of federally funded Even Start su	bgrants in the Sta	ate [2.2.1.1.]
<del></del>		
2.2.1.2 Even Start Families Participating Duri ("Participating" means participating in all reception of preparation.)		es and following any
1. Total number of families participating	[2.2.1.2.1.]	
2. Total number of adults participating ("Adults" includes teen parents.)	[2.2.1.2.2.]	
<ol><li>Total number of adults participating who are limited English proficient</li></ol>	[2.2.1.2.3.]	
4. Total number of children participating	[2.2.1.2.4.]	
2.2.1.3 Characteristics of newly enrolled family (A newly enrolled family means a family who Start at any time during the year.)		
1. Number of newly enrolled families	[2.2.1.3.1.]	
2. Number of newly enrolled adult participar	nts [2.2.1.3.2.]	
<ol><li>Percent of newly enrolled families at or below the Federal poverty level</li></ol>	[2.2.1.3.3.]	
<ol> <li>Percent of newly enrolled adult participan without a high school diploma or GED</li> </ol>	its [2.2.1.3.4.]	
5. Percent of newly enrolled adult participan	its	

[2.2.1.3.5.]

2.2.1.4 Percent of families that have remained in the program (Include families that are newly enrolled and those that are continuing.)

1. From 0 to 3 months	[2.2.1.4.1.]	
2. From 4 to 6 months	[2.2.1.4.2.]	
3. From 7 to 12 months	[2.2.1.4.3.]	
4. More than 12 months	[2.2.1.4.4.]	

#### 2.2.2 Federal Even Start Performance Indicators

Using the format of the table below, describe the State's progress in meeting the federal performance indictors listed for Even Start participants in your State. States should report data if local projects are using the indicated measures and the state collects the data.

#### **Instructions:** (Instructions New)

Indicators 1 and 2: The definition of significant learning gains for adult education is determined by each state. Use the definition determined by your state's adult education program in conjunction with the Department of Education's Office of Adult and Vocational Education (OVAE).

Indicators 3 and 4: School-age adults are defined as any parent attending elementary or secondary school. This term also includes those parents within the State's compulsory attendance range who are being served in an alternative school setting such as directly through the Even Start program. For the "Cohort", please include only those adult participants who had a goal of earning a high school diploma or GED. Note that age limitations on taking the GED differ by state, so you should include only those adult participants for whom a GED or high school diploma is a possibility.

Indicator 5: A standard score increase of 4 or more points between pre- and post-test is considered to be a significant learning gain. For the "Cohort", please describe the number of age-eligible children who took both a pre-test and post-test with at least six months of services in between. In the "Explanation" box please note the number of students exempted from participation due to severe disability or inability to understand the directions in English.

Indicator 6: In the "Result" box for this indicator, please describe the average score for the children in your state who participated in this assessment. Do <u>not</u> describe the number of participants who met the achievement goal. The "Cohort" is the total number of students who participated in the assessment.

Indicator 7: The source of data for this indicator is usually determined by the state, and in some cases by school district. Please indicate the source of the data you provide.

Indicator 8: While most states are using the PEP, other assessments of parenting education are acceptable. Please provide non-PEP data in the "Explanation of Progress" column.

[2.2.2.x.x.x.]	Measure  Measurement tool	Cohort Number of	Result Number of	
	used to assess	participants to	participants who	
Indicator	progress for	whom the indicator	met the	
	indicator	applies	achievement goal	Explanation of Progress
Percentage of adults	TABE:	TABE:	TABE:	
showing significant	[1.1.1.]	[1.2.1.]	[1.3.1.]	[1.4.1.]
learning gains on measures of reading	CASAS: [1.1.2.]	CASAS: [1.2.2.]	CASAS: [1.3.2.]	[1.4.2.]
2. Percentage of LEP	TABE:	TABE:	TABE:	
adults showing significant	[2.1.1.]	[2.2.1.]	[2.3.1.]	[2.4.1.]
learning gains on				
measures of English				
language acquisition	CASAS: [2.1.2.]	CASAS:[2.2.2.]	CASAS: [2.3.2.]	[2.4.2.]
3. Percentage of school	[0.4.4.]	[3.2.1.]	[3.3.1.]	[3.4.1.]
age adults who earn a high	[3.1.1.] *Please indicate	*Please indicate	*Please indicate	[0.7.1.]
school diploma or GED	diploma or GED.	diploma or GED.	diploma or GED.	
	[3.1.2.]	[3.2.2.]	[3.3.2.]	[3.4.2.]
4. Percentage of non-				
school age adults who	[4.1.1.] *Please indicate	[4.2.1.] *Please indicate	[4.3.1.] *Please indicate	[4.4.1.]
earn a high school diploma	diploma or GED.	diploma or GED.	diploma or GED.	
or GED	[4.1.2.]	[4.2.2.]	[4.3.2.]	[4.4.2.]
5. Percentage of children	Peabody Picture	Peabody Picture	Peabody Picture	
entering kindergarten who	Vocabulary Test	Vocabulary Test	Vocabulary Test	
are achieving significant	(PPVT) receptive:	(PPVT) receptive:	(PPVT) receptive:	
learning gains on				[5.4.1.]
measures of language	[5.1.1.]	[5.2.1.]	[5.3.1.]	
development				

[2.2.2.x.x.x.]	Measure	Cohort	Result	
	Measurement tool	Number of	Number of	
	used to assess	participants to	participants who	
	progress for	whom the indicator	met the	
Indicator	indicator	applies	achievement goal	Explanation of Progress
6. The average number of	PALS Pre-K	PALS Pre-K	PALS Pre-K	
letters children can identify	Upper Case Letter	Upper Case Letter	Upper Case Letter	
measured by the PALS	Naming Subtask:	Naming Subtask:	Naming	
Pre-K Upper Case Letter			Subtask:	
Naming Subtask	[6.1.1.]	[6.2.1.]	[6.3.1.]	[6.4.1.]
!			*Please indicate	
1				
1			average score, not number of	
1			participants.	
			participarits.	
7. Percentage of school-	[7.1.1.]	[7.2.1.]	[7.3.1.]	[7.4.1.]
aged children who are	Please indicate	Please indicate	Please indicate	[F-T-1-]
reading on grade level	source.	source.	source.	
1	[7.1.2.]	[7.2.2.]	[7.3.2.]	[7.4.2.]
8. Percentage of parents	Parent Education	Parent Education	Parent Education	
who show improvement on	Profile (PEP)	Profile (PEP)	Profile (PEP)	
measures of parental				
support for children's	[8.1.1.]	[8.2.1.]	[8.3.1.]	[8.4.1.]
learning in the home,				
school environment, and				
through interactive learning				
activities				

## 2.3 EDUCATION OF MIGRATORY CHILDREN (TITLE I, PART C)

Please complete the following tables for the Title I, Part C, Migrant Education Program.

## **General Data Reporting Information**

- 1. The tables in this section contain annual performance report requirements for the Title I, Part C, Migrant Education Program (MEP) for reporting year 2005-2006.
- 2. Instructions for each table are provided just before the table.

#### Table 2.3.1.1 Population Data

Instructions: Table 2.3.1.I (on the next page) requires you to report the statewide *unduplicated* number of *eligible* migrant children by age/grade according to several descriptive categories. Include only *eligible* migrant children in the cells in this table. Within each row, count a child only once statewide (unduplicated count). Include children who changed ages (e.g., from 2 years to 3 years of age) or grades during the 2005-2006 reporting period in only the higher age/grade cell. For example, a child who turns three during the reporting year would only be counted in the Ages 3 – 5 cell. In all cases, the Total is the sum of the cells in a row.

