


# Arizona: 2000

## *Summary Population and Housing Characteristics*

Issued September 2002

PHC-1-4

### 2000 Census of Population and Housing



U S C E N S U S B U R E A U

*Helping You Make Informed Decisions • 1902-2002*

U.S. Department of Commerce  
Economics and Statistics Administration  
U.S. CENSUS BUREAU

United States  
**Census**  
**2000**


# Arizona: 2000

Issued September 2002

## *Summary Population and Housing Characteristics*

PHC-1-4

### **2000 Census of Population and Housing**



#### **U.S. Department of Commerce**

**Donald L. Evans,**

Secretary

**Samuel W. Bodman,**

Deputy Secretary

#### **Economics and Statistics Administration**

**Kathleen B. Cooper,**

Under Secretary for

Economic Affairs

#### **U.S. CENSUS BUREAU**

**Charles Louis Kincannon,**

Director

SUGGESTED CITATION

U.S. Census Bureau,  
2000 Census of  
Population and Housing,  
*Summary Population and  
Housing Characteristics*,  
PHC-1-4, Arizona  
Washington, DC, 2002



**Economics  
and Statistics  
Administration**

**Kathleen B. Cooper,**  
Under Secretary  
for Economic Affairs



**U.S. CENSUS BUREAU**  
**Charles Louis Kincannon,**  
Director

**William G. Barron, Jr.,**  
Deputy Director

**Nancy A. Potok,**  
Principal Associate Director  
and Chief Financial Officer

**Vacant,**  
Principal Associate  
Director for Programs

**Preston Jay Waite,**  
Associate Director  
for Decennial Census

**Nancy M. Gordon,**  
Associate Director  
for Demographic Programs

**Cynthia Z.F. Clark,**  
Associate Director  
for Methodology and  
Standards

**Marvin D. Raines,**  
Associate Director  
for Field Operations

**Carol M. Van Horn,**  
Assistant Director  
for Decennial Census

CONTENTS

List of Statistical Tables . . . . .	v
How to Use This Report . . . . .	I-1
Table Finding Guide . . . . .	II-1
User Notes . . . . .	III-1
Statistical Tables (For a detailed list of statistical tables, see page v) . . . . .	I
Appendixes	
A Geographic Terms and Concepts . . . . .	A-1
B Definitions of Subject Characteristics . . . . .	B-1
C Data Collection and Processing Procedures . . . . .	C-1
D Questionnaire . . . . .	D-1
E Data Products and User Assistance . . . . .	E-1
F Maps . . . . .	F-1
G Accuracy of the Data . . . . .	G-1
H Acknowledgments . . . . .	H-1



LIST OF  
STATISTICAL  
TABLES

Table no.	Title	Page
1.	<b>Age and Sex: 2000</b> . . . . . State, County, County Subdivision, Place	2
2.	<b>Age and Sex: 2000</b> . . . . . State, County, Place	12
3.	<b>Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000.</b> . . . . . State, County, County Subdivision, Place	20
4.	<b>Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000.</b> . . . . . State, County, Place	30
5.	<b>Population for Selected Categories of Race: 2000.</b> . . . . . State, County, County Subdivision, Place	38
6.	<b>Population for Selected Categories of Race: 2000.</b> . . . . . State, County, Place	43
7.	<b>Households and Families: 2000</b> . . . . . State, County, County Subdivision, Place	47
8.	<b>Households and Families: 2000</b> . . . . . State, County, Place	52
9.	<b>Household Relationship and Group Quarters Population: 2000</b> . . . . . State, County, County Subdivision, Place	56
10.	<b>Household Relationship and Group Quarters Population: 2000</b> . . . . . State, County, Place	61
11.	<b>Housing Occupancy and Tenure: 2000</b> . . . . . State, County, County Subdivision, Place	65
12.	<b>Housing Occupancy and Tenure: 2000</b> . . . . . State, County, Place	70
13.	<b>Occupied Housing Units (Households) by Race and Hispanic or Latino Origin of Householder: 2000</b> . . . . . State, County, County Subdivision, Place	74
14.	<b>Occupied Housing Units (Households) by Race and Hispanic or Latino Origin of Householder: 2000</b> . . . . . State, County, Place	79
15.	<b>Land Area and Population Density: 2000</b> . . . . . State, County, County Subdivision, Place	83
16.	<b>Land Area and Population Density: 2000</b> . . . . . State, County, Place	86
17.	<b>Age and Sex for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000.</b> . . . . . American Indian Area, County	88
18.	<b>Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000.</b> . . . . . American Indian Area, County	92
19.	<b>Population for Selected Categories of Race: 2000.</b> . . . . . American Indian Area, County	96

<b>20.</b>	<b>Households and Families With American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000</b> . . . . .	<b>98</b>
	American Indian Area, County	
<b>21.</b>	<b>Household Relationship and Group Quarters Population for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000</b> . . . . .	<b>100</b>
	American Indian Area, County	
<b>22.</b>	<b>Housing Occupancy and Tenure: 2000</b> . . . . .	<b>102</b>
	American Indian Area, County	
<b>23.</b>	<b>Occupied Housing Units (Households) by Race and Hispanic or Latino Origin of Householder: 2000</b> . . . . .	<b>104</b>
	American Indian Area, County	
<b>24.</b>	<b>Land Area and Population Density: 2000</b> . . . . .	<b>106</b>
	American Indian Area, County	

# How to Use This Census Report

---

## CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction .....	I-1
How to Find Geographic Areas and Subject Matter Data.....	I-2
How to Use the Statistical Tables .....	I-2
Graphics .....	I-4
User Notes .....	I-4
Appendixes .....	I-5

## INTRODUCTION

Data from Census 2000 are presented in three printed report series:

1. PHC-1, *Summary Population and Housing Characteristics*
2. PHC-2, *Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics*
3. PHC-3, *Population and Housing Unit Counts*

The data from Census 2000 were derived from a limited number of basic questions asked of the entire population and about every housing unit (referred to as the 100-percent questions, found on the “short form”), and from additional questions asked of a sample of the population and housing units (referred to as the sample questions, found on the “long form”). Appendix D presents facsimiles of the questionnaire pages used to collect the data included in this report.

The PHC-1, *Summary Population and Housing Characteristics*, report series provides data based on the 100-percent questions. The subjects are age, Hispanic or Latino origin, household relationship, race, sex, tenure (owner- or renter-occupied), and vacancy characteristics. Land area measurements and population density also are provided. This series is similar to the 1990 census CPH-1 series.

The PHC-2, *Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics*, report series provides sample data based on both the 100-percent and the sample questions. Sample subjects include place of birth; residence in 1995; language; educational attainment and school enrollment; veteran status; disability status; employment status; journey to work; work status, earnings, income, and poverty status in 1999; physical housing characteristics; units in structure; fuel and equipment characteristics; owner and renter household characteristics, such as year owner moved into unit; home value; contract and gross rent; and mortgage and rental cost characteristics. This series is similar to the 1990 census CPH-5 series.

The PHC-3, *Population and Housing Unit Counts*, report series provides Census 2000 and historical comparisons of the 100-percent population and housing unit counts. It provides land and water area measurements, and population density. The user notes section documents geographic changes over the past decade. This series is similar to the 1990 census CPH-2 series.

In each series, there is one report for each state, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico, plus a United States summary report. Many tables in the United States summary reports include data for Puerto Rico. See Appendix E for detailed information about additional Census 2000 data products and release media.

## HOW TO FIND GEOGRAPHIC AREAS AND SUBJECT MATTER DATA

This report includes a table finding guide to assist the user in locating those statistical tables that contain the desired data. The table finding guide lists alphabetically, by geographic area, the subjects shown in this report. To determine which tables in this report show data for a particular topic, find the subject in the lefthand column of the table finding guide and then look across the columns using the headings at the top for the desired type of geographic area. Figure I-1 is an example of a table finding guide.

The table finding guide does not include cross-classifications of subject-matter items. Additional information to locate data within specific reports is provided in the headnote at the top of the table finding guide and in the footnotes at the bottom of the guide.

## HOW TO USE THE STATISTICAL TABLES

### Parts of a Statistical Table

The census data included in printed reports are arranged in tables. Each table includes four major parts: (1) heading, (2) boxhead, (3) stub, and (4) data field. A typical census report table is illustrated in Figure I-2.

The heading consists of the table number, title, and headnote. The table number indicates the position of the table within the report, while the title is a brief statement indicating the subjects and time reference of the data presented in the table. The headnote is enclosed in brackets and is located under the title. It contains statements that qualify, explain, or provide information pertaining to the entire table.

The boxhead is under the heading. This portion of the table, which contains the individual column heads or captions, describes the data in each vertical column. In the boxhead of many tables, a spanner appears across and above two or more column heads or across two or more lower spanners. The purpose of a spanner is to classify or qualify items below it or separate the table into identifiable blocks in terms of major aspects of the data.

Figure I-1.

## Table Finding Guide

### SUBJECTS BY TYPE OF GEOGRAPHIC AREA AND TABLE NUMBER

Subjects covered in this report are shown on the left side, and types of geographic areas are shown at the top. Table numbers shown in bold indicate that either all or part of the table is presented for the American Indian and Alaska Native population in American Indian and Alaska Native areas, or for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander population in Hawaiian home lands. For a description of area classifications, see Appendix A. For definitions and explanations of subject characteristics, see Appendix B.

Subject	The state <sup>1</sup>	County <sup>2</sup>	Place		County subdivision		American Indian and Alaska Native Area <sup>4</sup>	Hawaiian home land <sup>5</sup>
			By county and county subdivision	Alphabetically for the state	By county	Alphabetically for the state <sup>3</sup>		
<b>POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS</b>								
Age .....	1, 2	1, 2	1	2	1	2	17	25
Average family size .....	7, 8	7, 8	7	8	7	8	20	28
Average household size .....	7, 8	7, 8	7	8	7	8	20	28
Families and family characteristics .....	7, 8	7, 8	7	8	7	8	20	28
Group quarters population .....	9, 10	9, 10	9	10	9	10	21	29
Hispanic or Latino .....	3, 4	3, 4	3	4	3	4	18	26
Households and household characteristics .....	7, 8, 9, 10	7, 8, 9, 10	7, 9	8, 10	7, 9	8, 10	20, 21	28, 29
Nonfamily households .....	7, 8	7, 8	7	8	7	8	20	28
Population density .....	15, 16	15, 16	15	16	15	16	24	32
Race .....	3, 4, 5, 6	3, 4, 5, 6	3, 5	4, 6	3, 5	4, 6	18, 19	26, 27
Relationship to householder .....	9, 10	9, 10	9	10	9	10	21	29
Sex .....	1, 2	1, 2	1	2	1	2	17	25
<b>HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS</b>								
Hispanic or Latino origin of householder .....	13, 14	13, 14	13	14	13	14	23	31
Average household size .....	11, 12	11, 12	11	12	11	12	22	30
Occupancy characteristics .....	11, 12	11, 12	11	12	11	12	22	30
Race of householder .....	13, 14	13, 14	13	14	13	14	23	31
Tenure .....	11, 12	11, 12	11	12	11	12	22	30
Vacancy characteristics .....	11, 12	11, 12	11	12	11	12	22	30
<b>GEOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS</b>								
Land area .....	15, 16	15, 16	15	16	15	16	24	32
Population density .....	15, 16	15, 16	15	16	15	16	24	32

<sup>1</sup>State, District of Columbia, or Puerto Rico.

<sup>2</sup>Parish in Louisiana; borough, census area, city and borough, and municipio in Alaska; and municipio in Puerto Rico; in Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia, one or more cities are independent of counties and are treated as statistical equivalents of counties; the entire District of Columbia, which has no counties, is treated as a county equivalent.

<sup>3</sup>County subdivisions within the state are shown alphabetically with places for the following 12 states: Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Wisconsin.

<sup>4</sup>American Indian and Alaska Native areas include state and federal American Indian reservations; Oklahoma tribal statistical areas (OTSAs); tribal designated statistical areas (TDSAs) (federal areas); state designated American Indian statistical areas (SDAISAs) (state areas); Alaska Native village statistical areas (ANVSAs) (Alaska); and Alaska Native Regional Corporations (ANRCs) (Alaska).

<sup>5</sup>Tables for these areas appear only in the report for Hawaii.

Figure I-2.

**PARTS OF A STATISTICAL TABLE**

Table number and title: Table 6. Population for Selected Categories of Race: 2000

Headnote: For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text.

Spanner: Selected combinations of two races

Column head: Race alone or in combination with one or more other races

Boxhead: State, County, Place and (if Selected) Census Tract

Sidehead: The State

Table structure: The table is a large grid with rows for counties and places, and columns for racial categories. The columns are: White, Black or African American, American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, and Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander. There are also columns for 'Some other race' and 'Total'. The rows are organized by county and then by place within each county.

Page number and state name: 16 Alabama

Report title: Summary Population and Housing Characteristics U.S. Census Bureau, Census 2000

The stub is located at the left edge of the table. It includes a listing of line or row captions or descriptions. At the top of the stub is the stubhead. The stubhead is considered to be an extension of the table title and usually shows generic geographic area designations and restrictions.

In the stub, several features are used to help the user better understand the contents of the table. Usually, a block of data lines is preceded by a sidehead, similar to a spanner, describes and classifies the stub entries following it. The use of indentation in a stub indicates the relationship of one data line to another. Indented data lines represent subcategories that, in most instances, sum to a total.

in tables, it is desirable to show one or more single-line subcategories that do not sum to the total.

The data field is that part of the statistical table that contains the data. It extends from the bottom of the boxhead to the bottom of the table and from the right of the stub to the right edge of the page.

Both geographic and subject-matter terms appear in tables. It is important to read the definitions of the terms used in the tables because census terms often are defined in special ways that reflect the manner in which the questions were asked and the data were tabulated. Definitions of geographic terms are provided in Appendix A. Census tables often include derived measures such as medians, means, percentages, and ratios. These and other subject-matter terms are defined in Appendix B.

**Symbols and Geographic Abbreviations**

The following symbols are used in the tables and explanations of subjects covered in Census 2000 reports:

- A dash “-” represents zero or a derived measure that rounds to less than 0.1.
- (X) means not applicable. In the 1990 and earlier decennial census reports, three dots “...” meant not applicable.
- (NA) means not available.

**How to Use This Census Report**

- 
- The superscript prefix “r” indicates that the count has been revised since the publication of the 1990 census reports, or that the area was erroneously omitted or not shown in the correct geographic relationship in the 1990 census reports. This symbol appears only in the Census 2000 PHC-3, *Population and Housing Unit Counts*, report series.
  - A dagger “†” next to the name of a geographic area indicates that there has been a geographic change (for example, an annexation or detachment, a new incorporation, or a name change) since the information was published for the 1990 census for that area. This symbol appears only in the Census 2000 PHC-3, *Population and Housing Unit Counts*, report series. The geographic change information for the entities in a state is shown in the “User Notes” section of the Census 2000 PHC-3 report for that state.
  - A plus sign “+” is appended to the lower bound of the highest interval when the median falls in the upper interval of an open-ended distribution. A minus sign “-” is appended to the upper bound of the lowest interval when the median falls in the lowest interval of an open-ended distribution. For more information on medians, see the section on “Derived Measures” in Appendix B.
  - A minus sign “-” preceding a figure denotes decrease. The minus sign appears only in the Census 2000 PHC-3, *Population and Housing Unit Counts*, report series.

The following geographic abbreviations and terms may be used in the tables in this report:

- A “(part)” next to the name of a geographic area in a hierarchical presentation indicates that the geographic entity is located only partially in the superior geographic entity. For example, a “(part)” next to a place name in a county subdivision-place hierarchy indicates that the place is located in more than one county subdivision. (Places also may be “split” by county, congressional district, urban/rural, metropolitan area, voting district, and other geographic boundaries, depending on the presentation.) Other geographic entities also can be “split” by a higher level entity. The exception is a tabulation block, which is unique within all geographic entities in census products.
- ANVSA is Alaska Native village statistical area.
- ANRC is Alaska Native Regional Corporation.
- CCD is census county division.
- CDP is census designated place.
- CMSA is consolidated metropolitan statistical area.
- MA is metropolitan area.
- MSA is metropolitan statistical area.
- OTSA is Oklahoma tribal statistical area.
- PMSA is primary metropolitan statistical area.
- SDAISA is state designated American Indian statistical area.
- TDSA is tribal designated statistical area.
- UT is unorganized territory.

## **GRAPHICS**

Charts, statistical maps, and other graphic summaries are included in some Census 2000 reports.

## **USER NOTES**

User notes include corrections, errata, and related explanatory information. This section appears directly before the statistical tables in census reports. It presents information about unique characteristics of the report and changes or corrections made too late to be reflected in the text or tables themselves. However, sometimes this information becomes available too late to be



---

reflected even in the user notes. Census 2000 user updates are available on the Census Bureau's Internet site at [www.census.gov/main/www/cen2000.html](http://www.census.gov/main/www/cen2000.html). To receive notification of user notes, subscribe to the Census Product Update (<http://www.census.gov/mp/www/cpu.html>), a biweekly e-mail newsletter available from the Customer Services Center of the Marketing Services Office at the U.S. Census Bureau, or contact the Customer Services Center directly on 301-763-INFO (4636) or at [webmaster@census.gov](mailto:webmaster@census.gov).

## APPENDIXES

**Appendix A, Geographic Terms and Concepts.** Provides definitions of the types of geographic areas and related information used in census products.

**Appendix B, Definitions of Subject Characteristics.** Contains definitions for the subject-matter terms used in census products, including explanations of derived measures, limitations of the data, and comparability with previous censuses. The subjects are listed alphabetically. Population characteristics are defined first, followed by the definitions of the housing subjects.

**Appendix C, Collection and Processing Procedures.** Explains the enumeration and residence rules used in counting the population and housing units in the United States and Puerto Rico. It also describes the major components of the operational plan for Census 2000, and includes a glossary of terms.

**Appendix D, Questionnaire Facsimile.** Presents a facsimile of the Census 2000 questionnaire used to collect the data in this report.

**Appendix E, Data Products and User Assistance.** Summarizes the Census 2000 data products by describing the information available in printed reports and through electronic media such as CD-ROM, DVD, and the Internet. It also describes Census 2000 maps and other geographic products, reference materials, and sources of assistance.

**Appendix F, Maps.** Contains maps depicting the geographic areas shown in this report.

**Appendix G, Accuracy of the Data.** Provides information on confidentiality of the data, imputation of housing unit status and population counts, sources of errors in the data, and editing of unacceptable data.

**Appendix H, Acknowledgments.** Lists many of the U.S. Census Bureau staff who participated in the various activities of Census 2000.

# Table Finding Guide

## SUBJECTS BY TYPE OF GEOGRAPHIC AREA AND TABLE NUMBER

Subjects covered in this guide are shown on the left side, and types of geographic areas are shown at the top. Table numbers shown in bold indicate that either all or part of the table is presented for the American Indian and Alaska Native population in American Indian and Alaska Native areas, or for the Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander population in Hawaiian home lands. For a description of area classifications, see Appendix A. For definitions and explanations of subject characteristics, see Appendix B.

Subject	The state <sup>1</sup>	County <sup>2</sup>	Place		County subdivision		American Indian and Alaska Native area <sup>4</sup>	Hawaiian home land <sup>5</sup>
			By county and county subdivision	Alphabetically for the state	By county	Alphabetically for the state <sup>3</sup>		
<b>POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS</b>								
Age .....	1, 2	1, 2	1	2	1	2	<b>17</b>	<b>25</b>
Average family size .....	7, 8	7, 8	7	8	7	8	<b>20</b>	<b>28</b>
Average household size .....	7, 8	7, 8	7	8	7	8	<b>20</b>	<b>28</b>
Families and family characteristics ...	7, 8	7, 8	7	8	7	8	<b>20</b>	<b>28</b>
Group quarters population .....	9, 10	9, 10	9	10	9	10	<b>21</b>	<b>29</b>
Hispanic or Latino .....	3, 4	3, 4	3	4	3	4	18	26
Households and household characteristics .....	7, 8, 9, 10	7, 8, 9, 10	7, 9	8, 10	7, 9	8, 10	<b>20, 21</b>	<b>28, 29</b>
Nonfamily households .....	7, 8	7, 8	7	8	7	8	<b>20</b>	<b>28</b>
Population density .....	15, 16	15, 16	15	16	15	16	24	32
Race .....	3, 4, 5, 6	3, 4, 5, 6	3, 5	4, 6	3, 5	4, 6	18, 19	26, 27
Relationship to householder .....	9, 10	9, 10	9	10	9	10	<b>21</b>	<b>29</b>
Sex .....	1, 2	1, 2	1	2	1	2	<b>17</b>	<b>25</b>
<b>HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS</b>								
Average household size by tenure ...	11, 12	11, 12	11	12	11	12	22	30
Hispanic or Latino origin of householder .....	13, 14	13, 14	13	14	13	14	<b>23</b>	<b>31</b>
Occupancy characteristics .....	11, 12	11, 12	11	12	11	12	22	30
Race of householder .....	13, 14	13, 14	13	14	13	14	<b>23</b>	<b>31</b>
Tenure .....	11, 12	11, 12	11	12	11	12	22	30
Vacancy characteristics .....	11, 12	11, 12	11	12	11	12	22	30
<b>GEOGRAPHIC CHARACTERISTICS</b>								
Land area .....	15, 16	15, 16	15	16	15	16	24	32
Population density .....	15, 16	15, 16	15	16	15	16	24	32

<sup>1</sup>State, District of Columbia, or Puerto Rico.

<sup>2</sup>Parish in Louisiana; city and borough, municipality, borough, or census area in Alaska; and municipio in Puerto Rico; in Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia, one or more cities are independent of counties and are treated as statistical equivalents of counties; the entire District of Columbia, which has no counties, is treated as a county equivalent.

<sup>3</sup>County subdivisions within the state are shown alphabetically with places for the following 12 states: Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Wisconsin.

<sup>4</sup>American Indian and Alaska Native areas include state and federal American Indian reservations; Oklahoma tribal statistical areas (OTSAs); tribal designated statistical areas (TDSAs) (federal areas); state designated American Indian statistical areas (SDAISAs) (state areas); Alaska Native village statistical areas (ANVSAs); and Alaska Native Regional Corporations (ANRCs).

<sup>5</sup>Tables for these areas appear only in the report for Hawaii.

# User Notes

---

Additional information concerning this Census 2000 product and its source file, Summary File 1, may become available after this report is published. This information, called Notes and Errata, is available in portable document format (PDF) on the U.S. Census Bureau's Internet site at <http://www.census.gov/main/www/cen2000.html>. To receive notification of user notes, subscribe to the Census Product Update (<http://www.census.gov/mp/www/cpu.html>), a biweekly e-mail newsletter available from the Customer Services Center of the Marketing Services Office at the U.S. Census Bureau, or contact the Customer Services Center directly on 301-763-INFO (4636) or at [webmaster@census.gov](mailto:webmaster@census.gov).

## **ADDITIONAL DEFINITIONS AND EXPLANATIONS OF THE DATA**

### **User Note 1**

The user should note that there are limitations to many of these data. Please refer to the text provided with this report for further explanations on the limitations of the data.

# STATISTICAL TABLES

Table 1. **Age and Sex: 2000**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total population	Median age	Age								
			Under 5 years	5 to 17 years	18 to 20 years	21 to 24 years	25 to 34 years	35 to 44 years	45 to 54 years	55 to 59 years	60 to 64 years
<b>The State</b>	<b>5 130 632</b>	<b>34.2</b>	<b>382 386</b>	<b>984 561</b>	<b>227 406</b>	<b>286 695</b>	<b>742 665</b>	<b>768 804</b>	<b>627 904</b>	<b>238 675</b>	<b>203 697</b>
Apache County	69 423	27.0	6 300	20 431	3 277	3 282	7 964	9 468	7 614	2 892	2 454
Chinle CCD	20 927	22.9	2 093	6 666	1 139	1 150	2 603	2 667	1 929	688	556
Chinle CDP	5 366	21.9	587	1 770	264	298	682	703	518	162	111
Lukachukai CDP	1 565	23.5	133	510	93	74	179	203	157	54	45
Many Farms CDP	1 548	23.2	150	506	77	71	211	203	165	71	45
Nazlini CDP	397	19.4	38	146	29	14	40	50	28	16	10
Rough Rock CDP	469	23.3	56	145	20	25	81	43	57	11	10
Round Rock CDP	601	21.1	58	208	34	39	74	64	55	13	14
Tsaile CDP	1 078	21.7	113	305	94	116	138	122	105	35	20
Dennehotso CCD	1 809	23.0	160	607	95	89	196	228	140	67	58
Dennehotso CDP	734	21.4	52	275	37	32	77	103	62	28	23
Eagar-Springerville CCD	7 117	37.5	504	1 740	247	231	611	1 046	1 067	431	391
Eagar town	4 033	33.1	317	1 144	152	153	332	614	585	199	164
Springerville town (part)	1 457	36.9	110	318	50	46	168	206	192	74	70
Fort Apache CCD	370	21.2	49	124	11	15	57	57	29	8	5
McNary CDP (part)	349	18.8	49	121	11	15	57	50	20	8	5
Fort Defiance CCD	24 634	26.1	2 261	7 404	1 213	1 153	2 915	3 456	2 694	966	795
Burnside CDP	632	21.4	77	206	29	34	90	84	54	21	11
Fort Defiance CDP	4 061	25.8	337	1 288	215	160	505	596	507	164	106
Ganado CDP	1 505	26.3	142	441	70	77	185	216	188	62	39
Houck CDP	1 087	25.5	102	357	40	39	124	147	120	54	34
St. Michaels CDP	1 295	24.2	122	406	64	75	141	182	133	47	41
Sawmill CDP	612	25.0	59	188	28	31	77	78	54	26	23
Steamboat CDP	233	23.4	20	73	15	16	37	27	25	7	7
Window Rock CDP	3 059	27.0	331	780	156	176	441	436	384	130	86
Puerco CCD	2 098	24.8	203	665	97	88	214	296	229	75	79
St. Johns CCD	6 811	37.2	492	1 512	234	274	697	982	993	415	386
St. Johns city	3 269	30.8	282	878	130	144	355	429	427	146	137
Springerville town (part)	515	35.9	50	98	26	28	52	70	59	33	34
Sweetwater CCD	5 657	25.9	538	1 713	241	282	671	736	533	242	184
Red Mesa CDP	237	27.5	19	70	11	10	35	28	31	12	5
Rock Point CDP	724	18.8	81	274	24	37	90	94	57	21	18
Teec Nos Pos CDP	799	24.9	85	245	32	39	98	109	72	45	28
Cochise County	117 755	36.9	7 966	23 033	5 343	5 615	13 981	16 597	15 373	6 335	6 147
Benson CCD	11 012	46.0	597	1 959	349	293	819	1 326	1 515	734	825
Benson city	4 711	49.6	249	672	163	151	347	499	584	281	384
St. David CDP	1 744	43.7	92	401	54	40	101	217	215	99	93
Bisbee CCD	24 035	41.1	1 367	4 756	715	714	2 242	3 823	3 915	1 522	1 418
Bisbee city	6 090	43.2	362	956	198	216	628	842	951	397	347
Naco CDP	833	27.9	68	255	28	37	94	124	79	29	28
Sierra Vista city (part)	2 222	38.5	134	488	58	44	241	437	338	93	111
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP (part)	11 647	41.3	640	2 471	296	288	967	1 921	2 004	797	715
Bowie CCD	2 274	45.5	135	391	75	78	168	269	356	155	188
Douglas CCD	19 137	30.0	1 703	4 749	1 048	892	2 302	2 520	2 059	758	731
Douglas city	14 312	30.5	1 280	3 518	732	656	1 708	1 876	1 539	570	560
Pirtleville CDP	1 550	29.1	140	418	77	64	193	179	175	71	73
Elfrida CCD	5 229	38.0	158	692	204	328	932	985	751	251	264
Sierra Vista CCD	46 152	33.4	3 404	8 510	2 593	2 943	6 603	6 346	5 442	2 354	2 105
Huachuca City town	1 751	37.2	109	388	72	82	179	268	262	97	72
Sierra Vista city (part)	35 553	31.5	2 772	6 361	2 232	2 587	5 573	4 767	3 806	1 683	1 476
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP (part)	2 701	37.3	209	561	104	116	284	402	399	150	148
Tombstone city	1 504	48.7	62	229	39	34	119	181	246	138	105
Whetstone CDP	2 354	40.0	134	512	71	60	226	370	349	140	169
Willcox CCD	9 916	40.8	602	1 976	359	367	915	1 328	1 335	561	616
Willcox city	3 733	36.9	258	839	139	162	382	529	466	184	177
Coconino County	116 320	29.6	8 444	24 981	7 188	9 618	16 280	17 637	15 591	4 777	3 661
Coconino CCD	74 488	29.9	4 880	13 273	5 280	7 663	11 162	11 298	10 401	3 139	2 315
Flagstaff city	52 894	26.8	3 546	9 288	4 609	6 878	8 654	7 457	6 433	1 876	1 327
Kachina Village CDP	2 664	33.1	211	481	98	155	473	523	446	95	62
Mountaineer CDP	1 014	32.8	80	170	28	58	205	197	183	38	17
Munds Park CDP	1 250	50.4	53	141	24	30	103	163	215	129	107
Parks CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sedona city (part)	2 963	54.3	88	191	61	50	222	324	587	273	260
Winslow West CDP (part)	131	15.8	18	56	4	5	20	21	3	1	2
Havasupai CCD	503	24.9	54	155	18	26	52	92	70	10	7
Supai CDP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hopi CCD	87	37.3	9	18	1	3	10	13	5	5	2
Hualapai CCD	2	80.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kaibab CCD	1 874	37.7	132	387	47	60	226	331	294	126	90
Fredonia town	1 036	35.2	79	256	32	32	116	171	134	59	42
Kaibab CDP (part)	5	16.5	-	3	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
Tube City CCD	30 941	25.1	2 831	9 537	1 520	1 530	3 804	4 296	3 358	1 046	919
Bitter Springs CDP	547	18.6	63	201	42	36	61	73	44	5	9
Cameron CDP	978	23.5	117	286	49	58	144	125	84	25	25
Kaibito CDP	1 607	18.3	198	594	88	90	192	199	120	43	30
Lechee CDP	1 606	18.1	179	622	78	78	182	257	124	26	20
Leupp CDP	970	22.7	95	329	42	43	124	125	108	29	30
Moenkopi CDP	901	30.8	61	256	33	52	90	118	115	31	34
Page city	6 809	32.4	495	1 683	356	294	772	1 098	1 115	333	231
Tonalea CDP	562	18.7	60	215	22	35	69	69	43	21	9
Tube City CDP	8 225	23.0	786	2 731	409	394	1 134	1 127	840	253	199
Williams CCD	8 425	37.6	538	1 611	322	336	1 026	1 607	1 463	451	328
Grand Canyon Village CDP	1 460	37.0	84	216	38	85	229	372	298	66	40
Parks CDP (part)	1 137	42.6	37	220	29	15	73	259	265	75	50
Tusayan CDP	562	30.7	30	113	43	44	106	99	92	9	12
Williams city	2 842	34.6	220	627	131	114	349	455	396	135	99
Gila County	51 335	42.3	3 116	9 774	1 650	1 630	4 726	6 704	7 051	3 351	3 174
Globe-Miami CCD	19 090	39.6	1 279	3 721	652	707	2 079	2 606	2 724	1 171	972
Central Heights-Midland City CDP	2 694	39.6	195	524	74	120	281	353	374	183	141
Claypool CDP	1 794	39.4	124	375	64	67	176	241	273	114	78
Globe city	7 486	38.4	501	1 430	285	287	908	1 077	1 086	392	351

Table 1. **Age and Sex: 2000**—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Age—Con.			Age and sex						Percent of total population		State County County Subdivision Place
65 to 74 years	75 to 84 years	85 years and over	All ages		18 years and over		65 years and over		Under 18 years	65 years and over	
			Female	Males per 100 females	Total	Female	Total	Female			
<b>363 841</b>	<b>235 473</b>	<b>68 525</b>	<b>2 569 575</b>	<b>99.7</b>	<b>3 763 685</b>	<b>1 903 939</b>	<b>667 839</b>	<b>371 572</b>	<b>26.6</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>The State</b>
3 478	1 635	628	35 020	98.2	42 692	21 944	5 741	3 141	38.5	8.3	Apache County
831	415	190	10 578	97.8	12 168	6 300	1 436	819	41.9	6.9	Chinle CCD
133	78	60	2 771	93.6	3 009	1 654	271	162	43.9	5.1	Chinle CDP
70	34	13	803	94.9	922	469	117	66	41.1	7.5	Lukachukai CDP
34	7	8	803	92.8	892	465	49	25	42.4	3.2	Many Farms CDP
18	6	2	205	93.7	213	113	26	16	46.3	6.5	Nazlini CDP
13	6	2	240	95.4	268	141	21	16	42.9	4.5	Rough Rock CDP
26	11	5	309	94.5	335	185	42	22	44.3	7.0	Round Rock CDP
20	7	3	571	88.8	660	353	30	20	38.8	2.8	Tsaile CDP
105	46	18	892	102.8	1 042	524	169	96	42.4	9.3	Dennehotso CCD
28	10	7	366	100.5	407	197	45	25	44.6	6.1	Dennehotso CDP
537	227	85	3 614	96.9	4 873	2 522	849	441	31.5	11.9	Eagar-Springerville CCD
224	109	40	2 061	95.7	2 572	1 341	373	196	36.2	9.2	Eagar town
126	67	30	749	94.5	1 029	547	223	127	29.4	15.3	Springerville town (part)
8	4	3	193	91.7	197	102	15	6	46.8	4.1	Fort Apache CCD
8	3	2	186	87.6	179	96	13	6	48.7	3.7	McNary CDP (part)
1 066	519	192	12 602	95.5	14 969	7 854	1 777	1 008	39.2	7.2	Fort Defiance CCD
16	8	2	328	92.7	349	188	26	18	44.8	4.1	Burnside CDP
114	51	18	2 140	89.8	2 436	1 332	183	110	40.0	4.5	Fort Defiance CDP
45	29	11	773	94.7	922	482	85	42	38.7	5.6	Ganado CDP
37	25	8	560	94.1	628	326	70	36	42.2	6.4	Houck CDP
47	30	7	668	93.9	767	410	84	53	40.8	6.5	St. Michaels CDP
27	16	5	308	98.7	365	191	48	23	40.4	7.8	Sawmill CDP
2	3	1	107	117.8	140	68	6	4	39.9	2.6	Steamboat CDP
93	30	16	1 588	92.6	1 948	1 034	139	84	36.3	4.5	Window Rock CDP
94	45	13	1 072	95.7	1 230	637	152	81	41.4	7.2	Puerco CCD
517	243	66	3 210	112.2	4 807	2 232	826	405	29.4	12.1	St. Johns CCD
198	111	32	1 625	101.2	2 109	1 054	341	175	35.5	10.4	St. Johns city
35	17	13	263	95.8	367	194	65	31	28.7	12.6	Springerville town (part)
320	136	61	2 859	97.9	3 406	1 773	517	285	39.8	9.1	Sweetwater CCD
9	-	7	137	73.0	148	92	16	11	37.6	6.8	Red Mesa CDP
18	8	2	373	94.1	369	186	28	15	49.0	3.9	Rock Point CDP
27	17	2	415	92.5	469	251	46	23	41.3	5.8	Teec Nos Pos CDP
10 187	5 670	1 508	58 398	101.6	86 756	43 122	17 365	9 181	26.3	14.7	Cochise County
1 523	843	229	5 559	98.1	8 456	4 318	2 595	1 332	23.2	23.2	Benson CCD
773	473	135	2 407	95.7	3 790	1 975	1 381	747	19.5	29.3	Benson city
238	151	43	888	96.4	1 251	630	432	214	28.3	24.8	St. David CDP
2 176	1 102	285	12 250	96.2	17 912	9 246	3 563	1 841	25.5	14.8	Bisbee CCD
611	431	151	3 196	90.6	4 772	2 578	1 193	683	21.6	19.6	Bisbee city
55	30	6	435	91.5	510	272	91	50	38.8	10.9	Naco CDP
191	80	7	1 163	91.1	1 600	847	278	138	28.0	12.5	Sierra Vista city (part)
1 039	421	88	5 895	97.6	8 536	4 366	1 548	764	26.7	13.3	Sierra Vista Southeast CDP (part)
302	118	39	1 123	102.5	1 748	867	459	221	23.1	20.2	Bowie CCD
1 313	815	247	9 820	94.9	12 685	6 626	2 375	1 330	33.7	12.4	Douglas CCD
1 025	656	192	7 428	92.7	9 514	5 031	1 873	1 073	33.5	13.1	Douglas city
96	49	15	778	99.2	992	513	160	95	36.0	10.3	Pirtleville CDP
430	184	50	1 606	225.6	4 379	1 226	664	314	16.3	12.7	Elfrida CCD
3 447	1 947	458	23 075	100.0	34 238	17 139	5 852	3 182	25.8	12.7	Sierra Vista CCD
139	71	12	907	93.1	1 254	662	222	118	28.4	12.7	Huachuca City town
2 482	1 465	349	17 655	101.4	26 420	13 116	4 296	2 391	25.7	12.1	Sierra Vista city (part)
213	93	22	1 420	90.2	1 931	1 010	328	173	28.5	12.1	Sierra Vista Southeast CDP (part)
201	123	27	774	94.3	1 213	635	351	179	19.3	23.3	Tombstone city
219	89	15	1 193	97.3	1 708	876	323	162	27.4	13.7	Whetstone CDP
996	661	200	4 965	99.7	7 338	3 700	1 857	961	26.0	18.7	Willcox CCD
292	228	77	1 906	95.9	2 636	1 369	597	345	29.4	16.0	Willcox city
5 015	2 434	694	58 259	99.7	82 895	42 046	8 143	4 409	28.7	7.0	Coconino County
3 092	1 577	408	37 397	99.2	56 335	28 535	5 077	2 699	24.4	6.8	Coconino CCD
1 658	909	259	26 668	98.3	40 060	20 393	2 826	1 591	24.3	5.3	Flagstaff city
80	31	9	1 285	107.3	1 972	941	120	54	26.0	4.5	Kachina Village CDP
26	12	-	482	110.4	764	368	38	18	24.7	3.7	Mountaineer CDP
212	68	5	623	100.6	1 056	522	285	131	15.5	22.8	Munds Park CDP
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	Parks CDP (part)
471	351	85	1 548	91.4	2 684	1 414	907	468	9.4	30.6	Sedona city (part)
-	1	-	67	95.5	57	32	1	-	56.5	0.8	Winslow West CDP (part)
9	8	2	262	92.0	294	150	19	13	41.6	3.8	Havasupai CCD
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	Supai CDP
13	8	-	39	123.1	60	28	21	11	31.0	24.1	Hopi CCD
-	2	-	1	100.0	2	1	2	1	-	100.0	Hualapai CCD
118	52	11	892	110.1	1 355	657	181	81	27.7	9.7	Kaibab CCD
74	36	5	507	104.3	701	354	115	53	32.3	11.1	Fredonia town
-	-	-	3	66.7	2	1	-	-	60.0	-	Kaibab CDP (part)
1 281	593	226	15 620	98.1	18 573	9 631	2 100	1 207	40.0	6.8	Tuba City CCD
10	2	1	275	98.9	283	157	13	8	48.3	2.4	Bitter Springs CDP
41	19	5	477	105.0	575	300	65	39	41.2	6.6	Cameron CDP
37	12	4	815	97.2	815	435	53	28	49.3	3.3	Kaibito CDP
31	8	1	795	102.0	805	406	40	24	49.9	2.5	Lechee CDP
35	8	2	503	92.8	546	295	45	24	43.7	4.6	Leupp CDP
58	38	15	458	96.7	584	309	111	64	35.2	12.3	Moenkopi CDP
254	138	40	3 390	100.9	4 631	2 334	432	243	32.0	6.3	Page city
12	7	-	290	93.8	287	148	19	13	48.9	3.4	Tonalea CDP
215	89	48	4 244	93.8	4 708	2 513	352	204	42.8	4.3	Tuba City CDP
502	194	47	4 048	108.1	6 276	3 044	743	397	25.5	8.8	Williams CCD
28	3	1	680	114.7	1 160	552	32	17	20.5	2.2	Grand Canyon Village CDP
87	19	8	544	109.0	880	426	114	57	22.6	10.0	Parks CDP (part)
12	2	-	246	128.5	419	186	14	11	25.4	2.5	Tusayan CDP
174	110	32	1 397	103.4	1 995	1 016	316	179	29.8	11.1	Williams city
5 748	3 426	985	26 086	96.8	38 445	19 794	10 159	5 482	25.1	19.8	Gila County
1 658	1 144	377	9 649	97.8	14 090	7 197	3 179	1 816	26.2	16.7	Globe-Miami CCD
230	181	38	1 399	92.6	1 975	1 037	449	250	26.7	16.7	Central Heights-Midland City CDP
136	116	30	928	93.3	1 295	683	282	161	27.8	15.7	Claypool CDP
583	420	166	3 714	101.6	5 555	2 776	1 169	697	25.8	15.6	Globe city



Table 1. **Age and Sex: 2000**—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total population	Median age	Age									
			Under 5 years	5 to 17 years	18 to 20 years	21 to 24 years	25 to 34 years	35 to 44 years	45 to 54 years	55 to 59 years	60 to 64 years	
Gila County—Con.												
Globe-Miami CCD—Con.												
Miami town	1 936	36.2	170	405	75	85	205	260	205	103	97	
Top-of-the-World CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Payson CCD	21 844	49.0	1 026	3 338	578	543	1 532	2 694	3 116	1 565	1 695	
Payson town	13 620	48.9	679	2 060	386	375	1 022	1 600	1 728	836	960	
Pine CDP	1 931	52.8	56	265	32	25	74	245	368	211	213	
Strawberry CDP	1 028	53.9	32	106	16	14	48	128	196	108	113	
Sun Valley CDP	1 536	44.4	101	250	48	44	139	197	215	97	119	
Reservation CCD	6 298	24.5	611	2 000	308	260	819	902	628	248	177	
Canyon Day CDP	1 092	23.2	112	364	47	54	147	138	112	40	34	
Peridot CDP (part)	374	27.0	42	108	11	18	40	59	33	20	16	
San Carlos CDP	3 716	24.1	367	1 199	186	139	485	554	356	135	96	
Tonto CCD	2 540	52.6	82	347	43	58	153	318	357	272	247	
Gisela CDP	532	42.8	30	89	12	13	55	80	74	39	33	
Tonto Basin CDP	840	58.4	13	74	13	17	32	81	122	102	111	
Young CDP	561	48.4	23	98	6	11	23	93	77	64	48	
Winkelman CCD	1 563	36.1	118	368	69	62	143	184	226	95	83	
Hayden town (part)	892	32.1	82	214	41	39	96	87	123	48	36	
Winkelman town (part)	439	36.6	25	103	23	21	35	57	59	24	28	
Graham County	33 489	30.9	2 604	7 473	2 118	1 904	4 514	4 618	3 635	1 387	1 251	
Bonita-Klondyke CCD	2 344	34.9	48	121	77	202	732	566	314	90	61	
Swift Trail Junction CDP (part)	1 141	35.6	11	40	27	93	382	285	159	55	32	
Pima CCD	3 815	29.9	366	924	193	191	439	459	413	175	167	
Pima town	1 989	30.1	196	487	88	106	228	238	201	87	76	
Safford CCD	22 729	32.3	1 694	4 819	1 581	1 260	2 756	3 009	2 470	1 013	929	
Safford city	9 232	33.7	718	2 072	431	447	1 084	1 164	985	389	396	
Swift Trail Junction CDP (part)	1 054	33.4	87	215	56	48	143	148	104	49	56	
Thatcher town	4 022	24.7	285	825	627	290	298	461	433	173	131	
San Carlos CDP	4 601	20.0	496	1 609	267	251	587	584	438	109	94	
Peridot CDP (part)	892	23.9	77	285	46	48	120	120	92	35	26	
Greenlee County	8 547	33.6	708	2 004	317	325	1 069	1 344	1 206	415	310	
Clifton CCD	5 563	32.5	464	1 364	208	190	742	945	810	241	163	
Clifton town	2 596	31.9	229	610	104	96	341	432	335	107	59	
Morenci CDP	1 879	30.5	156	513	75	61	271	375	300	61	55	
Duncan CCD	2 984	35.8	244	640	109	135	327	399	396	174	147	
Duncan town	812	28.4	84	202	42	44	78	102	97	37	38	
La Paz County	19 715	46.8	962	3 197	581	631	1 692	2 326	2 402	1 350	1 486	
Parker CCD	19 715	46.8	962	3 197	581	631	1 692	2 326	2 402	1 350	1 486	
Bluewater CDP	730	50.1	40	101	17	17	61	89	77	43	43	
Bouse CDP	615	65.4	13	47	4	3	29	23	62	48	70	
Cibola CDP	172	36.5	8	45	3	3	19	24	22	11	9	
Ehrenberg CDP	1 357	37.0	85	295	54	55	146	225	171	84	69	
Parker town	3 140	32.4	239	791	144	157	333	465	452	172	91	
Parker Strip CDP	3 302	49.5	125	402	75	88	261	482	516	281	335	
Poston CDP	389	22.8	47	116	21	21	71	46	33	9	9	
Quartzsite town	3 354	66.5	36	155	35	25	95	162	319	285	399	
Salome CDP	1 690	56.8	47	246	33	28	88	181	180	140	175	
Wenden CDP	556	38.9	31	133	11	22	63	61	54	22	33	
Maricopa County	3 072 149	33.0	241 974	586 029	133 989	179 376	488 329	475 907	366 464	133 812	107 290	
Buckeye CCD	19 753	32.5	1 496	4 542	782	1 022	2 840	3 419	2 504	903	710	
Buckeye town (part)	6 461	30.0	602	1 583	282	349	868	998	758	278	208	
Surprise city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Chandler CCD	215 998	32.4	19 731	43 534	7 071	9 503	38 635	38 855	24 647	8 368	6 719	
Chandler city (part)	151 808	31.1	14 238	31 398	5 348	7 430	29 184	28 815	17 265	5 269	3 856	
Gilbert town (part)	26 528	29.6	3 280	5 669	584	1 052	6 395	4 904	2 550	750	504	
Mesa city (part)	1 252	21.3	205	305	103	158	237	152	64	11	7	
Phoenix city (part)	1	51.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	
Queen Creek town (part)	4 197	30.7	368	1 135	196	167	478	788	568	180	117	
Sun Lakes CDP	11 936	69.3	5	15	11	21	76	180	850	1 186	1 696	
Tempe city (part)	8 646	38.1	548	2 093	340	218	696	1 833	1 860	446	215	
Deer Valley CCD	148 777	36.5	11 212	29 003	3 885	4 424	21 604	28 087	21 842	8 057	5 913	
Carefree town (part)	2 506	55.7	51	258	29	19	82	295	493	286	239	
Cave Creek town	3 728	44.7	135	644	126	79	236	667	795	312	237	
Glendale city (part)	46 516	34.6	3 559	10 012	1 602	1 762	6 674	9 533	7 377	2 188	1 369	
New River CDP	10 740	38.5	685	2 107	265	247	1 318	2 251	1 800	739	524	
Peoria city (part)	28 519	40.3	2 113	4 592	524	718	3 951	4 079	2 935	1 586	1 602	
Phoenix city (part)	43 423	32.6	4 034	9 206	1 026	1 349	8 356	9 002	5 782	1 753	1 040	
Scottsdale city (part)	4 373	52.4	163	534	64	57	215	580	842	523	450	
Gila Bend CCD	8 471	34.0	668	1 976	337	353	1 048	1 564	1 210	393	338	
Avondale city (part)	29	36.3	4	8	2	4	7	8	3	1	2	
Buckeye town (part)	41	23.4	4	10	4	6	4	4	4	1	-	
Gila Bend town	1 980	29.3	168	484	115	115	250	284	234	70	94	
Goodyear city (part)	2 776	37.2	209	564	61	61	364	619	482	140	112	
Phoenix CCD	2 608 349	32.3	206 180	499 898	120 781	162 648	419 860	399 274	310 979	111 566	87 006	
Apache Junction city (part)	273	67.4	7	8	3	10	12	13	24	14	26	
Avondale city (part)	35 854	29.0	3 496	8 780	1 595	1 888	6 037	5 817	4 136	1 319	889	
Buckeye town (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Chandler city (part)	24 773	31.6	1 771	5 218	1 075	1 370	4 321	4 713	3 625	836	565	
El Mirage city	7 609	24.6	937	1 864	436	637	1 241	938	606	251	195	
Fountain Hills town	20 235	46.4	928	2 821	449	450	1 868	3 108	3 562	1 720	1 449	
Gilbert town (part)	83 169	30.4	8 005	20 528	3 146	3 303	13 738	16 425	10 293	2 788	1 665	
Glendale city (part)	172 296	29.8	15 003	37 288	9 014	11 240	27 247	26 256	20 458	7 091	4 960	
Goodyear city (part)	16 135	36.3	1 008	2 417	493	1 026	2 735	2 727	2 030	1 044	939	
Guadalupe town	5 228	25.2	527	1 416	308	346	736	698	487	195	161	
Litchfield Park city	3 810	44.7	178	723	102	75	250	596	577	248	235	
Mesa city (part)	395 123	32.1	32 387	75 480	18 205	26 112	61 314	55 972	43 743	15 902	13 142	
Paradise Valley town	13 664	46.3	615	2 782	316	232	600	1 970	2 725	1 251	930	
Peoria city (part)	79 842	34.0	5 897	18 138	2 829	3 216	11 078	14 079	9 913	3 165	2 295	
Phoenix city (part)	1 277 621	30.7	110 482	258 713	60 048	81 794	219 125	202 440	151 832	50 870	37 397	
Rio Verde CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Scottsdale city (part)	197 260	40.6	10 263	28 105	5 294	7 980	28 726	32 026	29 445	12 490	10 209	

Table 1. **Age and Sex: 2000—Con.**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Age—Con.			Age and sex						Percent of total population		State County County Subdivision Place
65 to 74 years	75 to 84 years	85 years and over	All ages		18 years and over		65 years and over		Under 18 years	65 years and over	
			Female	Males per 100 females	Total	Female	Total	Female			
180	120	31	1 008	92.1	1 361	703	331	185	29.7	17.1	Gila County—Con.
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	Globe-Miami CCD—Con.
3 299	1 921	537	11 216	94.8	17 480	9 125	5 757	3 042	20.0	26.4	Miami town
2 178	1 364	432	7 088	92.2	10 881	5 768	3 974	2 150	20.1	29.2	Top-of-the-World CDP (part)
297	123	22	970	99.1	1 610	824	442	222	16.6	22.9	Payson CCD
175	82	10	523	96.6	890	456	267	132	13.4	26.0	Payson town
187	125	14	774	98.4	1 185	607	326	163	22.9	21.2	Pine CDP
239	81	25	3 196	97.1	3 687	1 885	345	184	41.5	5.5	Strawberry CDP
35	7	2	566	92.9	616	334	44	26	43.6	4.0	Sun Valley CDP
16	11	-	194	92.8	224	117	27	13	40.1	7.2	Reservation CCD
133	44	22	1 892	96.4	2 150	1 096	199	107	42.1	5.4	Canyon Day CDP
419	211	33	1 235	105.7	2 111	1 032	663	323	16.9	26.1	Peridot CDP (part)
67	36	4	278	91.4	413	209	107	54	22.4	20.1	San Carlos CDP
180	85	10	405	107.4	753	371	275	134	10.4	32.7	Tonto CCD
68	40	10	260	115.8	440	211	118	56	21.6	21.0	Gisela CDP
133	69	13	790	97.8	1 077	555	215	117	31.1	13.8	Tonto Basin CDP
68	49	9	460	93.9	596	311	126	68	33.2	14.1	Young CDP
44	17	3	226	94.2	311	162	64	38	29.2	14.6	Winkelman CCD
2 191	1 366	428	15 761	112.5	23 412	10 884	3 985	2 232	30.1	11.9	Hayden town (part)
87	36	10	337	595.5	2 175	260	133	57	7.2	5.7	Winkelman town (part)
37	14	6	120	850.8	1 090	92	57	21	4.5	5.0	Graham County
277	163	48	1 899	100.9	2 525	1 288	488	264	33.8	12.8	Bonita-Klondyke CCD
154	100	28	1 008	97.3	1 306	688	282	159	34.3	14.2	Swift Trail Junction CDP (part)
1 690	1 147	361	11 186	103.2	16 216	8 020	3 198	1 810	28.7	14.1	Pima CCD
767	572	207	4 810	91.9	6 442	3 497	1 546	934	30.2	16.7	Pima town
90	51	7	533	97.7	752	386	148	74	28.7	14.0	Safford CCD
243	202	54	2 140	87.9	2 912	1 587	499	279	27.6	12.4	Safford city
137	20	9	2 339	96.7	2 496	1 316	166	101	45.8	3.6	Swift Trail Junction CDP (part)
34	7	2	436	104.6	530	272	43	25	40.6	4.8	Thatcher town
505	270	74	4 085	109.2	5 835	2 805	849	458	31.7	9.9	San Carlos CCD
255	143	38	2 636	111.0	3 735	1 775	436	237	32.9	7.8	Peridot CDP (part)
160	93	30	1 238	109.7	1 757	857	283	151	32.3	10.9	Greenlee County
7	4	1	858	119.0	1 210	529	12	9	35.6	0.6	Clifton CCD
250	127	36	1 449	105.9	2 100	1 030	413	221	29.6	13.8	Clifton town
48	25	15	426	90.6	526	275	88	55	35.2	10.8	Morenci CDP
3 268	1 545	275	9 592	105.5	15 556	7 585	5 088	2 420	21.1	25.8	Duncan CCD
3 268	1 545	275	9 592	105.5	15 556	7 585	5 088	2 420	21.1	25.8	Duncan town
136	78	28	372	96.2	589	299	242	128	19.3	33.2	La Paz County
199	103	14	312	97.1	555	283	316	154	9.8	51.4	Parker CCD
23	4	1	73	135.6	119	53	28	10	30.8	16.3	Bluewater CDP
116	52	5	644	110.7	977	464	173	72	28.0	12.7	Bouse CDP
171	93	32	1 619	93.9	2 110	1 120	296	166	32.8	9.4	Cibola CDP
491	211	35	1 570	110.3	2 775	1 317	737	348	16.0	22.3	Ehrenberg CDP
14	2	-	185	110.3	226	109	16	6	41.9	4.1	Parker town
1 129	614	100	1 654	102.8	3 163	1 567	1 843	874	5.7	54.9	Parker Strip CDP
395	167	10	807	109.4	1 397	666	572	250	17.3	33.8	Poston CDP
87	29	10	291	91.1	392	195	126	62	29.5	22.7	Quartzsite town
188 816	130 036	40 127	1 535 676	100.1	2 244 146	1 132 745	358 979	204 517	27.0	11.7	Salome CDP
982	461	92	8 667	127.9	13 715	5 834	1 535	783	30.6	7.8	Wenden CDP
313	184	38	3 207	101.5	4 276	2 170	535	302	33.8	8.3	Buckeye CCD
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	Buckeye town (part)
11 352	6 152	1 431	108 707	98.7	152 733	77 816	18 935	10 345	29.3	8.8	Surprise city (part)
5 404	2 718	883	76 084	99.5	106 172	53 825	9 005	5 166	30.1	5.9	Chandler CCD
577	212	51	13 348	98.7	17 579	8 915	840	447	33.7	3.2	Chandler city (part)
7	1	2	642	95.0	742	389	10	7	40.7	0.8	Gilbert town (part)
-	-	-	-	(X)	1	-	-	-	-	-	Mesa city (part)
138	53	9	2 056	104.1	2 694	1 317	200	95	35.8	4.8	Phoenix city (part)
4 579	2 907	410	6 536	82.6	11 916	6 526	7 896	4 108	0.2	66.2	Queen Creek town (part)
266	104	27	4 410	96.1	6 005	3 099	397	208	30.5	4.6	Sun Lakes CDP
8 959	4 468	1 323	74 447	99.8	108 562	54 941	14 750	7 786	27.0	9.9	Tempe city (part)
423	267	64	1 272	97.0	2 197	1 124	754	370	12.3	30.1	Deer Valley CCD
310	152	35	1 863	100.1	2 949	1 493	497	253	20.9	13.3	Carefree town (part)
1 571	659	210	23 264	99.9	32 945	16 579	2 440	1 340	29.2	5.2	Cave Creek town
533	228	43	5 266	103.9	7 948	3 926	804	395	26.0	7.5	Glendale city (part)
3 506	2 150	763	14 913	91.2	21 814	11 630	6 419	3 519	23.5	22.5	New River CDP
1 276	466	133	21 192	104.9	30 183	14 867	1 875	988	30.5	4.3	Peoria city (part)
693	230	22	2 171	101.4	3 676	1 876	945	432	15.9	21.6	Phoenix city (part)
413	125	46	4 236	100.0	5 827	2 887	584	283	31.2	6.9	Scottsdale city (part)
-	-	1	14	107.1	17	9	1	1	41.4	3.4	Gila Bend CCD
1	-	-	16	156.3	27	9	9	1	34.1	2.4	Avondale city (part)
96	50	20	964	105.4	1 328	639	166	84	32.9	8.4	Buckeye town (part)
129	29	6	1 411	96.7	2 003	1 015	164	74	27.8	5.9	Gila Bend town
149 227	105 943	34 987	1 302 197	100.3	1 902 271	958 678	290 157	168 116	27.1	11.1	Goodyear city (part)
81	59	16	146	87.0	258	138	156	85	5.5	57.1	Phoenix CCD
1 135	608	154	17 714	102.4	23 578	11 722	1 897	1 058	34.2	5.3	Apache Junction city (part)
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	Avondale city (part)
679	435	165	12 357	100.5	17 784	9 126	1 279	773	28.2	5.2	Buckeye town (part)
348	133	23	3 703	105.5	4 808	2 345	504	271	36.8	6.6	Chandler city (part)
2 362	1 285	233	10 464	93.4	16 486	8 628	3 880	1 987	18.5	19.2	El Mirage city
2 028	1 018	232	41 818	98.9	54 636	27 902	3 278	1 816	34.3	3.9	Fountain Hills town
7 198	4 819	1 722	86 380	99.5	120 005	61 036	13 739	8 391	30.3	8.0	Gilbert town (part)
1 271	385	60	7 875	104.9	12 710	6 251	1 716	801	21.2	10.6	Glendale city (part)
242	82	30	2 547	105.3	3 285	1 588	354	179	37.2	6.8	Goodyear city (part)
480	286	60	1 986	91.8	2 909	1 535	826	439	23.6	21.7	Guadalupe town
26 539	20 319	6 008	199 355	98.2	287 256	146 846	52 866	30 401	27.3	13.4	Litchfield Park city
1 380	681	182	6 877	98.7	10 267	5 236	2 243	1 120	24.9	16.4	Mesa city (part)
4 005	3 278	1 949	41 391	92.9	55 807	29 661	9 232	5 689	30.1	11.6	Paradise Valley town
57 033	36 413	11 474	628 093	103.4	908 426	448 289	104 920	61 331	28.9	8.2	Peoria city (part)
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	Phoenix city (part)
17 714	11 466	3 542	102 219	93.0	158 892	83 520	32 722	18 619	19.5	16.6	Rio Verde CDP (part)
											Scottsdale city (part)

Table 1. **Age and Sex: 2000—Con.**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total population	Median age	Age									
			Under 5 years	5 to 17 years	18 to 20 years	21 to 24 years	25 to 34 years	35 to 44 years	45 to 54 years	55 to 59 years	60 to 64 years	
Maricopa County—Con.												
Phoenix CCD—Con.												
Sun City CDP .....	38 309	75.0	51	116	50	67	257	495	1 168	2 019	3 510	
Surprise city (part) .....	14 330	30.0	1 478	2 591	545	1 046	2 632	1 703	1 377	616	622	
Tempe city (part) .....	149 979	28.5	8 526	20 314	14 392	18 859	30 014	20 085	16 834	5 837	4 109	
Tolleson city .....	4 974	29.4	433	1 181	262	281	711	654	541	216	207	
Youngtown town .....	3 010	65.3	91	208	38	68	177	207	259	216	228	
St. Johns CCD .....	2 699	21.6	340	857	135	157	350	353	226	93	45	
Phoenix city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Salt River CCD .....	7 227	27.6	693	1 983	354	373	875	917	633	281	287	
Mesa city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Tempe city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Tonto CCD .....	4 120	57.9	102	334	44	40	191	389	670	512	537	
Carefree town (part) .....	421	54.0	12	52	6	4	20	54	77	50	41	
Rio Verde CDP (part) .....	1 419	68.7	-	1	1	1	7	11	91	155	266	
Scottsdale city (part) .....	1 072	56.6	18	82	14	12	32	71	257	202	167	
Wickenburg CCD .....	56 755	66.6	1 552	3 902	600	856	2 926	3 049	3 753	3 639	5 735	
Buckeye town (part) .....	35	41.3	-	8	1	1	3	9	7	4	1	
Peoria city (part) .....	2	29.0	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Sun City West CDP .....	26 344	73.2	6	20	8	23	89	178	520	1 182	2 620	
Surprise city (part) .....	16 518	60.3	769	1 297	179	377	1 563	1 027	1 374	1 562	2 247	
Wickenburg town .....	5 082	48.4	264	749	135	178	442	596	617	309	334	
Mohave County .....	155 032	42.9	9 368	26 492	4 675	5 340	15 446	20 552	20 302	10 366	10 763	
Kingman North CCD .....	50 832	42.1	2 996	9 128	1 629	1 727	4 997	7 054	6 989	3 353	3 208	
Bullhead City city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Dolan Springs CDP .....	1 867	56.7	77	203	30	30	91	204	242	156	186	
Golden Valley CDP .....	4 515	45.7	205	806	107	104	367	615	733	367	396	
Kingman city .....	20 069	39.6	1 309	3 712	699	789	2 279	2 863	2 601	1 202	1 044	
New Kingman-Butler CDP .....	14 810	39.7	985	2 821	545	596	1 508	2 072	1 845	810	782	
Peach Springs CDP .....	600	24.4	55	188	37	23	76	79	73	17	14	
Kingman South CCD .....	97 979	44.9	5 273	15 313	2 753	3 257	9 615	12 939	12 877	6 844	7 421	
Arizona Village CDP .....	351	18.9	44	127	19	26	44	49	28	3	5	
Bullhead City city (part) .....	33 769	41.8	2 155	5 439	1 034	1 437	3 807	4 451	4 374	2 263	2 330	
Desert Hills CDP .....	2 183	52.0	101	261	40	69	186	249	279	179	221	
Lake Havasu City city .....	41 938	47.5	1 957	6 194	1 179	1 226	3 684	5 370	5 345	2 933	3 355	
Mesquite Creek CDP .....	205	63.6	4	9	-	1	7	7	28	22	35	
Mohave Valley CDP .....	13 694	41.1	808	2 558	383	402	1 463	2 071	1 933	890	932	
Mojave Ranch Estates CDP .....	28	22.0	3	9	2	1	4	3	5	-	1	
Willow Valley CDP .....	585	56.1	22	58	9	5	45	81	57	51	64	
Mohave North CCD .....	6 221	17.7	1 099	2 051	293	356	834	559	436	169	134	
Colorado City town .....	3 334	14.3	683	1 331	186	194	409	264	141	47	23	
Kaibab CDP (part) .....	270	22.7	41	77	10	14	36	28	35	9	10	
Navajo County .....	97 470	30.2	8 351	26 176	4 253	4 358	11 087	13 588	11 376	4 501	4 022	
Apache CCD .....	10 545	21.3	1 111	3 531	590	492	1 453	1 509	946	311	221	
Cibecue CDP .....	1 331	20.7	125	476	72	69	177	168	116	38	28	
East Fork CDP .....	880	23.8	89	269	48	46	120	112	103	32	20	
McNary CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Whiteriver CDP .....	5 220	20.9	581	1 736	307	245	747	744	442	144	108	
Hopi CCD .....	6 877	28.3	652	1 916	301	321	799	963	800	271	235	
First Mesa CDP .....	1 124	27.5	94	337	47	55	132	152	131	46	41	
Hotevilla-Bacavi CDP .....	767	35.0	48	190	34	35	77	113	94	49	31	
Jeddito CDP .....	390	19.0	51	139	14	16	56	48	40	10	3	
Keams Canyon CDP .....	260	33.9	13	49	15	12	45	48	54	9	6	
Kykotsmvi Village CDP .....	776	31.6	70	185	26	46	84	107	106	43	23	
Second Mesa CDP .....	814	24.7	94	235	38	45	81	119	89	17	33	
Shongopovi CDP .....	632	28.1	72	171	24	28	83	80	56	23	32	
Indian Wells CCD .....	7 272	24.8	662	2 259	380	350	797	990	667	240	271	
Dilkon CDP .....	1 265	19.4	128	464	78	56	140	161	109	45	27	
Greasewood CDP .....	581	19.1	53	221	27	29	78	63	54	21	19	
Little Colorado CCD .....	17 620	30.5	1 400	4 364	803	921	2 395	2 660	2 038	752	605	
Holbrook city .....	4 917	29.6	439	1 314	243	215	584	717	541	247	188	
Winslow city .....	9 520	30.6	706	2 129	444	606	1 499	1 459	1 076	355	294	
Winslow West CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pinon CCD .....	8 074	22.2	909	2 562	432	437	1 008	1 013	666	222	240	
Pinon CDP .....	1 190	19.9	139	412	68	67	156	165	94	35	24	
Snowflake CCD .....	36 612	38.4	2 603	8 132	1 249	1 320	3 386	5 057	5 154	2 342	2 143	
Heber-Overgaard CDP .....	2 722	48.3	117	472	69	70	179	346	369	248	242	
Pinetop-Lakeside town .....	3 582	41.1	233	679	103	94	361	525	594	248	214	
Show Low city .....	7 695	36.6	593	1 655	279	294	869	1 049	951	451	403	
Snowflake town .....	4 460	27.6	412	1 279	198	239	415	558	525	187	172	
Taylor town .....	3 176	25.5	357	918	136	156	362	424	320	143	100	
Western CCD .....	10 470	23.4	1 014	3 412	498	517	1 249	1 396	1 105	363	307	
Chilchinbito CDP .....	4 622	20.9	37	160	35	25	53	58	41	9	13	
Kayenta CDP .....	4 922	21.9	493	1 692	224	249	618	672	589	153	89	
Ojato-Monument Valley CDP .....	155	21.3	20	51	5	11	28	17	9	-	4	
Shonto CDP .....	568	21.7	51	195	32	20	57	83	66	30	12	
Pima County .....	843 746	35.7	55 829	152 067	42 290	49 479	113 874	126 061	110 563	40 351	33 745	
Ajo CCD .....	3 907	51.7	195	606	91	91	311	367	438	261	290	
Ajo CDP .....	3 705	51.6	188	575	90	90	292	344	419	239	278	
Arivaca CCD .....	50 441	45.9	2 809	8 763	1 538	1 617	4 516	5 531	5 155	2 621	3 048	
Drexel Heights CDP (part) .....	13 398	29.9	1 147	3 418	569	694	1 860	2 167	1 759	534	403	
East Sahuarita CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Green Valley CDP (part) .....	16 775	71.8	71	196	46	69	196	283	806	1 114	1 824	
Sahuarita town (part) .....	3 207	38.0	230	589	116	115	394	476	399	209	185	
Three Points CDP (part) .....	4 702	33.1	332	1 165	198	186	591	776	698	240	174	
Valencia West CDP .....	2 380	26.6	221	689	131	104	313	375	259	80	61	
Marana CCD .....	25 008	35.7	1 647	5 746	947	940	3 187	4 452	3 602	1 363	1 163	
Avra Valley CDP .....	5 038	34.2	311	1 276	227	156	616	858	653	258	222	
Marana town (part) .....	1 902	34.2	112	313	100	142	321	354	200	80	80	
Picture Rocks CDP (part) .....	8 139	35.6	524	1 917	256	255	1 032	1 518	1 213	427	357	
Three Points CDP (part) .....	571	34.8	40	138	25	19	66	97	84	21	28	
Tucson Estates CDP (part) .....	6 720	38.2	460	1 352	212	244	764	1 033	970	400	340	
Papago CCD .....	7 492	25.5	752	2 140	374	430	1 025	945	778	291	267	

Table 1. **Age and Sex: 2000**—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Age—Con.			Age and sex						Percent of total population		State County County Subdivision Place
65 to 74 years	75 to 84 years	85 years and over	All ages		18 years and over		65 years and over		Under 18 years	65 years and over	
			Female	Males per 100 females	Total	Female	Total	Female			
11 491	13 558	5 527	22 547	69.9	38 142	22 465	30 576	17 912	0.4	79.8	Maricopa County—Con. Phoenix CCD—Con. Sun City CDP
1 085	512	123	7 106	101.7	10 261	5 088	1 720	902	28.4	12.0	Surprise city (part)
5 938	3 728	1 343	72 273	107.5	121 139	58 294	11 009	6 530	19.2	7.3	Tempe city (part)
298	154	36	2 453	102.8	3 360	1 673	488	288	32.4	9.8	Tolleson city
638	552	328	1 770	70.1	2 711	1 627	1 518	971	9.9	50.4	Youngtown town
78	50	15	1 433	88.3	1 502	841	143	84	44.3	5.3	St. Johns CCD
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	Phoenix city (part)
435	302	94	3 806	89.9	4 551	2 432	831	491	37.0	11.5	Salt River CCD
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	Mesa city (part)
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	Tempe city (part)
837	409	55	2 074	98.6	3 684	1 853	1 301	604	10.6	31.6	Tonto CCD
68	28	9	202	108.4	357	171	105	50	15.2	24.9	Carefree town (part)
543	312	31	740	91.8	1 418	739	886	428	0.1	62.4	Rio Verde CDP (part)
174	38	5	530	102.3	972	474	217	83	9.3	20.2	Scottsdale city (part)
16 533	12 126	2 084	30 109	88.5	51 301	27 463	30 743	16 025	9.6	54.2	Wickenburg CCD
1	-	-	17	105.9	27	11	1	1	22.9	2.9	Buckeye town (part)
-	-	-	1	100.0	1	-	-	-	50.0	-	Peoria city (part)
10 739	9 383	1 576	14 451	82.3	26 318	14 438	21 698	11 505	0.1	82.4	Sun City West CDP
4 182	1 702	239	8 584	92.4	14 452	7 590	6 123	2 971	12.5	37.1	Surprise city (part)
753	538	167	2 687	89.1	4 069	2 201	1 458	818	19.9	28.7	Wickenburg town
19 067	10 407	2 254	77 933	98.9	119 172	60 569	31 728	16 244	23.1	20.5	Mohave County
5 719	3 323	709	25 496	99.4	38 708	19 607	9 751	4 973	23.9	19.2	Kingman North CCD
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	Bullhead City city (part)
397	209	42	909	105.4	1 587	776	648	309	15.0	34.7	Dolan Springs CDP
560	216	39	2 199	105.3	3 504	1 737	815	378	22.4	18.1	Golden Valley CDP
1 965	1 271	335	10 160	97.5	15 048	7 739	3 571	1 954	25.0	17.8	Kingman city
1 548	1 091	207	7 579	95.4	11 004	5 672	2 846	1 510	25.7	19.2	New Kingman-Butler CDP
23	15	-	307	95.4	357	194	38	17	40.5	6.3	Peach Springs CDP
13 173	6 993	1 521	49 353	98.5	77 393	39 400	21 687	11 132	21.0	22.1	Kingman South CCD
2	4	-	194	80.9	180	96	6	4	48.7	1.7	Arizona Village CDP
3 885	2 122	472	17 001	98.6	26 175	13 340	6 479	3 394	22.5	19.2	Bullhead City city (part)
361	193	44	1 093	99.7	1 821	913	598	281	16.6	27.4	Desert Hills CDP
6 359	3 528	808	21 314	96.8	33 787	17 388	10 695	5 568	19.4	25.5	Lake Havasu City city
70	16	6	106	93.4	192	100	92	41	6.3	44.9	Mesquite Creek CDP
1 465	673	116	6 782	101.9	10 328	5 140	2 254	1 083	24.6	16.5	Mohave Valley CDP
-	-	-	17	64.7	16	8	-	-	42.9	-	Mojave Ranch Estates CDP
128	51	14	291	101.0	505	255	193	103	13.7	33.0	Willow Valley CDP
175	91	24	3 084	101.7	3 071	1 562	290	139	50.6	4.7	Mohave North CCD
25	18	13	1 645	102.7	1 320	690	56	28	60.4	1.7	Colorado City town
5	4	1	154	75.3	152	84	10	5	43.7	3.7	Kaibab CDP (part)
6 063	2 882	813	49 043	98.7	62 943	31 921	9 758	5 220	35.4	10.0	Navajo County
281	91	9	5 493	92.0	5 903	3 091	381	224	44.0	3.6	Apache CCD
44	16	2	677	96.6	730	378	62	39	45.2	4.7	Cibecue CDP
25	15	1	447	96.9	522	260	41	27	40.7	4.7	East Fork CDP
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	McNary CDP (part)
124	39	3	2 723	91.7	2 903	1 532	166	96	44.4	3.2	Whitewater CDP
363	190	66	3 539	94.3	4 309	2 260	619	364	37.3	9.0	Hopi CCD
59	19	11	591	90.2	693	365	89	52	38.3	7.9	First Mesa CDP
46	37	13	402	90.8	529	289	96	64	31.0	12.5	Hotevilla-Bacavi CDP
10	3	-	220	77.3	200	110	13	8	48.7	3.3	Jeddito CDP
5	2	2	116	124.1	198	84	9	4	23.8	3.5	Keams Canyon CDP
52	27	7	395	96.5	521	268	86	51	32.9	11.1	Kykotsmovi Village CDP
42	15	6	417	95.2	485	254	63	37	40.4	7.7	Second Mesa CDP
37	19	7	333	89.8	389	216	63	37	38.4	10.0	Shongopovi CDP
402	192	62	3 671	98.1	4 351	2 182	656	362	40.2	9.0	Indian Wells CCD
36	11	10	668	89.4	673	365	57	33	46.8	4.5	Dilkon CDP
9	6	1	303	91.7	307	157	16	8	47.2	2.8	Greasewood CDP
912	550	220	8 484	107.7	11 856	5 562	1 682	928	32.7	9.5	Little Colorado CCD
255	136	38	2 585	90.2	3 164	1 686	429	243	35.7	8.7	Holbrook city
473	325	154	4 282	122.3	6 685	2 849	952	552	29.8	10.0	Winslow city
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	Winslow West CDP (part)
372	156	57	4 083	97.7	4 603	2 387	585	338	43.0	7.2	Pinon CCD
21	7	2	601	98.0	639	344	30	18	46.3	2.5	Pinon CDP
3 340	1 539	347	18 412	98.8	25 877	13 236	5 226	2 664	29.3	14.3	Snowflake CCD
428	161	21	1 327	105.1	2 133	1 043	610	284	21.6	22.4	Heber-Overgaard CDP
334	155	42	1 764	103.1	2 670	1 346	531	272	25.5	14.8	Pinetop-Lakeside town
671	385	95	3 944	95.1	5 447	2 890	1 151	628	29.2	15.0	Show Low city
269	149	57	2 233	99.7	2 769	1 418	475	261	37.9	10.7	Snowflake town
155	79	26	1 595	99.1	1 901	985	260	143	40.1	8.2	Taylor town
393	164	52	5 361	95.3	6 044	3 203	609	340	42.3	5.8	Western CCD
20	9	2	241	91.7	265	132	31	15	42.6	6.7	Chilchinbito CDP
85	45	13	2 565	91.9	2 737	1 496	143	82	44.4	2.9	Kayenta CDP
7	1	2	77	101.3	84	44	10	7	45.8	6.5	Oljato-Monument Valley CDP
17	4	1	297	91.2	322	173	22	11	43.3	3.9	Shonto CDP
62 916	43 499	13 072	431 184	95.7	635 850	329 965	119 487	67 938	24.6	14.2	Pima County
786	390	81	2 040	91.5	3 106	1 642	1 257	644	20.5	32.2	Ajo CCD
748	366	76	1 947	90.3	2 942	1 562	1 190	610	20.6	32.1	Ajo CDP
7 626	5 913	1 304	26 318	91.7	38 869	20 650	14 843	7 931	22.9	29.4	Arivaca CCD
545	258	44	6 839	95.9	8 833	4 621	847	465	34.1	6.3	Drexel Heights CDP (part)
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	East Sahuarita CDP (part)
5 898	5 144	1 128	9 278	80.8	16 508	9 151	12 170	6 531	1.6	72.5	Green Valley CDP (part)
305	138	51	1 629	96.9	2 388	1 202	494	257	25.5	15.4	Sahuarita town (part)
219	107	16	2 271	107.0	3 205	1 580	342	166	31.8	7.3	Three Points CDP (part)
106	34	7	1 173	102.9	1 470	749	147	72	38.2	6.2	Valencia West CDP
1 619	803	139	12 611	103.1	18 215	9 030	2 561	1 260	28.9	10.0	Marana CCD
294	145	22	2 459	104.9	3 451	1 706	461	217	31.5	9.2	Avra Valley CDP
115	74	11	837	127.2	1 477	635	200	106	22.3	10.5	Marana town (part)
408	197	35	4 017	102.6	5 698	2 852	640	304	30.0	7.9	Picture Rocks CDP (part)
36	14	3	283	101.8	393	198	53	24	31.2	9.3	Three Points CDP (part)
593	295	57	3 407	97.2	4 908	2 484	945	476	27.0	14.1	Tucson Estates CDP (part)
313	130	47	3 884	92.9	4 600	2 475	490	292	38.6	6.5	Papago CCD

Table 1. **Age and Sex: 2000**—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total population	Median age	Age									
			Under 5 years	5 to 17 years	18 to 20 years	21 to 24 years	25 to 34 years	35 to 44 years	45 to 54 years	55 to 59 years	60 to 64 years	
Pima County—Con.												
Papago CCD—Con.												
Pisinemo CDP	237	27.5	20	61	12	19	27	29	34	9	10	
Santa Rosa CDP	438	29.3	40	107	13	25	80	44	49	25	9	
Sells CDP	2 799	23.2	340	832	139	162	392	352	266	99	78	
Tucson CCD	756 298	35.2	50 426	134 812	39 340	46 401	104 835	114 766	100 590	35 815	28 977	
Casas Adobes CDP	54 011	39.4	3 121	9 318	1 855	2 450	6 829	8 429	8 401	2 846	2 041	
Catalina CDP	7 025	38.9	380	1 551	280	248	681	1 114	1 057	378	346	
Catalina Foothills CDP	53 794	45.4	2 098	8 606	1 481	1 935	4 605	7 761	9 933	3 974	3 188	
Corona de Tucson CDP	813	51.2	27	86	15	18	50	108	177	67	70	
Drexel-Alvernon CDP	4 192	30.0	322	1 029	211	253	559	677	531	198	139	
Drexel Heights CDP (part)	10 451	34.5	730	2 316	452	530	1 264	1 521	1 545	565	441	
East Sahuarita CDP (part)	1 419	31.6	107	349	68	63	186	229	181	89	45	
Flowing Wells CDP	15 050	37.6	995	2 831	522	666	1 930	2 216	1 734	732	683	
Green Valley CDP (part)	508	85.1	2	1	1	4	2	3	2	1	-	
Littleton CDP	1 010	30.1	91	248	52	56	112	156	163	38	29	
Marana town (part)	11 654	34.5	1 058	2 143	298	459	1 975	1 997	1 450	679	501	
Oro Valley town	29 700	45.3	1 493	4 899	679	666	2 454	4 530	4 401	1 970	1 868	
Picture Rocks CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Sahuarita town (part)	35	35.3	2	8	1	1	5	3	2	2	5	
South Tucson city	5 490	30.9	471	1 259	229	323	759	741	668	258	233	
Summit CDP	3 702	28.7	335	953	185	186	530	573	438	144	101	
Tanque Verde CDP	16 195	43.7	626	3 472	486	312	796	2 878	3 500	1 327	860	
Tortolita CDP	3 740	39.0	201	830	138	121	375	657	761	215	133	
Tucson city	486 699	32.1	35 201	84 416	30 776	36 534	76 394	72 289	57 608	19 597	16 056	
Tucson Estates CDP (part)	3 035	70.6	15	69	14	19	50	110	218	234	324	
Vail CDP	2 484	35.1	206	583	72	68	310	516	390	124	72	
Pinal County	179 727	37.1	12 066	33 015	6 961	8 757	23 594	25 384	21 405	9 687	9 687	
Casa Grande CCD	34 555	33.1	2 839	7 646	1 451	1 707	4 427	4 698	3 980	1 604	1 456	
Casa Grande city (part)	25 204	32.3	2 189	5 603	1 037	1 301	3 314	3 346	2 781	1 128	1 037	
Coolidge CCD	12 128	31.9	1 013	2 791	751	613	1 321	1 690	1 471	544	448	
Coolidge city	7 786	31.2	682	1 876	409	401	856	1 047	878	321	276	
Eloy CCD	17 164	30.9	1 390	3 843	735	990	2 676	2 539	1 771	691	677	
Arizona City CDP	4 385	41.1	276	735	126	157	523	570	470	268	290	
Casa Grande city (part)	20	47.5	4	1	-	1	1	2	3	5	2	
Eloy city	10 375	27.5	923	2 578	526	720	1 798	1 569	1 025	314	261	
Florence CCD	20 798	35.8	577	1 724	986	1 809	4 925	4 589	2 547	791	750	
Florence town	17 054	35.4	333	961	839	1 684	4 522	4 027	1 969	563	530	
Gila River CCD	8 591	23.3	844	2 767	438	415	1 146	1 140	839	252	206	
Blackwater CDP	504	21.9	57	152	36	26	42	74	69	12	8	
Sacaton CDP	1 584	25.0	142	466	91	94	249	201	145	65	43	
Santan CDP	651	23.5	51	224	26	33	96	89	62	16	16	
Maricopa-Stanfield CCD	8 546	30.9	767	2 016	397	410	1 145	1 278	1 085	423	350	
Ak-Chin Village CDP	669	24.1	76	201	23	44	122	82	61	16	18	
Maricopa CDP	1 040	27.5	107	250	59	67	156	159	94	40	35	
Stanfield CDP	651	28.2	62	150	43	34	96	86	68	27	20	
North Pinal CCD	59 965	44.4	3 615	9 125	1 621	2 194	6 377	7 499	7 536	4 025	4 066	
Apache Junction city (part)	31 541	43.8	2 002	4 498	860	1 336	3 664	3 835	3 482	1 963	2 007	
Gold Camp CDP	6 029	56.3	212	536	68	113	480	596	855	628	745	
Hayden town (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Kearny town	2 249	36.9	180	492	88	83	250	239	337	146	117	
Queen Creek town (part)	119	36.8	10	15	8	6	18	16	18	9	10	
Queen Valley CDP	820	64.8	7	54	10	2	24	61	107	52	98	
Superior town	3 254	39.2	210	666	128	131	307	400	440	162	161	
Top-of-the-World CDP (part)	330	38.7	18	73	12	6	39	53	48	14	19	
Papago CCD	741	24.3	67	233	34	44	95	96	83	24	23	
Chuichu CDP	339	24.5	33	101	21	17	42	47	40	12	10	
San Manuel CCD	17 239	46.7	954	2 870	548	575	1 482	1 855	2 093	1 333	1 711	
Dudleyville CDP	1 323	32.9	123	311	44	54	158	180	162	81	57	
Mammoth town	1 762	31.7	147	443	76	81	213	242	203	69	83	
Oracle CDP	3 563	39.5	226	715	134	139	360	516	605	220	166	
San Manuel CDP	4 375	31.7	363	1 063	181	204	547	634	522	184	217	
Winkelman town (part)	4	24.5	-	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Santa Cruz County	38 381	31.8	3 333	9 580	1 555	1 592	4 720	5 508	4 719	1 701	1 559	
Nogales CCD	35 600	30.4	3 212	9 161	1 500	1 535	4 544	5 141	4 166	1 464	1 338	
Amado CDP	275	36.8	29	51	9	7	34	34	37	18	16	
Nogales city	20 878	29.8	1 830	5 398	957	1 032	2 498	2 836	2 411	859	797	
Rio Rico Northeast CDP	3 164	32.2	302	783	95	87	452	532	396	134	126	
Rio Rico Northwest CDP	2 882	24.1	330	872	143	130	498	458	267	56	51	
Rio Rico Southeast CDP	1 590	27.1	176	463	69	53	258	264	162	45	25	
Rio Rico Southwest CDP	2 777	27.8	280	832	102	86	422	497	284	73	62	
Tubac CDP	949	58.5	27	90	13	11	32	83	164	86	109	
Tumacacori-Carmen CDP	569	41.2	37	98	16	28	62	86	81	54	28	
Patagonia CCD	2 781	49.1	121	419	55	57	176	367	553	237	221	
Elgin CDP	309	43.1	13	70	9	2	11	66	61	20	18	
Patagonia town	881	47.3	46	138	20	27	83	96	171	60	52	
Sonoita CDP	826	50.1	46	106	9	12	55	120	140	91	80	
Yavapai County	167 517	44.5	8 648	26 755	5 952	5 998	15 335	22 165	24 300	11 003	10 545	
Ashfork CCD	1 891	41.7	98	361	61	74	162	287	311	148	107	
Ash Fork CDP	457	33.8	33	98	24	38	42	73	55	24	19	
Seligman CDP	456	40.6	24	97	10	14	43	73	57	31	22	
Congress CCD	8 445	51.8	305	1 115	178	178	556	1 050	1 254	691	833	
Black Canyon City CDP (part)	2 697	47.4	119	353	65	66	225	416	473	195	203	
Congress CDP	1 717	60.4	41	188	23	39	78	150	184	142	226	
Cordes Lakes CDP (part)	13	32.3	2	3	-	-	3	1	-	-	-	
Mayer CDP (part)	5	55.5	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	2	1	
Peoples Valley CDP	374	57.0	14	40	4	5	17	49	48	26	45	
Peoria city (part)	1	72.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Spring Valley CDP	1 019	48.8	35	200	24	11	73	116	119	80	89	
Wilhoit CDP	664	50.4	25	102	11	19	46	71	105	42	77	
Yarnell CDP	645	56.6	9	63	9	2	22	84	116	62	65	
Humboldt CCD	5 295	45.6	262	921	158	118	417	720	847	414	372	
Black Canyon City CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Table 1. **Age and Sex: 2000—Con.**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Age—Con.			Age and sex						Percent of total population		State County County Subdivision Place
65 to 74 years	75 to 84 years	85 years and over	All ages		18 years and over		65 years and over		Under 18 years	65 years and over	
			Female	Males per 100 females	Total	Female	Total	Female			
											Pima County—Con.
7	8	1	116	104.3	156	83	16	11	34.2	6.8	Papago CCD—Con.
30	14	2	228	92.1	291	151	46	26	33.6	10.5	Pisinemo CDP
99	26	14	1 448	93.3	1 627	893	139	83	41.9	5.0	Santa Rosa CDP
52 572	36 263	11 501	386 331	95.8	571 060	296 168	100 336	57 811	24.5	13.3	Sells CDP
3 972	3 330	1 419	28 401	90.2	41 572	22 271	8 721	5 289	23.0	16.1	Tucson CCD
554	360	76	3 487	101.5	5 094	2 650	990	549	27.5	14.1	Casas Adobes CDP
5 641	3 623	949	27 810	93.4	43 090	22 555	10 213	5 486	19.9	19.0	Catalina CDP
113	66	16	424	91.7	700	365	195	103	13.9	24.0	Catalina Foothills CDP
169	88	16	2 132	96.6	2 841	1 444	273	142	32.2	6.5	Corona de Tucson CDP
659	356	72	5 258	98.8	7 405	3 833	1 087	582	29.1	10.4	Drexel-Alvernon CDP
70	28	4	717	97.9	963	486	102	51	32.1	7.2	Drexel Heights CDP (part)
1 451	1 020	270	7 859	91.5	11 224	5 981	2 741	1 628	25.4	18.2	East Sahuarita CDP (part)
24	211	257	340	49.4	505	337	492	333	0.6	96.9	Flowing Wells CDP
38	25	2	515	96.1	671	349	65	30	33.6	6.4	Green Valley CDP (part)
753	290	51	5 928	96.6	8 453	4 360	1 094	549	27.5	9.4	Littleton CDP
4 244	2 141	355	15 281	94.4	23 308	12 203	6 740	3 424	21.5	22.7	Marana town (part)
-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	Oro Valley town
4	1	1	19	84.2	25	14	6	3	28.6	17.1	Picture Rocks CDP (part)
281	220	48	2 581	112.7	3 760	1 754	549	320	31.5	10.0	Sahuarita town (part)
159	87	11	1 786	107.3	2 414	1 185	257	146	34.8	6.9	South Tucson city
1 270	548	120	8 057	101.0	12 097	6 112	1 938	947	25.3	12.0	Summit CDP
173	115	21	1 873	99.7	2 709	1 383	309	162	27.6	8.3	Tanque Verde CDP
29 117	21 394	7 317	248 291	96.0	367 082	189 923	57 828	34 489	24.6	11.9	Tortolita CDP
927	852	203	1 687	79.9	2 951	1 642	1 982	1 089	2.8	65.3	Tucson city
99	33	11	1 235	101.1	1 695	842	143	72	31.8	5.8	Tucson Estates CDP (part)
											Vail CDP
17 990	9 173	2 008	83 897	114.2	134 646	62 050	29 171	14 972	25.1	16.2	Pinal County
2 801	1 594	352	17 440	98.1	24 070	12 417	4 747	2 544	30.3	13.7	Casa Grande CCD
2 065	1 127	276	12 784	97.2	17 412	9 089	3 468	1 898	30.9	13.8	Casa Grande city (part)
861	492	133	6 148	97.3	8 324	4 309	1 486	808	31.4	12.3	Coolidge CCD
557	385	98	4 029	93.2	5 228	2 779	1 040	592	32.9	13.4	Coolidge city
1 183	545	124	7 637	124.7	11 931	5 125	1 852	936	30.5	10.8	Eloy CCD
620	297	53	2 186	100.6	3 374	1 710	970	471	23.1	22.1	Arizona City CDP
1	-	-	11	81.8	15	9	1	1	25.0	5.0	Casa Grande city (part)
406	199	56	4 376	137.1	6 874	2 698	661	365	33.7	6.4	Eloy city
1 288	678	134	4 735	339.2	18 497	3 618	2 100	999	11.1	10.1	Florence CCD
994	541	91	2 926	482.8	15 760	2 309	1 626	771	7.6	9.5	Florence town
290	165	89	4 535	89.4	4 980	2 633	544	328	42.0	6.3	Gila River CCD
21	7	-	265	90.2	295	149	28	16	41.5	5.6	Blackwater CDP
60	23	5	812	95.1	976	494	88	61	38.4	5.6	Sacaton CDP
29	4	5	362	79.8	376	204	38	21	42.2	5.8	Santan CDP
464	172	39	4 065	110.2	5 763	2 717	675	322	32.6	7.9	Maricopa-Stanfield CCD
14	11	1	364	83.8	392	211	26	19	41.4	3.9	Ak-Chin Village CDP
49	18	6	467	122.7	683	303	73	37	34.3	7.0	Maricopa CDP
37	18	10	323	101.5	439	219	65	42	32.6	10.0	Stanfield CDP
8 362	4 570	975	30 277	98.1	47 225	24 159	13 907	7 204	21.2	23.2	North Pinal CCD
4 505	2 734	655	16 123	95.6	25 041	12 960	7 894	4 199	20.6	25.0	Apache Junction city (part)
1 339	418	39	3 078	95.9	5 281	2 701	1 796	838	12.4	29.8	Gold Camp CDP
-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	Hayden town (part)
186	109	22	1 143	96.8	1 577	832	317	177	29.9	14.1	Kearny town
5	3	1	51	133.3	94	41	9	1	21.0	7.6	Queen Creek town (part)
219	153	33	404	103.0	759	378	405	194	7.4	49.4	Queen Valley CDP
389	207	53	1 632	99.4	2 378	1 197	649	332	26.9	19.9	Superior town
32	10	6	172	91.9	239	127	48	28	27.6	14.5	Top-of-the-World CDP (part)
24	17	1	380	95.0	441	231	42	26	40.5	5.7	Papago CCD
7	9	-	176	92.6	205	110	16	11	39.5	4.7	Chuichu CDP
2 717	940	161	8 680	98.6	13 415	6 841	3 818	1 805	22.2	22.1	San Manuel CCD
98	48	7	650	103.5	889	442	153	74	32.8	11.6	Dudleyville CDP
127	58	20	891	97.8	1 172	600	205	102	33.5	11.6	Mammoth town
303	147	32	1 804	97.5	2 622	1 339	482	260	26.4	13.5	Oracle CDP
310	127	23	2 160	102.5	2 949	1 489	460	236	32.6	10.5	San Manuel CDP
-	-	-	1	300.0	2	1	-	-	50.0	-	Winkelman town (part)
2 399	1 364	351	20 018	91.7	25 468	13 678	4 114	2 305	33.6	10.7	Santa Cruz County
2 072	1 167	300	18 602	91.4	23 227	12 513	3 539	2 021	34.8	9.9	Nogales CCD
22	12	6	142	93.7	195	101	40	20	29.1	14.5	Amado CDP
1 271	777	212	11 099	88.1	13 650	7 527	2 260	1 371	34.6	10.8	Nogales city
165	83	9	1 610	96.5	2 079	1 073	257	122	34.3	8.1	Rio Rico Northeast CDP
47	24	6	1 500	92.1	1 680	885	77	44	41.7	2.7	Rio Rico Northwest CDP
48	23	4	776	104.9	951	500	75	38	40.2	4.7	Rio Rico Southeast CDP
97	39	3	1 420	95.6	1 665	866	139	73	40.0	5.0	Rio Rico Southwest CDP
198	104	32	493	92.5	832	439	334	171	12.3	35.2	Tubac CDP
45	19	15	289	96.9	434	221	79	43	23.7	13.9	Tumacacori-Carmen CDP
327	197	51	1 416	96.4	2 241	1 165	575	284	19.4	20.7	Patagonia CCD
27	11	1	143	116.1	226	113	39	18	26.9	12.6	Elgin CDP
99	70	19	469	87.8	697	375	188	99	20.9	21.3	Patagonia town
95	56	16	429	92.5	674	354	167	79	18.4	20.2	Sonoita CDP
20 257	13 030	3 529	85 396	96.2	132 114	68 265	36 816	19 613	21.1	22.0	Yavapai County
186	88	8	885	113.7	1 432	672	282	136	24.3	14.9	Ashfork CCD
34	15	2	175	161.1	326	134	51	26	28.7	11.2	Ash Fork CDP
49	33	3	232	96.6	335	172	85	47	26.5	18.6	Seligman CDP
1 428	701	156	4 144	103.8	7 025	3 454	2 285	1 078	16.8	27.1	Congress CCD
325	197	60	1 286	109.7	2 225	1 069	582	279	17.5	21.6	Black Canyon City CDP (part)
437	180	29	856	100.6	1 488	757	646	303	13.3	37.6	Congress CDP
2	2	-	8	62.5	8	4	4	2	38.5	30.8	Cordes Lakes CDP (part)
-	-	-	2	150.0	4	2	-	-	20.0	-	Mayer CDP (part)
91	31	4	194	92.8	320	168	126	64	14.4	33.7	Peeples Valley CDP
1	-	-	1	-	1	1	1	1	-	100.0	Peoria city (part)
183	76	13	537	89.8	784	402	272	136	23.1	26.7	Spring Valley CDP
97	55	14	349	90.3	537	275	166	82	19.1	25.0	Wilhoit CDP
118	76	19	301	114.3	573	269	213	97	11.2	33.0	Yarnell CDP
651	327	88	2 630	101.3	4 112	2 058	1 066	524	22.3	20.1	Humboldt CCD
-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	Black Canyon City CDP (part)