2.3.1.1 Population Data

<b>2.3.</b> 1	.1 Population Data																		
		Ages 0-2	Ages 3-5	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Un- grad- ed	Out-of- school	Total
1. EI	LIGIBLE MIGRANT CHILDREN [2.3.1.1.1.x.)	c.]																	
1.	All Migrant Children Eligible for the MEP	[1.1.]	[1.2.]	[1.3.]	[1.4.]	[1.5.]	[1.6.]	[1.7.]	[1.8.]	[1.9.]		[1.11. ]	[1.12. ]	[1.13. ]	[1.14. ]	[1.15. ]	[1.16.]	[1.17.]	[1.18.]
2. PI	RIORITY FOR SERVICES [2.3.1.1.2.x.x.]										_	_							-
1.	All Migrant Children Eligible for MEP classified as having "Priority for											[1.10.	[1.11.	[1.12.	[1.13.	[1.14.			
	Services"		[1.1.]	[1.2.]	[1.3.]	[1.4.]	[1.5.]	[1.6.]	[1.7.]	[1.8.]	[1.9.]	]	]	]	]	]	[1.15.]	[1.16.]	[1.17.]
3. LI	MITED ENGLISH PROFICIENT (LEP) [2.3	.1.1.3.x.	x.]		Π	1	1	1		1	ı	14.40	ra aa	[4 40	[4 42	ra a a	1	1	
1.	Migrant Children who are LEP		[1.1.]	[1.2.]	[1.3.]	[1.4.]	[1.5.]	[1.6.]	[1.7.]	[1.8.]	[1.9.]	[1.10. ]	[1.11. ]	[1.12. ]	[1.13. ]	[1.14. ]	[1.15.]	[1.16.]	[1.17.]
4. C	HILDREN ENROLLED IN SPECIAL EDUC	CATO	<b>N</b> [2.3.	1.1.4.	c.x.]							_							
1.	Migrant Children Enrolled in Special										[1 10	[1.11.	[1 12	[1 13	[1 14	[1 15			
	Education	[1.1.]	[1.2.]	[1.3.]	[1.4.]	[1.5.]	[1.6.]	[1.7.]	[1.8.]	[1.9.]		]	]	]	]	[1.10. ]	[1.16.]	[1.17.]	[1.18.]
5. M	OBILITY [2.3.1.1.5.x.x.]																		
1.	Migrant Children with a Last Qualifying																		
	Move within 12 Months (Counting back																		
	from the Last Day of the Reporting										[1.10.	[1.11.	[1.12.	[1.13.	[1.14.	[1.15.			
	Period)	[1.1.]	[1.2.]	[1.3.]	[1.4.]	[1.5.]	[1.6.]	[1.7.]	[1.8.]	[1.9.]	j	j	j	j	j	j	[1.16.]	[1.17.]	[1.18.]
2.	Migrant Children with a Last Qualifying																		
	Move within Previous 13 – 24 Months																		
	(Counting back from the Last Day of the Reporting Period)	ro 4 1	10.01	ro o 1		ro = 1	ro o 1	ro <b>7</b> 1	10.01	10.01	[2.10.	[2.11.	[2.12.	[2.13.	[2.14.	[2.15.		ro 471	10.40.1
3.	Migrant Children with a Last Qualifying	[2.1.]	[2.2.]	[2.3.]	[2.4.]	[2.5.]	[2.6.]	[2.7.]	[2.8.]	[2.9.]	J	J	J	J	J	]	[2.16.]	[2.17.]	[2.18.]
٥.	Move within Previous 25 – 36 Months																		
	(Counting back from the Last Day of the																		
	Reporting Period)	[3 1 ]	[3.2.]	[3 3 ]	[3 4 ]	[3 5 ]	[3.6.]	[3 7 ]	[3 8 ]	[3 0 ]	[3.10. 1	[3.11. 1	[3.12. 1	[3.13. 1	[3.14. 1	[3.15. 1		[3.17.]	[3.18.]
4.	Migrant Children with any Qualifying	[0.1.]	[0.2.]	[0.0.]	[0.4.]	[0.0.]	[0.0.]	[5.7.]	[0.0.]	[0.0.]				_			[0.10.]	[5.17.]	[0.10.]
''	Move within a Regular School Year																		1
	(Count any Qualifying Move within the																		1
	Previous 36 Months; counting back from										[/ 10	[4.11.	[/ 12	[/ 12	[/ 1/	[/ 15			1
	the Last Day of the Reporting Period)	[4.1.]	[4.2.]	[4.3.]	[4.4.]	[4.5.]	[4.6.]	[4.7.]	[4.8.]	[4.9.]	[+. 10. ]	[+. 1 1. ]	[→. 12. ]	[+.13. ]	[4.14. ]	[+. 13. ]	[4.16.]	[4.17.]	[4.18.]

## 2.3.1.2 Academic Status

Instructions: Table 2.3.1.2 asks for the statewide *unduplicated* <u>number</u> of *eligible* migrant children by age/grade according to several descriptive categories. Include only *eligible* migrant children in the cells in this table. Within each row, count a child only once statewide (*unduplicated* count).

Include children who changed grades during the 2005-2006 reporting period in only the higher age/grade cell. In all cases, the Total is the sum of the cells in a row

	SH SCHOOL COMPLETION (Note: Da	0-2 ta on		K gh s				4 tion <u>ı</u>	5 rate a	6 and s	7 scho	8 ol dr	9 opou	10 It <u>rat</u>	11 e has	12		Out- of- school lected	•
1.	Dropped out of school										[1.1.]	[1.2.]	[1.3.]	[1.4.]	[1.5.]	[1.6.]	[1.7.]		[1.8.]
2.	Obtained GED																		[2.1]
in	Part I of the Consolidated State Performant rticipated in the state assessment will be consolidated.	nce R	eport.	Hov	weve	er, in	form										tuden		)
1.	Number of Migrant Students Enrolled During State Testing Window (State Assessment – Reading/Language Arts)						[1. 1.]	[1. 2.]	[1. 3.]	[1. 4.]	[1. 5.]	[1. 6.]	[1. 7.]	[1. 8.]	[1. 9.]	[1. 10 .]	[1.1 1.]		[1.1 2.]
2.	Number of Migrant Students Tested in Reading/Language Arts (State Assessment)						[2. 1.]	[2. 2.]	[2. 3.]	[2. 4.]	[2. 5.]	[2. 6.]	[2. 7.]	[2. 8.]	[2. 9.]	[2. 10 .]	[2.1 1.]		[2.1 2.]
3.	Number of Migrant Students Enrolled During State Testing Window (State Assessment – Mathematics)						[3. 1.]	[3. 2.]	[3. 3.]	[3. 4.]	[3. 5.]	[3. 6.]	[3. 7.]	[3. 8.]	[3. 9.]	[3. 10 .]	[3.1 1.]		[3.1 2.]
4.	Number of Migrant Students Tested in Mathematics (State Assessment)						[4. 1.]	[4. 2.]	[4. 3.]	[4. 4.]	[4. 5.]	[4. 6.]	[4. 7.]	[4. 8.]	[4. 9.]	[4. 10 .]	[4.1 1.]		[4.1 4.]

## 2.3.1.3.1 MEP Participation – Regular School Year

Table 2.3.1.3.1 (on the next page) asks for the statewide, *unduplicated* number of children who were served by the MEP in the regular school year by age/grade according to several descriptive categories. Include children who changed ages, e.g., from 2 years to 3 years of age, or grades during the 2005-2006 reporting period in only the higher age/grade cell. Within each row, count a child only once statewide (*unduplicated* count). In all cases, the total is the sum of the cells in a row.

Participation information is required for children who received instructional or support services funded in whole or in part with MEP funds. DO NOT count migrant children served through a <u>schoolwide</u> program (SWP) where MEP funds were combined, in <u>any</u> row of this table.

Count only those children who were actually served; do not count unserved children. Include in this table all children who received a MEP-funded service, even those children continuing to receive services in the year after their eligibility ended, and those children previously eligible in secondary school and receiving credit-accrual services.

<u>Served in a Regular School Year Project</u>. Enter the number of children who participated in MEP-funded instructional or supportive service only. DO NOT include children who were served only by a "referred" service. Count a child only once statewide by age/grade in row 1 if he/she received any type of MEP-funded instructional or supportive service. Do not count the number of times an individual child received an instructional intervention.

Continuation of Services. In row 3, report only the numbers of children served under Sections 1305 (e) (2) - (3). Do not report in row 3 the children served in Sections 1305 (e) (1), children whose eligibility expired during the regular school year.

<u>Instructional Services</u>. For each listed instructional service, enter the number of children who participated in MEP-funded services. Count a child only once statewide by age/grade in row 4 if he/she received <u>any</u> type of MEP-funded instructional service (regardless whether provided by a teacher or paraprofessional). Count each child only once statewide in row 5, once in row 6, and once in row 7 if he/she received the MEP-funded instruction (and provided by a teacher) in the subject area noted. Do not count the number of times an individual child received an instructional intervention.

<u>Support Services</u>. For each listed support service, enter the number of children who participated in MEP-funded services. Count a child only once statewide by age/grade in row 8 if he/she received <u>any</u> type of MEP-funded supportive service. Count a child only once statewide in row 9 if he/she received the specific MEP supportive service noted (*i.e.*, do not count the number of service interventions per child).

<u>Referred Services</u>. Count a child only once statewide by age/grade in row 10 if he/she received a referred service. This is NOT a count of the referrals themselves, but instead represents the number of children who are placed in an educational or educationally-related service funded by another non-MEP program/organization that they would not have otherwise obtained without the efforts of MEP funds. (Do not count the number of service interventions per child).

## 2.3.1.3.1 MEP Participation – Regular School Year

		0-2	Ages 3-5	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Un- grad- ed	Out- of- school	Total
	ICIPATION— <u>REGULAR SCHOOL YEA</u> I	<b>R</b> [2.3.1	1.3.1.x.x	.]									1					T	
1. Served in MEP (with an MEP-funded																			
	tructional or Supportive Service Only																		
do	not include children served in a SWP										[1 10	[1.11.	[1 12	[1 13	[1 14	[1 15			
wh	ere MEP funds are combined)	[1.1.]	[1.2.]	[1.3.]	[1.4.]	[1.5.]	[1.6.]	[1.7.]	[1.8.]			j	]	]			[1.16.]	[1.17.]	[1.18.]
2	Duignity for Compies											[2.10.	[2.11.	[2.12.	[2.13.				
2.	Priority for Service		[2.1.]	[2.2.]	[2.3.]	[2.4.]	[2.5.]	[2.6.]	[2.7.]	[2.8.]	[2.9.]	[0.40	[]	]	10.40		[2.15.]	[2.16.]	[2.17.]
3.	Continuation of Service		[3.1.]	[3 2 ]	[3.3.]	[3 4 ]	[3 5 ]	[3.6.]	[3 7 ]	[3 8 ]	[3 9 ]	[3.10. ]	[3.11. ]	[3.12. 1	[3.13. ]		[3.15.]	[3 16 ]	[3.17.]
<u> </u>			[0.1.]	[0.2.]	[0.0.]	[0.4.]	[0.0.]	[0.0.]	[0.7.]	[0.0.]	[4.10.	4.11.	4.12.	[4.13.	4.14.		[0.10.]	[0.10.]	[0.17.]
4.	Any Instructional Service	[4.1.]	[4.2.]	[4.3.]	[4.4.]	[4.5.]	[4.6.]	[4.7.]	[4.8.]			j	j	j	j		[4.16.]	[4.17.]	[4.18.]
5.	Reading Instruction	[5.1.]	[5.2.]	[5.3.]	[5.4.]	[5.5.]	[5.6.]	[5.7.]	[5.8.]			[5.11. ]	[5.12. ]	[5.13. ]	[5.14. ]		[5.16.]	[5.17.]	[5.18.]
											[6.10.	[6.11.	[6.12.	[6.13.	[6.14.				
6.	Mathematics Instruction	[6.1.]	[6.2.]	[6.3.]	[6.4.]	[6.5.]	[6.6.]	[6.7.]	[6.8.]	[6.9.]	1	1	]	]	]	]	[6.16.]	[6.17.]	[6.18.]
7.	High School Credit Accrual														[7.3.]		[7.5.]	[7.6.]	[7.7.]
0	Any Cupport Convice											[8.11.	[8.12.	[8.13.	[8.14.				
8.	Any Support Service	[8.1.]	[8.2.]	[8.3.]	[8.4.]	[8.5.]	[8.6.]	[8.7.]	[8.8.]			] [9.11.	[0.42	[0.42	[0 14		[8.16.]	[8.17.]	[8.18.]
9.	Counseling Service	[9.1.]	[8.2.]	[9.3.]	[9.4.]	[9.5.]	[9.6.]	[9.7.]	[9.8.]		ເອ. 10. ]	[9.11. ]	[9.12. ]	[9.13. ]	[9.14. ]		[9.16.]	[9.17.]	[9.18.]
10	Any Deferred Contine										[10.1	[10.1	[10.1	[10.1	10.14		[10.16.		[10.18.
10.	Any Referred Service	[10.1.]	[10.2.]		]	]	]	]			0.]	1.]	2.]	3.]	.]	5.]		[10.17.]	

## 2.3.1.3.2 MEP Participation –Summer/Intersession Term

Instructions Table 2.3.1.3.2 (on the next page) asks for the statewide unduplicated number of children who were served by the MEP in a summer or intersession term by age/grade according to several descriptive categories. Include children who changed ages, e.g., from 2 years to 3 years of age in only in the higher age cell. Count summer/intersession students in the appropriate grade based on the promotion date definition used in your state. Within each row, count a child only once statewide (*unduplicated* count). In all cases, the Total is the sum of the cells in a row.

Participation information is required for children who received instructional or support services funded in whole or in part with MEP funds.

Count only those children who were actually served; do not count unserved children. Include in this table all children who received a MEP funded service, even children continuing to receive services in the year after their eligibility ended, and those children previously eligible in secondary school and receiving credit-accrual services.

<u>Served in a Summer or Intersession Project</u>. Enter the number of children who participated in MEP-funded instructional or supportive service only. DO NOT include children who were served only by a "referred" service. Count a child only once statewide by age/grade in row 1 if he/she received any type of MEP-funded instructional or supportive service. Do not count the number of times an individual child received an instructional intervention.

Continuation of Services. In row 3, report only the numbers of children served under Sections 1304 (e) (2) - (3). Do not report in row 3 the children served in Sections 1304 (e) (1), children whose eligibility expired during the summer term.

<u>Instructional Services</u>. For each listed instructional service, enter the number of children who participated in MEP-funded services. Count a child only once statewide by age/grade in row 4 if he/she received <u>any</u> type of MEP-funded instructional service (regardless whether provided by a teacher or paraprofessional). Count each child only once statewide in row 5, once in row 6, and once in row 7 if he/she received the MEP-funded instruction (and provided by a teacher) in the subject area noted. Do not count the number of times an individual child received an instructional intervention.

<u>Support Services</u>. For each listed support service, enter the number of children who participated in MEP-funded services. Count a child only once statewide by age/grade in row 8 if he/she received <u>any</u> type of MEP-funded supportive service. Count a child only once statewide in row 9 if he/she received the specific MEP supportive service noted (*i.e.*, do not count the number of service interventions per child).

<u>Referred Services</u>. Count a child only once statewide by age/grade in row 10 if he/she received a referred service. This is NOT a count of the referrals themselves, but instead represents the number of children who are placed in an educational or educationally-related service funded by another non-MEP program/organization that they would not have otherwise obtained without the efforts of MEP funds (i.e., do not count the number of service interventions per child).

## 2.3.1.3.2 MEP Participation –Summer/Intersession Term

		0-2	Ages 3-5	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	Un- grad- ed	Out- of- school	Total
PART	PARTICIPATION—SUMMER TERM OR INTERSESSION [2.3.1.3.2.x.x.]																		
1. Served in MEP Summer or Intersession Project (with an Instructional or Supportive Service Only)  [1.1] [1.2] [1.3] [1.4] [1.5] [1.6] [1.7] [1.8] [1.9] [1.9] [1.9]																			
36	rvice Only)	[1.1.]	[1.2.]	[1.3.]	[1.4.]	[1.5.]	[1.6.]	[1.7.]	[1.8.]	[1.9.]		[2 10	] [2 11	[2 12	[ 2.13.		[1.16.]	[1.17.]	[1.18.]
2.	Priority for Service		[2.1.]	[2.2.]	[2.3.]	[2.4.]	[2.5.]	[2.6.]	[2.7.]	[2.8.]			]	]	]		[2.15.]	[2.16.]	[2.17.]
3.	Continuation of Service								[3.7.]	[3.8.]	[3.9.]	[3.10. ]	j	j	[3.13. ]	j	[3.15.]	[3.16.]	[3.17.]
4.	Any Instructional Service	[4.1.]	[4.2.]	[4.3.]	[4.4.]	[4.5.]	[4.6.]	[4.7.]	[4.8.]		[4.10. ]	[4.11. ]	[4.12. ]	[4.13. ]	[4.14. ]		[4.16.]	[4.17.]	[4.18.]
5.	Reading Instruction	[5.1.]	[5.2.]	[5.3.]	[5.4.]	[5.5.]	[5.6.]	[5.7.]	[5.8.]	[5.9.]		j	j	j	j	j	[5.16.]	[5.17.]	[5.18.]
6.	Mathematics Instruction	[6.1.]	[6.2.]	[6.3.]	[6.4.]	[6.5.]	[6.6.]	[6.7.]	[6.8.]		[6.10. ]	[6.11. ]	[6.12. ]	[6.13. ]	[6.14. ]		[6.16.]	[6.17.]	[6.18.]
7.	High School Credit Accrual												[7.1.]	[72.]	[7.3.]	[7.4.]	[7.5.]	[7.6.]	[7.7.]
8.	Any Support Service	[8.1.]	[8.2.]	[8.3.]	[8.4.]	[8.5.]	[8.6.]	[8.7.]	[8.8.]	[8.9.]	[8.10. ]	j	j	j	j	j	[8.16.]	[8.17.]	[8.18.]
9.	Counseling Service	[9.1.]	[8.2.]						[9.8.]	[9.9.]		]	]	]		]	[9.16.]	[9.17.]	[9.18.]
10.	Any Referred Service	[10.1.]	[10.2.]	[10.3. ]	[10.4. ]	10[.5. ]	[10.6. ]	[10.7. ]	[10.8. ]	[10.9. ]	[10.1 0.]	[10.1 1.]	[10.1 2.]	[10.1 3.]	10.14 .]	[10.1 5.]	[10.16. ]	[10.17.]	[10.18. ]

## 2.3.1.4 SCHOOL DATA

Table 2.3.1.4 asks for information on the number of schools and number of *eligible* migrant children who were enrolled in those schools.