Table 1. **Age and Sex: 2000**—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total population	Median age	Age									
			Under 5 years	5 to 17 years	18 to 20 years	21 to 24 years	25 to 34 years	35 to 44 years	45 to 54 years	55 to 59 years	60 to 64 years	
Yavapai County—Con.												
Humboldt CCD—Con.												
Camp Verde town (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cordes Lakes CDP (part) .....	2 045	46.8	99	333	62	38	180	263	270	131	156	
Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part) .....	1 017	47.0	52	170	26	16	62	134	188	100	93	
Mayer CDP (part) .....	1 391	43.7	70	260	44	36	107	218	211	104	74	
Mingus Mountain CCD .....	24 416	42.4	1 496	4 280	809	832	2 450	3 185	3 215	1 280	1 356	
Camp Verde town (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Clarkdale town .....	3 422	46.0	211	526	97	114	289	408	480	190	220	
Cottonwood city (part) .....	9 179	41.0	626	1 523	352	397	1 042	1 094	1 029	455	477	
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP (part) .....	9 726	42.0	565	1 849	307	271	921	1 370	1 290	474	526	
Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part) .....	94	47.0	2	21	3	1	7	12	17	5	6	
Jerome town .....	329	46.4	4	38	9	14	30	58	97	26	12	
Prescott Valley town (part) .....	403	48.6	17	48	8	8	56	43	58	40	50	
Prescott CCD .....	95 978	43.5	5 072	15 458	3 858	3 928	9 037	12 640	13 591	6 130	5 805	
Bagdad CDP .....	1 578	31.6	120	382	74	67	217	266	265	79	47	
Chino Valley town .....	7 835	39.8	475	1 604	302	255	801	1 158	1 122	446	399	
Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part) .....	5 184	55.7	167	647	116	92	282	532	705	390	471	
Mayer CDP (part) .....	12	41.5	-	2	-	1	1	3	3	1	1	
Paulden CDP .....	3 420	35.3	253	826	107	105	404	565	507	213	148	
Prescott city .....	33 938	47.8	1 264	4 123	1 926	1 873	2 756	3 660	4 713	2 279	2 259	
Prescott Valley town (part) .....	23 132	37.1	1 771	4 463	741	957	2 953	3 407	2 717	1 062	1 091	
Williamson CDP .....	3 776	50.8	126	542	85	60	171	473	783	439	338	
Verde CCD .....	31 492	46.9	1 415	4 620	888	868	2 713	4 283	5 082	2 340	2 072	
Big Park CDP .....	5 245	55.5	147	521	81	84	346	583	817	477	435	
Camp Verde town (part) .....	9 451	42.0	578	1 687	352	328	917	1 260	1 307	548	538	
Cornville CDP .....	3 335	41.4	170	647	111	96	307	557	623	226	151	
Cottonwood city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP (part) .....	884	42.5	43	153	21	25	90	140	133	57	51	
Lake Montezuma CDP .....	3 344	44.7	188	466	89	124	352	466	510	236	208	
Sedona city (part) .....	7 229	49.0	230	892	172	176	573	1 038	1 334	601	515	
Yuma County .....	160 026	33.9	12 717	33 554	7 257	8 790	20 054	20 945	15 903	6 747	7 603	
Somerton CCD .....	30 198	26.4	2 733	8 192	1 614	1 876	4 729	4 607	2 999	832	760	
Gadsden CDP .....	953	25.0	86	289	57	45	119	146	105	26	20	
San Luis city .....	15 322	25.8	1 384	4 065	863	1 118	2 738	2 494	1 381	357	316	
Somerton city .....	7 266	24.9	732	2 091	413	403	1 061	997	664	208	176	
Yuma city (part) .....	11	17.5	-	6	-	-	2	1	-	2	-	
Wellton CCD .....	7 011	35.2	521	1 576	297	323	768	911	761	331	384	
Tacna CDP .....	555	35.5	39	129	20	22	65	79	71	22	13	
Wellton town .....	1 829	46.9	107	330	66	57	151	167	172	101	166	
Yuma city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Yuma CCD .....	122 817	36.0	9 463	23 786	5 346	6 591	14 557	15 427	12 143	5 584	6 459	
Fortuna Foothills CDP .....	20 478	62.9	692	1 718	263	308	1 244	1 430	1 734	1 562	2 423	
Yuma city (part) .....	77 504	31.2	6 714	16 210	4 063	5 187	10 437	10 558	7 836	2 898	2 852	

Table 1. **Age and Sex: 2000**—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Age—Con.			Age and sex						Percent of total population		State County County Subdivision Place
65 to 74 years	75 to 84 years	85 years and over	All ages		18 years and over		65 years and over		Under 18 years	65 years and over	
			Female	Males per 100 females	Total	Female	Total	Female			
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	Yavapai County—Con. Humboldt CCD—Con. Camp Verde town (part) Cordes Lakes CDP (part) Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part) Mayer CDP (part) Mingus Mountain CCD Camp Verde town (part) Clarkdale town Cottonwood city (part) Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP (part) Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part) Jerome town Prescott Valley town (part) Prescott CCD Bagdad CDP Chino Valley town Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part) Mayer CDP (part) Palden CDP Prescott city Prescott Valley town (part) Williamson CDP Verde CCD Big Park CDP Camp Verde town (part) Cornville CDP Cottonwood city (part) Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP (part) Lake Montezuma CDP Sedona city (part)
320	155	38	1 002	104.1	1 613	792	513	253	21.1	25.1	
111	53	12	507	100.6	795	402	176	83	21.8	17.3	
155	86	26	697	99.6	1 061	536	267	133	23.7	19.2	
2 733	2 158	622	12 844	90.1	18 640	10 030	5 513	3 143	23.7	22.6	
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	
462	355	70	1 772	93.1	2 685	1 416	887	490	21.5	25.9	
978	887	319	4 910	86.9	7 030	3 875	2 184	1 300	23.4	23.8	
1 097	841	215	5 093	91.0	7 312	3 922	2 153	1 206	24.8	22.1	
11	5	4	42	123.8	71	33	20	9	24.5	21.3	
25	10	6	162	103.1	287	145	41	22	12.8	12.5	
50	22	3	207	94.7	338	176	75	41	16.1	18.6	
11 317	7 220	1 922	48 609	97.4	75 448	38 707	20 459	10 871	21.4	21.3	
45	12	4	766	106.0	1 076	511	61	32	31.8	3.9	
746	439	88	3 990	96.4	5 756	3 006	1 273	694	26.5	16.2	
1 037	635	110	2 661	94.8	4 370	2 266	1 782	897	15.7	34.4	
-	-	-	8	50.0	10	6	-	-	16.7	-	
202	75	15	1 687	102.7	2 341	1 140	292	147	31.5	8.5	
4 689	3 332	1 064	17 235	96.9	28 551	14 693	9 085	5 001	15.9	26.8	
2 255	1 398	317	11 777	96.4	16 898	8 758	3 970	2 123	26.9	17.2	
499	197	63	1 919	96.8	3 108	1 587	759	356	17.7	20.1	
3 942	2 536	733	16 284	93.4	25 457	13 344	7 211	3 861	19.2	22.9	
878	640	236	2 861	83.3	4 577	2 522	1 754	970	12.7	33.4	
1 123	646	167	4 691	101.5	7 186	3 590	1 936	959	24.0	20.5	
272	141	34	1 655	101.5	2 518	1 279	447	228	24.5	13.4	
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	
98	55	18	449	96.9	688	354	171	85	22.2	19.3	
386	256	63	1 735	92.7	2 690	1 400	705	372	19.6	21.1	
889	630	179	3 869	86.8	6 107	3 314	1 698	977	15.5	23.5	
15 941	8 736	1 779	79 227	102.0	113 755	56 566	26 456	13 440	28.9	16.5	
1 262	503	91	14 285	111.4	19 273	8 884	1 856	884	36.2	6.1	
45	12	3	471	102.3	578	295	60	28	39.3	6.3	
443	139	24	6 766	126.5	9 873	4 037	606	269	35.6	4.0	
316	176	29	3 698	96.5	4 443	2 310	521	256	38.9	7.2	
-	-	-	6	83.3	5	3	-	-	54.5	-	
796	302	41	3 331	110.5	4 914	2 351	1 139	514	29.9	16.2	
47	41	7	270	105.6	387	196	95	48	30.3	17.1	
366	127	19	921	98.6	1 392	707	512	234	23.9	28.0	
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	
13 883	7 931	1 647	61 611	99.3	89 568	45 331	23 461	12 042	27.1	19.1	
5 845	2 879	380	10 367	97.5	18 068	9 222	9 104	4 368	11.8	44.5	
5 718	3 965	1 066	38 920	99.1	54 580	27 678	10 749	5 921	29.6	13.9	
											Yuma County Somerton CCD Gadsden CDP San Luis city Somerton city Yuma city (part) Wellton CCD Tacna CDP Wellton town Yuma city (part) Yuma CCD Fortuna Foothills CDP Yuma city (part)

**Table 2. Age and Sex: 2000**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Total population	Median age	Age								
			Under 5 years	5 to 17 years	18 to 20 years	21 to 24 years	25 to 34 years	35 to 44 years	45 to 54 years	55 to 59 years	60 to 64 years
<b>The State</b> .....	<b>5 130 632</b>	<b>34.2</b>	<b>382 386</b>	<b>984 561</b>	<b>227 406</b>	<b>286 695</b>	<b>742 665</b>	<b>768 804</b>	<b>627 904</b>	<b>238 675</b>	<b>203 697</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>											
Apache County .....	69 423	27.0	6 300	20 431	3 277	3 282	7 964	9 468	7 614	2 892	2 454
Cochise County .....	117 755	36.9	7 966	23 033	5 343	5 615	13 981	16 597	15 373	6 335	6 147
Coconino County .....	116 320	29.6	8 444	24 981	7 188	9 618	16 280	17 637	15 591	4 777	3 661
Gila County .....	51 335	42.3	3 116	9 774	1 650	1 630	4 726	6 704	7 051	3 351	3 174
Graham County .....	33 489	30.9	2 604	7 473	2 118	1 904	4 514	4 618	3 635	1 387	1 251
Greenlee County .....	8 547	33.6	708	2 004	317	325	1 069	1 344	1 206	415	310
La Paz County .....	19 715	46.8	962	3 197	581	631	1 692	2 326	2 402	1 350	1 486
Maricopa County .....	3 072 149	33.0	241 974	586 029	133 989	179 376	488 329	475 907	366 464	133 812	107 290
Mohave County .....	155 032	42.9	9 368	26 492	4 675	5 340	15 446	20 552	20 302	10 366	10 763
Navajo County .....	97 470	30.2	8 351	26 176	4 253	4 358	11 087	13 588	11 376	4 501	4 022
Pima County .....	843 746	35.7	55 829	152 067	42 290	49 479	113 874	126 061	110 563	40 351	33 745
Pinal County .....	179 727	37.1	12 066	33 015	6 961	8 757	23 594	25 384	21 405	9 687	9 687
Santa Cruz County .....	38 381	31.8	3 333	9 580	1 555	1 592	4 720	5 508	4 719	1 701	1 559
Yavapai County .....	167 517	44.5	8 648	26 755	5 952	5 998	15 335	22 165	24 300	11 003	10 545
Yuma County .....	160 026	33.9	12 717	33 554	7 257	8 790	20 054	20 945	15 903	6 747	7 603
<b>PLACE</b>											
Ajo CDP, Pima County .....	3 705	51.6	188	575	90	90	292	344	419	239	278
Ak-Chin Village CDP, Pinal County .....	669	24.1	76	201	23	44	122	82	61	16	18
Amado CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	275	36.8	29	51	9	7	34	34	37	18	16
Apache Junction city .....	31 814	44.1	2 009	4 506	863	1 346	3 676	3 848	3 506	1 977	2 033
Maricopa County (part) .....	273	67.4	7	8	3	10	12	13	24	14	26
Pinal County (part) .....	31 541	43.8	2 002	4 498	860	1 336	3 664	3 835	3 482	1 963	2 007
Arizona City CDP, Pinal County .....	4 385	41.1	276	735	126	157	523	570	470	268	290
Arizona Village CDP, Mohave County .....	351	18.9	44	127	19	26	44	49	28	3	5
Ash Fork CDP, Yavapai County .....	457	33.8	33	98	24	38	42	73	55	24	19
Avondale city, Maricopa County .....	35 883	29.0	3 500	8 788	1 597	1 888	6 037	5 825	4 139	1 320	891
Avra Valley CDP, Pima County .....	5 038	34.2	311	1 276	227	156	616	858	653	258	222
Bagdad CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 578	31.6	120	382	74	67	217	266	265	79	47
Benson city, Cochise County .....	4 711	49.6	249	672	163	151	347	499	584	281	384
Big Park CDP, Yavapai County .....	5 245	55.5	147	521	81	84	346	583	817	477	435
Bisbee city, Cochise County .....	6 090	43.2	362	956	198	216	628	842	951	397	347
Bitter Springs CDP, Coconino County .....	547	18.6	63	201	42	36	61	73	44	5	9
Black Canyon City CDP, Yavapai County .....	2 697	47.4	119	353	65	66	225	416	473	195	203
Blackwater CDP, Pinal County .....	504	21.9	57	152	36	26	42	74	69	12	8
Bluewater CDP, La Paz County .....	730	50.1	40	101	17	17	61	89	77	43	43
Bouse CDP, La Paz County .....	615	65.4	13	47	4	3	29	23	62	48	70
Buckeye town, Maricopa County .....	6 537	30.0	606	1 601	287	356	875	1 014	769	283	209
Bullhead City city, Mohave County .....	33 769	41.8	2 155	5 439	1 034	1 437	3 807	4 451	4 374	2 263	2 330
Burnside CDP, Apache County .....	632	21.4	77	206	29	34	90	84	54	21	11
Cameron CDP, Coconino County .....	978	23.5	117	286	49	58	144	125	84	25	25
Camp Verde town, Yavapai County .....	9 451	42.0	578	1 687	352	328	917	1 260	1 307	548	538
Canyon Day CDP, Gila County .....	1 092	23.2	112	364	47	54	147	138	112	40	34
Carefree town, Maricopa County .....	2 927	55.2	63	310	35	23	102	349	570	336	280
Casa Grande city, Pinal County .....	25 224	32.3	2 193	5 604	1 037	1 302	3 315	3 348	2 784	1 133	1 039
Casas Adobes CDP, Pima County .....	54 011	39.4	3 121	9 318	1 855	2 450	6 829	8 429	8 401	2 846	2 041
Catalina CDP, Pima County .....	7 025	38.9	380	1 551	280	248	681	1 114	1 057	378	346
Catalina Foothills CDP, Pima County .....	53 794	45.4	2 098	8 606	1 481	1 935	4 605	7 761	9 933	3 974	3 188
Cave Creek town, Maricopa County .....	3 728	44.7	135	644	126	79	236	667	795	312	237
Central Heights-Midland City CDP, Gila County .....	2 694	39.6	195	524	74	120	281	353	374	183	141
Chandler city, Maricopa County .....	176 581	31.2	16 009	36 616	6 423	8 800	33 505	33 528	20 890	6 105	4 421
Chilchinbito CDP, Navajo County .....	462	20.9	37	160	35	25	53	58	41	9	13
Chinle CDP, Apache County .....	5 366	21.9	587	1 770	264	298	682	703	518	162	111
Chino Valley town, Yavapai County .....	7 835	39.8	475	1 604	302	255	801	1 158	1 122	446	399
Chuichu CDP, Pinal County .....	339	24.5	33	101	21	17	42	47	40	12	10
Cibecue CDP, Navajo County .....	1 331	20.7	125	476	72	69	177	168	116	38	28
Cibola CDP, La Paz County .....	172	36.5	8	45	3	3	19	24	22	11	9
Clarkdale town, Yavapai County .....	3 422	46.0	211	526	97	114	289	408	480	190	220
Claypool CDP, Gila County .....	1 794	39.4	124	375	64	67	176	241	273	114	78
Clifton town, Greenlee County .....	2 596	31.9	229	610	104	96	341	432	335	107	59
Colorado City town, Mohave County .....	3 334	14.3	683	1 331	186	194	409	264	141	47	23
Congress CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 717	60.4	41	188	23	39	78	150	184	142	226
Coolidge city, Pinal County .....	7 786	31.2	682	1 876	409	401	856	1 047	878	321	276
Cordes Lakes CDP, Yavapai County .....	2 058	46.7	101	336	62	38	183	264	270	131	156
Corville CDP, Yavapai County .....	3 335	41.4	170	647	111	96	307	557	623	226	151
Corona de Tucson CDP, Pima County .....	813	51.2	27	86	15	18	50	108	177	67	70
Cottonwood city, Yavapai County .....	9 179	41.0	626	1 523	352	397	1 042	1 094	1 029	455	477
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP, Yavapai County .....	10 610	42.0	608	2 002	328	296	1 011	1 510	1 423	531	577
Dennehotso CDP, Apache County .....	734	21.4	52	275	37	32	77	103	62	28	23
Desert Hills CDP, Mohave County .....	2 183	52.0	101	261	40	69	186	249	279	179	221
Dewey-Humboldt CDP, Yavapai County .....	6 295	53.9	221	838	145	109	351	678	910	495	570
Dilkon CDP, Navajo County .....	1 265	19.4	128	464	78	56	140	161	109	45	27
Dolan Springs CDP, Mohave County .....	1 867	56.7	77	203	30	30	91	204	242	156	186
Douglas city, Cochise County .....	14 312	30.5	1 280	3 518	732	656	1 708	1 876	1 539	570	560
Drexel-Alvernon CDP, Pima County .....	4 192	30.0	322	1 029	211	253	559	677	531	198	139
Drexel Heights CDP, Pima County .....	23 849	31.8	1 877	5 734	1 021	1 224	3 124	3 688	3 304	1 099	844
Dudleville CDP, Pinal County .....	1 323	32.9	123	311	44	54	158	180	162	81	57
Duncan town, Greenlee County .....	812	28.4	84	202	42	44	78	102	97	37	38
Eagar town, Apache County .....	4 033	33.1	317	1 144	152	153	332	614	585	199	164
East Fork CDP, Navajo County .....	880	23.8	89	269	48	46	120	112	103	32	20
East Sahuarita CDP, Pima County .....	1 419	31.6	107	349	68	63	186	229	181	89	45
Ehrenberg CDP, La Paz County .....	1 357	37.0	85	295	54	55	146	225	171	84	69
Elgin CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	309	43.1	13	70	9	2	11	66	61	20	18

Table 2. **Age and Sex: 2000**—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Age—Con.			Age and sex						Percent of total population		State County Place
65 to 74 years	75 to 84 years	85 years and over	All ages		18 years and over		65 years and over		Under 18 years	65 years and over	
			Female	Males per 100 females	Total	Female	Total	Female			
<b>363 841</b>	<b>235 473</b>	<b>68 525</b>	<b>2 569 575</b>	<b>99.7</b>	<b>3 763 685</b>	<b>1 903 939</b>	<b>667 839</b>	<b>371 572</b>	<b>26.6</b>	<b>13.0</b>	<b>The State</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>											
3 478	1 635	628	35 020	98.2	42 692	21 944	5 741	3 141	38.5	8.3	Apache County
10 187	5 670	1 508	58 398	101.6	86 756	43 122	17 365	9 181	26.3	14.7	Cochise County
5 015	2 434	694	58 259	99.7	82 895	42 046	8 143	4 409	28.7	7.0	Coconino County
5 748	3 426	985	26 086	96.8	38 445	19 794	10 159	5 482	25.1	19.8	Gila County
2 191	1 366	428	15 761	112.5	23 412	10 884	3 985	2 232	30.1	11.9	Graham County
505	270	74	4 085	109.2	5 835	2 805	849	458	31.7	9.9	Greenlee County
3 268	1 545	275	9 592	105.5	15 556	7 585	5 088	2 420	21.1	25.8	La Paz County
188 816	130 036	40 127	1 535 676	100.1	2 244 146	1 132 745	358 979	204 517	27.0	11.7	Maricopa County
19 067	10 407	2 254	77 933	98.9	119 172	60 569	31 728	16 244	23.1	20.5	Mohave County
6 063	2 882	813	49 043	98.7	62 943	31 921	9 758	5 220	35.4	10.0	Navajo County
62 916	43 499	13 072	431 184	95.7	635 850	329 965	119 487	67 938	24.6	14.2	Pima County
17 990	9 173	2 008	83 897	114.2	134 646	62 050	29 171	14 972	25.1	16.2	Pinal County
2 399	1 364	351	20 018	91.7	25 468	13 678	4 114	2 305	33.6	10.7	Santa Cruz County
20 257	13 030	3 529	85 396	96.2	132 114	68 265	36 816	19 613	21.1	22.0	Yavapai County
15 941	8 736	1 779	79 227	102.0	113 755	56 566	26 456	13 440	28.9	16.5	Yuma County
<b>PLACE</b>											
748	366	76	1 947	90.3	2 942	1 562	1 190	610	20.6	32.1	Ajo CDP, Pima County
14	11	1	364	83.8	392	211	26	19	41.4	3.9	Ak-Chin Village CDP, Pinal County
22	12	6	142	93.7	195	101	40	20	29.1	14.5	Amado CDP, Santa Cruz County
4 586	2 793	671	16 269	95.5	25 299	13 098	8 050	4 284	20.5	25.3	Apache Junction city
81	59	16	146	87.0	258	138	156	85	5.5	57.1	Maricopa County (part)
4 505	2 734	655	16 123	95.6	25 041	12 960	7 894	4 199	20.6	25.0	Pinal County (part)
620	297	53	2 186	100.6	3 374	1 710	970	471	23.1	22.1	Arizona City CDP, Pinal County
2	4	-	194	80.9	180	96	6	4	48.7	1.7	Arizona Village CDP, Mohave County
34	15	2	175	161.1	326	134	51	26	28.7	11.2	Ash Fork CDP, Yavapai County
1 135	608	155	17 728	102.4	23 595	11 731	1 898	1 059	34.2	5.3	Avondale city, Maricopa County
294	145	22	2 459	104.9	3 451	1 706	461	217	31.5	9.2	Avra Valley CDP, Pima County
45	12	4	766	106.0	1 076	511	61	32	31.8	3.9	Bagdad CDP, Yavapai County
773	473	135	2 407	95.7	3 790	1 975	1 381	747	19.5	29.3	Benson city, Cochise County
878	640	236	2 861	83.3	4 577	2 522	1 754	970	12.7	33.4	Big Park CDP, Yavapai County
611	431	151	3 196	90.6	4 772	2 578	1 193	683	21.6	19.6	Bisbee city, Cochise County
10	2	1	275	98.9	283	157	13	8	48.3	2.4	Bitter Springs CDP, Coconino County
325	197	60	1 286	109.7	2 225	1 069	582	279	17.5	21.6	Black Canyon City CDP, Yavapai County
21	7	-	265	90.2	295	149	28	16	41.5	5.6	Blackwater CDP, Pinal County
136	78	28	372	96.2	589	299	242	128	19.3	33.2	Bluewater CDP, La Paz County
199	103	14	312	97.1	555	283	316	154	9.8	51.4	Bouse CDP, La Paz County
315	184	38	3 240	101.8	4 330	2 190	537	303	33.8	8.2	Buckeye town, Maricopa County
3 885	2 122	472	17 001	98.6	26 175	13 340	6 479	3 394	22.5	19.2	Bullhead City city, Mohave County
16	8	2	328	92.7	349	188	26	18	44.8	4.1	Burnside CDP, Apache County
41	19	5	477	105.0	575	300	65	39	41.2	6.6	Cameron CDP, Coconino County
1 123	646	167	4 691	101.5	7 186	3 590	1 936	959	24.0	20.5	Camp Verde town, Yavapai County
35	7	2	566	92.9	616	334	44	26	43.6	4.0	Canyon Day CDP, Gila County
491	295	73	1 474	98.6	2 554	1 295	859	420	12.7	29.3	Carefree town, Maricopa County
2 066	1 127	276	12 795	97.1	17 427	9 098	3 469	1 899	30.9	13.8	Casa Grande city, Pinal County
3 972	3 330	1 419	28 401	90.2	41 572	22 271	8 721	5 289	23.0	16.1	Casas Adobes CDP, Pima County
554	360	76	3 487	101.5	5 094	2 650	990	549	27.5	14.1	Catalina CDP, Pima County
5 641	3 623	949	27 810	93.4	43 090	22 555	10 213	5 486	19.9	19.0	Catalina Foothills CDP, Pima County
310	152	35	1 863	100.1	2 949	1 493	497	253	20.9	13.3	Cave Creek town, Maricopa County
230	181	38	1 399	92.6	1 975	1 037	449	250	26.7	16.7	Central Heights-Midland City CDP, Gila County
6 083	3 153	1 048	88 441	99.7	123 956	62 951	10 284	5 939	29.8	5.8	Chandler city, Maricopa County
20	9	2	241	91.7	265	132	31	15	42.6	6.7	Chilichibito CDP, Navajo County
133	78	60	2 771	93.6	3 009	1 654	271	162	43.9	5.1	Chinle CDP, Apache County
746	439	88	3 990	96.4	5 756	3 006	1 273	694	26.5	16.2	Chino Valley town, Yavapai County
7	9	-	176	92.6	205	110	16	11	39.5	4.7	Chuichu CDP, Pinal County
44	16	2	677	96.6	730	378	62	39	45.2	4.7	Cibecue CDP, Navajo County
23	4	1	73	135.6	119	53	28	10	30.8	16.3	Cibola CDP, La Paz County
462	355	70	1 772	93.1	2 685	1 416	887	490	21.5	25.9	Clarkdale town, Yavapai County
136	116	30	928	93.3	1 295	683	282	161	27.8	15.7	Claypool CDP, Gila County
160	93	30	1 238	109.7	1 757	857	283	151	32.3	10.9	Clifton town, Greenlee County
25	18	13	1 645	102.7	1 320	690	56	28	60.4	1.7	Colorado City town, Mohave County
437	180	29	856	100.6	1 488	757	646	303	13.3	37.6	Congress CDP, Yavapai County
557	385	98	4 029	93.2	5 228	2 779	1 040	592	32.9	13.4	Coolidge city, Pinal County
322	157	38	1 010	103.8	1 621	796	517	255	21.2	25.1	Cordes Lakes CDP, Yavapai County
272	141	34	1 655	101.5	2 518	1 279	447	228	24.5	13.4	Comville CDP, Yavapai County
113	66	16	424	91.7	700	365	195	103	13.9	24.0	Corona de Tucson CDP, Pima County
978	887	319	4 910	86.9	7 030	3 875	2 184	1 300	23.4	23.8	Cottonwood city, Yavapai County
1 195	896	233	5 542	91.4	8 000	4 276	2 324	1 291	24.6	21.9	Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP, Yavapai County
28	10	7	366	100.5	407	197	45	25	44.6	6.1	Dennehotso CDP, Apache County
361	193	44	1 093	99.7	1 821	913	598	281	16.6	27.4	Desert Hills CDP, Mohave County
1 159	693	126	3 210	96.1	5 236	2 701	1 978	989	16.8	31.4	Dewey-Humboldt CDP, Yavapai County
36	11	10	668	89.4	673	365	57	33	46.8	4.5	Dilkon CDP, Navajo County
397	209	42	909	105.4	1 587	776	648	309	15.0	34.7	Dolan Springs CDP, Mohave County
1 025	656	192	7 428	92.7	9 514	5 031	1 873	1 073	33.5	13.1	Douglas city, Cochise County
169	88	16	2 132	96.6	2 841	1 444	273	142	32.2	6.5	Drexel-Alverson CDP, Pima County
1 204	614	116	12 097	97.1	16 238	8 454	1 934	1 047	31.9	8.1	Drexel Heights CDP, Pima County
98	48	7	650	103.5	889	442	153	74	32.8	11.6	Dudleyville CDP, Pinal County
48	25	15	426	90.6	526	275	88	55	35.2	10.8	Duncan town, Greenlee County
224	109	40	2 061	95.7	2 572	1 341	373	196	36.2	9.2	Eagar town, Apache County
25	15	1	447	98.9	522	260	41	27	40.7	4.7	East Fork CDP, Navajo County
70	28	4	717	97.9	963	486	102	51	32.1	7.2	East Sahuarita CDP, Pima County
116	52	5	644	110.7	977	464	173	72	28.0	12.7	Ehrenberg CDP, La Paz County
27	11	1	143	116.1	226	113	39	18	26.9	12.6	Elgin CDP, Santa Cruz County

Table 2. **Age and Sex: 2000**—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Total population	Median age	Age								
			Under 5 years	5 to 17 years	18 to 20 years	21 to 24 years	25 to 34 years	35 to 44 years	45 to 54 years	55 to 59 years	60 to 64 years
<b>PLACE</b> —Con.											
El Mirage city, Maricopa County .....	7 609	24.6	937	1 864	436	637	1 241	938	606	251	195
Eloy city, Pinal County .....	10 375	27.5	923	2 578	526	720	1 798	1 569	1 025	314	261
First Mesa CDP, Navajo County .....	1 124	27.5	94	337	47	55	132	152	131	46	41
Flagstaff city, Coconino County .....	52 894	26.8	3 546	9 288	4 609	6 878	8 654	7 457	6 433	1 876	1 327
Florence town, Pinal County .....	17 054	35.4	333	961	839	1 684	4 522	4 027	1 969	563	530
Flowing Wells CDP, Pima County .....	15 050	37.6	995	2 831	522	666	1 930	2 216	1 734	732	683
Fort Defiance CDP, Apache County .....	4 061	25.8	337	1 288	215	160	505	596	507	164	106
Fortuna Foothills CDP, Yuma County .....	20 478	62.9	692	1 718	263	308	1 244	1 430	1 734	1 562	2 423
Fountain Hills town, Maricopa County .....	20 235	46.4	928	2 821	449	450	1 868	3 108	3 562	1 720	1 449
Fredonia town, Coconino County .....	1 036	35.2	79	256	32	32	116	171	134	59	42
Gadsden CDP, Yuma County .....	953	25.0	86	289	57	45	119	146	105	26	20
Ganado CDP, Apache County .....	1 505	26.3	142	441	70	77	185	216	188	62	39
Gila Bend town, Maricopa County .....	1 980	29.3	168	484	115	115	250	284	234	70	94
Gilbert town, Maricopa County .....	109 697	30.1	11 285	26 197	3 730	4 355	20 133	21 329	12 843	3 538	2 169
Gisela CDP, Gila County .....	532	42.8	30	89	12	13	55	80	74	39	33
Glendale city, Maricopa County .....	218 812	30.8	18 562	47 300	10 616	13 002	33 921	35 789	27 835	9 279	6 329
Globe city, Gila County .....	7 486	38.4	501	1 430	285	287	908	1 077	1 086	392	351
Gold Camp CDP, Pinal County .....	6 029	56.3	212	536	68	113	480	596	855	628	745
Golden Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	4 515	45.7	205	806	107	104	367	615	733	367	396
Goodyear city, Maricopa County .....	18 911	36.5	1 217	2 981	554	1 087	3 099	3 346	2 512	1 184	1 051
Grand Canyon Village CDP, Coconino County .....	1 460	37.0	84	216	38	85	229	372	298	66	40
Greasewood CDP, Navajo County .....	581	19.1	53	221	27	29	78	63	54	21	19
Green Valley CDP, Pima County .....	17 283	72.2	73	197	47	73	198	286	808	1 115	1 824
Guadalupe town, Maricopa County .....	5 228	25.2	527	1 416	308	346	736	698	487	195	161
Hayden town .....	892	32.1	82	214	41	39	96	87	123	48	36
Gila County (part) .....	892	32.1	82	214	41	39	96	87	123	48	36
Pinal County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Heber-Overgaard CDP, Navajo County .....	2 722	48.3	117	472	69	70	179	346	369	248	242
Holbrook city, Navajo County .....	4 917	29.6	439	1 314	243	215	584	717	541	247	188
Hotevilla-Bacavi CDP, Navajo County .....	767	35.0	48	190	34	35	77	113	94	49	31
Houck CDP, Apache County .....	1 087	25.5	102	357	40	39	124	147	120	54	34
Huachuca City town, Cochise County .....	1 751	37.2	109	388	72	82	179	268	262	97	72
Jeddito CDP, Navajo County .....	390	19.0	51	139	14	16	56	48	40	10	3
Jerome town, Yavapai County .....	329	46.4	4	38	9	14	30	58	97	26	12
Kachina Village CDP, Coconino County .....	2 664	33.1	211	481	98	155	473	523	446	95	62
Kaibab CDP .....	275	22.5	41	80	10	14	36	28	37	9	10
Coconino County (part) .....	5	16.5	3	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	-
Mohave County (part) .....	270	22.7	41	77	10	14	36	28	35	9	10
Kaibito CDP, Coconino County .....	1 607	18.3	198	594	88	90	192	199	120	43	30
Kayenta CDP, Navajo County .....	4 922	21.9	493	1 692	224	249	618	672	589	153	89
Keams Canyon CDP, Navajo County .....	260	33.9	13	49	15	12	45	48	54	9	6
Kearny town, Pinal County .....	2 249	36.9	180	492	88	83	250	239	337	146	117
Kingman city, Mohave County .....	20 069	39.6	1 309	3 712	699	789	2 279	2 863	2 601	1 202	1 044
Kykotsmovi Village CDP, Navajo County .....	776	31.6	70	185	26	46	84	107	106	43	23
Lake Havasu City city, Mohave County .....	41 938	47.5	1 957	6 194	1 179	1 226	3 684	5 370	5 345	2 933	3 355
Lake Montezuma CDP, Yavapai County .....	3 344	44.7	1 888	466	89	124	352	466	510	236	208
Lechee CDP, Coconino County .....	1 606	18.1	179	622	78	78	182	257	124	26	20
Leupp CDP, Coconino County .....	970	22.7	95	329	42	43	124	125	108	29	30
Litchfield Park city, Maricopa County .....	3 810	44.7	178	723	102	75	250	596	577	248	235
Littleton CDP, Pima County .....	1 010	30.1	91	248	52	56	112	156	163	38	29
Lukachukai CDP, Apache County .....	1 565	23.5	133	510	93	74	179	203	157	54	45
McNary CDP .....	349	18.8	49	121	11	15	57	50	20	8	5
Apache County (part) .....	349	18.8	49	121	11	15	57	50	20	8	5
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mammoth town, Pinal County .....	1 762	31.7	147	443	76	81	213	242	203	69	83
Many Farms CDP, Apache County .....	1 548	23.2	150	506	77	71	211	203	165	71	45
Marana town, Pima County .....	13 556	34.5	1 170	2 456	398	601	2 296	2 351	1 650	759	581
Maricopa CDP, Pinal County .....	1 040	27.5	107	250	59	67	156	159	94	40	35
Mayer CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 408	43.7	70	263	44	37	108	221	215	107	76
Mesa city, Maricopa County .....	396 375	32.0	32 592	75 785	18 308	26 270	61 551	56 124	43 807	15 913	13 149
Messquite Creek CDP, Mohave County .....	205	63.6	4	9	-	1	7	7	28	22	35
Miami town, Gila County .....	1 936	36.2	170	405	75	85	205	260	205	103	97
Moenkopi CDP, Coconino County .....	901	30.8	61	256	33	52	90	118	115	31	34
Mohave Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	13 694	41.1	808	2 558	383	402	1 463	2 071	1 933	890	932
Mojave Ranch Estates CDP, Mohave County .....	28	22.0	3	9	2	1	4	3	5	-	1
Morenci CDP, Greenlee County .....	1 879	30.5	156	513	75	61	271	375	300	61	55
Mountaineer CDP, Coconino County .....	1 014	32.8	80	170	28	58	205	197	183	38	17
Munds Park CDP, Coconino County .....	1 250	50.4	53	141	24	30	103	163	215	129	107
Naco CDP, Cochise County .....	833	27.9	68	255	28	37	94	124	79	29	28
Nazlini CDP, Apache County .....	397	19.4	38	146	29	14	40	50	28	16	10
New Kingman-Butler CDP, Mohave County .....	14 810	39.7	985	2 821	545	596	1 508	2 072	1 845	810	782
New River CDP, Maricopa County .....	10 740	38.5	685	2 107	265	247	1 318	2 251	1 800	739	524
Nogales city, Santa Cruz County .....	20 878	29.8	1 830	5 398	957	1 032	2 498	2 836	2 411	859	797
Ojato-Monument Valley CDP, Navajo County .....	155	21.3	20	51	5	11	28	17	9	-	4
Oracle CDP, Pinal County .....	3 563	39.5	226	715	134	139	360	516	605	220	166
Oro Valley town, Pima County .....	29 700	45.3	1 493	4 899	679	666	2 454	4 530	4 401	1 970	1 868
Page city, Coconino County .....	6 809	32.4	495	1 683	356	294	772	1 098	1 115	333	231
Paradise Valley town, Maricopa County .....	13 664	46.3	615	2 782	316	232	600	1 970	2 725	1 251	930
Parker town, La Paz County .....	3 140	32.4	239	791	144	157	333	465	452	172	91
Parker Strip CDP, La Paz County .....	3 302	49.5	125	402	75	88	261	482	516	281	335
Parks CDP, Coconino County .....	1 137	42.6	37	220	29	15	73	259	265	75	50
Patagonia town, Santa Cruz County .....	881	47.3	46	138	20	27	83	96	171	60	52
Paulden CDP, Yavapai County .....	3 420	35.3	253	826	107	105	404	565	507	213	148

Table 2. **Age and Sex: 2000**—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Age—Con.			Age and sex						Percent of total population		State County Place
65 to 74 years	75 to 84 years	85 years and over	All ages		18 years and over		65 years and over		Under 18 years	65 years and over	
			Female	Males per 100 females	Total	Female	Total	Female			
PLACE—Con.											
348	133	23	3 703	105.5	4 808	2 345	504	271	36.8	6.6	El Mirage city, Maricopa County
406	199	56	4 376	137.1	6 874	2 698	661	365	33.7	6.4	Eloy city, Pinal County
59	19	11	591	90.2	693	365	89	52	38.3	7.9	First Mesa CDP, Navajo County
1 658	909	259	26 668	98.3	40 060	20 393	2 826	1 591	24.3	5.3	Flagstaff city, Coconino County
994	541	91	2 926	482.8	15 760	2 309	1 626	771	7.6	9.5	Florence town, Pinal County
1 451	1 020	270	7 859	91.5	11 224	5 981	2 741	1 628	25.4	18.2	Flowing Wells CDP, Pima County
114	51	18	2 140	89.8	2 436	1 332	183	110	40.0	4.5	Fort Defiance CDP, Apache County
5 845	2 879	380	10 367	97.5	18 068	9 222	9 104	4 368	11.8	44.5	Fortuna Foothills CDP, Yuma County
2 362	1 285	233	10 464	93.4	16 486	8 628	3 880	1 987	18.5	19.2	Fountain Hills town, Maricopa County
74	36	5	507	104.3	701	354	115	53	32.3	11.1	Fredonia town, Coconino County
45	12	3	471	102.3	578	295	60	28	39.3	6.3	Gadsden CDP, Yuma County
45	29	11	773	94.7	922	482	85	42	38.7	5.6	Ganado CDP, Apache County
96	50	20	964	105.4	1 328	639	166	84	32.9	8.4	Gila Bend town, Maricopa County
2 605	1 230	283	55 166	98.8	72 215	36 817	4 118	2 263	34.2	3.8	Gilbert town, Maricopa County
67	36	4	278	91.4	413	209	107	54	22.4	20.1	Gisela CDP, Gila County
8 769	5 478	1 932	109 644	99.6	152 950	77 615	16 179	9 731	30.1	7.4	Glendale city, Maricopa County
583	420	166	3 714	101.6	5 555	2 776	1 169	697	25.8	15.6	Globe city, Gila County
1 339	418	39	3 078	95.9	5 281	2 701	1 796	838	12.4	29.8	Gold Camp CDP, Pinal County
560	216	39	2 199	105.3	3 504	1 737	815	378	22.4	18.1	Golden Valley CDP, Mohave County
1 400	414	66	9 286	103.7	14 713	7 266	1 880	875	22.2	9.9	Goodyear city, Maricopa County
28	3	1	680	114.7	1 160	552	32	17	20.5	2.2	Grand Canyon Village CDP, Coconino County
9	6	1	303	91.7	307	157	16	8	47.2	2.8	Greasewood CDP, Navajo County
5 922	5 355	1 385	9 618	79.7	17 013	9 488	12 662	6 864	1.6	73.3	Green Valley CDP, Pima County
242	82	30	2 547	105.3	3 285	1 588	354	179	37.2	6.8	Guadalupe town, Maricopa County
68	49	9	460	93.9	596	311	126	68	33.2	14.1	Hayden town
68	49	9	460	93.9	596	311	126	68	33.2	14.1	Gila County (part)
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	Pinal County (part)
428	161	21	1 327	105.1	2 133	1 043	610	284	21.6	22.4	Heber-Overgaard CDP, Navajo County
255	136	38	2 585	90.2	3 164	1 686	429	243	35.7	8.7	Holbrook city, Navajo County
46	37	13	402	90.8	529	289	96	64	31.0	12.5	Hotevilla-Bacavi CDP, Navajo County
37	25	8	560	94.1	628	326	70	36	42.2	6.4	Houck CDP, Apache County
139	71	12	907	93.1	1 254	662	222	118	28.4	12.7	Huachuca City town, Cochise County
10	3	-	220	77.3	200	110	13	8	48.7	3.3	Jeddito CDP, Navajo County
25	10	6	162	103.1	287	145	41	22	12.8	12.5	Jerome town, Yavapai County
80	31	9	1 285	107.3	1 972	941	120	54	26.0	4.5	Kachina Village CDP, Coconino County
5	4	1	157	75.2	154	85	10	5	44.0	3.6	Kaibab CDP
-	-	-	3	66.7	2	1	-	-	60.0	-	Coconino County (part)
5	4	1	154	75.3	152	84	10	5	43.7	3.7	Mohave County (part)
37	12	4	815	97.2	815	435	53	28	49.3	3.3	Kaibito CDP, Coconino County
85	45	13	2 565	91.9	2 737	1 496	143	82	44.4	2.9	Kayenta CDP, Navajo County
5	2	2	116	124.1	198	84	9	4	23.8	3.5	Keams Canyon CDP, Navajo County
186	109	22	1 143	96.8	1 577	832	317	177	29.9	14.1	Kearny town, Pinal County
1 965	1 271	335	10 160	97.5	15 048	7 739	3 571	1 954	25.0	17.8	Kingman city, Mohave County
52	27	7	395	96.5	521	268	86	51	32.9	11.1	Kykotsmovi Village CDP, Navajo County
6 359	3 528	808	21 314	96.8	33 787	17 388	10 695	5 568	19.4	25.5	Lake Havasu City city, Mohave County
386	256	63	1 735	92.7	2 690	1 400	705	372	19.6	21.1	Lake Montezuma CDP, Yavapai County
31	8	1	795	102.0	805	406	40	24	49.9	2.5	Lechee CDP, Coconino County
35	8	2	503	92.8	546	295	45	24	43.7	4.6	Leupp CDP, Coconino County
480	286	60	1 986	91.8	2 909	1 535	826	439	23.6	21.7	Litchfield Park city, Maricopa County
38	25	2	515	96.1	671	349	65	30	33.6	6.4	Littleton CDP, Pima County
70	34	13	803	94.9	922	469	117	66	41.1	7.5	Lukachukai CDP, Apache County
8	3	2	186	87.6	179	96	13	6	48.7	3.7	McNary CDP
8	3	2	186	87.6	179	96	13	6	48.7	3.7	Apache County (part)
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	Navajo County (part)
127	58	20	891	97.8	1 172	600	205	102	33.5	11.6	Mammoth town, Pinal County
34	7	8	803	92.8	892	465	49	25	42.4	3.2	Many Farms CDP, Apache County
868	364	62	6 765	100.4	9 930	4 995	1 294	655	26.7	9.5	Marana town, Pima County
49	18	6	467	122.7	683	303	73	37	34.3	7.0	Maricopa CDP, Pinal County
155	86	26	707	99.2	1 075	544	267	133	23.7	19.0	Mayer CDP, Yavapai County
26 546	20 320	6 010	199 997	98.2	287 998	147 235	52 876	30 408	27.3	13.3	Mesa city, Maricopa County
70	16	6	106	93.4	192	100	92	41	6.3	44.9	Mesquite Creek CDP, Mohave County
180	120	31	1 008	92.1	1 361	703	331	185	29.7	17.1	Miami town, Gila County
58	38	15	458	96.7	584	309	111	64	35.2	12.3	Moenkopi CDP, Coconino County
1 465	673	116	6 782	101.9	10 328	5 140	2 254	1 083	24.6	16.5	Mohave Valley CDP, Mohave County
-	-	-	17	64.7	16	8	-	-	42.9	-	Mojave Ranch Estates CDP, Mohave County
7	4	1	858	119.0	1 210	529	12	9	35.6	0.6	Morenci CDP, Greenlee County
26	12	-	482	110.4	764	368	38	18	24.7	3.7	Mountaintain CDP, Coconino County
212	68	5	623	100.6	1 056	522	285	131	15.5	22.8	Munds Park CDP, Coconino County
55	30	6	435	91.5	510	272	91	50	38.8	10.9	Naco CDP, Cochise County
18	6	2	205	93.7	213	113	26	16	46.3	6.5	Nazlini CDP, Apache County
1 548	1 091	207	7 579	95.4	11 004	5 672	2 846	1 510	25.7	19.2	New Kingman-Butler CDP, Mohave County
533	228	43	5 266	103.9	7 948	3 926	804	395	26.0	7.5	New River CDP, Maricopa County
1 271	777	212	11 099	88.1	13 650	7 527	2 260	1 371	34.6	10.8	Nogales city, Santa Cruz County
7	1	2	77	101.3	84	44	10	7	45.8	6.5	Oljato-Monument Valley CDP, Navajo County
303	147	32	1 804	97.5	2 622	1 339	482	260	26.4	13.5	Oracle CDP, Pinal County
4 244	2 141	355	15 281	94.4	23 308	12 203	6 740	3 424	21.5	22.7	Oro Valley town, Pima County
254	138	40	3 390	100.9	4 631	2 334	432	243	32.0	6.3	Page city, Coconino County
1 380	681	182	6 877	98.7	10 267	5 236	2 243	1 120	24.9	16.4	Paradise Valley town, Maricopa County
171	93	32	1 619	93.9	2 110	1 120	296	166	32.8	9.4	Parker town, La Paz County
491	211	35	1 570	110.3	2 775	1 317	737	348	16.0	22.3	Parker Strip CDP, La Paz County
87	19	8	544	109.0	880	426	114	57	22.6	10.0	Parks CDP, Coconino County
99	70	19	469	87.8	697	375	188	99	20.9	21.3	Patagonia town, Santa Cruz County
202	75	15	1 687	102.7	2 341	1 140	292	147	31.5	8.5	Paulden CDP, Yavapai County



Table 2. **Age and Sex: 2000**—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Total population	Median age	Age								
			Under 5 years	5 to 17 years	18 to 20 years	21 to 24 years	25 to 34 years	35 to 44 years	45 to 54 years	55 to 59 years	60 to 64 years
<b>PLACE</b> —Con.											
Payson town, Gila County .....	13 620	48.9	679	2 060	386	375	1 022	1 600	1 728	836	960
Peach Springs CDP, Mohave County .....	600	24.4	55	188	37	23	76	79	73	17	14
Peoples Valley CDP, Yavapai County .....	374	57.0	14	40	4	5	17	49	48	26	45
Peoria city .....	108 364	35.6	8 010	22 731	3 353	3 934	15 029	18 158	12 849	4 751	3 897
Maricopa County (part) .....	108 363	35.6	8 010	22 731	3 353	3 934	15 029	18 158	12 849	4 751	3 897
Yavapai County (part) .....	1	72.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Peridot CDP .....	1 266	24.8	119	393	57	66	160	179	125	55	42
Gila County (part) .....	374	27.0	42	108	11	18	40	59	33	20	16
Graham County (part) .....	892	23.9	77	285	46	48	120	120	92	35	26
Phoenix city, Maricopa County .....	1 321 045	30.7	114 516	267 919	61 074	83 143	227 481	211 442	157 615	52 623	38 437
Picture Rocks CDP, Pima County .....	8 139	35.6	524	1 917	256	255	1 032	1 518	1 213	427	357
Pima town, Graham County .....	1 989	30.1	196	487	88	106	228	238	201	87	76
Pine CDP, Gila County .....	1 931	52.8	56	265	32	25	74	245	368	211	213
Pinetop-Lakeside town, Navajo County .....	3 582	41.1	233	679	103	94	361	525	594	248	214
Pinon CDP, Navajo County .....	1 190	19.9	139	412	68	67	156	165	94	35	24
Pirtleville CDP, Cochise County .....	1 550	29.1	140	418	77	64	193	179	175	71	73
Pisinemo CDP, Pima County .....	237	27.5	20	61	12	19	27	29	34	9	10
Poston CDP, La Paz County .....	389	22.8	47	116	21	21	71	46	33	9	9
Prescott city, Yavapai County .....	33 938	47.8	1 264	4 123	1 926	1 873	2 756	3 660	4 713	2 279	2 259
Prescott Valley town, Yavapai County .....	23 535	37.3	1 788	4 511	749	965	3 009	3 450	2 775	1 102	1 141
Quartzsite town, La Paz County .....	3 354	66.5	36	155	35	25	95	162	319	285	399
Queen Creek town .....	4 316	30.9	378	1 150	204	173	496	804	586	189	127
Maricopa County (part) .....	4 197	30.7	368	1 135	196	167	478	788	568	180	117
Pinal County (part) .....	119	36.8	10	15	8	6	18	16	18	9	10
Queen Valley CDP, Pinal County .....	820	64.8	7	54	10	2	24	61	107	52	98
Red Mesa CDP, Apache County .....	237	27.5	19	70	11	10	35	28	31	12	5
Rio Rico Northeast CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	3 164	32.2	302	783	95	87	452	532	396	134	126
Rio Rico Northwest CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	2 882	24.1	330	872	143	130	498	458	267	56	51
Rio Rico Southeast CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	1 590	27.1	176	463	69	53	258	264	162	45	25
Rio Rico Southwest CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	2 777	27.8	280	832	102	86	422	497	284	73	62
Rio Verde CDP, Maricopa County .....	1 419	68.7	-	1	1	1	7	11	91	155	266
Rock Point CDP, Apache County .....	724	18.8	81	274	24	37	90	94	57	21	18
Rough Rock CDP, Apache County .....	469	23.3	56	145	20	25	81	43	57	11	10
Round Rock CDP, Apache County .....	601	21.1	58	208	34	39	74	64	55	13	14
Sacaton CDP, Pinal County .....	1 584	25.0	142	466	91	94	249	201	145	65	43
Safford city, Graham County .....	9 232	33.7	718	2 072	431	447	1 084	1 164	985	389	396
Sahuarita town, Pima County .....	3 242	37.9	232	597	117	116	399	479	401	211	190
St. David CDP, Cochise County .....	1 744	43.7	92	401	54	40	101	217	215	99	93
St. Johns city, Apache County .....	3 269	30.8	282	878	130	144	355	429	427	146	137
St. Michaels CDP, Apache County .....	1 295	24.2	122	406	64	75	141	182	133	47	41
Salome CDP, La Paz County .....	1 690	56.8	47	246	33	28	88	181	180	140	175
San Carlos CDP, Gila County .....	3 716	24.1	367	1 199	186	139	485	554	356	135	96
San Luis city, Yuma County .....	15 322	25.8	1 384	4 065	863	1 118	2 738	2 494	1 381	357	316
San Manuel CDP, Pinal County .....	4 375	31.7	363	1 063	181	204	547	634	522	184	217
Santan CDP, Pinal County .....	651	23.5	51	224	26	33	96	89	62	16	16
Santa Rosa CDP, Pima County .....	438	29.3	40	107	13	25	80	44	49	25	9
Sawmill CDP, Apache County .....	612	25.0	59	188	28	31	77	78	54	26	23
Scottsdale city, Maricopa County .....	202 705	41.0	10 444	28 721	5 372	8 049	28 973	32 677	30 544	13 215	10 826
Second Mesa CDP, Navajo County .....	814	24.7	94	235	38	45	81	119	89	17	33
Sedona city .....	10 192	50.5	318	1 083	233	226	795	1 362	1 921	874	775
Coconino County (part) .....	2 963	54.3	88	191	61	50	222	324	587	273	260
Yavapai County (part) .....	7 229	49.0	230	892	172	176	573	1 038	1 334	601	515
Seligman CDP, Yavapai County .....	456	40.6	24	97	10	14	43	73	57	31	22
Sells CDP, Pima County .....	2 799	23.2	340	832	139	162	392	352	266	99	78
Shongopovi CDP, Navajo County .....	632	28.1	72	171	24	28	83	80	56	23	32
Shonto CDP, Navajo County .....	568	21.7	51	195	32	20	57	83	66	30	12
Show Low city, Navajo County .....	7 695	36.6	593	1 655	279	294	869	1 049	951	451	403
Sierra Vista city, Cochise County .....	37 775	32.0	2 906	6 849	2 290	2 631	5 814	5 204	4 144	1 776	1 587
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP, Cochise County .....	14 348	40.6	849	3 032	400	404	1 251	2 323	2 403	947	863
Snowflake town, Navajo County .....	4 460	27.6	412	1 279	198	239	415	558	525	187	172
Somerton city, Yuma County .....	7 266	24.9	732	2 091	413	403	1 061	997	664	208	176
Sonoita CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	826	50.1	46	106	9	12	55	120	140	91	80
South Tucson city, Pima County .....	5 490	30.9	471	1 259	229	323	759	741	668	258	233
Springerville town, Apache County .....	1 972	36.7	160	416	76	74	220	276	251	107	104
Spring Valley CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 019	48.8	35	200	24	11	73	116	119	80	89
Stanfield CDP, Pinal County .....	651	28.2	62	150	43	34	96	86	68	27	20
Steamboat CDP, Apache County .....	233	23.4	20	73	15	16	37	27	25	7	7
Strawberry CDP, Gila County .....	1 028	53.9	32	106	16	14	48	128	196	108	113
Summit CDP, Pima County .....	3 702	28.7	335	953	185	186	530	573	438	144	101
Sun City CDP, Maricopa County .....	38 309	75.0	51	116	50	67	257	495	1 168	2 019	3 510
Sun City West CDP, Maricopa County .....	26 344	73.2	6	20	8	23	89	178	520	1 182	2 620
Sun Lakes CDP, Maricopa County .....	11 936	69.3	5	15	11	21	76	180	850	1 186	1 696
Sun Valley CDP, Gila County .....	1 536	44.4	101	250	48	44	139	197	215	97	119
Supai CDP, Coconino County .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Superior town, Pinal County .....	3 254	39.2	210	666	128	131	307	400	440	162	161
Surprise city, Maricopa County .....	30 848	46.1	2 247	3 888	724	1 423	4 195	2 730	2 751	2 178	2 869
Swift Trail Junction CDP, Graham County .....	2 195	34.9	98	255	83	141	525	433	263	104	88
Tacna CDP, Yuma County .....	555	35.5	39	129	20	22	65	79	71	22	13
Tanque Verde CDP, Pima County .....	16 195	43.7	626	3 472	486	312	796	2 878	3 500	1 327	860
Taylor town, Navajo County .....	3 176	25.5	357	918	136	156	362	424	320	143	100
Teec Nos Pos CDP, Apache County .....	799	24.9	85	245	32	39	98	109	72	45	28
Tempe city, Maricopa County .....	158 625	28.8	9 074	22 407	14 732	19 077	30 710	21 918	18 694	6 283	4 324
Thatcher town, Graham County .....	4 022	24.7	285	825	627	290	298	461	433	173	131

Table 2. **Age and Sex: 2000**—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Age—Con.			Age and sex						Percent of total population		State County Place
65 to 74 years	75 to 84 years	85 years and over	All ages		18 years and over		65 years and over		Under 18 years	65 years and over	
			Female	Males per 100 females	Total	Female	Total	Female			
PLACE—Con.											
2 178	1 364	432	7 088	92.2	10 881	5 768	3 974	2 150	20.1	29.2	Payson town, Gila County
23	15	-	307	95.4	357	194	38	17	40.5	6.3	Peach Springs CDP, Mohave County
91	31	4	194	92.8	320	168	126	64	14.4	33.7	Peoples Valley CDP, Yavapai County
7 512	5 428	2 712	56 306	92.5	77 623	41 292	15 652	9 209	28.4	14.4	Peoria city
7 511	5 428	2 712	56 305	92.5	77 622	41 291	15 651	9 208	28.4	14.4	Maricopa County (part)
1	-	-	1	-	1	1	1	1	-	100.0	Yavapai County (part)
50	18	2	630	101.0	754	389	70	38	40.4	5.5	Peridot CDP
16	11	-	194	92.8	224	117	27	13	40.1	7.2	Gila County (part)
34	7	2	436	104.6	530	272	43	25	40.6	4.8	Graham County (part)
58 309	36 879	11 607	649 285	103.5	938 610	463 156	106 795	62 319	28.9	8.1	Phoenix city, Maricopa County
408	197	35	4 017	102.6	5 698	2 852	640	304	30.0	7.9	Picture Rocks CDP, Pima County
154	100	28	1 008	97.3	1 306	688	282	159	34.3	14.2	Pima town, Graham County
297	123	22	970	99.1	1 610	824	442	222	16.6	22.9	Pine CDP, Gila County
334	155	42	1 764	103.1	2 670	1 346	531	272	25.5	14.8	Pinetop-Lakeside town, Navajo County
21	7	2	601	98.0	639	344	30	18	46.3	2.5	Pinon CDP, Navajo County
96	49	15	778	99.2	992	513	160	95	36.0	10.3	Pirtleville CDP, Cochise County
7	8	1	116	104.3	156	83	16	11	34.2	6.8	Pisinerno CDP, Pima County
14	2	-	185	110.3	226	109	16	6	41.9	4.1	Poston CDP, La Paz County
4 689	3 332	1 064	17 235	96.9	28 551	14 693	9 085	5 001	15.9	26.8	Prescott city, Yavapai County
2 305	1 420	320	11 984	96.4	17 236	8 934	4 045	2 164	26.8	17.2	Prescott Valley town, Yavapai County
1 129	614	100	1 654	102.8	3 163	1 567	1 843	874	5.7	54.9	Quartzsite town, La Paz County
143	56	10	2 107	104.8	2 788	1 358	209	96	35.4	4.8	Queen Creek town
138	53	9	2 056	104.1	2 694	1 317	200	95	35.8	4.8	Maricopa County (part)
5	3	1	51	133.3	94	41	9	1	21.0	7.6	Pinal County (part)
219	153	33	404	103.0	759	378	405	194	7.4	49.4	Queen Valley CDP, Pinal County
9	-	7	137	73.0	148	92	16	11	37.6	6.8	Red Mesa CDP, Apache County
165	83	9	1 610	96.5	2 079	1 073	257	122	34.3	8.1	Rio Rico Northeast CDP, Santa Cruz County
47	24	6	1 500	92.1	1 680	885	77	44	41.7	2.7	Rio Rico Northwest CDP, Santa Cruz County
48	23	4	776	104.9	951	500	75	38	40.2	4.7	Rio Rico Southeast CDP, Santa Cruz County
97	39	3	1 420	95.6	1 665	866	139	73	40.0	5.0	Rio Rico Southwest CDP, Santa Cruz County
543	312	31	740	91.8	1 418	739	886	428	0.1	62.4	Rio Verde CDP, Maricopa County
18	8	2	373	94.1	369	186	28	15	49.0	3.9	Rock Point CDP, Apache County
13	6	2	240	95.4	268	141	21	16	42.9	4.5	Rough Rock CDP, Apache County
26	11	5	309	94.5	335	185	42	22	44.3	7.0	Round Rock CDP, Apache County
60	23	5	812	95.1	976	494	88	61	38.4	5.6	Sacaton CDP, Pinal County
767	572	207	4 810	91.9	6 442	3 497	1 546	934	30.2	16.7	Safford city, Graham County
309	139	52	1 648	96.7	2 413	1 216	500	260	25.6	15.4	Sahuarita town, Pima County
238	151	43	888	96.4	1 251	630	432	214	28.3	24.8	St. David CDP, Cochise County
198	111	32	1 625	101.2	2 109	1 054	341	175	35.5	10.4	St. Johns city, Apache County
47	30	7	668	93.9	767	410	84	53	40.8	6.5	St. Michaels CDP, Apache County
395	167	10	807	109.4	1 397	666	572	250	17.3	33.8	Salome CDP, La Paz County
133	44	22	1 892	96.4	2 150	1 096	199	107	42.1	5.4	San Carlos CDP, Gila County
443	139	24	6 766	126.5	9 873	4 037	606	269	35.6	4.0	San Luis city, Yuma County
310	127	23	2 160	102.5	2 949	1 489	460	236	32.6	10.5	San Manuel CDP, Pinal County
29	4	5	362	79.8	376	204	38	21	42.2	5.8	Santan CDP, Pinal County
30	14	2	228	92.1	291	151	46	26	33.6	10.5	Santa Rosa CDP, Pima County
27	16	5	308	98.7	365	191	48	23	40.4	7.8	Sawmill CDP, Apache County
18 581	11 734	3 569	104 920	93.2	163 540	85 870	33 884	19 134	19.3	16.7	Scottsdale city, Maricopa County
42	15	6	417	95.2	485	254	63	37	40.4	7.7	Second Mesa CDP, Navajo County
1 360	981	264	5 417	88.1	8 791	4 728	2 605	1 445	13.7	25.6	Sedona city
471	351	85	1 548	91.4	2 684	1 414	907	468	9.4	30.6	Coconino County (part)
889	630	179	3 869	86.8	6 107	3 314	1 698	977	15.5	23.5	Yavapai County (part)
49	33	3	232	96.6	335	172	85	47	26.5	18.6	Seligman CDP, Yavapai County
99	26	14	1 448	93.3	1 627	893	139	83	41.9	5.0	Sells CDP, Pima County
37	19	7	333	89.8	389	216	63	37	38.4	10.0	Shongopovi CDP, Navajo County
17	4	1	297	91.2	322	173	22	11	43.3	3.9	Shonto CDP, Navajo County
671	385	95	3 944	95.1	5 447	2 890	1 151	628	29.2	15.0	Show Low city, Navajo County
2 673	1 545	356	18 818	100.7	28 020	13 963	4 574	2 529	25.8	12.1	Sierra Vista city, Cochise County
1 252	514	110	7 315	96.1	10 467	5 376	1 876	937	27.0	13.1	Sierra Vista Southeast CDP, Cochise County
269	149	57	2 233	99.7	2 769	1 418	475	261	37.9	10.7	Snowflake town, Navajo County
316	176	29	3 698	96.5	4 443	2 310	521	256	38.9	7.2	Somerton city, Yuma County
95	56	16	429	92.5	674	354	167	79	18.4	20.2	Sonoita CDP, Santa Cruz County
281	220	48	2 581	112.7	3 760	1 754	549	320	31.5	10.0	South Tucson city, Pima County
161	84	43	1 012	94.9	1 396	741	288	158	29.2	14.6	Springerville town, Apache County
183	76	13	537	89.8	784	402	272	136	23.1	26.7	Spring Valley CDP, Yavapai County
37	18	10	323	101.5	439	219	65	42	32.6	10.0	Stanfield CDP, Pinal County
2	3	1	107	117.8	140	68	6	4	39.9	2.6	Steamboat CDP, Apache County
175	82	10	523	96.6	890	456	267	132	13.4	26.0	Strawberry CDP, Gila County
159	87	11	1 786	107.3	2 414	1 185	257	146	34.8	6.9	Summit CDP, Pima County
11 491	13 558	5 527	22 547	69.9	38 142	22 465	30 576	17 912	0.4	79.8	Sun City CDP, Maricopa County
10 739	9 383	1 576	14 451	82.3	26 318	14 438	21 698	11 505	0.1	82.4	Sun City West CDP, Maricopa County
4 579	2 907	410	6 536	82.6	11 916	6 526	7 896	4 108	0.2	66.2	Sun Lakes CDP, Maricopa County
187	125	14	774	98.4	1 185	607	326	163	22.9	21.2	Sun Valley CDP, Gila County
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	Supai CDP, Coconino County
389	207	53	1 632	99.4	2 378	1 197	649	332	26.9	19.9	Superior town, Pinal County
5 267	2 214	362	15 690	96.6	24 713	12 678	7 843	3 873	19.9	25.4	Surprise city, Maricopa County
127	65	13	653	236.1	1 842	478	205	95	16.1	9.3	Swift Trail Junction CDP, Graham County
47	41	7	270	105.6	387	196	95	48	30.3	17.1	Tacna CDP, Yuma County
1 270	548	120	8 057	101.0	12 097	6 112	1 938	947	25.3	12.0	Tanque Verde CDP, Pima County
155	79	26	1 595	99.1	1 901	985	260	143	40.1	8.2	Taylor town, Navajo County
27	17	2	415	92.5	469	251	46	23	41.3	5.8	Tec Nos Pos CDP, Apache County
6 204	3 832	1 370	76 683	106.9	127 144	61 393	11 406	6 738	19.8	7.2	Tempe city, Maricopa County
243	202	54	2 140	87.9	2 912	1 587	499	279	27.6	12.4	Thatcher town, Graham County

Table 2. **Age and Sex: 2000**—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Total population	Median age	Age								
			Under 5 years	5 to 17 years	18 to 20 years	21 to 24 years	25 to 34 years	35 to 44 years	45 to 54 years	55 to 59 years	60 to 64 years
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>											
Three Points CDP, Pima County .....	5 273	33.4	372	1 303	223	205	657	873	782	261	202
Tolleson city, Maricopa County .....	4 974	29.4	433	1 181	262	281	711	654	541	216	207
Tombstone city, Cochise County .....	1 504	48.7	62	229	39	34	119	181	246	138	105
Tonalea CDP, Coconino County .....	562	18.7	60	215	22	35	69	69	43	21	9
Tonto Basin CDP, Gila County .....	840	58.4	13	74	13	17	32	81	122	102	111
Top-of-the-World CDP .....	330	38.7	18	73	12	6	39	53	48	14	19
Gila County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pinal County (part) .....	330	38.7	18	73	12	6	39	53	48	14	19
Tortolita CDP, Pima County .....	3 740	39.0	201	830	138	121	375	657	761	215	133
Tsaille CDP, Apache County .....	1 078	21.7	113	305	94	116	138	122	105	35	20
Tubac CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	949	58.5	27	90	13	11	32	83	164	86	109
Tuba City CDP, Coconino County .....	8 225	23.0	786	2 731	409	394	1 134	1 127	840	253	199
Tucson city, Pima County .....	486 699	32.1	35 201	84 416	30 776	36 534	76 394	72 289	57 608	19 597	16 056
Tucson Estates CDP, Pima County .....	9 755	49.7	475	1 421	226	263	814	1 143	1 188	634	664
Tumacacori-Carmen CDP, Santa Cruz County ...	569	41.2	37	98	16	28	62	86	81	54	28
Tusayan CDP, Coconino County .....	562	30.7	30	113	43	44	106	99	92	9	12
Vail CDP, Pima County .....	2 484	35.1	206	583	72	68	310	516	390	124	72
Valencia West CDP, Pima County .....	2 380	26.6	221	689	131	104	313	375	259	80	61
Wellton town, Yuma County .....	1 829	46.9	107	330	66	57	151	167	172	101	166
Wenden CDP, La Paz County .....	556	38.9	31	133	11	22	63	61	54	22	33
Whetstone CDP, Cochise County .....	2 354	40.0	134	512	71	60	226	370	349	140	169
Whiteriver CDP, Navajo County .....	5 220	20.9	581	1 736	307	245	747	744	442	144	108
Wickenburg town, Maricopa County .....	5 082	48.4	264	749	135	178	442	596	617	309	334
Wilhoit CDP, Yavapai County .....	664	50.4	25	102	11	19	46	71	105	42	77
Willcox city, Cochise County .....	3 733	36.9	258	839	139	162	382	529	466	184	177
Williams city, Coconino County .....	2 842	34.6	220	627	131	114	349	455	396	135	99
Williamson CDP, Yavapai County .....	3 776	50.8	126	542	85	60	171	473	783	439	338
Willow Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	585	56.1	22	58	9	5	45	81	57	51	64
Window Rock CDP, Apache County .....	3 059	27.0	331	780	156	176	441	436	384	130	86
Winkelman town .....	443	36.6	25	105	23	21	35	59	59	24	28
Gila County (part) .....	439	36.6	25	103	23	21	35	57	59	24	28
Pinal County (part) .....	4	24.5	-	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
Winslow city, Navajo County .....	9 520	30.6	706	2 129	444	606	1 499	1 459	1 076	355	294
Winslow West CDP .....	131	15.8	18	56	4	5	20	21	3	1	2
Coconino County (part) .....	131	15.8	18	56	4	5	20	21	3	1	2
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yarnell CDP, Yavapai County .....	645	56.6	9	63	9	2	22	84	116	62	65
Young CDP, Gila County .....	561	48.4	23	98	6	11	23	93	77	64	48
Youngtown town, Maricopa County .....	3 010	65.3	91	208	38	68	177	207	259	216	228
Yuma city, Yuma County .....	77 515	31.2	6 714	16 216	4 063	5 187	10 439	10 559	7 836	2 900	2 852

Table 2. **Age and Sex: 2000**—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Age—Con.			Age and sex						Percent of total population		State County Place
65 to 74 years	75 to 84 years	85 years and over	All ages		18 years and over		65 years and over		Under 18 years	65 years and over	
			Female	Males per 100 females	Total	Female	Total	Female			
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>											
255	121	19	2 554	106.5	3 598	1 778	395	190	31.8	7.5	Three Points CDP, Pima County
298	154	36	2 453	102.8	3 360	1 673	488	288	32.4	9.8	Tolleson city, Maricopa County
201	123	27	774	94.3	1 213	635	351	179	19.3	23.3	Tombstone city, Cochise County
12	7	-	290	93.8	287	148	19	13	48.9	3.4	Tonalea CDP, Coconino County
180	85	10	405	107.4	753	371	275	134	10.4	32.7	Tonto Basin CDP, Gila County
32	10	6	172	91.9	239	127	48	28	27.6	14.5	Top-of-the-World CDP
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	Gila County (part)
32	10	6	172	91.9	239	127	48	28	27.6	14.5	Pinal County (part)
173	115	21	1 873	99.7	2 709	1 383	309	162	27.6	8.3	Tortolita CDP, Pima County
20	7	3	571	88.8	660	353	30	20	38.8	2.8	Tsalle CDP, Apache County
198	104	32	493	92.5	832	439	334	171	12.3	35.2	Tubac CDP, Santa Cruz County
215	89	48	4 244	93.8	4 708	2 513	352	204	42.8	4.3	Tuba City CDP, Coconino County
29 117	21 394	7 317	248 291	96.0	367 082	189 923	57 828	34 489	24.6	11.9	Tucson city, Pima County
1 520	1 147	260	5 094	91.5	7 859	4 126	2 927	1 565	19.4	30.0	Tucson Estates CDP, Pima County
45	19	15	289	96.9	434	221	79	43	23.7	13.9	Tumacacori-Carmen CDP, Santa Cruz County
12	2	-	246	128.5	419	186	14	11	25.4	2.5	Tusayan CDP, Coconino County
99	33	11	1 235	101.1	1 695	842	143	72	31.8	5.8	Vail CDP, Pima County
106	34	7	1 173	102.9	1 470	749	147	72	38.2	6.2	Valencia West CDP, Pima County
366	127	19	921	98.6	1 392	707	512	234	23.9	28.0	Wellton town, Yuma County
87	29	10	291	91.1	392	195	126	62	29.5	22.7	Wenden CDP, La Paz County
219	89	15	1 193	97.3	1 708	876	323	162	27.4	13.7	Whetstone CDP, Cochise County
124	39	3	2 723	91.7	2 903	1 532	166	96	44.4	3.2	Whiteriver CDP, Navajo County
753	538	167	2 687	89.1	4 069	2 201	1 458	818	19.9	28.7	Wickenburg town, Maricopa County
97	55	14	349	90.3	537	275	166	82	19.1	25.0	Wilhoit CDP, Yavapai County
292	228	77	1 906	95.9	2 636	1 369	597	345	29.4	16.0	Willcox city, Cochise County
174	110	32	1 397	103.4	1 995	1 016	316	179	29.8	11.1	Williams city, Coconino County
499	197	63	1 919	96.8	3 108	1 587	759	356	17.7	20.1	Williamson CDP, Yavapai County
128	51	14	291	101.0	505	255	193	103	13.7	33.0	Willow Valley CDP, Mohave County
93	30	16	1 588	92.6	1 948	1 034	139	84	36.3	4.5	Window Rock CDP, Apache County
44	17	3	227	95.2	313	163	64	38	29.3	14.4	Winkelman town
44	17	3	226	94.2	311	162	64	38	29.2	14.6	Gila County (part)
-	-	-	1	300.0	2	1	-	-	50.0	-	Pinal County (part)
473	325	154	4 282	122.3	6 685	2 849	952	552	29.8	10.0	Winslow city, Navajo County
-	1	-	67	95.5	57	32	1	-	56.5	0.8	Winslow West CDP
-	1	-	67	95.5	57	32	1	-	56.5	0.8	Coconino County (part)
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	Navajo County (part)
118	76	19	301	114.3	573	269	213	97	11.2	33.0	Yarnell CDP, Yavapai County
68	40	10	260	115.8	440	211	118	56	21.6	21.0	Young CDP, Gila County
638	552	328	1 770	70.1	2 711	1 627	1 518	971	9.9	50.4	Youngtown town, Maricopa County
5 718	3 965	1 066	38 926	99.1	54 585	27 681	10 749	5 921	29.6	13.9	Yuma city, Yuma County

**Table 3. Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total population	Race								Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	
		One race						Two or more races			
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Number	Percent of total popu- lation		Two races exclud- ing Some other race, and three or more races
<b>The State</b>	<b>5 130 632</b>	<b>3 873 611</b>	<b>158 873</b>	<b>255 879</b>	<b>92 236</b>	<b>6 733</b>	<b>596 774</b>	<b>146 526</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>69 329</b>	<b>1 295 617</b>
Apache County	69 423	13 536	173	53 375	93	39	1 217	990	1.4	575	3 119
Chinle CCD	20 927	604	21	20 016	16	5	73	192	0.9	121	248
Chinle CDP	5 366	343	10	4 898	9	2	32	72	1.3	45	98
Lukachukai CDP	1 565	18	-	1 537	1	-	4	5	0.3	4	15
Many Farms CDP	1 548	121	5	1 368	2	-	5	47	3.0	31	32
Nazlini CDP	397	-	-	394	-	-	3	-	-	-	7
Rough Rock CDP	469	13	-	451	3	-	-	2	0.4	1	1
Round Rock CDP	601	1	-	599	-	-	1	-	-	-	1
Tsaile CDP	1 078	39	1	1 006	1	1	7	23	2.1	10	14
Dennehotso CCD	1 809	14	-	1 782	-	-	-	13	0.7	9	15
Dennehotso CDP	734	6	-	724	-	-	-	4	0.5	4	2
Eagar-Springerville CCD	7 117	6 191	23	260	17	21	381	224	3.1	101	1 020
Eagar town	4 033	3 512	17	135	5	14	198	152	3.8	70	564
Springerville town (part)	1 457	1 166	3	110	6	2	125	45	3.1	18	321
Fort Apache CCD	3 470	48	9	291	-	-	10	12	3.2	12	39
McNary CDP (part)	349	29	9	289	-	-	10	12	3.4	12	39
Fort Defiance CCD	24 634	796	21	23 502	33	3	62	217	0.9	155	286
Burnside CDP	632	45	-	580	-	-	4	3	0.5	-	4
Fort Defiance CDP	4 061	184	7	3 771	12	1	9	77	1.9	49	55
Ganado CDP	1 505	162	2	1 314	2	-	17	8	0.5	5	36
Houck CDP	1 087	24	1	1 039	1	-	-	22	2.0	19	11
St. Michaels CDP	1 295	91	1	1 180	-	-	10	13	1.0	12	28
Sawmill CDP	612	13	-	594	-	-	3	2	0.3	2	9
Steamboat CDP	233	-	-	227	-	-	1	5	2.1	5	5
Window Rock CDP	3 059	97	5	2 920	13	1	2	21	0.7	18	44
Puerco CCD	2 098	296	8	1 708	1	1	27	57	2.7	41	75
St. Johns CCD	6 811	5 490	91	318	26	3	653	230	3.4	105	1 398
St. Johns city	3 269	2 631	12	204	9	1	298	114	3.5	38	758
Springerville town (part)	515	401	1	19	2	-	77	15	2.9	8	176
Sweetwater CCD	5 657	97	-	5 498	-	-	11	45	0.8	31	38
Red Mesa CDP	26	26	-	194	-	6	5	6	2.5	4	5
Rock Point CDP	724	12	-	708	-	-	1	3	0.4	3	1
Teec Nos Pos CDP	799	8	-	771	-	-	1	19	2.4	10	14
Cochise County	117 755	90 269	5 321	1 350	1 942	301	14 193	4 379	3.7	2 479	36 134
Benson CCD	11 012	10 047	58	117	40	12	476	262	2.4	136	1 542
Benson city	4 711	4 208	34	61	22	6	268	112	2.4	47	935
St. David CDP	1 744	1 622	7	5	8	4	53	45	2.6	21	171
Bisbee CCD	24 035	19 985	441	302	333	57	2 095	822	3.4	446	5 684
Bisbee city	6 090	5 123	28	74	30	4	674	157	2.6	70	2 094
Naco CDP	833	440	2	3	-	-	358	30	3.6	9	687
Sierra Vista city (part)	2 222	1 672	210	13	128	8	97	94	4.2	71	294
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP (part)	11 647	10 113	149	164	162	43	576	440	3.8	239	1 701
Bowie CCD	2 274	1 904	7	9	2	11	270	71	3.1	41	572
Douglas CCD	19 137	11 885	100	217	91	19	6 252	573	3.0	97	16 072
Douglas city	14 312	9 045	70	155	63	12	4 552	415	2.9	58	12 306
Pirtleville CDP	1 550	825	10	20	8	4	641	42	2.7	6	1 473
Elfrida CCD	5 229	3 818	326	126	19	1	823	116	2.2	66	1 440
Sierra Vista CCD	46 152	34 532	4 345	440	1 396	199	3 005	2 235	4.8	1 634	7 707
Huachuca City town	1 751	1 320	119	47	26	4	143	92	5.3	66	285
Sierra Vista city (part)	35 553	26 034	3 905	300	1 219	166	2 188	1 741	4.9	1 294	5 677
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP (part)	2 701	2 008	166	29	97	23	230	148	5.5	114	607
Tombstone city	1 504	1 314	9	15	5	-	123	38	2.5	30	363
Whetstone CDP	2 354	1 978	69	29	20	-	153	105	4.5	67	322
Willcox CCD	9 916	8 098	44	139	61	2	1 272	300	3.0	59	3 117
Willcox city	3 733	2 798	26	60	31	2	658	158	4.2	30	1 557
Coconino County	116 320	73 381	1 215	33 161	910	108	4 801	2 744	2.4	1 743	12 727
Coconino CCD	74 488	59 763	1 026	6 930	764	79	3 884	2 042	2.7	1 232	10 326
Flagstaff city	52 894	41 214	927	5 284	660	65	3 201	1 543	2.9	906	8 500
Kachina Village CDP	2 664	2 370	9	114	8	1	127	35	1.3	23	259
Mountaineer CDP	1 014	873	3	78	3	1	31	25	2.5	21	67
Munds Park CDP	1 250	1 199	4	10	3	-	15	19	1.5	15	71
Parks CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-
Sedona city (part)	2 963	2 798	12	14	24	2	80	33	1.1	21	193
Winslow West CDP (part)	131	-	-	131	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
Havasupai CCD	503	50	-	453	-	-	-	-	-	-	9
Supai CDP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-
Hopi CCD	87	-	-	86	-	-	-	1	1.1	-	4
Hualapai CCD	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kaibab CCD	1 874	1 603	13	212	7	-	8	31	1.7	28	32
Fredonia town	1 036	888	11	118	-	-	4	15	1.4	14	15
Kaibab CDP (part)	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tuba City CCD	30 941	5 215	47	24 951	66	18	182	462	1.5	351	687
Bitter Springs CDP	547	7	-	540	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
Cameron CDP	978	17	1	926	1	-	8	25	2.6	21	40
Kaibito CDP	1 607	6	1	1 594	-	-	-	6	0.4	6	2
Lechee CDP	1 606	12	-	1 580	-	-	-	14	0.9	12	15
Leupp CDP	970	6	-	952	-	-	1	11	1.1	9	10
Moenkopi CDP	901	13	-	871	-	-	2	15	1.7	10	7
Page city	6 809	4 584	27	1 817	46	13	110	212	3.1	158	320
Tonalea CDP	562	4	-	557	-	-	1	-	-	-	5
Tuba City CDP	8 225	450	13	7 568	18	3	52	121	1.5	96	194
Williams CCD	8 425	6 748	129	529	73	11	727	208	2.5	132	1 669
Grand Canyon Village CDP	1 460	1 076	23	275	13	4	27	42	2.9	36	149
Parks CDP (part)	1 137	1 065	4	8	4	6	20	30	2.6	23	59
Tusayan CDP	562	389	6	89	-	-	65	13	2.3	1	170
Williams city	2 842	2 192	82	49	38	1	404	76	2.7	42	919
Gila County	51 335	39 951	197	6 630	220	28	3 385	924	1.8	474	8 546
Globe-Miami CCD	19 090	15 452	138	413	111	11	2 541	424	2.2	152	6 091
Central Heights-Midland City CDP	2 694	2 348	1	28	6	6	248	57	2.1	18	685
Claypool CDP	1 794	1 408	17	28	2	-	284	55	3.1	20	736