In the first column of Table 2.3.1.4, enter the number of <u>schools</u> that enroll *eligible* migrant children during the regular school year. Schools include public schools, alternative schools, and private schools (that serve school-age children, i.e., grades K-12). In the second column, enter the number of *eligible* migrant children who were enrolled in these schools. In the second column, since more than one school in a State may enroll the same migrant child, the count of eligible children enrolled will be duplicated statewide

			NUMBER OF
		NUMBER OF	MIGRANT CHILDREN
2.3	3.1.4. STUDENT ENROLLMENT [2.3.1.4.x.x.]	SCHOOLS	ENROLLED
1.	Schools Enrolling Migrant Children	a. [1.1.]	b. [1.2.]
2.	Schools in Which MEP Funds are Combined	a. [2.1.]	b. [2.2.]
	in SWP		

## 2.3.1.5 MEP Project Data

## 2.3.1.5.1 Type Of MEP Project

Enter the number of projects that are funded in whole or in part with MEP funds. A MEP project is the entity that receives MEP funds (by a subgrant from the State or through an intermediate entity that receives the subgrant) <u>and</u> provides services directly to the migrant child. DO NOT include *schoolwide* programs in which MEP were combined in <u>any</u> row of this table.

2.3.1.5.1. TYPE OF MEP PROJECT [2.3.1.5.1.x.x.]	NUMBER OF MEP PROJECTS	NUMBER OF MIGRANT CHILDREN ENROLLED
1. MEP Projects: Regular School Year (All		
MEP Services Provided During the		
School Day Only)	a. [1.1.]	b. [1.2.]
2. MEP Projects: Regular School Year		
(Some or All MEP Services Provided		
During an Extended Day/Week)	a. [2.1.]	b. [2.2.]
3. MEP Projects: Summer/Intersession		
Only	a. [3.1.]	b. [3.2.]
4. MEP Projects: Year Round (All MEP		
Services Provided throughout the		
Regular School Year and		
Summer/Intersession Terms)	a. [4.1.]	b. [4.2.]

## 2.3.1.5.2 KEY MEP PERSONNEL

For each school term, enter both the actual number and *full-time-equivalent* number of staff that are paid by the MEP. Report both the actual number and FTE number by job classification.

For <u>actual</u> numbers (columns a and c), enter the total number of individuals who were employed in the appropriate job classification, regardless of the percentage of time the person was employed.

For the <u>FTE</u> number (columns b and d), enter the number of FTEs generated by the individuals who worked in the specific job classification. To calculate the FTE, in each job category, sum the percentage of time that staff were funded by the MEP and enter the total FTE for that category.

Alternatively, calculate the FTE using the number of days worked. To do so, first define how many full-time days constitute one *FTE* for each job classification in your state for each term. (For example, one regular term FTE may equal 180 full-time (8 hour) work days, one summer term FTE may equal 30 full-time work days, or one *intersession* FTE may equal 45 full-time work days split between three 15-day non-contiguous blocks throughout the year.) To calculate the FTE number, sum the total days the individuals worked in a particular job classification for a term and divide this sum by the number of full-time days that constitute one FTE in that term.

Use only the percentage of days worked by an individual that were paid by the MEP in calculating the total FTE numbers to be reported below for each job classification.

DO NOT include staff employed in *schoolwide* programs where MEP funds are combined with those of other programs.

	NUMBER OF MEP FUNDED STAFF IN REGULAR SCHOOL YEAR	FTE IN REGULAR SCHOOL YEAR	NUMBER OF MEP FUNDED STAFF IN SUMMER-TERM/ INTERSESSION	FTE IN SUMMER-TERM/ INTERSESSION
2.3.1.5.2. KEY MEP PERSONNEL [2.3.1.5.2.x.x.]	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
1. State Director	[2.1.1.]	[2.1.2.]	[2.1.3.]	[2.1.4.]
2. Teachers	[2.2.1.]	[2.2.2.]	[2.2.3.]	[2.2.4.]
3. Counselors	[2.3.1.]	[2.3.2.]	[2.3.3.]	[2.3.4.]
4. All Paraprofessionals	[2.4.1.]	[2.4.2.]	[2.4.3.]	[2.4.4.]
5. "Qualified" Paraprofessionals	[2.5.1.]	[2.5.2.]	[2.5.3.]	[2.5.4.]
6. Recruiters	[2.6.1.]	[2.6.2.]	[2.6.3.]	[2.6.4.]
7. Records Transfer Staff	[2.7.1.]	[2.7.2.]	[2.7.3.]	[2.7.4.]

# 2.4 PREVENTION AND INTERVENTION PROGRAMS FOR CHILDREN AND YOUTH WHO ARE NEGLECTED, DELINQUENT, OR AT RISK (TITLE I, PART D, SUBPARTS 1 AND 2)

#### 2.4.1 GENERAL DATA REPORTING FORM - SUBPART 1

The tables in this section contain annual performance report requirements for the Title I, Part D, Subpart 1, N or D Education Program for school year 2005–2006, defined as July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006.

## General Instructions for Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 Tables:

Specific instructions are provided before each table.

For items that request information on the **number of facilities/programs**, report **only** on facilities or programs that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 funding during the reporting year.

For items that request information on the **number of students**, report **only** on, neglected or delinquent students who received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 services during the reporting year.

## **Program Definitions:** (Definitions New)

**Neglected Programs (N):** An institution for neglected children and youth is a public or private residential facility, other than a foster home, that is operated primarily for the care of children who have been committed to the institution or voluntarily placed under applicable State law due to abandonment, neglect, or death of their parents or quardians.

**NOTE:** Do not include programs funded solely through Title I, Part A in these tables.

**Juvenile Detention Facilities (JD):** Detention facilities are shorter-term institutions that provide care to children who require secure custody pending court adjudication, court disposition, or execution of a court order, or care to children after commitment.

**Juvenile Corrections (JC):** An institution for delinquent children and youth is a public or private residential facility other than a foster home that is operated for the care of children and youth who have been adjudicated delinquent or in need of supervision. **NOTE:** States should include any programs serving adjudicated youth (including non secure facilities and group homes) in the JC category.

**Adult Corrections (AC):** An adult correctional institution is a facility in which persons, including persons under 21 years of age, are confined as a result of conviction for a criminal offense.

**Other (O):** Any other programs, not defined above, which receive Title I, Part D funds and serve non-adjudicated children and youth.

## Instructions: State Agency Title I, Part D, Facilities and Students

Include the aggregate number of facilities/programs and/or students for all State Agencies that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 funds. If no data are available for the requested information, enter "99999."

In the first column, report the <u>number of facilities/programs</u> that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 funding. Indicate the total number of facilities/programs by type, including neglected programs, juvenile detention facilities, juvenile correction facilities, adult correction centers, or other programs.

In the second column, enter the yearly <u>average length of stay (in days)</u> for students in each type of facility/program. The average should be weighted by number of students and should include the number of days, per visit each student was enrolled during the reporting year, regardless of entry or exit date. Multiple visits for students who entered more than once during the reporting year can be included.

In the third column, indicate the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students who were admitted to each type of facility/program. An unduplicated count is one that counts students only once, even if they were admitted to a facility or program multiple times within the reporting year.

Throughout the table, count facilities based on how the facility/program was classified to ED for funding purposes. Indicate the number of multipurpose facilities in row 6.

## 2.4.1.1 State Agency Title I, Part D, Facilities

**Note:** The unduplicated number of students reported in the far right column in this table should match the figures reported in the "All Students" row in Table 2.4.1.2.

[2.4.1.1.x.x.]  Facility/Program type	Number of facilities/ programs	Average length of stay (days)	Unduplicated Number of Neglected or Delinquent Students
1. Neglected Programs	[1.1.]	[1.2.]	[1.3.]
2. Juvenile Detention	[3.1.]	[3.2.]	[3.3.]
3. Juvenile Corrections	[4.1.]	[4.2.]	[4.3.]
4. Adult Corrections	[5.1.]	[5.2.]	[5.3.]
5. Other	[7.1.]	[7.2.]	[7.3.]

6. Number of facilities that served more than one purpose:	
[6.1.]	

## 2.4.1.2 Student Demographics

## **Instructions: Student Demographics**

Report demographic data on students who were served under Title I, Part D, Subpart 1. Report the number of students by program type and by race/ethnicity, gender, and age. This should be an <u>unduplicated</u> count of students. If no data are available for the requested information, enter "99999."

**NOTE:** The unduplicated number of students on the "All Students" row in this table should match the figures reported in the far right column in Table 2.4.1.1. unduplicated count = all students row = race total = gender total = age total.

### 2.4.1.2

	N	Number	No.	Manual and to	Manual and the
	Number in neglected programs	in juvenile detention	Number in juvenile correction	Number in adult correction	Number in other programs
All Students [2.4.1.2.1.x.x.]	[1.1.]	[1.2.]	[1.3.]	[1.4.]	[1.5.]
RACE/ETHNICITY [2.4.1.2.2.x.x.]					
American Indian or Alaska Native	[1.1.]	[1.2.]	[1.3.]	[1.4.]	[1.5.]
Asian or Pacific Islander	[2.1.]	[2.2.]	[2.3.]	[2.4.]	[2.5.]
Black, non-Hispanic	[3.1.]	[3.2.]	[3.3.]	[3.4.]	[3.5.]
Hispanic	[4.1.]	[4.2.]	[4.3.]	[4.4.]	[4.5.]
White, non-Hispanic	[5.1.]	[5.2.]	[5.3.]	[5.4.]	[5.5.]
Gender [2.4.1.2.3.x.x.]					
Male	[1.1.]	[1.2.]	[1.3.]	[1.4.]	[1.5.]
Female	[2.1.]	[2.2.]	[2.3.]	[2.4.]	[2.5.]
<b>Age</b> [2.4.1.2.4.x.x.]					
5-10 years old	[1.1.]	[1.2.]	[1.3.]	[1.4.]	[1.5.]
11-15 years old	[2.1.]	[2.2.]	[2.3.]	[2.4.]	[2.5.]
16-18 years old	[3.1.]	[3.2.]	[3.3.]	[3.4.]	[3.5.]
19-21 years old	[4.1.]	[4.2.]	[4.3.]	[4.4.]	[4.5.]

### 2.4.1.3 Academic/Vocational Outcomes

Indicate the number of facilities or programs with specific academic offerings, and the numbers of students who attained specific academic or vocational outcomes. The reported numbers should represent <u>unduplicated</u> counts of students; report only information on a student's most recent enrollment (e.g., do not double-count a student who earned credits on two separate enrollments). However, students may be counted in more than one outcome category within the same enrollment period (e.g., returned to school and earned high school credits). Throughout the table, report numbers for juvenile detention and correctional facilities together in a single column. If no data are available for the requested information, enter "99999."

For Section 1, items 1-3, report on the number of programs (not students) that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 funds and awarded at least one high school course credit, one high school diploma, and/or one GED within the reporting year. Report the numbers by program type (e.g., Neglected, Juvenile Corrections and/or Detention, Adult Corrections, or Other). These numbers should not exceed those reported earlier in the facility counts.

For Section 2.1, items 1 and 2, enter the number of students who attained the following academic outcomes during their time in the facility/program: earned high school course credits and/or were enrolled in a GED program. Report the numbers by program type (e.g., Neglected, Juvenile Corrections and/or Detention, Adult Corrections, or Other).

For Section 2.1, items 3-7, enter the number of students who attained the following academic outcomes while in a facility/program OR within 30 days after exit: enrolled in a district school, earned a GED, obtained a high school diploma, were accepted into postsecondary education, and/or enrolled in post-secondary education. Report the numbers by program type (e.g., Neglected, Juvenile Corrections and/or Detention, Adult Corrections, or Other).

For Section 2.2, item 1, enter the number of students who attained the following vocational outcome during their time in a facility/program: enrolled in elective job training courses. Report the numbers by program type (e.g., Neglected, Juvenile Corrections and/or Detention, Adult Corrections, or Other).

For Section 2.2, items 2 and 3, enter the number of students who attained the following vocational outcomes while in a facility/program OR within 30 days after exit: enrolled in external job training education, and/or obtained employment. Report the numbers by program type (e.g., Neglected, Juvenile Corrections and/or Detention, Adult Corrections, or Other).

	Number of Facilities/Programs											
1. Facility Academic Offerings [2.4.1.3.1.x.x.]	Number of Neglected Programs	Number of Juvenile Corrections and/or Detention Facilities	Number of Adult Corrections Facilities	Number of Other Programs								
Awarded high school course credit(s)	[1.1.]	[1.2.]	[1.3.]	[1.4.]								
Awarded high school diploma(s)	[2.1.]	[2.2.]	[2.3.]	[2.4.]								
3. Awarded GED(s)	[3.1.]	[3.2.]	[3.3.]	[3.4.]								
		Number o	of Students									
2. Academic & Vocational Outcomes	Number in Neglected Programs	Number in Juvenile Corrections and/or Detention	Number in Adult Corrections Facilities	Number in Other Programs								
1. Academic [2.4.1.3.2.1.x.x.]												
While in the facility, the nu	umber of students	s who										
Earned high school course credits	[1.1.]	[1.2.]	[1.3.]	[1.4.]								
2. Were enrolled in a GED program	[2.1.]	[2.2.]	[2.3.]	[2.4.]								
While in the facility or with	nin 30 calendar da	ys after exit, the	number of studen	ts who								
3. Enrolled in their local district school	[3.1.]	[3.2.]	[3.3.]	[3.4.]								
4. Earned a GED	[4.1.]	[4.2.]	[4.3.]	[4.4.]								
5. Obtained high school diploma	[5.1.]	[5.2.]	[5.3.]	[5.4.]								
6. Were accepted into post-secondary education	[6.1.]	[6.2.]	[6.3.]	[6.4.]								
7. Enrolled in post- secondary education	[7.1.]	[7.2.]	[7.3.]	[7.4.]								
2. Vocational [2.4.1.3.2.2.x.x.]												
While in the facility, the nu	umber of students	s who										
1. Enrolled in elective job training courses/programs	[1.1.]	[1.2.]	[1.3.]	[1.4.]								
While in the facility or with	nin 30 calendar da	ays after exit, the	number of studen	ts who								
2. Enrolled in external job training education	[2.1.]	[2.2.]	[2.3.]	[2.4.]								
3. Obtained employment	[3.1.]	[3.2.]	[3.3.]	[3.4.]								

## 2.4.1.6. Academic Performance in Reading and Math

Report the number of <u>long-term</u> Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 students in neglected programs, juvenile corrections and/or detention, adult corrections, or other programs who participated in pre- and post-testing in reading and math. <u>Long-term</u> refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2005 to June 30, 2006.

Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2005 may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year. Throughout the tables, report numbers for juvenile detention and correctional facilities <u>together</u> in a single column. If no data are available for the requested information, enter "99999."

The reported numbers should represent unduplicated counts of students; report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Report the data by the following facility or program type: students in neglected programs (N), students in juvenile corrections and/or detention (JD/JC), students in adult corrections (AC), and students in other programs (O).

For row 1, enter the number of long-term students who were in placement during the reporting year.

For row 2, enter the number of long-term students who tested below grade level in reading or math (respectively) when they entered the facility or program.

For row 3, enter the number of long-term students reported in item 1 who have complete data available for both the pre and the post test exams.

For rows 4-8, indicate the number of students reported in item 3 who showed either negative change, no change, up to ½ grade level change, up to one grade level change, or more than one grade level change on the pre-post test exam. Students should be reported in only one of these five change categories. The sum of rows 4-8 should be equal to the number provided in row 3.

Performance Data	Number of Long-Term Students											
(Based on most recent	Re	ading Po	erforma	nce	M	ath Per	forman	ce				
pre/post-test data)						JD/J						
[2.4.1.6.x.x.x.]	N	JD/JC	AC	0	N	С	AC	0				
1. # students who were in												
placement from July 1,	[1.1.1.]	[1.1.2.]	[1.1.3.]	[1.1.4.]	[2.1.1.]	[2.1.2.]	[2.1.3.]	[2.1.4.]				
2005 to June 30, 2006												
2. # students from row 1 who												
tested below grade level	[1.2.1.]	[1.2.2.]	[1.2.3.]	[1.2.4.]	[2.2.1.]	[2.2.2.]	[2.2.3.]	[2.2.4.]				
upon entry.												
3. # students from row 1 who												
took both the pre- and post-	[1.3.1.]	[1.3.2.]	[1.3.3.]	[1.3.4.]	[2.3.1.]	[2.3.2.]	[2.3.3.]	[2.3.4.]				
test exams												
4. # students from row 3 who												
showed negative grade	[1.4.1.]	[1.4.2.]	[1.4.3.]	[1.4.4.]	[2.4.1.]	[2.4.2.]	[2.4.3.]	[2.4.4.]				
level change from the pre-												
to post-test exams												
5. # students from row 3 who												
showed no change in grade	[1.5.1.]	[1.5.2.]	[1.5.3.]	[1.5.4.]	[2.5.1.]	[2.5.2.]	[2.5.3.]	[2.5.4.]				
level from the pre- to post-												
test exams												
6. # students from row 3 who					ro o 4 1	10.001	10.001	10.0.4.1				
showed improvement of up	[1.6.1.]	[1.6.2.]	[1.6.3.]	[1.6.4.]	[2.6.1.]	[2.6.2.]	[2.6.3.]	[2.6.4.]				
to 1/2 grade level from the												
pre- to post-test exams												
7. # students from row 3 who	[1.7.1.]	[1.7.2.]	[4 7 0 ]	[4 7 4 ]	[0.74]	[0.7.0.]	[0.7.0.]	[0.7.4.]				
showed improvement of up	[1.7.1.]	[1.7.2.]	[1.7.3.]	[1.7.4.]	[2.7.1.]	[2.7.2.]	[2.7.3.]	[2.7.4.]				
to one full grade level from												
the pre- to post-test exams												
8. # students from row 3 who	[4 0 4 1	[4 9 2 ]	[4 0 2 ]	[1.8.4.]	[2 0 4 1	[2 9 2 ]	[2 0 2 ]	[2 0 4 1				
showed improvement of	[1.8.1.]	[1.8.2.]	[1.8.3.]	[1.0.4.]	[2.8.1.]	[2.8.2.]	[2.8.3.]	[2.8.4.]				
more than one full grade												
level from the pre- to post-												
test exams		]										

#### 2.4.2 GENERAL DATA REPORTING FORM - SUBPART 2

The tables in this section contain annual performance report requirements for the Title I, Part D, Subpart 2, N or D Education Program for school year 2005–2006, defined as July 1, 2005 through June 30, 2006.