Table 3. Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Not Hispanic or Latino										State County County Subdivision Place	
Total	One race						Two or more races		Total		Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races
	White		Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Total			
	Number	Percent of total popu- lation									
<b>3 835 015</b>	<b>3 274 258</b>	<b>63.8</b>	<b>149 941</b>	<b>233 370</b>	<b>89 315</b>	<b>5 639</b>	<b>6 120</b>	<b>76 372</b>	<b>57 510</b>	<b>The State</b>	
66 304	12 281	17.7	163	53 036	85	30	31	678	526	Apache County	
20 679	572	2.7	21	19 907	16	5	5	153	112	Chinle CCD	
5 268	328	6.1	10	4 859	9	2	3	57	43	Chinle CDP	
1 550	16	1.0	-	1 530	1	-	-	3	2	Lukachukai CDP	
1 516	117	7.6	5	1 355	2	-	-	37	28	Many Farms CDP	
390	-	-	-	390	-	-	-	-	-	Nazlini CDP	
468	13	2.8	-	451	3	-	-	1	1	Rough Rock CDP	
600	1	0.2	-	599	-	-	-	-	-	Round Rock CDP	
1 064	39	3.6	1	998	1	1	2	22	10	Tsaile CDP	
1 794	11	0.6	-	1 771	-	-	-	12	9	Dennehotso CCD	
732	6	0.8	-	722	-	-	-	4	4	Dennehotso CDP	
6 097	5 676	79.8	22	241	16	14	3	125	84	Eagar-Springerville CCD	
3 469	3 220	79.8	17	125	5	9	2	91	59	Eagar town	
1 136	1 006	69.0	2	104	6	-	-	18	12	Springerville town (part)	
331	39	10.5	7	273	-	-	-	12	12	Fort Apache CCD	
310	20	5.7	7	271	-	-	-	12	12	McNary CDP (part)	
24 348	741	3.0	19	23 374	31	2	-	181	147	Fort Defiance CCD	
628	45	7.1	-	580	-	-	-	3	-	Burnside CDP	
4 006	168	4.1	7	3 755	12	1	-	63	45	Fort Defiance CDP	
1 469	150	10.0	2	1 309	2	-	-	6	5	Ganado CDP	
1 076	23	2.1	-	1 034	-	-	-	19	17	Houck CDP	
1 267	85	6.6	1	1 169	-	-	-	12	12	St. Michaels CDP	
603	13	2.1	-	588	-	-	-	2	2	Sawmill CDP	
228	-	-	-	223	-	-	-	5	5	Steamboat CDP	
3 015	93	3.0	4	2 888	12	-	-	18	18	Window Rock CDP	
2 023	281	13.4	8	1 695	1	1	-	37	33	Puerco CCD	
5 413	4 865	71.4	86	299	21	2	22	118	98	St. Johns CCD	
2 511	2 248	68.8	7	201	9	1	6	39	36	St. Johns city	
339	312	60.6	1	17	2	-	-	7	7	Springerville town (part)	
5 619	96	1.7	-	5 476	-	6	1	40	31	Sweetwater CCD	
232	26	11.0	-	194	-	6	-	6	4	Red Mesa CDP	
723	12	1.7	-	707	-	-	1	3	3	Rock Point CDP	
785	8	1.0	-	760	-	-	-	17	10	Teec Nos Pos CDP	
81 621	70 754	60.1	5 062	978	1 876	271	194	2 486	2 121	Cochise County	
9 470	9 149	83.1	50	75	36	9	9	142	124	Benson CCD	
3 776	3 635	77.2	30	28	19	6	3	55	44	Benson city	
1 573	1 529	87.7	7	5	8	1	2	21	20	St. David CDP	
18 351	16 785	69.8	413	217	327	54	67	488	393	Bisbee CCD	
3 996	3 815	62.6	22	49	30	3	13	64	59	Bisbee city	
146	133	16.0	1	3	-	-	1	8	4	Naco CDP	
1 928	1 501	67.6	206	9	127	8	9	68	61	Sierra Vista city (part)	
9 946	9 156	78.6	139	129	158	41	33	290	220	Sierra Vista Southeast CDP (part)	
1 702	1 634	71.9	3	9	2	11	3	40	34	Bowie CCD	
3 065	2 676	14.0	79	144	71	10	3	82	44	Douglas CCD	
2 006	1 731	12.1	54	112	46	8	1	54	22	Douglas city	
77	56	3.6	6	6	5	-	-	4	3	Pirtleville CDP	
3 789	3 277	62.7	324	108	18	1	2	59	55	Elfrida CCD	
38 445	30 690	66.5	4 158	348	1 363	184	102	1 600	1 420	Sierra Vista CCD	
1 466	1 199	68.5	116	44	26	1	13	67	58	Huachuca City town	
29 876	23 219	65.3	3 737	238	1 190	155	78	1 259	1 134	Sierra Vista city (part)	
2 094	1 701	63.0	158	17	97	23	7	91	78	Sierra Vista Southeast CDP (part)	
1 141	1 091	72.5	5	10	5	-	-	30	28	Tombstone city	
2 030	1 845	78.4	68	20	20	-	1	76	61	Whetstone CDP	
6 799	6 543	66.0	35	77	59	2	8	75	51	Willcox CCD	
2 176	2 031	54.4	17	44	29	2	4	49	28	Willcox city	
103 593	66 969	57.6	1 150	32 557	896	100	128	1 793	1 535	Coconino County	
64 162	54 385	73.0	961	6 601	751	73	118	1 273	1 066	Coconino CCD	
44 394	36 760	69.5	866	5 036	651	60	99	922	766	Flagstaff city	
2 405	2 266	85.1	9	99	8	1	-	22	19	Kachina Village CDP	
947	843	83.1	3	75	3	1	-	22	19	Mountainaire CDP	
1 179	1 145	91.6	4	9	3	-	-	18	15	Munds Park CDP	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Parks CDP (part)	
2 770	2 691	90.8	12	14	23	2	1	27	16	Sedona city (part)	
129	-	-	-	129	-	-	-	-	-	Winslow West CDP (part)	
494	45	8.9	-	449	-	-	-	-	-	Havasupai CCD	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Supai CDP	
83	-	-	-	82	-	-	-	1	-	Hopi CCD	
2	2	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Hualapai CCD	
1 842	1 582	84.4	13	210	7	-	-	30	28	Kaibab CCD	
1 021	879	84.8	11	117	-	-	-	14	14	Fredonia town	
5	5	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Kaibab CDP (part)	
30 254	5 047	16.3	47	24 719	65	18	-	358	324	Tuba City CCD	
543	7	1.3	-	536	-	-	-	-	-	Bitter Springs CDP	
938	17	1.7	1	896	1	-	-	23	20	Cameron CDP	
1 605	6	0.4	1	1 592	-	-	-	6	6	Kaibito CDP	
1 591	11	0.7	-	1 567	-	-	-	13	12	Lechee CDP	
960	6	0.6	-	945	-	-	-	9	9	Leupp CDP	
894	13	1.4	-	869	-	-	-	12	10	Moenkopi CDP	
6 489	4 450	65.4	27	1 795	45	13	-	159	148	Page city	
557	3	0.5	-	554	-	-	-	-	-	Tonalea CDP	
8 031	428	5.2	13	7 477	18	3	-	92	87	Tuba City CDP	
6 756	5 908	70.1	129	496	73	9	10	131	117	Williams CCD	
1 311	985	67.5	23	260	13	2	-	28	28	Grand Canyon Village CDP	
1 078	1 033	90.9	4	5	4	6	-	26	23	Parks CDP (part)	
392	300	53.4	6	81	-	-	1	4	1	Tusayan CDP	
1 923	1 713	60.3	82	47	38	1	4	38	36	Williams city	
42 789	35 391	68.9	174	6 412	211	24	36	541	416	Gila County	
12 999	12 234	64.1	122	341	104	11	19	168	123	Globe-Miami CCD	
2 009	1 957	72.6	1	16	6	6	-	23	18	Central Heights-Midland City CDP	
1 058	1 000	55.7	11	21	2	-	1	23	19	Claypool CDP	

Table 3. Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total population	Race									Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	
		One race						Two or more races				
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Number	Percent of total popula- tion	Two races exclud- ing Some other race, and three or more races		
Gila County—Con.												
Globe-Miami CCD—Con.												
Globe city	7 486	5 809	86	232	84	3	1 092	180	2.4	71	2 449	
Miami town	1 936	1 447	20	28	2	-	395	44	2.3	9	1 054	
Top-of-the-World CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	
Payson CCD	21 844	20 821	43	306	90	12	310	262	1.2	180	1 062	
Payson town	13 620	12 905	36	257	72	7	183	160	1.2	109	708	
Pine CDP	1 931	1 871	3	10	2	-	21	24	1.2	16	34	
Strawberry CDP	1 028	994	1	6	7	-	10	10	1.0	5	32	
Sun Valley CDP	1 536	1 437	1	10	4	1	59	24	1.6	20	158	
Reservation CCD	6 298	264	9	5 870	14	-	16	125	2.0	96	157	
Canyon Day CDP	1 092	11	-	1 076	-	-	2	3	0.3	3	7	
Peridot CDP (part)	374	1	-	372	-	-	-	1	0.3	1	1	
San Carlos CDP	3 716	169	9	3 442	11	-	9	76	2.0	51	97	
Tonto CCD	2 540	2 431	-	20	4	-	35	50	2.0	32	91	
Gisela CDP	532	502	-	1	-	-	12	17	3.2	12	30	
Tonto Basin CDP	840	807	-	12	-	-	8	13	1.5	8	17	
Young CDP	561	539	-	3	2	-	12	5	0.9	2	19	
Winkelman CCD	1 563	983	7	21	1	5	483	63	4.0	14	1 145	
Hayden town (part)	892	508	4	15	-	5	313	47	5.3	7	754	
Winkelman town (part)	439	271	1	-	-	-	160	7	1.6	3	331	
Graham County	33 489	22 473	625	5 005	188	13	4 470	715	2.1	259	9 054	
Bonita-Klondyke CCD	2 344	1 511	247	151	49	-	373	13	0.6	7	725	
Swift Trail Junction CDP (part)	1 141	781	121	122	46	-	67	4	0.4	3	413	
Pima CCD	3 815	3 255	12	78	7	2	380	81	2.1	33	725	
Pima town	1 989	1 731	3	15	2	-	196	42	2.1	15	399	
Safford CCD	22 729	17 658	365	259	130	11	3 713	593	2.6	201	7 472	
Safford city	9 232	6 940	130	93	86	4	1 713	266	2.9	82	3 667	
Swift Trail Junction CDP (part)	1 054	924	6	11	2	1	92	18	1.7	7	211	
Thatcher town	4 022	3 408	31	74	21	3	397	88	2.2	29	786	
San Carlos CCD	4 601	49	1	4 517	2	-	4	28	0.6	18	132	
Peridot CDP (part)	892	17	-	868	-	-	-	7	0.8	3	34	
Greenlee County	8 547	6 339	44	142	13	3	1 711	295	3.5	91	3 681	
Clifton CCD	5 563	3 852	37	109	11	2	1 346	206	3.7	61	2 822	
Clifton town	2 596	1 742	25	59	1	-	694	75	2.9	22	1 450	
Morenci CDP	1 879	1 277	9	40	10	1	468	74	3.9	17	837	
Duncan CCD	2 984	2 487	7	33	2	1	365	89	3.0	30	859	
Duncan town	812	664	4	7	1	-	110	26	3.2	12	256	
La Paz County	19 715	14 619	155	2 470	80	19	1 844	528	2.7	299	4 420	
Parker CCD	19 715	14 619	155	2 470	80	19	1 844	528	2.7	299	4 420	
Bluewater CDP	730	592	-	60	2	1	40	35	4.8	17	111	
Bouse CDP	615	588	2	8	1	2	5	9	1.5	9	28	
Cibola CDP	172	130	6	11	-	-	20	5	2.9	2	58	
Ehrenberg CDP	1 357	1 123	15	22	1	1	143	52	3.8	10	409	
Parker town	3 140	1 948	59	725	27	5	234	142	4.5	74	935	
Parker Strip CDP	3 302	3 057	19	66	27	-	73	60	1.8	41	299	
Poston CDP	389	122	2	67	-	-	188	10	2.6	10	300	
Quartzsite town	3 354	3 169	8	39	9	2	87	40	1.2	25	169	
Salome CDP	1 690	1 540	5	45	5	4	53	38	2.2	30	313	
Wenden CDP	556	467	-	7	1	-	70	11	2.0	6	246	
Maricopa County	3 072 149	2 376 359	114 551	56 706	66 445	4 406	364 213	89 469	2.9	41 351	763 341	
Buckeye CCD	19 753	14 898	631	355	59	22	3 241	547	2.8	230	6 319	
Buckeye town (part)	6 461	4 701	220	112	29	5	1 229	165	2.6	60	2 360	
Surprise city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	
Chandler CCD	215 998	172 356	6 430	2 192	8 549	261	20 326	5 884	2.7	3 091	40 835	
Chandler city (part)	151 808	115 987	5 292	1 795	6 794	206	17 203	4 531	3.0	2 337	33 167	
Gilbert town (part)	26 528	22 546	756	158	1 022	20	1 287	739	2.8	430	3 288	
Mesa city (part)	1 252	966	54	79	18	8	81	46	3.7	30	140	
Phoenix city (part)	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Queen Creek town (part)	4 197	3 455	15	23	14	3	589	98	2.3	36	1 257	
Sun Lakes CDP	11 936	11 741	93	16	38	1	12	35	0.3	31	112	
Tempe city (part)	8 646	7 618	142	34	564	9	143	136	1.6	95	580	
Deer Valley CCD	148 777	136 364	2 504	798	3 123	91	3 178	2 719	1.8	1 667	10 817	
Carefree town (part)	2 506	2 461	7	1	12	-	9	16	0.6	12	65	
Cave Creek town	3 728	3 541	11	7	16	2	97	54	1.4	28	263	
Glendale city (part)	46 516	41 241	958	233	1 847	33	1 090	1 114	2.4	668	3 774	
New River CDP	10 740	10 296	45	64	49	5	134	147	1.4	106	521	
Peoria city (part)	28 519	26 458	477	94	399	20	593	478	1.7	313	1 837	
Phoenix city (part)	43 423	39 541	942	329	711	23	1 084	793	1.8	462	3 745	
Scottsdale city (part)	4 373	4 233	21	4	40	3	34	38	0.9	21	105	
Gila Bend CCD	8 471	5 767	187	718	48	8	1 453	290	3.4	136	2 420	
Avondale city (part)	29	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Buckeye town (part)	41	14	-	-	-	-	27	-	-	-	28	
Gila Bend town	1 980	1 016	26	203	7	-	642	86	4.3	43	1 042	
Goodyear city (part)	2 776	2 439	93	19	40	4	87	94	3.4	65	316	
Phoenix CCD	2 608 349	1 988 312	104 186	45 713	54 345	3 993	333 113	78 687	3.0	35 670	697 475	
Apache Junction city (part)	273	268	-	-	-	1	-	4	1.5	1	4	
Avondale city (part)	35 854	22 675	1 866	459	678	52	8 727	1 397	3.9	587	16 589	
Buckeye town (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	
Chandler city (part)	24 773	20 309	859	326	659	45	1 790	785	3.2	421	3 892	
El Mirage city	7 609	5 042	250	65	29	4	1 992	227	3.0	109	5 084	
Fountain Hills town	20 235	19 478	119	99	180	12	133	214	1.1	159	618	
Gilbert town (part)	83 169	71 497	1 883	518	2 915	114	3 946	2 296	2.8	1 293	9 738	
Glendale city (part)	172 296	124 052	9 312	2 948	4 156	260	25 098	6 470	3.8	3 113	50 569	
Goodyear city (part)	16 135	12 336	890	181	283	12	1 969	464	2.9	211	3 617	
Guadalupe town	5 228	913	56	2 310	7	11	1 632	299	5.7	81	3 782	
Litchfield Park city	3 810	3 508	53	15	110	8	57	59	1.5	45	209	
Mesa city (part)	395 123	322 689	9 923	6 493	5 890	924	38 190	11 005	2.8	5 574	78 141	
Paradise Valley town	13 664	13 063	100	27	276	5	54	139	1.0	105	364	
Peoria city (part)	79 842	65 591	2 535	640	1 678	100	7 091	2 207	2.8	1 227	14 860	
Phoenix city (part)	1 277 621	899 311	66 474	26 367	25 738	1 743	215 505	42 483	3.3	16 995	446 227	

Table 3. Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Not Hispanic or Latino									State County County Subdivision Place	
Total	One race						Two or more races			
	White		Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Total		Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races
	Number	Percent of total popu- lation								
5 037	4 606	61.5	80	194	77	3	3	74	58	Gila County—Con.
882	820	42.4	19	27	2	-	-	14	8	Globe-Miami CCD—Con.
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Globe city
20 782	20 143	92.2	37	283	89	12	12	206	166	Miami town
12 912	12 438	91.3	30	235	72	7	8	122	99	Top-of-the-World CDP (part)
1 897	1 858	96.2	3	10	2	-	2	22	14	Payson CCD
996	973	94.6	1	6	7	-	-	9	5	Payson town
1 378	1 342	87.4	1	10	4	1	-	20	20	Pine CDP
6 141	250	4.0	9	5 756	13	-	-	113	90	Strawberry CDP
1 085	11	1.0	-	1 071	-	-	-	3	3	Sun Valley CDP
373	1	0.3	-	371	-	-	-	1	1	Reservation CCD
3 619	164	4.4	9	3 367	11	-	-	68	48	Canyon Day CDP
2 449	2 384	93.9	-	20	4	-	-	41	32	Peridot CDP (part)
502	489	91.9	-	1	-	-	-	12	12	San Carlos CDP
823	800	95.2	-	12	-	-	-	11	8	Tonto CCD
542	532	94.8	-	3	2	-	-	5	2	Gisela CDP
418	380	24.3	6	12	1	1	5	13	5	Tonto Basin CDP
138	119	13.3	3	6	-	1	-	9	3	Young CDP
108	101	23.0	1	-	-	-	5	1	1	Winkelman CCD
										Winkelman town (part)
										Winkelman town (part)
24 435	18 488	55.2	602	4 819	182	10	28	306	226	Graham County
1 619	1 155	49.3	243	150	49	-	11	11	7	Bonita-Klondyke CCD
728	433	37.9	117	121	46	-	7	4	3	Swift Trail Junction CDP (part)
3 090	2 959	77.6	10	65	7	2	2	45	33	Pima CCD
1 590	1 554	78.1	3	15	2	-	-	16	15	Pima town
15 257	14 329	63.0	348	208	125	8	15	224	169	Safford CCD
5 565	5 190	56.2	118	65	85	1	9	97	68	Safford city
843	817	77.5	6	11	1	1	-	7	6	Swift Trail Junction CDP (part)
3 236	3 089	76.8	29	62	19	3	1	33	27	Thatcher town
4 469	45	1.0	1	4 396	1	-	-	26	17	San Carlos CCD
858	15	1.7	-	836	-	-	-	7	3	Peridot CDP (part)
4 866	4 604	53.9	34	117	12	1	13	85	61	Greenlee County
2 741	2 539	45.6	27	98	11	-	9	57	38	Clifton CCD
1 146	1 048	40.4	15	57	1	-	3	22	16	Clifton town
1 042	963	51.3	9	32	10	-	1	27	16	Morenci CDP
2 125	2 065	69.2	7	19	1	1	4	28	23	Duncan CCD
556	536	66.0	4	4	1	-	-	11	10	Duncan town
15 295	12 573	63.8	149	2 155	78	9	18	313	249	La Paz County
15 295	12 573	63.8	149	2 155	78	9	18	313	249	Parker CCD
619	546	74.8	-	51	2	1	-	19	17	Bluewater CDP
587	567	92.2	2	8	1	-	-	9	9	Bouse CDP
114	99	57.6	2	10	-	-	-	3	2	Cibola CDP
948	899	66.2	13	18	1	1	1	15	10	Ehrenberg CDP
2 205	1 427	45.4	59	619	25	-	2	73	51	Parker town
3 003	2 852	86.4	19	60	27	-	4	41	36	Parker Strip CDP
89	14	3.6	2	64	-	-	-	9	9	Poston CDP
3 185	3 100	92.4	8	35	9	1	-	32	24	Quartzsite town
1 377	1 292	76.4	5	41	5	4	-	30	28	Salome CDP
310	289	52.0	-	6	1	-	8	6	6	Wenden CDP
2 308 808	2 034 530	66.2	108 521	45 703	64 562	3 725	4 086	47 681	34 567	Maricopa County
13 434	12 249	62.0	613	277	42	13	16	224	181	Buckeye CCD
4 101	3 708	57.4	215	86	24	-	4	64	48	Buckeye town (part)
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Surprise city (part)
175 163	155 054	71.8	6 070	1 702	8 421	219	318	3 379	2 648	Chandler CCD
118 641	102 575	67.6	5 004	1 355	6 695	178	273	2 561	1 959	Chandler city (part)
23 240	20 931	78.9	694	127	1 005	16	25	442	380	Gilbert town (part)
1 112	921	73.6	52	75	18	8	-	38	30	Mesa city (part)
1	1	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Phoenix city (part)
2 940	2 839	67.6	14	22	14	3	1	47	33	Queen Creek town (part)
11 824	11 642	97.5	93	16	37	-	3	33	30	Sun Lakes CDP
8 066	7 206	83.3	140	31	561	8	13	107	89	Tempe city (part)
137 960	129 717	87.2	2 372	708	3 058	88	146	1 871	1 488	Deer Valley CCD
2 441	2 409	96.1	6	-	12	-	-	14	12	Carefree town (part)
3 465	3 404	91.3	11	7	15	1	1	26	26	Cave Creek town
42 742	38 961	83.8	930	199	1 810	33	65	744	581	Glendale city (part)
10 219	9 932	92.5	45	58	48	5	16	115	95	New River CDP
26 682	25 381	89.0	454	85	387	19	20	336	292	Peoria city (part)
39 678	37 211	85.7	864	296	699	22	39	547	414	Phoenix city (part)
4 268	4 169	95.3	20	3	38	3	2	33	20	Scottsdale city (part)
6 051	4 981	58.8	179	678	45	8	4	156	119	Gila Bend CCD
29	29	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Avondale city (part)
13	13	31.7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Buckeye town (part)
938	687	34.7	23	175	7	-	-	46	36	Gila Bend town
2 460	2 244	80.8	91	18	38	4	1	64	55	Goodyear city (part)
1 910 874	1 676 007	64.3	98 721	36 195	52 682	3 368	2 870	41 031	29 635	Phoenix CCD
269	267	97.8	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	Apache Junction city (part)
19 265	15 930	44.4	1 748	245	654	38	39	611	464	Avondale city (part)
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Buckeye town (part)
20 881	18 593	75.1	817	273	650	44	28	476	367	Chandler city (part)
2 525	2 097	27.6	246	49	27	4	2	100	72	El Mirage city
19 617	19 055	94.2	118	80	176	12	13	163	146	Fontain Hills town
73 431	66 666	80.2	1 821	432	2 858	104	86	1 464	1 143	Gilbert town (part)
121 727	102 501	59.5	8 888	2 261	4 050	197	224	3 606	2 624	Glendale city (part)
12 518	10 962	67.9	871	157	277	10	17	224	186	Goodyear city (part)
1 446	76	1.5	34	1 281	3	-	7	45	17	Guadalupe town
3 601	3 377	88.6	48	14	109	7	4	42	35	Litchfield Park city
316 982	289 259	73.2	9 325	5 379	5 737	866	402	6 014	4 620	Mesa city (part)
13 300	12 766	93.4	96	24	275	4	17	118	99	Paradise Valley town
64 982	58 988	73.9	2 433	494	1 605	92	88	1 282	1 045	Peoria city (part)
831 394	699 632	54.8	62 892	21 176	24 754	1 331	1 449	20 160	13 817	Phoenix city (part)



Table 3. Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total population	Race									Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	
		One race						Two or more races				
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Number	Percent of total popula- tion	Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races		
Maricopa County—Con.												
Phoenix CCD—Con.												
Rio Verde CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-
Scottsdale city (part)	197 260	181 599	2 478	1 231	3 919	164	4 566	3 303	1.7	2 060	13 990	
Sun City CDP	38 309	37 710	196	48	115	10	63	167	0.4	113	383	
Surprise city (part)	14 330	11 322	454	74	170	8	1 900	402	2.8	178	5 849	
Tempe city (part)	149 979	115 334	5 659	3 152	6 967	446	13 321	5 100	3.4	2 606	27 893	
Tolleson city	4 974	2 597	71	61	23	8	2 029	185	3.7	39	3 878	
Youngtown town	3 010	2 676	41	15	18	8	218	34	1.1	21	383	
St. Johns CCD	2 699	59	4	2 532	1	1	42	60	2.2	54	307	
Phoenix city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	
Salt River CCD	7 227	1 260	31	4 121	7	3	1 096	709	9.8	154	1 170	
Mesa city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	
Tempe city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	
Tonto CCD	4 120	4 032	8	26	16	1	18	19	0.5	14	84	
Carefree town (part)	421	419	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	13	
Rio Verde CDP (part)	1 419	1 414	-	1	2	-	1	1	0.1	1	4	
Scottsdale city (part)	1 072	1 051	2	5	5	-	3	6	0.6	5	16	
Wickenburg CCD	56 755	53 311	570	251	297	26	1 746	554	1.0	335	3 914	
Buckeye town (part)	35	27	-	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	8	
Peoria city (part)	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	
Sun City West CDP	26 344	26 005	129	14	97	10	18	71	0.3	55	154	
Surprise city (part)	16 518	15 199	352	60	159	8	527	213	1.3	126	1 335	
Wickenburg town	5 082	4 663	14	60	19	6	230	90	1.8	61	560	
Mohave County												
Kingman North CCD	155 032	139 616	833	3 733	1 186	168	6 200	3 296	2.1	1 957	17 182	
Bullhead City city (part)	50 832	45 324	264	2 101	432	74	1 419	1 218	2.4	770	4 214	
Dolan Springs CDP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	
Golden Valley CDP	1 867	1 748	12	16	19	1	39	32	1.7	23	114	
Kingman city	4 515	4 244	23	43	33	7	82	83	1.8	63	363	
New Kingman-Butler CDP	20 069	18 051	111	398	288	28	685	508	2.5	287	1 856	
Peach Springs CDP	14 810	13 514	65	250	63	17	444	457	3.1	305	1 374	
Kingman South CCD	600	26	-	558	-	-	14	2	0.3	-	32	
Arizona Village CDP	97 979	88 609	563	1 469	745	85	4 504	2 004	2.0	1 146	12 473	
Bullhead City city (part)	351	26	1	314	-	-	7	3	0.9	3	85	
Desert Hills CDP	33 769	28 896	340	452	339	25	2 787	930	2.8	436	6 807	
Lake Havasu City city	2 183	2 032	3	12	8	-	97	31	1.4	10	203	
Mesquite Creek CDP	41 938	39 568	129	291	245	41	1 051	613	1.5	399	3 298	
Mohave Valley CDP	205	190	2	2	1	-	4	6	2.9	2	15	
Mojave Ranch Estates CDP	13 694	12 433	62	320	129	16	447	287	2.1	190	1 640	
Willow Valley CDP	28	13	-	7	-	-	4	4	14.3	4	22	
Mohave North CCD	585	545	1	10	2	-	11	16	2.7	11	39	
Colorado City town	6 221	5 683	6	163	9	9	277	74	1.2	41	495	
Kaibab CDP (part)	3 334	3 229	6	-	3	7	59	30	0.9	21	97	
Navajo County	270	105	-	145	-	-	10	10	3.7	6	21	
Navajo County												
Apache CCD	97 470	44 752	857	46 532	322	46	3 067	1 894	1.9	1 179	8 011	
Cibecue CDP	10 545	403	14	9 945	6	1	26	150	1.4	133	214	
East Fork CDP	1 331	35	1	1 278	2	-	3	12	0.9	8	27	
McNary CDP (part)	880	4	-	876	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	
Whiteriver CDP	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	
Hopi CCD	5 220	158	2	4 964	2	-	16	78	1.5	72	99	
First Mesa CDP	6 877	283	14	6 500	5	1	15	59	0.9	49	131	
Hotevilla-Bacavi CDP	1 124	32	-	1 080	-	-	2	10	0.9	10	28	
Jeddito CDP	767	29	-	736	-	-	-	2	0.3	2	8	
Keams Canyon CDP	390	25	-	361	1	-	1	2	0.5	2	2	
Kykotsmovi Village CDP	260	20	1	233	-	-	-	6	2.3	5	5	
Second Mesa CDP	776	30	7	725	2	-	2	10	1.3	5	11	
Shongopovi CDP	814	10	-	789	1	-	1	13	1.6	10	3	
Indian Wells CCD	632	9	-	620	-	-	-	3	0.5	2	3	
Dilkon CDP	7 272	86	5	7 120	-	1	9	51	0.7	34	91	
Greasewood CDP	1 265	20	-	1 227	-	-	5	13	1.0	11	23	
Little Colorado CCD	581	14	-	564	-	1	1	1	0.2	-	4	
Holbrook city	17 620	10 280	646	3 964	161	11	1 793	765	4.3	423	4 184	
Winslow city	4 917	2 915	116	1 180	51	-	412	243	4.9	125	1 148	
Winslow West CDP (part)	9 520	5 004	493	2 234	98	9	1 284	398	4.2	223	2 746	
Pinon CCD	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	
Pinon CDP	8 074	166	1	7 884	2	1	4	16	0.2	14	63	
Snowflake CCD	1 190	91	-	1 094	-	-	4	1	0.1	1	24	
Heber-Overgaard CDP	36 612	33 138	166	1 185	141	29	1 207	746	2.0	443	3 235	
Pinetop-Lakeside town	2 722	2 583	2	63	4	3	39	28	1.0	10	165	
Show Low city	3 582	3 194	37	82	12	1	165	91	2.5	57	407	
Snowflake town	7 695	6 848	21	302	50	6	312	156	2.0	104	795	
Taylor town	4 460	3 891	12	309	22	3	134	89	2.0	40	359	
Western CCD	3 176	2 826	17	168	4	2	87	72	2.3	54	292	
Chilchinbito CDP	10 470	396	11	9 934	7	2	13	107	1.0	83	93	
Kayenta CDP	462	6	-	456	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Ojtao-Monument Valley CDP	4 922	317	10	4 514	6	2	8	65	1.3	57	46	
Shonto CDP	155	1	-	146	-	-	-	8	5.2	8	-	
Pima County	568	11	1	547	-	-	-	9	1.6	7	6	
Pima County												
Ajo CCD	843 746	633 387	25 594	27 178	17 213	1 088	112 217	27 069	3.2	12 936	247 578	
Ajo CDP	3 907	3 067	9	273	12	3	365	178	4.6	117	1 442	
Arivaca CCD	3 705	2 916	9	255	11	3	339	172	4.6	112	1 392	
Drexel Heights CDP (part)	50 441	36 299	596	5 011	241	37	7 118	1 139	2.3	448	15 643	
Green Valley CDP (part)	13 398	7 650	450	431	117	11	4 236	503	3.8	173	8 174	
Sahuarita town (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	
Three Points CDP (part)	16 775	16 491	31	31	57	9	63	93	0.6	64	393	
Valencia West CDP	3 207	2 813	19	35	32	-	240	68	2.1	32	756	
Marana CCD	4 702	3 252	32	126	18	6	1 101	167	3.6	57	1 924	
Avra Valley CDP	2 380	1 431	32	55	3	5	784	70	2.9	18	1 628	
Picture Rocks CDP (part)	25 608	20 867	364	597	106	23	2 988	663	2.6	338	6 201	
Marana town (part)	5 038	4 233	91	84	19	2	486	123	2.4	65	1 044	
Picture Rocks CDP (part)	1 902	1 218	94	166	14	5	343	62	3.3	28	707	
Picture Rocks CDP (part)	8 139	7 295	47	114	31	6	438	208	2.6	124	1 120	

Table 3. Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Not Hispanic or Latino										State County County Subdivision Place	
Total	One race						Two or more races		Total		Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races
	White		Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race				
	Number	Percent of total popu- lation									
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Maricopa County—Con. Phoenix CCD—Con. Rio Verde CDP (part)	
183 270	173 255	87.8	2 376	1 031	3 876	158	207	2 367	1 847	Scottsdale city (part)	
37 926	37 420	97.7	193	45	115	8	4	141	105	Sun City CDP	
8 481	7 654	53.4	415	40	164	8	12	188	146	Surprise city (part)	
122 086	103 311	68.9	5 406	2 647	6 844	417	231	3 230	2 244	Tempe city (part)	
1 096	945	19.0	58	27	14	8	2	42	27	Tolleson city	
2 627	2 526	83.9	40	13	17	8	-	23	17	Youngtown town	
2 392	38	1.4	4	2 294	-	1	4	51	47	St. Johns CCD	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Phoenix city (part)	
6 057	1 146	15.9	27	3 613	7	3	684	577	125	Salt River CCD	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Mesa city (part)	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Tempe city (part)	
4 036	3 970	96.4	8	24	16	1	-	17	14	Tonto CCD	
408	407	96.7	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	Carefree town (part)	
1 415	1 411	99.4	-	1	2	-	-	1	1	Rio Verde CDP (part)	
1 056	1 038	96.8	2	5	5	-	-	6	5	Scottsdale city (part)	
52 841	51 368	90.5	527	212	291	24	44	375	310	Wickenburg CCD	
27	27	77.1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Buckeye town (part)	
26 190	25 872	98.2	129	14	95	9	2	69	53	Peoria city (part)	
15 183	14 482	87.7	329	55	157	7	6	147	116	Sun City West CDP	
4 522	4 360	85.8	14	51	19	6	9	63	56	Surprise city (part)	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Wickenburg town	
137 850	130 283	84.0	787	3 238	1 118	151	128	2 145	1 720	Mohave County	
46 618	43 061	84.7	250	1 930	418	66	61	832	672	Kingman North CCD	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Bullhead City city (part)	
1 753	1 680	90.0	12	16	18	1	4	22	21	Dolan Springs CDP	
4 152	3 988	88.3	19	40	29	7	-	69	56	Golden Valley CDP	
18 213	17 119	85.3	109	329	284	27	17	328	246	Kingman city	
13 436	12 784	86.3	61	200	58	12	12	309	272	New Kingman-Butler CDP	
568	21	3.5	-	546	-	-	-	1	-	Peach Springs CDP	
85 506	81 731	83.4	531	1 158	695	77	62	1 252	1 011	Kingman South CCD	
266	9	2.6	1	253	-	-	-	3	3	Arizona Village CDP	
26 962	25 464	75.4	317	328	313	22	24	494	376	Bullhead City city (part)	
1 980	1 942	89.0	3	10	8	-	-	17	10	Desert Hills CDP	
38 640	37 550	89.5	127	233	233	38	19	440	361	Lake Havasu City city	
190	182	88.8	2	2	1	-	-	3	2	Mesquite Creek CDP	
12 054	11 393	83.2	56	266	119	15	17	188	169	Mohave Valley CDP	
6	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	Mojave Ranch Estates CDP	
546	524	89.6	1	4	2	-	-	15	11	Willow Valley CDP	
5 726	5 491	88.3	6	150	5	8	5	61	37	Mohave North CCD	
3 237	3 191	95.7	6	-	3	7	1	29	20	Colorado City town	
249	105	38.9	-	134	-	-	-	10	6	Kaibab CDP (part)	
89 459	41 196	42.3	794	45 846	315	39	29	1 240	1 039	Navajo County	
10 331	379	3.6	14	9 797	6	1	1	133	122	Apache CCD	
1 304	32	2.4	1	1 258	2	-	-	11	8	Cibecue CDP	
874	3	0.3	-	871	-	-	-	-	-	East Fork CDP	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	McNary CDP (part)	
5 121	149	2.9	2	4 895	2	-	1	72	69	Whiteriver CDP	
6 746	253	3.7	14	6 423	5	1	2	48	39	Hopi CCD	
1 096	27	2.4	-	1 062	-	-	-	7	7	First Mesa CDP	
759	25	3.3	-	732	-	-	-	2	2	Hotevilla-Bacavi CDP	
388	24	6.2	-	361	1	-	-	2	2	Jeddito CDP	
255	20	7.7	1	228	-	-	-	6	5	Keams Canyon CDP	
765	29	3.7	7	716	2	-	2	9	4	Kykotsmovi Village CDP	
811	10	1.2	-	789	1	-	-	11	9	Second Mesa CDP	
629	8	1.3	-	618	-	-	-	3	2	Shongopovi CDP	
7 181	79	1.1	5	7 052	-	1	-	44	29	Indian Wells CCD	
1 242	18	1.4	-	1 216	-	-	-	8	6	Dilkon CDP	
577	12	2.1	-	564	-	1	-	-	-	Greasewood CDP	
13 436	8 485	48.2	601	3 755	159	11	8	417	352	Little Colorado CCD	
3 769	2 364	48.1	103	1 118	50	-	4	130	95	Holbrook city	
6 774	3 881	40.8	461	2 105	97	9	3	218	194	Winslow city	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Winslow West CDP (part)	
8 011	156	1.9	1	7 838	2	-	-	14	13	Pinon CCD	
1 166	90	7.6	-	1 075	-	-	-	1	1	Pinon CDP	
33 377	31 464	85.9	149	1 099	136	24	18	487	403	Snowflake CCD	
2 557	2 479	91.1	2	60	3	3	-	10	10	Heber-Overgaard CDP	
3 175	2 993	83.6	35	70	11	-	-	66	54	Pinetop-Lakeside town	
6 900	6 441	83.7	19	270	50	3	2	115	95	Show Low city	
4 101	3 712	83.2	12	305	22	3	1	46	36	Snowflake town	
2 884	2 641	83.2	10	166	4	2	5	56	49	Taylor town	
10 377	380	3.6	10	9 882	7	1	-	97	81	Western CCD	
462	6	1.3	-	456	-	-	-	-	-	Chilchinbito CDP	
4 876	301	6.1	9	4 498	6	1	-	61	55	Kayenta CDP	
155	1	0.6	-	146	-	-	-	8	8	Oljato-Monument Valley CDP	
562	11	1.9	1	543	-	-	-	7	7	Shonto CDP	
596 168	518 720	61.5	24 047	21 821	16 595	891	1 012	13 082	10 238	Pima County	
2 465	2 145	54.9	5	231	11	2	3	68	61	Ajo CCD	
2 313	2 015	54.4	5	216	10	2	-	65	58	Ajo CDP	
34 798	29 344	58.2	554	4 191	217	28	21	443	336	Arivaca CCD	
5 224	4 260	31.8	426	260	100	8	6	164	124	Drexel Heights CDP (part)	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	East Sahuarita CDP (part)	
16 382	16 182	96.5	31	27	56	7	5	74	63	Green Valley CDP (part)	
2 451	2 350	73.3	13	32	31	-	-	25	20	Sahuarita town (part)	
2 778	2 574	54.7	30	89	13	4	2	66	47	Three Points CDP (part)	
752	662	27.8	26	41	3	5	1	14	9	Valencia West CDP	
19 407	18 147	70.9	341	444	86	20	19	350	281	Marana CCD	
3 994	3 735	74.1	90	77	16	2	1	73	59	Avra Valley CDP	
1 195	927	48.7	92	131	13	5	-	27	22	Marana town (part)	
7 019	6 725	82.6	45	82	25	5	7	130	107	Picture Rocks CDP (part)	

Table 3. Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total population	Race									Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	
		One race						Two or more races				
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Number	Percent of total popula- tion	Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races		
Pima County—Con.												
Marana CCD—Con.												
Three Points CDP (part)	571	471	5	8	3	-	67	17	3.0	9		227
Tucson Estates CDP (part)	6 720	5 255	48	145	20	2	1 087	163	2.4	71		2 217
Papago CCD	7 492	168	5	7 227	15	9	17	51	0.7	34		231
Pisinemo CDP	237	5	-	232	-	-	-	-	-	-		4
Santa Rosa CDP	438	2	-	434	-	-	1	1	0.2	1		4
Sells CDP	2 799	79	1	2 696	6	-	5	12	0.4	6		91
Tucson CCD	756 298	572 986	24 620	14 070	16 839	1 016	101 729	25 038	3.3	11 999		224 061
Casas Adobes CDP	54 011	47 889	891	355	1 180	33	2 414	1 249	2.3	764		7 434
Catalina CDP	7 025	5 986	38	101	28	11	682	179	2.5	72		1 663
Catalina Foothills CDP	53 794	49 140	653	265	1 744	50	931	1 011	1.9	661		4 062
Corona de Tucson CDP	813	774	2	3	3	1	14	16	2.0	11		55
Drexel-Alvernon CDP	4 192	2 372	215	83	23	3	1 320	176	4.2	46		2 434
Drexel Heights CDP (part)	10 451	6 217	175	384	78	12	3 224	361	3.5	128		6 153
East Sahuarita CDP (part)	1 419	1 101	8	31	8	-	232	39	2.7	15		682
Flowing Wells CDP	15 050	12 674	134	219	99	8	1 372	544	3.6	222		3 290
Green Valley CDP (part)	508	506	-	1	-	-	-	1	0.2	1		1
Littleton CDP	1 010	647	21	27	2	-	251	62	6.1	30		509
Marana town (part)	11 654	9 876	298	120	320	15	671	354	3.0	223		1 956
Oro Valley town	29 700	27 652	315	122	570	35	543	463	1.6	301		2 218
Picture Rocks CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-		-
Sahuarita town (part)	35	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		28
South Tucson city	5 490	2 386	127	502	22	3	2 264	186	3.4	41		4 460
Summit CDP	3 702	2 439	19	82	5	1	961	195	5.3	62		2 349
Tanque Verde CDP	16 195	15 269	113	90	211	18	220	274	1.7	164		1 180
Tortolita CDP	3 740	3 460	28	30	28	-	99	95	2.5	62		460
Tucson city	486 699	341 424	21 057	11 038	11 959	796	81 988	18 437	3.8	8 520		173 868
Tucson Estates CDP (part)	3 035	2 923	19	17	8	-	34	34	1.1	24		99
Vail CDP	2 484	2 165	16	13	12	1	185	92	3.7	45		413
Pinal County	179 727	126 559	4 958	14 034	1 086	146	28 149	4 795	2.7	1 993		53 671
Casa Grande CCD	34 555	23 060	1 215	1 512	313	28	7 256	1 171	3.4	457		13 269
Casa Grande city (part)	25 204	16 360	1 077	1 238	294	25	5 314	896	3.6	354		9 864
Coolidge CCD	12 128	7 323	944	612	68	11	2 693	477	3.9	159		4 497
Coolidge city	7 786	4 504	646	438	56	4	1 836	302	3.9	106		3 052
Eloy CCD	17 164	10 628	680	662	160	20	4 346	668	3.9	225		9 605
Arizona City CDP	4 385	3 751	50	96	17	3	353	115	2.6	67		726
Casa Grande city (part)	20	11	-	-	-	-	7	2	10.0	-		7
Eloy city	10 375	5 468	552	465	124	11	3 266	489	4.7	136		7 717
Florence CCD	20 798	12 632	1 592	932	149	9	5 102	382	1.8	141		6 981
Florence town	17 054	9 741	1 563	753	141	9	4 568	279	1.6	106		6 041
Gila River CCD	8 591	365	21	7 821	1	2	203	178	2.1	137		774
Blackwater CDP	504	5	1	477	-	-	17	4	0.8	4		76
Sacaton CDP	1 584	31	-	1 505	1	-	10	37	2.3	17		112
Santan CDP	651	12	-	604	-	-	20	15	2.3	11		83
Maricopa-Stanfield CCD	8 546	4 994	130	988	28	9	2 082	315	3.7	126		3 721
Ak-Chin Village CDP	669	27	2	613	-	-	22	5	0.7	4		60
Maricopa CDP	1 040	482	32	69	-	-	416	41	3.9	7		732
Stanfield CDP	651	330	26	74	-	6	201	14	2.2	5		401
North Pinal CCD	59 965	54 178	328	580	302	50	3 394	1 133	1.9	630		8 616
Apache Junction city (part)	31 541	29 210	194	316	166	22	991	642	2.0	401		2 797
Gold Camp CDP	6 029	5 800	18	40	29	4	82	56	0.9	45		213
Hayden town (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-		-
Kearny town	2 249	1 738	6	19	2	-	413	71	3.2	19		864
Queen Creek town (part)	119	90	-	-	-	-	28	1	0.8	-		37
Queen Valley CDP	820	792	1	4	1	1	12	9	1.1	4		50
Superior town	3 254	2 365	15	53	11	3	750	57	1.8	17		2 248
Top-of-the-World CDP (part)	330	293	5	10	-	-	14	8	2.4	5		63
Papago CCD	741	9	-	726	-	-	3	3	0.4	3		26
Chuichu CDP	339	3	-	333	-	-	-	3	0.9	3		19
San Manuel CCD	17 239	13 370	48	201	65	17	3 070	468	2.7	115		6 182
Dudleyville CDP	1 323	820	1	28	1	4	433	36	2.7	3		780
Mammoth town	1 762	1 091	2	27	6	3	562	71	4.0	14		1 286
Oracle CDP	3 563	2 744	5	54	3	7	615	135	3.8	37		1 365
San Manuel CDP	4 375	3 021	17	60	15	3	1 084	175	4.0	41		2 022
Winkelman town (part)	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Santa Cruz County	38 381	29 168	145	251	201	33	7 574	1 009	2.6	143		31 005
Nogales CCD	35 600	26 678	137	227	193	31	7 374	960	2.7	110		30 346
Amado CDP	275	195	1	3	2	-	72	2	0.7	-		119
Nogales city	20 878	16 249	78	120	67	15	3 751	598	2.9	46		19 539
Rio Rico Northeast CDP	3 164	2 218	11	28	46	6	751	104	3.3	20		2 124
Rio Rico Northwest CDP	2 882	1 811	20	21	35	1	931	63	2.2	10		2 516
Rio Rico Southeast CDP	1 590	991	8	-	2	-	542	47	3.0	10		1 352
Rio Rico Southwest CDP	2 777	1 970	12	27	20	3	668	77	2.8	7		2 364
Tubac CDP	949	842	-	11	4	-	85	7	0.7	4		173
Tumacacori-Carmen CDP	569	438	1	6	9	-	100	15	2.6	7		330
Patagonia CCD	2 781	2 490	8	24	8	2	200	49	1.8	33		659
Elgin CDP	309	283	-	9	-	-	10	7	2.3	7		42
Patagonia town	881	762	-	8	4	-	93	14	1.6	9		348
Sonoita CDP	826	740	4	4	1	2	54	21	2.5	13		138
Yavapai County	167 517	153 933	655	2 686	851	138	5 990	3 264	1.9	2 130		16 376
Ashfork CCD	1 891	1 704	22	32	7	5	62	59	3.1	46		408
Ash Fork CDP	457	440	-	6	-	-	7	4	0.9	3		235
Seligman CDP	456	388	1	9	7	1	28	22	4.8	15		87
Congress CCD	8 445	8 059	20	65	17	3	128	153	1.8	113		481
Black Canyon City CDP (part)	2 697	2 585	4	33	5	1	20	49	1.8	46		92
Congress CDP	1 717	1 647	-	9	1	-	31	29	1.7	20		137
Cordes Lakes CDP (part)	13	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Mayer CDP (part)	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Peoples Valley CDP	374	342	4	4	1	-	10	13	3.5	9		20
Peoria city (part)	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Spring Valley CDP	1 019	988	7	3	3	1	10	7	0.7	6		48

Table 3. Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Not Hispanic or Latino										State County County Subdivision Place	
Total	One race						Two or more races		Total		Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races
	White		Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race				
	Number	Percent of total popu- lation									
344	319	55.9	5	8	3	-	-	9	8	Pima County—Con.	
4 503	4 302	64.0	31	85	14	2	4	65	51	Marana CCD—Con.	
7 261	123	1.6	5	7 063	15	9	-	46	33	Three Points CDP (part)	
233	2	0.8	-	231	-	-	-	-	-	Tucson Estates CDP (part)	
434	2	0.5	-	432	-	-	-	-	-	Papago CCD	
2 708	51	1.8	1	2 641	6	-	-	9	6	Pisinemo CDP	
532 237	468 961	62.0	23 142	9 892	16 266	832	969	12 175	9 527	Santa Rosa CDP	
46 577	43 461	80.5	837	279	1 158	30	49	763	640	Sells CDP	
5 362	5 131	73.0	38	71	21	11	6	84	68	Tucson CCD	
49 732	46 375	86.2	615	213	1 725	43	54	707	565	Casas Adobes CDP	
758	738	90.8	2	2	3	1	-	12	10	Catalina CDP	
1 758	1 440	34.4	195	45	22	3	5	48	34	Catalina Foothills CDP	
4 298	3 687	35.3	167	228	66	10	17	123	87	Corona de Tucson CDP	
737	693	48.8	6	15	8	-	-	15	13	Drexel-Alverson CDP	
11 760	11 088	73.7	120	163	94	8	13	274	187	Drexel Heights CDP (part)	
507	505	99.4	-	1	-	-	-	1	1	East Sahuarita CDP (part)	
501	435	43.1	16	19	2	-	2	27	25	Flowing Wells CDP	
9 698	8 791	75.4	289	96	305	13	5	199	183	Green Valley CDP (part)	
27 482	26 182	88.2	303	89	552	33	20	303	264	Littleton CDP	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Marana town (part)	
7	7	20.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Oro Valley town	
1 030	496	9.0	112	356	17	2	5	42	14	Picture Rocks CDP (part)	
1 353	1 236	33.4	19	42	4	1	1	50	40	Sahuarita town (part)	
15 015	14 463	89.3	108	75	201	14	1	153	130	South Tucson city	
3 280	3 136	83.9	25	26	28	-	-	65	53	Summit CDP	
312 831	263 748	54.2	19 795	7 732	11 537	642	734	8 643	6 655	Tanque Verde CDP	
2 936	2 861	94.3	19	14	8	-	2	32	22	Tortolita CDP	
2 071	1 987	80.0	14	9	12	1	2	46	36	Tucson city	
126 056	105 641	58.8	4 658	12 419	1 001	111	169	2 057	1 590	Tucson Estates CDP (part)	
21 286	18 186	52.6	1 137	2 218	286	23	27	409	325	Vail CDP	
15 340	12 696	50.4	1 020	992	270	20	25	317	250	Pinal County	
7 631	6 012	49.6	900	486	59	9	9	156	124	Casa Grande CCD	
4 734	3 609	46.4	623	349	52	2	3	96	73	Casa Grande city (part)	
7 559	6 095	35.5	600	448	146	15	10	245	174	Coolidge CCD	
3 659	3 429	78.2	43	82	16	3	4	82	58	Coolidge city	
13	11	55.0	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	Eloy CCD	
2 658	1 640	15.8	481	278	112	6	4	137	98	Arizona City CDP	
13 817	11 052	53.1	1 552	845	144	6	49	169	109	Casa Grande city (part)	
11 013	8 478	49.7	1 524	692	136	6	49	128	83	Eloy city	
7 817	336	3.9	20	7 289	1	2	23	146	119	Florence CCD	
428	5	1.0	1	417	-	-	2	3	3	Florence town	
1 472	29	1.8	-	1 416	1	-	-	26	13	Gila River CCD	
568	10	1.5	-	546	-	-	3	9	8	Blackwater CDP	
4 825	3 693	43.2	117	870	22	4	7	112	89	Sacaton CDP	
609	13	1.9	2	591	-	-	1	2	2	Santan CDP	
308	217	20.9	28	52	-	-	3	8	3	Maricopa-Stanfield CCD	
250	166	25.5	26	50	-	4	-	4	4	Ak-Chin Village CDP	
51 349	49 585	82.7	291	443	284	42	25	679	570	Maricopa CDP	
28 744	27 700	87.8	168	248	161	19	17	431	365	Stanfield CDP	
5 816	5 692	94.4	18	36	28	4	-	38	36	North Pinal CCD	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Apache Junction city (part)	
1 385	1 340	59.6	6	15	2	-	3	19	15	Gold Camp CDP	
82	82	68.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Hayden town (part)	
770	758	92.4	1	4	1	1	-	5	4	Kearny town	
1 006	939	28.9	9	28	6	1	1	22	14	Queen Creek town (part)	
267	246	74.5	5	10	-	-	-	6	5	Queen Valley CDP	
715	7	0.9	-	708	-	-	-	-	-	Superior town	
320	3	0.9	-	317	-	-	-	-	-	Top-of-the-World CDP (part)	
11 057	10 675	61.9	41	112	59	10	19	141	80	Papago CCD	
543	502	37.9	1	18	1	4	6	11	3	Chuichu CDP	
476	441	25.0	1	12	6	-	4	12	7	San Manuel CCD	
2 198	2 120	59.5	4	33	1	3	2	35	24	Dudleyville CDP	
2 353	2 231	51.0	12	35	11	3	1	60	30	Mammoth town	
4	4	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Oracle CDP	
7 376	6 835	17.8	75	95	189	17	28	137	84	San Manuel CDP	
5 254	4 783	13.4	67	82	181	15	25	101	52	Winkelman town (part)	
156	149	54.2	1	3	1	-	-	2	-	Santa Cruz County	
1 339	1 139	5.5	38	38	65	4	19	36	11	Nogales CCD	
1 040	952	30.1	8	10	44	6	3	17	10	Amado CDP	
366	307	10.7	9	11	28	-	-	11	6	Nogales city	
238	219	13.8	4	-	2	-	-	13	8	Rio Rico Northeast CDP	
413	368	13.3	2	9	20	3	-	11	7	Rio Rico Northwest CDP	
776	764	80.5	-	6	4	-	-	2	2	Rio Rico Southeast CDP	
239	222	39.0	-	5	9	-	-	3	3	Rio Rico Southwest CDP	
2 122	2 052	73.8	8	13	8	2	3	36	32	Tubac CDP	
267	256	82.8	-	3	-	-	1	7	7	Tumacacori-Carmen CDP	
533	515	58.5	-	4	4	-	-	10	8	Patagonia CCD	
688	664	80.4	4	3	1	2	-	14	13	Elgin CDP	
151 141	145 037	86.6	589	2 355	833	128	89	2 110	1 850	Patagonia town	
1 483	1 389	73.5	22	19	7	3	-	43	41	Sonoita CDP	
222	214	46.8	-	4	-	-	-	4	3	Yavapai County	
369	343	75.2	1	8	7	-	-	10	10	Ashfork CCD	
7 964	7 750	91.8	19	62	17	3	6	107	98	Ash Fork CDP	
2 605	2 516	93.3	4	33	5	1	-	46	45	Seligman CDP	
1 580	1 546	90.0	-	9	1	-	2	22	19	Congress CCD	
13	13	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Black Canyon City CDP (part)	
5	5	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Congress CDP	
354	337	90.1	4	4	1	-	-	8	8	Cordes Lakes CDP (part)	
1	1	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Mayer CDP (part)	
971	950	93.2	6	2	3	1	4	5	5	Peoples Valley CDP	
										Peoria city (part)	
										Spring Valley CDP	

Table 3. Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total population	Race									Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	
		One race						Two or more races				
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Number	Percent of total popu- lation	Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races		
Yavapai County—Con.												
Congress CCD—Con.												
Wilhoit CDP .....	664	635	-	6	2	-	12	9	1.4	7	38	
Yarnell CDP .....	645	625	1	1	-	1	7	10	1.6	9	12	
Humboldt CCD .....	5 295	5 067	11	54	10	5	74	74	1.4	54	370	
Black Canyon City CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	
Camp Verde town (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	
Cordes Lakes CDP (part) .....	2 045	1 973	3	18	3	5	14	29	1.4	23	127	
Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part) .....	1 017	976	3	13	4	-	17	4	0.4	3	63	
Mayer CDP (part) .....	1 391	1 304	-	17	3	-	37	30	2.2	22	126	
Mingus Mountain CCD .....	24 416	21 491	95	529	108	14	1 562	617	2.5	300	3 498	
Camp Verde town (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	
Clarkdale town .....	3 422	2 892	10	233	12	2	185	88	2.6	46	404	
Cottonwood city (part) .....	9 179	7 824	45	144	38	3	887	238	2.6	102	1 884	
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP (part) .....	9 726	8 860	30	124	47	6	418	241	2.5	118	1 080	
Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part) .....	94	89	-	3	-	-	2	-	-	-	3	
Jerome town .....	329	302	1	8	1	-	7	10	3.0	4	27	
Prescott Valley town (part) .....	403	370	-	3	4	2	10	14	3.5	10	21	
Prescott CCD .....	95 978	89 121	393	1 132	521	87	2 982	1 742	1.8	1 205	8 685	
Bagdad CDP .....	1 578	1 457	16	17	6	2	51	29	1.8	14	295	
Chino Valley town .....	7 835	7 370	18	74	12	6	203	152	1.9	101	766	
Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part) .....	5 184	5 005	11	21	17	2	75	53	1.0	39	262	
Mayer CDP (part) .....	12	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Paulden CDP .....	3 420	3 146	18	81	7	4	98	66	1.9	58	535	
Prescott city .....	33 938	31 538	171	432	283	21	941	552	1.6	395	2 773	
Prescott Valley town (part) .....	23 132	21 071	114	224	125	32	1 071	495	2.1	329	2 596	
Williamson CDP .....	3 776	3 659	4	15	11	4	30	53	1.4	24	133	
Verde CCD .....	31 492	28 491	114	874	188	24	1 182	619	2.0	412	2 934	
Big Park CDP .....	5 245	5 012	19	19	32	1	80	82	1.6	63	356	
Camp Verde town (part) .....	9 451	8 038	33	691	21	13	444	211	2.2	156	1 034	
Cornville CDP .....	3 335	3 109	13	28	20	2	90	73	2.2	48	304	
Cottonwood city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP (part) .....	884	803	4	8	2	-	53	14	1.6	7	106	
Lake Montezuma CDP .....	3 344	3 096	3	78	6	-	93	68	2.0	54	243	
Sedona city (part) .....	7 229	6 596	38	32	72	7	357	127	1.8	59	714	
Yuma County .....	160 026	109 269	3 550	2 626	1 486	197	37 743	5 155	3.2	1 720	80 772	
Somerton CCD .....	30 198	16 602	518	824	84	10	11 472	688	2.3	109	25 663	
Gadsden CDP .....	953	390	-	34	3	2	511	13	1.4	1	894	
San Luis city .....	15 322	9 007	452	224	25	3	5 265	346	2.3	43	13 657	
Somerton city .....	7 266	3 235	27	47	25	1	3 714	217	3.0	29	6 915	
Yuma city (part) .....	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	
Wellton CCD .....	7 011	5 037	134	92	26	4	1 430	288	4.1	108	2 943	
Tacna CDP .....	555	370	6	4	3	-	141	31	5.6	4	282	
Wellton town .....	1 829	1 248	37	25	5	3	465	46	2.5	17	745	
Yuma city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	
Yuma CCD .....	122 817	87 630	2 898	1 710	1 376	183	24 841	4 179	3.4	1 503	52 166	
Fortuna Foothills CDP .....	20 478	18 443	91	143	107	15	1 348	331	1.6	158	2 609	
Yuma city (part) .....	77 504	52 957	2 491	1 168	1 164	145	16 557	3 022	3.9	1 122	35 391	

Table 3. **Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000—Con.**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Not Hispanic or Latino										State County County Subdivision Place
Total	One race						Two or more races			
	White		Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Total	Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races	
	Number	Percent of total popu- lation								
626	610	91.9	-	5	2	-	-	9	7	Yavapai County—Con.
633	620	96.1	1	1	-	1	-	10	9	Congress CCD—Con.
4 925	4 798	90.6	7	50	10	5	3	52	45	Wilhoit CDP
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yarnell CDP
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Humboldt CCD
1 918	1 868	91.3	3	15	3	5	-	24	21	Black Canyon City CDP (part)
954	929	91.3	3	13	4	-	2	3	3	Camp Verde town (part)
1 265	1 224	88.0	-	17	3	-	-	21	17	Cordes Lakes CDP (part)
20 918	19 902	81.5	92	457	105	11	17	334	270	Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part)
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Mayer CDP (part)
3 018	2 737	80.0	8	200	12	2	2	57	44	Mingus Mountain CCD
7 295	6 980	76.0	45	114	36	3	10	107	91	Camp Verde town (part)
8 646	8 318	85.5	29	116	46	3	4	130	103	Clarkdale town
91	88	93.6	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	Cottonwood city (part)
302	287	87.2	1	7	1	-	-	6	4	Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP (part)
382	361	89.6	-	3	4	2	-	12	9	Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part)
87 293	84 193	87.7	347	959	508	82	46	1 158	1 043	Jerome town
1 283	1 236	78.3	14	15	6	2	-	10	10	Prescott Valley town (part)
7 069	6 865	87.6	15	59	12	6	9	103	94	Prescott CCD
4 922	4 840	93.4	11	16	15	2	-	38	38	Bagdad CDP
12	12	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Chino Valley town
2 885	2 739	80.1	10	70	7	4	-	55	52	Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part)
31 165	29 941	88.2	149	376	279	17	25	378	329	Mayer CDP (part)
20 536	19 759	85.4	107	193	121	32	8	316	286	Paulden CDP
3 643	3 589	95.0	4	14	10	4	-	22	17	Prescott city
28 558	27 005	85.8	102	808	186	24	17	416	353	Prescott Valley town (part)
4 889	4 753	90.6	19	17	30	1	4	65	60	Williamson CDP
8 417	7 583	80.2	24	638	21	13	5	133	110	Verde CCD
3 031	2 924	87.7	11	26	20	2	1	47	45	Big Park CDP
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Camp Verde town (part)
778	759	85.9	4	6	2	-	-	7	7	Cornville CDP
3 101	2 963	88.6	3	76	6	-	1	52	48	Cottonwood city (part)
6 515	6 276	86.8	37	31	72	7	6	86	58	Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP (part)
79 254	70 956	44.3	3 136	1 819	1 362	132	131	1 718	1 288	Lake Montezuma CDP
4 535	3 253	10.8	477	652	61	3	7	82	55	Sedona city (part)
59	31	3.3	-	21	3	1	-	3	1	Yuma County
1 665	1 065	7.0	438	124	14	2	5	17	11	Somerton CCD
351	287	3.9	5	21	16	-	-	22	12	Gadsden CDP
2	2	18.2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	San Luis city
4 068	3 730	53.2	117	71	26	4	1	119	97	Somerton city
273	261	47.0	1	2	3	-	-	6	4	Yuma city (part)
1 084	1 011	55.3	35	15	5	3	-	15	14	Wellton CCD
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Tacna CDP
70 651	63 973	52.1	2 542	1 096	1 275	125	123	1 517	1 136	Wellton town
17 869	17 361	84.8	76	114	93	7	6	212	146	Yuma city (part)
42 113	36 782	47.5	2 220	747	1 086	105	100	1 073	810	Yuma CCD
										Fortuna Foothills CDP
										Yuma city (part)

**Table 4. Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Race										Hispanic or Latino (of any race)
	Total population	One race						Two or more races			
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Number	Percent of total popu- lation	Two races exclud- ing Some other race, and three or more races	
<b>The State</b> .....	<b>5 130 632</b>	<b>3 873 611</b>	<b>158 873</b>	<b>255 879</b>	<b>92 236</b>	<b>6 733</b>	<b>596 774</b>	<b>146 526</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>69 329</b>	<b>1 295 617</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>											
Apache County .....	69 423	13 536	173	53 375	93	39	1 217	990	1.4	575	3 119
Cochise County .....	117 755	90 269	5 321	1 350	1 942	301	14 193	4 379	3.7	2 479	36 134
Coconino County .....	116 320	73 381	1 215	33 161	910	108	4 801	2 744	2.4	1 743	12 727
Gila County .....	51 335	39 951	197	6 630	220	28	3 385	924	1.8	474	8 546
Graham County .....	33 489	22 473	625	5 005	188	13	4 470	715	2.1	259	9 054
Greenlee County .....	8 547	6 339	44	142	13	3	1 711	295	3.5	91	3 681
La Paz County .....	19 715	14 619	155	2 470	80	19	1 844	528	2.7	299	4 420
Maricopa County .....	3 072 149	2 376 359	114 551	56 706	66 445	4 406	364 213	89 469	2.9	41 351	763 341
Mohave County .....	155 032	139 616	833	3 733	1 186	168	6 200	3 296	2.1	1 957	17 182
Navajo County .....	97 470	44 752	857	46 532	322	46	3 067	1 894	1.9	1 179	8 011
Pima County .....	843 746	633 387	25 594	27 178	17 213	1 088	112 217	27 069	3.2	12 936	247 578
Pinal County .....	179 727	126 559	4 958	14 034	1 086	146	28 149	4 795	2.7	1 993	53 671
Santa Cruz County .....	38 381	29 168	145	251	201	33	7 574	1 009	2.6	143	31 005
Yavapai County .....	167 517	153 933	655	2 686	851	138	5 990	3 264	1.9	2 130	16 376
Yuma County .....	160 026	109 269	3 550	2 626	1 486	197	37 743	5 155	3.2	1 720	80 772
<b>PLACE</b>											
Ajo CDP, Pima County .....	3 705	2 916	9	255	11	3	339	172	4.6	112	1 392
Ak-Chin Village CDP, Pinal County .....	669	27	2	613	-	-	22	5	0.7	4	60
Amado CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	275	195	1	3	2	-	72	2	0.7	-	119
Apache Junction city .....	31 814	29 478	194	316	166	23	991	646	2.0	402	2 801
Maricopa County (part) .....	273	268	-	-	-	1	-	4	1.5	1	4
Pinal County (part) .....	31 541	29 210	194	316	166	22	991	642	2.0	401	2 797
Arizona City CDP, Pinal County .....	4 385	3 751	50	96	17	3	353	115	2.6	67	726
Arizona Village CDP, Mohave County .....	351	26	1	314	-	-	7	3	0.9	3	85
Ash Fork CDP, Yavapai County .....	457	440	-	6	-	-	7	4	0.9	3	235
Avondale city, Maricopa County .....	35 883	22 704	1 866	459	678	52	8 727	1 397	3.9	587	16 589
Avra Valley CDP, Pima County .....	5 038	4 233	91	84	19	2	486	123	2.4	65	1 044
Bagdad CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 578	1 457	16	17	6	2	51	29	1.8	14	295
Benson city, Cochise County .....	4 711	4 208	34	61	22	6	268	112	2.4	47	935
Big Park CDP, Yavapai County .....	5 245	5 012	19	19	32	1	80	82	1.6	63	356
Bisbee city, Cochise County .....	6 090	5 123	28	74	30	4	674	157	2.6	70	2 094
Bitter Springs CDP, Coconino County .....	547	7	-	540	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
Black Canyon City CDP, Yavapai County .....	2 697	2 585	4	33	5	1	20	49	1.8	46	92
Blackwater CDP, Pinal County .....	504	5	1	477	-	-	17	4	0.8	4	76
Bluewater CDP, La Paz County .....	730	592	-	60	2	1	40	35	4.8	17	111
Bouse CDP, La Paz County .....	615	588	-	8	1	2	5	9	1.5	9	28
Buckeye town, Maricopa County .....	6 537	4 742	220	112	29	5	1 264	165	2.5	60	2 396
Bullhead City city, Mohave County .....	33 769	28 896	340	452	339	25	2 787	930	2.8	436	6 807
Burnside CDP, Apache County .....	632	45	-	580	-	-	4	3	0.5	-	4
Cameron CDP, Coconino County .....	978	17	1	926	1	-	8	25	2.6	21	40
Camp Verde town, Yavapai County .....	9 451	8 038	33	691	21	13	444	211	2.2	156	1 034
Canyon Day CDP, Gila County .....	1 092	11	-	1 076	-	-	2	3	0.3	3	7
Carefree town, Maricopa County .....	2 927	2 880	7	1	13	-	10	16	0.5	12	78
Casa Grande city, Pinal County .....	25 224	16 371	1 077	1 238	294	25	5 321	898	3.6	354	9 871
Casas Adobes CDP, Pima County .....	54 011	47 889	891	355	1 180	33	2 414	1 249	2.3	764	7 434
Catalina CDP, Pima County .....	7 025	5 986	38	101	28	11	682	179	2.5	72	1 663
Catalina Foothills CDP, Pima County .....	53 794	49 140	653	265	1 744	50	931	1 011	1.9	661	4 062
Cave Creek town, Maricopa County .....	3 728	3 541	11	7	16	2	97	54	1.4	28	263
Central Heights-Midland City CDP, Gila County .....	2 694	2 348	1	28	6	6	248	57	2.1	18	685
Chandler city, Maricopa County .....	176 581	136 296	6 151	2 121	7 453	251	18 993	5 316	3.0	2 758	37 059
Chilchinbito CDP, Navajo County .....	462	6	-	456	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chinle CDP, Apache County .....	5 366	343	10	4 898	9	2	32	72	1.3	45	98
Chino Valley town, Yavapai County .....	7 835	7 370	18	74	12	6	203	152	1.9	101	766
Chuichu CDP, Pinal County .....	339	3	-	333	-	-	-	3	0.9	3	19
Cibecue CDP, Navajo County .....	1 331	35	1	1 278	2	-	3	12	0.9	8	27
Cibola CDP, La Paz County .....	172	130	6	11	-	-	20	5	2.9	2	58
Clarkdale town, Yavapai County .....	3 422	2 892	10	233	12	2	185	88	2.6	46	404
Claypool CDP, Gila County .....	1 794	1 408	17	28	2	-	284	55	3.1	20	736
Clifton town, Greenlee County .....	2 596	1 742	25	59	1	-	694	75	2.9	22	1 450
Colorado City town, Mohave County .....	3 334	3 229	6	-	3	7	59	30	0.9	21	97
Congress CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 717	1 647	-	9	1	-	31	29	1.7	20	137
Coolidge city, Pinal County .....	7 786	4 504	646	438	56	4	1 836	302	3.9	106	3 052
Cordes Lakes CDP, Yavapai County .....	2 058	1 986	3	18	3	5	14	29	1.4	23	127
Cornville CDP, Yavapai County .....	3 335	3 109	13	28	20	2	90	73	2.2	48	304
Corona de Tucson CDP, Pima County .....	813	774	2	3	3	1	14	16	2.0	11	55
Cottonwood city, Yavapai County .....	9 179	7 824	45	144	38	3	887	238	2.6	102	1 884
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP, Yavapai County .....	10 610	9 663	34	132	49	6	471	255	2.4	125	1 186
Dennehotso CDP, Apache County .....	734	6	-	724	-	-	-	4	0.5	4	2
Desert Hills CDP, Mohave County .....	2 183	2 032	3	12	8	-	97	31	1.4	10	203
Dewey-Humboldt CDP, Yavapai County .....	6 295	6 070	14	37	21	2	94	57	0.9	42	328
Diklon CDP, Navajo County .....	1 265	20	-	1 227	-	-	5	13	1.0	11	23
Dolan Springs CDP, Mohave County .....	1 867	1 748	12	16	19	1	39	32	1.7	23	114
Douglas city, Cochise County .....	14 312	9 045	70	155	63	12	4 552	415	2.9	58	12 306
Drexel-Alvernon CDP, Pima County .....	4 192	2 372	215	83	23	3	1 320	176	4.2	46	2 434
Drexel Heights CDP, Pima County .....	23 849	13 867	625	815	195	23	7 460	864	3.6	301	14 327
Dudleville CDP, Pinal County .....	1 323	820	1	28	1	4	433	36	2.7	3	780
Duncan town, Greenlee County .....	812	664	4	7	1	-	110	26	3.2	12	256
Eagar town, Apache County .....	4 033	3 512	17	135	5	14	198	152	3.8	70	564
East Fork CDP, Navajo County .....	880	4	-	876	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
East Sahuarita CDP, Pima County .....	1 419	1 101	8	31	8	-	232	39	2.7	15	682
Ehrenberg CDP, La Paz County .....	1 357	1 123	15	22	1	1	143	52	3.8	10	409

Table 4. Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Not Hispanic or Latino										State County Place	
Total	One race						Two or more races		Total		Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races
	White		Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Total			
	Number	Percent of total popu- lation									
<b>3 835 015</b>	<b>3 274 258</b>	<b>63.8</b>	<b>149 941</b>	<b>233 370</b>	<b>89 315</b>	<b>5 639</b>	<b>6 120</b>	<b>76 372</b>	<b>57 510</b>	<b>The State</b>	
<b>COUNTY</b>											
66 304	12 281	17.7	163	53 036	85	30	31	678	526	Apache County	
81 621	70 754	60.1	5 062	978	1 876	271	194	2 486	2 121	Cochise County	
103 593	66 969	57.6	1 150	32 557	896	100	128	1 793	1 535	Coconino County	
42 789	35 391	68.9	174	6 412	211	24	36	541	416	Gila County	
24 435	18 488	55.2	602	4 819	182	10	28	306	226	Graham County	
4 866	4 604	53.9	34	117	12	1	13	85	61	Greenlee County	
15 295	12 573	63.8	149	2 155	78	9	18	313	249	La Paz County	
2 308 808	2 034 530	66.2	108 521	45 703	64 562	3 725	4 086	47 681	34 567	Maricopa County	
137 850	130 283	84.0	787	3 238	1 118	151	128	2 145	1 720	Mohave County	
89 459	41 196	42.3	794	45 846	315	39	29	1 240	1 039	Navajo County	
596 168	518 720	61.5	24 047	21 821	16 595	891	1 012	13 082	10 238	Pima County	
126 056	105 641	58.8	4 658	12 419	1 001	111	169	2 057	1 590	Pinal County	
7 376	6 835	17.8	75	95	189	17	28	137	84	Santa Cruz County	
151 141	145 037	86.6	589	2 355	833	128	89	2 110	1 850	Yavapai County	
79 254	70 956	44.3	3 136	1 819	1 362	132	131	1 718	1 288	Yuma County	
<b>PLACE</b>											
2 313	2 015	54.4	5	216	10	2	-	65	58	Ajo CDP, Pima County	
609	13	1.9	2	591	-	-	1	2	2	Ak-Chin Village CDP, Pinal County	
156	149	54.2	1	3	1	-	-	2	-	Amado CDP, Santa Cruz County	
29 013	27 967	87.9	168	248	161	20	17	432	366	Apache Junction city	
269	267	97.8	-	-	-	1	-	1	1	Maricopa County (part)	
28 744	27 700	87.8	168	248	161	19	17	431	365	Pinal County (part)	
3 659	3 429	78.2	43	82	16	3	4	82	58	Arizona City CDP, Pinal County	
266	9	2.6	1	253	-	-	-	3	3	Arizona Village CDP, Mohave County	
222	214	46.8	-	4	-	-	-	4	3	Ash Fork CDP, Yavapai County	
19 294	15 959	44.5	1 748	245	654	38	39	611	464	Avondale city, Maricopa County	
3 994	3 735	74.1	90	77	16	2	1	73	59	Avra Valley CDP, Pima County	
1 283	1 236	78.3	14	15	6	2	-	10	10	Bagdad CDP, Yavapai County	
3 776	3 635	77.2	30	28	19	6	3	55	44	Benson city, Cochise County	
4 889	4 753	90.6	19	17	30	1	4	65	60	Big Park CDP, Yavapai County	
3 996	3 815	62.6	22	49	30	3	13	64	59	Bisbee city, Cochise County	
543	7	1.3	-	536	-	-	-	-	-	Bitter Springs CDP, Coconino County	
2 605	2 516	93.3	4	33	5	1	-	46	45	Black Canyon City CDP, Yavapai County	
428	5	1.0	1	417	-	-	2	3	3	Blackwater CDP, Pinal County	
619	546	74.8	-	51	2	1	-	19	17	Bluewater CDP, La Paz County	
587	567	92.2	2	8	1	-	-	9	9	Bouse CDP, La Paz County	
4 141	3 748	57.3	215	86	24	-	4	64	48	Buckeye town, Maricopa County	
26 962	25 464	75.4	317	328	313	22	24	494	376	Bullhead City city, Mohave County	
628	45	7.1	-	580	-	-	-	3	-	Burnside CDP, Apache County	
938	17	1.7	1	896	1	-	-	23	20	Cameron CDP, Coconino County	
8 417	7 583	80.2	24	638	21	13	5	133	110	Camp Verde town, Yavapai County	
1 085	11	1.0	-	1 071	-	-	-	3	3	Canyon Day CDP, Gila County	
2 849	2 816	96.2	6	-	13	-	-	14	12	Carefree town, Maricopa County	
15 353	12 707	50.4	1 020	992	270	20	25	319	250	Casa Grande city, Pinal County	
46 577	43 461	80.5	837	279	1 158	30	49	763	640	Casas Adobes CDP, Pima County	
5 362	5 131	73.0	38	71	21	11	6	84	68	Catalina CDP, Pima County	
49 732	46 375	86.2	615	213	1 725	43	54	707	585	Catalina Foothills CDP, Pima County	
3 465	3 404	91.3	11	7	15	1	1	26	26	Cave Creek town, Maricopa County	
2 009	1 957	72.6	1	16	6	6	-	23	18	Central Heights-Midland City CDP, Gila County	
139 522	121 168	68.6	5 821	1 628	7 345	222	301	3 037	2 326	Chandler city, Maricopa County	
462	6	1.3	-	456	-	-	-	-	-	Chilichinibito CDP, Navajo County	
5 268	328	6.1	10	4 859	9	2	3	57	43	Chinle CDP, Apache County	
7 069	6 865	87.6	15	59	12	6	9	103	94	Chino Valley town, Yavapai County	
320	3	0.9	-	317	-	-	-	-	-	Chuichu CDP, Pinal County	
1 304	32	2.4	1	1 258	2	-	-	11	8	Cibecue CDP, Navajo County	
114	99	57.6	2	10	-	-	-	3	2	Cibola CDP, La Paz County	
3 018	2 737	80.0	8	200	12	2	2	57	44	Clarkdale town, Yavapai County	
1 058	1 000	55.7	11	21	2	-	1	23	19	Claypool CDP, Gila County	
1 146	1 048	40.4	15	57	1	-	3	22	16	Clifton town, Greenlee County	
3 237	3 191	95.7	6	-	3	7	1	29	20	Colorado City town, Mohave County	
1 580	1 546	90.0	-	9	1	-	2	22	19	Congress CDP, Yavapai County	
4 734	3 609	46.4	623	349	52	2	3	96	73	Coolidge city, Pinal County	
1 931	1 881	91.4	3	15	3	5	-	24	21	Cordes Lakes CDP, Yavapai County	
3 031	2 924	87.7	11	26	20	2	1	47	45	Conville CDP, Yavapai County	
758	738	90.8	2	2	3	1	-	12	10	Corona de Tucson CDP, Pima County	
7 295	6 980	76.0	45	114	36	3	10	107	91	Cottonwood city, Yavapai County	
9 424	9 077	85.6	33	122	48	3	4	137	110	Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP, Yavapai County	
732	6	0.8	-	722	-	-	-	4	4	Dennehotso CDP, Apache County	
1 980	1 942	89.0	3	10	8	-	-	17	10	Desert Hills CDP, Mohave County	
5 967	5 857	93.0	14	32	19	2	2	41	41	Dewey-Humboldt CDP, Yavapai County	
1 242	18	1.4	-	1 216	-	-	-	8	6	Diklon CDP, Navajo County	
1 753	1 680	90.0	12	16	18	1	4	22	21	Dolan Springs CDP, Mohave County	
2 006	1 731	12.1	54	112	46	8	1	54	22	Douglas city, Cochise County	
1 758	1 440	34.4	195	45	22	3	5	48	34	Drexel-Alverson CDP, Pima County	
9 522	7 947	33.3	593	488	166	18	23	287	211	Drexel Heights CDP, Pima County	
543	502	37.9	1	18	1	4	6	11	3	Dudleyville CDP, Pinal County	
556	536	66.0	4	4	1	-	-	11	10	Duncan town, Greenlee County	
3 469	3 220	79.8	17	125	5	9	2	91	59	Eagar town, Apache County	
874	3	0.3	-	871	-	-	-	-	-	East Fork CDP, Navajo County	
737	693	48.8	6	15	8	-	-	15	13	East Sahuaria CDP, Pima County	
948	899	66.2	13	18	1	1	1	15	10	Ehrenberg CDP, La Paz County	



Table 4. Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Total population	Race									Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	
		One race						Two or more races				
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Number	Percent of total popu- lation	Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races		
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>												
Elgin CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	309	283	-	9	-	-	10	7	2.3	7	42	
El Mirage city, Maricopa County .....	7 609	5 042	250	65	29	4	1 992	227	3.0	109	5 084	
Eloy city, Pinal County .....	10 375	5 468	552	465	124	11	3 266	489	4.7	136	7 717	
First Mesa CDP, Navajo County .....	1 124	32	-	1 080	-	-	2	10	0.9	10	28	
Flagstaff city, Coconino County .....	52 894	41 214	927	5 284	660	65	3 201	1 543	2.9	906	8 500	
Florence town, Pinal County .....	17 054	9 741	1 563	753	141	9	4 568	279	1.6	106	6 041	
Flowing Wells CDP, Pima County .....	15 050	12 674	134	219	99	8	1 372	544	3.6	222	3 290	
Fort Defiance CDP, Apache County .....	4 061	184	7	3 771	12	1	9	77	1.9	49	55	
Fortuna Foothills CDP, Yuma County .....	20 478	18 443	91	143	107	15	1 348	331	1.6	158	2 609	
Fountain Hills town, Maricopa County .....	20 235	19 478	119	99	180	12	133	214	1.1	159	618	
Fredonia town, Coconino County .....	1 036	888	11	118	-	-	4	15	1.4	14	15	
Gadsden CDP, Yuma County .....	953	390	-	34	3	2	511	13	1.4	1	894	
Ganado CDP, Apache County .....	1 505	162	2	1 314	2	-	17	8	0.5	5	36	
Gila Bend town, Maricopa County .....	1 980	1 016	26	203	7	-	642	86	4.3	43	1 042	
Gilbert town, Maricopa County .....	109 697	94 043	2 639	676	3 937	134	5 233	3 035	2.8	1 723	13 026	
Gisela CDP, Gila County .....	532	502	-	1	-	-	12	17	3.2	12	30	
Glendale city, Maricopa County .....	218 812	165 293	10 270	3 181	6 003	293	26 188	7 584	3.5	3 781	54 343	
Globe city, Gila County .....	7 486	5 809	86	232	84	3	1 092	180	2.4	71	2 449	
Gold Camp CDP, Pinal County .....	6 029	5 800	18	40	29	4	82	56	0.9	45	213	
Golden Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	4 515	4 244	23	43	33	7	82	83	1.8	63	363	
Goodyear city, Maricopa County .....	18 911	14 775	983	200	323	16	2 056	558	3.0	276	3 933	
Grand Canyon Village CDP, Coconino County .....	1 460	1 076	23	275	13	4	27	42	2.9	36	149	
Greasewood CDP, Navajo County .....	581	14	-	564	-	1	1	1	0.2	-	4	
Green Valley CDP, Pima County .....	17 283	16 997	31	32	57	9	63	94	0.5	65	394	
Guadalupe town, Maricopa County .....	5 228	913	56	2 310	7	11	1 632	299	5.7	81	3 782	
Hayden town .....	892	508	4	15	-	5	313	47	5.3	7	754	
Gila County (part) .....	892	508	4	15	-	5	313	47	5.3	7	754	
Pinal County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	
Heber-Overgaard CDP, Navajo County .....	2 722	2 583	2	63	4	3	39	28	1.0	10	165	
Holbrook city, Navajo County .....	4 917	2 915	116	1 180	51	-	412	243	4.9	125	1 148	
Hotevilla-Bacavi CDP, Navajo County .....	767	29	-	736	-	-	-	2	0.3	2	8	
Houck CDP, Apache County .....	1 087	24	1	1 039	1	-	-	22	2.0	19	11	
Huachuca City town, Cochise County .....	1 751	1 320	119	47	26	4	143	92	5.3	66	285	
Jeddito CDP, Navajo County .....	390	25	-	361	1	-	1	2	0.5	2	2	
Jerome town, Yavapai County .....	329	302	1	8	1	-	7	10	3.0	4	27	
Kachina Village CDP, Coconino County .....	2 664	2 370	9	114	8	1	127	35	1.3	23	259	
Kaibab CDP .....	275	110	-	145	-	-	10	10	3.6	6	21	
Coconino County (part) .....	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mohave County (part) .....	270	105	-	145	-	-	10	10	3.7	6	21	
Kaibito CDP, Coconino County .....	1 607	6	1	1 594	-	-	-	6	0.4	6	2	
Kayenta CDP, Navajo County .....	4 922	317	10	4 514	6	2	8	65	1.3	57	46	
Keams Canyon CDP, Navajo County .....	260	20	1	233	-	-	-	6	2.3	5	5	
Kearny town, Pinal County .....	2 249	1 738	6	19	2	-	413	71	3.2	19	864	
Kingman city, Mohave County .....	20 069	18 051	111	398	288	28	685	508	2.5	287	1 856	
Kykotsmovi Village CDP, Navajo County .....	776	30	7	725	2	-	2	10	1.3	5	11	
Lake Havasu City city, Mohave County .....	41 938	39 568	129	291	245	41	1 051	613	1.5	399	3 298	
Lake Montezuma CDP, Yavapai County .....	3 344	3 096	3	78	6	-	93	68	2.0	54	243	
Lechee CDP, Coconino County .....	1 606	12	-	1 580	-	-	-	14	0.9	12	15	
Leupp CDP, Coconino County .....	970	6	-	952	-	-	1	11	1.1	9	10	
Litchfield Park city, Maricopa County .....	3 810	3 508	53	15	110	8	57	59	1.5	45	209	
Littleton CDP, Pima County .....	1 010	647	21	27	2	-	251	62	6.1	30	509	
Lukachukai CDP, Apache County .....	1 565	18	-	1 537	1	-	4	5	0.3	4	15	
McNary CDP .....	349	29	9	289	-	-	10	12	3.4	12	39	
Apache County (part) .....	349	29	9	289	-	-	10	12	3.4	12	39	
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	
Mammoth town, Pinal County .....	1 762	1 091	2	27	6	3	562	71	4.0	14	1 286	
Many Farms CDP, Apache County .....	1 548	121	5	1 368	2	-	5	47	3.0	31	32	
Marana town, Pima County .....	13 556	11 094	392	286	334	20	1 014	416	3.1	251	2 663	
Maricopa CDP, Pinal County .....	1 040	482	32	69	-	-	416	41	3.9	7	732	
Mayer CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 408	1 321	-	17	3	-	37	30	2.1	22	126	
Mesa city, Maricopa County .....	396 375	323 655	9 977	6 572	5 917	932	38 271	11 051	2.8	5 604	78 281	
Mesquite Creek CDP, Mohave County .....	205	190	2	2	1	-	4	6	2.9	2	15	
Miami town, Gila County .....	1 936	1 447	20	28	2	-	395	44	2.3	9	1 054	
Moenkopi CDP, Coconino County .....	901	13	-	871	-	-	2	15	1.7	10	7	
Mohave Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	13 694	12 433	62	320	129	16	447	287	2.1	190	1 640	
Mojave Ranch Estates CDP, Mohave County .....	28	13	-	7	-	-	4	4	14.3	4	22	
Morenci CDP, Greenlee County .....	1 879	1 277	9	40	10	1	468	74	3.9	17	837	
Mountaire CDP, Coconino County .....	1 014	873	3	78	3	1	31	25	2.5	21	67	
Munds Park CDP, Coconino County .....	1 250	1 199	4	10	3	-	15	19	1.5	15	71	
Naco CDP, Cochise County .....	833	440	2	3	-	-	358	30	3.6	9	687	
Nazlini CDP, Apache County .....	397	-	-	394	-	-	3	-	-	-	7	
New Kingman-Butler CDP, Mohave County .....	14 810	13 514	65	250	63	17	444	457	3.1	305	1 374	
New River CDP, Maricopa County .....	10 740	10 296	45	64	49	5	134	147	1.4	106	521	
Nogales city, Santa Cruz County .....	20 878	16 249	78	120	67	15	3 751	598	2.9	46	19 539	
Oljato-Monument Valley CDP, Navajo County .....	155	1	-	146	-	-	-	8	5.2	8	-	
Oracle CDP, Pinal County .....	3 563	2 744	5	54	3	7	615	135	3.8	37	1 365	
Oro Valley town, Pima County .....	29 700	27 652	315	122	570	35	543	463	1.6	301	2 218	
Page city, Coconino County .....	6 809	4 584	27	1 817	46	13	110	212	3.1	158	320	
Paradise Valley town, Maricopa County .....	13 664	13 063	100	27	276	5	54	139	1.0	105	364	
Parker town, La Paz County .....	3 140	1 948	59	725	27	5	234	142	4.5	74	935	
Parker Strip CDP, La Paz County .....	3 302	3 057	19	66	27	-	73	60	1.8	41	299	
Parks CDP, Coconino County .....	1 137	1 065	4	8	4	6	20	30	2.6	23	59	