## **General Instructions for Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 Tables:**

Specific instructions are provided before each table.

For items that request information on the **number of facilities/programs**, report **only** on facilities or programs that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 funding during the reporting year.

For items that request information on the **number of students**, report **only** on atrisk, neglected or delinquent students who received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 services during the reporting year.

## **Program Definitions:** (New Definitions)

**Neglected Programs (N):** An institution for neglected children and youth is a public or private residential facility, other than a foster home, that is operated primarily for the care of children who have been committed to the institution or voluntarily placed under applicable State law due to abandonment, neglect, or death of their parents or guardians.

**NOTE:** Do <u>not</u> include programs funded solely through Title I, Part A in these tables.

**Juvenile Detention Facilities (JD):** Detention facilities are shorter-term institutions that provide care to children who require secure custody pending court adjudication, court disposition, or execution of a court order, or care to children after commitment.

**Juvenile Corrections (JC):** An institution for delinquent children and youth is a public or private residential facility other than a foster home that is operated for the care of children and youth who have been adjudicated delinquent or in need of supervision.

**NOTE:** States should include any programs serving adjudicated youth (including non-secure facilities and group homes) in the JC category.

At-Risk Programs (AR) or Other (O): Programs operated (through LEAs) that target students who are at risk of academic failure, have a drug or alcohol problem, are pregnant or parenting, have been in contact with the juvenile justice system in the past, are at least 1 year behind the expected age/grade level, have limited English proficiency, are gang members, have dropped out of school in the past, or have a high absenteeism rate at school. Other is any other program, not

defined above, which receives Title I, Part D funds and serves <u>non-adjudicated</u> children and youth.

## 2.4.2.1. Local Education Agency Title I, Part D, Facilities and Students

Instructions: Local Education Agency Title I, Part D, Facilities And Students Include the aggregate number of facilities/programs and/or students for all State Agencies that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 funds. If no data are available for the requested information, enter "99999."

In the first column, report the <u>number of facilities/programs</u> that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 funding. Indicate the total number of facilities/programs by type, including neglected programs, juvenile detention facilities, juvenile correction facilities, and at-risk or other programs.

In the second column, enter the yearly <u>average length of stay (in days)</u> for students in each type of facility/program. The average should be weighted by number of students and should include the number of days, per visit each student was enrolled during the reporting year, regardless of entry or exit date. Multiple visits for students who entered more than once during the reporting year can be included.

In the third column, indicate the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students who were admitted to each type of facility/program. An unduplicated count is one that counts students only once, even if they were admitted to a facility or program multiple times within the reporting year.

Throughout the table, count facilities based on how the facility/program was classified to ED for funding purposes. Indicate the number of multipurpose facilities in row 5.

**Note:** The unduplicated number of students reported in the far right column in this table should match the figures reported in the "All Students" row in Table 2.4.2.2.

[2.4.2.1.x.x.]  Facility/Program type	Number of facilities/ programs	Average length of stay (days)	Unduplicated Number of Neglected or Delinquent Students
1. Neglected Programs	[2.1.]	[2.3.]	[2.4.]
2. Juvenile Detention	[4.1.]	[4.3.]	[4.4.]
3. Juvenile Corrections	[5.1.]	[5.3.]	[5.4.]
4. At-risk Programs or Other	[1.1.]	[1.3.]	[1.4.]

4. At-risk Programs of Other	[1.1.]	[1.3.]	[1.4.]
5. Number of facilities that ser	ved more than one p	urpose:	
[6.1.]			

## 2.4.2.2 STUDENT DEMOGRAPHICS

## **Instructions: Student Demographics**

Report demographic data on students who were served under Title I, Part D, Subpart 2. Report the number of students by program type and by race/ethnicity, gender, and age. This should be an <u>unduplicated</u> count of students. If no data are available for the requested information, enter "99999."

**NOTE:** The unduplicated number of students on the "All Students" row in this table should match the figures reported in the far right column in Table 2.4.2.1. unduplicated count = all students row = race total = gender total = age total.

	Number in neglected programs	Number in juvenile detention	Number in juvenile correction	Number in at risk or other programs
All Students [2.4.2.2.1.x.x.]	[1.2.]	[1.3.]	[1.4.]	[1.5.]
RACE/ETHNICITY [2.4.2.2.2.x.x.]				
American Indian or Alaskan	[1.2.]	[1.3.]	[1.4.]	[1.5.]
Native				
Asian or Pacific Islander	[2.2.]	[2.3.]	[2.4.]	[2.5.]
Black, non-Hispanic	[3.2.]	[3.3.]	[3.4.]	[3.5.]
Hispanic	[4.2.]	[4.3.]	[4.4.]	[4.5.]
White, non-Hispanic	[5.2.]	[5.3.]	[5.4.]	[5.5.]
Gender [2.4.2.2.3.x.x.]				
Male	[1.2.]	[1.3.]	[1.4.]	[1.5.]
Female	[2.2.]	[2.3.]	[2.4.]	[2.5.]
<b>Age</b> [2.4.2.2.4.x.x.]				
5-10 years old	[1.2.]	[1.3.]	[1.4.]	[1.5.]
11-15 years old	[2.2.]	[2.3.]	[2.4.]	[2.5.]
16-18 years old	[3.2.]	[3.3.]	[3.4.]	[3.5.]
19-21 years old	[4.2.]	[4.3.]	[4.4.]	[4.5.]

#### Instructions: Academic/Vocational Outcomes

Indicate the number of facilities or programs with specific academic offerings, and the numbers of students who attained specific academic or vocational outcomes. The reported numbers should represent <u>unduplicated</u> counts of students; report only information on a student's most recent enrollment (e.g. do not double-count a student who earned credits on two separate enrollments). However, students may be counted in more than one outcome category within the same enrollment period (e.g., returned to school and earned high school credits). Throughout the table, report numbers for juvenile detention and correctional facilities together in a single column. At-risk /other program columns are provided for States for reporting outcome data, as available. If no data are available for the requested information, enter "99999."

For Section 1, items 1-3, report on the number of programs (not students) that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 funds and awarded at least one high school course credit, one high school diploma, and/or one GED within the reporting year. Report the numbers by program type (e.g., Neglected, Juvenile Corrections and/or Detention, or At-Risk/Other). These numbers should not exceed those reported earlier in the facility counts.

For Section 2.1, items 1 and 2, enter the number of students who attained the following academic outcomes during their time in the facility/program: earned high school course credits and/or were enrolled in a GED program. Report the numbers by program type (e.g., Neglected, Juvenile Corrections and/or Detention, or At-Risk/Other).

For Section 2.1, items 3-7, enter the number of students who attained the following academic outcomes while in a facility/program OR within 30 days after exit: enrolled in a district school, earned a GED, obtained a high school diploma, were accepted into postsecondary education, and/or enrolled in post-secondary education. Report the numbers by program type (e.g., Neglected, Juvenile Corrections and/or Detention, or At-Risk/Other).

For Section 2.2, item 1, enter the number of students who attained the following vocational outcome during their time in a facility/program: enrolled in elective job training courses. Report the numbers by program type (e.g., Neglected, Juvenile Corrections and/or Detention, or At-Risk/Other).

For Section 2.2, items 2 and 3, enter the number of students who attained the following vocational outcomes while in a facility/program OR within 30 days after exit: enrolled in external job training education, and/or obtained employment. Report the numbers by program type (e.g., Neglected, Juvenile Corrections and/or Detention, or At-Risk/Other).