Table 4. Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Not Hispanic or Latino										State County Place	
Total	One race						Two or more races		Total		Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races
	White		Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race				
	Number	Percent of total popu- lation									
PLACE—Con.											
267	256	82.8	-	3	-	-	1	7	7	7 Elgin CDP, Santa Cruz County	
2 525	2 097	27.6	246	49	27	4	2	100	72	72 El Mirage city, Maricopa County	
2 658	1 640	15.8	481	278	112	6	4	137	98	98 Eloy city, Pinal County	
1 096	27	2.4	-	1 062	-	-	-	7	7	7 First Mesa CDP, Navajo County	
44 394	36 760	69.5	866	5 036	651	60	99	922	766	766 Flagstaff city, Coconino County	
11 013	8 478	49.7	1 524	692	136	6	49	128	83	83 Florence town, Pinal County	
11 760	11 088	73.7	120	163	94	8	13	274	187	187 Flowing Wells CDP, Pima County	
4 006	168	4.1	7	3 755	12	1	-	63	45	45 Fort Defiance CDP, Apache County	
17 869	17 361	84.8	76	114	93	7	6	212	146	146 Fortuna Foothills CDP, Yuma County	
19 617	19 055	94.2	118	80	176	12	13	163	146	146 Fountain Hills town, Maricopa County	
1 021	879	84.8	11	117	-	-	-	14	14	14 Fredonia town, Coconino County	
59	31	3.3	-	21	3	1	-	3	1	1 Gadsden CDP, Yuma County	
1 469	150	10.0	2	1 309	2	-	-	6	5	5 Ganado CDP, Apache County	
938	687	34.7	23	175	7	-	-	46	36	36 Gila Bend town, Maricopa County	
96 671	87 597	79.9	2 515	559	3 863	120	111	1 906	1 523	1 523 Gilbert town, Maricopa County	
502	489	91.9	-	1	-	-	-	12	12	12 Gisela CDP, Gila County	
164 469	141 462	64.7	9 818	2 460	5 860	230	289	4 350	3 205	3 205 Glendale city, Maricopa County	
5 037	4 606	61.5	80	194	77	3	3	74	58	58 Globe city, Gila County	
5 816	5 692	94.4	18	36	28	4	-	38	36	36 Gold Camp CDP, Pinal County	
4 152	3 988	88.3	19	40	29	7	-	69	56	56 Golden Valley CDP, Mohave County	
14 978	13 206	69.8	962	175	315	14	18	288	241	241 Goodyear city, Maricopa County	
1 311	985	67.5	23	260	13	2	-	28	28	28 Grand Canyon Village CDP, Coconino County	
577	12	2.1	-	564	-	1	-	-	-	- Greasewood CDP, Navajo County	
16 889	16 687	96.6	31	28	56	7	5	75	64	64 Green Valley CDP, Pima County	
1 446	76	1.5	34	1 281	3	-	7	45	17	17 Guadalupe town, Maricopa County	
138	119	13.3	3	6	-	1	-	9	3	3 Hayden town	
138	119	13.3	3	6	-	1	-	9	3	3 Gila County (part)	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- Pinal County (part)	
2 557	2 479	91.1	2	60	3	3	-	10	10	10 Heber-Overgaard CDP, Navajo County	
3 769	2 364	48.1	103	1 118	50	-	4	130	95	95 Holbrook city, Navajo County	
759	25	3.3	-	732	-	-	-	2	2	2 Hotevilla-Bacavi CDP, Navajo County	
1 076	23	2.1	-	1 034	-	-	-	19	17	17 Houck CDP, Apache County	
1 466	1 199	68.5	116	44	26	1	13	67	58	58 Huachuca City town, Cochise County	
388	24	6.2	-	361	1	-	-	2	2	2 Jeddito CDP, Navajo County	
302	287	87.2	1	7	1	-	-	6	4	4 Jerome town, Yavapai County	
2 405	2 266	85.1	9	99	8	1	-	22	19	19 Kachina Village CDP, Coconino County	
254	110	40.0	-	134	-	-	-	10	6	6 Kaibab CDP	
5	5	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- Coconino County (part)	
249	105	38.9	-	134	-	-	-	10	6	6 Mohave County (part)	
1 605	6	0.4	1	1 592	-	-	-	6	6	6 Kaibito CDP, Coconino County	
4 876	301	6.1	9	4 498	6	1	-	61	55	55 Kayenta CDP, Navajo County	
255	20	7.7	1	228	-	-	-	6	5	5 Keams Canyon CDP, Navajo County	
1 385	1 340	59.6	6	15	2	-	3	19	15	15 Kearny town, Pinal County	
18 213	17 119	85.3	109	329	284	27	17	328	246	246 Kingman city, Mohave County	
765	29	3.7	7	716	2	-	2	9	4	4 Kykotsmvi Village CDP, Navajo County	
38 640	37 550	89.5	127	233	233	38	19	440	361	361 Lake Havasu City city, Mohave County	
3 101	2 963	88.6	3	76	6	-	1	52	48	48 Lake Montezuma CDP, Yavapai County	
1 591	11	0.7	-	1 567	-	-	-	13	12	12 Lechee CDP, Coconino County	
960	6	0.6	-	945	-	-	-	9	9	9 Leupp CDP, Coconino County	
3 601	3 377	88.6	48	14	109	7	4	42	35	35 Litchfield Park city, Maricopa County	
501	435	43.1	16	19	2	-	2	27	25	25 Littletown CDP, Pima County	
1 550	16	1.0	-	1 530	1	-	-	3	2	2 Lukachukai CDP, Apache County	
310	20	5.7	7	271	-	-	-	12	12	12 McNary CDP	
310	20	5.7	7	271	-	-	-	12	12	12 Apache County (part)	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	- Navajo County (part)	
476	441	25.0	1	12	6	-	4	12	7	7 Mammoth town, Pinal County	
1 516	117	7.6	5	1 355	2	-	-	37	28	28 Many Farms CDP, Apache County	
10 893	9 718	71.7	381	227	318	18	5	226	205	205 Marana town, Pima County	
308	217	20.9	28	52	-	-	3	8	3	3 Maricopa CDP, Pinal County	
1 282	1 241	88.1	-	17	3	-	-	21	17	17 Mayer CDP, Yavapai County	
318 094	290 180	73.2	9 377	5 454	5 755	874	402	6 052	4 650	4 650 Mesa city, Maricopa County	
190	182	88.8	2	2	1	-	-	3	2	2 Mesquite Creek CDP, Mohave County	
882	820	42.4	19	27	2	-	-	14	8	8 Miami town, Gila County	
894	13	1.4	-	869	-	-	-	12	10	10 Moenkopi CDP, Coconino County	
12 054	11 393	83.2	56	266	119	15	17	188	169	169 Mohave Valley CDP, Mohave County	
6	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	- Mojave Ranch Estates CDP, Mohave County	
1 042	963	51.3	9	32	10	-	1	27	16	16 Morenci CDP, Greenlee County	
947	843	83.1	3	75	3	1	-	22	19	19 Mountaineer CDP, Coconino County	
1 179	1 145	91.6	4	9	3	-	-	18	15	15 Munds Park CDP, Coconino County	
146	133	16.0	1	3	-	-	1	8	4	4 Naco CDP, Cochise County	
390	-	-	-	390	-	-	-	-	-	- Nazlini CDP, Apache County	
13 436	12 784	86.3	61	200	58	12	12	309	272	272 New Kingman-Butler CDP, Mohave County	
10 219	9 932	92.5	45	58	48	5	16	115	95	95 New River CDP, Maricopa County	
1 339	1 139	5.5	38	38	65	4	19	36	11	11 Nogales city, Santa Cruz County	
155	1	0.6	-	146	-	-	-	8	8	8 Oljato-Monument Valley CDP, Navajo County	
2 198	2 120	59.5	4	33	1	3	2	35	24	24 Oracle CDP, Pinal County	
27 482	26 182	88.2	303	89	552	33	20	303	264	264 Oro Valley town, Pima County	
6 489	4 450	65.4	27	1 795	45	13	-	159	148	148 Page city, Coconino County	
13 300	12 766	93.4	96	24	275	4	17	118	99	99 Paradise Valley town, Maricopa County	
2 205	1 427	45.4	59	619	25	-	2	73	51	51 Parker town, La Paz County	
3 003	2 852	86.4	19	60	27	-	4	41	36	36 Parker Strip CDP, La Paz County	
1 078	1 033	90.9	4	5	4	6	-	26	23	23 Parks CDP, Coconino County	

Table 4. Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Total population	Race									Hispanic or Latino (of any race)
		One race						Two or more races			
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Number	Percent of total popu- lation	Two races exclud- ing Some other race, and three or more races	
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>											
Patagonia town, Santa Cruz County .....	881	762	-	8	4	-	93	14	1.6	9	348
Paulden CDP, Yavapai County .....	3 420	3 146	18	81	7	4	98	66	1.9	58	535
Payson town, Gila County .....	13 620	12 905	36	257	72	7	183	160	1.2	109	708
Peach Springs CDP, Mohave County .....	600	26	-	558	-	-	14	2	0.3	-	32
Peoples Valley CDP, Yavapai County .....	374	342	4	4	1	-	10	13	3.5	9	20
Peoria city .....	108 364	92 050	3 012	734	2 077	120	7 686	2 685	2.5	1 540	16 699
Maricopa County (part) .....	108 363	92 049	3 012	734	2 077	120	7 686	2 685	2.5	1 540	16 699
Yavapai County (part) .....	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Peridot CDP .....	1 266	18	-	1 240	-	-	-	8	0.6	4	35
Gila County (part) .....	374	1	-	372	-	-	-	1	0.3	1	1
Graham County (part) .....	892	17	-	868	-	-	-	7	0.8	3	34
Phoenix city, Maricopa County .....	1 321 045	938 853	67 416	26 696	26 449	1 766	216 589	43 276	3.3	17 457	449 972
Picture Rocks CDP, Pima County .....	8 139	7 295	47	114	31	6	438	208	2.6	124	1 120
Pima town, Graham County .....	1 989	1 731	3	15	2	-	196	42	2.1	15	399
Pine CDP, Gila County .....	1 931	1 871	3	10	2	-	21	24	1.2	16	34
Pinetop-Lakeside town, Navajo County .....	3 582	3 194	37	82	12	1	165	91	2.5	57	407
Pinon CDP, Navajo County .....	1 190	91	-	1 094	-	-	4	1	0.1	1	24
Pirtleville CDP, Cochise County .....	1 550	825	10	20	8	4	641	42	2.7	6	1 473
Pisnemo CDP, Pima County .....	237	5	-	232	-	-	-	-	-	-	4
Poston CDP, La Paz County .....	389	122	2	67	-	-	188	10	2.6	10	300
Prescott city, Yavapai County .....	33 938	31 538	171	432	283	21	941	552	1.6	395	2 773
Prescott Valley town, Yavapai County .....	23 535	21 441	114	227	129	34	1 081	509	2.2	339	2 617
Quartzsite town, La Paz County .....	3 354	3 169	8	39	9	2	87	40	1.2	25	169
Queen Creek town .....	4 316	3 545	15	23	14	3	617	99	2.3	36	1 294
Maricopa County (part) .....	4 197	3 455	15	23	14	3	589	98	2.3	36	1 257
Pinal County (part) .....	119	90	-	-	-	-	28	1	0.8	-	37
Queen Valley CDP, Pinal County .....	820	792	1	4	1	1	12	9	1.1	4	50
Red Mesa CDP, Apache County .....	237	26	-	194	-	6	5	6	2.5	4	5
Rio Rico Northeast CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	3 164	2 218	11	28	46	6	751	104	3.3	20	2 124
Rio Rico Northwest CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	2 882	1 811	20	21	35	1	931	63	2.2	10	2 516
Rio Rico Southeast CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	1 590	991	8	-	2	-	542	47	3.0	10	1 352
Rio Rico Southwest CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	2 777	1 970	12	27	20	3	668	77	2.8	7	2 364
Rio Verde CDP, Maricopa County .....	1 419	1 414	-	1	2	-	1	1	0.1	1	4
Rock Point CDP, Apache County .....	724	12	-	708	-	-	1	3	0.4	3	1
Rough Rock CDP, Apache County .....	469	13	-	451	3	-	-	2	0.4	1	1
Round Rock CDP, Apache County .....	601	1	-	599	-	-	1	-	-	-	1
Sacaton CDP, Pinal County .....	1 584	31	-	1 505	1	-	10	37	2.3	17	112
Safford city, Graham County .....	9 232	6 940	130	93	86	4	1 713	266	2.9	82	3 667
Sahuarita town, Pima County .....	3 242	2 848	19	35	32	-	240	68	2.1	32	784
St. David CDP, Cochise County .....	1 744	1 622	7	5	8	4	53	45	2.6	21	171
St. Johns city, Apache County .....	3 269	2 631	12	204	9	1	298	114	3.5	38	758
St. Michaels CDP, Apache County .....	1 295	91	1	1 180	-	-	10	13	1.0	12	28
Salome CDP, La Paz County .....	1 690	1 540	5	45	5	4	53	38	2.2	30	313
San Carlos CDP, Gila County .....	3 716	169	9	3 442	11	-	9	76	2.0	51	97
San Luis city, Yuma County .....	15 322	9 007	452	224	25	3	5 265	346	2.3	43	13 657
San Manuel CDP, Pinal County .....	4 375	3 021	17	60	15	3	1 084	175	4.0	41	2 022
Santan CDP, Pinal County .....	651	12	-	604	-	-	20	15	2.3	11	83
Santa Rosa CDP, Pima County .....	438	2	-	434	-	-	1	1	0.2	1	4
Sawmill CDP, Apache County .....	612	13	-	594	-	-	3	2	0.3	2	9
Scottsdale city, Maricopa County .....	202 705	186 883	2 501	1 240	3 964	167	4 603	3 347	1.7	2 086	14 111
Second Mesa CDP, Navajo County .....	814	10	-	789	1	-	1	13	1.6	10	3
Sedona city .....	10 192	9 394	50	46	96	9	437	160	1.6	80	907
Coconino County (part) .....	2 963	2 798	12	14	24	2	80	33	1.1	21	193
Yavapai County (part) .....	7 229	6 596	38	32	72	7	357	127	1.8	59	714
Seligman CDP, Yavapai County .....	456	388	1	9	7	1	28	22	4.8	15	87
Sells CDP, Pima County .....	2 799	79	1	2 696	6	-	5	12	0.4	6	91
Shongopovi CDP, Navajo County .....	632	9	-	620	-	-	-	3	0.5	2	3
Shonto CDP, Navajo County .....	568	11	1	547	-	-	-	9	1.6	7	6
Show Low city, Navajo County .....	7 695	6 848	21	302	50	6	312	156	2.0	104	795
Sierra Vista city, Cochise County .....	37 775	27 706	4 115	313	1 347	174	2 285	1 835	4.9	1 365	5 971
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP, Cochise County .....	14 348	12 121	315	193	259	66	806	588	4.1	353	2 308
Snowflake town, Navajo County .....	4 460	3 891	12	309	22	3	134	89	2.0	40	359
Somerton city, Yuma County .....	7 266	3 235	27	47	25	1	3 714	217	3.0	29	6 915
Sonoita CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	826	740	4	4	1	2	54	21	2.5	13	138
South Tucson city, Pima County .....	5 490	2 386	127	502	22	3	2 264	186	3.4	41	4 460
Springerville town, Apache County .....	1 972	1 567	4	129	8	2	202	60	3.0	26	497
Spring Valley CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 019	988	7	3	3	1	10	7	0.7	6	48
Stanfield CDP, Pinal County .....	651	330	26	74	4	6	201	14	2.2	5	401
Steamboat CDP, Apache County .....	233	-	-	227	-	-	1	5	2.1	5	5
Strawberry CDP, Gila County .....	1 028	994	1	6	7	-	10	10	1.0	5	32
Summit CDP, Pima County .....	3 702	2 439	19	82	5	1	961	195	5.3	62	2 349
Sun City CDP, Maricopa County .....	38 309	37 710	196	48	115	10	63	167	0.4	113	383
Sun City West CDP, Maricopa County .....	26 344	26 005	129	14	97	10	18	71	0.3	55	154
Sun Lakes CDP, Maricopa County .....	11 936	11 741	93	16	38	1	12	35	0.3	31	112
Sun Valley CDP, Gila County .....	1 536	1 437	1	10	4	1	59	24	1.6	20	158
Supai CDP, Coconino County .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-
Superior town, Pinal County .....	3 254	2 365	15	53	11	3	750	57	1.8	17	2 248
Surprise city, Maricopa County .....	30 848	26 521	806	134	329	16	2 427	615	2.0	304	7 184
Swift Trail Junction CDP, Graham County .....	2 195	1 705	127	133	48	1	159	22	1.0	10	624
Tacna CDP, Yuma County .....	555	370	6	4	3	-	141	31	5.6	4	282
Tanque Verde CDP, Pima County .....	16 195	15 269	113	90	211	18	220	274	1.7	164	1 180
Taylor town, Navajo County .....	3 176	2 826	17	168	4	2	87	72	2.3	54	292

Table 4. Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Not Hispanic or Latino										State County Place	
Total	One race						Two or more races		Total		Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races
	White		Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Total			
	Number	Percent of total popu- lation									
PLACE—Con.											
533	515	58.5	-	4	4	-	-	10	8	Patagonia town, Santa Cruz County	
2 885	2 739	80.1	10	70	7	4	-	55	52	Paulden CDP, Yavapai County	
12 912	12 438	91.3	30	235	72	7	8	122	99	Payson town, Gila County	
568	21	3.5	-	546	-	-	-	1	-	Peach Springs CDP, Mohave County	
354	337	90.1	4	4	1	-	-	8	8	Peoples Valley CDP, Yavapai County	
91 665	84 370	77.9	2 887	579	1 992	111	108	1 618	1 337	Peoria city	
91 664	84 369	77.9	2 887	579	1 992	111	108	1 618	1 337	Maricopa County (part)	
1	1	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yavapai County (part)	
1 231	16	1.3	-	1 207	-	-	-	8	4	Peridot CDP	
373	1	0.3	-	371	-	-	-	1	1	Gila County (part)	
858	15	1.7	-	836	-	-	-	7	3	Graham County (part)	
871 073	736 844	55.8	63 756	21 472	25 453	1 353	1 488	20 707	14 231	Phoenix city, Maricopa County	
7 019	6 725	82.6	45	82	25	5	7	130	107	Picture Rocks CDP, Pima County	
1 590	1 554	78.1	3	15	2	-	-	16	15	Pima town, Graham County	
1 897	1 858	96.2	3	10	2	-	2	22	14	Pine CDP, Gila County	
3 175	2 993	83.6	35	70	11	-	-	66	54	Pinetop-Lakeside town, Navajo County	
1 166	90	7.6	-	1 075	-	-	-	1	1	Pinon CDP, Navajo County	
77	56	3.6	6	6	5	-	-	4	3	Pirtleville CDP, Cochise County	
233	2	0.8	-	231	-	-	-	-	-	Pisinemo CDP, Pima County	
89	14	3.6	2	64	-	-	-	9	9	Poston CDP, La Paz County	
31 165	29 941	88.2	149	376	279	17	25	378	329	Prescott city, Yavapai County	
20 918	20 120	85.5	107	196	125	34	8	328	295	Prescott Valley town, Yavapai County	
3 185	3 100	92.4	8	35	9	1	-	32	24	Quartzsite town, La Paz County	
3 022	2 921	67.7	14	22	14	3	1	47	33	Queen Creek town	
2 940	2 839	67.6	14	22	14	3	1	47	33	Maricopa County (part)	
82	82	68.9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Pinal County (part)	
770	758	92.4	1	4	1	1	-	5	4	Queen Valley CDP, Pinal County	
232	26	11.0	-	194	-	6	-	6	4	Red Mesa CDP, Apache County	
1 040	952	30.1	8	10	44	6	3	17	10	Rio Rico Northeast CDP, Santa Cruz County	
366	307	10.7	9	11	28	-	-	11	6	Rio Rico Northwest CDP, Santa Cruz County	
238	219	13.8	4	-	2	-	-	13	8	Rio Rico Southeast CDP, Santa Cruz County	
413	368	13.3	2	9	20	3	-	11	7	Rio Rico Southwest CDP, Santa Cruz County	
1 415	1 411	99.4	-	1	2	-	-	1	1	Rio Verde CDP, Maricopa County	
723	12	1.7	-	707	-	-	1	3	3	Rock Point CDP, Apache County	
468	13	2.8	-	451	3	-	-	1	1	Rough Rock CDP, Apache County	
600	1	0.2	-	599	-	-	-	-	-	Round Rock CDP, Apache County	
1 472	29	1.8	-	1 416	1	-	-	26	13	Sacaton CDP, Pinal County	
5 565	5 190	56.2	118	65	85	1	9	97	68	Safford city, Graham County	
2 458	2 357	72.7	13	32	31	-	-	25	20	Sahuarita town, Pima County	
1 573	1 529	87.7	7	5	8	1	2	21	20	St. David CDP, Cochise County	
2 511	2 248	68.8	7	201	9	1	6	39	36	St. Johns city, Apache County	
1 267	85	6.6	1	1 169	-	-	-	12	12	St. Michaels CDP, Apache County	
1 377	1 292	76.4	5	41	5	4	-	30	28	Salome CDP, La Paz County	
3 619	164	4.4	9	3 367	11	-	-	68	48	San Carlos CDP, Gila County	
1 665	1 065	7.0	438	124	14	2	5	17	11	San Luis city, Yuma County	
2 353	2 231	51.0	12	35	11	3	1	60	30	San Manuel CDP, Pinal County	
568	10	1.5	-	546	-	-	3	9	8	Santan CDP, Pinal County	
434	2	0.5	-	432	-	-	-	-	-	Santa Rosa CDP, Pima County	
603	13	2.1	-	588	-	-	-	2	2	Sawmill CDP, Apache County	
188 594	178 462	88.0	2 398	1 039	3 919	161	209	2 406	1 872	Scottsdale city, Maricopa County	
811	10	1.2	-	789	1	-	-	11	9	Second Mesa CDP, Navajo County	
9 285	8 967	88.0	49	45	95	9	7	113	74	Sedona city	
2 770	2 691	90.8	12	14	23	2	1	27	17	Coconino County (part)	
6 515	6 276	86.8	37	31	72	7	6	86	58	Yavapai County (part)	
369	343	75.2	1	8	7	-	-	10	10	Seligman CDP, Yavapai County	
2 708	51	1.8	1	2 641	6	-	-	9	6	Sells CDP, Pima County	
629	8	1.3	-	618	-	-	-	3	2	Shongopovi CDP, Navajo County	
562	11	1.9	1	543	-	-	-	7	7	Shonto CDP, Navajo County	
6 900	6 441	83.7	19	270	50	3	2	115	95	Show Low city, Navajo County	
31 804	24 720	65.4	3 943	247	1 317	163	87	1 327	1 195	Sierra Vista city, Cochise County	
12 040	10 857	75.7	297	146	255	64	40	381	298	Sierra Vista Southeast CDP, Cochise County	
4 101	3 712	83.2	12	305	22	3	1	46	36	Snowflake town, Navajo County	
351	287	3.9	5	21	16	-	-	22	12	Somerton city, Yuma County	
688	664	80.4	4	3	1	2	-	14	13	Sonoita CDP, Santa Cruz County	
1 030	496	9.0	112	356	17	2	5	42	14	South Tucson city, Pima County	
1 475	1 318	66.8	3	121	8	-	-	25	19	Springerville town, Apache County	
971	950	93.2	6	2	3	1	4	5	5	Spring Valley CDP, Yavapai County	
250	166	25.5	26	50	-	4	-	4	4	Stanfield CDP, Pinal County	
228	-	-	-	223	-	-	-	5	5	Steamboat CDP, Apache County	
996	973	94.6	1	6	7	-	-	9	5	Strawberry CDP, Gila County	
1 353	1 236	33.4	19	42	4	1	1	50	40	Summit CDP, Pima County	
37 926	37 420	97.7	193	45	115	8	4	141	105	Sun City CDP, Maricopa County	
26 190	25 872	98.2	129	14	95	9	2	69	53	Sun City West CDP, Maricopa County	
11 824	11 642	97.5	93	16	37	-	3	33	30	Sun Lakes CDP, Maricopa County	
1 378	1 342	87.4	1	10	4	1	-	20	20	Sun Valley CDP, Gila County	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Supai CDP, Coconino County	
1 006	939	28.9	9	28	6	1	1	22	14	Superior town, Pinal County	
23 664	22 136	71.8	744	95	321	15	18	335	262	Surprise city, Maricopa County	
1 571	1 250	56.9	123	132	47	1	7	11	9	Swift Trail Junction CDP, Graham County	
273	261	47.0	1	2	3	-	-	6	4	Tacna CDP, Yuma County	
15 015	14 463	89.3	108	75	201	14	1	153	130	Tanque Verde CDP, Pima County	
2 884	2 641	83.2	10	166	4	2	5	56	49	Taylor town, Navajo County	

Table 4. **Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000—Con.**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Total population	Race									Hispanic or Latino (of any race)
		One race						Two or more races			
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Number	Percent of total popu- lation	Two races exclud- ing Some other race, and three or more races	
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>											
Teec Nos Pos CDP, Apache County .....	799	8	-	771	-	-	1	19	2.4	10	14
Tempe city, Maricopa County .....	158 625	122 952	5 801	3 186	7 531	455	13 464	5 236	3.3	2 701	28 473
Thatcher town, Graham County .....	4 022	3 408	31	74	21	3	397	88	2.2	29	786
Three Points CDP, Pima County .....	5 273	3 723	37	134	21	6	1 168	184	3.5	66	2 151
Tolleson city, Maricopa County .....	4 974	2 597	71	61	23	8	2 029	185	3.7	39	3 878
Tombstone city, Cochise County .....	1 504	1 314	9	15	5	-	123	38	2.5	30	363
Tonalea CDP, Coconino County .....	562	4	-	557	-	-	1	-	-	-	5
Tonto Basin CDP, Gila County .....	840	807	-	12	-	-	8	13	1.5	8	17
Top-of-the-World CDP .....	330	293	5	10	-	-	14	8	2.4	5	63
Gila County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-
Pinal County (part) .....	330	293	5	10	-	-	14	8	2.4	5	63
Tortolita CDP, Pima County .....	3 740	3 460	28	30	28	-	99	95	2.5	62	460
Tsalle CDP, Apache County .....	1 078	39	1	1 006	1	1	7	23	2.1	10	14
Tubac CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	949	842	-	11	4	-	85	7	0.7	4	173
Tuba City CDP, Coconino County .....	8 225	450	13	7 568	18	3	52	121	1.5	96	194
Tucson city, Pima County .....	486 699	341 424	21 057	11 038	11 959	796	81 988	18 437	3.8	8 520	173 868
Tucson Estates CDP, Pima County .....	9 755	8 178	67	162	28	2	1 121	197	2.0	95	2 316
Tumacacori-Carmen CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	569	438	1	6	9	-	100	15	2.6	7	330
Tusayan CDP, Coconino County .....	562	389	6	89	-	-	65	13	2.3	1	170
Vail CDP, Pima County .....	2 484	2 165	16	13	12	1	185	92	3.7	45	413
Valencia West CDP, Pima County .....	2 380	1 431	32	55	3	5	784	70	2.9	18	1 628
Wellton town, Yuma County .....	1 829	1 248	37	25	5	3	465	46	2.5	17	745
Wenden CDP, La Paz County .....	556	467	-	7	1	-	70	11	2.0	6	246
Whetstone CDP, Cochise County .....	2 354	1 978	69	29	20	-	153	105	4.5	67	324
Whiteriver CDP, Navajo County .....	5 220	158	2	4 964	2	-	16	78	1.5	72	99
Wickenburg town, Maricopa County .....	5 082	4 663	14	60	19	6	230	90	1.8	61	560
Wilhoit CDP, Yavapai County .....	664	635	-	6	2	-	12	9	1.4	7	38
Willcox city, Cochise County .....	3 733	2 798	26	60	31	2	658	158	4.2	30	1 557
Williams city, Coconino County .....	2 842	2 192	82	49	38	1	404	76	2.7	42	919
Williamson CDP, Yavapai County .....	3 776	3 659	4	15	11	4	30	53	1.4	24	133
Willow Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	585	545	1	10	2	-	11	16	2.7	11	39
Window Rock CDP, Apache County .....	3 059	97	5	2 920	13	1	2	21	0.7	18	44
Winkelman town .....	443	275	1	-	-	-	160	7	1.6	3	331
Gila County (part) .....	439	271	1	-	-	-	160	7	1.6	3	331
Pinal County (part) .....	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Winslow city, Navajo County .....	9 520	5 004	493	2 234	98	9	1 284	398	4.2	223	2 746
Winslow West CDP .....	131	-	-	131	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
Coconino County (part) .....	131	-	-	131	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-
Yarnell CDP, Yavapai County .....	645	625	1	1	-	1	7	10	1.6	9	12
Young CDP, Gila County .....	561	539	-	3	2	-	12	5	0.9	2	19
Youngtown town, Maricopa County .....	3 010	2 676	41	15	18	8	218	34	1.1	21	383
Yuma city, Yuma County .....	77 515	52 968	2 491	1 168	1 164	145	16 557	3 022	3.9	1 122	35 400

Table 4. **Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000—Con.**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Not Hispanic or Latino										State County Place	
Total	One race						Two or more races		Total		Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races
	White		Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race				
	Number	Percent of total popu- lation									
										<b>PLACE—Con.</b>	
785	8	1.0	-	760	-	-	-	17	10	Teec Nos Pos CDP, Apache County	
130 152	110 517	69.7	5 546	2 678	7 405	425	244	3 337	2 333	Tempe city, Maricopa County	
3 236	3 089	76.8	29	62	19	3	1	33	27	Thatcher town, Graham County	
3 122	2 893	54.9	35	97	16	4	2	75	55	Three Points CDP, Pima County	
1 096	945	19.0	58	27	14	8	2	42	27	Tolleson city, Maricopa County	
1 141	1 091	72.5	5	10	5	-	-	30	28	Tombstone city, Cochise County	
557	3	0.5	-	554	-	-	-	-	-	Tonalea CDP, Coconino County	
823	800	95.2	-	12	-	-	-	11	8	Tonto Basin CDP, Gila County	
267	246	74.5	5	10	-	-	-	6	5	Top-of-the-World CDP	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Gila County (part)	
267	246	74.5	5	10	-	-	-	6	5	Pinal County (part)	
3 280	3 136	83.9	25	26	28	-	-	65	53	Tortolita CDP, Pima County	
1 064	39	3.6	1	998	1	1	2	22	10	Tsalle CDP, Apache County	
776	764	80.5	-	6	4	-	-	2	2	Tubac CDP, Santa Cruz County	
8 031	428	5.2	13	7 477	18	3	-	92	87	Tuba City CDP, Coconino County	
312 831	263 748	54.2	19 795	7 732	11 537	642	734	8 643	6 655	Tucson city, Pima County	
7 439	7 163	73.4	50	99	22	2	6	97	73	Tucson Estates CDP, Pima County	
239	222	39.0	-	5	9	-	-	3	3	Tumacacori-Carmen CDP, Santa Cruz County	
392	300	53.4	6	81	-	-	1	4	1	Tusayan CDP, Coconino County	
2 071	1 987	80.0	14	9	12	1	2	46	36	Vail CDP, Pima County	
752	662	27.8	26	41	3	5	1	14	9	Valencia West CDP, Pima County	
1 084	1 011	55.3	35	15	5	3	-	15	14	Wellton town, Yuma County	
310	289	52.0	-	6	1	-	8	6	6	Wenden CDP, La Paz County	
2 030	1 845	78.4	68	20	20	-	1	76	61	Whetstone CDP, Cochise County	
5 121	149	2.9	2	4 895	2	-	1	72	69	Whiteriver CDP, Navajo County	
4 522	4 360	85.8	14	51	19	6	9	63	56	Wickenburg town, Maricopa County	
626	610	91.9	-	5	2	-	-	9	7	Wilhoit CDP, Yavapai County	
2 176	2 031	54.4	17	44	29	2	4	49	28	Willcox city, Cochise County	
1 923	1 713	60.3	82	47	38	1	4	38	36	Williams city, Coconino County	
3 643	3 589	95.0	4	14	10	4	-	22	17	Williamson CDP, Yavapai County	
546	524	89.6	1	4	2	-	-	15	11	Willow Valley CDP, Mohave County	
3 015	93	3.0	4	2 888	12	-	-	18	18	Window Rock CDP, Apache County	
112	105	23.7	1	-	-	-	5	1	1	Winkelman town	
108	101	23.0	1	-	-	-	5	1	1	Gila County (part)	
4	4	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Pinal County (part)	
6 774	3 881	40.8	461	2 105	97	9	3	218	194	Winslow city, Navajo County	
129	-	-	-	129	-	-	-	-	-	Winslow West CDP	
129	-	-	-	129	-	-	-	-	-	Coconino County (part)	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Navajo County (part)	
633	620	96.1	1	1	-	1	-	10	9	Yarnell CDP, Yavapai County	
542	532	94.8	-	3	2	-	-	5	2	Young CDP, Gila County	
2 627	2 526	83.9	40	13	17	8	-	23	17	Youngtown town, Maricopa County	
42 115	36 784	47.5	2 220	747	1 086	105	100	1 073	810	Yuma city, Yuma County	

**Table 5. Population for Selected Categories of Race: 2000**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Selected combinations of two races				Race alone or in combination with one or more other races					
	White; Black or African American	White; American Indian and Alaska Native	White; Asian	Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native	White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race
<b>The State</b> .....	<b>13 732</b>	<b>23 009</b>	<b>15 624</b>	<b>2 889</b>	<b>3 998 154</b>	<b>185 599</b>	<b>292 552</b>	<b>118 672</b>	<b>13 415</b>	<b>677 392</b>
Apache County .....	20	385	27	54	14 298	262	53 998	152	84	1 663
Chinle CCD .....	1	82	4	15	725	37	20 171	31	12	151
Chinle CDP .....	-	29	2	9	385	19	4 957	15	3	59
Lukachukai CDP .....	-	2	-	-	22	-	1 540	1	2	5
Many Farms CDP .....	-	28	-	-	161	5	1 402	5	1	24
Nazlini CDP .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	394	-	-	3
Rough Rock CDP .....	-	1	-	-	14	-	453	3	-	1
Round Rock CDP .....	-	-	-	-	1	-	599	-	-	1
Tsaile CDP .....	-	5	-	1	49	2	1 026	2	2	22
Dennehotso CCD .....	-	7	-	-	21	-	1 795	2	-	4
Dennehotso CDP .....	-	3	-	-	9	-	728	1	-	-
Eagar-Springerville CCD .....	3	76	4	3	6 406	32	348	24	30	510
Eagar town .....	2	54	3	3	3 660	25	195	10	18	281
Springerville town (part) .....	1	9	1	-	1 206	4	125	8	7	157
Fort Apache CCD .....	1	3	-	8	52	18	302	-	-	10
McNary CDP (part) .....	1	3	-	8	33	18	300	-	-	10
Fort Defiance CCD .....	2	100	5	20	932	46	23 687	47	19	135
Burnside CDP .....	-	-	-	-	48	-	580	-	-	7
Fort Defiance CDP .....	-	26	1	7	228	14	3 830	16	13	47
Ganado CDP .....	-	4	-	1	166	3	1 322	2	-	20
Houck CDP .....	-	13	2	-	39	1	1 059	7	-	3
St. Michaels CDP .....	-	7	-	1	103	5	1 192	-	-	12
Sawmill CDP .....	-	1	1	-	15	-	595	1	-	3
Steamboat CDP .....	-	2	-	3	2	3	232	-	-	1
Window Rock CDP .....	-	15	1	-	113	5	2 940	14	3	5
Puerco CCD .....	-	29	1	3	335	14	1 761	4	1	47
St. Johns CCD .....	13	61	13	3	5 699	113	395	44	14	781
St. Johns city .....	3	22	3	3	2 733	20	236	14	6	375
Springerville town (part) .....	-	5	1	-	415	3	26	4	-	84
Sweetwater CCD .....	-	27	-	2	128	2	5 539	-	8	25
Red Mesa CDP .....	-	4	-	-	32	-	198	-	6	7
Rock Point CDP .....	-	2	-	-	14	-	711	-	1	1
Teec Nos Pos CDP .....	-	7	-	2	17	2	788	-	1	10
Cochise County .....	473	740	601	87	94 024	6 287	2 456	2 974	535	16 210
Benson CCD .....	22	86	7	2	10 286	95	225	57	15	613
Benson city .....	6	33	4	-	4 307	49	98	32	7	334
St. David CDP .....	3	13	-	-	1 661	12	24	12	4	81
Bisbee CCD .....	68	189	110	14	20 705	574	562	491	74	2 484
Bisbee city .....	10	37	8	1	5 264	50	130	39	9	766
Naco CDP .....	1	6	2	-	469	3	10	2	-	379
Sierra Vista city (part) .....	16	5	38	1	1 752	237	22	177	9	122
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP (part) .....	34	105	52	10	10 488	219	313	250	53	782
Bowie CCD .....	7	23	7	3	1 970	18	37	9	11	301
Douglas CCD .....	11	41	16	1	12 401	136	289	133	28	6 738
Douglas city .....	6	19	12	-	9 424	96	193	91	17	4 918
Pirtleville CDP .....	-	4	-	-	864	11	26	9	5	677
Elfrida CCD .....	5	36	7	5	3 909	345	181	39	10	874
Sierra Vista CCD .....	354	327	449	57	36 375	5 059	973	2 176	390	3 686
Huachuca City town .....	12	30	13	1	1 406	137	87	43	9	171
Sierra Vista city (part) .....	285	214	379	54	27 440	4 497	680	1 874	317	2 699
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP (part) .....	17	29	29	1	2 127	205	69	153	45	272
Tombstone city .....	7	17	5	-	1 352	17	32	10	-	132
Whetstone CDP .....	20	17	12	1	2 070	98	53	44	9	192
Willcox CCD .....	6	38	5	5	8 378	60	189	69	7	1 514
Willcox city .....	3	18	1	3	2 941	37	86	35	6	787
Coconino County .....	153	1 002	256	93	75 694	1 591	34 579	1 291	205	5 852
Coconino CCD .....	125	660	223	42	61 522	1 315	7 846	1 096	147	4 727
Flagstaff city .....	92	468	167	35	42 531	1 151	5 954	912	119	3 863
Kachina Village CDP .....	2	5	9	1	2 402	12	127	20	2	142
Mountaineer CDP .....	-	16	1	-	895	4	96	8	4	35
Munds Park CDP .....	5	6	3	1	1 217	10	17	6	-	19
Parks CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sedona city (part) .....	1	16	2	-	2 828	15	32	26	3	93
Winslow West CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	131	-	-	-
Havasupai CCD .....	-	-	-	-	50	-	453	-	-	-
Supai CDP .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hopi CCD .....	-	-	-	-	1	-	86	-	-	1
Hualapai CCD .....	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
Kaibab CCD .....	2	19	2	1	1 633	16	235	10	1	13
Fredonia town .....	2	8	-	-	903	13	129	1	1	7
Kaibab CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-
Tuba City CCD .....	10	244	13	48	5 552	109	25 326	89	37	304
Bitter Springs CDP .....	-	-	-	-	7	-	540	-	-	-
Cameron CDP .....	-	13	-	7	31	8	951	1	-	13
Kaibito CDP .....	-	4	-	2	10	3	1 600	-	-	-
Lechee CDP .....	-	11	-	-	24	-	1 594	-	-	3
Leupp CDP .....	-	-	-	4	7	4	962	3	2	3
Moenkopi CDP .....	1	8	-	1	22	2	885	-	-	7
Page city .....	7	118	9	12	4 765	49	1 965	57	22	169
Tonalea CDP .....	-	-	-	-	4	-	557	-	-	1
Tuba City CDP .....	2	69	3	9	540	25	7 673	23	10	81
Williams CCD .....	16	79	18	2	6 934	151	633	96	20	807
Grand Canyon Village CDP .....	2	25	7	2	1 116	27	302	20	4	33
Parks CDP (part) .....	-	15	2	-	1 090	7	30	9	7	28
Tusayan CDP .....	-	-	1	-	393	6	98	1	-	77
Williams city .....	14	19	6	-	2 267	97	71	45	1	440
Gila County .....	31	324	51	10	40 763	257	7 060	304	54	3 854
Globe-Miami CCD .....	9	100	22	3	15 837	159	544	149	19	2 819
Central Heights-Midland City CDP .....	1	9	7	-	2 400	4	40	14	6	287
Claypool CDP .....	2	14	1	-	1 456	19	47	6	2	320
Globe city .....	4	53	11	-	5 975	95	297	96	4	1 202

Table 5. Population for Selected Categories of Race: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Selected combinations of two races				Race alone or in combination with one or more other races					
	White; Black or African American	White; American Indian and Alaska Native	White; Asian	Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native	White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race
Gila County—Con.										
Globe-Miami CCD—Con.										
Miami town .....	1	1	1	3	1 485	25	35	5	1	432
Top-of-the-World CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Payson CCD .....	18	125	19	1	21 061	68	449	120	23	393
Payson town .....	11	69	18	1	13 046	51	340	98	14	234
Pine CDP .....	4	11	-	-	1 895	7	21	2	1	29
Strawberry CDP .....	-	4	1	-	1 004	1	10	8	-	15
Sun Valley CDP .....	1	16	-	-	1 461	3	27	4	4	63
Reservation CCD .....	-	70	-	6	347	17	5 990	19	5	56
Canyon Day CDP .....	-	3	-	-	14	-	1 079	-	-	2
Peridot CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	1	-	372	1	1	-
San Carlos CDP .....	-	28	-	6	210	16	3 515	13	4	45
Tonto CCD .....	3	26	2	-	2 480	3	47	6	1	53
Gisela CDP .....	-	12	-	-	519	-	13	-	-	17
Tonto Basin CDP .....	2	6	-	-	820	2	18	-	-	13
Young CDP .....	-	1	-	-	543	-	5	2	1	15
Winkelman CCD .....	1	3	8	-	1 038	10	30	10	6	533
Hayden town (part) .....	-	2	5	-	548	5	22	5	6	353
Winkelman town (part) .....	-	1	2	-	278	1	1	2	-	164
Graham County .....	28	128	25	11	23 070	703	5 223	256	49	4 943
Bonita-Klondyke CCD .....	-	4	-	-	1 519	247	161	51	-	380
Swift Trail Junction CDP (part) .....	-	1	-	-	782	121	126	48	-	68
Pima CCD .....	5	19	3	-	3 333	20	103	10	5	428
Pima town .....	4	7	-	-	1 773	10	25	2	1	223
Safford CCD .....	23	95	22	9	18 159	433	414	189	42	4 121
Safford city .....	18	34	10	6	7 165	172	148	107	11	1 905
Swift Trail Junction CDP (part) .....	-	3	3	-	940	7	15	5	2	104
Thatcher town .....	2	8	4	1	3 475	35	93	37	17	457
San Carlos CCD .....	-	10	-	2	59	3	4 545	6	2	14
Peridot CDP (part) .....	-	2	-	-	19	-	875	1	-	4
Greenlee County .....	7	74	6	-	6 616	54	228	24	5	1 918
Clifton CCD .....	5	53	2	-	4 041	45	170	18	4	1 491
Clifton town .....	2	19	1	-	1 811	27	82	3	1	747
Morenci CDP .....	-	15	1	-	1 340	12	59	15	2	525
Duncan CCD .....	2	21	4	-	2 575	9	58	6	1	427
Duncan town .....	1	5	3	-	690	5	15	4	-	127
La Paz County .....	14	204	18	21	15 026	214	2 796	107	37	2 092
Parker CCD .....	14	204	18	21	15 026	214	2 796	107	37	2 092
Bluewater CDP .....	2	8	3	-	619	2	78	5	3	60
Bouse CDP .....	-	9	-	-	597	2	17	1	2	5
Cibola CDP .....	-	2	-	-	135	6	13	-	-	23
Ehrenberg CDP .....	1	8	1	-	1 162	22	36	3	1	185
Parker town .....	2	42	5	11	2 031	83	824	35	12	309
Parker Strip CDP .....	5	25	4	1	3 115	27	97	32	1	95
Poston CDP .....	-	9	-	-	132	2	77	-	-	189
Quartzsite town .....	3	19	1	-	3 209	12	59	10	3	102
Salome CDP .....	-	17	4	5	1 573	10	67	9	8	61
Wenden CDP .....	1	5	-	-	477	1	12	1	1	75
Maricopa County .....	9 339	11 277	10 251	1 715	2 452 006	132 198	75 867	83 675	8 751	414 433
Buckeye CCD .....	33	133	25	9	15 356	695	574	99	30	3 568
Buckeye town (part) .....	4	39	5	5	4 843	235	172	40	7	1 334
Surprise city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chandler CCD .....	600	738	982	110	177 325	7 540	3 359	10 245	581	23 250
Chandler city (part) .....	464	531	742	98	119 725	6 177	2 681	8 126	449	19 491
Gilbert town (part) .....	93	84	150	7	23 225	901	286	1 242	64	1 620
Mesa city (part) .....	4	11	3	1	995	70	97	29	10	98
Phoenix city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Queen Creek town (part) .....	5	18	6	1	3 547	25	43	23	7	652
Sun Lakes CDP .....	2	17	5	-	11 770	96	38	50	4	16
Tempe city (part) .....	13	22	46	1	7 739	159	63	630	16	187
Deer Valley CCD .....	336	380	579	32	138 796	3 039	1 319	3 981	268	4 315
Carefree town (part) .....	-	8	4	-	2 477	7	9	16	-	13
Cave Creek town .....	3	7	11	-	3 591	17	14	34	5	128
Glendale city (part) .....	154	108	228	16	42 210	1 198	403	2 219	121	1 583
New River CDP .....	11	50	22	1	10 431	73	124	82	14	175
Peoria city (part) .....	62	75	116	6	26 891	574	193	555	44	768
Phoenix city (part) .....	98	92	174	9	40 261	1 095	461	954	74	1 437
Scottsdale city (part) .....	-	9	10	-	4 265	23	16	55	3	51
Gila Bend CCD .....	28	38	23	10	5 997	251	820	84	19	1 609
Avondale city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	29	-	-	-	-	-
Buckeye town (part) .....	-	-	-	-	14	-	-	-	-	27
Gila Bend town .....	3	11	1	8	1 076	51	251	15	-	686
Goodyear city (part) .....	18	15	20	2	2 520	116	40	66	13	116
Phoenix CCD .....	8 301	9 675	8 570	1 510	2 055 199	119 939	61 905	68 821	7 772	377 985
Apache Junction city (part) .....	-	1	-	-	272	-	1	-	1	3
Avondale city (part) .....	143	135	161	17	23 868	2 128	716	942	108	9 568
Buckeye town (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Chandler city (part) .....	95	106	123	10	20 989	997	487	859	96	2 173
El Mirage city .....	24	36	16	-	5 238	286	108	71	29	2 126
Fountain Hills town .....	37	46	46	-	19 680	166	147	247	33	196
Gilbert town (part) .....	267	299	428	32	73 518	2 351	946	3 568	248	5 008
Glendale city (part) .....	814	778	705	132	129 510	10 813	4 244	5 428	547	28 634
Goodyear city (part) .....	50	48	71	5	12 739	968	243	400	36	2 231
Guadalupe town .....	2	55	-	2	1 038	66	2 533	19	21	1 855
Litchfield Park city .....	8	15	17	-	3 564	62	31	130	13	71
Mesa city (part) .....	1 258	1 723	1 228	169	332 228	12 116	9 078	7 948	1 608	43 887
Paradise Valley town .....	22	14	57	2	13 196	129	46	342	6	93
Peoria city (part) .....	268	314	373	21	67 544	2 964	1 059	2 241	248	8 131
Phoenix city (part) .....	4 221	4 559	3 712	951	935 156	74 970	34 632	32 240	3 396	241 921
Rio Verde CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Scottsdale city (part) .....	340	541	699	56	184 511	3 109	1 995	4 923	400	5 879



Table 5. Population for Selected Categories of Race: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Selected combinations of two races				Race alone or in combination with one or more other races					
	White; Black or African American	White; American Indian and Alaska Native	White; Asian	Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native	White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race
Maricopa County—Con.										
Phoenix CCD—Con.										
Sun City CDP .....	7	76	19	-	37 870	210	134	139	15	117
Surprise city (part) .....	56	46	44	1	11 679	540	140	244	17	2 139
Tempe city (part) .....	570	589	675	97	119 554	6 749	4 150	8 203	805	15 958
Tolleson city .....	5	5	10	1	2 744	97	84	46	15	2 183
Youngtown town .....	4	17	-	-	2 709	45	32	19	8	231
St. Johns CCD .....	-	41	1	4	101	9	2 591	2	9	48
Phoenix city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Salt River CCD .....	4	88	3	37	1 375	82	4 800	16	13	1 664
Mesa city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tempe city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tonto CCD .....	-	5	5	-	4 048	8	31	24	5	23
Carefree town (part) .....	-	-	-	-	419	-	-	1	-	1
Rio Verde CDP (part) .....	-	-	1	-	1 415	-	1	3	-	1
Scottsdale city (part) .....	-	2	3	-	1 057	2	7	8	-	4
Wickenburg CCD .....	37	179	63	3	53 809	635	468	403	54	1 971
Buckeye town (part) .....	-	-	-	-	27	-	-	-	-	8
Peoria city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
Sun City West CDP .....	2	33	10	-	26 072	135	51	113	17	34
Surprise city (part) .....	27	44	31	2	15 393	397	117	203	13	618
Wickenburg town .....	3	33	7	1	4 746	22	104	35	17	260
Mohave County .....	167	1 131	320	31	142 669	1 103	5 085	1 684	368	7 627
Kingman North CCD .....	66	485	91	17	46 434	380	2 676	583	141	1 902
Bullhead City city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Dolan Springs CDP .....	1	20	-	-	1 779	14	36	20	3	48
Golden Valley CDP .....	8	44	5	-	4 325	33	89	40	9	106
Kingman city .....	30	160	47	2	18 523	151	591	361	56	923
New Kingman-Butler CDP .....	20	195	34	10	13 921	112	479	124	46	604
Peach Springs CDP .....	-	-	-	-	26	-	560	-	-	16
Kingman South CCD .....	101	626	228	14	90 481	715	2 207	1 072	201	5 398
Arizona Village CDP .....	-	2	-	1	28	2	317	-	-	7
Bullhead City city (part) .....	49	215	86	8	29 746	412	722	476	78	3 300
Desert Hills CDP .....	-	8	2	-	2 060	3	21	11	1	118
Lake Havasu City city .....	30	207	91	4	40 147	181	534	369	82	1 279
Mesquite Creek CDP .....	-	2	-	-	196	2	4	1	-	8
Mohave Valley CDP .....	13	122	33	1	12 707	78	458	173	29	546
Mojave Ranch Estates CDP .....	-	4	-	-	17	-	11	-	-	4
Willow Valley CDP .....	4	2	5	-	561	5	12	7	-	16
Mohave North CCD .....	-	20	1	-	5 754	8	202	29	26	327
Colorado City town .....	3	3	-	-	3 259	8	20	20	22	85
Kaibab CDP (part) .....	-	6	-	-	115	-	151	-	-	14
Navajo County .....	107	773	104	75	46 358	1 074	47 593	481	98	3 825
Apache CCD .....	-	107	3	13	528	27	10 082	11	4	49
Cibecue CDP .....	-	7	-	-	46	1	1 286	2	1	7
East Fork CDP .....	-	-	-	-	4	-	876	-	-	-
McNary CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Whiteriver CDP .....	-	59	-	10	222	12	5 040	2	-	25
Hopi CCD .....	-	32	3	4	326	20	6 550	15	1	28
First Mesa CDP .....	-	10	-	-	42	-	1 090	-	-	2
Hotelvilla-Bacavi CDP .....	-	2	-	-	31	-	738	-	-	-
Jeddito CDP .....	-	-	2	-	27	-	361	3	-	1
Keams Canyon CDP .....	-	1	-	1	22	2	238	3	-	1
Kykotsmovi Village CDP .....	-	1	1	2	37	10	730	3	-	7
Second Mesa CDP .....	-	5	-	-	17	1	801	4	-	7
Shongopovi CDP .....	-	2	-	-	11	-	623	-	-	1
Indian Wells CCD .....	-	20	3	8	112	13	7 167	4	1	28
Dilkon CDP .....	-	2	3	5	25	5	1 237	4	-	7
Greasewood CDP .....	-	-	-	-	14	-	565	-	1	2
Little Colorado CCD .....	44	261	47	35	10 903	749	4 356	222	23	2 153
Holbrook city .....	13	73	14	5	3 123	137	1 294	70	6	544
Winslow city .....	25	126	28	29	5 310	568	2 436	133	15	1 462
Winslow West CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pinon CCD .....	1	6	-	4	174	6	7 899	3	3	6
Pinon CDP .....	-	1	-	-	92	-	1 095	-	-	4
Snowflake CCD .....	54	293	46	6	33 841	235	1 518	210	60	1 519
Heber-Overgaard CDP .....	3	5	1	-	2 610	5	69	5	4	57
Pinetop-Lakeside town .....	8	28	11	-	3 284	46	120	24	1	207
Show Low city .....	13	69	13	1	6 988	35	382	70	16	365
Snowflake town .....	5	27	8	-	3 973	17	337	34	5	183
Taylor town .....	4	40	2	3	2 891	25	214	10	4	105
Western CCD .....	8	54	2	5	474	24	10 021	16	6	42
Chilchinbito CDP .....	-	-	-	-	6	-	456	-	-	-
Kayenta CDP .....	8	37	2	4	373	22	4 562	10	5	19
Ojajato-Monument Valley CDP .....	-	8	-	-	9	-	154	-	-	-
Shonto CDP .....	-	4	-	1	16	2	555	1	1	2
Pima County .....	2 661	4 020	3 030	568	656 275	30 893	33 910	22 373	2 097	127 000
Ajo CCD .....	6	94	8	1	3 233	22	381	23	7	426
Ajo CDP .....	6	89	8	1	3 076	22	358	22	7	399
Arivaca CCD .....	57	191	68	34	37 224	741	5 400	364	79	7 842
Drexel Heights CDP (part) .....	22	49	46	9	8 071	509	546	198	29	4 579
East Sahuarita CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Green Valley CDP (part) .....	5	34	8	2	16 575	45	76	72	14	95
Sahuarita town (part) .....	6	15	2	-	2 877	25	57	37	3	282
Three Points CDP (part) .....	8	32	8	1	3 383	47	187	31	10	1 216
Valencia West CDP .....	5	12	-	1	1 492	38	72	6	6	836
Marana CCD .....	49	164	49	18	21 426	478	846	199	41	3 324
Avra Valley CDP .....	16	32	5	8	4 343	116	128	27	5	544
Marana town (part) .....	2	7	6	4	1 255	102	189	31	11	378
Picture Rocks CDP (part) .....	12	69	15	2	7 476	89	217	55	9	528
Three Points CDP (part) .....	-	3	-	-	481	10	15	7	1	76
Tucson Estates CDP (part) .....	16	30	11	4	5 395	79	185	45	7	1 179
Papago CCD .....	-	26	-	1	197	6	7 275	18	13	34

Table 5. Population for Selected Categories of Race: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Selected combinations of two races				Race alone or in combination with one or more other races					
	White; Black or African American	White; American Indian and Alaska Native	White; Asian	Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native	White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race
Pima County—Con.										
Papago CCD—Con.										
Pisnemo CDP .....	-	-	-	-	5	-	232	-	-	-
Santa Rosa CDP .....	-	-	-	-	2	-	435	-	1	1
Sells CDP .....	-	5	-	-	86	1	2 706	6	1	11
Tucson CCD .....	2 549	3 545	2 905	514	594 195	29 646	20 008	21 769	1 957	115 374
Casas Adobes CDP .....	145	215	260	17	49 004	1 139	659	1 537	81	2 926
Catalina CDP .....	7	52	7	1	6 151	48	162	38	17	790
Catalina Foothills CDP .....	116	146	252	19	50 026	855	487	2 105	104	1 309
Corona de Tucson CDP .....	2	4	5	-	790	4	7	8	1	19
Drexel-Alvernon CDP .....	12	16	5	3	2 521	236	117	39	8	1 453
Drexel Heights CDP (part) .....	29	48	26	8	6 523	222	463	128	22	3 465
East Sahuarita CDP (part) .....	2	12	-	-	1 139	10	44	10	1	256
Flowing Wells CDP .....	45	111	31	2	13 157	202	364	167	22	1 698
Green Valley CDP (part) .....	-	1	-	-	507	-	2	-	-	-
Littletown CDP .....	2	20	5	1	704	27	51	8	-	284
Marana town (part) .....	64	57	59	11	10 179	404	209	404	27	806
Oro Valley town .....	66	73	84	5	28 068	418	219	714	73	713
Picture Rocks CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Sahuarita town (part) .....	-	-	-	-	35	-	-	-	-	-
South Tucson city .....	2	14	8	8	2 509	153	555	49	3	2 412
Summit CDP .....	16	31	4	-	2 603	54	133	15	3	1 100
Tanque Verde CDP .....	25	46	56	3	15 522	149	145	298	41	339
Tortolita CDP .....	1	34	12	3	3 545	42	68	51	5	132
Tucson city .....	1 885	2 399	1 923	423	356 783	24 954	15 358	15 390	1 482	92 381
Tucson Estates CDP (part) .....	-	14	7	-	2 954	22	31	18	-	44
Vail CDP .....	11	18	8	3	2 252	35	41	21	1	232
Pinal County .....	242	1 046	214	121	130 567	5 568	15 653	1 556	341	31 064
Casa Grande CCD .....	75	208	40	44	24 042	1 402	1 875	391	70	8 007
Casa Grande city (part) .....	62	146	29	43	17 086	1 239	1 524	354	61	5 886
Coolidge CCD .....	18	84	5	16	7 701	1 011	782	98	22	3 019
Coolidge city .....	8	58	5	13	4 733	687	553	81	11	2 038
Eloy CCD .....	27	99	32	17	11 135	770	851	240	53	4 798
Arizona City CDP .....	7	46	4	2	3 851	63	150	26	10	401
Casa Grande city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	13	-	-	-	-	9
Eloy city .....	15	37	27	15	5 822	623	577	193	35	3 628
Florence CCD .....	23	53	18	12	12 904	1 657	1 037	211	33	5 352
Florence town .....	19	37	11	10	9 927	1 615	829	194	31	4 747
Gila River CCD .....	3	111	-	20	487	48	7 987	1	3	246
Blackwater CDP .....	-	4	-	-	9	1	481	-	-	17
Sacaton CDP .....	-	4	-	11	38	11	1 541	1	-	32
Santan CDP .....	-	5	-	6	19	8	615	-	-	24
Maricopa-Stanfield CCD .....	13	64	6	1	5 272	166	1 098	48	26	2 290
Ak-Chin Village CDP .....	-	2	-	-	30	2	618	1	-	24
Maricopa CDP .....	1	5	-	-	521	34	76	-	-	451
Stanfield CDP .....	1	3	-	-	338	28	81	1	7	210
North Pinal CCD .....	77	353	103	8	55 217	453	1 003	463	99	3 916
Apache Junction city (part) .....	49	216	64	7	29 796	268	567	273	65	1 243
Gold Camp CDP .....	5	30	5	-	5 855	26	74	36	5	94
Hayden town (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kearny town .....	2	8	1	1	1 797	18	35	10	-	468
Queen Creek town (part) .....	-	-	-	-	90	-	1	-	-	29
Queen Valley CDP .....	-	3	1	-	801	1	7	2	1	17
Superior town .....	2	10	2	-	2 413	20	69	15	6	791
Top-of-the-World CDP (part) .....	-	5	-	-	301	5	15	-	-	17
Papago CCD .....	-	2	-	-	12	-	729	-	1	3
Chuichu CDP .....	-	2	-	-	6	-	336	-	1	-
San Manuel CCD .....	6	72	10	3	13 797	61	291	104	34	3 433
Dudleyville CDP .....	-	3	-	-	852	3	33	1	4	466
Mammoth town .....	-	13	-	-	1 159	2	40	10	4	619
Oracle CDP .....	1	21	2	1	2 862	8	84	19	14	720
San Manuel CDP .....	5	25	4	-	3 181	23	89	30	9	1 220
Winkelman town (part) .....	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-
Santa Cruz County .....	12	78	26	2	30 103	179	371	256	44	8 446
Nogales CCD .....	12	51	21	2	27 569	171	316	241	42	8 230
Amado CDP .....	-	1	-	-	196	1	3	3	-	74
Nogales city .....	6	22	11	1	16 802	97	164	93	19	4 305
Rio Rico Northeast CDP .....	-	6	3	-	2 316	11	41	54	11	837
Rio Rico Northwest CDP .....	2	3	3	-	1 872	23	24	41	1	985
Rio Rico Southeast CDP .....	1	8	1	-	1 035	11	9	3	-	579
Rio Rico Southwest CDP .....	2	3	1	-	2 038	18	35	21	4	738
Tubac CDP .....	-	4	-	-	849	-	15	4	-	88
Tumacacori-Carmen CDP .....	-	3	2	1	452	2	10	12	-	109
Patagonia CCD .....	-	27	5	-	2 534	8	55	15	2	216
Elgin CDP .....	-	7	-	-	290	-	16	-	-	10
Patagonia town .....	-	6	2	-	774	-	16	7	-	98
Sonoita CDP .....	-	11	2	-	758	4	17	4	2	62
Yavapai County .....	185	1 202	329	45	156 932	1 011	4 168	1 351	341	7 186
Ashfork CCD .....	-	37	-	2	1 757	24	82	8	5	81
Ash Fork CDP .....	-	2	-	-	443	-	10	-	-	9
Seligman CDP .....	-	10	-	-	408	1	26	7	1	40
Congress CCD .....	8	71	12	4	8 204	45	156	33	6	168
Black Canyon City CDP (part) .....	2	31	9	1	2 633	10	68	14	1	23
Congress CDP .....	2	14	-	1	1 674	3	24	2	3	40
Cordes Lakes CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	13	-	-	-	-	-
Mayer CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-
Peebles Valley CDP .....	-	7	1	-	355	4	12	3	-	14
Peoria city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Spring Valley CDP .....	1	4	-	-	994	8	8	4	1	11
Wilhoit CDP .....	-	6	1	-	643	-	12	4	-	14
Yarnell CDP .....	2	5	1	1	634	4	7	1	1	8
Humboldt CCD .....	8	29	10	-	5 137	26	91	20	6	95
Black Canyon City CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 5. Population for Selected Categories of Race: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Selected combinations of two races				Race alone or in combination with one or more other races					
	White; Black or African American	White; American Indian and Alaska Native	White; Asian	Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native	White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race
Yavapai County—Con.										
Humboldt CCD—Con.										
Camp Verde town (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cordes Lakes CDP (part) .....	5	15	2	-	2 000	8	35	5	6	20
Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part) .....	-	2	1	-	980	3	15	5	-	18
Mayer CDP (part) .....	3	8	6	-	1 334	8	30	9	-	45
Mingus Mountain CCD .....	27	178	38	3	22 052	160	757	172	33	1 896
Camp Verde town (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Clarkdale town .....	7	26	7	1	2 967	26	268	22	4	228
Cottonwood city (part) .....	7	65	12	2	8 042	70	219	61	8	1 025
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP (part) .....	11	65	17	-	9 079	48	216	74	14	555
Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	89	-	3	-	-	2
Jerome town .....	-	1	1	-	312	3	11	2	-	13
Prescott Valley town (part) .....	-	6	-	-	384	2	11	4	4	14
Prescott CCD .....	101	640	217	29	90 728	588	1 912	850	218	3 552
Bagdad CDP .....	1	12	1	-	1 486	17	29	7	2	66
Chino Valley town .....	7	71	8	1	7 511	35	160	26	10	255
Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part) .....	1	32	1	-	5 058	13	55	21	5	90
Mayer CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	12	-	-	-	-	-
Palden CDP .....	1	55	1	-	3 211	19	137	9	4	107
Prescott city .....	30	180	72	13	32 032	244	670	412	75	1 119
Prescott Valley town (part) .....	39	153	91	1	21 544	166	391	246	65	1 240
Williamson CDP .....	5	11	4	1	3 708	13	29	15	7	59
Verde CCD .....	41	247	52	7	29 054	168	1 170	268	73	1 394
Big Park CDP .....	3	33	10	1	5 080	25	55	55	14	99
Camp Verde town (part) .....	11	103	13	2	8 224	47	824	40	34	499
Cornville CDP .....	7	30	6	-	3 182	21	58	27	6	115
Cottonwood city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP (part) .....	5	2	-	-	817	9	10	2	-	60
Lake Montezuma CDP .....	4	43	4	-	3 161	7	123	13	2	107
Sedona city (part) .....	6	21	18	4	6 712	49	64	94	14	428
Yuma County .....	293	625	366	56	113 753	4 205	3 565	2 188	406	41 279
Somerton CCD .....	11	54	9	8	17 192	551	922	141	29	12 067
Gadsden CDP .....	-	1	-	-	398	-	36	6	3	523
San Luis city .....	-	23	8	4	9 311	462	266	52	9	5 574
Somerton city .....	4	11	1	-	3 414	38	72	44	13	3 912
Yuma city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	11	-	-	-	-	-
Wellton CCD .....	17	42	18	6	5 295	175	159	55	14	1 615
Tacna CDP .....	-	4	-	-	400	6	9	3	-	168
Wellton town .....	1	6	1	1	1 291	39	38	10	7	498
Yuma city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yuma CCD .....	265	529	339	42	91 266	3 479	2 484	1 992	363	27 597
Fortuna Foothills CDP .....	27	80	25	1	18 761	127	234	145	26	1 531
Yuma city (part) .....	220	348	271	41	55 538	2 976	1 705	1 660	271	18 516

**Table 6. Population for Selected Categories of Race: 2000**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Selected combinations of two races				Race alone or in combination with one or more other races					
	White; Black or African American	White; American Indian and Alaska Native	White; Asian	Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native	White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race
<b>The State</b> .....	<b>13 732</b>	<b>23 009</b>	<b>15 624</b>	<b>2 889</b>	<b>3 998 154</b>	<b>185 599</b>	<b>292 552</b>	<b>118 672</b>	<b>13 415</b>	<b>677 392</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>										
Apache County .....	20	385	27	54	14 298	262	53 998	152	84	1 663
Cochise County .....	473	740	601	87	94 024	6 287	2 456	2 974	535	16 210
Cocoonino County .....	153	1 002	256	93	75 694	1 591	34 579	1 291	205	5 852
Gila County .....	31	324	51	10	40 763	257	7 060	304	54	3 854
Graham County .....	28	128	25	11	23 070	703	5 223	256	49	4 943
Greenlee County .....	7	74	6	-	6 616	54	228	24	5	1 918
La Paz County .....	14	204	18	21	15 026	214	2 796	107	37	2 092
Maricopa County .....	9 339	11 277	10 251	1 715	2 452 006	132 198	75 867	83 675	8 751	414 433
Mohave County .....	167	1 131	320	31	142 669	1 103	5 085	1 684	368	7 627
Navajo County .....	107	773	104	75	46 358	1 074	47 593	481	98	3 825
Pima County .....	2 661	4 020	3 030	568	656 275	30 893	33 910	22 373	2 097	127 000
Pinal County .....	242	1 046	214	121	130 567	5 568	15 653	1 556	341	31 064
Santa Cruz County .....	12	78	26	2	30 103	179	371	256	44	8 446
Yavapai County .....	185	1 202	329	45	156 932	1 011	4 168	1 351	341	7 186
Yuma County .....	293	625	366	56	113 753	4 205	3 565	2 188	406	41 279
<b>PLACE</b>										
Ajo CDP, Pima County .....	6	89	8	1	3 076	22	358	22	7	399
Ak-Chin Village CDP, Pinal County .....	-	2	-	-	30	2	618	1	-	24
Amado CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	-	-	-	-	196	1	3	3	-	74
Apache Junction city .....	49	217	64	7	30 068	268	568	273	66	1 246
Maricopa County (part) .....	-	1	-	-	272	-	1	-	1	3
Pinal County (part) .....	49	216	64	7	29 796	268	567	273	65	1 243
Arizona City CDP, Pinal County .....	7	46	4	2	3 851	63	150	26	10	401
Arizona Village CDP, Mohave County .....	-	2	-	1	28	2	317	-	-	7
Ash Fork CDP, Yavapai County .....	-	2	-	-	443	-	10	-	-	9
Avondale city, Maricopa County .....	143	135	161	17	23 897	2 128	716	942	108	9 568
Avra Valley CDP, Pima County .....	16	32	5	8	4 343	116	128	27	5	544
Bagdad CDP, Yavapai County .....	1	12	1	-	1 486	17	29	7	2	66
Benson city, Cochise County .....	6	33	4	-	4 307	49	98	32	7	334
Big Park CDP, Yavapai County .....	3	33	10	1	5 080	25	55	55	14	99
Bisbee city, Cochise County .....	10	37	8	1	5 264	50	130	39	9	766
Bitter Springs CDP, Cocoonino County .....	-	-	-	-	7	-	540	-	-	-
Black Canyon City CDP, Yavapai County .....	2	31	9	1	2 633	10	68	14	1	23
Blackwater CDP, Pinal County .....	-	4	-	-	9	1	481	-	-	17
Bluewater CDP, La Paz County .....	2	8	3	-	619	2	78	5	3	60
Bouse CDP, La Paz County .....	-	9	-	-	597	2	17	1	2	5
Buckeye town, Maricopa County .....	4	39	5	5	4 884	235	172	40	7	1 369
Bullhead City city, Mohave County .....	49	215	86	8	29 746	412	722	476	78	3 300
Burnside CDP, Apache County .....	-	-	-	-	48	-	580	-	-	7
Cameron CDP, Cocoonino County .....	-	13	-	7	31	8	951	1	-	13
Camp Verde town, Yavapai County .....	11	103	13	2	8 224	47	824	40	34	499
Canyon Day CDP, Gila County .....	-	3	-	-	14	-	1 079	-	-	2
Carefree town, Maricopa County .....	-	8	4	-	2 896	7	9	17	-	14
Casa Grande city, Pinal County .....	62	146	29	43	17 099	1 239	1 524	354	61	5 895
Casas Adobes CDP, Pima County .....	145	215	260	17	49 004	1 139	659	1 537	81	2 926
Catalina CDP, Pima County .....	7	52	7	1	6 151	48	162	38	17	790
Catalina Foothills CDP, Pima County .....	116	146	252	19	50 026	855	487	2 105	104	1 309
Cave Creek town, Maricopa County .....	3	7	11	-	3 591	17	14	34	5	128
Central Heights-Midland City CDP, Gila County ..	1	9	7	-	2 400	4	40	14	6	287
Chandler city, Maricopa County .....	559	637	865	108	140 714	7 174	3 168	8 985	545	21 664
Chilchinbito CDP, Navajo County .....	-	-	-	-	6	-	456	-	-	-
Chinle CDP, Apache County .....	-	29	2	9	385	19	4 957	15	3	59
Chino Valley town, Yavapai County .....	7	71	8	1	7 511	35	160	26	10	255
Chuichu CDP, Pinal County .....	-	2	-	-	6	-	336	-	1	-
Cibecue CDP, Navajo County .....	-	7	-	-	46	1	1 286	2	1	7
Cibola CDP, La Paz County .....	-	2	-	-	135	6	13	-	-	23
Clarkdale town, Yavapai County .....	7	26	7	1	2 967	26	268	22	4	228
Claypool CDP, Gila County .....	2	14	1	-	1 456	19	47	6	2	320
Clifton town, Greenlee County .....	2	19	1	-	1 811	27	82	3	1	747
Colorado City town, Mohave County .....	-	3	-	-	3 259	8	20	20	22	85
Congress CDP, Yavapai County .....	2	14	-	1	1 674	3	24	2	3	40
Coolidge city, Pinal County .....	8	58	5	13	4 733	687	553	81	11	2 038
Cordes Lakes CDP, Yavapai County .....	5	15	2	-	2 013	8	35	5	6	20
Cornville CDP, Yavapai County .....	7	30	6	-	3 182	21	58	27	6	115
Corona de Tucson CDP, Pima County .....	2	4	5	-	790	4	7	8	1	19
Cottonwood city, Yavapai County .....	7	65	12	2	8 042	70	219	61	8	1 025
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP, Yavapai County ..	16	67	17	-	9 896	57	226	76	14	615
Dennehotso CDP, Apache County .....	-	3	-	-	9	-	728	1	-	-
Desert Hills CDP, Mohave County .....	-	8	2	-	2 060	3	21	11	1	118
Dewey-Humboldt CDP, Yavapai County .....	1	34	2	-	6 127	16	73	26	5	110
Dilkon CDP, Navajo County .....	-	2	3	5	25	5	1 237	4	-	7
Dolan Springs CDP, Mohave County .....	1	20	-	-	1 779	14	36	20	3	48
Douglas city, Cochise County .....	6	19	12	-	9 424	96	193	91	17	4 918
Drexel-Alvernon CDP, Pima County .....	12	16	5	3	2 521	236	117	39	8	1 453
Drexel Heights CDP, Pima County .....	51	97	72	17	14 594	731	1 009	326	51	8 044
Dudleville CDP, Pinal County .....	-	3	-	-	852	3	33	1	4	466
Duncan town, Greenlee County .....	1	5	3	-	690	5	15	4	-	127
Eagar town, Apache County .....	2	54	3	3	3 660	25	195	10	18	281
East Fork CDP, Navajo County .....	-	-	-	-	4	-	876	-	-	-
East Sahuarita CDP, Pima County .....	2	12	-	-	1 139	10	44	10	1	256
Ehrenberg CDP, La Paz County .....	1	8	1	-	1 162	22	36	3	1	185
Elgin CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	-	7	-	-	290	-	16	-	-	10

Table 6. Population for Selected Categories of Race: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Selected combinations of two races				Race alone or in combination with one or more other races					
	White; Black or African American	White; American Indian and Alaska Native	White; Asian	Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native	White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>										
El Mirage city, Maricopa County .....	24	36	16	-	5 238	286	108	71	29	2 126
Eloy city, Pinal County .....	15	37	27	15	5 822	623	577	193	35	3 628
First Mesa CDP, Navajo County .....	-	10	-	-	42	-	1 090	-	-	2
Flagstaff city, Coconino County .....	92	468	167	35	42 531	1 151	5 954	912	119	3 863
Florence town, Pinal County .....	19	37	11	10	9 927	1 615	829	194	31	4 747
Flowing Wells CDP, Pima County .....	45	111	31	2	13 157	202	364	167	22	1 698
Fort Defiance CDP, Apache County .....	-	26	1	7	228	14	3 830	16	13	47
Fortuna Foothills CDP, Yuma County .....	27	80	25	1	18 761	127	234	145	26	1 531
Fountain Hills town, Maricopa County .....	37	37	46	-	19 680	166	147	247	33	196
Fredonia town, Coconino County .....	2	8	-	-	903	13	129	1	1	7
Gadsden CDP, Yuma County .....	-	1	-	-	398	-	36	6	3	523
Ganado CDP, Apache County .....	-	4	-	1	166	3	1 322	2	-	20
Gila Bend town, Maricopa County .....	3	11	1	8	1 076	51	251	15	-	686
Gilbert town, Maricopa County .....	360	383	578	39	96 743	3 252	1 232	4 810	312	6 628
Gisela CDP, Gila County .....	-	12	-	-	519	-	13	-	-	17
Glendale city, Maricopa County .....	968	886	933	148	171 720	12 011	4 647	7 647	668	30 217
Globe city, Gila County .....	4	53	11	-	5 975	95	297	96	4	1 202
Gold Camp CDP, Pinal County .....	5	30	5	-	5 855	26	74	36	5	94
Golden Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	8	44	5	-	4 325	33	89	40	9	106
Goodyear city, Maricopa County .....	68	63	91	7	15 259	1 084	283	466	49	2 347
Grand Canyon Village CDP, Coconino County .....	2	25	7	2	1 116	27	302	20	4	33
Greasewood CDP, Navajo County .....	-	-	-	-	14	-	565	-	1	2
Green Valley CDP, Pima County .....	5	35	8	2	17 082	45	78	72	14	95
Guadalupe town, Maricopa County .....	2	55	-	2	1 038	66	2 533	19	21	1 855
Hayden town .....	-	2	5	-	548	5	22	5	6	353
Gila County (part) .....	-	2	5	-	548	5	22	5	6	353
Pinal County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Heber-Overgaard CDP, Navajo County .....	3	5	1	-	2 610	5	69	5	4	57
Holbrook city, Navajo County .....	13	73	14	5	3 123	137	1 294	70	6	544
Hotevilla-Bacavi CDP, Navajo County .....	-	2	-	-	31	-	738	-	-	-
Houck CDP, Apache County .....	-	13	2	-	39	1	1 059	7	-	3
Huachuca City town, Cochise County .....	12	30	13	1	1 406	137	87	43	9	171
Jeddito CDP, Navajo County .....	-	-	2	-	27	-	361	3	-	1
Jerome town, Yavapai County .....	-	1	1	-	312	3	11	2	-	13
Kachina Village CDP, Coconino County .....	2	5	9	1	2 402	12	127	20	2	142
Kaibab CDP .....	-	6	-	-	120	-	151	-	-	14
Coconino County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-
Mohave County (part) .....	-	6	-	-	115	-	151	-	-	14
Kaibito CDP, Coconino County .....	-	4	-	2	10	3	1 600	-	-	-
Kayenta CDP, Navajo County .....	8	37	2	4	373	22	4 562	10	5	19
Keams Canyon CDP, Navajo County .....	-	1	-	1	22	2	238	3	-	1
Kearny town, Pinal County .....	2	8	1	-	1 797	18	35	10	-	468
Kingman city, Mohave County .....	30	160	47	2	18 523	151	591	361	56	923
Kykotsmovi Village CDP, Navajo County .....	-	1	1	2	37	10	730	3	-	7
Lake Havasu City city, Mohave County .....	30	207	91	4	40 147	181	534	369	82	1 279
Lake Montezuma CDP, Yavapai County .....	4	43	4	-	3 161	7	123	13	2	107
Lechee CDP, Coconino County .....	-	11	-	-	24	-	1 594	-	-	3
Leupp CDP, Coconino County .....	-	-	-	4	7	4	962	3	2	3
Litchfield Park city, Maricopa County .....	8	15	17	-	3 564	62	31	130	13	71
Littleton CDP, Pima County .....	2	20	5	1	704	27	51	8	-	284
Lukachukai CDP, Apache County .....	-	2	-	-	22	-	1 540	1	2	5
McNary CDP .....	1	3	-	8	33	18	300	-	-	10
Apache County (part) .....	1	3	-	8	33	18	300	-	-	10
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mammoth town, Pinal County .....	-	13	-	-	1 159	2	40	10	4	619
Many Farms CDP, Apache County .....	-	28	-	-	161	5	1 402	5	1	24
Marana town, Pima County .....	66	64	65	15	11 434	506	398	435	38	1 184
Maricopa CDP, Pinal County .....	1	5	-	-	521	34	76	-	-	451
Mayer CDP, Yavapai County .....	3	8	6	-	1 351	8	30	9	-	45
Mesa city, Maricopa County .....	1 262	1 734	1 231	170	333 223	12 186	9 175	7 977	1 618	43 985
Mesquite Creek CDP, Mohave County .....	-	2	-	-	196	2	4	1	-	8
Miami town, Gila County .....	1	1	1	3	1 485	25	35	5	1	432
Moenkopi CDP, Coconino County .....	1	8	-	1	22	2	885	-	-	7
Mohave Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	13	122	33	1	12 707	78	458	173	29	546
Mojave Ranch Estates CDP, Mohave County .....	-	4	-	-	17	-	11	-	-	4
Morenci CDP, Greenlee County .....	-	15	1	-	1 340	12	59	15	2	525
Mountaineer CDP, Coconino County .....	-	16	1	-	895	4	96	8	4	35
Munds Park CDP, Coconino County .....	5	6	3	1	1 217	10	17	6	-	19
Naco CDP, Cochise County .....	1	6	2	-	469	3	10	2	-	379
Nazlini CDP, Apache County .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	394	-	-	3
New Kingman-Butler CDP, Mohave County .....	20	195	34	10	13 921	112	479	124	46	604
New River CDP, Maricopa County .....	11	50	22	1	10 431	73	124	82	14	175
Nogales city, Santa Cruz County .....	6	22	11	1	16 802	97	164	93	19	4 305
Oljato-Monument Valley CDP, Navajo County .....	-	8	-	-	9	-	154	-	-	-
Oracle CDP, Pinal County .....	1	21	2	1	2 862	8	84	19	14	720
Oro Valley town, Pima County .....	66	73	84	5	28 068	418	219	714	73	713
Page city, Coconino County .....	7	118	9	12	4 765	49	1 965	57	22	169
Paradise Valley town, Maricopa County .....	22	14	57	2	13 196	129	46	342	6	93
Parker town, La Paz County .....	2	42	5	11	2 031	83	824	35	12	309
Parker Strip CDP, La Paz County .....	5	25	4	1	3 115	27	97	32	1	95
Parks CDP, Coconino County .....	-	15	2	-	1 090	7	30	9	7	28
Patagonia town, Santa Cruz County .....	-	6	2	-	774	-	16	7	-	98
Paulden CDP, Yavapai County .....	1	55	1	-	3 211	19	137	9	4	107

Table 6. Population for Selected Categories of Race: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Selected combinations of two races				Race alone or in combination with one or more other races						
	White; Black or African American	White; American Indian and Alaska Native	White; Asian	Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native	White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>											
Payson town, Gila County .....	11	69	18	1	13 046	51	340	98	14	234	
Peach Springs CDP, Mohave County .....	-	-	-	-	26	-	560	-	-	16	
Peoples Valley CDP, Yavapai County .....	-	7	1	-	355	4	12	3	-	14	
Peoria city .....	330	389	489	27	94 436	3 538	1 252	2 796	292	8 901	
Maricopa County (part) .....	330	389	489	27	94 435	3 538	1 252	2 796	292	8 901	
Yavapai County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	
Peridot CDP .....	-	2	-	-	20	-	1 247	2	1	4	
Gila County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	1	-	372	1	1	-	
Graham County (part) .....	-	2	-	-	19	-	875	1	-	4	
Phoenix city, Maricopa County .....	4 319	4 651	3 886	960	975 418	76 065	35 093	33 194	3 470	243 358	
Picture Rocks CDP, Pima County .....	12	69	15	2	7 476	89	217	55	9	528	
Pima town, Graham County .....	4	7	-	-	1 773	10	25	2	1	223	
Pine CDP, Gila County .....	4	11	-	-	1 895	7	21	2	1	29	
Pinetop-Lakeside town, Navajo County .....	8	28	11	-	3 284	46	120	24	1	207	
Pinon CDP, Navajo County .....	-	1	-	-	92	-	1 095	-	-	4	
Pirtleville CDP, Cochise County .....	-	4	-	-	864	11	26	9	5	677	
Pisinemo CDP, Pima County .....	-	-	-	-	5	-	232	-	-	-	
Poston CDP, La Paz County .....	-	9	-	-	132	2	77	-	-	189	
Prescott city, Yavapai County .....	30	180	72	13	32 032	244	670	412	75	1 119	
Prescott Valley town, Yavapai County .....	39	159	91	1	21 928	168	402	250	69	1 254	
Quartzsite town, La Paz County .....	3	19	1	-	3 209	12	59	10	3	102	
Queen Creek town .....	5	18	6	1	3 637	25	44	23	7	681	
Maricopa County (part) .....	5	18	6	1	3 547	25	43	23	7	652	
Pinal County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	90	-	1	-	-	29	
Queen Valley CDP, Pinal County .....	-	3	1	-	801	1	7	2	1	17	
Red Mesa CDP, Apache County .....	-	4	-	-	32	-	198	-	6	7	
Rio Rico Northeast CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	-	6	3	-	2 316	11	41	54	11	837	
Rio Rico Northwest CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	2	3	3	-	1 872	23	24	41	1	985	
Rio Rico Southeast CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	1	8	1	-	1 035	11	9	3	-	579	
Rio Rico Southwest CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	2	3	1	-	2 038	18	35	21	4	738	
Rio Verde CDP, Maricopa County .....	-	-	1	-	1 415	-	1	3	-	1	
Rock Point CDP, Apache County .....	-	2	-	-	14	-	711	-	1	1	
Rough Rock CDP, Apache County .....	-	1	-	-	14	-	453	3	-	1	
Round Rock CDP, Apache County .....	-	-	-	-	1	-	599	-	-	1	
Sacaton CDP, Pinal County .....	-	4	-	11	38	11	1 541	1	-	32	
Safford city, Graham County .....	18	34	10	6	7 165	172	148	107	11	1 905	
Sahuarita town, Pima County .....	6	15	2	-	2 912	25	57	37	3	282	
St. David CDP, Cochise County .....	3	13	-	-	1 661	12	24	12	4	81	
St. Johns city, Apache County .....	3	22	3	3	2 733	20	236	14	6	375	
St. Michaels CDP, Apache County .....	-	7	-	1	103	5	1 192	-	-	12	
Salome CDP, La Paz County .....	-	17	4	5	1 573	10	67	9	8	61	
San Carlos CDP, Gila County .....	-	28	-	6	210	16	3 515	13	4	45	
San Luis city, Yuma County .....	-	23	8	4	9 311	462	266	52	9	5 574	
San Manuel CDP, Pinal County .....	5	25	4	-	3 181	23	89	30	9	1 220	
Santan CDP, Pinal County .....	-	5	-	6	19	8	615	-	-	24	
Santa Rosa CDP, Pima County .....	-	-	-	-	2	-	435	-	1	1	
Sawmill CDP, Apache County .....	-	1	1	-	15	-	595	1	-	3	
Scottsdale city, Maricopa County .....	340	552	712	56	189 833	3 134	2 018	4 986	403	5 934	
Second Mesa CDP, Navajo County .....	-	5	-	-	17	1	801	4	-	7	
Sedona city .....	7	37	20	4	9 540	64	96	120	17	521	
Coconino County (part) .....	1	16	2	-	2 828	15	32	26	3	93	
Yavapai County (part) .....	6	21	18	4	6 712	49	64	94	14	428	
Seligman CDP, Yavapai County .....	-	10	-	-	408	1	26	7	1	40	
Sells CDP, Pima County .....	-	5	-	-	86	1	2 706	6	1	11	
Shongopovi CDP, Navajo County .....	-	2	-	-	11	-	623	-	-	1	
Shonto CDP, Navajo County .....	-	4	-	1	16	2	555	1	1	2	
Show Low city, Navajo County .....	13	69	13	1	6 988	35	382	70	16	365	
Sierra Vista city, Cochise County .....	301	219	417	55	29 192	4 734	702	2 051	326	2 821	
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP, Cochise County .....	51	134	81	11	12 615	424	382	403	98	1 054	
Snowflake town, Navajo County .....	5	27	8	-	3 973	17	337	34	5	183	
Somerton city, Yuma County .....	4	11	1	-	3 414	38	72	44	13	3 912	
Sonoita CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	-	11	2	-	758	4	17	4	2	62	
South Tucson city, Pima County .....	2	14	8	8	2 509	153	555	49	3	2 412	
Springerville town, Apache County .....	1	14	2	-	1 621	7	151	12	7	241	
Spring Valley CDP, Yavapai County .....	1	4	-	-	994	8	8	4	1	11	
Stanfield CDP, Pinal County .....	1	3	-	-	338	28	81	1	7	210	
Steamboat CDP, Apache County .....	-	2	-	3	2	3	232	-	-	1	
Strawberry CDP, Gila County .....	-	4	1	-	1 004	1	10	8	-	15	
Summit CDP, Pima County .....	16	31	4	-	2 603	54	133	15	3	1 100	
Sun City CDP, Maricopa County .....	7	76	19	-	37 870	210	134	139	15	117	
Sun City West CDP, Maricopa County .....	2	33	10	-	26 072	135	51	113	17	34	
Sun Lakes CDP, Maricopa County .....	2	17	5	-	11 770	96	38	50	4	16	
Sun Valley CDP, Gila County .....	1	16	-	-	1 461	3	27	4	4	63	
Supai CDP, Coconino County .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Superior town, Pinal County .....	2	10	2	-	2 413	20	69	15	6	791	
Surprise city, Maricopa County .....	83	90	75	3	27 072	937	257	447	30	2 757	
Swift Trail Junction CDP, Graham County .....	-	4	3	-	1 722	128	141	53	2	172	
Tacna CDP, Yuma County .....	-	4	-	-	400	6	9	3	-	168	
Tanque Verde CDP, Pima County .....	25	46	56	3	15 522	149	145	298	41	339	
Taylor town, Navajo County .....	4	40	2	3	2 891	25	214	10	4	105	
Teec Nos Pos CDP, Apache County .....	-	7	-	2	17	2	788	-	1	10	
Tempe city, Maricopa County .....	583	611	721	98	127 293	6 908	4 213	8 833	821	16 145	
Thatcher town, Graham County .....	2	8	4	1	3 475	35	93	37	17	457	

Table 6. **Population for Selected Categories of Race: 2000—Con.**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Selected combinations of two races				Race alone or in combination with one or more other races					
	White; Black or African American	White; American Indian and Alaska Native	White; Asian	Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native	White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>										
Three Points CDP, Pima County .....	8	35	8	1	3 864	57	202	38	11	1 292
Tolleson city, Maricopa County .....	5	5	10	1	2 744	97	84	46	15	2 183
Tombstone city, Cochise County .....	7	17	5	-	1 352	17	32	10	-	132
Tonalea CDP, Coconino County .....	-	-	-	-	4	-	557	-	-	1
Tonto Basin CDP, Gila County .....	2	6	-	-	820	2	18	-	-	13
Top-of-the-World CDP .....	-	5	-	-	301	5	15	-	-	17
Gila County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pinal County (part) .....	-	5	-	-	301	5	15	-	-	17
Tortolita CDP, Pima County .....	1	34	12	3	3 545	42	68	51	5	132
Tsaille CDP, Apache County .....	-	5	-	1	49	2	1 026	2	2	22
Tubac CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	-	4	-	-	849	-	15	4	-	88
Tuba City CDP, Coconino County .....	2	69	3	9	540	25	7 673	23	10	81
Tucson city, Pima County .....	1 885	2 399	1 923	423	356 783	24 954	15 358	15 390	1 482	92 381
Tucson Estates CDP, Pima County .....	16	44	18	4	8 349	101	216	63	7	1 223
Tumacacori-Carmen CDP, Santa Cruz County ...	-	3	2	1	452	2	10	12	-	109
Tusayan CDP, Coconino County .....	-	-	1	-	393	6	98	1	-	77
Vail CDP, Pima County .....	11	18	8	3	2 252	35	41	21	1	232
Valencia West CDP, Pima County .....	5	12	-	1	1 492	38	72	6	6	836
Wellton town, Yuma County .....	1	6	1	1	1 291	39	38	10	7	498
Wenden CDP, La Paz County .....	1	5	-	-	477	1	12	1	1	75
Whetstone CDP, Cochise County .....	20	17	12	1	2 070	98	53	44	9	192
Whiteriver CDP, Navajo County .....	-	59	-	10	222	12	5 040	2	-	25
Wickenburg town, Maricopa County .....	3	33	7	1	4 746	22	104	35	17	260
Wilhoit CDP, Yavapai County .....	-	6	1	-	643	-	12	4	-	14
Willcox city, Cochise County .....	3	18	1	3	2 941	37	86	35	6	787
Williams city, Coconino County .....	14	19	6	-	2 267	97	71	45	1	440
Williamson CDP, Yavapai County .....	5	11	4	1	3 708	13	29	15	7	59
Willow Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	4	2	5	-	561	5	12	7	-	16
Window Rock CDP, Apache County .....	-	15	1	-	113	5	2 940	14	3	5
Winkelman town .....	-	1	2	-	282	1	1	2	-	164
Gila County (part) .....	-	1	2	-	278	1	1	2	-	164
Pinal County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	-	-
Winslow city, Navajo County .....	25	126	28	29	5 310	568	2 436	133	15	1 462
Winslow West CDP .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	131	-	-	-
Coconino County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	131	-	-	-
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yarnell CDP, Yavapai County .....	2	5	1	1	634	4	7	1	1	8
Young CDP, Gila County .....	-	1	-	-	543	-	5	2	1	15
Youngtown town, Maricopa County .....	4	17	-	-	2 709	45	32	19	8	231
Yuma city, Yuma County .....	220	348	271	41	55 549	2 976	1 705	1 660	271	18 516

**Table 7. Households and Families: 2000**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total households	Family households					Nonfamily households			Average size		
		Total		Married-couple family		Female householder, no husband present		Householder living alone			House- holds	Families
		Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Total	Total	65 years and over		
											Number	Percent with own children under 18 years
<b>The State</b>	<b>1 901 327</b>	<b>1 287 367</b>	<b>47.2</b>	<b>986 303</b>	<b>43.5</b>	<b>210 781</b>	<b>61.4</b>	<b>613 960</b>	<b>472 006</b>	<b>162 822</b>	<b>2.64</b>	<b>3.18</b>
Apache County	19 971	15 266	57.3	9 839	57.9	4 280	57.0	4 705	4 224	1 371	3.41	4.04
Chinle CCD	5 550	4 249	62.1	2 506	65.0	1 402	59.0	1 301	1 200	339	3.69	4.41
Chinle CDP	1 358	1 077	66.6	578	67.3	413	68.3	281	248	34	3.84	4.43
Lukachukai CDP	423	326	58.3	204	66.7	96	42.7	90	37	33	3.70	4.41
Many Farms CDP	433	313	67.4	193	69.4	100	63.0	120	108	11	3.58	4.39
Nazlini CDP	101	77	59.7	35	65.7	36	58.3	24	23	7	3.89	4.68
Rough Rock CDP	113	89	64.0	61	70.5	21	52.4	24	22	5	4.15	4.97
Round Rock CDP	144	127	64.6	79	70.9	42	57.1	17	15	7	4.17	4.57
Tsailie CDP	244	200	67.5	123	67.5	67	71.6	44	41	9	3.98	4.57
Dennehotso CCD	450	354	61.9	224	64.7	110	56.4	96	88	43	4.02	4.77
Dennehotso CDP	168	142	66.9	93	67.7	41	63.4	26	25	9	4.37	4.92
Eagar-Springville CCD	2 566	1 943	49.5	1 614	45.1	241	70.5	623	541	244	2.75	3.18
Eagar town	1 344	1 074	57.2	880	53.9	153	70.6	270	232	98	2.99	3.38
Springerville town (part)	536	366	51.9	287	47.0	56	73.2	170	155	83	2.62	3.22
Fort Apache CDP	102	80	76.3	46	73.9	29	82.8	22	19	3	3.63	4.05
McNary CDP (part)	91	74	78.4	40	77.5	29	82.8	17	15	1	3.84	4.18
Fort Defiance CCD	6 859	5 268	59.0	3 014	63.2	1 801	54.2	1 591	1 441	404	3.55	4.20
Burnside CDP	173	135	62.2	66	66.7	58	62.1	38	37	6	3.65	4.35
Fort Defiance CDP	1 115	891	61.6	488	65.0	338	58.0	224	203	32	3.61	4.15
Ganado CDP	422	322	61.2	208	60.6	92	63.0	100	91	15	3.48	4.14
Houck CDP	312	234	62.8	118	67.8	88	58.0	78	70	16	3.48	4.19
St. Michaels CDP	306	248	62.1	150	68.7	79	50.6	58	40	12	3.96	4.48
Sawmill CDP	161	124	58.1	75	65.3	38	44.7	37	36	15	3.74	4.47
Steamboat CDP	56	50	62.0	28	60.7	18	66.7	6	6	2	4.16	4.50
Window Rock CDP	876	713	62.7	383	65.5	260	59.6	163	137	21	3.42	3.81
Puerco CCD	593	447	59.5	291	59.5	111	60.4	146	126	36	3.54	4.18
St. Johns CCD	2 263	1 699	46.8	1 392	43.6	216	62.0	564	475	184	2.80	3.27
St. Johns city	989	805	54.4	660	51.5	107	64.5	184	157	61	3.19	3.55
Springerville town (part)	217	133	51.1	94	43.6	28	67.9	84	72	28	2.37	3.08
Sweetwater CCD	1 588	1 226	57.0	752	62.5	370	47.8	362	334	118	3.56	4.24
Red Mesa CDP	78	53	66.0	30	70.0	20	60.0	25	23	4	3.04	3.94
Rock Point CDP	168	150	72.0	104	76.0	38	63.2	18	15	3	4.31	4.63
Tec Nos Pos CDP	227	182	62.1	98	65.3	70	60.0	45	38	13	3.52	4.02
Cochise County	43 893	30 786	45.6	24 193	40.8	4 857	64.0	13 107	11 110	4 416	2.55	3.07
Benson CCD	4 561	3 127	34.3	2 614	30.1	364	52.7	1 434	1 212	654	2.39	2.88
Benson city	2 084	1 347	29.3	1 073	23.2	191	49.2	737	625	363	2.22	2.72
St. David CDP	666	463	40.4	395	38.7	54	48.1	203	179	113	2.60	3.16
Bisbee CCD	9 561	6 649	42.3	5 389	38.2	907	59.8	2 912	2 431	882	2.49	2.99
Bisbee city	2 810	1 502	40.5	1 035	32.9	361	57.3	1 308	1 099	409	2.15	2.90
Naco CDP	260	202	59.4	132	59.8	53	56.6	58	50	24	3.20	3.71
Sierra Vista city (part)	813	687	45.9	607	43.5	59	66.1	126	105	38	2.73	2.98
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP (part)	4 403	3 418	41.8	2 934	38.6	323	61.0	985	806	288	2.63	2.98
Bowie CCD	957	632	34.0	533	30.6	68	57.4	325	294	142	2.37	2.97
Douglas CCD	6 010	4 586	56.6	3 059	55.1	1 227	60.9	1 424	1 265	619	3.08	3.61
Douglas city	4 526	3 452	56.0	2 223	54.0	1 017	60.5	1 472	959	507	3.07	3.59
Pirtleville CDP	454	362	54.1	262	56.9	72	50.0	92	85	39	3.41	3.91
Elfrida CCD	1 329	918	36.1	764	33.6	99	50.5	411	356	143	2.43	2.96
Sierra Vista CCD	17 633	12 177	48.5	9 652	42.5	1 853	72.7	5 456	4 568	1 459	2.47	2.97
Huachuca City town	713	433	51.5	316	43.7	88	73.9	280	234	82	2.43	3.13
Sierra Vista city (part)	13 383	9 310	49.9	7 419	43.9	1 415	75.2	4 073	3 463	1 058	2.46	2.96
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP (part)	989	742	48.1	594	42.8	113	69.0	247	183	70	2.73	3.11
Tombstone city	694	420	33.3	330	26.4	55	58.2	274	228	106	2.17	2.73
Whetstone CDP	904	664	44.6	517	38.7	97	59.8	240	187	62	2.60	3.02
Willcox CCD	3 842	2 697	41.1	2 182	37.4	339	56.6	1 145	984	517	2.56	3.06
Willcox city	1 383	947	50.1	714	46.9	169	59.8	436	380	182	2.65	3.25
Coconino County	40 448	26 946	52.4	20 108	48.7	4 937	64.1	13 502	8 926	1 839	2.80	3.36
Coconino CCD	27 852	17 437	50.9	13 408	46.2	2 867	68.4	10 415	6 408	1 179	2.57	3.08
Flagstaff city	19 306	11 604	54.6	8 487	49.8	2 247	70.0	7 702	4 478	730	2.59	3.13
Kachina Village CDP	1 021	659	51.9	535	47.1	83	72.3	362	204	21	2.61	3.08
Mountaineer CDP	417	246	53.3	195	49.7	34	64.7	171	111	17	2.43	3.04
Munds Park CDP	583	379	25.1	351	23.4	17	52.9	204	153	58	2.14	2.58
Parks CDP (part)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Sedona city (part)	1 537	869	18.2	754	14.9	75	36.0	668	513	206	1.93	2.38
Winslow West CDP (part)	30	30	86.7	8	87.5	19	84.2	-	-	-	4.37	3.93
Havasupai CCD	140	102	63.7	57	77.2	33	42.4	38	32	3	3.59	4.32
Supai CDP	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Hopi CCD	29	19	31.6	12	41.7	7	14.3	10	10	6	3.00	3.89
Hualapai CCD	1	1	-	1	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	2.00	2.00
Kaibab CCD	743	499	43.3	420	40.0	55	56.4	244	195	41	2.52	3.11
Fredonia town	359	288	47.2	235	44.3	36	58.3	71	57	19	2.89	3.25
Kaibab CDP (part)	1	1	100.0	1	100.0	-	(X)	-	-	-	5.00	5.00
Tuba City CCD	8 325	6 680	59.2	4 473	59.5	1 680	57.5	1 645	1 407	408	3.70	4.22
Bitter Springs CDP	104	98	77.6	60	73.3	27	85.2	6	5	2	5.26	5.34
Cameron CDP	236	195	53.8	113	57.5	67	43.3	41	37	10	4.14	4.61
Kaibito CDP	333	302	75.2	219	77.2	71	70.4	31	29	9	4.83	5.17
Lechee CDP	332	310	79.4	208	82.7	79	73.4	22	20	6	4.84	4.95
Leupp CDP	228	206	60.7	132	60.6	68	63.2	22	21	2	4.25	4.53
Moenkopi CDP	242	202	44.1	113	40.7	70	45.7	40	34	14	3.72	4.06
Page city	2 342	1 779	54.5	1 400	50.1	247	71.7	563	444	120	2.90	3.33
Tonalea CDP	123	104	65.4	66	72.7	29	51.7	19	18	3	4.57	5.13
Tuba City CDP	2 036	1 675	64.4	998	67.5	530	57.2	361	307	46	4.00	4.49
Williams CCD	3 358	2 208	45.7	1 737	41.7	295	64.7	1 150	874	202	2.49	3.02
Grand Canyon Village CDP	651	346	46.8	274	41.6	39	53.8	305	206	6	2.18	2.84
Parks CDP (part)	462	343	39.4	294	34.7	27	66.7	119	86	25	2.46	2.78
Tusayan CDP	222	102	58.8	67	67.2	20	70.0	120	73	4	2.37	3.38
Williams city	1 057	734	52.2	540	47.2	141	70.2	323	281	121	2.69	3.24
Gila County	20 140	14 090	37.7	11 103	32.4	2 174	58.2	6 050	5 203	2 473	2.50	2.99
Globe-Miami CCD	7 384	5 055	43.3	3 828	37.4	890	63.0	2 329	2 053	964	2.51	3.04
Central Heights-Midland City CDP	1 061	759	40.8	591	36.7	123	56.9	302	276	141	2.54	3.02
Claypool CDP	683	486	42.0	379	35.4	77	64.9					



Table 7. Households and Families: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Family households							Nonfamily households			Average size	
	Total		Married-couple family		Female householder, no husband present		Total	Householder living alone		House- holds	Families	
	Total households	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Number		Percent with own children under 18 years	Total			Total
							Number			Percent with own children under 18 years		
Gila County—Con.												
Globe-Miami CCD—Con.												
Globe city .....	2 814	1 870	46.3	1 387	40.8	358	62.8	944	847	370	2.49	3.09
Miami town .....	754	494	48.2	322	43.5	127	62.2	260	234	119	2.57	3.21
Top-of-the-World CDP (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Payson CCD .....	9 447	6 545	30.4	5 573	25.7	684	57.7	2 902	2 448	1 238	2.29	2.71
Payson town .....	5 832	4 068	31.1	3 418	26.3	464	57.8	1 764	1 516	851	2.30	2.71
Pine CDP .....	882	604	22.2	540	18.9	51	47.1	272	214	81	2.19	2.57
Strawberry CDP .....	491	355	20.6	317	17.4	24	45.8	136	120	57	2.09	2.44
Sun Valley CDP .....	677	421	39.2	346	33.5	52	63.5	256	217	102	2.27	2.86
Reservation CCD .....	1 579	1 310	58.6	751	62.5	454	54.2	269	223	46	3.92	4.30
Canyon Day CDP .....	271	227	61.7	125	68.0	86	53.5	44	42	6	4.03	4.42
Peridot CDP (part) .....	101	82	56.1	52	55.8	22	54.5	19	14	4	3.70	4.12
San Carlos CDP .....	921	754	59.9	425	64.0	271	55.7	167	133	25	4.00	4.42
Tonto CCD .....	1 196	775	25.3	663	22.8	71	40.8	421	361	174	2.12	2.59
Gisela CDP .....	225	161	32.9	134	29.9	14	64.3	64	56	29	2.36	2.81
Tonto Basin CDP .....	439	262	15.3	224	12.9	31	16.1	177	149	74	1.91	2.38
Young CDP .....	250	171	28.7	150	26.0	11	54.5	79	73	27	2.24	2.71
Winkelman CCD .....	534	405	40.5	288	37.5	75	46.7	129	118	51	2.93	3.38
Hayden town (part) .....	288	222	41.0	157	40.8	39	35.9	66	62	26	3.10	3.56
Winkelman town (part) .....	159	112	42.9	74	37.8	25	60.0	47	42	19	2.76	3.34
Graham County .....	10 116	7 614	51.8	5 789	48.7	1 360	62.3	2 502	2 111	997	2.99	3.47
Bonita-Klondyke CCD .....	287	201	37.3	185	35.7	11	63.6	86	72	29	2.44	2.96
Swift Trail Junction CDP (part) .....	96	61	41.0	55	36.4	5	80.0	35	29	13	2.33	2.95
Pima CCD .....	1 236	992	52.3	816	49.5	122	68.0	244	205	95	3.09	3.47
Pima town .....	663	521	54.1	420	52.6	70	60.0	142	122	68	3.00	3.43
Safford CCD .....	7 564	5 520	49.6	4 266	45.9	915	62.2	2 044	1 721	852	2.80	3.28
Safford city .....	3 331	2 395	49.7	1 743	45.0	501	61.1	936	832	433	2.70	3.22
Swift Trail Junction CDP (part) .....	403	289	49.8	229	41.9	39	87.2	114	94	34	2.62	3.09
Thatcher town .....	1 281	927	50.2	760	48.6	127	61.4	354	252	134	2.94	3.37
San Carlos CCD .....	1 029	901	68.0	522	74.5	312	60.3	128	113	21	4.47	4.75
Peridot CDP (part) .....	206	180	60.0	118	68.6	41	43.9	26	24	7	4.33	4.67
Greenlee County .....	3 117	2 266	53.9	1 818	51.0	279	65.2	851	764	228	2.73	3.26
Clifton CCD .....	1 981	1 458	57.2	1 173	54.6	171	67.3	523	476	108	2.80	3.32
Clifton town .....	919	686	55.4	527	52.4	96	62.5	234	204	75	2.80	3.27
Morenci CDP .....	672	455	67.5	381	66.7	41	75.6	217	207	5	2.80	3.55
Duncan CCD .....	1 136	808	48.0	645	44.7	108	62.0	328	288	120	2.62	3.15
Duncan town .....	294	207	59.9	136	54.4	52	69.2	87	76	38	2.76	3.33
La Paz County .....	8 362	5 616	31.6	4 535	25.2	686	62.1	2 746	2 228	1 080	2.32	2.79
Parker CCD .....	8 362	5 616	31.6	4 535	25.2	686	62.1	2 746	2 228	1 080	2.32	2.79
Bluewater CDP .....	337	221	33.0	175	24.6	34	70.6	116	100	58	2.17	2.62
Bouse CDP .....	320	207	10.6	184	7.1	16	50.0	113	97	71	1.92	2.33
Cibola CDP .....	65	40	47.5	35	45.7	4	75.0	25	18	8	2.65	3.33
Ehrenberg CDP .....	545	348	50.0	249	45.8	48	62.5	197	151	52	2.49	3.07
Parker town .....	1 064	792	55.8	549	51.2	166	69.3	272	219	89	2.93	3.38
Parker Strip CDP .....	1 589	953	27.0	811	20.7	79	67.1	636	499	179	2.08	2.57
Poston CDP .....	101	92	72.8	67	73.1	16	75.0	9	7	2	3.85	3.92
Quartzsite town .....	1 850	1 176	7.8	1 092	5.5	54	38.9	674	583	354	1.81	2.18
Salome CDP .....	780	502	25.3	448	20.5	27	77.8	278	221	122	2.17	2.63
Wenden CDP .....	207	150	39.3	120	35.8	17	64.7	57	45	36	2.69	3.15
Maricopa County .....	1 132 886	763 110	49.0	584 928	45.9	121 637	61.7	369 776	277 967	89 882	2.67	3.21
Buckeye CCD .....	5 744	4 460	52.0	3 456	50.0	624	62.5	1 284	1 017	340	3.09	3.49
Buckeye town (part) .....	2 134	1 610	56.8	1 126	53.6	337	68.5	524	441	161	3.02	3.47
Surprise city (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Chandler CCD .....	76 413	57 757	52.8	47 995	50.9	6 651	64.6	18 656	13 568	3 610	2.82	3.21
Chandler city (part) .....	53 303	39 071	56.6	31 168	54.9	5 425	66.3	14 232	10 111	1 966	2.84	3.27
Gilbert town (part) .....	8 578	7 235	61.2	6 423	60.8	532	64.3	1 343	847	96	3.09	3.34
Mesa city (part) .....	377	288	75.7	173	68.2	91	93.4	89	66	2	3.14	3.61
Phoenix city (part) .....	1	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	1	1	-	1.00	-
Queen Creek town (part) .....	1 172	1 043	58.0	904	59.2	84	52.4	129	86	29	3.58	3.79
Sun Lakes CDP .....	6 683	4 798	0.1	4 645	0.1	119	-	1 885	1 727	1 379	1.79	2.06
Tempe city (part) .....	2 890	2 427	57.6	2 178	57.4	175	59.4	463	346	59	2.99	3.28
Deer Valley CCD .....	53 711	42 274	47.7	37 442	45.8	3 249	63.6	11 437	8 469	2 324	2.72	3.05
Carefree town (part) .....	1 206	846	19.1	785	16.6	41	58.5	360	298	138	2.08	2.43
Cave Creek town .....	1 571	1 101	38.6	927	34.3	116	60.3	470	381	98	2.37	2.80
Glendale city (part) .....	15 995	12 826	54.2	11 312	52.8	997	66.5	3 169	2 228	337	2.88	3.21
New River CDP .....	3 921	3 066	45.1	2 701	43.1	220	57.3	855	600	113	2.73	3.04
Peoria city (part) .....	11 259	8 594	39.0	7 758	36.6	614	61.6	2 665	2 201	1 148	2.49	2.85
Phoenix city (part) .....	14 555	11 637	55.8	10 109	54.4	1 040	67.1	2 918	2 025	249	2.85	3.16
Scottsdale city (part) .....	1 882	1 512	23.6	1 429	22.7	55	40.0	370	290	97	2.32	2.57
Gila Bend CCD .....	2 758	2 238	51.3	1 866	49.1	250	65.6	520	395	115	3.07	3.40
Avondale city (part) .....	7	7	85.7	6	83.3	1	100.0	-	-	-	4.14	4.00
Buckeye town (part) .....	10	6	66.7	4	50.0	1	100.0	4	2	1	4.10	4.33
Gila Bend town .....	659	492	57.3	347	55.0	94	64.9	167	146	57	3.00	3.51
Goodyear city (part) .....	979	841	47.7	785	46.1	37	75.7	138	87	12	2.84	3.04
Phoenix CCD .....	961 155	632 823	49.9	472 853	46.9	109 040	61.6	328 632	246 295	77 468	2.67	3.25
Apache Junction city (part) .....	163	81	9.9	71	7.0	8	37.5	82	71	45	1.67	2.20
Avondale city (part) .....	10 633	8 717	58.3	6 691	57.9	1 353	60.7	1 916	1 369	328	3.36	3.66
Buckeye town (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Chandler city (part) .....	9 074	6 311	55.9	4 689	53.0	1 151	66.4	2 763	1 920	291	2.72	3.19
El Mirage city .....	2 121	1 738	59.5	1 172	58.7	375	65.9	383	276	79	3.59	3.87
Fountain Hills town .....	8 653	6 514	31.2	5 773	28.2	527	58.4	2 139	1 721	673	2.34	2.67
Gilbert town (part) .....	26 827	21 680	62.5	18 190	62.0	2 402	66.8	5 147	3 653	537	3.10	3.44
Glendale city (part) .....	59 705	41 558	55.9	29 165	52.6	8 655	65.6	18 147	13 879	4 028	2.84	3.37
Goodyear city (part) .....	5 200	4 147	39.1	3 607	35.3	358	65.9	1 053	783	214	2.65	2.92
Guadalupe town .....	1 110	961	50.8	544	50.7	303	55.4	149	106	42	4.70	4.88
Litchfield Park city .....	1 508	1 165	37.2	1 007	34.4	109	58.7	343	295	164	2.51	2.86
Mesa city (part) .....	146 266	99 568	48.9	77 094	45.5	15 457	63.2	46 698	35 453	13 316	2.67	3.20
Paradise Valley town .....	5 034	4 163	40.3	3 832	39.8	217	44.2	871	685	347	2.71	2.98
Peoria city (part) .....	20 724	16 524	55.2	16 524	53.2	2 944	63.8	7 219	5 848	2 869	2.82	3.29
Phoenix city (part) .....	27 923	20 706	54.1	208 407	51.7	58 909	62.7	155 672	116 396	29 000	2.79	3.39

Table 7. Households and Families: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Family households							Nonfamily households			Average size	
	Total		Married-couple family		Female householder, no husband present		Total	Householder living alone		House- holds	Families	
	Total households	Percent with own children under 18 years	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years		Total	Total			65 years and over
							Number			Number	Number	
Maricopa County—Con.												
Phoenix CCD—Con.												
Rio Verde CDP (part)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	
Scottsdale city (part)	88 295	38.3	43 123	35.0	6 767	55.2	35 773	27 558	8 718	2.22	2.80	
Sun City CDP	23 490	0.6	11 638	0.5	700	2.0	10 967	10 368	9 255	1.60	2.07	
Surprise city (part)	4 764	45.6	3 042	43.2	425	58.8	1 050	770	229	2.98	3.32	
Tempe city (part)	60 712	45.3	22 226	42.6	5 980	57.5	29 485	17 763	2 864	2.38	3.03	
Tolleson city	1 432	48.9	754	50.3	284	47.5	281	227	102	3.47	3.83	
Youngtown town	1 641	17.0	583	13.2	131	29.0	895	825	601	1.74	2.48	
St. Johns CCD	629	60.1	148	62.2	290	63.4	103	85	20	4.22	4.51	
Phoenix city (part)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	
Salt River CCD	2 192	50.3	817	43.8	576	58.3	626	547	274	3.27	3.90	
Mesa city (part)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	
Tempe city (part)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	
Tonto CCD	1 919	14.2	1 514	12.8	19	42.1	354	272	132	2.15	2.33	
Carefree town (part)	183	24.0	139	21.6	3	33.3	33	26	10	2.30	2.51	
Rio Verde CDP (part)	761	0.2	630	0.2	3	-	126	118	99	1.86	2.02	
Scottsdale city (part)	492	12.0	420	11.7	1	100.0	68	39	8	2.18	2.29	
Wickenburg CCD	28 365	11.9	18 837	9.7	938	41.9	8 164	7 319	5 599	1.99	2.32	
Buckeye town (part)	14	50.0	8	50.0	1	-	4	4	-	2.50	3.10	
Peoria city (part)	1	100.0	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	2.00	2.00	
Sun City West CDP	14 997	-	10 060	-	226	0.4	4 640	4 360	3 977	1.74	2.04	
Surprise city (part)	7 720	16.5	5 637	14.4	249	45.4	1 711	1 459	886	2.14	2.40	
Wickenburg town	2 341	33.4	1 164	27.6	192	60.4	909	791	429	2.15	2.72	
Mohave County	62 809	36.4	34 585	30.5	5 838	60.1	19 437	15 140	7 077	2.45	2.87	
Kingman North CCD	20 510	38.3	11 000	32.7	2 039	58.6	6 497	5 240	2 407	2.44	2.90	
Bullhead City city (part)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	
Dolan Springs CDP	912	22.7	440	18.2	42	35.7	384	329	196	2.05	2.61	
Golden Valley CDP	1 822	32.9	1 040	28.3	166	53.6	534	411	166	2.48	2.87	
Kingman city	7 854	43.6	4 287	38.3	794	63.4	2 426	2 001	919	2.47	2.94	
New Kingman-Butler CDP	5 895	41.3	2 942	34.7	716	59.9	1 917	1 485	741	2.51	2.96	
Peach Springs CDP	166	57.1	64	51.6	59	62.7	26	21	6	3.61	3.83	
Kingman South CCD	40 962	34.2	22 690	27.9	3 690	60.6	12 695	9 698	4 612	2.38	2.77	
Arizona Village CDP	83	77.0	25	80.0	40	72.5	6	6	-	4.23	4.25	
Bullhead City city (part)	13 909	37.7	6 833	29.8	1 538	63.5	4 797	3 521	1 562	2.42	2.86	
Desert Hills CDP	997	26.1	555	19.8	75	56.0	319	251	128	2.19	2.54	
Lake Havasu City city	17 911	31.7	10 636	26.4	1 385	58.1	5 189	4 090	2 113	2.32	2.69	
Mesquite Creek CDP	106	2.7	68	2.9	3	-	33	27	19	1.93	2.23	
Mohave Valley CDP	5 217	40.1	3 099	34.5	473	62.4	1 366	992	425	2.61	2.94	
Mojave Ranch Estates CDP	6	100.0	5	100.0	1	100.0	-	-	-	4.67	4.67	
Willow Valley CDP	274	20.7	160	15.6	20	35.0	81	66	36	2.14	2.48	
Mohave North CCD	1 337	68.2	895	67.8	109	69.7	245	202	58	4.65	5.22	
Colorado City town	444	88.3	381	89.8	16	62.5	26	18	5	7.51	7.58	
Kaibab CDP (part)	87	66.7	38	60.5	25	72.0	18	17	2	3.10	3.51	
Navajo County	30 043	52.7	16 666	49.9	4 907	60.2	6 974	5 992	2 157	3.17	3.68	
Apache CCD	2 582	66.5	1 168	70.1	810	64.4	430	349	141	4.04	4.41	
Cibecue CDP	323	66.0	135	71.9	110	61.8	55	44	9	4.11	4.45	
East Fork CDP	209	50.9	87	63.2	62	37.1	40	34	3	4.21	4.64	
McNary CDP (part)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	
Whitewater CDP	1 249	66.9	538	69.0	428	67.5	195	160	16	4.10	4.45	
Hopi CCD	1 950	52.2	830	51.1	545	55.4	464	425	127	3.50	4.11	
First Mesa CDP	294	51.0	137	57.7	95	42.1	43	34	8	3.82	4.10	
Hotevilla-Bacavi CDP	246	47.0	101	43.6	71	54.9	65	60	22	3.12	3.72	
Jeddito CDP	99	74.7	45	66.7	30	93.3	17	17	1	3.94	4.49	
Keams Canyon CDP	74	53.3	24	50.0	15	60.0	29	25	-	2.72	3.56	
Kykotsmvi Village CDP	253	44.9	106	42.5	60	53.3	68	64	26	3.07	3.69	
Second Mesa CDP	209	56.5	94	57.4	70	54.3	39	36	17	3.89	4.39	
Shongopovi CDP	163	50.4	57	33.3	67	64.2	28	27	10	3.88	4.27	
Indian Wells CCD	1 844	53.7	931	59.0	435	45.1	344	320	113	3.91	4.47	
Dilkon CDP	298	69.1	149	73.2	93	59.1	33	30	6	4.24	4.50	
Greasewood CDP	126	57.9	68	61.8	28	50.0	19	17	3	4.12	4.55	
Little Colorado CCD	5 424	55.8	2 859	51.6	851	65.5	1 428	1 222	464	2.91	3.43	
Holbrook city	1 626	55.3	850	52.8	266	60.2	431	368	110	2.93	3.47	
Winslow city	2 754	55.8	1 382	50.7	442	66.1	763	652	279	2.86	3.40	
Winslow West CDP (part)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	
Pinon CCD	2 040	61.9	983	67.2	493	55.6	430	418	148	3.96	4.68	
Pinon CDP	296	71.7	158	75.9	74	62.2	56	55	6	4.02	4.69	
Snowflake CCD	13 473	44.8	8 501	41.1	1 143	64.3	3 349	2 781	1 138	2.71	3.14	
Heber-Overgaard CDP	1 154	32.0	720	27.6	57	68.4	345	280	140	2.36	2.79	
Pinetop-Lakeside town	1 436	42.7	843	37.8	117	62.4	415	343	122	2.48	2.92	
Show Low city	2 885	47.4	1 678	42.7	321	64.8	768	632	274	2.64	3.07	
Snowflake town	1 312	57.0	912	56.8	120	61.7	242	207	88	3.37	3.81	
Taylor town	946	59.8	649	57.9	86	69.8	174	160	69	3.36	3.81	
Western CCD	2 730	62.7	1 394	64.4	630	58.4	529	477	126	3.83	4.38	
Chilchinbito CDP	111	58.4	59	61.0	20	55.0	22	21	5	4.16	4.90	
Kayenta CDP	1 245	70.0	639	70.7	318	67.9	209	182	23	3.95	4.39	
Ojito-Monument Valley CDP	37	66.7	18	77.8	12	50.0	4	4	1	4.19	4.52	
Shonto CDP	149	66.1	80	66.3	29	62.1	31	27	6	3.81	4.40	
Pima County	332 350	45.8	158 471	41.3	39 217	60.4	120 258	94 798	31 271	2.47	3.06	
Ajo CCD	1 756	30.1	902	23.1	183	53.6	607	529	293	2.22	2.73	
Ajo CDP	1 659	30.1	852	22.9	176	54.0	571	499	283	2.23	2.74	
Arivaca CCD	20 215	31.7	11 963	26.9	1 886	54.4	5 683	4 918	3 150	2.49	2.93	
Drexel Heights CDP (part)	4 059	57.1	2 459	55.6	619	61.1	748	560	143	3.29	3.62	
East Sahuarita CDP (part)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	
Green Valley CDP (part)	9 701	2.4	5 900	1.7	253	16.2	3 488	3 201	2 639	1.72	2.07	
Sahuarita town (part)	1 144	41.7	806	40.2	77	53.2	226	176	58	2.77	3.09	
Three Points CDP (part)	1 565	52.2	902	50.6	170	58.2	398	294	75	3.00	3.47	
Valencia West CDP	676	62.7	384	61.5	117	68.4	127	102	27	3.52	3.91	
Marana CCD	8 931	47.9	5 212	44.2	984	60.8	2 221	1 717	501	2.82	3.21	
Avra Valley CDP	1 714	49.7	994	45.1	206	63.6	402	305	97	2.94	3.30	
Marana town (part)	523	44.5	266	40.6	96	54.2	125	100	41	2.85	3.22	
Picture Rocks CDP (part)	2 883	51.1	1 708	46.8	305	67.5	701	531	120	2.82	3.20	

Table 7. Households and Families: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total households	Family households						Nonfamily households			Average size	
		Total		Married-couple family		Female householder, no husband present		Householder living alone			House- holds	Families
		Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Total	Total	65 years and over		
											Number	Percent with own children under 18 years
Pima County—Con.												
Marana CCD—Con.												
Three Points CDP (part)	207	138	52.9	106	50.9	23	60.9	69	57	16	2.76	3.41
Tucson Estates CDP (part)	2 466	1 844	42.2	1 472	39.0	239	52.7	622	504	174	2.73	3.13
Papago CCD	1 997	1 524	49.3	526	47.0	731	51.0	473	387	102	3.73	4.25
Pisinemo CDP	70	48	52.1	16	68.8	22	45.5	22	20	6	3.39	4.13
Santa Rosa CDP	132	97	44.3	37	54.1	38	34.2	35	29	12	3.32	3.86
Sells CDP	690	566	51.6	179	44.7	302	55.3	124	98	13	3.99	4.33
Tucson CCD	299 451	188 177	46.9	139 868	42.6	35 433	60.9	111 274	87 247	27 225	2.45	3.06
Casas Adobes CDP	22 066	14 724	44.6	11 984	41.4	2 035	58.3	7 342	5 944	2 253	2.40	2.93
Catalina CDP	2 567	1 899	45.3	1 493	42.2	265	57.7	668	522	211	2.67	3.05
Catalina Foothills CDP	23 948	15 594	37.7	13 712	34.8	1 340	60.4	8 354	6 906	2 205	2.23	2.76
Corona de Tucson CDP	376	281	23.8	256	21.9	16	50.0	95	80	32	2.16	2.48
Drexel-Alvernon CDP	1 328	988	53.3	683	51.0	221	59.3	340	255	63	3.16	3.65
Drexel Heights CDP (part)	3 446	2 676	47.0	2 026	43.0	455	60.0	770	604	216	3.03	3.42
East Sahuarita CDP (part)	472	376	53.7	287	51.6	59	67.8	96	71	22	3.01	3.35
Flowing Wells CDP	6 250	3 853	45.6	2 642	39.4	876	57.6	2 397	1 978	922	2.41	3.02
Green Valley CDP (part)	294	81	1.2	80	1.3	1	-	213	210	210	1.34	2.09
Littletown CDP	313	244	52.9	172	55.2	50	46.0	69	54	13	3.23	3.66
Marana town (part)	4 421	3 427	47.1	3 000	44.3	312	65.4	994	750	171	2.63	2.97
Oro Valley town	12 249	9 380	35.3	8 549	32.9	602	62.5	2 869	2 381	1 000	2.41	2.76
Picture Rocks CDP (part)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Sahuarita town (part)	11	10	30.0	9	22.2	1	100.0	1	1	1	3.18	3.40
South Tucson city	1 810	1 125	53.6	632	53.6	371	55.5	685	577	203	2.94	3.83
Summit CDP	1 144	612	61.2	636	60.4	153	67.3	272	211	68	3.24	3.68
Tanque Verde CDP	5 810	4 905	41.8	4 434	40.6	318	52.5	905	708	233	2.77	3.01
Tortolita CDP	1 332	1 058	48.4	909	46.9	107	54.2	274	205	53	2.81	3.15
Tucson city	192 891	112 515	49.7	76 546	45.1	26 678	61.4	80 376	62 287	17 963	2.42	3.12
Tucson Estates CDP (part)	1 756	1 040	3.2	945	1.8	73	17.8	716	640	495	1.73	2.15
Vail CDP	842	675	53.9	583	51.3	57	68.4	167	118	15	2.95	3.25
Pinal County	61 364	45 211	40.4	34 909	34.3	7 060	61.7	16 153	12 921	5 646	2.68	3.09
Casa Grande CCD	12 171	8 987	49.1	6 567	42.7	1 683	66.3	3 184	2 564	1 075	2.82	3.24
Casa Grande city (part)	8 912	6 538	50.5	4 658	43.3	1 350	68.2	2 374	1 935	797	2.80	3.24
Coolidge CCD	3 903	2 961	49.8	2 048	44.2	662	63.4	942	763	333	2.99	3.41
Coolidge city	2 585	1 938	51.4	1 262	45.4	498	62.0	647	538	256	3.00	3.44
Eloy CCD	4 983	3 912	51.7	2 822	46.5	753	67.3	1 071	839	341	3.11	3.46
Arizona City CDP	1 770	1 370	35.8	1 149	29.8	141	68.8	400	316	145	2.47	2.76
Casa Grande city (part)	8	6	16.7	4	25.0	1	-	2	1	-	2.50	2.83
Eloy city	2 492	1 989	62.7	1 231	61.2	545	67.7	503	386	156	3.57	3.94
Florence CCD	3 597	2 515	37.3	1 974	31.7	383	58.5	1 082	883	395	2.49	2.95
Florence town	2 226	1 540	34.6	1 192	27.2	270	59.6	686	578	282	2.35	2.80
Gila River CCD	2 063	1 711	58.2	665	59.4	778	58.1	352	284	81	3.96	4.25
Blackwater CDP	135	111	58.6	46	52.2	46	60.9	24	18	6	3.73	3.98
Sacaton CDP	378	304	50.7	99	50.5	156	49.4	74	58	22	3.80	4.12
Santan CDP	163	136	61.0	54	68.5	58	60.3	27	26	10	3.99	4.30
Maricopa-Stanfield CCD	2 647	2 009	51.2	1 483	50.0	318	54.1	638	467	114	3.20	3.62
Ak-Chin Village CDP	197	158	62.0	78	66.7	57	59.6	39	34	3	3.40	3.81
Maricopa CDP	292	227	59.9	155	57.4	43	65.1	65	40	9	3.56	3.85
Stanfield CDP	187	146	47.9	84	52.4	44	43.2	41	32	17	3.48	3.94
North Pinal CCD	25 051	17 541	32.6	14 617	27.2	1 932	59.0	7 510	5 953	2 787	2.38	2.79
Apache Junction city (part)	13 612	8 925	33.9	7 210	27.8	1 159	59.3	4 687	3 670	1 752	2.30	2.76
Gold Camp CDP	2 785	2 212	16.4	2 054	13.1	97	60.8	573	448	178	2.16	2.38
Hayden town (part)	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Kearny town	791	616	44.6	514	41.6	73	56.2	175	151	84	2.84	3.25
Queen Creek town (part)	46	31	41.9	21	33.3	4	75.0	15	12	5	2.59	3.13
Queen Valley CDP	417	296	7.8	280	6.4	11	36.4	121	103	64	1.97	2.26
Superior town	1 237	847	39.3	598	32.6	177	56.5	390	339	186	2.63	3.20
Top-of-the-World CDP (part)	130	83	49.4	65	44.6	13	69.2	47	41	14	2.45	3.07
Papago CCD	179	150	50.7	64	53.1	56	51.8	29	26	8	4.14	4.51
Chuichu CDP	84	74	50.0	31	51.6	30	53.3	10	9	-	4.04	4.26
San Manuel CCD	6 770	5 425	29.9	4 669	25.0	495	59.8	1 345	1 142	512	2.53	2.81
Dudleyville CDP	454	346	48.0	256	43.8	56	58.9	108	91	35	2.91	3.35
Mammoth town	562	440	49.8	309	43.0	90	67.8	122	104	55	3.14	3.54
Oracle CDP	1 384	1 005	43.4	789	39.5	144	54.9	379	324	103	2.57	3.03
San Manuel CDP	1 458	1 204	52.2	966	48.9	155	63.9	254	218	98	3.00	3.31
Winkelman town (part)	1	1	100.0	1	100.0	-	(X)	-	-	-	4.00	4.00
Santa Cruz County	11 809	9 511	56.6	7 237	56.4	1 823	58.0	2 298	1 950	839	3.23	3.66
Nogales CCD	10 561	8 683	59.0	6 525	59.4	1 741	58.2	1 878	1 598	700	3.35	3.75
Amado CDP	104	67	46.3	56	48.2	9	33.3	37	29	12	2.64	3.39
Nogales city	5 985	4 937	58.1	3 367	59.8	1 309	55.5	1 048	901	457	3.45	3.86
Rio Rico Northeast CDP	1 013	837	58.5	723	58.1	83	62.7	176	145	40	3.12	3.47
Rio Rico Northwest CDP	784	660	77.0	514	75.5	109	83.5	124	97	5	3.68	4.07
Rio Rico Southeast CDP	415	386	73.6	332	74.1	34	70.6	29	23	4	3.83	3.96
Rio Rico Southwest CDP	726	675	71.4	590	71.7	61	68.9	51	38	10	3.83	3.97
Tubac CDP	481	304	19.7	285	17.5	14	57.1	177	157	101	1.97	2.45
Tumacacori-Carmen CDP	223	152	38.8	118	36.4	22	31.8	71	62	22	2.55	3.13
Patagonia CCD	1 248	828	32.0	712	28.5	82	52.4	420	352	139	2.23	2.71
Elgin CDP	123	87	40.2	79	35.4	5	80.0	37	30	4	2.51	3.00
Patagonia town	404	239	38.5	190	35.3	36	52.8	165	142	62	2.18	2.85
Sonoita CDP	358	265	27.9	237	27.0	21	38.1	93	77	30	2.31	2.65
Yavapai County	70 171	46 754	35.7	38 563	30.7	5 683	59.6	23 417	18 703	8 728	2.33	2.79
Ashfork CCD	774	519	39.5	422	33.9	47	80.9	255	209	79	2.44	2.94
Ash Fork CDP	149	110	48.2	81	45.7	10	100.0	39	33	15	3.07	3.45
Seligman CDP	205	122	49.2	92	41.3	22	72.7	83	75	34	2.22	2.91
Congress CCD	3 934	2 519	24.6	2 173	20.9	217	45.6	1 415	1 180	551	2.13	2.60
Black Canyon City CDP (part)	1 241	772	29.0	639	24.1	85	49.4	469	383	171	2.17	2.64
Congress CDP	821	580	17.9	521	14.6	33	51.5	241	201	114	2.09	2.43
Cordes Lakes CDP (part)	4	4	50.0	4	50.0	-	(X)	-	-	-	3.25	3.25
Mayer CDP (part)	2	2	-	2	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	2.50	2.50
Peoples Valley CDP	176	128	19.5	113	19.5	11	-	48	40	21	2.13	2.42
Peoria city (part)	1	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	1.00	-
Spring Valley CDP	427	283	26.5	242	24.0	27	37.0					

Table 7. Households and Families: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total households	Family households						Nonfamily households			Average size	
		Total		Married-couple family		Female householder, no husband present		Total	Householder living alone		House- holds	Families
		Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years		Total	Total		
Yavapai County—Con.												
Congress CCD—Con.												
Wilhoit CDP .....	298	187	27.3	164	23.8	20	50.0	111	96	48	2.23	2.81
Yarnell CDP .....	359	188	20.2	150	12.0	22	54.5	171	147	63	1.80	2.35
Humboldt CCD .....	2 160	1 502	33.6	1 247	30.2	160	51.3	658	525	246	2.42	2.85
Black Canyon City CDP (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Camp Verde town (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Cordes Lakes CDP (part) .....	862	579	29.4	472	25.2	68	54.4	283	230	114	2.33	2.77
Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part) .....	395	317	29.3	282	27.3	23	43.5	78	59	30	2.57	2.82
Mayer CDP (part) .....	578	373	39.7	296	35.1	48	50.0	205	160	78	2.41	2.95
Mingus Mountain CCD .....	9 997	6 711	39.6	5 382	34.2	951	62.3	3 286	2 706	1 393	2.39	2.88
Camp Verde town (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Clarkdale town .....	1 433	995	32.7	834	28.4	102	55.9	438	362	200	2.39	2.82
Cottonwood city (part) .....	3 983	2 369	42.6	1 773	35.3	432	65.0	1 614	1 370	762	2.27	2.90
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP (part) .....	3 725	2 726	40.7	2 243	36.8	359	59.3	999	794	383	2.53	2.92
Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part) .....	38	28	35.7	21	19.0	5	80.0	10	8	7	2.47	2.86
Jerome town .....	182	84	36.9	53	17.0	18	72.2	98	76	15	1.81	2.37
Prescott Valley town (part) .....	166	145	26.9	133	24.8	7	71.4	21	16	6	2.43	2.57
Prescott CCD .....	39 683	26 723	36.7	22 114	31.8	3 234	60.3	12 960	10 252	4 694	2.35	2.80
Bagdad CDP .....	568	449	54.1	372	51.1	41	61.0	119	102	6	2.78	3.15
Chino Valley town .....	3 030	2 171	45.4	1 735	41.0	313	62.9	859	698	335	2.58	3.01
Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part) .....	2 362	1 678	21.9	1 481	18.1	121	46.3	684	577	352	2.19	2.54
Mayer CDP (part) .....	5	4	25.0	4	25.0	-	(X)	1	1	-	2.40	2.75
Paulden CDP .....	1 144	925	49.7	771	46.3	81	71.6	219	159	36	2.99	3.28
Prescott city .....	15 098	8 968	30.4	7 351	24.3	1 191	58.4	6 130	4 850	2 302	2.11	2.62
Prescott Valley town (part) .....	8 798	6 486	45.4	5 201	40.7	916	64.5	2 312	1 760	795	2.61	2.97
Williamson CDP .....	1 558	1 267	25.7	1 166	23.3	69	58.0	291	221	80	2.42	2.65
Verde CCD .....	13 623	8 780	32.9	7 225	27.4	1 074	58.1	4 843	3 831	1 765	2.27	2.72
Big Park CDP .....	2 560	1 603	22.7	1 415	18.9	139	51.1	957	792	437	2.01	2.44
Camp Verde town (part) .....	3 611	2 537	39.0	2 018	34.0	353	58.6	1 074	879	425	2.52	2.97
Cornville CDP .....	1 311	895	42.0	710	35.5	117	68.4	416	309	86	2.47	2.89
Cottonwood city (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP (part) .....	346	263	36.9	208	32.7	38	50.0	83	65	24	2.55	2.86
Lake Montezuma CDP .....	1 471	939	32.3	760	26.6	125	56.8	532	412	175	2.27	2.73
Sedona city (part) .....	3 391	1 994	31.0	1 641	24.9	248	58.1	1 397	1 074	496	2.12	2.58
Yuma County .....	53 848	41 664	47.7	33 559	43.3	6 043	67.9	12 184	9 969	4 818	2.86	3.27
Somerton CCD .....	6 999	6 383	66.8	4 921	67.6	1 160	68.3	616	499	215	3.98	4.16
Gadsden CDP .....	236	206	69.9	159	71.7	36	72.2	30	28	10	4.04	4.39
San Luis city .....	3 018	2 876	74.8	2 176	76.4	569	74.9	142	119	44	4.31	4.38
Somerton city .....	1 818	1 652	65.4	1 289	68.0	296	56.8	166	141	77	3.99	4.21
Yuma city (part) .....	2	2	100.0	2	100.0	-	(X)	-	-	-	5.50	5.50
Wellton CCD .....	2 411	1 872	45.8	1 613	43.2	144	61.8	539	429	193	2.87	3.26
Tacna CDP .....	194	150	48.0	128	44.5	14	71.4	44	35	17	2.86	3.25
Wellton town .....	700	552	32.6	486	28.2	43	62.8	148	124	75	2.61	2.95
Yuma city (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Yuma CCD .....	44 438	33 409	44.1	27 025	38.9	4 739	68.0	11 029	9 041	4 410	2.69	3.10
Fortuna Foothills CDP .....	9 652	7 363	15.6	6 862	12.9	341	51.0	2 289	1 854	1 201	2.11	2.37
Yuma city (part) .....	26 647	19 616	52.8	15 079	48.2	3 480	69.7	7 031	5 778	2 621	2.79	3.27

**Table 8. Households and Families: 2000**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Family households						Nonfamily households				Average size	
	Total			Married-couple family		Female householder, no husband present		Householder living alone			House- holds	Families
	Total households	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Total	Total	65 years and over		
<b>The State</b> .....	<b>1 901 327</b>	<b>1 287 367</b>	<b>47.2</b>	<b>986 303</b>	<b>43.5</b>	<b>210 781</b>	<b>61.4</b>	<b>613 960</b>	<b>472 006</b>	<b>162 822</b>	<b>2.64</b>	<b>3.18</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>												
Apache County .....	19 971	15 266	57.3	9 839	57.9	4 280	57.0	4 705	4 224	1 371	3.41	4.04
Cochise County .....	43 893	30 786	45.6	24 193	40.8	4 857	64.0	13 107	11 110	4 416	2.55	3.07
Coconino County .....	40 448	26 946	52.4	20 108	48.7	4 937	64.1	13 502	8 926	1 839	2.80	3.36
Gila County .....	20 140	14 090	37.7	11 103	32.4	2 174	58.2	6 050	5 203	2 473	2.50	2.99
Graham County .....	10 116	7 614	51.8	5 789	48.7	1 360	62.3	2 502	2 111	997	2.99	3.47
Greenlee County .....	3 117	2 266	53.9	1 818	51.0	279	65.2	851	764	228	2.73	3.26
La Paz County .....	8 362	5 616	31.6	4 535	25.2	686	62.1	2 746	2 228	1 080	2.32	2.79
Maricopa County .....	1 132 886	763 110	49.0	584 928	45.9	121 637	61.7	369 776	277 967	89 882	2.67	3.21
Mohave County .....	62 809	43 372	36.4	34 585	30.5	5 838	60.1	19 437	15 140	7 077	2.45	2.87
Navajo County .....	30 043	23 069	52.7	16 666	49.9	4 907	60.2	6 974	5 992	2 157	3.17	3.68
Pima County .....	332 350	212 092	45.8	158 471	41.3	39 217	60.4	120 258	94 798	31 271	2.47	3.06
Pinal County .....	61 364	45 211	40.4	34 909	34.3	7 060	61.7	16 153	12 921	5 646	2.68	3.09
Santa Cruz County .....	11 809	9 511	56.6	7 237	56.4	1 823	58.0	2 298	1 950	839	3.23	3.66
Yavapai County .....	70 171	46 754	35.7	38 563	30.7	5 683	59.6	23 417	18 703	8 728	2.33	2.79
Yuma County .....	53 848	41 664	47.7	33 559	43.3	6 043	67.9	12 184	9 969	4 818	2.86	3.27
<b>PLACE</b>												
Ajo CDP, Pima County .....	1 659	1 088	30.1	852	22.9	176	54.0	571	499	283	2.23	2.74
Ak-Chin Village CDP, Pinal County .....	197	158	62.0	78	66.7	57	59.6	39	34	3	3.40	3.81
Amado CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	104	67	46.3	56	48.2	9	33.3	37	29	12	2.64	3.39
Apache Junction city .....	13 775	9 006	33.7	7 281	27.6	1 167	59.1	4 769	3 741	1 797	2.29	2.75
Maricopa County (part) .....	163	81	9.9	71	7.0	8	37.5	82	71	45	1.67	2.20
Pinal County (part) .....	13 612	8 925	33.9	7 210	27.8	1 159	59.3	4 687	3 670	1 752	2.30	2.76
Arizona City CDP, Pinal County .....	1 770	1 370	35.8	1 149	29.8	141	68.8	400	316	145	2.47	2.76
Arizona Village CDP, Mohave County .....	83	77	74.0	25	80.0	40	72.5	6	6	-	4.23	4.25
Ash Fork CDP, Yavapai County .....	149	110	48.2	81	45.7	10	100.0	39	33	15	3.07	3.45
Avondale city, Maricopa County .....	10 640	8 724	58.4	6 697	57.9	1 354	60.7	1 916	1 369	328	3.36	3.66
Avra Valley CDP, Pima County .....	1 714	1 312	49.7	994	45.1	206	63.6	402	305	97	2.94	3.30
Bagdad CDP, Yavapai County .....	568	449	54.1	372	51.1	41	61.0	119	102	6	2.78	3.15
Benson city, Cochise County .....	2 084	1 347	29.3	1 073	23.2	191	49.2	737	625	363	2.22	2.72
Big Park CDP, Yavapai County .....	2 560	1 603	22.7	1 415	18.9	139	51.1	957	792	437	2.01	2.44
Bisbee city, Cochise County .....	2 810	1 502	40.5	1 035	32.9	361	57.3	1 308	1 099	409	2.15	2.90
Bitter Springs CDP, Coconino County .....	104	98	77.6	60	73.3	27	85.2	6	5	2	5.26	5.34
Black Canyon City CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 241	772	29.0	639	24.1	85	49.4	469	383	171	2.17	2.64
Blackwater CDP, Pinal County .....	135	111	58.6	46	52.2	46	60.9	24	18	6	3.73	3.98
Bluewater CDP, La Paz County .....	337	221	33.0	175	24.6	34	70.6	116	100	58	2.17	2.62
Bouse CDP, La Paz County .....	320	207	10.6	184	7.1	16	50.0	113	97	71	1.92	2.33
Buckeye town, Maricopa County .....	2 158	1 626	56.8	1 138	53.8	339	68.4	532	447	162	3.03	3.47
Bullhead City city, Mohave County .....	13 909	9 112	37.7	6 833	29.6	1 538	63.5	4 797	3 521	1 562	2.42	2.86
Burnside CDP, Apache County .....	173	135	62.2	66	66.7	58	62.1	38	37	6	3.65	4.35
Cameron CDP, Coconino County .....	236	195	53.8	113	57.5	67	43.3	41	37	10	4.14	4.61
Camp Verde town, Yavapai County .....	3 611	2 537	39.0	2 018	34.0	353	58.6	1 074	879	425	2.52	2.97
Canyon Day CDP, Gila County .....	271	227	61.7	125	68.0	86	53.5	44	42	6	4.03	4.42
Carefree town, Maricopa County .....	1 389	996	19.9	924	17.3	44	56.8	393	324	148	2.11	2.44
Casa Grande city, Pinal County .....	8 920	6 544	50.5	4 662	43.3	1 351	68.2	2 376	1 936	797	2.80	3.24
Casas Adobes CDP, Pima County .....	22 066	14 724	44.6	11 984	41.4	2 035	58.3	7 342	5 944	2 253	2.40	2.93
Catalina CDP, Pima County .....	2 567	1 899	45.3	1 493	42.2	265	57.7	668	522	211	2.67	3.05
Catalina Foothills CDP, Pima County .....	23 948	15 594	37.7	13 712	34.8	1 340	60.4	8 354	6 906	2 205	2.23	2.76
Cave Creek town, Maricopa County .....	1 571	1 101	38.6	927	34.3	116	60.3	4 370	381	98	2.37	2.80
Central Heights-Midland City CDP, Gila County .....	1 061	759	40.8	591	36.7	123	56.9	302	276	141	2.54	3.02
Chandler city, Maricopa County .....	62 377	45 382	56.5	35 857	54.7	6 576	66.3	16 995	12 031	2 257	2.82	3.26
Chilchinbito CDP, Navajo County .....	111	89	58.4	59	61.0	20	55.0	22	21	5	4.16	4.90
Chinle CDP, Apache County .....	1 358	1 077	66.6	578	67.3	413	68.3	281	248	34	3.84	4.43
Chino Valley town, Yavapai County .....	3 030	2 171	45.4	1 735	41.0	313	62.9	859	698	335	2.58	3.01
Chuichu CDP, Pinal County .....	84	74	50.0	31	51.6	30	53.3	10	9	-	4.04	4.26
Cibecue CDP, Navajo County .....	323	268	66.0	135	71.9	110	61.8	55	44	9	4.11	4.45
Cibola CDP, La Paz County .....	65	40	47.5	35	45.7	4	75.0	25	18	8	2.65	3.33
Clarkdale town, Yavapai County .....	1 433	995	32.7	834	28.4	102	55.9	438	362	200	2.39	2.82
Claypool CDP, Gila County .....	683	486	42.0	379	35.4	77	64.9	197	168	90	2.63	3.14
Clifton town, Greenlee County .....	919	686	55.4	527	52.4	96	62.5	233	204	75	2.80	3.27
Colorado City town, Mohave County .....	444	418	88.3	381	89.8	16	62.5	26	18	5	7.51	7.58
Congress CDP, Yavapai County .....	821	580	17.9	521	14.6	33	51.5	241	201	114	2.09	2.43
Coolidge city, Pinal County .....	2 585	1 938	51.4	1 262	45.4	498	62.0	647	538	256	3.00	3.44
Cordes Lakes CDP, Yavapai County .....	866	583	29.5	476	25.4	68	54.4	283	230	114	2.33	2.77
Corvillie CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 311	895	42.0	710	35.5	117	68.4	416	309	86	2.47	2.89
Corona de Tucson CDP, Pima County .....	376	281	23.8	256	21.9	16	50.0	95	80	32	2.16	2.48
Cottonwood city, Yavapai County .....	3 983	2 369	42.6	1 773	35.3	432	65.0	1 614	1 370	762	2.27	2.90
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP, Yavapai County .....	4 071	2 989	40.3	2 451	36.4	397	58.4	1 082	859	407	2.53	2.92
Dennehotso CDP, Apache County .....	168	142	66.9	93	67.7	41	63.4	26	25	9	4.37	4.92
Desert Hills CDP, Mohave County .....	997	678	26.1	555	19.8	75	56.0	319	251	128	2.19	2.54
Dewey-Humboldt CDP, Yavapai County .....	2 795	2 023	23.2	1 784	19.6	149	47.0	772	644	389	2.25	2.59
Diikon CDP, Navajo County .....	298	265	69.1	149	73.2	93	59.1	33	30	6	4.24	4.50
Dolan Springs CDP, Mohave County .....	912	528	22.7	440	18.2	42	35.7	384	329	196	2.05	2.61
Douglas city, Cochise County .....	4 526	3 452	56.0	2 223	54.0	1 017	60.5	1 074	959	507	3.07	3.59
Drexel-Alvernon CDP, Pima County .....	1 328	988	53.3	683	51.0	221	59.3	340	255	63	3.16	3.65
Drexel Heights CDP, Pima County .....	7 505	5 987	52.6	4 485	49.9	1 074	60.6	1 518	1 164	359	3.17	3.53
Dudleyville CDP, Pinal County .....	454	346	48.0	256	43.8	56	58.9	108	91	35	2.91	3.35
Duncan town, Greenlee County .....	294	207	59.9	136	54.4	52	69.2	87	76	38	2.76	3.33
Eagar town, Apache County .....	1 344	1 074	57.2	880	53.9	153	70.6	270	232	98	2.99	3.38
East Fork CDP, Navajo County .....	209	169	50.9	87	63.2	62	37.1	40	34	3	4.21	4.64
East Sahuarita CDP, Pima County .....	472	376	53.7	287	51.6	59	67.8	96	71	22	3.01	3.35
Ehrenberg CDP, La Paz County .....	545	348	50.0	249	45.8	48	62.5	197	151	52	2.49	3.07

Table 8. Households and Families: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Family households								Nonfamily households			Average size	
	Total			Married-couple family		Female householder, no husband present			Householder living alone			House- holds	Families
	Total households	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Total	Total	65 years and over			
											Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Number
PLACE—Con.													
Elgin CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	123	87	40.2	79	35.4	5	80.0	36	30	4	2.51	3.00	
El Mirage city, Maricopa County .....	2 121	1 738	59.5	1 172	58.7	375	65.9	383	276	79	3.59	3.87	
Eloy city, Pinal County .....	2 492	1 989	62.7	1 231	61.2	545	67.7	503	386	156	3.57	3.94	
First Mesa CDP, Navajo County .....	294	251	51.0	137	57.7	95	42.1	43	34	8	3.82	4.10	
Flagstaff city, Coconino County .....	19 306	11 604	54.6	8 487	49.8	2 247	70.0	7 702	4 478	730	2.59	3.13	
Florence town, Pinal County .....	2 226	1 540	34.6	1 192	27.2	270	59.6	686	578	282	2.35	2.80	
Flowing Wells CDP, Pima County .....	6 250	3 853	45.6	2 642	39.4	876	57.6	2 397	1 978	922	2.41	3.02	
Fort Defiance CDP, Apache County .....	1 115	891	61.6	488	65.0	338	58.0	224	203	32	3.61	4.15	
Fortuna Foothills CDP, Yuma County .....	9 652	7 363	15.6	6 862	12.9	341	51.0	2 289	1 854	1 201	2.11	2.37	
Fountain Hills town, Maricopa County .....	8 653	6 514	31.2	5 773	28.2	527	58.4	2 139	1 721	673	2.34	2.67	
Fredonia town, Coconino County .....	359	288	47.2	235	44.3	36	58.3	71	57	19	2.89	3.25	
Gadsden CDP, Yuma County .....	236	206	69.9	159	71.7	36	72.2	30	28	10	4.04	4.39	
Ganado CDP, Apache County .....	422	322	61.2	208	60.6	92	63.0	100	91	15	3.48	4.14	
Gila Bend town, Maricopa County .....	659	492	57.3	347	55.0	94	64.9	167	146	57	3.00	3.51	
Gilbert town, Maricopa County .....	35 405	28 915	62.2	24 613	61.7	2 934	66.4	6 490	4 500	633	3.10	3.42	
Gisela CDP, Gila County .....	225	161	32.9	134	29.9	14	64.3	64	56	29	2.36	2.81	
Glendale city, Maricopa County .....	75 700	54 384	55.5	40 477	52.6	9 652	65.7	21 316	16 107	4 365	2.85	3.33	
Globe city, Gila County .....	2 814	1 870	46.3	1 387	40.8	358	62.8	944	847	370	2.49	3.09	
Gold Camp CDP, Pinal County .....	2 785	2 212	16.4	2 054	13.1	97	60.8	478	448	178	2.16	2.38	
Golden Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	1 822	1 288	32.9	1 040	28.3	166	53.6	534	411	166	2.48	2.87	
Goodyear city, Maricopa County .....	6 179	4 988	40.6	4 392	37.3	395	66.8	1 191	870	226	2.68	2.94	
Grand Canyon Village CDP, Coconino County .....	651	346	46.8	274	41.6	39	53.8	305	206	6	2.18	2.84	
Greasewood CDP, Navajo County .....	126	107	57.9	68	61.8	28	50.0	19	17	3	4.12	4.55	
Green Valley CDP, Pima County .....	9 995	6 294	2.4	5 980	1.7	254	16.1	3 701	3 411	2 849	1.71	2.07	
Guadalupe town, Maricopa County .....	1 110	961	50.8	544	50.7	303	55.4	1 301	106	42	4.70	4.88	
Hayden town .....	288	222	41.0	157	40.8	39	35.9	66	62	26	3.10	3.56	
Gila County (part) .....	288	222	41.0	157	40.8	39	35.9	66	62	26	3.10	3.56	
Pinal County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	
Heber-Overgaard CDP, Navajo County .....	1 154	809	32.0	720	27.6	57	68.4	345	280	140	2.36	2.79	
Holbrook city, Navajo County .....	1 626	1 195	55.3	850	52.8	266	60.2	431	368	110	2.93	3.47	
Hotevilla-Bacavi CDP, Navajo County .....	246	181	47.0	101	43.6	71	54.9	65	60	22	3.12	3.72	
Houck CDP, Apache County .....	312	234	62.8	118	67.8	88	58.0	78	70	16	3.48	4.19	
Huachuca City town, Cochise County .....	713	433	51.5	316	43.7	88	73.9	280	234	82	2.43	3.13	
Jeddito CDP, Navajo County .....	99	79	74.7	45	66.3	30	93.3	20	17	1	3.94	4.49	
Jerome town, Yavapai County .....	182	84	36.9	53	17.0	18	72.2	98	76	15	1.81	2.37	
Kachina Village CDP, Coconino County .....	1 021	659	51.9	535	47.1	83	72.3	362	204	21	2.61	3.08	
Kaibab CDP .....	88	70	67.1	39	61.5	25	72.0	18	17	2	3.13	3.53	
Coconino County (part) .....	1	1	100.0	1	100.0	-	(X)	-	-	-	5.00	5.00	
Mohave County (part) .....	87	69	66.7	38	60.5	25	72.0	18	17	2	3.10	3.51	
Kaibito CDP, Coconino County .....	333	302	75.2	219	77.2	71	70.4	31	29	9	4.83	5.17	
Kayenta CDP, Navajo County .....	1 245	1 036	70.0	639	70.7	318	67.9	209	182	23	3.95	4.39	
Keams Canyon CDP, Navajo County .....	74	45	53.3	24	50.0	15	60.0	29	25	-	2.72	3.56	
Kearny town, Pinal County .....	791	616	44.6	514	41.6	73	56.2	175	151	84	2.84	3.25	
Kingman city, Mohave County .....	7 854	5 428	43.6	4 287	38.3	794	63.4	2 426	2 001	919	2.47	2.94	
Kykotsmovi Village CDP, Navajo County .....	253	185	44.9	106	42.5	60	53.3	68	64	26	3.07	3.69	
Lake Havasu City city, Mohave County .....	17 911	12 722	31.7	10 636	26.4	1 385	58.1	5 189	4 090	2 113	2.32	2.69	
Lake Montezuma CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 471	939	32.3	760	26.6	125	56.8	532	412	175	2.27	2.73	
Lechee CDP, Coconino County .....	332	310	79.4	208	82.7	79	73.4	22	20	6	4.84	4.95	
Leupp CDP, Coconino County .....	228	206	60.7	132	60.6	68	63.2	22	21	2	4.25	4.53	
Litchfield Park city, Maricopa County .....	1 508	1 165	37.2	1 007	34.4	109	58.7	343	295	164	2.51	2.86	
Littleton CDP, Pima County .....	313	244	52.9	172	55.2	50	46.0	69	54	13	3.23	3.66	
Lukachukai CDP, Apache County .....	423	326	58.3	204	66.7	96	42.7	97	90	33	3.70	4.41	
McNary CDP .....	91	74	78.4	40	77.5	29	82.8	17	15	1	3.84	4.18	
Apache County (part) .....	91	74	78.4	40	77.5	29	82.8	17	15	1	3.84	4.18	
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	
Mammoth town, Pinal County .....	562	440	49.8	309	43.0	90	67.8	122	104	55	3.14	3.54	
Many Farms CDP, Apache County .....	433	313	67.4	193	69.4	100	63.0	120	108	11	3.58	4.39	
Marana town, Pima County .....	4 944	3 825	46.8	3 266	44.0	408	62.7	1 119	850	212	2.66	3.00	
Maricopa CDP, Pinal County .....	292	227	59.9	155	57.4	43	65.1	65	40	9	3.56	3.85	
Mayer CDP, Yavapai County .....	585	379	39.3	302	34.8	48	50.0	206	161	78	2.41	2.94	
Mesa city, Maricopa County .....	146 643	99 856	49.0	77 267	45.6	15 548	63.3	46 787	35 519	13 318	2.68	3.20	
Mesquite Creek CDP, Mohave County .....	106	73	2.7	68	2.9	3	-	33	27	19	1.93	2.23	
Miami town, Gila County .....	754	494	48.2	322	43.5	127	62.2	260	234	119	2.57	3.21	
Moenkopi CDP, Coconino County .....	242	202	44.1	113	40.7	70	45.7	40	34	14	3.72	4.06	
Mohave Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	5 217	3 851	40.1	3 099	34.5	473	62.4	1 366	992	425	2.61	2.94	
Mojave Ranch Estates CDP, Mohave County .....	6	6	100.0	5	100.0	1	100.0	-	-	-	4.67	4.67	
Morenci CDP, Greenlee County .....	672	455	67.5	381	66.7	41	75.6	117	107	5	2.80	3.55	
Mountaintain CDP, Coconino County .....	417	246	53.3	195	49.7	34	64.7	217	171	17	2.43	3.04	
Munds Park CDP, Coconino County .....	583	379	25.1	351	23.4	17	52.9	204	153	58	2.14	2.58	
Naco CDP, Cochise County .....	260	202	59.4	132	59.8	53	56.6	58	50	24	3.20	3.71	
Nazlini CDP, Apache County .....	101	77	59.7	35	65.7	36	58.3	24	23	7	3.89	4.68	
New Kingman-Butler CDP, Mohave County .....	5 895	3 978	41.3	2 942	34.7	716	59.9	1 917	1 485	741	2.51	2.96	
New River CDP, Maricopa County .....	3 921	3 066	45.1	2 701	43.1	220	57.3	855	600	113	2.73	3.04	
Nogales city, Santa Cruz County .....	5 985	4 937	58.1	3 367	59.8	1 309	55.5	1 048	901	457	3.45	3.86	
Oljato-Monument Valley CDP, Navajo County .....	37	33	66.7	18	77.8	12	50.0	4	4	1	4.19	4.52	
Oracle CDP, Pinal County .....	1 384	1 005	43.4	789	39.5	144	54.9	379	324	103	2.57	3.03	
Oro Valley town, Pima County .....	12 249	9 380	35.3	8 549	32.9	602	62.5	2 869	2 381	1 000	2.41	2.76	
Page city, Coconino County .....	2 342	1 779	54.5	1 400	50.1	247	71.7	563	444	120	2.90	3.33	
Paradise Valley town, Maricopa County .....	5 034	4 163	40.3	3 832	39.8	217	44.2	871	685	347	2.71	2.98	
Parker town, La Paz County .....	1 064	792	55.8	549	51.2	166	69.3	272	219	89	2.93	3.38	
Parker Strip CDP, La Paz County .....	1 589	953	27.0	811	20.7	79	67.1	636	499	179	2.08	2.57	
Parks CDP, Coconino County .....	462	343	39.4	294	34.7	27	66.7	119	86	25	2.46	2.78	

Table 8. Households and Families: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Family households								Nonfamily households			Average size	
	Total		Married-couple family		Female householder, no husband present		Total	Householder living alone		House- holds	Families		
	Total households	Percent with own children under 18 years	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years		Total	Total			65 years and over	
							Number			18 years	Number		18 years
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>													
Patagonia town, Santa Cruz County .....	404	239	38.5	190	35.3	36	52.8	165	142	62	2.18	2.85	
Paulden CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 144	925	49.7	771	46.3	81	71.6	219	159	36	2.99	3.28	
Payson town, Gila County .....	5 832	4 068	31.1	3 418	26.3	464	57.8	1 764	1 516	851	2.30	2.71	
Peach Springs CDP, Mohave County .....	166	140	57.1	64	51.6	59	62.7	26	21	6	3.61	3.83	
Peoples Valley CDP, Yavapai County .....	176	128	19.5	113	19.5	11	-	48	40	21	2.13	2.42	
Peoria city .....	39 184	29 299	50.5	24 282	47.9	3 558	63.4	9 885	8 050	4 018	2.73	3.16	
Maricopa County (part) .....	39 183	29 299	50.5	24 282	47.9	3 558	63.4	9 884	8 049	4 017	2.73	3.16	
Yavapai County (part) .....	1	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	1	1	1	1.00	-	
Peridot CDP .....	307	262	58.8	170	64.7	63	47.6	45	38	11	4.12	4.50	
Gila County (part) .....	101	82	56.1	52	55.8	22	54.5	19	14	4	3.70	4.12	
Graham County (part) .....	206	180	60.0	118	68.6	41	43.9	26	24	7	4.33	4.67	
Phoenix city, Maricopa County .....	465 834	307 243	54.1	218 516	51.8	59 949	62.8	158 591	118 422	29 249	2.79	3.39	
Picture Rocks CDP, Pima County .....	2 883	2 182	51.1	1 708	46.8	305	67.5	701	531	120	2.82	3.20	
Pima town, Graham County .....	663	521	54.1	420	52.6	70	60.0	142	122	68	3.00	3.43	
Pine CDP, Gila County .....	882	604	22.2	540	18.9	51	47.1	278	214	81	2.19	2.57	
Pinetop-Lakeside town, Navajo County .....	1 436	1 021	42.7	843	37.8	117	62.4	415	343	122	2.48	2.92	
Pinon CDP, Navajo County .....	296	240	71.7	158	75.9	74	62.2	56	55	6	4.02	4.69	
Pirtleville CDP, Cochise County .....	454	362	54.1	262	56.9	72	50.0	92	85	39	3.41	3.91	
Pisinemo CDP, Pima County .....	70	48	52.1	16	68.8	22	45.5	2	20	6	3.39	4.13	
Poston CDP, La Paz County .....	101	92	72.8	67	73.1	7	75.0	9	7	2	3.85	3.92	
Prescott city, Yavapai County .....	15 098	8 968	30.4	7 351	24.3	1 191	58.4	6 130	4 850	2 302	2.11	2.62	
Prescott Valley town, Yavapai County .....	8 964	6 631	45.0	5 334	40.3	923	64.6	2 333	1 776	801	2.60	2.96	
Quartzsite town, La Paz County .....	1 850	1 176	7.8	1 092	5.5	54	38.9	674	583	354	1.81	2.18	
Queen Creek town .....	1 218	1 074	57.5	925	58.6	88	53.4	144	98	34	3.54	3.77	
Maricopa County (part) .....	1 172	1 043	58.0	925	59.2	84	52.4	129	86	29	3.58	3.79	
Pinal County (part) .....	46	31	41.9	21	33.3	4	75.0	15	12	5	2.59	3.13	
Queen Valley CDP, Pinal County .....	417	296	7.8	280	6.4	11	36.4	121	103	64	1.97	2.26	
Red Mesa CDP, Apache County .....	78	53	66.0	30	70.0	20	60.0	25	23	4	3.04	3.94	
Rio Rico Northeast CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	1 013	837	58.5	723	58.1	83	62.7	176	145	40	3.12	3.47	
Rio Rico Northwest CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	784	660	77.0	514	75.5	109	83.5	124	97	5	3.68	4.07	
Rio Rico Southeast CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	415	386	73.6	332	74.1	34	70.6	29	23	4	3.83	3.96	
Rio Rico Southwest CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	726	675	71.4	590	71.7	61	68.9	51	38	10	3.83	3.97	
Rio Verde CDP, Maricopa County .....	761	635	0.2	630	0.2	3	-	126	118	99	1.86	2.02	
Rock Point CDP, Apache County .....	168	150	72.0	104	76.0	38	63.2	18	15	3	4.31	4.63	
Rough Rock CDP, Apache County .....	113	89	64.0	61	70.5	21	52.4	24	22	5	4.15	4.97	
Round Rock CDP, Apache County .....	144	127	64.6	79	70.9	42	57.1	17	15	7	4.17	4.57	
Sacaton CDP, Pinal County .....	378	304	50.7	99	50.5	156	49.4	74	58	22	3.80	4.12	
Safford city, Graham County .....	3 331	2 395	49.7	1 743	45.0	501	61.1	936	832	433	2.70	3.21	
Sahuarita town, Pima County .....	1 155	928	41.6	815	40.0	78	53.8	227	177	59	2.78	3.09	
St. David CDP, Cochise County .....	666	463	40.4	395	38.7	54	48.1	203	179	113	2.60	3.16	
St. Johns city, Apache County .....	989	805	54.4	660	51.5	107	64.5	184	157	61	3.19	3.55	
St. Michaels CDP, Apache County .....	306	248	62.1	150	68.7	79	50.6	58	40	12	3.96	4.48	
Salome CDP, La Paz County .....	780	502	25.3	448	20.5	27	77.8	278	221	122	2.17	2.63	
San Carlos CDP, Gila County .....	921	754	59.9	425	64.0	271	55.7	167	133	25	4.00	4.42	
San Luis city, Yuma County .....	3 018	2 876	74.8	2 176	74.4	569	74.9	142	119	44	4.31	4.38	
San Manuel CDP, Pinal County .....	1 458	1 204	52.2	966	48.9	155	63.9	254	218	98	3.00	3.31	
Santan CDP, Pinal County .....	163	136	61.0	54	68.5	58	60.3	27	26	10	3.99	4.30	
Santa Rosa CDP, Pima County .....	132	97	44.3	37	54.1	38	34.2	35	29	12	3.32	3.86	
Sawmill CDP, Apache County .....	161	124	58.1	75	65.3	38	44.7	37	36	15	3.74	4.47	
Scottsdale city, Maricopa County .....	90 669	54 458	37.7	44 972	34.4	6 823	55.1	36 211	27 887	8 823	2.22	2.79	
Second Mesa CDP, Navajo County .....	209	170	56.5	94	57.4	70	54.3	39	36	17	3.89	4.39	
Sedona city .....	4 928	2 863	27.1	2 395	21.7	323	52.9	2 065	1 587	702	2.06	2.52	
Coconino County (part) .....	1 537	869	18.2	754	14.9	75	36.0	668	513	206	1.93	2.38	
Yavapai County (part) .....	3 391	1 994	31.0	1 641	24.9	248	58.1	1 397	1 074	496	2.12	2.58	
Seligman CDP, Yavapai County .....	205	122	49.2	92	41.3	22	72.7	83	75	34	2.22	2.91	
Sells CDP, Pima County .....	690	566	51.6	179	44.7	302	53.3	124	98	13	3.99	4.33	
Shongopovi CDP, Navajo County .....	163	135	50.4	57	33.3	67	64.2	28	27	10	3.88	4.27	
Shonto CDP, Navajo County .....	149	118	66.1	80	66.3	29	62.1	31	27	6	3.81	4.40	
Show Low city, Navajo County .....	2 885	2 117	47.4	1 678	42.7	321	64.8	768	632	274	2.64	3.07	
Sierra Vista city, Cochise County .....	14 196	9 997	49.6	8 026	43.9	1 474	74.8	4 199	3 568	1 096	2.48	2.96	
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP, Cochise County .....	5 392	4 160	42.9	3 528	39.3	436	63.1	1 232	989	358	2.65	3.01	
Snowflake town, Navajo County .....	1 312	1 070	57.0	912	56.8	120	61.7	242	207	88	3.37	3.81	
Somerton city, Yuma County .....	1 818	1 652	65.4	1 289	68.0	296	56.8	166	141	77	3.99	4.21	
Sonoita CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	358	265	27.9	237	27.0	21	38.1	93	77	30	2.31	2.65	
South Tucson city, Pima County .....	1 810	1 125	53.6	632	53.6	371	55.5	685	577	203	2.94	3.83	
Springerville town, Apache County .....	753	499	51.7	381	46.2	84	71.4	245	227	111	2.55	3.18	
Spring Valley CDP, Yavapai County .....	427	283	26.5	242	24.0	27	37.0	144	120	67	2.28	2.79	
Stanfield CDP, Pinal County .....	187	146	47.9	84	52.4	44	43.2	41	32	17	3.48	3.94	
Steamboat CDP, Apache County .....	56	50	62.0	28	60.7	18	66.7	6	6	2	4.16	4.50	
Strawberry CDP, Gila County .....	491	355	20.6	317	17.4	24	45.8	136	120	57	2.09	2.44	
Summit CDP, Pima County .....	1 144	872	61.2	636	60.4	153	67.3	272	211	68	3.24	3.68	
Sun City CDP, Maricopa County .....	23 490	12 523	0.6	11 638	0.5	700	2.0	10 967	10 368	9 255	1.60	2.07	
Sun City West CDP, Maricopa County .....	14 997	10 357	-	10 060	-	226	0.4	4 640	4 360	3 977	1.74	2.04	
Sun Lakes CDP, Maricopa County .....	6 683	4 798	0.1	4 645	0.1	119	-	1 885	1 727	1 379	1.79	2.06	
Sun Valley CDP, Gila County .....	677	421	39.2	346	33.5	52	63.5	256	217	102	2.27	2.86	
Supai CDP, Coconino County .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	
Superior town, Pinal County .....	1 237	847	39.3	598	32.6	177	56.5	390	339	186	2.63	3.20	
Surprise city, Maricopa County .....	12 484	9 723	27.6	8 679	24.5	674	53.9	2 761	2 229	1 115	2.46	2.75	
Swift Trail Junction CDP, Graham County .....	499	350	48.3	284	40.8	44	86.4	149	123	47	2.56	3.07	
Tacna CDP, Yuma County .....	194	150	48.0	128	44.5	14	71.4	44	35	17	2.86	3.25	
Tanque Verde CDP, Pima County .....	5 810	4 905	41.8	4 434	40.6	318	52.5	905	708	233	2.77	3.01	
Taylor town, Navajo County .....	946	772	59.8	649	57.9	86	69.8	174	160	69	3.36	3.81	

Table 8. **Households and Families: 2000—Con.**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Total households	Family households						Nonfamily households			Average size	
		Total		Married-couple family		Female householder, no husband present		Total	Householder living alone		House- holds	Families
		Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years		Total	Total		
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>												
Teec Nos Pos CDP, Apache County .....	227	182	62.1	98	65.3	70	60.0	45	38	13	3.52	4.02
Tempe city, Maricopa County .....	63 602	33 654	46.2	24 404	43.9	6 155	57.5	29 948	18 109	2 923	2.41	3.05
Thatcher town, Graham County .....	1 281	927	50.2	760	48.6	127	61.4	354	252	134	2.94	3.37
Three Points CDP, Pima County .....	1 772	1 305	52.3	1 008	50.6	193	58.5	467	351	91	2.98	3.46
Tolleson city, Maricopa County .....	1 432	1 151	48.9	754	50.3	284	47.5	281	227	102	3.47	3.83
Tombstone city, Cochise County .....	694	420	33.3	330	26.4	55	58.2	274	228	106	2.17	2.73
Tonalea CDP, Coconino County .....	123	104	65.4	66	72.7	29	51.7	19	18	3	4.57	5.13
Tonto Basin CDP, Gila County .....	439	262	15.3	224	12.9	31	16.1	177	149	74	1.91	2.38
Top-of-the-World CDP .....	130	83	49.4	65	44.6	13	69.2	47	41	14	2.45	3.07
Gila County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Pinal County (part) .....	130	83	49.4	65	44.6	13	69.2	47	41	14	2.45	3.07
Tortolita CDP, Pima County .....	1 332	1 058	48.4	909	46.9	107	54.2	274	205	53	2.81	3.15
Tsalle CDP, Apache County .....	244	200	67.5	123	67.5	67	71.6	44	41	9	3.98	4.57
Tubac CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	481	304	19.7	285	17.5	14	57.1	177	157	101	1.97	2.45
Tuba City CDP, Coconino County .....	2 036	1 675	64.4	998	67.5	530	57.2	361	307	46	4.00	4.49
Tucson city, Pima County .....	192 891	112 515	49.7	76 546	45.1	26 678	61.4	80 376	62 287	17 963	2.42	3.12
Tucson Estates CDP, Pima County .....	4 222	2 884	28.2	2 417	24.5	312	44.6	1 338	1 144	669	2.31	2.77
Tumacacori-Carmen CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	223	152	38.8	118	36.4	22	31.8	71	62	22	2.55	3.13
Tusayan CDP, Coconino County .....	222	102	58.8	67	67.2	20	70.0	120	73	4	2.37	3.38
Vail CDP, Pima County .....	842	675	53.9	583	51.3	57	68.4	167	118	15	2.95	3.25
Valencia West CDP, Pima County .....	676	549	62.7	384	61.5	117	68.4	127	102	27	3.52	3.91
Wellton town, Yuma County .....	700	552	32.6	486	28.2	43	62.8	148	124	75	2.61	2.95
Wenden CDP, La Paz County .....	207	150	39.3	120	35.8	17	64.7	57	45	36	2.69	3.15
Whetstone CDP, Cochise County .....	904	664	44.6	517	38.7	97	59.8	240	187	62	2.60	3.02
Whiteriver CDP, Navajo County .....	1 249	1 054	66.9	538	69.0	428	67.5	195	160	16	4.10	4.45
Wickenburg town, Maricopa County .....	2 341	1 432	33.4	1 164	27.6	192	60.4	909	791	429	2.15	2.72
Wilhoit CDP, Yavapai County .....	298	187	27.3	164	23.8	20	50.0	111	96	48	2.23	2.81
Willcox city, Cochise County .....	1 383	947	50.1	714	46.9	169	59.8	436	380	182	2.65	3.25
Williams city, Coconino County .....	1 057	734	52.2	540	47.2	141	70.2	323	281	121	2.69	3.24
Williamson CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 558	1 267	25.7	1 166	23.3	69	58.0	291	221	80	2.42	2.65
Willow Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	274	193	20.7	160	15.6	20	35.0	81	66	36	2.14	2.48
Window Rock CDP, Apache County .....	876	713	62.7	383	65.5	260	59.6	163	137	21	3.42	3.81
Winkelman town .....	160	113	43.4	75	38.7	25	60.0	47	42	19	2.77	3.35
Gila County (part) .....	159	112	42.9	74	37.8	25	60.0	47	42	19	2.76	3.34
Pinal County (part) .....	1	1	100.0	1	100.0	-	(X)	-	-	-	4.00	4.00
Winslow city, Navajo County .....	2 754	1 991	55.8	1 382	50.7	442	66.1	763	652	279	2.86	3.40
Winslow West CDP .....	30	30	86.7	8	87.5	19	84.2	-	-	-	4.37	3.93
Coconino County (part) .....	30	30	86.7	8	87.5	19	84.2	-	-	-	4.37	3.93
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Yarnell CDP, Yavapai County .....	359	188	20.2	150	12.0	22	54.5	171	147	63	1.80	2.35
Young CDP, Gila County .....	250	171	28.7	150	26.0	11	54.5	79	73	27	2.24	2.71
Youngtown town, Maricopa County .....	1 641	746	17.0	583	13.2	131	29.0	895	825	601	1.74	2.48
Yuma city, Yuma County .....	26 649	19 618	52.8	15 081	48.2	3 480	69.7	7 031	5 778	2 621	2.79	3.27