## 2.4.2.3 Academic/Vocational Outcomes

	Number of Facilities/Programs			
1. Facility Academic		Number of Juvenile		
Offerings [2.4.2.3.1.x.x.]		Corrections		
	Number of Neglected	and/or Detention	Number of At Risk	
	Programs	Facilities	or Other Programs	
1. Awarded high school course credit(s)	[1.1]	[1.2.]	[1.3.]	
2. Awarded high school	[2.1.]	[2.2.]	[2.3.]	
diploma(s)				
3. Awarded GED(s)	[3.1.]	[3.2.]	[3.3.]	
2. Academic &		Number of Students		
Vocational Outcomes		Number in		
[2.4.2.3.2.x.x.x.]	Number in	Juvenile Corrections	Number in At Risk	
	Neglected Programs	and/or Detention	or Other Programs	
1. Academic				
While in the facility, the nu	umber of students who			
1. Earned high school	[1.1.1.]	[1.1.2.]	[1.1.3.]	
course credits				
2. Were enrolled in a GED	[1.2.1.]	[1.2.2.]	[1.2.3.]	
program				
While in the facility or with			udents who	
3. Enrolled in their local	[1.3.1.]	[1.3.2.]	[1.3.3.]	
district school				
4. Earned a GED	[1.4.1.]	[1.4.2.]	[1.4.3.]	
5. Obtained high school	[1.5.1.]	[1.5.2.]	[1.5.3.]	
diploma				
6. Were accepted into	[1.6.1.]	[1.6.2.]	[1.6.3.]	
post-secondary education				
7. Enrolled in post-	[1.7.1.]	[1.7.2.]	[1.7.3.]	
secondary education				
2. Vocational				
While in the facility, the nu	umber of students who			
1. Enrolled in elective job	[2.1.1.]	[2.1.2.]	[2.1.3.]	
training courses/programs				
While in the facility or with			udents who	
2. Enrolled in external job	[2.2.1.]	[2.2.2.]	[2.2.3.]	
training education				
3. Obtained employment	[2.3.1.]	[2.3.2.]	[2.3.3.]	

## 2.4.2.6. Academic Performance in Reading and Math

#### Instructions:

Report the number of <u>long-term</u> Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 students in neglected programs, juvenile corrections and/or detention, adult corrections, or other programs who participated in pre- and post-testing in reading and math. <u>Long-term</u> refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2005 to June 30, 2006.

Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2005 may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year. Throughout the tables, report numbers for juvenile detention and correctional facilities together in a single column. At-risk /other program columns are provided for States for reporting performance data, as available. If no data are available for the requested information, enter "99999."

The reported numbers should represent unduplicated counts of students; report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Report the data by the following facility or program type: students in neglected programs (N), students in juvenile corrections and/or detention (JD/JC), students in at-risk or other programs (AR/O).

For row 1, enter the number of long-term students who were in placement during the reporting year.

For row 2, enter the number of long-term students who tested below grade level in reading or math (respectively) when they entered the facility or program.

For row 3, enter the number of long-term students reported in item 1 who have complete data available for both the pre and the post test exams.

For rows 4-8, indicate the number of students reported in item 3 who showed either negative change, no change, up to ½ grade level change, up to one grade level change, or more than one grade level change on the pre-post test exam. Students should be reported in only one of these five change categories. The sum of rows 4-8 should be equal to the number provided in row 3.

	Number of Long-Term Students					
Performance Data	Read	Reading Performance		Math Performance		ance
(Based on most recent						
pre/post-test data)	N	JD/JC	AR/O	N	JD/JC	AR/O
1. # students who were in						
placement from July 1,	[1.1.1.]	[1.1.2.]	[1.1.3.]	[2.1.1.]	[2.1.2.]	[2.1.3.]
2005 to June 30, 2006						
2. # students from row 1 who						
tested below grade level	[1.2.1.]	[1.2.2.]	[1.2.3.]	[2.2.1.]	[2.2.2.]	[2.2.3.]
upon entry.						
3. # students from row 1 who						
took both the pre- and post-	[1.3.1.]	[1.3.2.]	[1.3.3.]	[2.3.1.]	[2.3.2.]	[2.3.3.]
test exams						
4. # students from row 3 who						
showed negative grade	[1.4.1.]	[1.4.2.]	[1.4.3.]	[2.4.1.]	[2.4.2.]	[2.4.3.]
level change from the pre-						
to post-test exams						
5. # students from row 3 who						
showed no change in grade	[1.5.1.]	[1.5.2.]	[1.5.3.]	[2.5.1.]	[2.5.2.]	[2.5.3.]
level from the pre- to post-						
test exams						
6. # students from row 3 who						
showed improvement of up	[1.6.1.]	[1.6.2.]	[1.6.3.]	[2.6.1.]	[2.6.2.]	[2.6.3.]
to 1/2 grade level from the						
pre- to post-test exams						
7. # students from row 3 who	[4 7 4 ]	14 7 0 1	14 7 0 1	[0.7.4.]	10.7.0.1	10.7.0.1
showed improvement of up	[1.7.1.]	[1.7.2.]	[1.7.3.]	[2.7.1.]	[2.7.2.]	[2.7.3.]
to one full grade level from						
the pre- to post-test exams						
8. # students from row 3 who	[4 0 4 ]	[4 0 0 ]	[4 0 2 ]	[0.04]	[0 0 0 1	[0.0.0.1
showed improvement of	[1.8.1.]	[1.8.2.]	[1.8.3.]	[2.8.1.]	[2.8.2.]	[2.8.3.]
more than one full grade						
level from the pre- to post-						
test exams						

## 2.5 COMPREHENSIVE SCHOOL REFORM (TITLE I, PART F)

have o	Please provide the percentage of Comprehensive School Reform (CSR) schools that or have had a CSR grant and made AYP in reading/language arts based on data from the 2006 school year [2.5.1.]
	Please provide the percentage of CSR schools that have or have had a CSR grant and AYP in mathematics based on data from the 2005-2006 school year[2.5.2.]
	How many schools in the State have or have been awarded a CSR grant since 1998?[2.5.3.]

## 2.6 ENHANCING EDUCATION THROUGH TECHNOLOGY (TITLE II, PART D)

Performance data for this program will be available from other sources, including State Educational Technology indicators in EDEN.

## 2.7 SAFE AND DRUG-FREE SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITIES ACT (TITLE IV, PART A)

### 2.7.1 Performance Measures

<u>Instructions:</u> In the following chart, please identify:

- Each of your State indicators as submitted in the June 2002 Consolidated State Application;
- The instrument or data source used to measure the indicator;
- The frequency with which the data are collected (annually, semi-annually, biennially) and year of the most recent collection;
- The baseline data and year the baseline was established; and
- Targets for the years in which your State has established targets.

## 2.7.1 Performance Measures [2.7.1.x.x.x.]

Indicator	Instrument/ Data Source	Frequency of collection	Targets	Actual Performance
[1.1.]	[1.2.]	Frequency:	2003-2004_[1.4.1.]	2003-2004_[1.5.1.]
			2004-2005_[1.4.2.]	2004-2005_[1.5.2.]
		[1.3.1.]	2005-2006_[1.4.3.]	
			2006-2007_[1.4.4.]	Baseline:_[1.5.3.]
		Year of most recent	2007-2008_[1.4.5.]	Year established:
		collection:		[1.5.4.]
		[1.3.2.]		
		Frequency:	2003-2004	2003-2004
			2004-2005	2004-2005
			2005-2006	
		Year of most recent	2006-2007	Baseline:
		collection:	2007-2008	Year established:
		Frequency:	2003-2004	2003-2004
			2004-2005	2004-2005
			2005-2006	
		Year of most recent	2006-2007	Baseline:
		collection:	2007-2008	Year established:
		Frequency:	2003-2004	2003-2004
			2004-2005	2004-2005
			2005-2006	
		Year of most recent	2006-2007	Baseline:
		collection:	2007-2008	Year established:

Indicator	Instrument/ Data Source	Frequency of collection	Targets	Actual Performance
		Frequency:	2003-2004	2003-2004
			2004-2005	2004-2005
			2005-2006	
		Year of most recent	2006-2007	Baseline:
		collection:	2007-2008	Year established:
		Frequency:	2003-2004	2003-2004
			2004-2005	2004-2005
			2005-2006	
		Year of most recent	2006-2007	Baseline:
		collection:	2007-2008	Year established:
		Frequency:	2003-2004	2003-2004
			2004-2005	2004-2005
			2005-2006	
		Year of most recent	2006-2007	Baseline:
		collection:	2007-2008	Year established:
		Frequency:	2003-2004	2003-2004
			2004-2005	2004-2005
			2005-2006	
		Year of most recent	2006-2007	Baseline:
		collection:	2007-2008	Year established:
		Frequency:	2003-2004	2003-2004
			2004-2005	2004-2005
			2005-2006	
		Year of most recent	2006-2007	Baseline:
		collection:	2007-2008	Year established:

## 2.7.2 Suspension and Expulsion Data

<u>Instructions:</u> In the following charts, indicate the number of out-of-school suspensions and expulsions for elementary, middle, and high school students for each of the underlined incidents.

Please also provide the State's definition of an elementary, middle, and high school, as well as the State's definition of each of the incidents underlined below.

(If your State does not collect data in the same format as requested by this form, the State may provide data from a similar question, provided the State includes a footnote explaining the differences between the data requested and the data the State is able to supply.) [2.7.2.1.x.]