**Table 9. Household Relationship and Group Quarters Population: 2000**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Household population							Group quarters population			
	Total	Householder	Relationship to householder					Under 18 years, child and other relatives	Total	Institutionalized population	Non-institutionalized population
			Spouse	Child	Other relatives	Non-relatives					
<b>The State</b> .....	<b>5 020 782</b>	<b>1 901 327</b>	<b>986 303</b>	<b>1 496 034</b>	<b>319 414</b>	<b>317 704</b>	<b>1 330 220</b>	<b>109 850</b>	<b>63 768</b>	<b>46 082</b>	
Apache County .....	68 150	19 971	9 839	28 949	7 627	1 764	26 407	1 273	851	422	
Chinle CCD .....	20 487	5 550	2 506	9 441	2 539	451	8 663	440	124	316	
Chinle CDP .....	5 209	1 358	578	2 424	693	156	2 333	157	92	65	
Lukachukai CDP .....	1 565	423	204	702	207	29	638	-	-	-	
Many Farms CDP .....	1 548	433	193	728	141	53	647	-	-	-	
Nazlini CDP .....	393	101	35	185	63	9	182	4	-	4	
Rough Rock CDP .....	469	113	61	198	94	3	201	-	-	-	
Round Rock CDP .....	601	144	79	292	83	3	265	-	-	-	
Tsaile CDP .....	970	244	123	456	135	12	414	108	2	106	
Dennehotso CCD .....	1 809	450	224	856	253	26	767	-	-	-	
Dennehotso CDP .....	734	168	93	369	94	10	327	-	-	-	
Eagar-Springerville CCD .....	7 053	2 566	1 614	2 377	253	243	2 189	64	64	-	
Eagar town .....	4 024	1 344	880	1 546	128	126	1 424	9	9	-	
Springerville town (part) .....	1 402	536	287	455	69	55	417	55	55	-	
Fort Apache CCD .....	370	102	46	167	31	24	169	-	-	-	
McNary CDP (part) .....	349	91	40	164	31	23	166	-	-	-	
Fort Defiance CCD .....	24 333	6 859	3 014	10 644	3 207	609	9 559	301	196	105	
Burnside CDP .....	632	173	66	308	78	7	283	-	-	-	
Fort Defiance CDP .....	4 027	1 115	488	1 824	494	106	1 602	34	22	12	
Ganado CDP .....	1 470	422	208	640	164	36	580	35	34	1	
Houck CDP .....	1 087	312	118	493	136	28	457	-	-	-	
St. Michaels CDP .....	1 213	306	150	545	168	44	489	82	40	42	
Sawmill CDP .....	602	161	75	260	95	11	246	10	-	10	
Steamboat CDP .....	233	56	28	118	29	2	93	-	-	-	
Window Rock CDP .....	2 993	876	383	1 242	378	114	1 092	66	32	34	
Puerco CCD .....	2 097	593	291	890	242	81	856	1	-	1	
St. Johns CCD .....	6 344	2 263	1 392	2 112	349	228	1 960	467	467	-	
St. Johns city .....	3 151	989	660	1 214	181	107	1 137	118	118	-	
Springerville town (part) .....	515	217	94	154	28	22	145	-	-	-	
Sweetwater CCD .....	5 657	1 588	752	2 462	753	102	2 244	-	-	-	
Red Mesa CDP .....	237	78	30	98	28	3	89	-	-	-	
Rock Point CDP .....	724	168	104	371	70	11	353	-	-	-	
Tec Nos Pos CDP .....	799	227	98	361	90	23	330	-	-	-	
Cochise County .....	112 088	43 893	24 193	33 681	5 796	4 525	30 141	5 667	2 895	2 772	
Benson CCD .....	10 906	4 561	2 614	2 817	462	452	2 471	106	70	36	
Benson city .....	4 620	2 084	1 073	1 037	211	215	884	91	64	27	
St. David CDP .....	1 729	666	395	541	66	61	479	15	6	9	
Bisbee CCD .....	23 760	9 561	5 389	6 662	1 172	976	5 924	275	175	100	
Bisbee city .....	6 029	2 810	1 035	1 463	351	370	1 284	61	20	41	
Naco CDP .....	833	260	132	318	97	26	320	-	-	-	
Sierra Vista city (part) .....	2 222	813	607	678	75	49	617	-	-	-	
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP (part) .....	11 597	4 403	2 934	3 349	499	412	2 988	50	5	45	
Bowie CCD .....	2 272	957	533	560	150	72	516	2	-	2	
Douglas CCD .....	18 526	6 010	3 059	7 217	1 684	556	6 363	611	437	174	
Douglas city .....	13 876	4 526	2 223	5 410	1 320	397	4 741	436	388	48	
Pirtleville CDP .....	1 550	454	262	616	177	41	551	-	-	-	
Elfrida CCD .....	3 234	1 329	764	865	173	103	771	1 995	1 921	74	
Sierra Vista CCD .....	43 554	17 633	9 652	12 764	1 575	1 930	11 587	2 598	265	2 333	
Huachuca City town .....	1 734	713	316	527	80	98	467	17	-	17	
Sierra Vista city (part) .....	32 978	13 383	7 419	9 758	1 076	1 342	8 915	2 575	259	2 316	
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP (part) .....	2 701	989	594	847	126	145	743	-	-	-	
Tombstone city .....	1 504	694	330	318	77	85	280	-	-	-	
Whetstone CDP .....	2 354	904	517	716	105	112	636	-	-	-	
Willcox CCD .....	9 836	3 842	2 182	2 796	580	436	2 509	80	27	53	
Willcox city .....	3 663	1 383	714	1 185	230	151	1 074	70	27	43	
Coconino County .....	113 230	40 448	20 108	36 049	7 558	9 067	32 731	3 090	313	2 777	
Coconino CCD .....	71 630	27 852	13 408	19 857	3 030	7 483	17 676	2 858	180	2 678	
Flagstaff city .....	50 041	19 306	8 487	13 961	2 223	6 064	12 480	2 853	177	2 676	
Kachina Village CDP .....	2 662	1 021	535	752	86	268	672	2	-	2	
Mountaineer CDP .....	1 014	417	195	277	31	94	248	-	-	-	
Munds Park CDP .....	1 250	583	351	214	35	67	189	-	-	-	
Parks CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Sedona city (part) .....	2 960	1 537	754	360	87	222	273	3	3	-	
Winslow West CDP (part) .....	131	30	8	75	5	13	72	-	-	-	
Havasupai CCD .....	503	140	57	213	69	24	207	-	-	-	
Supai CDP .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hopi CCD .....	87	29	12	24	19	3	25	-	-	-	
Hualapai CCD .....	2	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Kaibab CCD .....	1 874	743	420	552	81	78	514	-	-	-	
Fredonia town .....	1 036	359	235	356	56	30	330	-	-	-	
Kaibab CDP (part) .....	5	1	1	3	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Tuba City CCD .....	30 783	8 325	4 473	13 112	3 916	957	12 220	158	133	25	
Bitter Springs CDP .....	547	104	60	298	67	18	264	-	-	-	
Cameron CDP .....	978	236	113	416	174	39	400	-	-	-	
Kaibito CDP .....	1 607	333	219	838	202	15	787	-	-	-	
Lechee CDP .....	1 606	332	208	843	174	49	792	-	-	-	
Leupp CDP .....	970	228	132	437	158	15	423	-	-	-	
Moenkopi CDP .....	901	242	113	332	174	40	312	-	-	-	
Page city .....	6 802	2 342	1 400	2 401	347	312	2 131	7	7	-	
Tonalea CDP .....	562	123	66	276	88	9	274	-	-	-	
Tuba City CDP .....	8 134	2 036	998	3 541	1 305	254	3 484	91	91	-	
Williams CCD .....	8 351	3 358	1 737	2 291	443	522	2 089	74	-	74	
Grand Canyon Village CDP .....	1 421	651	274	324	39	133	295	39	-	39	
Parks CDP (part) .....	1 137	462	294	280	37	64	254	-	-	-	
Tusayan CDP .....	527	222	67	133	43	62	132	35	-	35	
Williams city .....	2 842	1 057	540	916	188	141	823	-	-	-	
Gila County .....	50 404	20 140	11 103	13 712	3 214	2 235	12 510	931	828	103	
Globe-Miami CCD .....	18 504	7 384	3 828	5 440	1 065	787	4 850	586	548	38	
Central Heights-Midland City CDP .....	2 694	1 061	591	771	169	102	698	-	-	-	
Claypool CDP .....	1 794	683	379	533	130	69	487	-	-	-	
Globe city .....	6 994	2 814	1 387	2 106	410	277	1 885	492	466	26	
Miami town .....	1 936	754	322	623	146	91	557	-	-	-	

Table 9. Household Relationship and Group Quarters Population: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Household population							Group quarters population			
	Total	Householder	Relationship to householder					Under 18 years, child and other relatives	Total	Institutionalized population	Non-institutionalized population
			Spouse	Child	Other relatives	Non-relatives					
Gila County—Con.											
Globe-Miami CCD—Con.											
Top-of-the-World CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Payson CCD	21 609	9 447	5 573	4 769	837	983	4 233	235	219	16	
Payson town	13 388	5 832	3 418	3 006	526	606	2 653	232	219	13	
Pine CDP	1 928	882	540	343	66	97	306	3	-	3	
Strawberry CDP	1 028	491	317	166	27	27	136	-	-	-	
Sun Valley CDP	1 536	677	346	388	51	74	346	-	-	-	
Reservation CCD	6 188	1 579	751	2 497	1 071	290	2 543	110	61	49	
Canyon Day CDP	1 092	271	125	448	204	44	471	-	-	-	
Peridot CDP (part)	374	101	52	145	59	17	149	-	-	-	
San Carlos CDP	3 686	921	425	1 506	648	186	1 525	30	2	28	
Tonto CCD	2 540	1 196	663	467	103	111	415	-	-	-	
Gisela CDP	532	225	134	133	25	15	117	-	-	-	
Tonto Basin CDP	840	439	224	98	39	40	86	-	-	-	
Young CDP	561	250	150	128	15	18	115	-	-	-	
Winkelman CCD	1 563	534	288	539	138	64	469	-	-	-	
Hayden town (part)	892	288	157	311	100	36	282	-	-	-	
Winkelman town (part)	439	159	74	165	23	18	126	-	-	-	
Graham County	30 257	10 116	5 789	10 940	2 078	1 334	9 851	3 232	2 930	302	
Bonita-Klondyke CCD	699	287	185	185	23	19	167	1 645	1 636	9	
Swift Trail Junction CDP (part)	224	96	55	56	8	9	51	917	908	9	
Pima CCD	3 815	1 236	816	1 448	189	126	1 275	-	-	-	
Pima town	1 989	663	420	768	79	59	678	-	-	-	
Safford CCD	21 142	7 564	4 266	7 206	1 108	998	6 351	1 587	1 294	293	
Safford city	9 000	3 331	1 743	3 071	500	355	2 719	232	199	33	
Swift Trail Junction CDP (part)	1 054	403	229	333	42	47	296	-	-	-	
Thatcher town	3 764	1 281	760	1 256	183	284	1 091	258	-	258	
San Carlos CCD	4 601	1 029	522	2 101	758	191	2 058	-	-	-	
Peridot CDP (part)	892	206	118	388	155	25	360	-	-	-	
Greenlee County	8 520	3 117	1 818	2 909	401	275	2 654	27	27	-	
Clifton CCD	5 541	1 981	1 173	1 958	256	173	1 792	22	22	-	
Clifton town	2 574	919	527	892	141	95	817	22	22	-	
Morenci CDP	1 879	672	381	722	59	45	661	-	-	-	
Duncan CCD	2 979	1 136	645	951	145	102	862	5	5	-	
Duncan town	812	294	136	300	47	35	277	-	-	-	
La Paz County	19 435	8 362	4 535	4 510	995	1 033	4 066	280	255	25	
Parker CCD	19 435	8 362	4 535	4 510	995	1 033	4 066	280	255	25	
Bluewater CDP	730	337	175	158	24	36	138	-	-	-	
Bouse CDP	615	320	184	59	33	19	60	-	-	-	
Cibola CDP	172	65	35	55	3	14	51	-	-	-	
Ehrenberg CDP	1 357	545	249	417	56	90	372	-	-	-	
Parker town	3 115	1 064	549	1 118	217	167	1 011	25	-	25	
Parker Strip CDP	3 302	1 589	811	579	105	218	513	-	-	-	
Poston CDP	389	101	67	171	31	19	162	-	-	-	
Quartzsite town	3 354	1 850	1 092	229	62	121	190	-	-	-	
Salome CDP	1 690	780	448	328	44	90	284	-	-	-	
Wenden CDP	556	207	120	165	38	26	159	-	-	-	
Maricopa County	3 027 366	1 132 886	584 928	905 586	196 554	207 412	805 521	44 783	23 982	20 801	
Buckeye CCD	17 774	5 744	3 456	6 266	1 398	910	5 712	1 979	1 967	12	
Buckeye town (part)	6 452	2 134	1 126	2 369	486	337	2 136	9	-	9	
Surprise city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Chandler CCD	215 246	76 413	47 995	69 283	10 463	11 092	62 185	752	407	345	
Chandler city (part)	151 148	53 303	31 168	49 680	7 978	9 019	44 795	660	405	255	
Gilbert town (part)	26 525	8 578	6 423	9 520	951	1 053	8 818	3	2	1	
Mesa city (part)	1 183	377	173	527	51	55	507	69	-	69	
Phoenix city (part)	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Queen Creek town (part)	4 197	1 172	904	1 684	317	120	1 494	-	-	-	
Sun Lakes CDP	11 936	6 683	4 645	259	178	20	171	-	-	-	
Tempe city (part)	8 646	2 890	2 178	3 116	239	223	2 623	-	-	-	
Deer Valley CCD	145 853	53 711	37 442	44 368	4 729	5 603	39 061	2 924	2 369	555	
Carefree town (part)	2 506	1 206	785	363	65	87	304	-	-	-	
Cave Creek town	3 728	1 571	923	923	129	178	766	-	-	-	
Glendale city (part)	46 102	15 995	11 312	15 442	1 589	1 764	13 423	414	11	403	
New River CDP	10 695	3 921	2 701	3 119	448	506	2 753	45	-	45	
Peoria city (part)	27 987	11 259	7 758	7 376	740	854	6 618	532	479	53	
Phoenix city (part)	41 498	14 555	10 109	13 804	1 279	1 751	12 415	1 925	1 879	46	
Scottsdale city (part)	4 373	1 882	1 429	835	116	111	697	-	-	-	
Gila Bend CCD	8 470	2 758	1 866	2 895	613	338	2 597	1	-	1	
Avondale city (part)	29	7	6	13	2	1	12	-	-	-	
Buckeye town (part)	41	10	4	13	3	11	13	-	-	-	
Gila Bend town	1 980	659	347	744	142	88	643	-	-	-	
Goodyear city (part)	2 776	979	785	840	92	80	766	-	-	-	
Phoenix CCD	2 569 621	961 155	472 853	772 139	176 157	187 317	686 452	38 728	18 959	19 769	
Apache Junction city (part)	273	163	71	20	6	13	15	-	-	-	
Avondale city (part)	35 708	10 633	6 691	13 141	3 339	1 904	11 989	146	146	-	
Buckeye town (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Chandler city (part)	24 651	9 074	4 689	8 025	1 136	1 727	6 849	122	73	49	
El Mirage city	7 608	2 121	1 172	2 841	979	495	2 744	1	-	1	
Fountain Hills town	20 228	8 653	5 773	4 531	590	681	3 704	7	-	7	
Gilbert town (part)	83 106	26 827	18 190	31 644	3 116	3 329	28 182	63	52	11	
Glendale city (part)	169 853	59 705	29 165	57 223	12 189	11 571	50 691	2 443	1 003	1 440	
Goodyear city (part)	13 765	5 200	3 607	3 694	654	610	3 351	2 370	2 144	226	
Guadalupe town	5 220	1 110	544	1 894	1 287	385	1 903	8	-	8	
Litchfield Park city	3 780	1 508	1 007	1 045	120	100	876	30	-	30	
Mesa city (part)	391 243	146 266	77 094	119 940	22 016	25 927	105 100	3 880	2 189	1 691	
Paradise Valley town	13 652	5 034	3 832	4 088	320	378	3 373	12	-	12	
Peoria city (part)	78 860	27 923	16 524	26 810	3 985	3 618	23 541	982	394	588	
Phoenix city (part)	1 257 078	451 278	208 407	394 524	104 824	98 045	357 556	20 543	11 069	9 474	
Rio Verde CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Scottsdale city (part)	195 583	88 295	43 123	44 907	6 606	12 652	37 692	1 677	479	1 198	
Sun City CDP	37 641	23 490	11 638	1 081	709	723	163	668	562	106	
Surprise city (part)	14 206	4 764	3 042	4 270	1 292	838	3 956	124	66	58	

Table 9. Household Relationship and Group Quarters Population: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Household population							Group quarters population			
	Total	Householder	Relationship to householder					Under 18 years, child and other relatives	Total	Institutionalized population	Non-institutionalized population
			Spouse	Child	Other relatives	Non-relatives					
Maricopa County—Con.											
Phoenix CCD—Con.											
Tempe city (part) .....	144 737	60 712	22 226	33 028	8 079	20 692	27 979	5 242	406	4 836	
Tolleson city .....	4 974	1 432	754	1 786	721	281	1 568	-	-	-	
Youngtown town .....	2 857	1 641	583	385	134	114	291	153	153	-	
St. Johns CCD .....	2 654	629	148	1 112	586	179	1 174	45	-	45	
Phoenix city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Salt River CCD .....	7 177	2 192	817	2 669	1 056	443	2 601	50	44	6	
Mesa city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Tempe city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Tonto CCD .....	4 120	1 919	1 514	501	73	113	432	-	-	-	
Carefree town (part) .....	421	183	139	70	17	12	62	-	-	-	
Rio Verde CDP (part) .....	1 419	761	630	11	8	9	1	-	-	-	
Scottsdale city (part) .....	1 072	492	420	121	5	34	99	-	-	-	
Wickenburg CCD .....	56 451	28 365	18 837	6 353	1 479	1 417	5 307	304	236	68	
Buckeye town (part) .....	35	14	8	12	1	-	8	-	-	-	
Peoria city (part) .....	2	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	
Sun City West CDP .....	26 083	14 997	10 060	423	300	303	22	261	193	68	
Surprise city (part) .....	16 518	7 720	5 637	2 275	477	409	2 026	-	-	-	
Wickenburg town .....	5 039	2 341	1 164	1 105	196	233	986	43	43	-	
Mohave County .....	153 801	62 809	34 585	38 638	8 034	9 735	34 563	1 231	1 004	227	
Kingman North CCD .....	50 110	20 510	11 000	12 908	2 709	2 983	11 598	722	689	33	
Bullhead City city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Dolan Springs CDP .....	1 867	912	440	307	102	106	272	-	-	-	
Golden Valley CDP .....	4 515	1 822	1 040	1 073	299	281	975	-	-	-	
Kingman city .....	19 411	7 854	4 287	5 346	920	1 004	4 811	658	645	13	
New Kingman-Butler CDP .....	14 790	5 895	2 942	4 020	846	1 087	3 627	20	3	17	
Peach Springs CDP .....	600	166	64	264	68	38	230	-	-	-	
Kingman South CCD .....	97 470	40 962	22 690	22 440	4 904	6 474	19 859	509	315	194	
Arizona Village CDP .....	351	83	25	169	56	18	170	-	-	-	
Bullhead City city (part) .....	33 652	13 909	6 833	8 051	2 059	2 800	7 316	117	79	38	
Desert Hills CDP .....	2 183	997	555	401	90	140	344	-	-	-	
Lake Havasu City city .....	41 617	17 911	10 636	9 128	1 679	2 263	7 898	321	236	85	
Mesquite Creek CDP .....	205	106	68	9	13	9	12	-	-	-	
Mohave Valley CDP .....	13 623	5 217	3 099	3 611	763	933	3 213	71	-	71	
Mojave Ranch Estates CDP .....	28	6	5	14	3	-	12	-	-	-	
Willow Valley CDP .....	585	274	160	104	21	26	80	-	-	-	
Mohave North CCD .....	6 221	1 337	895	3 290	421	278	3 106	-	-	-	
Colorado City town .....	3 334	444	381	2 101	268	140	1 986	-	-	-	
Kaibab CDP (part) .....	270	87	38	121	14	10	116	-	-	-	
Navajo County .....	95 230	30 043	16 666	36 314	8 922	3 285	33 664	2 240	1 962	278	
Apache CCD .....	10 420	2 582	1 168	4 573	1 601	496	4 523	125	82	43	
Cibecue CDP .....	1 327	323	135	594	196	79	582	4	-	4	
East Fork CDP .....	880	209	87	341	187	56	344	-	-	-	
McNary CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Whiteriver CDP .....	5 116	1 249	538	2 254	843	232	2 254	104	82	22	
Hopi CCD .....	6 818	1 950	830	2 612	1 181	245	2 542	59	59	-	
First Mesa CDP .....	1 124	294	137	441	200	52	422	-	-	-	
Hotevilla-Bacavi CDP .....	767	246	101	265	127	28	235	-	-	-	
Jeddito CDP .....	390	99	45	199	32	15	190	-	-	-	
Keams Canyon CDP .....	201	74	24	66	25	12	60	59	59	-	
Kykotsmovi Village CDP .....	776	253	106	253	139	25	253	-	-	-	
Second Mesa CDP .....	814	209	94	326	157	28	328	-	-	-	
Shongopovi CDP .....	632	163	57	240	145	27	242	-	-	-	
Indian Wells CCD .....	7 210	1 844	931	3 175	1 103	157	2 836	62	62	-	
Dilkon CDP .....	1 265	298	149	613	165	40	582	-	-	-	
Greasewood CDP .....	519	126	68	245	67	13	212	62	62	-	
Little Colorado CCD .....	15 789	5 424	2 859	5 827	1 035	644	5 400	1 831	1 684	147	
Holbrook city .....	4 762	1 626	850	1 761	346	179	1 618	155	66	89	
Winslow city .....	7 875	2 754	1 382	2 848	546	345	2 674	1 645	1 587	58	
Winslow West CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pinon CCD .....	8 074	2 040	983	3 721	1 213	117	3 459	-	-	-	
Pinon CDP .....	1 190	296	158	586	142	8	551	-	-	-	
Snowflake CCD .....	36 459	13 473	8 501	11 627	1 517	1 341	10 520	153	75	78	
Heber-Overgaard CDP .....	2 722	1 154	720	642	84	122	567	-	-	-	
Pinetop-Lakeside town .....	3 565	1 436	843	983	136	167	884	17	-	17	
Show Low city .....	7 608	2 885	1 678	2 390	317	338	2 190	87	75	12	
Snowflake town .....	4 422	1 312	912	1 843	255	100	1 672	38	-	38	
Taylor town .....	3 176	946	649	1 352	167	62	1 265	-	-	-	
Western CCD .....	10 460	2 730	1 394	4 779	1 272	285	4 384	10	-	10	
Chilchinbito CDP .....	462	111	59	211	77	4	195	-	-	-	
Kayenta CDP .....	4 912	1 245	639	2 347	523	158	2 164	10	-	10	
Ojato-Monument Valley CDP .....	155	37	18	72	26	2	71	-	-	-	
Shonto CDP .....	568	149	80	244	77	18	243	-	-	-	
Pima County .....	821 712	332 350	158 471	232 927	46 344	51 620	202 233	22 034	9 168	12 866	
Ajo CCD .....	3 897	1 756	902	874	214	151	787	10	10	-	
Ajo CDP .....	3 695	1 659	852	834	208	142	749	10	10	-	
Arivaca CCD .....	50 250	20 215	11 963	12 888	3 206	1 978	11 298	191	116	75	
Drexel Heights CDP (part) .....	13 364	4 059	2 459	5 088	1 120	638	4 471	34	15	19	
East Sahuarita CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Green Valley CDP (part) .....	16 681	9 701	5 900	533	213	334	259	94	92	2	
Sahuarita town (part) .....	3 172	1 144	806	931	179	112	808	35	9	26	
Three Points CDP (part) .....	4 702	1 565	902	1 618	362	255	1 468	-	-	-	
Valencia West CDP .....	2 380	676	384	987	224	109	888	-	-	-	
Marana CCD .....	25 183	8 931	5 212	8 127	1 511	1 402	7 153	425	419	6	
Avra Valley CDP .....	5 038	1 714	994	1 740	288	302	1 518	-	-	-	
Marana town (part) .....	1 489	523	266	516	102	82	411	413	411	2	
Picture Rocks CDP (part) .....	8 131	2 883	1 708	2 652	438	450	2 361	8	8	-	
Three Points CDP (part) .....	571	207	106	185	41	32	167	-	-	-	
Tucson Estates CDP (part) .....	6 720	2 466	1 472	2 014	440	328	1 773	-	-	-	
Papago CCD .....	7 446	1 997	526	2 758	1 673	492	2 824	46	35	11	
Pisinemo CDP .....	237	70	16	76	58	17	81	-	-	-	
Santa Rosa CDP .....	438	132	37	145	95	29	147	-	-	-	
Sells CDP .....	2 756	690	179	1 068	635	184	1 124	43	35	8	

Table 9. Household Relationship and Group Quarters Population: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Household population							Group quarters population			
	Total	Householder	Relationship to householder					Under 18 years, child and other relatives	Total	Institutionalized population	Non-institutionalized population
			Spouse	Child	Other relatives	Non-relatives					
Pima County—Con.											
Tucson CCD	734 936	299 451	139 868	208 280	39 740	47 597	180 171	21 362	8 588	12 774	
Casas Adobes CDP	53 034	22 066	11 984	14 563	1 892	2 529	12 181	977	135	842	
Catalina CDP	6 852	2 567	1 493	2 020	383	389	1 703	173	153	20	
Catalina Foothills CDP	53 467	23 948	13 712	12 552	1 122	2 133	10 588	327	209	118	
Corona de Tucson CDP	813	376	256	140	21	20	112	-	-	-	
Drexel-Alvernon CDP	4 192	1 328	683	1 518	418	245	1 322	-	-	-	
Drexel Heights CDP (part)	10 431	3 446	2 026	3 558	883	518	2 970	20	-	20	
East Sahuarita CDP (part)	1 419	472	287	505	92	63	447	-	-	-	
Flowing Wells CDP	15 047	6 250	2 642	4 259	886	1 010	3 699	3	-	3	
Green Valley CDP (part)	394	294	80	4	4	12	1	114	53	61	
Littletown CDP	1 010	313	172	366	112	47	334	-	-	-	
Marana town (part)	11 646	4 421	3 000	3 420	345	460	3 132	8	-	8	
Oro Valley town	29 541	12 249	8 549	7 335	623	785	6 313	159	27	132	
Picture Rocks CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Sahuarita town (part)	35	11	9	11	4	-	10	-	-	-	
South Tucson city	5 321	1 810	632	1 859	689	331	1 671	169	-	169	
Summit CDP	3 702	1 144	636	1 409	292	221	1 255	-	-	-	
Tanque Verde CDP	16 116	5 810	4 434	4 894	555	423	4 050	79	13	66	
Tortolita CDP	3 740	1 332	909	1 215	153	131	1 013	-	-	-	
Tucson city	467 517	192 891	76 546	133 162	29 043	35 875	116 022	19 182	7 942	11 240	
Tucson Estates CDP (part)	3 035	1 756	945	166	82	86	81	-	-	-	
Vail CDP	2 484	842	583	849	89	121	775	-	-	-	
Pinal County	164 497	61 364	34 909	47 765	11 686	8 773	43 589	15 230	13 876	1 354	
Casa Grande CCD	34 262	12 171	6 567	11 140	2 450	1 934	10 170	293	123	170	
Casa Grande city (part)	24 930	8 912	4 658	8 226	1 739	1 395	7 567	274	123	151	
Coolidge CCD	11 667	3 903	2 048	4 042	1 032	642	3 702	461	9	452	
Coolidge city	7 749	2 585	1 262	2 742	726	434	2 498	37	1	36	
Eloy CCD	15 475	4 983	2 822	5 456	1 353	861	5 100	1 689	1 676	13	
Arizona City CDP	4 378	1 770	1 149	1 092	171	196	977	7	-	7	
Casa Grande city (part)	20	8	4	3	4	1	5	-	-	-	
Eloy city	8 887	2 492	1 231	3 628	993	543	3 420	1 488	1 482	6	
Florence CCD	8 944	3 597	1 974	2 401	538	434	2 160	11 854	11 830	24	
Florence town	5 224	2 226	1 192	1 311	268	227	1 177	11 830	11 830	-	
Gila River CCD	8 162	2 063	665	3 348	1 554	532	3 385	429	165	264	
Blackwater CDP	504	135	46	213	72	38	204	-	-	-	
Sacaton CDP	1 436	378	99	542	306	111	548	148	130	18	
Santan CDP	651	163	54	267	128	39	266	-	-	-	
Maricopa-Stanfield CCD	8 461	2 647	1 483	2 879	904	548	2 707	85	12	73	
Ak-Chin Village CDP	669	197	78	265	101	28	266	-	-	-	
Maricopa CDP	1 040	292	155	371	121	101	344	-	-	-	
Stanfield CDP	651	187	84	245	100	35	207	-	-	-	
North Pinal CCD	59 685	25 051	14 617	13 897	2 856	3 264	12 312	280	-	280	
Apache Junction city (part)	31 318	13 612	7 210	7 019	1 469	2 008	6 292	223	-	223	
Gold Camp CDP	6 029	2 785	2 054	859	134	197	734	-	-	-	
Hayden town (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Kearny town	2 249	791	514	740	131	73	652	-	-	-	
Queen Creek town (part)	119	46	21	39	6	7	25	-	-	-	
Queen Valley CDP	820	417	280	68	25	30	58	-	-	-	
Superior town	3 254	1 237	598	994	269	156	847	-	-	-	
Top-of-the-World CDP (part)	319	130	65	94	13	17	89	11	-	11	
Papago CCD	741	179	64	286	177	35	297	-	-	-	
Chuichu CDP	339	84	31	132	78	14	133	-	-	-	
San Manuel CCD	17 100	6 770	4 669	4 316	822	523	3 756	139	61	78	
Dudleyville CDP	1 323	454	256	484	74	55	427	-	-	-	
Mammoth town	1 762	562	309	641	169	81	578	-	-	-	
Oracle CDP	3 563	1 384	789	1 053	200	137	923	-	-	-	
San Manuel CDP	4 375	1 458	966	1 575	246	130	1 409	-	-	-	
Winkelman town (part)	4	1	1	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Santa Cruz County	38 176	11 809	7 237	14 423	3 672	1 035	12 761	205	99	106	
Nogales CCD	35 399	10 561	6 525	13 810	3 585	918	12 236	201	99	102	
Amado CDP	275	104	56	85	19	11	80	-	-	-	
Nogales city	20 677	5 985	3 367	8 224	2 532	569	7 138	201	99	102	
Rio Rico Northeast CDP	3 164	1 013	723	1 160	183	85	1 065	-	-	-	
Rio Rico Northwest CDP	2 882	784	514	1 282	228	74	1 197	-	-	-	
Rio Rico Southeast CDP	1 590	415	332	683	129	31	634	-	-	-	
Rio Rico Southwest CDP	2 777	726	590	1 221	197	43	1 106	-	-	-	
Tubac CDP	949	481	285	132	23	28	113	-	-	-	
Tumacacori-Carmen CDP	569	223	118	152	53	23	133	-	-	-	
Patagonia CCD	2 777	1 248	712	613	87	117	525	4	-	4	
Elgin CDP	309	123	79	94	1	12	80	-	-	-	
Patagonia town	881	404	190	212	39	36	180	-	-	-	
Sonoita CDP	826	358	237	172	29	30	149	-	-	-	
Yavapai County	163 753	70 171	38 563	38 566	6 620	9 833	33 996	3 764	2 139	1 625	
Ashfork CCD	1 891	774	422	485	102	108	439	-	-	-	
Ash Fork CDP	457	149	81	142	46	39	128	-	-	-	
Seligman CDP	456	205	92	122	19	18	115	-	-	-	
Congress CCD	8 398	3 934	2 173	1 520	342	429	1 314	47	-	47	
Black Canyon City CDP (part)	2 696	1 241	639	520	109	187	440	1	-	1	
Congress CDP	1 717	821	521	242	68	65	218	-	-	-	
Cordes Lakes CDP (part)	13	4	4	5	-	-	5	-	-	-	
Mayer CDP (part)	5	2	2	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	
Peoples Valley CDP	374	176	113	59	10	16	48	-	-	-	
Peoria city (part)	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Spring Valley CDP	973	427	242	209	55	40	187	46	-	46	
Wilhoit CDP	664	298	164	141	33	28	126	-	-	-	
Yarnell CDP	645	359	150	85	18	33	68	-	-	-	
Humboldt CCD	5 226	2 160	1 247	1 268	268	283	1 118	69	-	69	
Black Canyon City CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Camp Verde town (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cordes Lakes CDP (part)	2 007	862	472	449	104	120	400	38	-	38	
Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part)	1 017	395	282	242	52	46	214	-	-	-	
Mayer CDP (part)	1 391	578	296	361	70	86	318	-	-	-	
Mingus Mountain CCD	23 924	9 997	5 382	6 213	1 032	1 300	5 524	492	369	123	

Table 9. Household Relationship and Group Quarters Population: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Household population							Group quarters population			
	Total	Householder	Relationship to householder					Under 18 years, child and other relatives	Total	Institutionalized population	Non-institutionalized population
			Spouse	Child	Other relatives	Non-relatives					
Yavapai County—Con.											
Mingus Mountain CCD—Con.											
Camp Verde town (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Clarkdale town .....	3 422	1 433	834	806	174	175	718	-	-	-	
Cottonwood city (part) .....	9 039	3 983	1 773	2 318	406	559	2 061	140	92	48	
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP (part) .....	9 415	3 725	2 243	2 607	386	454	2 325	311	237	74	
Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part) .....	94	38	21	29	2	4	23	-	-	-	
Jerome town .....	329	182	53	52	10	32	41	-	-	-	
Prescott Valley town (part) .....	403	166	133	89	5	10	65	-	-	-	
Prescott CCD .....	93 437	39 683	22 114	22 541	3 522	5 577	19 861	2 541	1 242	1 299	
Bagdad CDP .....	1 578	568	372	527	65	46	496	-	-	-	
Chino Valley town .....	7 805	3 030	1 735	2 276	354	410	2 020	30	4	26	
Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part) .....	5 184	2 362	1 481	941	170	230	778	-	-	-	
Mayer CDP (part) .....	12	5	4	3	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Paulden CDP .....	3 420	1 144	771	1 132	203	170	1 055	-	-	-	
Prescott city .....	31 894	15 098	7 351	6 075	1 068	2 302	5 161	2 044	862	1 182	
Prescott Valley town (part) .....	22 934	8 798	5 201	6 647	922	1 366	6 026	198	131	67	
Williamson CDP .....	3 769	1 558	1 166	805	122	118	665	7	7	-	
Verde CCD .....	30 877	13 623	7 225	6 539	1 354	2 136	5 740	615	528	87	
Big Park CDP .....	5 137	2 560	1 415	753	146	263	655	108	108	-	
Camp Verde town (part) .....	9 111	3 611	2 018	2 457	534	491	2 190	340	311	29	
Cornville CDP .....	3 244	1 311	710	816	164	243	735	91	80	11	
Cottonwood city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP (part) .....	884	346	208	243	37	50	194	-	-	-	
Lake Montezuma CDP .....	3 344	1 471	760	703	158	252	619	-	-	-	
Sedona city (part) .....	7 197	3 391	1 641	1 258	255	652	1 085	32	-	32	
Yuma County .....	154 163	53 848	33 559	51 065	9 913	5 778	45 533	5 863	3 439	2 424	
Somerton CCD .....	27 825	6 999	4 921	12 391	2 871	643	10 805	2 373	2 348	25	
Gadsden CDP .....	953	236	159	436	103	19	370	-	-	-	
San Luis city .....	13 002	3 018	2 176	6 080	1 474	254	5 389	2 320	2 316	4	
Somerton city .....	7 262	1 818	1 289	3 271	735	149	2 795	4	-	4	
Yuma city (part) .....	11	2	2	6	1	-	6	-	-	-	
Wellton CCD .....	6 913	2 411	1 613	2 298	324	267	2 050	98	-	98	
Tacna CDP .....	555	194	128	190	20	23	164	-	-	-	
Wellton town .....	1 829	700	486	501	90	52	434	-	-	-	
Yuma city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Yuma CCD .....	119 425	44 438	27 025	36 376	6 718	4 868	32 678	3 392	1 091	2 301	
Fortuna Foothills CDP .....	20 406	9 652	6 862	2 880	554	658	2 381	72	19	53	
Yuma city (part) .....	74 360	26 647	15 079	25 049	4 364	3 221	22 524	3 144	1 065	2 079	

Table 10. Household Relationship and Group Quarters Population: 2000

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Household population							Group quarters population			
	Total	Householder	Relationship to householder					Under 18 years, child and other relatives	Total	Institutionalized population	Non-institutionalized population
			Spouse	Child	Other relatives	Non-relatives					
<b>The State</b> .....	<b>5 020 782</b>	<b>1 901 327</b>	<b>986 303</b>	<b>1 496 034</b>	<b>319 414</b>	<b>317 704</b>	<b>1 330 220</b>	<b>109 850</b>	<b>63 768</b>	<b>46 082</b>	
<b>COUNTY</b>											
Apache County .....	68 150	19 971	9 839	28 949	7 627	1 764	26 407	1 273	851	422	
Cochise County .....	112 088	43 893	24 193	33 681	5 796	4 525	30 141	5 667	2 895	2 772	
Coconino County .....	113 230	40 448	20 108	36 049	7 558	9 067	32 731	3 090	313	2 777	
Gila County .....	50 404	20 140	11 103	13 712	3 214	2 235	12 510	931	828	103	
Graham County .....	30 257	10 116	5 789	10 940	2 078	1 334	9 851	3 232	2 930	302	
Greenlee County .....	8 520	3 117	1 818	2 909	401	275	2 654	27	27	-	
La Paz County .....	19 435	8 362	4 535	4 510	995	1 033	4 066	280	255	25	
Maricopa County .....	3 027 366	1 132 886	584 928	905 586	196 554	207 412	805 521	44 783	23 982	20 801	
Mohave County .....	153 801	62 809	34 585	38 638	8 034	9 735	34 563	1 231	1 004	227	
Navajo County .....	95 230	30 043	16 666	36 314	8 922	3 285	33 664	2 240	1 962	278	
Pima County .....	821 712	332 350	158 471	232 927	46 344	51 620	202 233	22 034	9 168	12 866	
Pinal County .....	164 497	61 364	34 909	47 765	11 686	8 773	43 589	15 230	13 876	1 354	
Santa Cruz County .....	38 176	11 809	7 237	14 423	3 672	1 035	12 761	205	99	106	
Yavapai County .....	163 753	70 171	38 563	38 566	6 620	9 833	33 996	3 764	2 139	1 625	
Yuma County .....	154 163	53 848	33 559	51 065	9 913	5 778	45 533	5 863	3 439	2 424	
<b>PLACE</b>											
Ajo CDP, Pima County .....	3 695	1 659	852	834	208	142	749	10	10	-	
Ak-Chin Village CDP, Pinal County .....	669	197	78	265	101	28	266	-	-	-	
Amado CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	275	104	56	85	19	11	80	-	-	-	
Apache Junction city .....	31 591	13 775	7 281	7 039	1 475	2 021	6 307	223	-	223	
Maricopa County (part) .....	273	163	71	20	6	13	15	-	-	-	
Pinal County (part) .....	31 318	13 612	7 210	7 019	1 469	2 008	6 292	223	-	223	
Arizona City CDP, Pinal County .....	4 378	1 770	1 149	1 092	171	196	977	7	-	7	
Arizona Village CDP, Mohave County .....	351	83	25	169	56	18	170	-	-	-	
Ash Fork CDP, Yavapai County .....	457	149	81	142	46	39	128	-	-	-	
Avondale city, Maricopa County .....	35 737	10 640	6 697	13 154	3 341	1 905	12 001	146	146	-	
Avra Valley CDP, Pima County .....	5 038	1 714	994	1 740	288	302	1 518	-	-	-	
Bagdad CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 578	568	372	527	65	46	496	-	-	-	
Benson city, Cochise County .....	4 620	2 084	1 073	1 037	211	215	884	91	64	27	
Big Park CDP, Yavapai County .....	5 137	2 560	1 415	753	146	263	655	108	108	-	
Bisbee city, Cochise County .....	6 029	2 810	1 035	1 463	351	370	1 284	61	20	41	
Bitter Springs CDP, Coconino County .....	547	104	60	298	67	18	264	-	-	-	
Black Canyon City CDP, Yavapai County .....	2 696	1 241	639	520	109	187	440	1	-	1	
Blackwater CDP, Pinal County .....	504	135	46	213	72	38	204	-	-	-	
Bluewater CDP, La Paz County .....	730	337	175	158	24	36	138	-	-	-	
Bouse CDP, La Paz County .....	615	320	184	59	33	19	60	-	-	-	
Buckeye town, Maricopa County .....	6 528	2 158	1 138	2 394	490	348	2 157	9	-	9	
Bullhead City city, Mohave County .....	33 652	13 909	6 833	8 051	2 059	2 800	7 316	117	79	38	
Burnside CDP, Apache County .....	632	173	66	308	78	7	283	-	-	-	
Cameron CDP, Coconino County .....	978	236	113	416	174	39	400	-	-	-	
Camp Verde town, Yavapai County .....	9 111	3 611	2 018	2 457	534	491	2 190	340	311	29	
Canyon Day CDP, Gila County .....	1 092	271	125	448	204	44	471	-	-	-	
Carefree town, Maricopa County .....	2 927	1 389	924	433	82	99	366	-	-	-	
Casa Grande city, Pinal County .....	24 950	8 920	4 662	8 229	1 743	1 396	7 572	274	123	151	
Casas Adobes CDP, Pima County .....	53 034	22 066	11 984	14 563	1 892	2 529	12 181	977	135	842	
Catalina CDP, Pima County .....	6 852	2 567	1 493	2 020	383	389	1 703	173	153	20	
Catalina Foothills CDP, Pima County .....	53 467	23 948	13 712	12 552	1 122	2 133	10 588	327	209	118	
Cave Creek town, Maricopa County .....	3 728	1 571	927	923	129	178	766	-	-	-	
Central Heights-Midland City CDP, Gila County .....	2 694	1 061	591	771	169	102	698	-	-	-	
Chandler city, Maricopa County .....	175 799	62 377	35 857	57 705	9 114	10 746	51 644	782	478	304	
Chilchinbito CDP, Navajo County .....	462	111	59	211	77	4	195	-	-	-	
Chinle CDP, Apache County .....	5 209	1 358	578	2 424	693	156	2 333	157	92	65	
Chino Valley town, Yavapai County .....	7 805	3 030	1 735	2 276	354	410	2 020	30	4	26	
Chuichu CDP, Pinal County .....	339	84	31	132	78	14	133	-	-	-	
Cibecue CDP, Navajo County .....	1 327	323	135	594	196	79	582	4	-	4	
Cibola CDP, La Paz County .....	172	65	35	55	3	14	51	-	-	-	
Clarkdale town, Yavapai County .....	3 422	1 433	834	806	174	175	718	-	-	-	
Claypool CDP, Gila County .....	1 794	683	379	533	130	69	487	-	-	-	
Clifton town, Greenlee County .....	2 574	919	527	892	141	95	817	22	22	-	
Colorado City town, Mohave County .....	3 334	444	381	2 101	268	140	1 986	-	-	-	
Congress CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 717	821	521	242	68	65	218	-	-	-	
Coolidge city, Pinal County .....	7 749	2 585	1 262	2 742	726	434	2 498	37	1	36	
Cordes Lakes CDP, Yavapai County .....	2 020	866	476	454	104	120	405	38	-	38	
Cornville CDP, Yavapai County .....	3 244	1 311	710	816	164	243	735	91	80	11	
Corona de Tucson CDP, Pima County .....	813	376	256	140	21	20	112	-	-	-	
Cottonwood city, Yavapai County .....	9 039	3 983	1 773	2 318	406	559	2 061	140	92	48	
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP, Yavapai County .....	10 299	4 071	2 451	2 850	423	504	2 519	311	237	74	
Dennehotso CDP, Apache County .....	734	168	93	369	94	10	327	-	-	-	
Desert Hills CDP, Mohave County .....	2 183	997	555	401	90	140	344	-	-	-	
Dewey-Humboldt CDP, Yavapai County .....	6 295	2 795	1 784	1 212	224	280	1 015	-	-	-	
Dilkon CDP, Navajo County .....	1 265	40	298	613	165	40	582	-	-	-	
Dolan Springs CDP, Mohave County .....	1 867	912	440	307	102	106	272	-	-	-	
Douglas city, Cochise County .....	13 876	4 526	2 223	5 410	1 320	397	4 741	436	388	48	
Drexel-Alvernon CDP, Pima County .....	4 192	1 328	683	1 518	418	245	1 322	-	-	-	
Drexel Heights CDP, Pima County .....	23 795	7 505	4 485	8 646	2 003	1 156	7 441	54	15	39	
Dudleyville CDP, Pinal County .....	1 323	454	256	484	74	55	427	-	-	-	
Duncan town, Greenlee County .....	812	294	136	300	47	35	277	-	-	-	
Eagar town, Apache County .....	4 024	1 344	880	1 546	128	126	1 424	9	9	-	
East Fork CDP, Navajo County .....	880	209	87	341	187	56	344	-	-	-	
East Sahuarita CDP, Pima County .....	1 419	472	287	505	92	63	447	-	-	-	
Ehrenberg CDP, La Paz County .....	1 357	545	249	417	56	90	372	-	-	-	
Elgin CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	309	123	79	94	1	12	80	-	-	-	
El Mirage city, Maricopa County .....	7 608	2 121	1 172	2 841	979	495	2 744	1	-	1	

Table 10. Household Relationship and Group Quarters Population: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Household population							Group quarters population			
	Total	Householder	Relationship to householder					Under 18 years, child and other relatives	Total	Institutionalized population	Non-institutionalized population
			Spouse	Child	Other relatives	Non-relatives					
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>											
Eloy city, Pinal County .....	8 887	2 492	1 231	3 628	993	543	3 420	1 488	1 482	6	
First Mesa CDP, Navajo County .....	1 124	294	137	441	200	52	422	-	-	-	
Flagstaff city, Coconino County .....	50 041	19 306	8 487	13 961	2 223	6 064	12 480	2 853	177	2 676	
Florence town, Pinal County .....	5 224	2 226	1 192	1 311	268	227	1 177	11 830	11 830	-	
Flowing Wells CDP, Pima County .....	15 047	6 250	2 642	4 259	886	1 010	3 699	3	-	3	
Fort Defiance CDP, Apache County .....	4 027	1 115	488	1 824	494	106	1 602	34	22	12	
Fortuna Foothills CDP, Yuma County .....	20 406	9 652	6 862	2 680	554	658	2 381	72	19	53	
Fountain Hills town, Maricopa County .....	20 228	8 653	5 773	4 531	590	681	3 704	7	-	7	
Fredonia town, Coconino County .....	1 036	359	235	356	56	30	330	-	-	-	
Gadsden CDP, Yuma County .....	953	236	159	436	103	19	370	-	-	-	
Ganado CDP, Apache County .....	1 470	422	208	640	164	36	580	35	34	1	
Gila Bend town, Maricopa County .....	1 980	659	347	744	142	88	643	-	-	-	
Gilbert town, Maricopa County .....	109 631	35 405	24 613	41 164	4 067	4 382	37 000	66	54	12	
Gisela CDP, Gila County .....	532	225	134	133	25	15	117	-	-	-	
Glendale city, Maricopa County .....	215 955	75 700	40 477	72 665	13 778	13 335	64 114	2 857	1 014	1 843	
Globe city, Gila County .....	6 994	2 814	1 387	2 106	410	277	1 885	492	466	26	
Gold Camp CDP, Pinal County .....	6 029	2 785	2 054	859	134	197	734	-	-	-	
Golden Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	4 515	1 822	1 040	1 073	299	281	975	-	-	-	
Goodyear city, Maricopa County .....	16 541	6 179	4 392	4 534	746	690	4 117	2 370	2 144	226	
Grand Canyon Village CDP, Coconino County .....	1 421	651	274	324	39	133	295	39	-	39	
Greasewood CDP, Navajo County .....	519	126	68	245	67	13	212	62	62	-	
Green Valley CDP, Pima County .....	17 075	9 995	5 980	537	217	346	260	208	145	63	
Guadalupe town, Maricopa County .....	5 220	1 110	544	1 894	1 287	385	1 903	8	-	8	
Hayden town .....	892	288	157	311	100	36	282	-	-	-	
Gila County (part) .....	892	288	157	311	100	36	282	-	-	-	
Pinal County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Heber-Overgaard CDP, Navajo County .....	2 722	1 154	720	642	84	122	567	-	-	-	
Holbrook city, Navajo County .....	4 762	1 626	850	1 761	346	179	1 618	155	66	89	
Hotevilla-Bacavi CDP, Navajo County .....	767	246	101	265	127	28	235	-	-	-	
Houck CDP, Apache County .....	1 087	312	118	493	136	28	457	-	-	-	
Huachuca City town, Cochise County .....	1 734	713	316	527	80	98	467	17	-	17	
Jeddito CDP, Navajo County .....	390	99	45	199	32	15	190	-	-	-	
Jerome town, Yavapai County .....	329	182	53	52	10	32	41	-	-	-	
Kachina Village CDP, Coconino County .....	2 662	1 021	535	752	86	268	672	2	-	2	
Kaibab CDP .....	275	88	39	124	14	10	119	-	-	-	
Coconino County (part) .....	5	1	1	3	-	-	3	-	-	-	
Mohave County (part) .....	270	87	38	121	14	10	116	-	-	-	
Kaibito CDP, Coconino County .....	1 607	333	219	838	202	15	787	-	-	-	
Kayenta CDP, Navajo County .....	4 912	1 245	639	2 347	523	158	2 164	10	-	10	
Keams Canyon CDP, Navajo County .....	201	74	24	66	25	12	60	59	59	-	
Kearny town, Pinal County .....	2 249	791	514	740	131	73	652	-	-	-	
Kingman city, Mohave County .....	19 411	7 854	4 287	5 346	920	1 004	4 811	658	645	13	
Kykotsmovi Village CDP, Navajo County .....	776	253	106	253	139	25	253	-	-	-	
Lake Havasu City city, Mohave County .....	41 617	17 911	10 636	9 128	1 679	2 263	7 898	321	236	85	
Lake Montezuma CDP, Yavapai County .....	3 344	1 471	760	703	158	252	619	-	-	-	
Lechee CDP, Coconino County .....	1 606	332	208	843	174	49	792	-	-	-	
Leupp CDP, Coconino County .....	970	228	132	437	158	15	423	-	-	-	
Litchfield Park city, Maricopa County .....	3 780	1 508	1 007	1 045	120	100	876	30	-	30	
Littleton CDP, Pima County .....	1 010	313	172	366	112	47	334	-	-	-	
Lukachukai CDP, Apache County .....	1 565	423	204	702	207	29	638	-	-	-	
McNary CDP .....	349	91	40	164	31	23	166	-	-	-	
Apache County (part) .....	349	91	40	164	31	23	166	-	-	-	
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mammoth town, Pinal County .....	1 762	562	309	641	169	81	578	-	-	-	
Many Farms CDP, Apache County .....	1 548	433	193	728	141	53	647	-	-	-	
Marana town, Pima County .....	13 135	4 944	3 266	3 936	447	542	3 543	421	411	10	
Maricopa CDP, Pinal County .....	1 040	292	155	371	121	101	344	-	-	-	
Mayer CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 408	585	302	364	71	86	321	-	-	-	
Mesa city, Maricopa County .....	392 426	146 643	77 267	120 467	22 067	25 982	105 607	3 949	2 189	1 760	
Mesquite Creek CDP, Mohave County .....	205	106	68	9	13	9	12	-	-	-	
Miami town, Gila County .....	1 936	754	322	623	146	91	557	-	-	-	
Moenkopi CDP, Coconino County .....	901	242	113	332	174	40	312	-	-	-	
Mohave Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	13 623	5 217	3 099	3 611	763	933	3 213	71	-	71	
Mojave Ranch Estates CDP, Mohave County .....	28	6	5	14	3	-	12	-	-	-	
Morenci CDP, Greenlee County .....	1 879	672	381	722	59	45	661	-	-	-	
Mountaineer CDP, Coconino County .....	1 014	417	195	277	31	94	248	-	-	-	
Munds Park CDP, Coconino County .....	1 250	583	351	214	35	67	189	-	-	-	
Naco CDP, Cochise County .....	833	260	132	318	97	26	320	-	-	-	
Nazlini CDP, Apache County .....	393	101	35	185	63	9	182	4	-	4	
New Kingman-Butler CDP, Mohave County .....	14 790	5 895	2 942	4 020	846	1 087	3 627	20	3	17	
New River CDP, Maricopa County .....	10 695	3 921	2 701	3 119	448	506	2 753	45	-	45	
Nogales city, Santa Cruz County .....	20 677	5 985	3 367	8 224	2 532	569	7 138	201	99	102	
Oljato-Monument Valley CDP, Navajo County .....	155	37	18	72	26	2	71	-	-	-	
Oracle CDP, Pinal County .....	3 563	1 384	789	1 053	200	137	923	-	-	-	
Oro Valley town, Pima County .....	29 541	12 249	8 549	7 335	623	785	6 313	159	27	132	
Page city, Coconino County .....	6 802	2 342	1 400	2 401	347	312	2 131	7	7	-	
Paradise Valley town, Maricopa County .....	13 652	5 034	3 832	4 088	320	378	3 373	12	-	12	
Parker town, La Paz County .....	3 115	1 064	549	1 118	118	167	2 101	25	-	25	
Parker Strip CDP, La Paz County .....	3 302	1 589	811	579	105	218	513	-	-	-	
Parks CDP, Coconino County .....	1 137	462	294	280	37	64	254	-	-	-	
Patagonia town, Santa Cruz County .....	881	404	190	212	39	36	180	-	-	-	
Paulden CDP, Yavapai County .....	3 420	1 144	771	1 132	203	170	1 055	-	-	-	
Payson town, Gila County .....	13 388	5 832	3 418	3 006	526	606	2 653	232	219	13	
Peach Springs CDP, Mohave County .....	600	166	64	264	68	38	230	-	-	-	
Peeples Valley CDP, Yavapai County .....	374	176	113	59	10	-	48	-	-	-	

Table 10. Household Relationship and Group Quarters Population: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Household population							Group quarters population			
	Total	Householder	Relationship to householder					Under 18 years, child and other relatives	Total	Institutionalized population	Non-institutionalized population
			Spouse	Child	Other relatives	Non-relatives					
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>											
Peoria city .....	106 850	39 184	24 282	34 187	4 725	4 472	30 160	1 514	873	641	
Maricopa County (part) .....	106 849	39 183	24 282	34 187	4 725	4 472	30 160	1 514	873	641	
Yavapai County (part) .....	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Peridot CDP .....	1 266	307	170	533	214	42	509	-	-	-	
Gila County (part) .....	374	101	52	145	59	17	149	-	-	-	
Graham County (part) .....	892	206	118	388	155	25	360	-	-	-	
Phoenix city, Maricopa County .....	1 298 577	465 834	218 516	408 328	106 103	99 796	369 971	22 468	12 948	9 520	
Picture Rocks CDP, Pima County .....	8 131	2 883	1 708	2 652	438	450	2 361	8	8	-	
Pima town, Graham County .....	1 989	663	420	768	79	59	678	-	-	-	
Pine CDP, Gila County .....	1 928	882	540	343	66	97	306	3	-	3	
Pinetop-Lakeside town, Navajo County .....	3 565	1 436	843	983	136	167	884	17	-	17	
Pinon CDP, Navajo County .....	1 190	296	158	586	142	8	551	-	-	-	
Pirtleville CDP, Cochise County .....	1 550	454	262	616	177	41	551	-	-	-	
Pisinemo CDP, Pima County .....	237	70	16	76	58	17	81	-	-	-	
Poston CDP, La Paz County .....	389	101	67	171	31	19	162	-	-	-	
Prescott city, Yavapai County .....	31 894	15 098	7 351	6 075	1 068	2 302	5 161	2 044	862	1 182	
Prescott Valley town, Yavapai County .....	23 337	8 964	5 334	6 736	927	1 376	6 091	198	131	67	
Quartzsite town, La Paz County .....	3 354	1 850	1 092	229	62	121	190	-	-	-	
Queen Creek town .....	4 316	1 218	925	1 723	323	127	1 519	-	-	-	
Maricopa County (part) .....	4 197	1 172	904	1 684	317	120	1 494	-	-	-	
Pinal County (part) .....	119	46	21	39	6	7	25	-	-	-	
Queen Valley CDP, Pinal County .....	820	417	280	68	25	30	58	-	-	-	
Red Mesa CDP, Apache County .....	237	78	30	98	28	3	89	-	-	-	
Rio Rico Northeast CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	3 164	1 013	723	1 160	183	85	1 065	-	-	-	
Rio Rico Northwest CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	2 882	784	514	1 282	228	74	1 197	-	-	-	
Rio Rico Southeast CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	1 590	415	332	683	129	31	634	-	-	-	
Rio Rico Southwest CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	2 777	726	590	1 221	197	43	1 106	-	-	-	
Rio Verde CDP, Maricopa County .....	1 419	761	630	11	8	9	1	-	-	-	
Rock Point CDP, Apache County .....	724	168	104	371	70	11	353	-	-	-	
Rough Rock CDP, Apache County .....	469	113	61	198	94	3	201	-	-	-	
Round Rock CDP, Apache County .....	601	144	79	292	83	3	265	-	-	-	
Sacaton CDP, Pinal County .....	1 436	378	99	542	306	111	548	148	130	18	
Safford city, Graham County .....	9 000	3 331	1 743	3 071	500	355	2 719	232	199	33	
Sahuarita town, Pima County .....	3 207	1 155	815	942	183	112	818	35	9	26	
St. David CDP, Cochise County .....	1 729	666	395	541	66	61	479	15	6	9	
St. Johns city, Apache County .....	3 151	989	660	1 214	181	107	1 137	118	118	-	
St. Michaels CDP, Apache County .....	1 213	306	150	545	168	44	489	82	40	42	
Salome CDP, La Paz County .....	1 690	780	448	328	44	90	284	-	-	-	
San Carlos CDP, Gila County .....	3 686	921	425	1 506	648	186	1 525	30	2	28	
San Luis city, Yuma County .....	13 002	3 018	2 176	6 080	1 474	254	5 389	2 320	2 316	4	
San Manuel CDP, Pinal County .....	4 375	1 458	966	1 575	246	130	1 409	-	-	-	
Santan CDP, Pinal County .....	651	163	54	267	128	39	266	-	-	-	
Santa Rosa CDP, Pima County .....	438	132	37	145	95	29	147	-	-	-	
Sawmill CDP, Apache County .....	602	161	75	260	95	11	246	10	-	10	
Scottsdale city, Maricopa County .....	201 028	90 669	44 972	45 863	6 727	12 797	38 488	1 677	479	1 198	
Second Mesa CDP, Navajo County .....	814	209	94	326	157	28	328	-	-	-	
Sedona city .....	10 157	4 928	2 395	1 618	342	874	1 358	35	3	32	
Coconino County (part) .....	2 960	1 537	754	360	87	222	273	3	3	-	
Yavapai County (part) .....	7 197	3 391	1 641	1 258	255	652	1 085	32	-	32	
Seligman CDP, Yavapai County .....	456	205	92	122	19	18	115	-	-	-	
Sells CDP, Pima County .....	2 756	690	179	1 068	635	184	1 124	43	35	8	
Shongopovi CDP, Navajo County .....	632	163	57	240	145	27	242	-	-	-	
Shonto CDP, Navajo County .....	568	149	80	244	77	18	243	-	-	-	
Show Low city, Navajo County .....	7 608	2 885	1 678	2 390	317	338	2 190	87	75	12	
Sierra Vista city, Cochise County .....	35 200	14 196	8 026	10 436	1 151	1 391	9 532	2 575	259	2 316	
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP, Cochise County .....	14 298	5 392	3 528	4 196	625	557	3 731	50	5	45	
Snowflake town, Navajo County .....	4 422	1 312	912	1 843	255	100	1 672	38	-	38	
Somerton city, Yuma County .....	7 262	1 818	1 289	3 271	735	149	2 795	4	-	4	
Sonoita CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	826	358	237	172	29	30	149	-	-	-	
South Tucson city, Pima County .....	5 321	1 810	632	1 859	689	331	1 671	169	-	169	
Springerville town, Apache County .....	1 917	753	381	609	97	77	562	55	55	-	
Spring Valley CDP, Yavapai County .....	973	427	242	209	55	40	187	46	-	46	
Stanfield CDP, Pinal County .....	651	187	84	245	100	35	207	-	-	-	
Steamboat CDP, Apache County .....	233	56	28	118	29	2	93	-	-	-	
Strawberry CDP, Gila County .....	1 028	491	317	166	27	27	136	-	-	-	
Summit CDP, Pima County .....	3 702	1 144	636	1 409	292	221	1 255	-	-	-	
Sun City CDP, Maricopa County .....	37 641	23 490	11 638	1 081	709	723	163	688	562	106	
Sun City West CDP, Maricopa County .....	26 083	14 997	10 060	423	300	303	22	261	193	68	
Sun Lakes CDP, Maricopa County .....	11 936	6 683	4 645	259	178	171	20	-	-	-	
Sun Valley CDP, Gila County .....	1 536	677	346	388	51	74	346	-	-	-	
Supai CDP, Coconino County .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Superior town, Pinal County .....	3 254	1 237	598	994	269	156	587	-	-	-	
Surprise city, Maricopa County .....	30 724	12 484	8 679	6 545	1 769	1 247	8 942	124	66	58	
Swift Trail Junction CDP, Graham County .....	1 278	499	284	389	50	56	347	917	908	9	
Tacna CDP, Yuma County .....	555	194	128	190	20	23	164	-	-	-	
Tanque Verde CDP, Pima County .....	16 116	5 810	4 434	4 894	555	423	4 050	79	13	66	
Taylor town, Navajo County .....	3 176	946	649	1 352	167	62	1 265	-	-	-	
Teec Nos Pos CDP, Apache County .....	799	227	98	361	90	23	330	-	-	-	
Tempe city, Maricopa County .....	153 383	63 602	24 404	36 144	8 318	20 915	30 602	5 242	406	4 836	
Thatcher town, Graham County .....	3 764	1 281	760	1 256	183	284	1 091	258	-	258	
Three Points CDP, Pima County .....	5 273	1 772	1 008	1 803	403	287	1 635	-	-	-	
Tolleson city, Maricopa County .....	4 974	1 432	754	1 786	721	281	1 568	-	-	-	
Tombstone city, Cochise County .....	1 504	694	330	318	77	85	280	-	-	-	
Tonalea CDP, Coconino County .....	562	123	66	276	88	9	274	-	-	-	
Tonto Basin CDP, Gila County .....	840	439	224	98	39	40	86	-	-	-	



Table 10. Household Relationship and Group Quarters Population: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Household population							Group quarters population			
	Total	Householder	Relationship to householder					Under 18 years, child and other relatives	Total	Institutionalized population	Non-institutionalized population
			Spouse	Child	Other relatives	Non-relatives					
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>											
Top-of-the-World CDP .....	319	130	65	94	13	17	89	11	-	11	
Gila County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pinal County (part) .....	319	130	65	94	13	17	89	11	-	11	
Tortolita CDP, Pima County .....	3 740	1 332	909	1 215	153	131	1 013	-	-	-	
Tsaile CDP, Apache County .....	970	244	123	456	135	12	414	108	2	106	
Tubac CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	949	481	285	132	23	28	113	-	-	-	
Tuba City CDP, Coconino County .....	8 134	2 036	998	3 541	1 305	254	3 484	91	91	-	
Tucson city, Pima County .....	467 517	192 891	76 546	133 162	29 043	35 875	116 022	19 182	7 942	11 240	
Tucson Estates CDP, Pima County .....	9 755	4 222	2 417	2 180	522	414	1 854	-	-	-	
Tumacacori-Carmen CDP, Santa Cruz County ...	569	223	118	152	53	23	133	-	-	-	
Tusayan CDP, Coconino County .....	527	222	67	133	43	62	132	35	-	35	
Vail CDP, Pima County .....	2 484	842	583	849	89	121	775	-	-	-	
Valencia West CDP, Pima County .....	2 380	676	384	987	224	109	888	-	-	-	
Wellton town, Yuma County .....	1 829	700	486	501	90	52	434	-	-	-	
Wenden CDP, La Paz County .....	556	207	120	165	38	26	159	-	-	-	
Whetstone CDP, Cochise County .....	2 354	904	517	716	105	112	636	-	-	-	
Whiteriver CDP, Navajo County .....	5 116	1 249	538	2 254	843	232	2 254	104	82	22	
Wickenburg town, Maricopa County .....	5 039	2 341	1 164	1 105	196	233	986	43	43	-	
Wilhoit CDP, Yavapai County .....	664	298	164	141	33	28	126	-	-	-	
Willcox city, Cochise County .....	3 663	1 383	714	1 185	230	151	1 074	70	27	43	
Williams city, Coconino County .....	2 842	1 057	540	916	188	141	823	-	-	-	
Williamson CDP, Yavapai County .....	3 769	1 558	1 166	805	122	118	665	7	7	-	
Willow Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	585	274	160	104	21	26	80	-	-	-	
Window Rock CDP, Apache County .....	2 993	876	383	1 242	378	114	1 092	66	32	34	
Winkelman town .....	443	160	75	167	23	18	128	-	-	-	
Gila County (part) .....	439	159	74	165	23	18	126	-	-	-	
Pinal County (part) .....	4	1	1	2	-	-	2	-	-	-	
Winslow city, Navajo County .....	7 875	2 754	1 382	2 848	546	345	2 674	1 645	1 587	58	
Winslow West CDP .....	131	30	8	75	5	13	72	-	-	-	
Coconino County (part) .....	131	30	8	75	5	13	72	-	-	-	
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Yarnell CDP, Yavapai County .....	645	359	150	85	18	33	68	-	-	-	
Young CDP, Gila County .....	561	250	150	128	15	18	115	-	-	-	
Youngtown town, Maricopa County .....	2 857	1 641	583	385	134	114	291	153	153	-	
Yuma city, Yuma County .....	74 371	26 649	15 081	25 055	4 365	3 221	22 530	3 144	1 065	2 079	

**Table 11. Housing Occupancy and Tenure: 2000**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Occupied housing units							Vacant housing units				
	Total housing units	Owner occupied			Renter occupied	Average household size		Total	For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use	Vacancy rate		
		Total	Number	Percent of occupied housing units		Owner- occupied units	Renter- occupied units			Available housing	Home- owner	Rental
<b>The State</b>	<b>2 189 189</b>	<b>1 901 327</b>	<b>1 293 556</b>	<b>68.0</b>	<b>607 771</b>	<b>2.69</b>	<b>2.53</b>	<b>287 862</b>	<b>141 965</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>9.2</b>
Apache County	31 621	19 971	14 846	74.3	5 125	3.42	3.40	11 650	6 530	5.3	2.0	13.5
Chinle CCD	8 641	5 550	3 841	69.2	1 709	3.74	3.57	3 091	1 801	2.8	0.6	7.4
Chinle CDP	1 644	1 358	555	40.9	803	4.06	3.68	286	107	2.9	0.4	4.6
Lukachukai CDP	634	423	334	79.0	89	3.72	3.61	211	133	6.2	0.6	22.6
Many Farms CDP	606	433	178	41.1	255	4.05	3.24	173	18	11.1	-	17.5
Nazlini CDP	129	101	67	66.3	34	4.04	3.59	28	17	1.0	1.5	-
Rough Rock CDP	152	113	72	63.7	41	4.07	4.29	39	15	0.9	1.4	-
Round Rock CDP	242	144	130	90.3	14	4.25	3.50	98	38	1.4	-	12.5
Tsaille CDP	345	244	109	44.7	135	4.39	3.64	101	25	2.0	0.9	2.9
Dennehotso CCD	712	450	375	83.3	75	4.05	3.87	262	140	0.2	-	1.3
Dennehotso CDP	233	168	127	75.6	41	4.35	4.41	65	33	-	-	-
Eagar-Springerville CCD	4 918	2 566	2 031	79.2	535	2.75	2.73	2 352	1 676	13.7	5.9	34.3
Eagar town	1 713	1 344	1 071	79.7	273	2.97	3.08	369	157	8.9	3.9	24.2
Springerville town (part)	618	536	375	70.0	161	2.70	2.42	82	23	5.6	4.3	8.5
Fort Apache CCD	248	102	49	48.0	53	3.29	3.94	146	122	7.3	2.0	11.7
McNary CDP (part)	101	91	43	47.3	48	3.51	4.13	10	1	6.2	2.3	9.4
Fort Defiance CCD	10 042	6 859	4 954	72.2	1 905	3.57	3.50	3 183	1 694	2.3	1.1	5.3
Burnside CDP	216	173	75	43.4	98	3.92	3.45	43	17	2.3	-	3.9
Fort Defiance CDP	1 321	1 115	687	61.6	428	3.59	3.64	206	36	1.8	0.6	3.6
Ganado CDP	507	422	210	49.8	212	3.86	3.11	85	38	3.4	-	6.6
Houck CDP	414	312	252	80.8	60	3.41	3.80	102	40	1.6	1.9	-
St. Michaels CDP	360	306	267	87.3	39	4.06	3.33	54	26	1.0	0.7	2.5
Sawmill CDP	241	161	147	91.3	14	3.71	4.00	80	21	1.8	1.3	6.7
Steamboat CDP	92	56	37	66.1	19	3.95	4.58	36	15	1.8	-	5.0
Window Rock CDP	998	876	330	37.7	546	3.65	3.27	122	22	6.7	0.6	10.0
Puerco CCD	862	593	439	74.0	154	3.70	3.06	269	102	3.9	2.0	8.9
St. Johns CCD	3 522	2 263	1 825	80.6	438	2.78	2.91	1 259	485	12.4	3.9	36.0
St. Johns city	1 392	989	755	76.3	234	3.19	3.19	403	51	18.6	1.7	47.7
Springerville town (part)	278	217	125	57.6	92	2.46	2.26	61	14	11.1	1.6	21.4
Sweetwater CCD	2 676	1 588	1 332	83.9	256	3.54	3.66	1 088	510	1.6	1.0	4.5
Red Mesa CDP	102	78	21	26.9	57	3.14	3.00	24	4	4.9	-	6.6
Rock Point CDP	229	168	112	66.7	56	4.35	4.23	61	15	1.8	-	5.1
Teec Nos Pos CDP	317	227	167	73.6	60	3.49	3.62	90	38	1.3	-	4.8
Cochise County	51 126	43 893	29 523	67.3	14 370	2.55	2.56	7 233	1 932	6.1	2.9	12.0
Benson CCD	5 867	4 561	3 637	79.7	924	2.38	2.44	1 306	643	6.7	3.1	18.6
Benson city	2 822	2 084	1 570	75.3	514	2.20	2.25	738	433	8.3	3.1	21.0
St. David CDP	892	666	544	81.7	122	2.56	2.75	226	99	6.2	2.9	18.7
Bisbee CCD	10 802	9 561	7 669	80.2	1 892	2.54	2.27	1 241	323	4.2	2.7	10.0
Bisbee city	3 316	2 810	1 847	65.7	963	2.20	2.05	506	134	5.7	3.3	10.1
Naco CDP	298	260	201	77.3	59	3.28	2.93	38	10	3.3	2.4	6.3
Sierra Vista city (part)	831	813	729	89.7	84	2.71	2.93	18	2	1.5	1.4	2.3
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP (part)	4 795	4 403	3 845	87.3	558	2.65	2.53	392	70	3.6	2.6	10.0
Bowie CCD	1 274	957	760	79.4	197	2.35	2.47	317	126	2.7	2.4	3.9
Douglas CCD	6 958	6 010	3 841	63.9	2 169	3.13	2.99	948	110	6.4	2.7	12.2
Douglas city	5 186	4 526	2 730	60.3	1 796	3.11	3.00	660	66	6.4	2.4	11.9
Pirtleville CDP	531	454	380	83.7	74	3.41	3.42	77	5	4.6	3.1	11.9
Elfrida CCD	1 716	1 329	1 037	78.0	292	2.40	2.57	387	129	7.0	6.0	10.4
Sierra Vista CCD	19 823	17 633	9 728	55.2	7 905	2.43	2.52	2 190	327	6.9	2.7	11.5
Huachuca City town	844	713	443	62.1	270	2.54	2.25	131	11	10.4	5.1	17.9
Sierra Vista city (part)	14 854	13 383	6 688	50.0	6 695	2.40	2.52	1 471	168	6.7	2.1	10.8
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP (part)	1 062	989	729	73.7	260	2.66	2.92	73	6	4.5	2.0	11.0
Tombstone city	839	694	471	67.9	223	2.24	2.00	145	51	9.8	7.1	14.9
Whetstone CDP	1 056	904	756	83.6	148	2.55	2.89	152	16	8.4	4.8	23.3
Willcox CCD	4 686	3 842	2 851	74.2	991	2.55	2.59	844	274	6.5	3.4	14.3
Willcox city	1 652	1 383	862	62.3	521	2.64	2.66	269	111	4.2	1.6	8.3
Coconino County	53 443	40 448	24 835	61.4	15 613	2.93	2.60	12 995	9 155	3.9	2.2	6.5
Coconino CCD	36 686	27 852	16 118	57.9	11 734	2.67	2.44	8 834	6 881	3.7	2.5	5.3
Flagstaff city	21 396	19 306	9 304	48.2	10 002	2.74	2.46	2 090	977	3.8	2.1	5.3
Kachina Village CDP	1 376	1 021	777	76.1	244	2.62	2.57	355	276	4.5	3.2	8.3
Mountaineer CDP	556	417	310	74.3	107	2.40	2.51	139	114	2.6	2.8	1.8
Munds Park CDP	2 994	583	509	87.3	74	2.15	2.09	2 411	2 110	11.5	12.7	2.6
Parks CDP (part)	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Sedona city (part)	1 829	1 537	1 090	70.9	447	1.93	1.92	292	193	3.6	2.4	6.3
Winslow West CDP (part)	32	30	-	-	30	-	4.37	2	2	-	(X)	-
Havasupai CCD	161	140	82	58.6	58	3.70	3.45	21	3	-	-	-
Supai CDP	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Hopi CCD	66	29	28	96.6	1	3.07	1.00	37	23	-	-	-
Hualapai CCD	3	1	1	100.0	-	2.00	-	2	1	-	-	(X)
Kaibab CCD	1 107	743	533	71.7	210	2.56	2.42	364	127	14.7	2.2	35.6
Fredonia town	455	359	293	81.6	66	2.84	3.11	96	18	2.4	0.3	10.8
Kaibab CDP (part)	1	1	1	100.0	-	5.00	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Tuba City CCD	10 575	8 325	6 161	74.0	2 164	3.74	3.59	2 250	1 168	2.5	1.1	6.3
Bitter Springs CDP	127	104	103	99.0	1	5.17	14.00	23	13	1.0	-	50.0
Cameron CDP	317	236	195	82.6	41	4.08	4.44	81	46	1.3	0.5	4.7
Kaibito CDP	398	333	243	73.0	90	4.81	4.88	65	27	3.8	0.4	11.8
Lechee CDP	386	332	303	91.3	29	4.82	5.00	54	15	0.9	-	9.4
Leupp CDP	277	228	131	57.5	97	4.25	4.26	49	15	0.4	0.8	-
Moenkopi CDP	284	242	138	57.0	104	3.43	4.12	42	33	-	-	-
Page city	2 606	2 342	1 748	74.6	594	2.95	2.77	264	76	5.4	2.3	13.3
Tonalea CDP	135	123	81	65.9	42	4.44	4.81	12	7	0.8	1.2	-
Tuba City CDP	2 445	2 036	1 093	53.7	943	4.33	3.61	409	163	1.9	0.5	3.5
Williams CCD	4 845	3 358	1 912	56.9	1 446	2.56	2.39	1 487	952	6.7	4.0	10.0
Grand Canyon Village CDP	791	651	98	15.1	553	2.60	2.11	140	78	6.1	3.0	6.6
Parks CDP (part)	918	462	417	90.3	45	2.50	2.09	456	396	5.9	4.1	19.6
Tusayan CDP	313	222	24	10.8	198	2.38	2.37	91	34	12.3	-	13.5
Williams city	1 204	1 057	639	60.5	418	2.66	2.73	147	52	4.6	2.9	7.1
Gila County	28 189	20 140	15 858	78.7	4 282	2.47	2.61	8 049	5 725	5.0	3.2	11.3
Globe-Miami CCD	8 880	7 384	5 693	77.1	1 691	2.49	2.57	1 496	470	5.9	3.6	12.8
Central Heights-Midland City CDP	1 175	1 061	888	83.7	173	2.52	2.64	114	16	3.9	2.6	9.9
Claypool CDP	786	683	540	79.1	143	2.54	2.95	103	9	4.1	3.7	5.3
Globe city	3 172	2 814	2 055	73.0	759	2.53	2.36	358	32	4.6	2.2	10.6

Table 11. Housing Occupancy and Tenure: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Occupied housing units							Vacant housing units				
	Total housing units	Owner occupied			Renter occupied	Average household size		Total	For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use	Vacancy rate		
		Total	Number	Percent of occupied housing units		Owner- occupied units	Renter- occupied units			Available housing	Home- owner	Rental
Gila County—Con.												
Globe-Miami CCD—Con.												
Miami town	930	754	502	66.6	252	2.47	2.77	176	7	8.7	5.8	14.0
Top-of-the-World CDP (part)	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Payson CCD	14 921	9 447	7 537	79.8	1 910	2.27	2.35	5 474	4 619	5.2	3.2	12.2
Payson town	7 033	5 832	4 480	76.8	1 352	2.28	2.36	1 201	779	4.4	3.1	8.6
Pine CDP	2 242	882	780	88.4	102	2.19	2.19	1 360	1 249	8.0	4.2	29.7
Strawberry CDP	1 165	491	421	85.7	70	2.10	2.09	674	643	3.5	3.2	5.4
Sun Valley CDP	1 017	677	541	79.9	136	2.26	2.32	340	214	10.4	4.1	29.2
Reservation CCD	1 817	1 579	1 143	72.4	436	3.93	3.90	238	90	0.9	0.7	1.4
Canyon Day CDP	301	271	221	81.5	50	3.95	4.40	30	2	0.4	-	2.0
Peridot CDP (part)	114	101	89	88.1	12	3.58	4.58	13	-	1.0	1.1	-
San Carlos CDP	994	921	588	63.8	333	4.07	3.88	73	13	0.5	0.2	1.2
Tonto CCD	1 941	1 196	1 027	85.9	169	2.09	2.30	745	540	4.2	3.5	8.6
Gisela CDP	295	225	186	82.7	39	2.27	2.82	70	41	1.3	1.1	2.5
Tonto Basin CDP	726	439	387	88.2	52	1.89	2.06	287	219	4.1	3.3	10.3
Young CDP	446	250	213	85.2	37	2.26	2.14	196	145	7.1	6.6	9.8
Winkelman CCD	630	534	458	85.8	76	2.87	3.25	96	6	4.6	3.4	11.6
Hayden town (part)	334	288	254	88.2	34	3.05	3.44	46	2	3.0	3.1	2.9
Winkelman town (part)	193	159	132	83.0	27	2.67	3.19	34	-	8.6	4.3	25.0
Graham County	11 430	10 116	7 406	73.2	2 710	2.98	3.03	1 314	289	5.0	2.7	10.6
Bonita-Klondyke CCD	424	287	199	69.3	88	2.43	2.45	137	70	3.4	2.9	4.3
Swift Trail Junction CDP (part)	107	96	76	79.2	20	2.33	2.35	11	3	5.9	3.8	13.0
Pima CCD	1 402	1 236	988	79.9	248	3.07	3.17	166	32	5.1	3.6	10.5
Pima town	735	663	518	78.1	145	3.01	2.98	72	5	6.0	4.3	11.6
Safford CCD	8 496	7 564	5 643	74.6	1 921	2.83	2.69	932	164	5.6	2.8	13.0
Safford city	3 718	3 331	2 336	70.1	995	2.79	2.48	387	45	6.2	3.3	12.6
Swift Trail Junction CDP (part)	454	403	316	78.4	87	2.63	2.56	51	7	6.9	5.1	13.0
Thatcher town	1 427	1 281	923	72.1	358	2.99	2.81	146	12	7.4	2.8	17.5
San Carlos CCD	1 108	1 029	576	56.0	453	4.46	4.48	79	23	0.3	0.2	0.4
Peridot CDP (part)	232	206	191	92.7	15	4.39	3.60	26	3	0.5	0.5	-
Greenlee County	3 744	3 117	1 578	50.6	1 539	2.65	2.82	627	124	7.5	3.4	11.2
Clifton CCD	2 331	1 981	683	34.5	1 298	2.63	2.89	350	70	6.7	2.8	8.6
Clifton town	1 087	919	347	37.8	572	2.44	3.02	168	12	6.9	3.3	8.9
Morenci CDP	754	672	5	0.7	667	3.00	2.79	82	13	7.6	-	7.6
Duncan CCD	1 413	1 136	895	78.8	241	2.67	2.46	277	54	8.8	3.9	23.2
Duncan town	384	294	203	69.0	91	2.86	2.54	90	5	12.5	5.6	24.8
La Paz County	15 133	8 362	6 521	78.0	1 841	2.22	2.69	6 771	5 237	6.4	3.7	14.8
Parker CCD	15 133	8 362	6 521	78.0	1 841	2.22	2.69	6 771	5 237	6.4	3.7	14.8
Bluewater CDP	537	337	264	78.3	73	2.00	2.75	200	171	4.8	5.0	3.9
Bouse CDP	562	320	282	88.1	38	1.94	1.76	242	186	5.3	3.8	15.6
Cibola CDP	161	65	47	72.3	18	2.23	3.72	96	45	4.4	6.0	-
Ehrenberg CDP	824	545	337	61.8	208	2.42	2.60	279	167	10.5	4.5	18.8
Parker town	1 157	1 064	705	66.3	359	3.01	2.77	93	14	3.1	1.8	5.5
Parker Strip CDP	4 925	1 589	1 215	76.5	374	2.03	2.25	3 336	2 904	8.6	7.0	13.6
Poston CDP	110	101	47	46.5	54	4.09	3.65	9	-	4.7	-	8.5
Quartzsite town	3 186	1 850	1 649	89.1	201	1.79	2.00	1 336	1 058	5.7	3.5	20.2
Salome CDP	1 176	780	668	85.6	112	2.00	3.18	396	261	3.8	3.5	5.9
Wenden CDP	309	207	155	74.9	52	2.48	3.29	102	39	4.6	3.7	7.1
Maricopa County	1 250 231	1 132 886	764 547	67.5	368 339	2.74	2.54	117 345	49 584	4.2	1.8	8.7
Buckeye CCD	6 298	5 744	4 202	73.2	1 542	3.03	3.27	554	96	3.4	2.4	6.1
Buckeye town (part)	2 313	2 134	1 423	66.7	711	3.08	2.91	179	24	4.5	3.0	7.3
Surprise city (part)	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Chandler CCD	82 754	76 413	60 753	79.5	15 660	2.83	2.75	6 341	1 910	4.1	2.0	11.6
Chandler city (part)	57 262	53 303	39 349	73.8	13 954	2.88	2.71	3 959	994	4.3	1.6	11.2
Gilbert town (part)	9 202	8 578	8 145	95.0	433	3.07	3.57	624	58	4.2	4.2	3.6
Mesa city (part)	800	377	50	13.3	327	4.08	2.99	423	12	33.6	28.6	34.3
Phoenix city (part)	1	1	-	-	1	1.00	-	-	-	-	(X)	-
Queen Creek town (part)	1 229	1 172	1 079	92.1	93	3.51	4.44	57	14	2.0	1.7	5.1
Sun Lakes CDP	7 746	6 683	6 420	96.1	263	1.79	1.60	1 063	797	2.7	1.6	22.9
Tempe city (part)	2 946	2 890	2 758	95.4	132	3.00	2.77	56	16	1.0	0.8	3.6
Deer Valley CCD	58 784	53 711	47 914	89.2	5 797	2.76	2.36	5 073	2 136	3.4	2.0	13.5
Carefree town (part)	1 563	1 206	1 052	87.2	154	2.14	1.62	357	285	3.4	2.7	8.3
Cave Creek town	1 753	1 571	1 290	82.1	281	2.41	2.22	182	100	2.5	1.6	6.3
Glendale city (part)	16 823	15 995	13 335	83.4	2 660	3.00	2.30	828	132	3.2	1.3	12.1
New River CDP	4 514	3 921	3 627	92.5	294	2.74	2.53	593	95	6.1	5.6	11.4
Peoria city (part)	12 528	11 259	10 095	89.7	1 164	2.53	2.12	1 269	542	4.2	3.0	13.5
Phoenix city (part)	15 221	14 555	13 658	93.8	897	2.84	2.97	666	109	2.6	1.1	21.0
Scottsdale city (part)	2 597	1 882	1 753	93.1	129	2.33	2.22	715	625	3.5	3.0	9.8
Gila Bend CCD	3 151	2 758	2 201	79.8	557	3.02	3.25	393	65	4.5	3.8	7.5
Avondale city (part)	7	7	7	100.0	-	4.14	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Buckeye town (part)	11	4	4	40.0	6	1.50	5.83	1	-	-	-	-
Gila Bend town	766	659	384	58.3	275	3.01	2.99	107	18	8.3	6.3	11.0
Goodyear city (part)	1 096	979	943	96.3	36	2.81	3.56	117	9	4.5	4.5	5.3
Phoenix CCD	1 058 416	961 155	619 997	64.5	341 158	2.75	2.53	97 261	40 565	4.2	1.7	8.3
Apache Junction city (part)	328	163	103	63.2	60	1.68	1.67	165	105	26.9	1.0	49.6
Avondale city (part)	11 412	10 633	8 249	77.6	2 384	3.35	3.39	779	58	5.0	2.7	12.2
Buckeye town (part)	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Chandler city (part)	9 330	9 074	6 560	72.3	2 514	2.76	2.60	256	51	1.8	0.8	4.2
El Mirage city	3 162	2 121	1 513	71.3	608	3.42	4.01	1 041	436	6.1	3.4	12.1
Fountain Hills town	10 491	8 653	7 243	83.7	1 410	2.34	2.32	1 838	1 233	5.5	2.9	17.2
Gilbert town (part)	27 805	26 827	21 922	81.7	4 905	3.20	2.64	978	215	2.1	1.3	5.3
Glendale city (part)	62 844	59 705	35 752	59.9	23 953	2.96	2.67	3 139	194	3.3	1.4	6.1
Goodyear city (part)	5 675	5 200	4 293	82.6	907	2.62	2.77	475	198	3.0	2.3	5.9
Guadalupe town	1 184	1 110	759	68.4	351	4.90	4.28	74	4	1.1	0.5	2.2
Litchfield Park city	1 633	1 508	1 313	87.1	195	2.48	2.69	125	68	2.5	1.6	8.0
Mesa city (part)	174 901	146 266	97 258	66.5	49 008	2.74	2.54	28 635	18 091	5.2	2.3	10.5
Paradise Valley town	5 499	5 034	4 885	97.0	149	2.73	2.20	465	185	2.5	2.1	12.4
Peoria city (part)	30 041	27 923	22 925	82.1	4 998	2.89	2.53	2 118	729	3.4	2.1	9.2
Phoenix city (part)	480 610	451 278	269 012	59.6	182 266	2.89	2.63	29 332	4 436	4.1	1.4	7.8
Rio Verde CDP (part)	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Scottsdale city (part)	101 329	88 295	60 904	69.0	27 391	2.32	1.97	13 034	6 873	5.0	2.2	10.6