School Type	State Definition
Elementary School	[1.1.]
Middle School	[2.1.]
High School	[3.1.]

## 2.7.2.2 The number of out-of-school suspensions and expulsions for physical fighting.

State definition of physical fighting: [2.7.2.2.1.]

SUSPENSIONS [2.7.2.2.2.x.x.]	Number for 2005-2006 school year	Number of LEAs reporting
Elementary	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
Middle	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
High School	[3.1.]	[3.2.]

EXPULSIONS [2.7.2.2.3.x.x.]	Number for 2005-2006 school year	Number of LEAs reporting
Elementary	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
Middle	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
High School	[3.1.]	[3.2.]

## 2.7.2.3 The number of out-of-school suspensions and expulsions for weapons possession

State definition of <u>weapons</u>: [2.7.2.3.1.]

SUSPENSIONS [2.7.2.3.2.x.x.]	Number for 2005-2006 school year	Number of LEAs reporting
Elementary	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
Middle	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
High School	[3.1.]	[3.2.]

EXPULSIONS [2.7.2.3.3.x.x.]	Number for 2005-2006 school year	Number of LEAs reporting
Elementary	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
Middle	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
High School	[3.1.]	[3.2.]

## 2.7.2.4 The number of alcohol-related out-of-school suspensions and expulsions.

State definition of <u>alcohol-related</u>: [2.7.2.4.1.]

SUSPENSIONS [2.7.2.4. 2.x.x.]	Number for 2005-2006 school year	Number of LEAs reporting
Elementary	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
Middle	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
High School	[3.1.]	[3.2.]

EXPULSIONS [2.7.2.4.3.x.x.]	Number for 2005-2006 school year	Number of LEAs reporting
Elementary	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
Middle	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
High School	[3.1.]	[3.2.]

## 2.7.2.5 The number of illicit drug-related out-of-school suspensions and expulsions.

State definition of <u>illicit-drug related</u>: \_\_\_\_\_\_[2.7.2.5.1.]

<b>SUSPENSIONS</b> [2.7.2.5. 2.x.x.]	Number for 2005-2006 school year	Number of LEAs reporting
Elementary	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
Middle	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
High School	[3.1.]	[3.2.]

EXPULSIONS [2.7.2.5.3.x.x.]	Number for 2005-2006 school year	Number of LEAs reporting
Elementary	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
Middle	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
High School	[3.1.]	[3.2.]

### 2.7.3 Parent Involvement

<u>Instructions:</u> Section 4116 of ESEA requires that each State provide information pertaining to the State's efforts to inform parents of and include parents in drug and violence prevention efforts. Please describe your State's efforts to include parents in these activities.

## 2.8 INNOVATIVE PROGRAMS(TITLE V, PART A)

### 2.8 INNOVATIVE PROGRAMS (TITLE V, PART A)

All information should be for the 2005-06 school year.

2.8.8 Section 5122 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA), as amended, requires States to provide an annual statewide summary of how Title V, Part A funds are contributing to improving student academic performance and the quality of education for students. The statute further requires that those summaries be based on evaluations provided to the State by local educational agencies (LEAs) receiving program funds.

<u>Please attach your statewide summary.</u> You can upload file by entering the file name and location in the box below or use the browse button to search for the file as you would when attaching a file to an e-mail. The maximum file size for this upload is 4 meg.

- 2.8.9 Indicate the NUMBER of LEAs that completed Title V, Part A needs assessments that the State determined to be credible. \_\_\_\_\_ [2.8.9.]

The **4 strategic priorities** are: (1) support student achievement, enhance reading and math, (2) improve the quality of teachers, (3) ensure that schools are safe and drug free, (4) promote access for all students to a quality education.

Activities authorized under Section 5131 of the ESEA that are included in the four strategic priorities are 1-5, 7-9, 12, 14-17, 19-20, 22, and 25-27. Authorized activities that are not included in the four strategic priorities are 6, 10-11, 13, 18, 21, and 23-24.

**2.8.11** In the table below, please provide the following information for LEAs receiving Title V, Part A funds.

### First row:

- The number of LEAs that <u>used</u> at least 85 percent of their Title V, Part A funds (including funds transferred into their Title V allocations from other programs) for the four strategic priorities above, and
- The number of these LEAs that met their State's definition of adequate yearly progress (AYP)

## Second row:

- The number of LEAs that <u>did not use</u> at least 85 percent of their Title V,
   Part A funds (including funds transferred into their Title V allocations from other programs for the four strategic priorities), and
- The number of these LEAs that met their State's definition of AYP

LEAs receiving Title V, Part A funds [2.8.11.x.x.]	NUMBER of these LEAs	NUMBER of these LEAs that met AYP
Number of LEAs that <u>used</u> at least 85% of Title V, Part A		
funds (including funds transferred into Title V, Part A) for the 4 priorities listed above	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
Number of LEAs that did not use at least 85% of Title V,		1
Part A funds (including funds transferred into Title V, Part A) for the 4 priorities listed above	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
ry for the 1 phonties listed above	[2.1.]	[2-2-]
TOTAL	[3.1.]	[3.2.]
	(total = all LEAS receiving Title V, Part A funds)	Title V, Part A funds that
	[4.1]	met AYP) [4.2.]
Note: Allocations should include any funds transferred into Titl section 6132(b).	e V, Part A under the trans	ferability option under

## 2.9 RURAL EDUCATION ACHIEVEMENT PROGRAM (REAP) (TITLE VI, PART B)

## 2.9.1 Small Rural School Achievement Program (Title VI, Part B, Subpart 1)

Please indicate the number of elig	gible LEAs that notified the State of the LEA's
intention to use the Alternative Us	ses of Funding authority under section 6211 during
the 2005-2006 school year	[2.9.1.]

## 2.9.2 Rural and Low-Income School Program (Title VI, Part B, Subpart 2)

2.9.2.1 LEAs that receive Rural and Low-Income School (RLIS) Program grants may use these funds for any of the purposes listed in the following table. Please indicate in the table the total number of eligible LEAs that used funds for each of the listed purposes during the 2005-2006 school year.

Purpose [2.9.2.1.x.]	Number of LEAs
Teacher recruitment and retention, including the use of signing bonuses and other financial incentives	[1.]
Teacher professional development, including programs that train teachers to utilize technology to improve teaching and to train special needs teachers	[2.]
Educational technology, including software and hardware as described in Title II, Part D	[3.]
Parental involvement activities	[4.]
Activities authorized under the Safe and Drug-Free Schools Program (Title IV, Part A)	[5.]
Activities authorized under Title I, Part A	[6.]
Activities authorized under Title III (Language instruction for LEP and immigrant students)	[7.]

2.9.2.2

	available.
[2.9.2.2.]	

Describe the progress the State has made in meeting the goals and objectives for the Rural Low-Income Schools Program as described in its June 2002 Consolidated State application. Provide quantitative data where

## 2.10 FUNDING TRANSFERABILITY FOR STATE AND LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES (TITLE VI, PART A, SUBPART 2)

## 2.10.1 State Transferability of Funds

Did the State transfer funds under th	e State Transferability	authority of section 6123(a)
during the 2005-2006 school year? _	[2.10.1.]	

## 2.10.2 Local Educational Agency Transferability of Funds

- 2.10.2.2 In the charts below, please indicate below the total number of LEAs that transferred funds TO and FROM each eligible program and the total amount of funds transferred TO and FROM each eligible program.

Program [2.10.2.2.1.x.x.]	Total Number of LEAs transferring funds <u>TO</u> eligible program	Total amount of funds transferred <u>TO</u> eligible program
Improving Teacher Quality State	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
Grants (section 2121)		
Educational Technology State Grants	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
(section 2412(a)(2)(A))		
Safe and Drug-Free Schools and	[3.1.]	[3.2.]
Communities (section 4112(b)(1))		
State Grants for Innovative Programs	[4.1.]	[4.2.]
(section 5112(a))		
Title I, Part A, Improving Basic	[5.1.]	[5.2.]
Programs Operated by LEAs		

Program [2.10.2.2.2.x.x.]	Total Number of LEAs transferring funds <u>FROM</u> eligible program	Total amount of funds transferred <u>FROM</u> eligible program
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants (section 2121)	[1.1.]	[1.2.]
Educational Technology State Grants (section 2412(a)(2)(A))	[2.1.]	[2.2.]
Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities (section 4112(b)(1))	[3.1.]	[3.2.]
State Grants for Innovative Programs (section 5112(a))	[4.1.]	[4.2.]

The Department plans to obtain information on the use of funds under both the State and LEA Transferability Authority through evaluation studies.

## 2.11 21ST CENTURY COMMUNITY LEARNING CENTERS(TITLE IV, PART B)

Performance data needed for this program will be available from another source. The Department will implement a national evaluation and data reporting system to provide essential data needed to measure program performance. States will be notified and are requested to participate in these activities once they are implemented.