Table 11. Housing Occupancy and Tenure: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Occupied housing units							Vacant housing units				
	Total housing units	Owner occupied			Renter occupied	Average household size		Total	For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use	Vacancy rate		
		Total	Number	Percent of occupied housing units		Owner- occupied units	Renter- occupied units			Available housing	Home- owner	Rental
Maricopa County—Con.												
Phoenix CCD—Con.												
Sun City CDP	27 731	23 490	20 865	88.8	2 625	1.63	1.40	4 241	1 996	4.4	3.2	12.6
Surprise city (part)	5 900	4 764	3 969	83.3	795	2.79	3.94	1 136	671	6.1	2.2	21.5
Tempe city (part)	64 122	60 712	29 686	48.9	31 026	2.56	2.22	3 410	544	3.6	1.0	6.1
Tolleson city	1 485	1 432	940	65.6	492	3.61	3.21	53	-	1.5	0.9	2.6
Youngtown town	1 783	1 641	1 015	61.9	626	1.81	1.62	142	31	3.6	2.1	6.0
St. Johns CCD	685	629	380	60.4	249	3.92	4.67	56	2	0.5	-	1.2
Phoenix city (part)	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Salt River CCD	2 803	2 192	1 781	81.3	411	3.11	4.00	611	453	2.2	1.9	3.5
Mesa city (part)	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Tempe city (part)	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Tonto CCD	2 976	1 919	1 817	94.7	102	2.16	1.98	1 057	894	3.9	3.5	9.7
Carefree town (part)	206	183	173	94.5	10	2.28	2.70	23	14	2.7	2.8	-
Rio Verde CDP (part)	1 168	761	736	96.7	25	1.88	1.56	407	388	1.2	1.1	3.8
Scottsdale city (part)	1 048	492	480	97.6	12	2.19	1.92	556	440	9.9	8.4	45.5
Wickenburg CCD	34 364	28 365	25 502	89.9	2 863	1.97	2.13	5 999	3 463	5.1	2.6	23.2
Buckeye town (part)	20	14	12	85.7	2	2.75	1.00	6	2	6.7	7.7	-
Peoria city (part)	1	1	1	100.0	-	2.00	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Sun City West CDP	17 359	14 997	14 292	95.3	705	1.75	1.43	2 362	1 589	3.5	2.0	25.7
Surprise city (part)	10 360	7 720	7 053	91.4	667	2.13	2.19	2 640	1 492	8.7	3.8	40.6
Wickenburg town	2 691	2 341	1 519	64.9	822	2.19	2.08	350	175	3.7	2.3	6.3
Mohave County	80 062	62 809	46 218	73.6	16 591	2.38	2.64	17 253	9 956	5.2	3.7	9.2
Kingman North CCD	24 575	20 510	15 723	76.7	4 787	2.41	2.54	4 065	1 426	5.9	4.1	11.2
Bullhead City city (part)	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Dolan Springs CDP	1 311	912	802	87.9	110	2.05	2.05	399	132	10.1	8.4	20.9
Golden Valley CDP	2 175	1 822	1 552	85.2	270	2.42	2.84	353	90	6.2	4.6	14.3
Kingman city	8 604	7 854	5 604	71.4	2 250	2.54	2.30	750	63	4.8	2.9	9.1
New Kingman-Butler CDP	6 630	5 895	4 355	73.9	1 540	2.40	2.83	735	81	6.6	4.5	12.1
Peach Springs CDP	219	166	95	57.2	71	3.56	3.69	53	7	3.5	1.0	6.6
Kingman South CCD	53 716	40 962	29 630	72.3	11 332	2.31	2.56	12 754	8 274	4.9	3.4	8.5
Arizona Village CDP	93	83	2	2.4	81	4.50	4.22	10	-	1.2	-	1.2
Bullhead City city (part)	18 430	13 909	8 383	60.3	5 526	2.31	2.58	4 521	2 448	6.4	4.4	9.2
Desert Hills CDP	1 463	997	801	80.3	196	2.10	2.57	466	376	3.5	3.3	4.4
Lake Havasu City city	23 018	17 911	13 903	77.6	4 008	2.29	2.45	5 107	3 971	3.6	2.3	7.8
Mesquite Creek CDP	142	106	101	95.3	5	1.92	2.20	36	29	3.6	2.9	16.7
Mohave Valley CDP	6 672	5 217	4 139	79.3	1 078	2.57	2.77	1 455	716	5.2	4.3	8.4
Mojave Ranch Estates CDP	6	6	4	66.7	2	4.25	5.50	-	-	-	-	-
Willow Valley CDP	464	274	231	84.3	43	2.07	2.49	190	151	5.8	6.5	2.3
Mohave North CCD	1 771	1 337	865	64.7	472	4.02	5.81	434	256	4.2	3.1	6.0
Colorado City town	457	444	183	41.2	261	6.87	7.95	13	2	0.2	-	0.4
Kaibab CDP (part)	115	87	52	59.8	35	3.02	3.23	28	14	6.5	-	14.6
Navajo County	47 413	30 043	22 667	75.4	7 376	3.17	3.18	17 370	13 007	4.5	2.8	9.6
Apache CCD	2 855	2 582	1 598	61.9	984	4.19	3.78	273	97	0.6	0.1	1.3
Cibecue CDP	344	323	223	69.0	100	4.28	3.72	21	3	0.6	-	2.0
East Fork CDP	228	209	179	85.6	30	4.29	3.73	19	-	-	-	-
McNary CDP (part)	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Whiteriver CDP	1 330	1 249	679	54.4	570	4.17	4.01	81	3	0.6	0.1	1.2
Hopi CCD	2 583	1 950	1 487	76.3	463	3.65	3.00	633	434	0.6	0.1	2.1
First Mesa CDP	367	294	257	87.4	37	3.85	3.62	73	55	0.3	-	2.6
Hotevilla-Bacavi CDP	331	246	203	82.5	43	3.13	3.05	85	63	0.4	-	2.3
Jeddito CDP	126	99	23	23.2	76	4.48	3.78	27	20	-	-	-
Keams Canyon CDP	106	74	23	31.1	51	3.39	2.41	32	15	3.9	-	5.6
Kykotsmovi Village CDP	302	253	195	77.1	58	3.24	2.50	49	36	0.4	-	1.7
Second Mesa CDP	255	209	173	82.8	36	4.06	3.11	46	32	0.5	0.6	-
Shongopovi CDP	190	163	151	92.6	12	3.87	3.92	27	18	0.6	0.7	-
Indian Wells CCD	3 040	1 844	1 495	81.1	349	3.87	4.06	1 196	750	1.9	1.2	4.9
Dilkon CDP	379	298	140	47.0	158	4.43	4.08	81	39	2.0	-	3.7
Greasewood CDP	182	126	69	54.8	57	4.52	3.63	56	15	8.0	1.4	14.9
Little Colorado CCD	6 408	5 424	3 646	67.2	1 778	2.90	2.93	984	125	6.5	2.0	14.4
Holbrook city	1 906	1 626	1 109	68.2	517	2.99	2.80	280	16	9.5	3.1	20.8
Winslow city	3 198	2 754	1 764	64.1	990	2.79	2.98	444	31	5.3	1.2	11.9
Winslow West CDP (part)	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Pinon CCD	3 228	2 040	1 504	73.7	536	3.91	4.08	1 188	798	2.7	0.7	7.7
Pinon CDP	372	296	108	36.5	188	4.44	3.78	76	25	6.6	-	10.0
Snowflake CCD	25 298	13 473	10 903	80.9	2 570	2.70	2.72	11 825	10 056	5.6	4.4	10.5
Heber-Overgaard CDP	3 178	1 154	1 001	86.7	153	2.32	2.60	2 024	1 878	7.6	7.5	8.4
Pinetop-Lakeside town	2 750	1 436	1 063	74.0	373	2.50	2.42	1 314	1 153	5.6	3.5	11.0
Show Low city	4 337	2 885	2 120	73.5	765	2.63	2.67	1 452	1 190	4.2	3.2	7.0
Snowflake town	1 536	1 312	1 046	79.7	266	3.42	3.19	224	104	4.9	2.3	13.6
Taylor town	1 041	946	785	83.0	161	3.37	3.28	95	28	3.1	3.0	3.6
Western CCD	4 001	2 730	2 034	74.5	696	3.89	3.67	1 271	747	4.3	1.5	11.7
Chilchinbito CDP	167	111	89	80.2	22	3.89	5.27	56	41	0.9	-	4.3
Kayenta CDP	1 472	1 245	739	59.4	506	4.20	3.57	227	92	4.5	1.2	8.8
Ojito-Monument Valley CDP	58	37	33	89.2	4	4.09	5.00	21	9	-	-	-
Shonto CDP	220	149	71	47.7	78	3.87	3.76	71	19	21.6	4.1	32.8
Pima County	366 737	332 350	213 603	64.3	118 747	2.59	2.26	34 387	10 622	4.6	1.8	9.2
Ajo CCD	2 623	1 756	1 361	77.5	395	2.10	2.63	867	370	11.8	10.7	15.4
Ajo CDP	2 485	1 659	1 298	78.2	361	2.10	2.68	826	357	11.5	10.6	14.7
Arivaca CCD	24 454	20 215	17 417	86.2	2 798	2.45	2.72	4 239	1 783	6.9	3.6	23.0
Drexel Heights CDP (part)	4 250	4 059	3 440	84.7	619	3.27	3.40	191	16	2.7	1.6	8.4
East Sahuarita CDP (part)	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Green Valley CDP (part)	12 956	9 701	8 751	90.2	950	1.73	1.58	3 255	1 579	10.6	4.9	42.6
Sahuarita town (part)	1 235	1 144	919	80.3	225	2.85	2.48	1 131	13	4.7	3.4	9.6
Three Points CDP (part)	1 769	1 565	1 367	87.3	198	3.05	2.72	204	31	4.4	3.7	8.8
Valencia West CDP	738	676	589	87.1	87	3.55	3.30	62	7	5.2	4.5	9.4
Marana CCD	9 880	8 931	7 635	85.5	1 296	2.82	2.82	949	245	3.9	2.4	12.0
Avra Valley CDP	1 895	1 714	1 437	83.8	277	2.97	2.79	181	32	4.8	3.2	12.3
Marana town (part)	577	523	352	67.3	171	2.79	2.97	54	21	2.8	1.9	4.5
Picture Rocks CDP (part)	3 088	2 883	2 538	88.0	345	2.85	2.63	205	35	2.6	1.8	7.8
Three Points CDP (part)	253	207	176	85.0	31	2.84	2.32	46	21	7.2	5.9	13.9
Tucson Estates CDP (part)	2 756	2 466	2 169	88.0	297	2.70	2.91	290	105	4.2	2.0	17.7
Papago CCD	2 457	1 997	1 345	67.4	652	3.77	3.64	460	121	1.5	0.5	3.4

Table 11. Housing Occupancy and Tenure: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Occupied housing units							Vacant housing units					
	Total housing units	Owner occupied			Renter occupied	Average household size		Total	For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use	Vacancy rate			
		Total	Number	Percent of occupied housing units		Owner- occupied units	Renter- occupied units			Available housing	Home- owner	Rental	
Pima County—Con.													
Papago CCD—Con.													
Pisinemo CDP .....	87	70	60	85.7	10	3.33	3.70	17	5	-	-	-	-
Santa Rosa CDP .....	150	132	116	87.9	16	3.34	3.13	18	4	-	-	-	-
Sells CDP .....	810	690	419	60.7	271	4.15	3.75	120	22	3.2	0.2	7.5	-
Tucson CCD .....	327 323	299 451	185 845	62.1	113 606	2.59	2.23	27 872	8 103	4.4	1.6	8.8	-
Casas Adobes CDP .....	23 655	22 066	15 029	68.1	7 037	2.58	2.02	1 589	445	4.0	1.2	9.5	-
Catalina CDP .....	2 755	2 567	2 206	85.9	361	2.68	2.60	188	38	3.3	1.6	12.2	-
Catalina Foothills CDP .....	26 372	23 948	17 018	71.1	6 930	2.41	1.79	2 424	1 228	3.6	1.2	9.2	-
Corona de Tucson CDP .....	395	376	344	91.5	32	2.15	2.25	19	8	1.1	0.9	3.0	-
Drexel-Alvernon CDP .....	1 417	1 328	955	71.9	373	3.29	2.82	79	7	3.8	1.5	9.0	-
Drexel Heights CDP (part) .....	3 707	3 446	2 820	81.8	626	3.01	3.12	261	24	3.4	1.6	10.8	-
East Sahuarita CDP (part) .....	512	472	367	77.8	105	2.98	3.11	40	3	3.3	0.8	11.0	-
Flowing Wells CDP .....	7 210	6 250	4 968	79.5	1 282	2.36	2.60	960	300	6.6	2.7	19.2	-
Green Valley CDP (part) .....	307	294	57	19.4	237	1.53	1.30	13	-	1.0	-	1.3	-
Littletown CDP .....	329	313	277	88.5	36	3.22	3.25	16	-	2.8	2.8	2.7	-
Marana town (part) .....	5 125	4 421	3 738	84.6	683	2.69	2.35	704	203	7.8	3.7	25.0	-
Oro Valley town .....	13 946	12 249	10 319	84.2	1 930	2.47	2.12	1 697	873	4.3	2.2	14.5	-
Picture Rocks CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Sahuarita town (part) .....	12	11	10	90.9	1	3.30	2.00	1	-	-	-	-	-
South Tucson city .....	2 059	1 810	732	40.4	1 078	3.25	2.73	249	9	6.5	1.7	9.4	-
Summit CDP .....	1 259	1 144	902	78.8	242	3.28	3.06	115	13	4.0	1.4	12.6	-
Tanque Verde CDP .....	6 056	5 810	5 491	94.5	319	2.79	2.48	246	117	1.0	0.8	5.3	-
Tortolita CDP .....	1 408	1 332	1 118	83.9	214	2.89	2.38	76	19	2.5	0.8	10.5	-
Tucson city .....	209 609	192 891	103 056	53.4	89 835	2.58	2.24	16 718	3 472	4.7	1.6	8.1	-
Tucson Estates CDP (part) .....	2 135	1 756	1 650	94.0	106	1.72	1.79	379	269	2.8	1.8	15.2	-
Vail CDP .....	906	842	799	94.9	43	2.95	2.95	64	14	2.5	1.8	14.0	-
Pinal County .....	81 154	61 364	47 498	77.4	13 866	2.61	2.93	19 790	11 764	7.2	4.0	16.8	-
Casa Grande CCD .....	14 853	12 171	8 291	68.1	3 880	2.79	2.86	2 682	1 124	7.6	2.5	17.0	-
Casa Grande city (part) .....	11 032	8 912	5 707	64.0	3 205	2.80	2.79	2 120	861	9.0	2.7	18.4	-
Coolidge CCD .....	4 767	3 903	2 660	68.2	1 243	2.89	3.20	864	453	4.3	2.4	8.2	-
Coolidge city .....	3 212	2 585	1 734	67.1	851	2.91	3.17	627	370	3.7	1.6	7.8	-
Eloy CCD .....	5 755	4 983	3 510	70.4	1 473	3.03	3.29	772	255	6.0	3.8	10.8	-
Arizona City CDP .....	2 197	1 770	1 467	82.9	303	2.43	2.69	427	206	8.5	5.8	19.6	-
Casa Grande city (part) .....	9	8	6	75.0	2	2.33	3.00	1	-	-	-	-	-
Eloy city .....	2 734	2 492	1 548	62.1	944	3.62	3.48	242	22	5.2	2.5	9.2	-
Florence CCD .....	4 802	3 597	2 684	74.6	913	2.44	2.62	1 205	691	6.9	2.9	16.8	-
Florence town .....	3 216	2 226	1 579	70.9	647	2.29	2.49	990	628	9.2	4.2	19.4	-
Gila River CCD .....	2 223	2 063	1 350	65.4	713	3.94	3.99	160	20	0.6	0.6	0.6	-
Blackwater CDP .....	140	135	72	53.3	63	4.11	3.30	5	-	-	-	-	-
Sacaton CDP .....	387	378	241	63.8	137	4.00	3.45	9	1	0.5	-	1.4	-
Santan CDP .....	185	163	145	89.0	18	4.13	2.89	22	7	1.2	1.4	-	-
Maricopa-Stanfield CCD .....	3 166	2 647	1 939	73.3	708	3.07	3.55	519	224	3.9	2.9	6.7	-
Ak-Chin Village CDP .....	212	197	140	71.1	57	3.42	3.33	15	2	-	-	-	-
Maricopa CDP .....	329	292	173	59.2	119	3.55	3.58	37	6	3.6	1.1	7.0	-
Stanfield CDP .....	202	187	101	54.0	86	3.51	3.44	15	1	4.1	1.9	6.5	-
North Pinal CCD .....	37 406	25 051	21 093	84.2	3 958	2.35	2.56	12 355	8 707	8.8	5.6	22.6	-
Apache Junction city (part) .....	22 443	13 612	11 207	82.3	2 405	2.28	2.40	8 831	6 692	9.8	5.4	25.8	-
Gold Camp CDP .....	4 139	2 785	2 546	91.4	239	2.14	2.47	1 354	902	12.4	11.4	21.1	-
Hayden town (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)
Kearny town .....	873	791	639	80.8	152	2.79	3.05	82	12	6.3	4.2	14.1	-
Queen Creek town (part) .....	52	46	41	89.1	5	2.59	2.60	6	1	-	-	-	-
Queen Valley CDP .....	595	417	387	92.8	30	1.96	2.00	178	115	7.7	8.1	3.2	-
Superior town .....	1 470	1 237	903	73.0	334	2.62	2.67	233	11	3.9	1.4	10.0	-
Top-of-the-World CDP (part) .....	184	130	96	73.8	34	2.48	2.38	54	22	9.7	4.0	22.7	-
Papago CCD .....	204	179	148	82.7	31	4.14	4.16	25	3	-	-	-	-
Chuichu CDP .....	88	84	70	83.3	14	3.99	4.29	4	2	-	-	-	-
San Manuel CCD .....	7 978	6 770	5 823	86.0	947	2.48	2.80	1 208	287	6.9	3.0	25.0	-
Dudleyville CDP .....	572	454	388	85.5	66	2.92	2.89	118	18	9.7	1.5	39.4	-
Mammoth town .....	697	562	437	77.8	125	3.16	3.03	135	10	11.4	5.0	28.2	-
Oracle CDP .....	1 534	1 384	1 070	77.3	314	2.64	2.37	150	22	5.6	2.1	15.8	-
San Manuel CDP .....	1 832	1 458	1 202	82.4	256	2.95	3.23	374	20	11.3	5.4	31.4	-
Winkelman town (part) .....	1	1	-	-	1	-	4.00	-	-	-	(X)	-	-
Santa Cruz County .....	13 036	11 809	8 026	68.0	3 783	3.30	3.10	1 227	330	4.2	2.1	8.2	-
Nogales CCD .....	11 494	10 561	7 070	66.9	3 491	3.44	3.18	933	173	4.2	2.0	8.2	-
Amado CDP .....	107	104	69	66.3	35	2.49	2.94	3	1	-	-	-	-
Nogales city .....	6 501	5 985	3 429	57.3	2 556	3.57	3.31	516	57	4.4	1.9	7.6	-
Rio Rico Northeast CDP .....	1 099	1 013	863	85.2	150	3.18	2.79	86	8	5.1	3.5	13.3	-
Rio Rico Northwest CDP .....	830	784	457	58.3	327	4.26	2.86	46	3	4.7	0.9	9.7	-
Rio Rico Southeast CDP .....	439	415	384	92.5	31	3.83	3.87	24	6	2.4	2.3	3.1	-
Rio Rico Southwest CDP .....	749	726	679	93.5	47	3.86	3.36	23	3	1.1	1.0	2.1	-
Tubac CDP .....	569	481	414	86.1	67	2.01	1.76	88	39	6.2	4.4	16.3	-
Tumacacori-Carmen CDP .....	252	223	155	69.5	68	2.59	2.46	29	5	3.5	-	10.5	-
Patagonia CCD .....	1 542	1 248	956	76.6	292	2.26	2.12	294	157	4.1	2.9	7.9	-
Elgin CDP .....	153	123	98	79.7	25	2.42	2.88	30	19	2.4	3.0	-	-
Patagonia town .....	498	404	278	68.8	126	2.29	1.94	94	34	5.8	3.8	10.0	-
Sonoita CDP .....	401	358	311	86.9	47	2.30	2.34	43	27	2.2	2.2	2.1	-
Yavapai County .....	81 730	70 171	51 519	73.4	18 652	2.32	2.36	11 559	6 048	4.1	2.7	7.6	-
Ashfork CCD .....	1 532	774	610	78.8	164	2.40	2.61	758	528	4.9	3.5	9.9	-
Ash Fork CDP .....	189	149	105	70.5	44	2.73	3.86	40	5	3.2	1.9	6.4	-
Seligman CDP .....	281	205	133	64.9	72	2.25	2.18	76	15	6.8	2.2	14.3	-
Congress CCD .....	5 160	3 934	3 290	83.6	644	2.13	2.16	1 226	723	4.4	3.2	9.7	-
Black Canyon City CDP (part) .....	1 409	1 241	1 025	82.6	216	2.15	2.26	168	60	3.9	2.9	8.5	-
Congress CDP .....	1 070	821	734	89.4	87	2.09	2.11	249	130	3.0	2.7	5.4	-
Cordes Lakes CDP (part) .....	10	4	3	75.0	1	2.67	5.00	6	1	-	-	-	-
Mayer CDP (part) .....	2	2	1	50.0	1	3.00	2.00	1	-	-	-	-	-
Peoples Valley CDP .....	230	176	160	90.9	16	2.09	2.50	54	30	7.9	8.6	-	-
Peoria city (part) .....	3	1	1	100.0	-	1.00	-	2	1	-	-	(X)	-
Spring Valley CDP .....	493	427	371	86.9	56	2.33	1.95	66	21	5.3	3.4	16.4	-
Wilhoit CDP .....	363	298	270	90.6	28	2.24	2.14	65	38	2.6	2.2	6.7	-
Yarnell CDP .....	516	359	285	79.4	74	1.84	1.64	157	116	6.0	4.4	11.9	-
Humboldt CCD .....	2 609	2 160	1 760	81.5	400	2.39	2.57	449	150	5.2	4.5	8.5	-
Black Canyon City CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	(X)

Table 11. **Housing Occupancy and Tenure: 2000—Con.**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total housing units	Occupied housing units						Vacant housing units				
		Total	Owner occupied		Renter occupied	Average household size		Total	For seasonal, recrea- tional, or occasional use	Vacancy rate		
			Number	Percent of occupied housing units		Owner- occupied units	Renter- occupied units			Available housing	Home- owner	Rental
Yavapai County—Con.												
Humboldt CCD—Con.												
Camp Verde town	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)	
Cordes Lakes CDP (part)	1 069	862	709	82.3	153	2.28	2.56	207	61	6.4	6.6	5.6
Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part)	425	395	368	93.2	27	2.58	2.52	30	15	1.7	1.9	-
Mayer CDP (part)	707	578	438	75.8	140	2.36	2.54	129	25	7.2	3.7	16.7
Mingus Mountain CCD	10 905	9 997	7 049	70.5	2 948	2.37	2.45	908	205	4.6	2.4	9.5
Camp Verde town (part)	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Clarkdale town	1 546	1 433	1 166	81.4	267	2.32	2.70	113	22	4.5	2.7	11.6
Cottonwood city (part)	4 427	3 983	2 139	53.7	1 844	2.28	2.25	444	55	6.9	2.5	11.6
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP (part)	3 924	3 725	3 038	81.6	687	2.44	2.94	199	41	2.2	1.9	3.1
Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part)	43	38	35	92.1	3	2.54	1.67	5	3	5.0	5.4	-
Jerome town	215	182	110	60.4	72	1.93	1.63	33	15	4.7	4.3	5.3
Prescott Valley town (part)	182	166	164	98.8	2	2.42	3.00	16	6	5.7	5.7	-
Prescott CCD	45 972	39 683	28 560	72.0	11 123	2.36	2.35	6 289	3 558	3.8	2.5	6.9
Bagdad CDP	813	568	57	10.0	511	2.25	2.84	245	17	19.8	3.4	21.3
Chino Valley town	3 256	3 030	2 337	77.1	693	2.55	2.65	226	56	2.7	2.0	5.1
Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part)	2 890	2 362	1 960	83.0	402	2.16	2.36	528	321	5.6	3.7	13.5
Mayer CDP (part)	5	5	4	80.0	1	2.50	2.00	-	-	-	-	-
Paulden CDP	1 334	1 144	994	86.9	150	2.96	3.20	190	38	4.1	3.5	8.0
Prescott city	17 144	15 098	9 848	65.2	5 250	2.14	2.07	2 046	1 026	3.9	2.7	6.1
Prescott Valley town (part)	9 302	8 798	6 171	70.1	2 627	2.57	2.70	504	156	2.4	1.6	4.3
Williamson CDP	1 718	1 558	1 449	93.0	109	2.41	2.48	160	86	2.0	1.7	6.0
Verde CCD	15 552	13 623	10 250	75.2	3 373	2.25	2.33	1 929	884	4.4	3.3	7.6
Big Park CDP	3 117	2 560	1 774	69.3	786	2.05	1.90	557	254	8.2	3.8	16.7
Camp Verde town (part)	3 969	3 611	2 878	79.7	733	2.47	2.74	358	136	2.3	2.0	3.7
Cornville CDP	1 441	1 311	998	76.1	313	2.47	2.49	130	45	3.0	2.0	6.3
Cottonwood city (part)	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP (part)	366	346	292	84.4	54	2.60	2.31	20	2	3.4	3.6	1.8
Lake Montezuma CDP	1 666	1 471	1 105	75.1	366	2.24	2.38	195	78	4.7	4.6	5.2
Sedona city (part)	3 855	3 391	2 484	73.3	907	2.07	2.27	464	253	3.9	3.9	3.8
Yuma County	74 140	53 848	38 911	72.3	14 937	2.83	2.96	20 292	11 662	5.5	1.8	14.1
Somerton CCD	7 725	6 999	5 162	73.8	1 837	4.03	3.83	726	166	3.6	1.7	8.8
Gadsden CDP	287	236	153	64.8	83	4.22	3.71	51	8	2.9	-	7.8
San Luis city	3 325	3 018	2 206	73.1	812	4.44	3.94	307	43	4.1	2.6	7.9
Somerton city	1 967	1 818	1 290	71.0	528	4.08	3.78	149	13	4.1	1.1	10.7
Yuma city (part)	3	2	2	100.0	-	5.50	-	1	-	-	-	(X)
Wellton CCD	3 758	2 411	1 644	68.2	767	2.68	3.28	1 347	658	5.9	3.1	11.5
Tacna CDP	281	194	159	82.0	35	2.92	2.57	87	30	13.4	9.1	28.6
Wellton town	1 144	700	604	86.3	96	2.59	2.73	444	296	2.8	1.8	8.6
Yuma city (part)	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Yuma CCD	62 657	44 438	32 105	72.2	12 333	2.64	2.81	18 219	10 838	5.8	1.8	14.9
Fortuna Foothills CDP	14 961	9 652	8 934	92.6	718	2.10	2.27	5 309	3 888	3.2	2.1	15.0
Yuma city (part)	34 472	26 647	16 923	63.5	9 724	2.80	2.78	7 825	4 025	5.8	1.6	12.3

**Table 12. Housing Occupancy and Tenure: 2000**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Occupied housing units							Vacant housing units				
	Total housing units	Owner occupied			Renter occupied	Average household size		Total	For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use	Vacancy rate		
		Total	Number	Percent of occupied housing units		Owner- occupied units	Renter- occupied units			Available housing	Home- owner	Rental
<b>The State</b> .....	<b>2 189 189</b>	<b>1 901 327</b>	<b>1 293 556</b>	<b>68.0</b>	<b>607 771</b>	<b>2.69</b>	<b>2.53</b>	<b>287 862</b>	<b>141 965</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>9.2</b>
<b>COUNTY</b>												
Apache County .....	31 621	19 971	14 846	74.3	5 125	3.42	3.40	11 650	6 530	5.3	2.0	13.5
Cochise County .....	51 126	43 893	29 523	67.3	14 370	2.55	2.56	7 233	1 932	6.1	2.9	12.0
Coconino County .....	53 443	40 448	24 835	61.4	15 613	2.93	2.60	12 995	9 155	3.9	2.2	6.5
Gila County .....	28 189	20 140	15 858	78.7	4 282	2.47	2.61	8 049	5 725	5.0	3.2	11.3
Graham County .....	11 430	10 116	7 406	73.2	2 710	2.98	3.03	1 314	289	5.0	2.7	10.6
Greenlee County .....	3 744	3 117	1 578	50.6	1 539	2.65	2.82	627	124	7.5	3.4	11.2
La Paz County .....	15 133	8 362	6 521	78.0	1 841	2.22	2.69	6 771	5 237	6.4	3.7	14.8
Maricopa County .....	1 250 231	1 132 886	764 547	67.5	368 339	2.74	2.54	117 345	49 584	4.2	1.8	8.7
Mohave County .....	80 062	62 809	46 218	73.6	16 591	2.38	2.64	17 253	9 956	5.2	3.7	9.2
Navajo County .....	47 413	30 043	22 667	75.4	7 376	3.17	3.18	17 370	13 007	4.5	2.8	9.6
Pima County .....	366 737	332 350	213 603	64.3	118 747	2.59	2.26	34 387	10 622	4.6	1.8	9.2
Pinal County .....	81 154	61 364	47 498	77.4	13 866	2.61	2.93	19 790	11 764	7.2	4.0	16.8
Santa Cruz County .....	13 036	11 809	8 026	68.0	3 783	3.30	3.10	1 227	330	4.2	2.1	8.2
Yavapai County .....	81 730	70 171	51 519	73.4	18 652	2.32	2.36	11 559	6 048	4.1	2.7	7.6
Yuma County .....	74 140	53 848	38 911	72.3	14 937	2.83	2.96	20 292	11 662	5.5	1.8	14.1
<b>PLACE</b>												
Ajo CDP, Pima County .....	2 485	1 659	1 298	78.2	361	2.10	2.68	826	357	11.5	10.6	14.7
Ak-Chin Village CDP, Pinal County .....	212	197	140	71.1	57	3.42	3.33	15	2	-	-	-
Amado CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	107	104	69	66.3	35	2.49	2.94	3	1	-	-	-
Apache Junction city .....	22 771	13 775	11 310	82.1	2 465	2.27	2.38	8 996	6 797	10.0	5.3	26.7
Maricopa County (part) .....	328	163	103	63.2	60	1.68	1.67	165	105	26.9	1.0	49.6
Pinal County (part) .....	22 443	13 612	11 207	82.3	2 405	2.28	2.40	8 831	6 692	9.8	5.4	25.8
Arizona City CDP, Pinal County .....	2 197	1 770	1 467	82.9	303	2.43	2.69	427	206	8.5	5.8	19.6
Arizona Village CDP, Mohave County .....	93	83	2	2.4	81	4.50	4.22	10	-	1.2	-	1.2
Ash Fork CDP, Yavapai County .....	189	149	105	70.5	44	2.73	3.86	40	5	3.2	1.9	6.4
Avondale city, Maricopa County .....	11 419	10 640	8 256	77.6	2 384	3.35	3.39	779	58	5.0	2.7	12.2
Avra Valley CDP, Pima County .....	1 895	1 714	1 437	83.8	277	2.97	2.79	181	32	4.8	3.2	12.3
Bagdad CDP, Yavapai County .....	813	568	57	10.0	511	2.25	2.84	245	17	19.8	3.4	21.3
Benson city, Cochise County .....	2 822	2 084	1 570	75.3	514	2.20	2.25	738	433	8.3	3.1	21.0
Big Park CDP, Yavapai County .....	3 117	2 560	1 774	69.3	786	2.05	1.90	557	254	8.2	3.8	16.7
Bisbee city, Cochise County .....	3 316	2 810	1 847	65.7	963	2.20	2.05	506	134	5.7	3.3	10.1
Bitter Springs CDP, Coconino County .....	127	104	103	99.0	1	5.17	14.00	23	13	1.0	-	50.0
Black Canyon City CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 409	1 241	1 025	82.6	216	2.15	2.26	168	60	3.9	2.9	8.5
Blackwater CDP, Pinal County .....	140	135	72	53.3	63	4.11	3.30	5	-	-	-	-
Bluewater CDP, La Paz County .....	537	337	264	78.3	73	2.00	2.75	200	171	4.8	5.0	3.9
Bouse CDP, La Paz County .....	562	320	282	88.1	38	1.94	1.76	242	186	5.3	3.8	15.6
Buckeye town, Maricopa County .....	2 344	2 158	1 439	66.7	719	3.07	2.93	186	26	4.5	3.0	7.2
Bullhead City city, Mohave County .....	18 430	13 909	8 383	60.3	5 526	2.31	2.58	4 521	2 448	6.4	4.4	9.2
Burnside CDP, Apache County .....	216	173	75	43.4	98	3.92	3.45	43	17	2.3	-	3.9
Cameron CDP, Coconino County .....	317	236	195	82.6	41	4.08	4.44	81	46	1.3	0.5	4.7
Camp Verde town, Yavapai County .....	3 969	3 611	2 878	79.7	733	2.47	2.74	358	136	2.3	2.0	3.7
Canyon Day CDP, Gila County .....	301	271	221	81.5	50	3.95	4.40	30	2	0.4	-	2.0
Carefree town, Maricopa County .....	1 769	1 389	1 225	88.2	164	2.16	1.69	380	299	3.3	2.7	7.9
Casa Grande city, Pinal County .....	11 041	8 920	5 713	64.0	3 207	2.80	2.79	2 121	861	9.0	2.7	18.4
Casas Adobes CDP, Pima County .....	23 655	22 066	15 029	68.1	7 037	2.58	2.02	1 589	445	4.0	1.2	9.5
Catalina CDP, Pima County .....	2 755	2 567	2 206	85.9	361	2.68	2.60	188	38	3.3	1.6	12.2
Catalina Foothills CDP, Pima County .....	26 372	23 948	17 018	71.1	6 930	2.41	1.79	2 424	1 228	3.6	1.2	9.2
Cave Creek town, Maricopa County .....	1 753	1 571	1 290	82.1	281	2.41	2.22	182	100	2.5	1.6	6.3
Central Heights-Midland City CDP, Gila County .....	1 175	1 061	888	83.7	173	2.52	2.64	114	16	3.9	2.6	9.9
Chandler city, Maricopa County .....	66 592	62 377	45 909	73.6	16 468	2.86	2.70	4 215	1 045	4.0	1.5	10.2
Chilchinbito CDP, Navajo County .....	167	111	89	80.2	22	3.89	5.27	51	41	0.9	-	4.3
Chinle CDP, Apache County .....	1 644	1 358	555	40.9	803	4.06	3.68	286	107	2.9	0.4	4.6
Chino Valley town, Yavapai County .....	3 256	3 030	2 377	77.1	693	2.55	2.65	226	56	2.7	2.0	5.1
Chuichu CDP, Pinal County .....	88	84	70	83.3	14	3.99	4.29	4	2	-	-	-
Cibecue CDP, Navajo County .....	344	323	223	69.0	100	4.28	3.72	21	3	0.6	-	2.0
Cibola CDP, La Paz County .....	161	65	47	72.3	18	2.23	3.72	96	45	4.4	6.0	-
Clarkdale town, Yavapai County .....	1 546	1 433	1 166	81.4	267	2.32	2.70	113	22	4.5	2.7	11.6
Claypool CDP, Gila County .....	786	683	540	79.1	143	2.54	2.95	103	9	4.1	3.7	5.3
Clifton town, Greenlee County .....	1 087	919	347	37.8	572	2.44	3.02	168	12	6.9	3.3	8.9
Colorado City town, Mohave County .....	457	444	183	41.2	261	6.87	7.95	13	2	0.2	-	0.4
Congress CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 070	821	734	89.4	87	2.09	2.11	249	130	3.0	2.7	5.4
Coolidge city, Pinal County .....	3 212	2 585	1 734	67.1	851	2.91	3.17	627	370	3.7	1.6	7.8
Cordus Lakes CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 079	866	712	82.2	154	2.28	2.58	213	62	6.4	6.6	5.5
Corville CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 441	1 311	998	76.1	313	2.47	2.49	130	45	3.0	2.0	6.3
Corona de Tucson CDP, Pima County .....	395	376	344	91.5	32	2.15	2.25	19	8	1.1	0.9	3.0
Cottonwood city, Yavapai County .....	4 427	3 983	2 139	53.7	1 844	2.28	2.25	444	55	6.9	2.5	11.6
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP, Yavapai County .....	4 290	4 071	3 330	81.8	741	2.45	2.89	219	43	2.3	2.1	3.0
Dennehotso CDP, Apache County .....	233	168	127	75.6	41	4.35	4.41	65	33	-	-	-
Desert Hills CDP, Mohave County .....	1 463	997	801	80.3	196	2.10	2.57	466	376	3.5	3.3	4.4
Dewey-Humboldt CDP, Yavapai County .....	3 358	2 795	2 363	84.5	432	2.23	2.36	563	339	5.0	3.5	12.7
Dilkon CDP, Navajo County .....	379	298	140	47.0	158	4.43	4.08	81	39	2.0	-	3.7
Dolan Springs CDP, Mohave County .....	1 311	912	802	87.9	110	2.05	2.05	399	132	10.1	8.4	20.9
Douglas city, Cochise County .....	5 186	4 526	2 730	60.3	1 796	3.11	3.00	660	66	6.4	2.4	11.9
Drexel-Alvernon CDP, Pima County .....	1 417	1 328	955	71.9	373	3.29	2.82	89	7	3.8	1.5	9.0
Drexel Heights CDP, Pima County .....	7 957	7 505	6 260	83.4	1 245	3.15	3.26	452	40	3.0	1.6	9.7
Dudleyville CDP, Pinal County .....	572	454	388	85.5	66	2.92	2.89	118	18	9.7	1.5	39.4
Duncan town, Greenlee County .....	384	294	203	69.0	91	2.86	2.54	90	5	12.5	5.6	24.8
Eagar town, Apache County .....	1 713	1 344	1 071	79.7	273	2.97	3.08	369	157	8.9	3.9	24.2
East Fork CDP, Navajo County .....	228	209	179	85.6	30	4.29	3.73	19	-	-	-	-
East Sahuarita CDP, Pima County .....	512	472	367	77.8	105	2.98	3.11	40	3	3.3	0.8	11.0
Ehrenberg CDP, La Paz County .....	824	545	337	61.8	208	2.42	2.60	279	167	10.5	4.5	18.8
Elgin CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	153	123	98	79.7	25	2.42	2.88	30	19	2.4	3.0	-

Table 12. Housing Occupancy and Tenure: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Occupied housing units							Vacant housing units				
	Total housing units	Owner occupied			Renter occupied	Average household size		Total	For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use	Vacancy rate		
		Total	Number	Percent of occupied housing units		Owner- occupied units	Renter- occupied units			Available housing	Home- owner	Rental
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>												
El Mirage city, Maricopa County .....	3 162	2 121	1 513	71.3	608	3.42	4.01	1 041	436	6.1	3.4	12.1
Eloy city, Pinal County .....	2 734	2 492	1 548	62.1	944	3.62	3.48	242	22	5.2	2.5	9.2
First Mesa CDP, Navajo County .....	367	294	257	87.4	37	3.85	3.62	73	55	0.3	-	2.6
Flagstaff city, Coconino County .....	21 396	19 306	9 304	48.2	10 002	2.74	2.46	2 090	977	3.8	2.1	5.3
Florence town, Pinal County .....	3 216	2 226	1 579	70.9	647	2.29	2.49	990	628	9.2	4.2	19.4
Flowing Wells CDP, Pima County .....	7 210	6 250	4 968	79.5	1 282	2.36	2.60	960	300	6.6	2.7	19.2
Fort Defiance CDP, Apache County .....	1 321	1 115	687	61.6	428	3.59	3.64	206	36	1.8	0.6	3.6
Fortuna Foothills CDP, Yuma County .....	14 961	9 652	8 934	92.6	718	2.10	2.27	5 309	3 888	3.2	2.1	15.0
Fountain Hills town, Maricopa County .....	10 491	8 653	7 243	83.7	1 410	2.34	2.32	1 838	1 233	5.5	2.9	17.2
Fredonia town, Coconino County .....	455	359	293	81.6	66	2.84	3.11	96	18	2.4	0.3	10.8
Gadsden CDP, Yuma County .....	287	236	153	64.8	83	4.22	3.71	51	8	2.9	-	7.8
Ganado CDP, Apache County .....	507	422	210	49.8	212	3.86	3.11	85	38	3.4	-	6.6
Gila Bend town, Maricopa County .....	766	659	384	58.3	275	3.01	2.99	107	18	8.3	6.3	11.0
Gilbert town, Maricopa County .....	37 007	35 405	30 067	84.9	5 338	3.16	2.72	1 602	273	2.6	2.1	5.2
Gisela CDP, Gila County .....	295	225	186	82.7	39	2.27	2.82	70	41	1.3	1.1	2.5
Glendale city, Maricopa County .....	79 667	75 700	49 087	64.8	26 613	2.97	2.63	3 967	326	3.3	1.4	6.7
Globe city, Gila County .....	3 172	2 814	2 055	73.0	759	2.53	2.36	358	32	4.6	2.2	10.6
Gold Camp CDP, Pinal County .....	4 139	2 785	2 546	91.4	239	2.14	2.47	1 354	902	12.4	11.4	21.1
Golden Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	2 175	1 822	1 552	85.2	270	2.42	2.84	353	90	6.2	4.6	14.3
Goodyear city, Maricopa County .....	6 771	6 179	5 236	84.7	943	2.66	2.80	592	207	3.2	2.7	5.9
Grand Canyon Village CDP, Coconino County .....	791	651	98	15.1	553	2.60	2.11	140	78	6.1	3.0	6.6
Greasewood CDP, Navajo County .....	182	126	69	54.8	57	4.52	3.63	56	15	8.0	1.4	14.9
Green Valley CDP, Pima County .....	13 263	9 995	8 808	88.1	1 187	1.73	1.52	3 268	1 579	10.4	4.9	37.4
Guadalupe town, Maricopa County .....	1 184	1 110	759	68.4	351	4.90	4.28	74	4	1.1	0.5	2.2
Hayden town .....	334	288	254	88.2	34	3.05	3.44	46	2	3.0	3.1	2.9
Gila County (part) .....	334	288	254	88.2	34	3.05	3.44	46	2	3.0	3.1	2.9
Pinal County (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Heber-Overgaard CDP, Navajo County .....	3 178	1 154	1 001	86.7	153	2.32	2.60	2 024	1 878	7.6	7.5	8.4
Holbrook city, Navajo County .....	1 906	1 626	1 109	68.2	517	2.99	2.80	280	16	9.5	3.1	20.8
Hotevilla-Bacavi CDP, Navajo County .....	331	246	203	82.5	43	3.13	3.05	85	63	0.4	-	2.3
Houck CDP, Apache County .....	414	312	252	80.8	60	3.41	3.80	102	40	1.6	1.9	-
Huachuca City town, Cochise County .....	844	713	443	62.1	270	2.54	2.25	131	11	10.4	5.1	17.9
Jeddito CDP, Navajo County .....	126	99	23	23.2	76	4.48	3.78	27	20	-	-	-
Jerome town, Yavapai County .....	215	182	110	60.4	72	1.93	1.63	33	15	4.7	4.3	5.3
Kachina Village CDP, Coconino County .....	1 376	1 021	777	76.1	244	2.62	2.57	355	276	4.5	3.2	8.3
Kaibab CDP .....	116	88	53	60.2	35	3.06	3.23	28	14	6.4	-	14.6
Coconino County (part) .....	1	1	1	100.0	-	5.00	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Mohave County (part) .....	115	87	52	59.8	35	3.02	3.23	28	14	6.5	-	14.6
Kaibito CDP, Coconino County .....	398	333	243	73.0	90	4.81	4.88	65	27	3.8	0.4	11.8
Kayenta CDP, Navajo County .....	1 472	1 245	739	59.4	506	4.20	3.57	227	92	4.5	1.2	8.8
Keams Canyon CDP, Navajo County .....	106	74	23	31.1	51	3.39	2.41	32	15	3.9	-	5.6
Kearny town, Pinal County .....	873	791	639	80.8	152	2.79	3.05	82	12	6.3	4.2	14.1
Kingman city, Mohave County .....	8 604	7 854	5 604	71.4	2 250	2.54	2.30	750	63	4.8	2.9	9.1
Kykotsmovi Village CDP, Navajo County .....	302	253	195	77.1	58	3.24	2.50	49	36	0.4	-	1.7
Lake Havasu City city, Mohave County .....	23 018	17 911	13 903	77.6	4 008	2.29	2.45	5 107	3 971	3.6	2.3	7.8
Lake Montezuma CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 666	1 471	1 105	75.1	366	2.24	2.38	195	78	4.7	4.6	5.2
Lechee CDP, Coconino County .....	386	332	303	91.3	29	4.82	5.00	54	15	0.9	-	9.4
Leupp CDP, Coconino County .....	277	228	131	57.5	97	4.25	4.26	49	15	0.4	0.8	-
Litchfield Park city, Maricopa County .....	1 633	1 508	1 313	87.1	195	2.48	2.69	125	68	2.5	1.6	8.0
Littleton CDP, Pima County .....	329	313	277	88.5	36	3.22	3.25	16	-	2.8	2.8	2.7
Lukachukai CDP, Apache County .....	634	423	334	79.0	89	3.72	3.61	211	133	6.2	0.6	22.6
McNary CDP .....	101	91	43	47.3	48	3.51	4.13	10	1	6.2	2.3	9.4
Apache County (part) .....	101	91	43	47.3	48	3.51	4.13	10	1	6.2	2.3	9.4
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Mammoth town, Pinal County .....	697	562	437	77.8	125	3.16	3.03	135	10	11.4	5.0	28.2
Many Farms CDP, Apache County .....	606	433	178	41.1	255	4.05	3.24	173	18	11.1	-	17.5
Marana town, Pima County .....	5 702	4 944	4 090	82.7	854	2.69	2.48	758	224	7.3	3.6	21.7
Maricopa CDP, Pinal County .....	329	292	173	59.2	119	3.55	3.58	37	6	3.6	1.1	7.0
Mayer CDP, Yavapai County .....	714	585	443	75.7	142	2.37	2.54	129	25	7.1	3.7	16.5
Mesa city, Maricopa County .....	175 701	146 643	97 308	66.4	49 335	2.74	2.54	29 058	18 103	5.3	2.4	10.7
Messquite Creek CDP, Mohave County .....	142	106	101	95.3	5	1.92	2.20	36	29	3.6	2.9	16.7
Miami town, Gila County .....	930	754	502	66.6	252	2.47	2.77	176	7	8.7	5.8	14.0
Moenkopi CDP, Coconino County .....	284	242	138	57.0	104	3.43	4.12	42	33	-	-	-
Mohave Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	6 672	5 217	4 139	79.3	1 078	2.57	2.77	1 455	716	5.2	4.3	8.4
Mojave Ranch Estates CDP, Mohave County .....	6	6	4	66.7	2	4.25	5.50	-	-	-	-	-
Morenci CDP, Greenlee County .....	754	672	5	0.7	667	3.00	2.79	82	13	7.6	-	7.6
Mountaineer CDP, Coconino County .....	556	417	310	74.3	107	2.40	2.51	139	114	2.6	2.8	1.8
Munds Park CDP, Coconino County .....	2 994	583	509	87.3	74	2.15	2.09	2 411	2 110	11.5	12.7	2.6
Naco CDP, Cochise County .....	298	260	201	77.3	59	3.28	2.93	38	10	3.3	2.4	6.3
Nazlini CDP, Apache County .....	129	101	67	66.3	34	4.04	3.59	28	17	1.0	1.5	-
New Kingman-Butler CDP, Mohave County .....	6 630	5 895	4 355	73.9	1 540	2.40	2.83	735	81	6.6	4.5	12.1
New River CDP, Maricopa County .....	4 514	3 921	3 627	92.5	294	2.74	2.53	593	95	6.1	5.6	11.4
Nogales city, Santa Cruz County .....	6 501	5 985	3 429	57.3	2 556	3.57	3.31	516	57	4.4	1.9	7.6
Ojito-Monument Valley CDP, Navajo County .....	58	37	33	89.2	4	4.09	5.00	21	9	-	-	-
Oracle CDP, Pinal County .....	1 534	1 384	1 070	77.3	314	2.64	2.37	150	22	5.6	2.1	15.8
Oro Valley town, Pima County .....	13 946	12 249	10 319	84.2	1 930	2.47	2.12	1 697	873	4.3	2.2	14.5
Page city, Coconino County .....	2 606	2 342	1 748	74.6	594	2.95	2.77	264	76	5.4	2.3	13.3
Paradise Valley town, Maricopa County .....	5 499	5 034	4 885	97.0	149	2.73	2.20	465	185	2.5	2.1	12.4
Parker town, La Paz County .....	1 157	1 064	705	66.3	359	3.01	2.77	93	14	3.1	1.8	5.5
Parker Strip CDP, La Paz County .....	4 925	1 589	1 215	76.5	374	2.03	2.25	3 336	2 904	8.6	7.0	13.6
Parks CDP, Coconino County .....	918	462	417	90.3	45	2.50	2.09	456	396	5.9	4.1	19.6
Patagonia town, Santa Cruz County .....	498	404	278	68.8	126	2.29	1.94	94	34	5.8	3.8	10.0
Paulden CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 334	1 144	994	86.9	150	2.96	3.20	190	38	4.1	3.5	8.0



Table 12. Housing Occupancy and Tenure: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Occupied housing units							Vacant housing units				
	Total housing units	Owner occupied			Renter occupied	Average household size		Total	For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use	Vacancy rate		
		Total	Number	Percent of occupied housing units		Owner- occupied units	Renter- occupied units			Available housing	Home- owner	Rental
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>												
Payson town, Gila County .....	7 033	5 832	4 480	76.8	1 352	2.28	2.36	1 201	779	4.4	3.1	8.6
Peach Springs CDP, Mohave County .....	219	166	95	57.2	71	3.56	3.69	53	7	3.5	1.0	6.6
Peoples Valley CDP, Yavapai County .....	230	176	160	90.9	16	2.09	2.50	54	30	7.9	8.6	-
Peoria city .....	42 573	39 184	33 022	84.3	6 162	2.78	2.45	3 389	1 272	3.6	2.3	10.0
Maricopa County (part) .....	42 570	39 183	33 021	84.3	6 162	2.78	2.45	3 387	1 271	3.6	2.3	10.0
Yavapai County (part) .....	3	1	1	100.0	-	1.00	-	2	1	-	-	(X)
Peridot CDP .....	346	307	280	91.2	27	4.13	4.04	39	3	0.6	0.7	-
Gila County (part) .....	114	101	89	88.1	12	3.58	4.58	13	-	1.0	1.1	-
Graham County (part) .....	232	206	191	92.7	15	4.39	3.60	26	3	0.5	0.5	-
Phoenix city, Maricopa County .....	495 832	465 834	282 670	60.7	183 164	2.89	2.63	29 998	4 545	4.0	1.4	7.9
Picture Rocks CDP, Pima County .....	3 088	2 883	2 538	88.0	345	2.85	2.63	205	35	2.6	1.8	7.8
Pima town, Graham County .....	735	663	518	78.1	145	3.01	2.98	72	5	6.0	4.3	11.6
Pine CDP, Gila County .....	2 242	882	780	88.4	102	2.19	2.19	1 360	1 249	8.0	4.2	29.7
Pinetop-Lakeside town, Navajo County .....	2 750	1 436	1 063	74.0	373	2.50	2.42	1 314	1 153	5.6	3.5	11.0
Pinon CDP, Navajo County .....	372	296	108	36.5	188	4.44	3.78	76	25	6.6	-	10.0
Pirtleville CDP, Cochise County .....	531	454	380	83.7	74	3.41	3.42	77	5	4.6	3.1	11.9
Pisinemo CDP, Pima County .....	87	70	60	85.7	10	3.33	3.70	17	5	-	-	-
Poston CDP, La Paz County .....	110	101	47	46.5	54	4.09	3.65	9	-	4.7	-	8.5
Prescott city, Yavapai County .....	17 144	15 098	9 848	65.2	5 250	2.14	2.07	2 046	1 026	3.9	2.7	6.1
Prescott Valley town, Yavapai County .....	9 484	8 964	6 335	70.7	2 629	2.56	2.70	520	162	2.5	1.7	4.3
Quartzsite town, La Paz County .....	3 186	1 850	1 649	89.1	201	1.79	2.00	1 336	1 058	5.7	3.5	20.2
Queen Creek town .....	1 281	1 218	1 120	92.0	98	3.47	4.35	63	15	1.9	1.7	4.9
Maricopa County (part) .....	1 229	1 172	1 079	92.1	93	3.51	4.44	57	14	2.0	1.7	5.1
Pinal County (part) .....	52	46	41	89.1	5	2.59	2.60	6	1	-	-	-
Queen Valley CDP, Pinal County .....	595	417	387	92.8	30	1.96	2.00	178	115	7.7	8.1	3.2
Red Mesa CDP, Apache County .....	102	78	21	26.9	57	3.14	3.00	24	4	4.9	-	6.6
Rio Rico Northeast CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	1 099	1 013	863	85.2	150	3.18	2.79	86	8	5.1	3.5	13.3
Rio Rico Northwest CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	830	784	457	58.3	327	4.26	2.86	46	3	4.7	0.9	9.7
Rio Rico Southeast CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	439	415	384	92.5	31	3.83	3.87	24	6	2.4	2.3	3.1
Rio Rico Southwest CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	749	726	679	93.5	47	3.86	3.36	23	3	1.1	1.0	2.1
Rio Verde CDP, Maricopa County .....	1 168	761	736	96.7	25	1.88	1.56	407	388	1.2	1.1	3.8
Rock Point CDP, Apache County .....	229	168	112	66.7	56	4.35	4.23	61	15	1.8	-	5.1
Rough Rock CDP, Apache County .....	152	113	72	63.7	41	4.07	4.29	39	15	0.9	1.4	-
Round Rock CDP, Apache County .....	242	144	130	90.3	14	4.25	3.50	98	38	1.4	-	12.5
Sacaton CDP, Pinal County .....	387	378	241	63.8	137	4.00	3.45	9	1	0.5	-	1.4
Safford city, Graham County .....	3 718	3 331	2 336	70.1	995	2.79	2.48	387	45	6.2	3.3	12.6
Sahuarita town, Pima County .....	1 247	1 155	929	80.4	226	2.85	2.47	92	13	4.6	3.3	9.6
St. David CDP, Cochise County .....	892	666	544	81.7	122	2.56	2.75	226	99	6.2	2.9	18.7
St. Johns city, Apache County .....	1 392	989	755	76.3	234	3.19	3.19	403	51	18.6	1.7	47.7
St. Michaels CDP, Apache County .....	360	306	267	87.3	39	4.06	3.33	54	26	1.0	0.7	2.5
Salome CDP, La Paz County .....	1 176	780	668	85.6	112	2.00	3.18	396	261	3.8	3.5	5.9
San Carlos CDP, Gila County .....	994	921	588	63.8	333	4.07	3.88	73	13	0.5	0.2	1.2
San Luis city, Yuma County .....	3 325	3 018	2 206	73.1	812	4.44	3.94	307	43	4.1	2.6	7.9
San Manuel CDP, Pinal County .....	1 832	1 458	1 202	82.4	256	2.95	3.23	374	20	11.3	5.4	31.4
Santan CDP, Pinal County .....	185	163	145	89.0	18	4.13	2.89	22	7	1.2	1.4	-
Santa Rosa CDP, Pima County .....	150	132	116	87.9	16	3.34	3.13	18	4	-	-	-
Sawmill CDP, Apache County .....	241	161	147	91.3	14	3.71	4.00	80	21	1.8	1.3	6.7
Scottsdale city, Maricopa County .....	104 974	90 669	63 137	69.6	27 532	2.32	1.97	14 305	7 938	5.0	2.3	10.6
Second Mesa CDP, Navajo County .....	255	209	173	82.8	36	4.06	3.11	46	32	0.5	0.6	-
Sedona city .....	5 684	4 928	3 574	72.5	1 354	2.03	2.16	756	446	3.8	3.5	4.6
Coconino County (part) .....	1 829	1 537	1 090	70.9	447	1.93	1.92	292	193	3.6	2.4	6.3
Yavapai County (part) .....	3 855	3 391	2 484	73.3	907	2.07	2.27	464	253	3.9	3.9	3.8
Seligman CDP, Yavapai County .....	281	205	133	64.9	72	2.25	2.18	76	15	6.8	2.2	14.3
Sells CDP, Pima County .....	810	690	419	60.7	271	4.15	3.75	120	22	3.2	0.2	7.5
Shongopovi CDP, Navajo County .....	190	163	151	92.6	12	3.87	3.92	27	18	0.6	0.7	-
Shonto CDP, Navajo County .....	220	149	71	47.7	78	3.87	3.76	71	19	21.6	4.1	32.8
Show Low city, Navajo County .....	4 337	2 885	2 120	73.5	765	2.63	2.67	1 452	1 190	4.2	3.2	7.0
Sierra Vista city, Cochise County .....	15 685	14 196	7 417	52.2	6 779	2.43	2.53	1 489	170	6.4	2.0	10.7
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP, Cochise County .....	5 857	5 392	4 574	84.8	818	2.65	2.65	465	76	3.8	2.5	10.3
Snowflake town, Navajo County .....	1 536	1 312	1 046	79.7	266	3.42	3.19	224	104	4.9	2.3	13.6
Somerton city, Yuma County .....	1 967	1 818	1 290	71.0	528	4.08	3.78	149	13	4.1	1.1	10.7
Sonoita CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	401	358	311	86.9	47	2.30	2.34	43	27	2.2	2.2	2.1
South Tucson city, Pima County .....	2 059	1 810	732	40.4	1 078	3.25	2.73	249	9	6.5	1.7	9.4
Springerville town, Apache County .....	896	753	500	66.4	253	2.64	2.36	143	37	7.3	3.7	13.7
Spring Valley CDP, Yavapai County .....	493	427	371	86.9	56	2.33	1.95	66	21	5.3	3.4	16.4
Stanfield CDP, Pinal County .....	202	187	101	54.0	86	3.51	3.44	15	1	4.1	1.9	6.5
Steamboat CDP, Apache County .....	92	56	37	66.1	19	3.95	4.58	36	15	1.8	-	5.0
Strawberry CDP, Gila County .....	1 165	491	421	85.7	70	2.10	2.09	674	643	3.5	3.2	5.4
Summit CDP, Pima County .....	1 259	1 144	902	78.8	242	3.28	3.06	115	13	4.0	1.4	12.6
Sun City CDP, Maricopa County .....	27 731	23 490	20 865	88.8	2 625	1.63	1.40	4 241	1 996	4.4	3.2	12.6
Sun City West CDP, Maricopa County .....	17 359	14 997	14 292	95.3	705	1.75	1.43	2 362	1 589	3.5	2.0	25.7
Sun Lakes CDP, Maricopa County .....	7 746	6 683	6 420	96.1	263	1.79	1.60	1 063	797	2.7	1.6	22.9
Sun Valley CDP, Gila County .....	1 017	677	541	79.9	136	2.26	2.32	340	214	10.4	4.1	29.2
Supai CDP, Coconino County .....	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Superior town, Pinal County .....	1 470	1 237	903	73.0	334	2.62	2.67	233	11	3.9	1.4	10.0
Surprise city, Maricopa County .....	16 260	12 484	11 022	88.3	1 466	2.37	3.14	3 776	2 163	7.7	3.2	31.6
Swift Trail Junction CDP, Graham County .....	561	499	392	78.6	107	2.57	2.52	62	10	6.7	4.9	13.0
Tacna CDP, Yuma County .....	281	194	159	82.0	35	2.92	2.57	87	30	13.4	9.1	28.6
Tanque Verde CDP, Pima County .....	6 056	5 810	5 491	94.5	319	2.79	2.48	246	117	1.0	0.8	5.3
Taylor town, Navajo County .....	1 041	946	785	83.0	161	3.37	3.28	95	28	3.1	3.0	3.6
Teec Nos Pos CDP, Apache County .....	317	227	167	73.6	60	3.49	3.62	90	38	1.3	-	4.8
Tempe city, Maricopa County .....	67 068	63 602	32 444	51.0	31 158	2.59	2.22	3 466	560	3.5	1.0	6.1
Thatcher town, Graham County .....	1 427	1 281	923	72.1	358	2.99	2.81	146	12	7.4	2.8	17.5

Table 12. **Housing Occupancy and Tenure: 2000—Con.**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Total housing units	Occupied housing units						Vacant housing units				
		Total	Owner occupied		Renter occupied	Average household size		Total	For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use	Vacancy rate		
			Number	Percent of occupied housing units		Owner- occupied units	Renter- occupied units			Available housing	Home- owner	Rental
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>												
Three Points CDP, Pima County .....	2 022	1 772	1 543	87.1	229	3.02	2.67	250	52	4.7	4.0	9.5
Tolleson city, Maricopa County .....	1 485	1 432	940	65.6	492	3.61	3.21	53	-	1.5	0.9	2.6
Tombstone city, Cochise County .....	839	694	471	67.9	223	2.24	2.00	145	51	9.8	7.1	14.9
Tonalea CDP, Coconino County .....	135	123	81	65.9	42	4.44	4.81	12	7	0.8	1.2	-
Tonto Basin CDP, Gila County .....	726	439	387	88.2	52	1.89	2.06	287	219	4.1	3.3	10.3
Top-of-the-World CDP .....	184	130	96	73.8	34	2.48	2.38	54	22	9.7	4.0	22.7
Gila County (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Pinal County (part) .....	184	130	96	73.8	34	2.48	2.38	54	22	9.7	4.0	22.7
Tortolita CDP, Pima County .....	1 408	1 332	1 118	83.9	214	2.89	2.38	76	19	2.5	0.8	10.5
Tsaille CDP, Apache County .....	345	244	109	44.7	135	4.39	3.64	101	25	2.0	0.9	2.9
Tubac CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	569	481	414	86.1	67	2.01	1.76	88	39	6.2	4.4	16.3
Tuba City CDP, Coconino County .....	2 445	2 036	1 093	53.7	943	4.33	3.61	409	163	1.9	0.5	3.5
Tucson city, Pima County .....	209 609	192 891	103 056	53.4	89 835	2.58	2.24	16 718	3 472	4.7	1.6	8.1
Tucson Estates CDP, Pima County .....	4 891	4 222	3 819	90.5	403	2.28	2.61	669	374	3.6	2.0	17.1
Tumacacori-Carmen CDP, Santa Cruz County ...	252	223	155	69.5	68	2.59	2.46	29	5	3.5	-	10.5
Tusayan CDP, Coconino County .....	313	222	24	10.8	198	2.38	2.37	91	34	12.3	-	13.5
Vail CDP, Pima County .....	906	842	799	94.9	43	2.95	2.95	64	14	2.5	1.8	14.0
Valencia West CDP, Pima County .....	738	676	589	87.1	87	3.55	3.30	62	7	5.2	4.5	9.4
Wellton town, Yuma County .....	1 144	700	604	86.3	96	2.59	2.73	444	296	2.8	1.8	8.6
Wenden CDP, La Paz County .....	309	207	155	74.9	52	2.48	3.29	102	39	4.6	3.7	7.1
Whetstone CDP, Cochise County .....	1 056	904	756	83.6	148	2.55	2.89	152	16	8.4	4.8	23.3
Whiteriver CDP, Navajo County .....	1 330	1 249	679	54.4	570	4.17	4.01	81	3	0.6	0.1	1.2
Wickenburg town, Maricopa County .....	2 691	2 341	1 519	64.9	822	2.19	2.08	350	175	3.7	2.3	6.3
Wilhoit CDP, Yavapai County .....	363	298	270	90.6	28	2.24	2.14	65	38	2.6	2.2	6.7
Willcox city, Cochise County .....	1 652	1 383	862	62.3	521	2.64	2.66	269	111	4.2	1.6	8.3
Williams city, Coconino County .....	1 204	1 057	639	60.5	418	2.66	2.73	147	52	4.6	2.9	7.1
Williamson CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 718	1 558	1 449	93.0	109	2.41	2.48	160	86	2.0	1.7	6.0
Willow Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	464	274	231	84.3	43	2.07	2.49	190	151	5.8	6.5	2.3
Window Rock CDP, Apache County .....	998	876	330	37.7	546	3.65	3.27	122	22	6.7	0.6	10.0
Winkelman town .....	194	160	132	82.5	28	2.67	3.21	34	-	8.6	4.3	24.3
Gila County (part) .....	193	159	132	83.0	27	2.67	3.19	34	-	8.6	4.3	25.0
Pinal County (part) .....	1	1	-	-	1	-	4.00	-	-	-	(X)	-
Winslow city, Navajo County .....	3 198	2 754	1 764	64.1	990	2.79	2.98	444	31	5.3	1.2	11.9
Winslow West CDP .....	32	30	-	-	30	-	4.37	2	2	-	(X)	-
Coconino County (part) .....	32	30	-	-	30	-	4.37	2	2	-	(X)	-
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Yarnell CDP, Yavapai County .....	516	359	285	79.4	74	1.84	1.64	157	116	6.0	4.4	11.9
Young CDP, Gila County .....	446	250	213	85.2	37	2.26	2.14	196	145	7.1	6.6	9.8
Youngtown town, Maricopa County .....	1 783	1 641	1 015	61.9	626	1.81	1.62	142	31	3.6	2.1	6.0
Yuma city, Yuma County .....	34 475	26 649	16 925	63.5	9 724	2.80	2.78	7 826	4 025	5.8	1.6	12.3

**Table 13. Occupied Housing Units (Households) by Race and Hispanic or Latino Origin of Householder: 2000**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total occupied housing units	Race of householder							Two or more races	House- holder Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	House- holder White alone, not Hispanic or Latino
		One race									
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race				
<b>The State</b> .....	<b>1 901 327</b>	<b>1 559 509</b>	<b>55 325</b>	<b>67 843</b>	<b>29 822</b>	<b>1 931</b>	<b>149 722</b>	<b>37 175</b>	<b>331 658</b>	<b>1 399 596</b>	
Apache County .....	19 971	5 162	45	14 196	27	11	331	199	856	4 750	
Chinle CCD .....	5 550	325	11	5 151	9	1	20	33	52	317	
Chinle CDP .....	1 358	188	5	1 140	5	1	8	11	20	183	
Lukachukai CDP .....	423	11	-	409	1	-	1	1	4	9	
Many Farms CDP .....	433	68	4	348	1	-	3	9	11	68	
Nazlini CDP .....	101	-	-	100	-	-	1	-	1	-	
Rough Rock CDP .....	113	11	-	100	2	-	-	-	-	11	
Round Rock CDP .....	144	-	-	144	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Tsaile CDP .....	244	22	1	212	-	-	2	7	5	22	
Dennehotso CCD .....	450	8	-	441	-	-	-	1	1	8	
Dennehotso CDP .....	168	4	-	164	-	-	-	-	-	4	
Eagar-Springerville CCD .....	2 566	2 306	8	54	3	7	124	64	317	2 143	
Eagar town .....	1 344	1 208	5	30	1	5	54	41	155	1 124	
Springerville town (part) .....	536	454	2	16	1	1	52	10	124	390	
Fort Apache CCD .....	102	23	3	71	-	-	4	1	11	21	
McNary CDP (part) .....	91	13	3	70	-	-	4	1	11	11	
Fort Defiance CCD .....	6 859	336	7	6 455	9	1	22	29	57	322	
Burnside CDP .....	173	26	-	144	-	-	-	1	2	26	
Fort Defiance CDP .....	1 115	90	2	1 001	5	1	5	11	11	86	
Ganado CDP .....	422	81	1	336	1	-	2	1	5	79	
Houck CDP .....	312	10	-	300	-	-	-	2	1	9	
St. Michaels CDP .....	306	14	-	288	-	-	2	2	4	13	
Sawmill CDP .....	161	2	-	158	-	-	1	-	2	2	
Steamboat CDP .....	56	-	-	56	-	-	-	-	1	-	
Window Rock CDP .....	876	46	3	822	3	-	1	1	10	44	
Puerco CCD .....	593	142	3	432	-	-	7	9	20	136	
St. Johns CCD .....	2 263	1 977	13	60	6	1	151	55	387	1 759	
St. Johns city .....	989	854	2	29	2	-	82	20	216	731	
Springerville town (part) .....	217	182	-	5	1	-	22	7	61	146	
Sweetwater CCD .....	1 588	45	-	1 532	-	1	3	7	11	44	
Red Mesa CDP .....	78	12	-	60	-	-	1	2	3	12	
Rock Point CDP .....	168	6	-	162	-	-	-	-	-	6	
Teec Nos Pos CDP .....	227	5	-	220	-	-	-	2	4	5	
Cochise County .....	43 893	36 222	1 777	387	541	83	3 783	1 100	10 400	30 185	
Benson CCD .....	4 561	4 264	21	38	15	2	156	65	494	3 957	
Benson city .....	2 084	1 928	12	22	8	1	84	29	318	1 716	
St. David CDP .....	666	631	2	2	3	1	19	8	50	602	
Bisbee CCD .....	9 561	8 428	156	93	89	15	559	221	1 670	7 404	
Bisbee city .....	2 810	2 496	9	27	11	1	197	69	701	2 026	
Naco CDP .....	260	151	1	2	-	-	95	11	198	56	
Sierra Vista city (part) .....	813	665	70	6	31	3	27	11	85	610	
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP (part) .....	4 403	3 994	60	38	43	11	158	99	456	3 720	
Bowie CCD .....	957	835	4	3	1	2	88	24	182	748	
Douglas CCD .....	6 010	4 004	34	48	30	5	1 715	174	4 751	1 147	
Douglas city .....	4 526	3 054	26	28	21	3	1 266	128	3 706	745	
Pirtleville CDP .....	454	251	4	4	3	1	179	12	427	18	
Elfrida CCD .....	1 329	1 207	7	13	3	-	76	23	225	1 071	
Sierra Vista CCD .....	17 633	14 139	1 542	153	391	59	846	503	2 209	12 958	
Huachuca City town .....	713	565	54	11	12	1	41	29	84	530	
Sierra Vista city (part) .....	13 383	10 503	1 374	112	334	49	637	374	1 637	9 640	
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP (part) .....	989	802	66	10	25	6	52	28	151	714	
Tombstone city .....	694	640	1	4	1	-	31	17	118	557	
Whetstone CDP .....	904	805	23	9	7	-	39	21	85	768	
Willcox CCD .....	3 842	3 345	13	39	12	-	343	90	869	2 900	
Willcox city .....	1 383	1 108	9	17	8	-	189	52	450	887	
Coconino County .....	40 448	29 320	468	8 317	312	31	1 401	599	3 657	27 352	
Coconino CCD .....	27 852	23 709	378	1 847	265	25	1 135	493	2 995	22 070	
Flagstaff city .....	19 306	15 932	345	1 456	231	21	953	368	2 501	14 558	
Kachina Village CDP .....	1 021	950	2	31	3	-	24	11	59	926	
Mountaineer CDP .....	417	377	1	20	-	-	9	10	19	368	
Munds Park CDP .....	583	565	2	3	-	-	6	7	30	543	
Parks CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Sedona city (part) .....	1 537	1 480	7	4	14	1	19	12	56	1 443	
Winslow West CDP (part) .....	30	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Havasupai CCD .....	140	21	-	119	-	-	-	-	5	16	
Supai CDP .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hopi CCD .....	29	-	-	29	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hualapai CCD .....	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
Kaibab CCD .....	743	672	3	54	5	-	3	6	13	665	
Fredonia town .....	359	326	1	28	-	-	-	3	8	321	
Kaibab CDP (part) .....	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
Tuba City CCD .....	8 325	2 086	22	6 091	21	3	54	48	142	2 039	
Bitter Springs CDP .....	2	-	-	102	-	-	-	-	1	2	
Cameron CDP .....	236	5	1	223	-	-	3	4	7	5	
Kaibito CDP .....	333	1	-	332	-	-	-	-	-	1	
Lechee CDP .....	332	2	-	329	-	-	-	1	3	2	
Leupp CDP .....	228	4	-	224	-	-	-	-	-	4	
Moenkopi CDP .....	242	3	-	238	-	-	1	-	1	3	
Page city .....	2 342	1 821	13	433	15	2	32	26	82	1 783	
Tonalea CDP .....	123	2	-	121	-	-	-	-	2	1	
Tuba City CDP .....	2 036	209	6	1 784	6	1	18	12	38	203	
Williams CCD .....	3 358	2 831	65	177	21	3	209	52	502	2 561	
Grand Canyon Village CDP .....	651	526	13	85	4	-	13	10	52	495	
Parks CDP (part) .....	462	439	-	4	2	2	4	11	18	427	
Tusayan CDP .....	222	153	4	31	-	-	31	3	69	117	
Williams city .....	1 057	863	40	18	10	1	108	17	268	713	
Gila County .....	20 140	17 050	48	1 653	73	4	1 038	274	2 724	15 508	
Globe-Miami CCD .....	7 384	6 295	33	115	38	2	785	116	1 969	5 190	
Central Heights-Midland City CDP .....	1 061	966	-	7	1	1	76	10	213	838	

Table 13. **Occupied Housing Units (Households) by Race and Hispanic or Latino Origin of Householder: 2000—Con.**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total occupied housing units	Race of householder							Two or more races	House- holder Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	House- holder White alone, not Hispanic or Latino
		One race									
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race				
Gila County—Con.											
Globe-Miami CCD—Con.											
Claypool CDP	683	552	4	9	2	-	100	16	260	405	
Globe city	2 814	2 336	17	57	28	1	325	50	773	1 925	
Miami town	754	586	6	10	-	-	136	16	389	341	
Top-of-the-World CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Payson CCD	9 447	9 142	12	86	27	1	91	88	307	8 947	
Payson town	5 832	5 618	12	70	22	1	59	50	213	5 480	
Pine CDP	882	863	-	1	1	-	5	12	10	858	
Strawberry CDP	491	481	-	2	1	-	3	4	10	474	
Sun Valley CDP	677	651	-	5	1	-	12	8	37	629	
Reservation CCD	1 579	103	1	1 438	6	-	7	24	38	97	
Canyon Day CDP	271	5	-	266	-	-	-	-	-	5	
Peridot CDP (part)	101	-	-	101	-	-	-	-	1	-	
San Carlos CDP	921	65	1	832	4	-	5	14	21	63	
Tonto CCD	1 196	1 144	-	11	2	-	14	25	34	1 124	
Gisela CDP	225	213	-	1	-	-	4	7	7	210	
Tonto Basin CDP	439	419	-	7	-	-	4	9	9	414	
Young CDP	250	242	-	1	1	-	4	2	8	238	
Winkelman CCD	534	366	2	3	-	1	141	21	376	150	
Hayden town (part)	288	180	1	2	-	1	89	15	242	43	
Winkelman town (part)	159	109	-	-	-	-	47	3	112	45	
Graham County	10 116	7 650	80	1 095	37	1	1 080	173	2 374	6 483	
Bonita-Klondyke CCD	287	253	1	6	-	-	21	6	28	247	
Swift Trail Junction CDP (part)	96	89	-	2	-	-	3	2	7	86	
Pima CCD	1 236	1 103	4	16	1	-	98	14	181	1 024	
Pima town	663	597	1	5	-	-	55	5	107	548	
Safford CCD	7 564	6 282	75	60	36	1	960	150	2 141	5 201	
Safford city	3 331	2 669	45	26	28	1	493	69	1 122	2 090	
Swift Trail Junction CDP (part)	403	366	1	3	-	-	29	4	60	338	
Thatcher town	1 281	1 130	4	18	5	-	104	20	214	1 036	
San Carlos CCD	1 029	12	-	1 013	-	-	1	3	24	11	
Peridot CDP (part)	206	4	-	201	-	-	-	1	7	4	
Greenlee County	3 117	2 410	15	42	3	1	581	65	1 210	1 820	
Clifton CCD	1 981	1 451	14	33	2	1	441	39	917	1 001	
Clifton town	919	650	8	18	-	-	230	13	489	400	
Morenci CDP	672	496	4	10	2	1	147	12	281	392	
Duncan CCD	1 136	959	1	9	1	-	140	26	293	819	
Duncan town	294	243	-	2	-	-	44	5	82	206	
La Paz County	8 362	6 872	61	791	32	5	454	147	1 133	6 301	
Parker CCD	8 362	6 872	61	791	32	5	454	147	1 133	6 301	
Bluewater CDP	337	296	-	20	1	-	11	9	31	283	
Bouse CDP	320	310	-	5	1	-	3	1	12	301	
Cibola CDP	65	49	3	7	-	-	5	1	12	44	
Ehrenberg CDP	545	474	8	10	1	-	41	11	119	401	
Parker town	1 064	729	26	205	11	1	70	22	240	585	
Parker Strip CDP	1 589	1 498	8	24	9	-	22	28	80	1 445	
Poston CDP	101	33	-	20	-	-	47	1	78	3	
Quartzsite town	1 850	1 771	4	19	5	1	24	26	53	1 748	
Salome CDP	780	739	1	15	1	2	13	9	77	676	
Wenden CDP	207	186	-	3	-	-	16	2	67	135	
Maricopa County	1 132 886	943 950	40 357	15 834	21 396	1 234	87 943	22 172	186 202	858 105	
Buckeye CCD	5 744	4 675	139	73	19	3	730	105	1 348	4 129	
Buckeye town (part)	2 134	1 670	79	31	11	1	303	39	579	1 420	
Surprise city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Chandler CCD	76 413	64 561	2 294	627	2 593	70	5 030	1 238	10 014	60 224	
Chandler city (part)	53 303	43 529	1 897	509	2 102	57	4 255	954	8 177	40 132	
Gilbert town (part)	8 578	7 475	251	46	298	7	360	141	815	7 086	
Mesa city (part)	377	299	19	22	5	1	22	9	34	290	
Phoenix city (part)	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
Queen Creek town (part)	1 172	1 016	4	6	3	-	120	23	276	874	
Sun Lakes CDP	6 683	6 582	10	42	13	-	4	22	49	6 538	
Tempe city (part)	2 890	2 615	49	10	151	2	38	25	157	2 503	
Deer Valley CCD	53 711	50 345	767	194	905	23	866	611	2 591	48 797	
Carefree town (part)	1 206	1 193	2	1	4	-	2	4	17	1 180	
Cave Creek town	1 571	1 523	3	3	5	-	25	12	67	1 487	
Glendale city (part)	15 995	14 500	357	51	542	6	311	228	962	13 920	
New River CDP	3 921	3 784	14	19	19	2	41	42	145	3 683	
Peoria city (part)	11 259	10 671	185	30	114	5	147	107	450	10 405	
Phoenix city (part)	14 555	13 615	190	68	197	7	293	185	789	13 170	
Scottsdale city (part)	1 882	1 838	5	3	12	2	12	10	36	1 816	
Gila Bend CCD	2 758	2 058	73	185	14	3	365	60	608	1 850	
Avondale city (part)	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	
Buckeye town (part)	10	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	5	
Gila Bend town	659	395	12	58	3	-	170	21	280	301	
Goodyear city (part)	979	886	39	7	11	2	18	16	76	834	
Phoenix CCD	961 155	792 325	36 846	13 042	17 755	1 127	80 219	19 841	170 347	713 736	
Apache Junction city (part)	163	162	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	161	
Avondale city (part)	10 633	7 419	596	111	174	12	2 047	274	3 880	5 800	
Buckeye town (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Chandler city (part)	9 074	7 795	311	90	208	16	496	158	1 008	7 349	
El Mirage city	2 121	1 500	92	15	14	3	449	48	1 154	817	
Fountain Hills town	8 653	8 409	57	39	63	2	41	42	165	8 295	
Gilbert town (part)	26 827	23 645	673	150	813	30	1 080	436	2 522	22 384	
Glendale city (part)	59 705	46 369	3 172	809	1 343	82	6 450	1 480	12 765	40 862	
Goodyear city (part)	5 200	4 434	177	24	84	3	395	83	752	4 117	
Guadalupe town	1 110	237	14	433	3	3	367	53	834	17	
Litchfield Park city	1 508	1 420	17	6	36	3	15	11	56	1 385	
Mesa city (part)	146 266	126 070	3 729	1 971	1 925	235	9 715	2 621	19 614	117 548	
Paradise Valley town	5 034	4 878	33	10	77	1	10	25	86	4 804	

Table 13. **Occupied Housing Units (Households) by Race and Hispanic or Latino Origin of Householder: 2000—Con.**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total occupied housing units	Race of householder							Two or more races	House- holder Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	House- holder White alone, not Hispanic or Latino
		One race									
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race				
Maricopa County—Con.											
Phoenix CCD—Con.											
Peoria city (part)	27 923	24 283	838	176	435	25	1 749	417	3 663	22 598	
Phoenix city (part)	451 278	350 559	23 158	7 596	8 226	503	50 208	11 028	106 555	301 329	
Rio Verde CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Scottsdale city (part)	88 295	83 125	1 051	404	1 428	62	1 226	999	3 934	80 663	
Sun City CDP	23 490	23 178	122	24	47	4	24	91	171	23 039	
Surprise city (part)	4 764	4 040	144	25	52	2	417	84	1 286	3 233	
Tempe city (part)	60 712	49 345	2 264	955	2 658	119	3 796	1 575	8 057	45 745	
Tolleson city	1 432	816	26	17	8	1	517	47	994	381	
Youngtown town	1 641	1 526	23	5	4	2	62	19	123	1 473	
St. Johns CCD	629	11	1	596	-	-	10	11	44	8	
Phoenix city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Salt River CCD	2 192	726	6	1 040	2	1	300	117	188	705	
Mesa city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Tempe city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Tonto CCD	1 919	1 898	2	8	5	-	4	2	23	1 879	
Carefree town (part)	183	183	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	178	
Rio Verde CDP (part)	761	759	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	759	
Scottsdale city (part)	492	488	1	1	1	-	1	-	8	481	
Wickenburg CCD	28 365	27 351	229	69	103	7	419	187	1 039	26 777	
Buckeye town (part)	14	13	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	13	
Peoria city (part)	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	
Sun City West CDP	14 997	14 814	74	6	48	5	3	47	70	14 749	
Surprise city (part)	7 720	7 354	133	19	42	1	119	52	347	7 144	
Wickenburg town	2 341	2 213	5	14	7	1	68	33	176	2 111	
Mohave County	62 809	58 396	310	1 141	347	48	1 614	953	4 578	55 790	
Kingman North CCD	20 510	18 940	80	612	120	24	385	349	1 178	18 257	
Bullhead City city (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Dolan Springs CDP	912	871	2	9	7	-	10	13	28	854	
Golden Valley CDP	1 822	1 744	8	19	5	3	22	21	106	1 667	
Kingman city	7 854	7 276	38	123	84	12	184	137	535	6 981	
New Kingman-Butler CDP	5 895	5 541	16	80	16	4	115	123	358	5 338	
Peach Springs CDP	166	6	-	158	-	-	1	1	5	5	
Kingman South CCD	40 962	38 255	230	470	226	23	1 169	589	3 291	36 377	
Arizona Village CDP	83	2	-	81	-	-	-	-	11	1	
Bullhead City city (part)	13 909	12 539	130	143	107	7	715	288	1 781	11 615	
Desert Hills CDP	997	956	2	6	2	-	22	9	52	929	
Lake Havasu City city	17 911	17 197	59	109	78	10	273	185	879	16 635	
Mesquite Creek CDP	106	98	1	1	1	-	3	2	6	95	
Mohave Valley CDP	5 217	4 868	26	92	27	5	123	76	433	4 592	
Mojave Ranch Estates CDP	6	2	-	3	-	-	1	-	4	-	
Willow Valley CDP	274	259	1	5	2	-	2	5	11	253	
Mohave North CCD	1 337	1 201	-	59	1	1	60	15	109	1 156	
Colorado City town	444	433	-	-	-	1	6	4	12	428	
Kaibab CDP (part)	87	31	-	50	-	-	4	2	6	31	
Navajo County	30 043	16 825	227	11 635	86	6	887	377	2 091	15 843	
Apache CCD	2 582	185	6	2 347	2	-	7	35	45	176	
Cibecue CDP	323	19	-	296	2	-	2	4	8	18	
East Fork CDP	209	1	-	208	-	-	-	-	1	-	
McNary CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Whiteriver CDP	1 249	67	2	1 161	-	-	3	16	23	64	
Hopi CCD	1 950	142	2	1 797	-	-	3	6	23	139	
First Mesa CDP	294	15	-	279	-	-	-	-	2	15	
Hotevilla-Bacavi CDP	246	12	-	234	-	-	-	-	1	12	
Jeddito CDP	99	19	-	80	-	-	-	-	1	18	
Keams Canyon CDP	74	10	-	62	-	-	-	2	2	10	
Kykotsmvi Village CDP	253	17	1	233	-	-	-	2	4	17	
Second Mesa CDP	209	5	-	203	-	-	-	1	1	5	
Shongopovi CDP	163	3	-	160	-	-	-	-	-	3	
Indian Wells CCD	1 844	34	1	1 801	-	-	1	7	11	33	
Dilkon CDP	298	9	-	286	-	-	1	2	4	9	
Greasewood CDP	126	9	-	117	-	-	-	-	1	8	
Little Colorado CCD	5 424	3 654	156	891	47	2	542	132	1 132	3 156	
Holbrook city	1 626	1 115	39	266	15	-	153	38	351	943	
Winslow city	2 754	1 706	101	484	29	2	356	76	701	1 418	
Winslow West CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pinon CCD	2 040	68	-	1 968	1	-	1	2	11	65	
Pinon CDP	296	48	-	246	-	-	1	1	4	47	
Snowflake CCD	13 473	12 585	58	290	33	4	326	177	851	12 122	
Heber-Overgaard CDP	1 154	1 115	2	14	2	1	11	9	47	1 085	
Pinetop-Lakeside town	1 436	1 336	11	23	3	1	43	19	119	1 272	
Show Low city	2 885	2 659	9	73	14	1	84	45	210	2 548	
Snowflake town	1 312	1 179	5	71	5	-	38	14	89	1 135	
Taylor town	946	873	4	35	-	1	20	13	66	830	
Western CCD	2 730	157	4	2 541	3	-	7	18	18	152	
Chilchinbito CDP	111	2	-	109	-	-	-	-	-	2	
Kayenta CDP	1 245	132	4	1 090	3	-	5	11	12	127	
Oljato-Monument Valley CDP	37	1	-	36	-	-	-	-	-	1	
Shonto CDP	149	7	-	141	-	-	-	1	1	7	
Pima County	332 350	269 510	9 563	7 713	5 996	362	31 704	7 502	70 944	235 268	
Ajo CCD	1 756	1 512	5	86	4	-	111	38	487	1 163	
Ajo CDP	1 659	1 429	5	81	4	-	102	38	472	1 087	
Arivaca CCD	20 215	16 633	184	1 246	69	10	1 757	316	3 936	14 770	
Drexel Heights CDP (part)	4 059	2 597	135	105	27	5	1 074	116	2 090	1 696	
East Sahuarita CDP (part)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Green Valley CDP (part)	9 701	9 569	20	18	23	2	20	49	162	9 438	
Sahuarita town (part)	1 144	1 035	7	9	11	-	65	17	189	924	
Three Points CDP (part)	1 565	1 188	8	43	4	2	268	52	476	1 017	
Valencia West CDP	676	458	8	14	-	1	175	20	383	270	
Marana CCD	8 931	7 708	92	171	28	7	762	163	1 531	7 031	

**Table 13. Occupied Housing Units (Households) by Race and Hispanic or Latino Origin of Householder: 2000—Con.**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total occupied housing units	Race of householder							Two or more races	House- holder Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	House- holder White alone, not Hispanic or Latino
		One race									
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race				
Pima County—Con.											
Marana CCD—Con.											
Avra Valley CDP .....	1 714	1 510	23	22	4	2	127	26	259	1 390	
Marana town (part) .....	523	379	15	36	4	1	74	14	157	307	
Picture Rocks CDP (part) .....	2 883	2 660	11	40	6	1	116	49	267	2 527	
Three Points CDP (part) .....	207	179	3	5	-	-	16	4	56	141	
Tucson Estates CDP (part) .....	2 466	2 078	10	44	9	-	284	41	560	1 831	
Papago CCD .....											
Papago CCD .....	1 997	74	1	1 902	7	2	2	9	40	63	
Pisinemo CDP .....	70	3	-	67	-	-	-	-	2	1	
Santa Rosa CDP .....	132	1	-	131	-	-	-	-	-	1	
Sells CDP .....	690	39	1	644	4	-	1	1	16	32	
Tucson CCD .....											
Tucson CCD .....	299 451	243 583	9 281	4 308	5 888	343	29 072	6 976	64 950	212 241	
Casas Adobes CDP .....	22 066	20 077	358	140	417	12	730	332	2 231	18 724	
Catalina CDP .....	2 567	2 293	11	26	8	2	180	47	412	2 085	
Catalina Foothills CDP .....	23 948	22 270	309	105	616	21	319	308	1 288	21 394	
Corona de Tucson CDP .....	376	367	1	-	1	-	4	3	14	358	
Drexel-Alvernon CDP .....	1 328	857	67	24	7	1	328	44	596	628	
Drexel Heights CDP (part) .....	3 446	2 347	54	104	19	2	838	82	1 625	1 644	
East Sahuarita CDP (part) .....	472	385	2	11	2	-	58	14	183	274	
Flowing Wells CDP .....	6 250	5 583	51	64	35	6	359	152	920	5 105	
Green Valley CDP (part) .....	294	293	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	292	
Littleton CDP .....	313	219	5	6	2	-	62	19	123	167	
Marana town (part) .....	4 421	3 878	114	41	105	7	202	74	553	3 568	
Oro Valley town .....	12 249	11 609	123	44	182	10	169	112	623	11 189	
Picture Rocks CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Sahuarita town (part) .....	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	3	
South Tucson city .....	1 810	856	56	154	9	1	674	60	1 382	241	
Summit CDP .....	1 144	827	7	22	2	1	238	47	591	522	
Tanque Verde CDP .....	5 810	5 589	38	25	50	4	48	56	286	5 377	
Tortolita CDP .....	1 332	1 252	13	6	9	-	32	20	120	1 169	
Tucson city .....	192 891	148 135	7 882	3 361	4 278	264	23 678	5 293	51 136	124 291	
Tucson Estates CDP (part) .....	1 756	1 712	9	6	4	-	11	14	35	1 687	
Vail CDP .....	842	767	6	5	2	-	40	22	94	723	
Pinal County .....											
Casa Grande CCD .....											
Casa Grande CCD .....	12 171	9 099	380	390	97	9	1 932	264	3 486	7 775	
Casa Grande city (part) .....	8 912	6 505	339	325	91	7	1 443	202	2 641	5 495	
Coolidge CCD .....	3 903	2 716	260	143	22	2	658	102	1 120	2 353	
Coolidge city .....	2 585	1 732	193	104	19	1	472	64	792	1 474	
Eloy CCD .....	4 983	3 543	179	154	20	4	974	109	2 135	2 489	
Arizona City CDP .....	1 770	1 586	16	27	6	2	104	29	201	1 498	
Casa Grande city (part) .....	8	6	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	6	
Eloy city .....	2 492	1 466	156	101	10	1	696	62	1 651	597	
Florence CCD .....	3 597	3 063	81	97	7	-	291	58	696	2 702	
Florence town .....	2 226	1 909	72	41	5	-	164	35	453	1 643	
Gila River CCD .....	2 063	37	1	1 942	-	-	43	40	120	34	
Blackwater CDP .....	135	1	-	130	-	-	2	2	9	1	
Sacaton CDP .....	378	10	-	363	-	-	2	3	19	10	
Santan CDP .....	163	5	-	151	-	-	4	3	12	5	
Maricopa-Stanfield CCD .....											
Ak-Chin Village CDP .....	197	8	-	182	-	-	6	1	14	6	
Maricopa CDP .....	292	157	9	15	-	-	102	9	177	92	
Stanfield CDP .....	187	111	6	16	-	1	52	1	101	65	
North Pinal CCD .....											
Apache Junction city (part) .....	25 051	23 436	116	188	84	14	918	295	2 495	21 987	
Gold Camp CDP .....	13 612	12 928	68	113	54	7	267	175	757	12 494	
Hayden town (part) .....	2 785	2 716	10	8	9	1	28	13	74	2 674	
Kearny town .....	791	647	2	6	-	-	120	16	275	503	
Queen Creek town (part) .....	46	37	-	-	-	-	8	1	10	36	
Queen Valley CDP .....	417	405	1	-	1	1	8	1	17	396	
Superior town .....	1 237	963	1	8	5	-	238	22	780	441	
Top-of-the-World CDP (part) .....	130	121	2	3	-	-	2	2	17	107	
Papago CCD .....											
Chuihu CDP .....	84	1	-	83	-	-	-	-	6	4	
San Manuel CCD .....											
Dudleyville CDP .....	6 770	5 687	18	60	18	5	857	125	1 793	4 857	
Mammoth town .....	454	306	1	7	-	3	126	11	229	209	
Oracle CDP .....	562	379	1	4	2	1	159	16	377	177	
San Manuel CDP .....	1 384	1 138	-	15	1	1	185	44	412	950	
Winkelman town (part) .....	1 458	1 103	5	23	4	-	282	41	558	864	
Santa Cruz County .....											
Nogales CCD .....	10 561	8 229	41	73	61	7	1 851	299	8 150	2 254	
Amado CDP .....	104	81	1	-	1	-	20	1	38	63	
Nogales city .....	5 985	4 743	25	39	23	3	959	193	5 431	492	
Rio Rico Northeast CDP .....	1 013	763	4	10	14	1	193	28	533	449	
Rio Rico Northwest CDP .....	784	523	3	8	10	1	221	18	608	155	
Rio Rico Southeast CDP .....	415	279	4	-	1	-	119	12	319	89	
Rio Rico Southwest CDP .....	726	534	2	5	5	1	157	22	550	164	
Tubac CDP .....	481	447	-	4	1	-	27	2	57	419	
Tumacacori-Carmen CDP .....	223	185	-	3	3	-	28	4	108	110	
Patagonia CCD .....											
Elgin CDP .....	1 248	1 155	3	9	2	2	62	15	201	1 021	
Patagonia town .....	123	119	-	1	-	-	2	1	10	111	
Sonoita CDP .....	404	359	-	5	1	-	32	7	112	283	
Yavapai County .....											
Ashfork CCD .....	774	708	7	13	3	1	21	21	120	614	
Ash Fork CDP .....	149	143	-	2	-	-	3	1	58	89	
Seligman CDP .....	205	180	-	5	3	-	10	7	34	158	
Congress CCD .....	3 934	3 802	5	26	5	1	34	61	151	3 693	
Black Canyon City CDP (part) .....	1 241	1 198	-	12	-	1	6	24	33	1 171	
Congress CDP .....	821	797	-	5	-	-	8	11	39	766	
Cordes Lakes CDP (part) .....	4	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	

Table 13. **Occupied Housing Units (Households) by Race and Hispanic or Latino Origin of Householder: 2000—Con.**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Total occupied housing units	Race of householder						Two or more races	House- holder Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	House- holder White alone, not Hispanic or Latino
		One race								
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race			
Yavapai County—Con.										
Congress CCD—Con.										
Mayer CDP (part) .....	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2
Peoples Valley CDP .....	176	161	-	3	1	-	2	9	8	158
Peoria city (part) .....	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Spring Valley CDP .....	427	417	3	-	1	-	3	3	18	403
Wilhoit CDP .....	298	290	-	3	-	-	2	3	11	281
Yarnell CDP .....	359	353	-	1	-	-	2	3	3	352
Humboldt CCD .....	2 160	2 084	4	19	3	-	23	26	110	2 006
Black Canyon City CDP (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Camp Verde town (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cordes Lakes CDP (part) .....	862	835	1	7	2	1	5	11	38	806
Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part) .....	395	384	1	3	-	-	5	2	20	369
Mayer CDP (part) .....	578	551	-	7	1	-	11	8	37	526
Mingus Mountain CCD .....	9 997	9 181	29	154	26	6	428	173	958	8 720
Camp Verde town (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Clarkdale town .....	1 433	1 283	3	64	3	2	53	25	119	1 231
Cottonwood city (part) .....	3 983	3 594	16	52	10	-	247	64	514	3 357
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP (part) .....	3 725	3 502	9	27	10	3	111	63	293	3 341
Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part) .....	38	36	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	36
Jerome town .....	182	170	-	3	-	-	3	5	13	162
Prescott Valley town (part) .....	166	155	-	1	1	1	3	5	5	154
Prescott CCD .....	39 683	37 721	131	350	142	27	809	503	2 429	36 282
Bagdad CDP .....	568	536	3	3	1	-	19	6	98	460
Chino Valley town .....	3 030	2 892	6	17	3	4	60	48	198	2 766
Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part) .....	2 362	2 295	5	11	5	2	24	20	80	2 249
Mayer CDP (part) .....	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5
Paulden CDP .....	1 144	1 058	4	26	2	1	30	23	131	964
Prescott city .....	15 098	14 370	56	134	86	8	260	184	872	13 824
Prescott Valley town (part) .....	8 798	8 258	41	68	29	8	274	120	649	7 931
Williamson CDP .....	1 558	1 523	1	4	1	1	13	15	49	1 495
Verde CCD .....	13 623	12 804	41	250	50	10	283	185	739	12 392
Big Park CDP .....	2 560	2 487	8	7	12	1	22	23	106	2 407
Camp Verde town (part) .....	3 611	3 246	9	191	5	5	100	55	242	3 126
Cornville CDP .....	1 311	1 243	5	7	5	-	30	21	90	1 188
Cottonwood city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP (part) .....	346	326	2	4	1	-	11	2	27	312
Lake Montezuma CDP .....	1 471	1 391	2	27	1	-	22	28	59	1 355
Sedona city (part) .....	3 391	3 218	13	10	20	3	82	45	170	3 135
Yuma County .....	53 848	41 100	1 041	729	423	55	9 232	1 268	19 924	31 539
Somerton CCD .....	6 999	3 900	19	190	27	5	2 680	178	5 869	927
Gadsden CDP .....	236	110	-	5	1	1	115	4	214	15
San Luis city .....	3 018	1 675	2	31	7	2	1 217	84	2 975	28
Somerton city .....	1 818	850	5	16	8	-	882	57	1 694	104
Yuma city (part) .....	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
Wellton CCD .....	2 411	1 896	42	27	8	1	379	58	730	1 583
Tacna CDP .....	194	146	2	1	1	-	38	6	77	112
Wellton town .....	700	548	14	6	1	1	119	11	188	488
Yuma city (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Yuma CCD .....	44 438	35 304	980	512	388	49	6 173	1 032	13 325	29 029
Fortuna Foothills CDP .....	9 652	9 082	40	62	25	3	333	107	693	8 770
Yuma city (part) .....	26 647	20 228	833	341	331	39	4 173	702	9 135	15 884

**Table 14. Occupied Housing Units (Households) by Race and Hispanic or Latino Origin of Householder: 2000**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Total occupied housing units	Race of householder							Two or more races	House- holder Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	House- holder White alone, not Hispanic or Latino
		One race									
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race				
<b>The State</b> .....	<b>1 901 327</b>	<b>1 559 509</b>	<b>55 325</b>	<b>67 843</b>	<b>29 822</b>	<b>1 931</b>	<b>149 722</b>	<b>37 175</b>	<b>331 658</b>	<b>1 399 596</b>	
<b>COUNTY</b>											
Apache County .....	19 971	5 162	45	14 196	27	11	331	199	856	4 750	
Cochise County .....	43 893	36 222	1 777	387	541	83	3 783	1 100	10 400	30 185	
Coconino County .....	40 448	29 320	468	8 317	312	31	1 401	599	3 657	27 352	
Gila County .....	20 140	17 050	48	1 653	73	4	1 038	274	2 724	15 508	
Graham County .....	10 116	7 650	80	1 095	37	1	1 080	173	2 374	6 483	
Greenlee County .....	3 117	2 410	15	42	3	1	581	65	1 210	1 820	
La Paz County .....	8 362	6 872	61	791	32	5	454	147	1 133	6 301	
Maricopa County .....	1 132 886	943 950	40 357	15 834	21 396	1 234	87 943	22 172	186 202	858 105	
Mohave County .....	62 809	58 396	310	1 141	347	48	1 614	953	4 578	55 790	
Navajo County .....	30 043	16 825	227	11 635	86	6	887	377	2 091	15 843	
Pima County .....	332 350	269 510	9 563	7 713	5 996	362	31 704	7 502	70 944	235 268	
Pinal County .....	61 364	49 358	1 072	3 416	257	35	6 163	1 063	12 707	43 670	
Santa Cruz County .....	11 809	9 384	44	82	63	9	1 913	314	8 351	3 275	
Yavapai County .....	70 171	66 300	217	812	229	46	1 598	969	4 507	63 707	
Yuma County .....	53 848	41 100	1 041	729	423	55	9 232	1 268	19 924	31 539	
<b>PLACE</b>											
Ajo CDP, Pima County .....	1 659	1 429	5	81	4	-	102	38	472	1 087	
Ak-Chin Village CDP, Pinal County .....	197	8	-	182	-	-	6	1	14	6	
Amado CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	104	81	1	-	1	-	20	1	38	63	
Apache Junction city .....	13 775	13 090	68	113	54	7	267	176	759	12 655	
Maricopa County (part) .....	163	162	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	161	
Pinal County (part) .....	13 612	12 928	68	113	54	7	267	175	757	12 494	
Arizona City CDP, Pinal County .....	1 770	1 586	16	27	6	2	104	29	201	1 498	
Arizona Village CDP, Mohave County .....	83	2	-	81	-	-	-	-	11	1	
Ash Fork CDP, Yavapai County .....	149	143	-	2	-	-	3	1	58	89	
Avondale city, Maricopa County .....	10 640	7 426	596	111	174	12	2 047	274	3 880	5 807	
Avra Valley CDP, Pima County .....	1 714	1 510	23	22	4	2	127	26	259	1 390	
Bagdad CDP, Yavapai County .....	568	536	3	3	1	-	19	6	98	460	
Benson city, Cochise County .....	2 084	1 928	12	22	8	1	84	29	318	1 716	
Big Park CDP, Yavapai County .....	2 560	2 487	8	7	12	1	22	23	106	2 407	
Bisbee city, Cochise County .....	2 810	2 496	9	27	11	1	197	69	701	2 026	
Bitter Springs CDP, Coconino County .....	104	2	-	102	-	-	-	-	1	2	
Black Canyon City CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 241	1 198	-	12	-	1	6	24	33	1 171	
Blackwater CDP, Pinal County .....	135	1	-	130	-	-	2	2	9	1	
Bluewater CDP, La Paz County .....	337	296	-	20	1	-	11	9	31	283	
Bouse CDP, La Paz County .....	320	310	-	5	1	-	3	1	12	301	
Buckeye town, Maricopa County .....	2 158	1 688	79	31	11	1	309	39	585	1 438	
Bullhead City city, Mohave County .....	13 909	12 539	130	143	107	7	715	268	1 781	11 615	
Burnside CDP, Apache County .....	173	26	-	144	-	-	2	1	2	26	
Cameron CDP, Coconino County .....	236	5	1	223	-	-	3	4	7	5	
Camp Verde town, Yavapai County .....	3 611	3 246	9	191	5	5	100	55	242	3 126	
Canyon Day CDP, Gila County .....	271	5	-	266	-	-	-	-	-	5	
Carefree town, Maricopa County .....	1 389	1 376	2	1	4	-	2	4	22	1 358	
Casa Grande city, Pinal County .....	8 920	6 511	339	325	91	7	1 444	203	2 642	5 501	
Casas Adobes CDP, Pima County .....	22 066	20 077	358	140	417	12	730	332	2 231	18 724	
Catalina CDP, Pima County .....	2 567	2 293	11	26	8	2	180	47	412	2 085	
Catalina Foothills CDP, Pima County .....	23 948	22 270	309	105	616	21	319	308	1 288	21 394	
Cave Creek town, Maricopa County .....	1 571	1 523	3	3	5	-	25	12	67	1 487	
Central Heights-Midland City CDP, Gila County .....	1 061	966	-	7	1	1	76	10	213	838	
Chandler city, Maricopa County .....	62 377	51 324	2 208	599	2 310	73	4 751	1 112	9 185	47 481	
Chilchinbito CDP, Navajo County .....	111	2	-	109	-	-	-	-	-	2	
Chinle CDP, Apache County .....	1 358	188	5	1 140	5	1	8	11	20	183	
Chino Valley town, Yavapai County .....	3 030	2 892	6	17	3	4	60	48	198	2 766	
Chuichu CDP, Pinal County .....	84	1	-	83	-	-	-	-	4	1	
Cibecue CDP, Navajo County .....	323	19	-	296	2	-	2	4	8	18	
Cibola CDP, La Paz County .....	65	49	3	7	-	-	5	1	12	44	
Clarkdale town, Yavapai County .....	1 433	1 283	3	64	3	2	53	25	119	1 231	
Claypool CDP, Gila County .....	683	552	4	9	2	-	100	16	260	405	
Clifton town, Greenlee County .....	919	650	8	18	-	-	230	13	489	400	
Colorado City town, Mohave County .....	444	433	-	-	-	1	6	4	12	428	
Congress CDP, Yavapai County .....	821	797	-	5	-	-	8	11	39	766	
Coolidge city, Pinal County .....	2 585	1 732	193	104	19	1	472	64	792	1 474	
Cordes Lakes CDP, Yavapai County .....	866	839	1	7	2	1	5	11	38	810	
Corville CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 311	1 243	5	7	5	-	40	21	90	1 188	
Corona de Tucson CDP, Pima County .....	376	367	1	-	1	-	4	3	14	358	
Cottonwood city, Yavapai County .....	3 983	3 594	16	52	10	-	247	64	514	3 357	
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP, Yavapai County .....	4 071	3 828	11	31	11	3	122	65	320	3 653	
Dennehotso CDP, Apache County .....	168	4	-	164	-	-	-	-	-	4	
Desert Hills CDP, Mohave County .....	997	956	2	6	2	-	22	9	52	929	
Dewey-Humboldt CDP, Yavapai County .....	2 795	2 715	6	15	5	2	30	22	101	2 654	
Diikon CDP, Navajo County .....	298	9	-	286	-	-	1	2	4	9	
Dolan Springs CDP, Mohave County .....	912	871	2	9	7	-	10	13	28	854	
Douglas city, Cochise County .....	4 526	3 054	26	28	21	3	1 266	128	3 706	745	
Drexel-Alverson CDP, Pima County .....	1 328	857	67	24	7	1	328	44	596	628	
Drexel Heights CDP, Pima County .....	7 505	4 944	189	209	46	7	1 912	198	3 715	3 340	
Dudleyville CDP, Pinal County .....	454	306	1	7	-	3	126	11	229	209	
Duncan town, Greenlee County .....	294	243	-	2	-	-	44	5	82	206	
Eagar town, Apache County .....	1 344	1 208	5	30	1	5	54	41	155	1 124	
East Fork CDP, Navajo County .....	209	1	-	208	-	-	-	-	1	-	
East Sahuarita CDP, Pima County .....	472	385	2	11	2	-	58	14	183	274	
Ehrenberg CDP, La Paz County .....	545	474	8	10	1	-	41	11	119	401	



**Table 14. Occupied Housing Units (Households) by Race and Hispanic or Latino Origin of Householder: 2000—Con.**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Total occupied housing units	Race of householder							Two or more races	House- holder Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	House- holder White alone, not Hispanic or Latino
		One race									
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race				
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>											
Elgin CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	123	119	-	1	-	-	2	1	10	111	
El Mirage city, Maricopa County .....	2 121	1 500	92	15	14	3	449	48	1 154	817	
Eloy city, Pinal County .....	2 492	1 466	156	101	10	1	696	62	1 651	597	
First Mesa CDP, Navajo County .....	294	15	-	279	-	-	-	-	2	15	
Flagstaff city, Coconino County .....	19 306	15 932	345	1 456	231	21	953	368	2 501	14 558	
Florence town, Pinal County .....	2 226	1 909	72	41	5	-	164	35	453	1 643	
Flowing Wells CDP, Pima County .....	6 250	5 583	51	64	35	6	359	152	920	5 105	
Fort Defiance CDP, Apache County .....	1 115	90	2	1 001	5	1	5	11	11	86	
Fortuna Foothills CDP, Yuma County .....	9 652	9 082	40	62	25	3	333	107	693	8 770	
Fountain Hills town, Maricopa County .....	8 653	8 409	57	39	63	2	41	42	165	8 295	
Fredonia town, Coconino County .....	359	326	1	28	-	-	1	3	8	321	
Gadsden CDP, Yuma County .....	236	110	-	5	1	1	115	4	214	15	
Ganado CDP, Apache County .....	422	81	1	336	1	-	2	1	5	79	
Gila Bend town, Maricopa County .....	659	395	12	58	3	-	170	21	280	301	
Gilbert town, Maricopa County .....	35 405	31 120	924	196	1 111	37	1 440	577	3 337	29 470	
Gisela CDP, Gila County .....	225	213	-	1	-	-	4	7	7	210	
Glendale city, Maricopa County .....	75 700	60 869	3 529	860	1 885	88	6 761	1 708	13 727	54 782	
Globe city, Gila County .....	2 814	2 336	17	57	28	1	325	50	773	1 925	
Gold Camp CDP, Pinal County .....	2 785	2 716	10	8	9	1	28	13	74	2 674	
Golden Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	1 822	1 744	8	19	5	3	22	21	106	1 667	
Goodyear city, Maricopa County .....	6 179	5 320	216	31	95	5	413	99	828	4 951	
Grand Canyon Village CDP, Coconino County .....	651	526	13	85	4	-	13	10	52	495	
Greasewood CDP, Navajo County .....	126	9	-	117	-	-	-	-	1	8	
Green Valley CDP, Pima County .....	9 995	9 862	20	19	23	2	20	49	163	9 730	
Guadalupe town, Maricopa County .....	1 110	237	14	433	3	3	367	53	834	17	
Hayden town .....	288	180	1	2	-	1	89	15	242	43	
Gila County (part) .....	288	180	1	2	-	1	89	15	242	43	
Pinal County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Heber-Overgaard CDP, Navajo County .....	1 154	1 115	2	14	2	1	11	9	47	1 085	
Holbrook city, Navajo County .....	1 626	1 115	39	266	15	-	153	38	351	943	
Hotevilla-Bacavi CDP, Navajo County .....	246	12	-	234	-	-	-	-	1	12	
Houck CDP, Apache County .....	312	10	-	300	-	-	-	2	1	9	
Huachuca City town, Cochise County .....	713	565	54	11	12	1	41	29	84	530	
Jeddito CDP, Navajo County .....	99	19	-	80	-	-	-	-	1	18	
Jerome town, Yavapai County .....	182	170	-	3	-	-	3	6	13	162	
Kachina Village CDP, Coconino County .....	1 021	950	2	31	3	-	24	11	59	926	
Kaibab CDP .....	88	32	-	50	-	-	4	2	6	32	
Coconino County (part) .....	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
Mohave County (part) .....	87	31	-	50	-	-	4	2	6	31	
Kaibito CDP, Coconino County .....	333	1	-	332	-	-	-	-	-	1	
Kayenta CDP, Navajo County .....	1 245	132	4	1 090	3	-	5	11	12	127	
Keams Canyon CDP, Navajo County .....	74	10	-	62	-	-	-	2	2	10	
Kearny town, Pinal County .....	791	647	2	6	-	-	120	16	275	503	
Kingman city, Mohave County .....	7 854	7 276	38	123	84	12	184	137	535	6 981	
Kykotsmovi Village CDP, Navajo County .....	253	17	-	233	-	-	-	2	4	17	
Lake Havasu City city, Mohave County .....	17 911	17 197	59	109	78	10	273	185	879	16 635	
Lake Montezuma CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 471	1 391	2	27	1	-	22	28	59	1 355	
Lechee CDP, Coconino County .....	332	2	-	329	-	-	-	1	3	2	
Leupp CDP, Coconino County .....	228	4	-	224	-	-	-	-	-	4	
Litchfield Park city, Maricopa County .....	1 508	1 420	17	6	36	3	15	11	56	1 385	
Littleton CDP, Pima County .....	313	219	5	6	2	-	62	19	123	167	
Lukachukai CDP, Apache County .....	423	11	-	409	1	-	1	1	4	9	
McNary CDP .....	91	13	3	70	-	-	4	1	11	11	
Apache County (part) .....	91	13	3	70	-	-	4	1	11	11	
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mammoth town, Pinal County .....	562	379	1	4	2	1	159	16	377	177	
Many Farms CDP, Apache County .....	433	68	4	348	1	-	3	9	11	68	
Marana town, Pima County .....	4 944	4 257	129	77	109	8	276	88	710	3 875	
Maricopa CDP, Pinal County .....	292	157	9	15	-	-	102	9	177	92	
Mayer CDP, Yavapai County .....	585	558	-	7	1	-	11	8	37	533	
Mesa city, Maricopa County .....	146 643	126 369	3 748	1 993	1 930	236	9 737	2 630	19 648	117 838	
Mesquite Creek CDP, Mohave County .....	106	98	1	1	1	-	3	2	6	95	
Miami town, Gila County .....	754	586	6	10	-	-	136	16	389	341	
Moenkopi CDP, Coconino County .....	242	3	-	238	-	-	1	-	1	3	
Mohave Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	5 217	4 868	26	92	27	5	123	76	433	4 592	
Mojave Ranch Estates CDP, Mohave County .....	6	2	-	3	-	-	1	-	4	-	
Morenci CDP, Greenlee County .....	672	496	4	10	2	1	147	12	261	392	
Mountaineer CDP, Coconino County .....	417	377	1	20	-	-	9	10	19	368	
Munds Park CDP, Coconino County .....	583	565	2	3	-	-	6	7	30	543	
Naco CDP, Cochise County .....	260	151	1	2	-	-	95	11	198	56	
Nazlini CDP, Apache County .....	101	-	-	100	-	-	1	-	1	-	
New Kingman-Butler CDP, Mohave County .....	5 895	5 541	16	80	16	4	115	123	358	5 338	
New River CDP, Maricopa County .....	3 921	3 784	14	19	19	2	41	42	145	3 683	
Nogales city, Santa Cruz County .....	5 985	4 743	25	39	23	3	959	193	5 431	492	
Ojito-Monument Valley CDP, Navajo County .....	37	1	-	36	-	-	-	-	-	1	
Oracle CDP, Pinal County .....	1 384	1 138	-	15	1	1	185	44	412	950	
Oro Valley town, Pima County .....	12 249	11 609	123	44	182	10	169	112	623	11 189	
Page city, Coconino County .....	2 342	1 821	13	433	15	2	32	26	82	1 783	
Paradise Valley town, Maricopa County .....	5 034	4 878	33	10	77	1	10	25	86	4 804	
Parker town, La Paz County .....	1 064	729	26	205	11	1	70	22	240	585	
Parker Strip CDP, La Paz County .....	1 589	1 498	8	24	9	-	22	28	80	1 445	

**Table 14. Occupied Housing Units (Households) by Race and Hispanic or Latino Origin of Householder: 2000—Con.**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Total occupied housing units	Race of householder							Two or more races	House- holder Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	House- holder White alone, not Hispanic or Latino
		One race									
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race				
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>											
Parks CDP, Coconino County .....	462	439	-	4	2	2	4	11	18	427	
Patagonia town, Santa Cruz County .....	404	359	-	5	1	-	32	7	112	283	
Paulden CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 144	1 058	4	26	2	1	30	23	131	964	
Payson town, Gila County .....	5 832	5 618	12	70	22	1	59	50	213	5 480	
Peach Springs CDP, Mohave County .....	166	6	-	158	-	-	1	1	5	5	
Peoples Valley CDP, Yavapai County .....	176	161	-	3	1	-	2	9	8	158	
Peoria city .....	39 184	34 955	1 023	206	549	30	1 897	524	4 114	33 004	
Maricopa County (part) .....	39 183	34 954	1 023	206	549	30	1 897	524	4 114	33 003	
Yavapai County (part) .....	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
Peridot CDP .....	307	4	-	302	-	-	-	1	8	4	
Gila County (part) .....	101	-	-	101	-	-	-	-	1	-	
Graham County (part) .....	206	4	-	201	-	-	-	1	7	4	
Phoenix city, Maricopa County .....	465 834	364 175	23 348	7 664	8 423	510	50 501	11 213	107 344	314 500	
Picture Rocks CDP, Pima County .....	2 883	2 660	11	40	6	1	116	49	267	2 527	
Pima town, Graham County .....	663	597	1	5	-	-	55	5	107	548	
Pine CDP, Gila County .....	882	863	-	1	-	-	5	12	10	858	
Pinetop-Lakeside town, Navajo County .....	1 436	1 336	11	23	3	1	43	19	119	1 272	
Pinon CDP, Navajo County .....	296	48	-	246	-	-	1	1	4	47	
Pirtleville CDP, Cochise County .....	454	251	4	4	3	1	179	12	427	18	
Pisinemo CDP, Pima County .....	70	3	-	67	-	-	-	-	2	1	
Poston CDP, La Paz County .....	101	33	-	20	-	-	47	1	78	3	
Prescott city, Yavapai County .....	15 098	14 370	56	134	86	8	260	184	872	13 824	
Prescott Valley town, Yavapai County .....	8 964	8 413	41	69	30	9	277	125	654	8 085	
Quartzsite town, La Paz County .....	1 850	1 771	4	19	5	1	24	26	53	1 748	
Queen Creek town .....	1 218	1 053	4	6	3	-	128	24	286	910	
Maricopa County (part) .....	1 172	1 016	4	6	3	-	120	23	276	874	
Pinal County (part) .....	46	37	-	-	-	-	8	1	10	36	
Queen Valley CDP, Pinal County .....	417	405	1	-	1	1	8	1	17	396	
Red Mesa CDP, Apache County .....	78	12	-	60	-	1	2	3	2	12	
Rio Rico Northeast CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	1 013	763	4	10	14	1	193	28	533	449	
Rio Rico Northwest CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	784	523	3	8	10	1	221	18	608	155	
Rio Rico Southeast CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	415	279	4	-	1	-	119	12	319	89	
Rio Rico Southwest CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	726	534	2	5	5	1	157	22	550	164	
Rio Verde CDP, Maricopa County .....	761	759	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	759	
Rock Point CDP, Apache County .....	168	6	-	162	-	-	-	-	-	6	
Rough Rock CDP, Apache County .....	113	11	-	100	2	-	-	-	-	11	
Round Rock CDP, Apache County .....	144	-	-	144	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Sacaton CDP, Pinal County .....	378	10	-	363	-	-	2	3	19	10	
Safford city, Graham County .....	3 331	2 669	45	26	28	1	493	69	1 122	2 090	
Sahuarita town, Pima County .....	1 155	1 046	7	9	11	-	65	17	197	927	
St. David CDP, Cochise County .....	666	631	2	2	3	1	19	8	50	602	
St. Johns city, Apache County .....	989	854	2	29	2	-	82	20	216	731	
St. Michaels CDP, Apache County .....	306	14	-	288	-	-	2	2	4	13	
Salome CDP, La Paz County .....	780	739	1	15	1	2	13	9	77	676	
San Carlos CDP, Gila County .....	921	65	1	832	4	-	5	14	21	63	
San Luis city, Yuma County .....	3 018	1 675	2	31	7	2	1 217	84	2 975	28	
San Manuel CDP, Pinal County .....	1 458	1 103	5	23	4	-	282	41	558	864	
Santan CDP, Pinal County .....	163	5	-	151	-	-	4	3	12	5	
Santa Rosa CDP, Pima County .....	132	1	-	131	-	-	-	-	-	1	
Sawmill CDP, Apache County .....	161	2	-	158	-	-	1	-	2	2	
Scottsdale city, Maricopa County .....	90 669	85 451	1 057	408	1 441	64	1 239	1 009	3 978	82 960	
Second Mesa CDP, Navajo County .....	209	5	-	203	-	-	-	1	1	5	
Sedona city .....	4 928	4 698	20	14	34	4	101	57	226	4 578	
Coconino County (part) .....	1 537	1 480	7	4	14	1	19	12	56	1 443	
Yavapai County (part) .....	3 391	3 218	13	10	20	3	82	45	170	3 135	
Seligman CDP, Yavapai County .....	205	180	-	5	3	-	10	7	34	158	
Sells CDP, Pima County .....	690	39	1	644	4	-	1	1	16	32	
Shongopovi CDP, Navajo County .....	163	3	-	160	-	-	-	-	-	3	
Shonto CDP, Navajo County .....	149	7	-	141	-	-	-	1	1	7	
Show Low city, Navajo County .....	2 885	2 659	9	73	14	1	84	45	210	2 548	
Sierra Vista city, Cochise County .....	14 196	11 168	1 444	118	365	52	664	385	1 722	10 250	
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP, Cochise County .....	5 392	4 796	126	48	68	17	210	127	607	4 434	
Snowflake town, Navajo County .....	1 312	1 179	5	71	5	-	38	14	89	1 135	
Somerton city, Yuma County .....	1 818	850	5	16	8	-	882	57	1 694	104	
Sonoita CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	358	334	3	2	-	2	13	4	31	317	
South Tucson city, Pima County .....	1 810	856	56	154	9	1	674	60	1 382	241	
Springerville town, Apache County .....	753	636	2	21	2	1	74	17	185	536	
Spring Valley CDP, Yavapai County .....	427	417	3	-	1	-	3	3	18	403	
Stanfield CDP, Pinal County .....	187	111	6	16	-	1	52	1	101	65	
Steamboat CDP, Apache County .....	56	-	-	56	-	-	-	-	1	-	
Strawberry CDP, Gila County .....	491	481	-	2	1	-	3	4	10	474	
Summit CDP, Pima County .....	1 144	827	7	22	2	1	238	47	591	522	
Sun City CDP, Maricopa County .....	23 490	23 178	122	24	47	4	24	91	171	23 039	
Sun City West CDP, Maricopa County .....	14 997	14 814	74	6	48	5	3	47	70	14 749	
Sun Lakes CDP, Maricopa County .....	6 683	6 582	52	10	13	-	4	22	49	6 538	
Sun Valley CDP, Gila County .....	677	651	-	5	1	-	12	8	37	629	
Supai CDP, Coconino County .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Superior town, Pinal County .....	1 237	963	1	8	5	-	238	22	780	441	
Surprise city, Maricopa County .....	12 484	11 394	277	44	94	3	536	136	1 633	10 377	
Swift Trail Junction CDP, Graham County .....	499	455	1	5	-	-	32	6	67	424	
Tacna CDP, Yuma County .....	194	146	2	1	1	-	38	6	77	112	

Table 14. **Occupied Housing Units (Households) by Race and Hispanic or Latino Origin of Householder: 2000—Con.**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Total occupied housing units	Race of householder							Two or more races	House- holder Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	House- holder White alone, not Hispanic or Latino
		One race									
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race				
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>											
Tanque Verde CDP, Pima County .....	5 810	5 589	38	25	50	4	48	56	286	5 377	
Taylor town, Navajo County .....	946	873	4	35	-	1	20	13	66	830	
Teec Nos Pos CDP, Apache County .....	227	5	-	220	-	-	-	2	4	5	
Tempe city, Maricopa County .....	63 602	51 960	2 313	965	2 809	121	3 834	1 600	8 214	48 248	
Thatcher town, Graham County .....	1 281	1 130	4	18	5	-	104	20	214	1 036	
Three Points CDP, Pima County .....	1 772	1 367	11	48	4	2	284	56	532	1 158	
Tolleson city, Maricopa County .....	1 432	816	26	17	8	1	517	47	994	381	
Tombstone city, Cochise County .....	694	640	1	4	1	-	31	17	118	557	
Tonalea CDP, Coconino County .....	123	2	-	121	-	-	-	-	2	1	
Tonto Basin CDP, Gila County .....	439	419	-	7	-	-	4	9	9	414	
Top-of-the-World CDP .....	130	121	2	3	-	-	2	2	17	107	
Gila County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pinal County (part) .....	130	121	2	3	-	-	2	2	17	107	
Tortolita CDP, Pima County .....	1 332	1 252	13	6	9	-	32	20	120	1 169	
Tsaile CDP, Apache County .....	244	22	1	212	-	-	2	7	5	22	
Tubac CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	481	447	-	4	1	-	27	2	57	419	
Tuba City CDP, Coconino County .....	2 036	209	6	1 784	6	1	18	12	38	203	
Tucson city, Pima County .....	192 891	148 135	7 882	3 361	4 278	264	23 678	5 293	51 136	124 291	
Tucson Estates CDP, Pima County .....	4 222	3 790	19	50	13	-	295	55	595	3 518	
Tumacacori-Carmen CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	223	185	-	3	3	-	28	4	108	110	
Tusayan CDP, Coconino County .....	222	153	4	31	-	-	31	3	69	117	
Vail CDP, Pima County .....	842	767	6	5	2	-	40	22	94	723	
Valencia West CDP, Pima County .....	676	458	8	14	-	1	175	20	383	270	
Wellton town, Yuma County .....	700	548	14	6	1	1	119	11	188	488	
Wenden CDP, La Paz County .....	207	186	-	3	-	-	16	2	67	135	
Whetstone CDP, Cochise County .....	904	805	23	9	7	-	39	21	85	768	
Whiteriver CDP, Navajo County .....	1 249	67	2	1 161	-	-	3	16	23	64	
Wickenburg town, Maricopa County .....	2 341	2 213	5	14	7	1	68	33	176	2 111	
Wilhoit CDP, Yavapai County .....	298	290	-	3	-	-	2	3	11	281	
Willcox city, Cochise County .....	1 383	1 108	9	17	8	-	189	52	450	887	
Williams city, Coconino County .....	1 057	863	40	18	10	1	108	17	268	713	
Williamson CDP, Yavapai County .....	1 558	1 523	1	4	1	1	13	15	49	1 495	
Willow Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	274	259	1	5	2	-	2	5	11	253	
Window Rock CDP, Apache County .....	876	46	3	822	3	-	1	1	10	44	
Winkelman town .....	160	110	-	-	-	-	47	3	112	46	
Gila County (part) .....	159	109	-	-	-	-	47	3	112	45	
Pinal County (part) .....	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
Winslow city, Navajo County .....	2 754	1 706	101	484	29	2	356	76	701	1 418	
Winslow West CDP .....	30	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Coconino County (part) .....	30	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Yarnell CDP, Yavapai County .....	359	353	-	1	-	-	2	3	3	352	
Young CDP, Gila County .....	250	242	-	1	1	-	4	2	8	238	
Youngtown town, Maricopa County .....	1 641	1 526	23	5	4	2	62	19	123	1 473	
Yuma city, Yuma County .....	26 649	20 230	833	341	331	39	4 173	702	9 136	15 885	

Table 15. Land Area and Population Density: 2000

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Land area in square miles	Total population		State County County Subdivision Place	Land area in square miles	Total population	
		Number	Average per square mile			Number	Average per square mile
<b>The State</b> .....	<b>113 634.57</b>	<b>5 130 632</b>	<b>45.2</b>	Gila County—Con. Payson CCD—Con.			
Apache County .....	11 204.88	69 423	6.2	Payson town .....	19.47	13 620	699.6
Chinle CCD .....	2 094.30	20 927	10.0	Pine CDP .....	31.77	1 931	60.8
Chinle CDP .....	16.03	5 366	334.7	Strawberry CDP .....	10.18	1 028	101.0
Lukachukai CDP .....	22.03	1 565	71.0	Sun Valley CDP .....	6.35	1 536	241.9
Many Farms CDP .....	8.22	1 548	188.2	Reservation CCD .....	1 836.83	6 298	3.4
Nazlini CDP .....	7.48	397	53.1	Canyon Day CDP .....	3.83	1 092	285.1
Rough Rock CDP .....	12.85	469	36.5	Peridot CDP (part) .....	2.95	374	126.8
Round Rock CDP .....	14.08	601	42.7	San Carlos CDP .....	8.83	3 716	420.9
Tsailie CDP .....	6.01	1 078	179.5	Tonto CCD .....	1 294.17	2 540	2.0
Dennehotso CCD .....	589.20	1 809	3.1	Gisela CDP .....	2.88	532	184.8
Dennehotso CDP .....	9.94	734	73.8	Tonto Basin CDP .....	31.37	840	26.8
Eagar-Springerville CCD .....	992.93	7 117	7.2	Young CDP .....	42.01	561	13.4
Eagar town .....	11.34	4 033	355.6	Winkelman CCD .....	147.65	1 563	10.6
Springerville town (part) .....	5.87	1 457	248.0	Hayden town (part) .....	1.25	892	715.3
Fort Apache CCD .....	779.07	370	0.5	Winkelman town (part) .....	0.30	439	1 452.7
McNary CDP (part) .....	3.74	349	93.2	Graham County .....	4 629.32	33 489	7.2
Fort Defiance CCD .....	2 444.10	24 634	10.1	Bonita-Klondyke CCD .....	1 191.58	2 344	2.0
Burnside CDP .....	9.30	632	67.9	Swift Trail Junction CDP (part) .....	0.84	1 141	1 353.5
Fort Defiance CDP .....	6.07	4 061	669.3	Pima CCD .....	522.97	3 815	7.3
Ganado CDP .....	8.95	1 505	168.2	Pima town .....	2.53	1 989	787.0
Houck CDP .....	42.38	1 087	25.7	Safford CCD .....	1 224.75	22 729	18.6
St. Michaels CDP .....	3.83	1 295	338.5	Safford city .....	7.92	9 232	1 166.1
Sawmill CDP .....	5.81	612	105.3	Swift Trail Junction CDP (part) .....	3.88	1 054	271.9
Steamboat CDP .....	2.36	233	98.8	Thatcher town .....	4.37	4 022	919.4
Window Rock CDP .....	5.19	3 059	589.3	San Carlos CCD .....	1 690.02	4 601	2.7
Puerco CCD .....	1 618.93	2 098	1.3	Peridot CDP (part) .....	2.27	892	393.0
St. Johns CCD .....	1 531.72	6 811	4.4	Greenlee County .....	1 847.00	8 547	4.6
St. Johns city .....	6.61	3 269	494.8	Clifton CCD .....	1 403.92	5 563	4.0
Springerville town (part) .....	5.67	515	90.8	Clifton town .....	14.85	2 596	174.8
Sweetwater CCD .....	1 154.63	5 657	4.9	Morenci CDP .....	0.81	1 879	2 317.4
Red Mesa CDP .....	12.72	237	18.6	Duncan CCD .....	443.08	2 984	6.7
Rock Point CDP .....	13.80	724	52.5	Duncan town .....	2.56	812	317.6
Teec Nos Pos CDP .....	14.23	799	56.1	La Paz County .....	4 499.95	19 715	4.4
Cochise County .....	6 169.45	117 755	19.1	Parker CCD .....	4 499.95	19 715	4.4
Benson CCD .....	921.14	11 012	12.0	Bluewater CDP .....	2.06	730	355.2
Benson city .....	35.72	4 711	131.9	Bouse CDP .....	10.09	615	60.9
St. David CDP .....	5.32	1 744	327.7	Cibola CDP .....	18.01	172	9.5
Bisbee CCD .....	623.62	24 035	38.5	Ehrenberg CDP .....	11.93	1 357	113.7
Bisbee city .....	4.81	6 090	1 266.3	Parker town .....	21.98	3 140	142.8
Naco CDP .....	3.36	833	247.6	Parker Strip CDP .....	7.10	3 302	464.8
Sierra Vista city (part) .....	5.16	2 222	431.0	Poston CDP .....	1.39	389	279.9
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP (part) .....	109.22	11 647	106.6	Quartzsite town .....	36.28	3 354	92.4
Bowie CCD .....	1 322.62	2 274	1.7	Salome CDP .....	27.42	1 690	61.6
Douglas CCD .....	257.51	19 137	74.3	Wenden CDP .....	14.85	556	37.4
Douglas city .....	7.72	14 312	1 852.7	Maricopa County .....	9 203.14	3 072 149	333.8
Pirtleville CDP .....	1.80	1 550	861.2	Buckeye CCD .....	1 803.84	19 753	11.0
Elfrida CCD .....	1 653.26	5 229	3.2	Buckeye town (part) .....	97.07	6 461	66.6
Sierra Vista CCD .....	712.35	46 152	64.8	Surprise city (part) .....	0.05	-	-
Huachuca City town .....	2.80	1 751	625.6	Chandler CCD .....	172.64	215 998	1 251.1
Sierra Vista city (part) .....	148.31	35 553	239.7	Chandler city (part) .....	52.77	151 808	2 876.9
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP (part) .....	3.04	2 701	887.7	Gilbert town (part) .....	19.78	26 528	1 341.5
Tombstone city .....	4.30	1 504	349.8	Mesa city (part) .....	12.70	1 252	98.6
Whetstone CDP .....	11.80	2 354	199.5	Phoenix city (part) .....	-	1	207.0
Willcox CCD .....	678.96	9 916	14.6	Queen Creek town (part) .....	21.93	4 197	191.4
Willcox city .....	6.00	3 733	622.3	Sun Lakes CDP .....	5.24	11 936	2 278.7
Coconino County .....	18 617.42	116 320	6.2	Tempe city (part) .....	3.00	8 646	2 877.5
Coconino CCD .....	4 737.45	74 488	15.7	Deer Valley CCD .....	408.60	148 777	364.1
Flagstaff city .....	63.58	52 894	831.9	Carefree town (part) .....	8.26	2 506	303.5
Kachina Village CDP .....	1.20	2 664	2 228.3	Cave Creek town .....	28.24	3 728	132.0
Mountaineer CDP .....	10.22	1 014	99.2	Glendale city (part) .....	13.87	46 516	3 354.7
Munds Park CDP .....	22.31	1 250	56.0	New River CDP .....	70.83	10 740	151.6
Parks CDP (part) .....	2.29	-	-	Peoria city (part) .....	47.94	28 519	594.9
Sedona city (part) .....	6.28	2 963	471.8	Phoenix city (part) .....	110.66	43 423	392.4
Winslow West CDP (part) .....	0.12	131	1 076.6	Scottsdale city (part) .....	8.06	4 373	542.8
Havasupai CCD .....	276.15	503	1.8	Gila Bend CCD .....	2 999.37	8 471	2.8
Supai CDP .....	1.72	-	-	Avondale city (part) .....	21.43	29	1.4
Hopi CCD .....	771.56	87	0.1	Buckeye town (part) .....	19.99	41	2.1
Hualapai CCD .....	904.08	2	-	Gila Bend town .....	22.83	1 980	86.7
Kaibab CCD .....	2 791.73	1 874	0.7	Goodyear city (part) .....	73.81	2 776	37.6
Fredonia town .....	7.41	1 036	139.7	Phoenix CCD .....	1 089.14	2 608 349	2 394.9
Kaibab CDP (part) .....	20.86	5	0.2	Apache Junction city (part) .....	0.06	273	4 726.0
Tuba City CCD .....	5 183.73	30 941	6.0	Avondale city (part) .....	19.83	35 854	1 808.5
Bitter Springs CDP .....	8.28	547	66.1	Buckeye town (part) .....	0.01	-	-
Cameron CDP .....	18.46	978	53.0	Chandler city (part) .....	5.12	24 773	4 839.9
Kaibito CDP .....	15.97	1 607	100.6	El Mirage city .....	9.67	7 609	786.8
Lechee CDP .....	16.93	1 606	94.8	Fountain Hills town .....	18.17	20 235	1 113.8
Leupp CDP .....	13.12	970	73.9	Gilbert town (part) .....	23.18	83 169	3 587.7
Moenkopi CDP .....	1.60	901	561.9	Glendale city (part) .....	41.82	172 296	4 120.1
Page city .....	16.59	6 809	410.5	Goodyear city (part) .....	42.65	16 135	378.3
Tonalea CDP .....	9.66	562	58.2	Guadalupe town .....	0.77	5 228	6 813.9
Tuba City CDP .....	8.92	8 225	921.6	Litchfield Park city .....	3.13	3 810	1 216.6
Williams CCD .....	3 952.72	8 425	2.1	Mesa city (part) .....	110.98	395 123	3 560.4
Grand Canyon Village CDP .....	13.45	1 460	108.6	Paradise Valley town .....	15.50	13 664	881.7
Parks CDP (part) .....	169.99	1 137	6.7	Peoria city (part) .....	21.38	79 842	3 733.7
Tusayan CDP .....	28.57	562	19.7	Phoenix city (part) .....	364.20	1 277 621	3 508.0
Williams city .....	43.50	2 842	65.3	Rio Verde CDP (part) .....	1.09	-	-
Gila County .....	4 767.70	51 335	10.8	Scottsdale city (part) .....	157.80	197 260	1 250.1
Globe-Miami CCD .....	691.61	19 090	27.6	Sun City CDP .....	14.51	38 309	2 639.5
Central Heights-Midland City CDP .....	1.71	2 694	1 575.3	Surprise city (part) .....	17.00	14 330	842.8
Claypool CDP .....	1.21	1 794	1 479.1	Tempe city (part) .....	37.04	149 979	4 048.7
Globe city .....	18.02	7 486	415.5	Tollson city .....	5.56	4 974	894.1
Miami town .....	0.96	1 936	2 008.0	Youngtown town .....	1.31	3 010	2 296.1
Top-of-the-World CDP (part) .....	0.96	-	-	St. Johns CCD .....	149.73	2 699	18.0
Payson CCD .....	797.44	21 844	27.4				

Table 15. Land Area and Population Density: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Land area in square miles	Total population		Average per square mile	State County County Subdivision Place	Land area in square miles	Total population		Average per square mile
		Number					Number		
Maricopa County—Con.					Pima County—Con.				
St. Johns CCD—Con.					Tucson CCD—Con.				
Phoenix city (part)	-	-	-	-	Drexel Heights CDP (part)	9.85	10 451	1 060.8	
Salt River CCD	118.92	7 227	60.8	East Sahuarita CDP (part)	14.05	1 419	101.0		
Mesa city (part)	1.31	-	-	Flowing Wells CDP	3.41	15 050	4 413.2		
Tempe city (part)	0.01	-	-	Green Valley CDP (part)	0.45	508	1 134.1		
Tonto CCD	1 068.61	4 120	3.9	Littletown CDP	0.45	1 010	2 230.8		
Carefree town (part)	0.59	421	713.4	Marana town (part)	42.51	11 654	274.2		
Rio Verde CDP (part)	4.32	1 419	328.1	Oro Valley town	31.83	29 700	933.1		
Scottsdale city (part)	18.35	1 072	58.4	Picture Rocks CDP (part)	0.15	-	-		
Wickenburg CCD	1 392.29	56 755	40.8	Sahuarita town (part)	3.46	35	10.1		
Buckeye town (part)	28.69	35	1.2	South Tucson city	1.01	5 490	5 446.6		
Peoria city (part)	49.39	2	-	Summit CDP	10.12	3 702	365.8		
Sun City West CDP	11.10	26 344	2 374.2	Tanque Verde CDP	32.87	16 195	492.7		
Surprise city (part)	52.44	16 518	315.0	Tortolita CDP	11.88	3 740	314.9		
Wickenburg town	11.51	5 082	441.7	Tucson city	194.67	486 699	2 500.1		
				Tucson Estates CDP (part)	2.48	3 035	1 225.4		
Mohave County	13 311.64	155 032	11.6	Vail CDP	18.21	2 484	136.4		
Kingman North CCD	4 609.02	50 832	11.0						
Bullhead City city (part)	2.15	-	-	Pinal County	5 369.59	179 727	33.5		
Dolan Springs CDP	28.62	1 867	65.2	Casa Grande CCD	199.77	34 555	173.0		
Golden Valley CDP	28.05	4 515	161.0	Casa Grande city (part)	42.78	25 204	589.1		
Kingman city	29.97	20 069	669.7	Coolidge CCD	119.20	12 128	101.7		
New Kingman-Butler CDP	14.64	14 810	1 011.6	Coolidge city	5.03	7 786	1 549.1		
Peach Springs CDP	6.87	600	87.3	Eloy CCD	483.21	17 164	35.5		
Kingman South CCD	3 637.90	97 979	26.9	Arizona City CDP	6.10	4 385	718.8		
Arizona Village CDP	1.04	351	337.1	Casa Grande city (part)	5.38	20	3.7		
Bullhead City city (part)	43.08	33 769	783.9	Eloy city	71.67	10 375	144.8		
Desert Hills CDP	4.71	2 183	463.8	Florence CCD	1 080.90	20 798	19.2		
Lake Havasu City city	43.04	41 938	974.4	Florence town	8.29	17 054	2 056.2		
Mesquite Creek CDP	0.58	205	355.3	Gila River CCD	436.20	8 591	19.7		
Mohave Valley CDP	45.26	13 694	302.6	Blackwater CDP	6.59	504	76.5		
Mojave Ranch Estates CDP	0.74	28	37.7	Sacaton CDP	2.37	1 584	667.1		
Willow Valley CDP	0.97	585	601.9	Santan CDP	6.42	651	101.3		
Mohave North CCD	5 064.73	6 221	1.2	Maricopa-Stanfield CCD	396.40	8 546	21.6		
Colorado city town	10.51	3 334	317.3	Ak-Chin Village CDP	10.49	669	63.8		
Kaibab CDP (part)	168.65	270	1.6	Maricopa CDP	4.04	1 040	257.6		
				Stanfield CDP	3.96	651	164.2		
Navajo County	9 953.18	97 470	9.8	North Pinal CCD	1 009.46	59 965	59.4		
Apache CCD	1 022.81	10 545	10.3	Apache Junction city (part)	34.18	31 541	922.9		
Cibecue CDP	5.97	1 331	223.1	Gold Camp CDP	22.33	6 029	270.0		
East Fork CDP	2.88	880	305.9	Hayden town (part)	0.01	-	-		
McNary CDP (part)	1.75	-	-	Kearny town	2.79	2 249	805.4		
Whitewater CDP	17.82	5 220	293.0	Queen Creek town (part)	3.87	119	30.7		
Hopi CCD	1 710.31	6 877	4.0	Queen Valley CDP	9.62	820	85.3		
First Mesa CDP	9.42	1 124	119.3	Superior town	1.93	3 254	1 684.6		
Hotevilla-Bacavi CDP	11.90	767	64.4	Top-of-the-World CDP (part)	5.07	330	65.0		
Jeddito CDP	5.57	390	70.0	Papago CCD	417.25	741	1.8		
Keams Canyon CDP	9.28	260	28.0	Chuichu CDP	2.51	339	134.9		
Kykotsmvi Village CDP	16.84	776	46.1	San Manuel CCD	1 227.21	17 239	14.0		
Second Mesa CDP	26.45	814	30.8	Dudleyville CDP	6.88	1 323	192.3		
Shongopovi CDP	1.69	632	374.2	Mammoth town	1.08	1 762	1 626.5		
Indian Wells CCD	1 431.25	7 272	5.1	Oracle CDP	11.38	3 563	313.0		
Dilkon CDP	16.77	1 265	75.4	San Manuel CDP	20.88	4 375	209.5		
Greasewood CDP	5.34	581	108.7	Winkelman town (part)	0.42	4	9.5		
Little Colorado CCD	1 766.30	17 620	10.0						
Holbrook city	15.45	4 917	318.4	Santa Cruz County	1 237.63	38 381	31.0		
Winslow city	12.31	9 520	773.1	Nogales CCD	681.31	35 600	52.3		
Winslow West CDP (part)	0.19	-	-	Amado CDP	11.23	275	24.5		
Pinon CCD	823.19	8 074	9.8	Nogales city	20.83	20 878	1 002.1		
Pinon CDP	6.44	1 190	184.9	Rio Rico Northeast CDP	31.58	3 164	100.2		
Snowflake CCD	1 559.43	36 612	23.5	Rio Rico Northwest CDP	16.10	2 882	179.1		
Heber-Overgaard CDP	6.95	2 722	391.4	Rio Rico Southeast CDP	11.06	1 590	143.7		
Pinetop-Lakeside town	11.26	3 582	318.1	Rio Rico Southwest CDP	19.20	2 777	144.6		
Show Low city	27.86	7 695	276.2	Tubac CDP	8.18	949	115.9		
Snowflake town	30.81	4 460	144.8	Tumacacori-Carmen CDP	6.21	569	91.6		
Taylor town	24.60	3 176	129.1	Patagonia CCD	556.33	2 781	5.0		
Western CCD	1 639.89	10 470	6.4	Elgin CDP	48.51	309	6.4		
Chilchinbito CDP	23.76	462	19.4	Patagonia town	1.19	881	738.7		
Kayenta CDP	13.24	4 922	371.7	Sonoita CDP	45.61	826	18.1		
Oljato-Monument Valley CDP	12.51	155	12.4						
Shonto CDP	4.57	568	124.3	Yavapai County	8 123.30	167 517	20.6		
				Ashfork CCD	1 522.47	1 891	1.2		
Pima County	9 186.27	843 746	91.8	Ash Fork CDP	2.30	457	199.1		
Ajo CCD	1 535.04	3 907	2.5	Seligman CDP	6.38	456	71.5		
Ajo CDP	28.07	3 705	132.0	Congress CCD	2 082.35	8 445	4.1		
Arivaca CCD	1 318.50	50 441	38.3	Black Canyon City CDP (part)	19.97	2 697	135.1		
Drexel Heights CDP (part)	9.82	13 398	1 363.7	Congress CDP	37.64	1 717	45.6		
East Sahuarita CDP (part)	0.59	-	-	Cordes Lakes CDP (part)	1.48	13	8.8		
Green Valley CDP (part)	25.79	16 775	650.3	Mayer CDP (part)	0.02	5	275.6		
Sahuarita town (part)	11.75	3 207	273.0	Peoples Valley CDP	15.00	374	24.9		
Three Points CDP (part)	37.59	4 702	125.1	Peoria city (part)	19.50	1	0.1		
Valencia West CDP	9.63	2 380	247.2	Spring Valley CDP	10.56	1 019	96.5		
Marana CCD	620.45	25 608	41.3	Wilhoit CDP	15.68	664	42.4		
Avra Valley CDP	22.07	5 038	228.3	Yarnell CDP	8.83	645	73.1		
Marana town (part)	30.15	1 902	63.1	Humboldt CCD	1 010.02	5 295	5.2		
Picture Rocks CDP (part)	55.43	8 139	146.8	Black Canyon City CDP (part)	-	-	-		
Three Points CDP (part)	6.94	571	82.3	Camp Verde town (part)	0.76	-	-		
Tucson Estates CDP (part)	32.61	6 720	206.1	Cordes Lakes CDP (part)	9.40	2 045	217.6		
Papago CCD	3 774.67	7 492	2.0	Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part)	3.87	1 017	262.6		
Pisinemo CDP	1.68	237	141.4	Mayer CDP (part)	19.83	1 391	70.1		
Santa Rosa CDP	5.72	438	76.6	Mingus Mountain CCD	547.73	24 416	44.6		
Sells CDP	9.36	2 799	298.9	Camp Verde town (part)	0.46	-	-		
Tucson CCD	1 937.60	756 298	390.3	Clarkdale town	7.33	3 422	466.9		
Casas Adobes CDP	22.63	54 011	2 386.7	Cottonwood city (part)	10.67	9 179	860.5		
Catalina CDP	13.87	7 025	506.6	Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP (part)	7.53	9 726	1 291.5		
Catalina Foothills CDP	44.47	53 794	1 209.5	Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part)	4.77	94	19.7		
Corona de Tucson CDP	2.56	813	317.2	Jerome town	0.71	329	462.1		
Drexel-Alverton CDP	0.92	4 192	4 538.7	Prescott Valley town (part)	5.50	403	73.3		

Table 15. **Land Area and Population Density: 2000—Con.**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County County Subdivision Place	Land area in square miles	Total population	
		Number	Average per square mile
Yavapai County—Con.			
Prescott CCD .....	2 216.65	95 978	43.3
Bagdad CDP .....	7.88	1 578	200.2
Chino Valley town .....	18.58	7 835	421.6
Dewey-Humboldt CDP (part) .....	14.26	5 184	363.7
Mayer CDP (part) .....	0.21	12	56.4
Paulden CDP .....	62.75	3 420	54.5
Prescott city .....	37.07	33 938	915.6
Prescott Valley town (part) .....	26.22	23 132	882.2
Williamson CDP .....	57.63	3 776	65.5
Verde CCD .....	744.08	31 492	42.3
Big Park CDP .....	4.54	5 245	1 154.1
Camp Verde town (part) .....	41.35	9 451	228.6
Cornville CDP .....	13.23	3 335	252.1
Cottonwood city (part) .....	-	-	-
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP (part) .....	1.23	884	716.0
Lake Montezuma CDP .....	11.95	3 344	279.7
Sedona city (part) .....	12.32	7 229	586.8
Yuma County .....			
Somerton CCD .....	5 514.09	160 026	29.0
Gadsden CDP .....	169.02	30 198	178.7
San Luis city .....	1.73	953	550.5
San Luis city .....	26.44	15 322	579.5
Somerton city .....	1.33	7 266	5 483.2
Yuma city (part) .....	6.59	11	1.7
Wellton CCD .....	5 106.07	7 011	1.4
Tacna CDP .....	1.89	555	294.3
Wellton town .....	2.51	1 829	727.3
Yuma city (part) .....	56.66	-	-
Yuma CCD .....	239.00	122 817	513.9
Fortuna Foothills CDP .....	40.02	20 478	511.7
Yuma city (part) .....	43.39	77 504	1 786.0

Table 16. Land Area and Population Density: 2000

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Land area in square miles	Total population		State County Place	Land area in square miles	Total population	
		Number	Average per square mile			Number	Average per square mile
<b>The State</b> .....	<b>113 634.57</b>	<b>5 130 632</b>	<b>45.2</b>	<b>PLACE—Con.</b>			
<b>COUNTY</b>				Flagstaff city, Coconino County .....	63.58	52 894	831.9
Apache County .....	11 204.88	69 423	6.2	Florence town, Pinal County .....	8.29	17 054	2 056.2
Cochise County .....	6 169.45	117 755	19.1	Flowing Wells CDP, Pima County .....	3.41	15 050	4 413.2
Coconino County .....	18 617.42	116 320	6.2	Fort Defiance CDP, Apache County .....	6.07	4 061	669.3
Gila County .....	4 767.70	51 335	10.8	Fortuna Foothills CDP, Yuma County .....	40.02	20 478	511.7
Graham County .....	4 629.32	33 489	7.2	Fountain Hills town, Maricopa County .....	18.17	20 235	1 113.8
Greenlee County .....	1 847.00	8 547	4.6	Fredonia town, Coconino County .....	7.41	1 036	139.7
La Paz County .....	4 499.95	19 715	4.4	Gadsden CDP, Yuma County .....	1.73	953	550.5
Maricopa County .....	9 203.14	3 072 149	333.8	Ganado CDP, Apache County .....	8.95	1 505	168.2
Mohave County .....	13 311.64	155 032	11.6	Gila Bend town, Maricopa County .....	22.83	1 980	86.7
Navajo County .....	9 953.18	97 470	9.8	Gilbert town, Maricopa County .....	42.96	109 697	2 553.7
Pima County .....	9 186.27	843 746	91.8	Gisela CDP, Gila County .....	2.88	532	184.8
Pinal County .....	5 369.59	179 727	33.5	Glendale city, Maricopa County .....	55.68	218 812	3 929.5
Santa Cruz County .....	1 237.63	38 381	31.0	Globe city, Gila County .....	18.02	7 486	415.5
Yavapai County .....	8 123.30	167 517	20.6	Gold Camp CDP, Pinal County .....	22.33	6 029	270.0
Yuma County .....	5 514.09	160 026	29.0	Golden Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	28.05	4 515	161.0
<b>PLACE</b>				Goodyear city, Maricopa County .....	116.46	18 911	162.4
Ajo CDP, Pima County .....	28.07	3 705	132.0	Grand Canyon Village CDP, Coconino County .....	13.45	1 460	108.6
Ak-Chin Village CDP, Pinal County .....	10.49	669	63.8	Greasewood CDP, Navajo County .....	5.34	581	108.7
Amado CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	11.23	275	24.5	Green Valley CDP, Pima County .....	26.24	17 283	658.6
Apache Junction city .....	34.23	31 814	929.3	Guadalupe town, Maricopa County .....	0.77	5 228	6 813.9
Maricopa County (part) .....	0.06	273	4 726.0	Hayden town .....	1.26	892	707.1
Pinal County (part) .....	34.18	31 541	922.9	Gila County (part) .....	1.25	892	715.3
Arizona City CDP, Pinal County .....	6.10	4 385	718.8	Pinal County (part) .....	0.01	-	-
Arizona Village CDP, Mohave County .....	1.04	351	337.1	Heber-Overgaard CDP, Navajo County .....	6.95	2 722	391.4
Ash Fork CDP, Yavapai County .....	2.30	457	199.1	Holbrook city, Navajo County .....	15.45	4 917	318.4
Avondale city, Maricopa County .....	41.26	35 883	869.7	Hotevilla-Bacavi CDP, Navajo County .....	11.90	767	64.4
Avra Valley CDP, Pima County .....	22.07	5 038	228.3	Houck CDP, Apache County .....	42.38	1 087	25.7
Bagdad CDP, Yavapai County .....	7.88	1 578	200.2	Huachuca City town, Cochise County .....	2.80	1 751	625.6
Benson city, Cochise County .....	35.72	4 711	131.9	Jeddito CDP, Navajo County .....	5.57	390	70.0
Big Park CDP, Yavapai County .....	4.54	5 245	1 154.1	Jerome town, Yavapai County .....	0.71	329	462.1
Bisbee city, Cochise County .....	4.81	6 090	1 266.3	Kachina Village CDP, Coconino County .....	1.20	2 664	2 228.3
Bitter Springs CDP, Coconino County .....	8.28	547	66.1	Kaibab CDP .....	189.51	275	1.5
Black Canyon City CDP, Yavapai County .....	19.97	2 697	135.1	Coconino County (part) .....	20.86	5	0.2
Blackwater CDP, Pinal County .....	6.59	504	76.5	Mohave County (part) .....	168.65	270	1.6
Bluewater CDP, La Paz County .....	2.06	730	355.2	Kaibito CDP, Coconino County .....	15.97	1 607	100.6
Bouse CDP, La Paz County .....	10.09	615	60.9	Kayenta CDP, Navajo County .....	13.24	4 922	371.7
Buckeye town, Maricopa County .....	145.75	6 537	44.8	Kearns Canyon CDP, Navajo County .....	9.28	260	28.0
Bullhead City city, Mohave County .....	45.23	33 769	746.6	Kearny town, Pinal County .....	2.79	2 249	805.4
Burnside CDP, Apache County .....	9.30	632	67.9	Kingman city, Mohave County .....	29.97	20 069	669.7
Cameron CDP, Coconino County .....	18.46	978	53.0	Kykotsmovi Village CDP, Navajo County .....	16.84	776	46.1
Camp Verde town, Yavapai County .....	42.57	9 451	222.0	Lake Havasu City city, Mohave County .....	43.04	41 938	974.4
Canyon Day CDP, Gila County .....	3.83	1 092	285.1	Lake Montezuma CDP, Yavapai County .....	11.95	3 344	279.7
Carefree town, Maricopa County .....	8.85	2 927	330.8	Lechee CDP, Coconino County .....	16.93	1 606	94.8
Casa Grande city, Pinal County .....	48.17	25 224	523.7	Leupp CDP, Coconino County .....	13.12	970	73.9
Casas Adobes CDP, Pima County .....	22.63	54 011	2 386.7	Litchfield Park city, Maricopa County .....	3.13	3 810	1 216.6
Catalina CDP, Pima County .....	13.87	7 025	506.6	Littleton CDP, Pima County .....	0.45	1 010	2 230.8
Catalina Foothills CDP, Pima County .....	44.47	53 794	1 209.5	Lukachukai CDP, Apache County .....	22.03	1 565	71.0
Cave Creek town, Maricopa County .....	28.24	3 728	132.0	McNary CDP .....	5.50	349	63.5
Central Heights-Midland City CDP, Gila County ..	1.71	2 694	1 575.3	Apache County (part) .....	3.74	349	93.2
Chandler city, Maricopa County .....	57.89	176 581	3 050.5	Navajo County (part) .....	1.75	-	-
Chilchinbito CDP, Navajo County .....	23.76	462	19.4	Mammoth town, Pinal County .....	1.08	1 762	1 626.5
Chinle CDP, Apache County .....	16.03	5 366	334.7	Many Farms CDP, Apache County .....	8.22	1 548	188.2
Chino Valley town, Yavapai County .....	18.58	7 835	421.6	Marana town, Pima County .....	72.66	13 556	186.6
Chuichu CDP, Pinal County .....	2.51	339	134.9	Maricopa CDP, Pinal County .....	4.04	1 040	257.6
Cibecue CDP, Navajo County .....	5.97	1 331	223.1	Mayer CDP, Yavapai County .....	20.06	1 408	70.2
Cibola CDP, La Paz County .....	18.01	172	9.5	Mesa city, Maricopa County .....	124.99	396 375	3 171.3
Clarkdale town, Yavapai County .....	7.33	3 422	466.9	Mesquite Creek CDP, Mohave County .....	0.58	205	355.3
Claypool CDP, Gila County .....	1.21	1 794	1 479.1	Miami town, Gila County .....	0.96	1 936	2 008.0
Clifton town, Greenlee County .....	14.85	2 596	174.8	Moenkopi CDP, Coconino County .....	1.60	901	561.9
Colorado City town, Mohave County .....	10.51	3 334	317.3	Mohave Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	45.26	13 694	302.6
Congress CDP, Yavapai County .....	37.64	1 717	45.6	Mojave Ranch Estates CDP, Mohave County .....	0.74	28	37.7
Coolidge city, Pinal County .....	5.03	7 786	1 549.1	Morenci CDP, Greenlee County .....	0.81	1 879	2 317.4
Cordes Lakes CDP, Yavapai County .....	10.88	2 058	189.2	Mountaineer CDP, Coconino County .....	10.22	1 014	99.2
Cornville CDP, Yavapai County .....	13.23	3 335	252.1	Munds Park CDP, Coconino County .....	22.31	1 250	56.0
Corona de Tucson CDP, Pima County .....	2.56	813	317.2	Naco CDP, Cochise County .....	3.36	833	247.6
Cottonwood city, Yavapai County .....	10.67	9 179	860.3	Nazlini CDP, Apache County .....	7.48	397	53.1
Cottonwood-Verde Village CDP, Yavapai County ..	8.77	10 610	1 210.5	New Kingman-Butler CDP, Mohave County .....	14.64	14 810	1 011.6
Dennehotso CDP, Apache County .....	9.94	734	73.8	New River CDP, Maricopa County .....	70.83	10 740	151.6
Desert Hills CDP, Mohave County .....	4.71	2 183	463.8	Nogales city, Santa Cruz County .....	20.83	20 878	1 002.1
Dewey-Humboldt CDP, Yavapai County .....	22.90	6 295	274.8	Ojita-Monument Valley CDP, Navajo County .....	12.51	155	12.4
Dilkon CDP, Navajo County .....	16.77	1 265	75.4	Oracle CDP, Pinal County .....	11.38	3 563	313.0
Dolan Springs CDP, Mohave County .....	28.62	1 867	65.2	Oro Valley town, Pima County .....	31.83	29 700	933.1
Douglas city, Cochise County .....	7.72	14 312	1 852.7	Page city, Coconino County .....	16.59	6 809	410.5
Drexel-Alverton CDP, Pima County .....	0.92	4 192	4 538.7	Paradise Valley town, Maricopa County .....	15.50	13 664	881.7
Drexel Heights CDP, Pima County .....	19.68	23 849	1 212.1	Parker town, La Paz County .....	21.98	3 140	142.8
Dudleyville CDP, Pinal County .....	6.88	1 323	192.3	Parker Strip CDP, La Paz County .....	7.10	3 302	464.8
Duncan town, Greenlee County .....	2.56	812	317.6	Parks CDP, Coconino County .....	172.28	1 137	6.6
Eagar town, Apache County .....	11.34	4 033	355.6	Patagonia town, Santa Cruz County .....	1.19	881	738.7
East Fork CDP, Navajo County .....	2.88	880	305.9	Paulden CDP, Yavapai County .....	62.75	3 420	54.5
East Sahuaria CDP, Pima County .....	14.64	1 419	96.9	Payson town, Gila County .....	19.47	13 620	699.6
Ehrenberg CDP, La Paz County .....	11.93	1 357	113.7	Peach Springs CDP, Mohave County .....	6.87	600	87.3
Elgin CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	48.51	309	6.4	Peoples Valley CDP, Yavapai County .....	15.00	374	24.9
El Mirage city, Maricopa County .....	9.67	7 609	786.8	Peoria city .....	138.22	108 364	784.0
Eloy city, Pinal County .....	71.67	10 375	144.8	Maricopa County (part) .....	118.72	108 363	912.8
First Mesa CDP, Navajo County .....	9.42	1 124	119.3	Yavapai County (part) .....	19.50	1	0.1

Table 16. Land Area and Population Density: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

State County Place	Land area in square miles	Total population		State County Place	Land area in square miles	Total population	
		Number	Average per square mile			Number	Average per square mile
<b>PLACE—Con.</b>				<b>PLACE—Con.</b>			
Peridot CDP .....	5.22	1 266	242.5	Stanfield CDP, Pinal County .....	3.96	651	164.2
Gila County (part) .....	2.95	374	126.8	Steamboat CDP, Apache County .....	2.36	233	98.8
Graham County (part) .....	2.27	892	393.0	Strawberry CDP, Gila County .....	10.18	1 028	101.0
Phoenix city, Maricopa County .....	474.86	1 321 045	2 781.9	Summit CDP, Pima County .....	10.12	3 702	365.8
Picture Rocks CDP, Pima County .....	55.58	8 139	146.4	Sun City CDP, Maricopa County .....	14.51	38 309	2 639.5
Pima town, Graham County .....	2.53	1 989	787.0	Sun City West CDP, Maricopa County .....	11.10	26 344	2 374.2
Pine CDP, Gila County .....	31.77	1 931	60.8	Sun Lakes CDP, Maricopa County .....	5.24	11 936	2 278.7
Pinetop-Lakeside town, Navajo County .....	11.26	3 582	318.1	Sun Valley CDP, Gila County .....	6.35	1 536	241.9
Pinon CDP, Navajo County .....	6.44	1 190	184.9	Supai CDP, Coconino County .....	1.72	-	-
Pirtleville CDP, Cochise County .....	1.80	1 550	861.2	Superior town, Pinal County .....	1.93	3 254	1 684.6
Pisinemo CDP, Pima County .....	1.68	237	141.4	Surprise city, Maricopa County .....	69.49	30 848	443.9
Poston CDP, La Paz County .....	1.39	389	279.9	Swift Trail Junction CDP, Graham County .....	4.72	2 195	465.2
Prescott city, Yavapai County .....	37.07	33 938	915.6	Tacna CDP, Yuma County .....	1.89	555	294.3
Prescott Valley town, Yavapai County .....	31.72	23 535	742.0	Tanque Verde CDP, Pima County .....	32.87	16 195	492.7
Quartzsite town, La Paz County .....	36.28	3 354	92.4	Taylor town, Navajo County .....	24.60	3 176	129.1
Queen Creek town .....	25.80	4 316	167.3	Teec Nos Pos CDP, Apache County .....	14.23	799	56.1
Maricopa County (part) .....	21.93	4 197	191.4	Tempe city, Maricopa County .....	40.06	158 625	3 959.4
Pinal County (part) .....	3.87	119	30.7	Thatcher town, Graham County .....	4.37	4 022	919.4
Queen Valley CDP, Pinal County .....	9.62	820	85.3	Three Points CDP, Pima County .....	44.53	5 273	118.4
Red Mesa CDP, Apache County .....	12.72	237	18.6	Tolleson city, Maricopa County .....	5.56	4 974	894.1
Rio Rico Northeast CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	31.58	3 164	100.2	Tombstone city, Cochise County .....	4.30	1 504	349.8
Rio Rico Northwest CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	16.10	2 882	179.1	Tonalea CDP, Coconino County .....	9.66	562	58.2
Rio Rico Southeast CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	11.06	1 590	143.7	Tonto Basin CDP, Gila County .....	31.37	840	26.8
Rio Rico Southwest CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	19.20	2 777	144.6	Top-of-the-World CDP .....	6.04	330	54.6
Rio Verde CDP, Maricopa County .....	5.42	1 419	261.9	Gila County (part) .....	0.96	-	-
Rock Point CDP, Apache County .....	13.80	724	52.5	Pinal County (part) .....	5.07	330	65.0
Rough Rock CDP, Apache County .....	12.85	469	36.5	Tortolita CDP, Pima County .....	11.88	3 740	314.9
Round Rock CDP, Apache County .....	14.08	601	42.7	Tsalle CDP, Apache County .....	6.01	1 078	179.5
Sacaton CDP, Pinal County .....	2.37	1 584	667.1	Tubac CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	8.18	949	115.9
Safford city, Graham County .....	7.92	9 232	1 166.1	Tuba City CDP, Coconino County .....	8.92	8 225	921.6
Sahuarita town, Pima County .....	15.21	3 242	213.2	Tucson city, Pima County .....	194.67	486 699	2 500.1
St. David CDP, Cochise County .....	5.32	1 744	327.7	Tucson Estates CDP, Pima County .....	35.09	9 755	278.0
St. Johns city, Apache County .....	6.61	3 269	494.8	Tumacacori-Carmen CDP, Santa Cruz County ...	6.21	569	91.6
St. Michaels CDP, Apache County .....	3.83	1 295	338.5	Tusayan CDP, Coconino County .....	28.57	562	19.7
Salome CDP, La Paz County .....	27.42	1 690	61.6	Vail CDP, Pima County .....	18.21	2 484	136.4
San Carlos CDP, Gila County .....	8.83	3 716	420.9	Valencia West CDP, Pima County .....	9.63	2 380	247.2
San Luis city, Yuma County .....	26.44	15 322	579.5	Wellton town, Yuma County .....	2.51	1 829	727.3
San Manuel CDP, Pinal County .....	20.88	4 375	209.5	Wenden CDP, La Paz County .....	14.85	556	37.4
Santan CDP, Pinal County .....	6.42	651	101.3	Whetstone CDP, Cochise County .....	11.80	2 354	199.5
Santa Rosa CDP, Pima County .....	5.72	438	76.6	Whiteriver CDP, Navajo County .....	17.82	5 220	293.0
Sawmill CDP, Apache County .....	5.81	612	105.3	Wickenburg town, Maricopa County .....	11.51	5 082	441.7
Scottsdale city, Maricopa County .....	184.20	202 705	1 100.4	Wilhoit CDP, Yavapai County .....	15.68	664	42.4
Second Mesa CDP, Navajo County .....	26.45	814	30.8	Wilcox city, Cochise County .....	6.00	3 733	622.3
Sedona city .....	18.60	10 192	548.0	Williams city, Coconino County .....	43.50	2 842	65.3
Coconino County (part) .....	6.28	2 963	471.8	Williamson CDP, Yavapai County .....	57.63	3 776	65.5
Yavapai County (part) .....	12.32	7 229	586.8	Willow Valley CDP, Mohave County .....	0.97	585	601.9
Seligman CDP, Yavapai County .....	6.38	456	71.5	Window Rock CDP, Apache County .....	5.19	3 059	589.3
Sells CDP, Pima County .....	9.36	2 799	298.9	Winkelman town .....	0.72	443	612.3
Shongopovi CDP, Navajo County .....	1.69	632	374.2	Gila County (part) .....	0.30	439	1 452.7
Shonto CDP, Navajo County .....	4.57	568	124.3	Pinal County (part) .....	0.42	4	9.5
Show Low city, Navajo County .....	27.86	7 695	276.2	Winslow city, Navajo County .....	12.31	9 520	773.1
Sierra Vista city, Cochise County .....	153.46	37 775	246.1	Winslow West CDP .....	0.31	131	418.8
Sierra Vista Southeast CDP, Cochise County .....	112.26	14 348	127.8	Coconino County (part) .....	0.12	131	1 076.6
Snowflake town, Navajo County .....	30.81	4 460	144.8	Navajo County (part) .....	0.19	-	-
Somerton city, Yuma County .....	1.33	7 266	5 483.2	Yarnell CDP, Yavapai County .....	8.83	645	73.1
Sonoita CDP, Santa Cruz County .....	45.61	826	18.1	Young CDP, Gila County .....	42.01	561	13.4
South Tucson city, Pima County .....	1.01	5 490	5 446.6	Youngtown town, Maricopa County .....	1.31	3 010	2 296.1
Springerville town, Apache County .....	11.55	1 972	170.8	Yuma city, Yuma County .....	106.65	77 515	726.8
Spring Valley CDP, Yavapai County .....	10.56	1 019	96.5				



Table 17. Age and Sex for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

American Indian Area County	American Indian and Alaska Native population (one race)	Median age	Age									
			Under 5 years	5 to 17 years	18 to 20 years	21 to 24 years	25 to 34 years	35 to 44 years	45 to 54 years	55 to 59 years	60 to 64 years	
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>												
All areas .....	161 284	23.2	16 104	51 590	8 298	8 269	20 048	21 571	15 285	5 190	4 522	
Cocopah Reservation, AZ .....	519	26.6	62	119	27	31	80	62	65	16	20	
Yuma County (part) .....	519	26.6	62	119	27	31	80	62	65	16	20	
Colorado River Reservation, AZ--CA (part) .....	2 253	26.3	212	647	112	113	282	327	263	100	57	
La Paz County (part) .....	2 253	26.3	212	647	112	113	282	327	263	100	57	
Fort Apache Reservation, AZ .....	11 702	20.7	1 241	4 018	648	554	1 598	1 652	1 000	333	231	
Apache County (part) .....	291	16.8	44	107	10	9	48	39	15	3	3	
Gila County (part) .....	1 466	23.6	135	490	64	73	195	190	144	53	45	
Navajo County (part) .....	9 945	20.6	1 062	3 421	574	472	1 355	1 423	841	277	183	
Fort McDowell Reservation, AZ .....	755	21.6	92	239	40	40	103	112	67	20	17	
Maricopa County (part) .....	755	21.6	92	239	40	40	103	112	67	20	17	
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--CA--NV (part) .....	360	19.4	43	129	19	26	42	52	34	4	5	
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	360	19.4	43	129	19	26	42	52	34	4	5	
Mohave County (part) .....	360	19.4	43	129	19	26	42	52	34	4	5	
Fort Yuma Reservation, CA--AZ (part) .....	9	30.5	1	3	-	-	2	1	1	-	-	
Yuma County (part) .....	9	30.5	1	3	-	-	2	1	1	-	-	
Gila River Reservation, AZ .....	10 353	21.9	1 095	3 424	535	538	1 405	1 364	967	303	224	
Maricopa County (part) .....	2 532	21.0	318	819	131	151	338	314	202	83	40	
Pinal County (part) .....	7 821	22.2	777	2 605	404	387	1 067	1 050	765	220	184	
Havasupai Reservation, AZ .....	453	24.5	54	136	18	24	49	84	58	8	6	
Coconino County (part) .....	453	24.5	54	136	18	24	49	84	58	8	6	
Hopi Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	6 573	28.7	597	1 827	274	328	745	923	752	255	233	
Hopi Reservation .....	6 442	29.0	579	1 771	270	323	725	902	749	254	231	
Coconino County (part) .....	972	32.4	69	262	35	54	96	134	116	35	38	
Navajo County (part) .....	5 470	28.5	510	1 509	235	269	629	768	633	219	193	
Hopi Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	131	15.8	18	56	4	5	20	21	3	1	2	
Coconino County (part) .....	131	15.8	18	56	4	5	20	21	3	1	2	
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hualapai Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	1 253	24.0	110	393	74	63	149	200	129	46	25	
Hualapai Reservation .....	1 253	24.0	110	393	74	63	149	200	129	46	25	
Coconino County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mohave County (part) .....	1 253	24.0	110	393	74	63	149	200	129	46	25	
Yavapai County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hualapai Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mohave County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Kaibab Reservation, AZ .....	131	21.5	24	34	5	10	23	10	16	3	4	
Coconino County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mohave County (part) .....	131	21.5	24	34	5	10	23	10	16	3	4	
Maricopa (Ak Chin) Reservation, AZ .....	652	23.6	77	200	23	43	110	80	63	17	15	
Pinal County (part) .....	652	23.6	77	200	23	43	110	80	63	17	15	
Navajo Nation Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--NM--UT (part) .....	100 382	23.4	9 811	32 115	5 113	5 113	12 058	13 206	9 388	3 294	2 990	
Navajo Nation Reservation (part) .....	100 350	23.4	9 807	32 107	5 111	5 109	12 056	13 204	9 383	3 292	2 989	
Apache County (part) .....	52 134	24.0	5 005	16 384	2 687	2 658	6 202	6 902	5 036	1 857	1 546	
Coconino County (part) .....	22 248	22.7	2 190	7 367	1 090	1 141	2 798	2 910	1 963	637	628	
Navajo County (part) .....	25 968	23.0	2 612	8 356	1 334	1 310	3 056	3 392	2 384	798	815	
Navajo Nation Off-Reservation Trust Land (part) .....	32	22.7	4	8	2	4	2	2	5	2	1	
Apache County (part) .....	32	22.7	4	8	2	4	2	2	5	2	1	
Coconino County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pascua Yaqui Reservation, AZ .....	3 002	19.1	335	1 100	185	140	364	409	240	80	56	
Pima County (part) .....	3 002	19.1	335	1 100	185	140	364	409	240	80	56	
Salt River Reservation, AZ .....	3 366	21.6	379	1 096	174	214	460	487	281	81	72	
Maricopa County (part) .....	3 366	21.6	379	1 096	174	214	460	487	281	81	72	
San Carlos Reservation, AZ .....	8 921	21.2	925	3 017	492	419	1 137	1 216	856	263	208	
Gila County (part) .....	4 404	23.2	444	1 428	227	174	566	639	430	154	115	
Graham County (part) .....	4 517	20.0	481	1 589	265	245	571	577	426	109	93	
Pinal County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Tohono O'odham Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	9 718	25.1	952	2 813	513	570	1 320	1 280	1 025	338	327	
Tohono O'odham Reservation .....	9 417	25.0	935	2 720	499	551	1 289	1 229	988	332	316	
Maricopa County (part) .....	142	26.0	11	42	8	9	15	23	14	5	7	
Pima County (part) .....	8 495	25.1	850	2 432	453	497	1 172	1 104	890	301	287	
Pinal County (part) .....	780	23.8	74	246	38	45	102	102	84	26	22	
Tohono O'odham Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	301	28.2	17	93	14	19	31	51	37	6	11	
Maricopa County (part) .....	301	28.2	17	93	14	19	31	51	37	6	11	
Tonto Apache Reservation, AZ .....	115	28.3	10	38	3	3	19	17	13	6	5	
Gila County (part) .....	115	28.3	10	38	3	3	19	17	13	6	5	

Table 17. Age and Sex for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Age—Con.			Age and sex						Percent of population		American Indian Area County
65 to 74 years	75 to 84 years	85 years and over	All ages		18 years and over		65 years and over		Under 18 years	65 years and over	
			Female	Males per 100 females	Total	Female	Total	Female			
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>											
6 416	2 936	1 055	82 876	94.6	93 590	49 273	10 407	6 011	42.0	6.5	All areas
23	11	3	283	83.4	338	183	37	21	34.9	7.1	Cocopah Reservation, AZ
23	11	3	283	83.4	338	183	37	21	34.9	7.1	Yuma County (part)
74	51	15	1 193	88.9	1 394	761	140	94	38.1	6.2	Colorado River Reservation, AZ--CA (part)
74	51	15	1 193	88.9	1 394	761	140	94	38.1	6.2	La Paz County (part)
314	100	13	6 130	90.9	6 443	3 419	427	262	44.9	3.6	Fort Apache Reservation, AZ
8	2	3	159	83.0	140	77	13	6	51.9	4.5	Apache County (part)
62	12	3	764	91.9	841	460	77	47	42.6	5.3	Gila County (part)
244	86	7	5 207	91.0	5 462	2 882	337	209	45.1	3.4	Navajo County (part)
21	3	1	372	103.0	424	207	25	12	43.8	3.3	Fort McDowell Reservation, AZ
21	3	1	372	103.0	424	207	25	12	43.8	3.3	Maricopa County (part)
2	4	-	201	79.1	188	105	6	4	47.8	1.7	Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--CA--NV (part)
2	4	-	201	79.1	188	105	6	4	47.8	1.7	Fort Mojave Reservation (part)
2	4	-	201	79.1	188	105	6	4	47.8	1.7	Mohave County (part)
-	1	-	7	28.6	5	5	1	1	44.4	11.1	Fort Yuma Reservation, CA--AZ (part)
-	1	-	7	28.6	5	5	1	1	44.4	11.1	Yuma County (part)
321	141	36	5 524	87.4	5 834	3 183	498	294	43.6	4.8	Gila River Reservation, AZ
75	46	15	1 377	83.9	1 395	815	136	82	44.9	5.4	Maricopa County (part)
246	95	21	4 147	88.6	4 439	2 368	362	212	43.2	4.6	Pinal County (part)
7	7	2	231	96.1	263	133	16	11	41.9	3.5	Havasupai Reservation, AZ
7	7	2	231	96.1	263	133	16	11	41.9	3.5	Coconino County (part)
357	208	74	3 368	95.2	4 149	2 178	639	374	36.9	9.7	Hopi Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ
357	207	74	3 301	95.2	4 092	2 146	638	374	36.5	9.9	Hopi Reservation
72	46	15	488	99.2	641	335	133	76	34.1	13.7	Coconino County (part)
285	161	59	2 813	94.5	3 451	1 811	505	298	36.9	9.2	Navajo County (part)
-	1	-	67	95.5	57	32	1	-	56.5	0.8	Hopi Off-Reservation Trust Land
-	1	-	67	95.5	57	32	1	-	56.5	0.8	Coconino County (part)
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	Navajo County (part)
37	27	-	646	94.0	750	396	64	35	40.1	5.1	Hualapai Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ
37	27	-	646	94.0	750	396	64	35	40.1	5.1	Hualapai Reservation
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	Coconino County (part)
37	27	-	646	94.0	750	396	64	35	40.1	5.1	Mohave County (part)
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	Yavapai County (part)
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	Hualapai Off-Reservation Trust Land
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	Mohave County (part)
-	1	1	77	70.1	73	41	2	-	44.3	1.5	Kaibab Reservation, AZ
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	Coconino County (part)
-	1	1	77	70.1	73	41	2	-	44.3	1.5	Mohave County (part)
12	11	1	371	75.7	375	213	24	19	42.5	3.7	Maricopa (Ak Chin) Reservation, AZ
12	11	1	371	75.7	375	213	24	19	42.5	3.7	Pinal County (part)
4 431	2 058	805	51 053	96.6	58 456	30 502	7 294	4 152	41.8	7.3	Navajo Nation Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--NM--UT (part)
4 430	2 057	805	51 038	96.6	58 436	30 491	7 292	4 150	41.8	7.3	Navajo Nation Reservation (part)
2 279	1 117	461	26 493	96.8	30 745	16 044	3 857	2 189	41.0	7.4	Apache County (part)
946	410	168	11 310	96.7	12 691	6 664	1 524	884	43.0	6.9	Coconino County (part)
1 205	530	176	13 235	96.2	15 000	7 783	1 911	1 077	42.2	7.4	Navajo County (part)
1	1	-	15	113.3	20	11	2	2	37.5	6.3	Navajo Nation Off-Reservation Trust Land (part)
1	1	-	15	113.3	20	11	2	2	37.5	6.3	Apache County (part)
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	Coconino County (part)
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	Navajo County (part)
68	21	4	1 603	87.3	1 567	872	93	54	47.8	3.1	Pascua Yaqui Reservation, AZ
68	21	4	1 603	87.3	1 567	872	93	54	47.8	3.1	Pima County (part)
79	31	12	1 803	86.7	1 891	1 042	122	80	43.8	3.6	Salt River Reservation, AZ
79	31	12	1 803	86.7	1 891	1 042	122	80	43.8	3.6	Maricopa County (part)
282	78	28	4 516	97.5	4 979	2 565	388	215	44.2	4.3	San Carlos Reservation, AZ
147	59	21	2 222	98.2	2 532	1 272	227	117	42.5	5.2	Gila County (part)
135	19	7	2 294	96.9	2 447	1 293	161	98	45.8	3.6	Graham County (part)
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	Pinal County (part)
365	161	54	5 038	92.9	5 953	3 197	580	355	38.7	6.0	Tohono O'odham Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ
351	156	51	4 879	93.0	5 762	3 094	558	342	38.8	5.9	Tohono O'odham Reservation
6	2	-	83	71.1	89	53	8	6	37.3	5.6	Maricopa County (part)
320	139	50	4 390	93.5	5 213	2 795	509	310	38.6	6.0	Pima County (part)
25	15	1	406	92.1	460	246	41	26	41.0	5.3	Pinal County (part)
14	5	3	159	89.3	191	103	22	13	36.5	7.3	Tohono O'odham Off-Reservation Trust Land
14	5	3	159	89.3	191	103	22	13	36.5	7.3	Maricopa County (part)
-	1	-	56	105.4	67	32	1	-	41.7	0.9	Tonto Apache Reservation, AZ
-	1	-	56	105.4	67	32	1	-	41.7	0.9	Gila County (part)

Table 17. **Age and Sex for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000**—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

American Indian Area County	American Indian and Alaska Native population (one race)	Median age	Age								
			Under 5 years	5 to 17 years	18 to 20 years	21 to 24 years	25 to 34 years	35 to 44 years	45 to 54 years	55 to 59 years	60 to 64 years
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL—Con.</b>											
Yavapai-Apache Nation Reservation, AZ .....	650	21.7	74	209	37	34	84	72	59	18	23
Yavapai County (part) .....	650	21.7	74	209	37	34	84	72	59	18	23
Yavapai-Prescott Reservation, AZ .....	117	27.2	10	33	6	6	18	17	8	5	4
Yavapai County (part) .....	117	27.2	10	33	6	6	18	17	8	5	4
Zuni Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NM—AZ (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Zuni Reservation (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Apache County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 17. **Age and Sex for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000—Con.**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Age—Con.			Age and sex						Percent of population		American Indian Area County
65 to 74 years	75 to 84 years	85 years and over	All ages		18 years and over		65 years and over		Under 18 years	65 years and over	
			Female	Males per 100 females	Total	Female	Total	Female			
18	17	5	341	90.6	367	198	40	23	43.5	6.2	Yavapai-Apache Nation Reservation, AZ Yavapai County (part)
18	17	5	341	90.6	367	198	40	23	43.5	6.2	
5	4	1	63	85.7	74	41	10	5	36.8	8.5	Yavapai-Prescott Reservation, AZ Yavapai County (part)
5	4	1	63	85.7	74	41	10	5	36.8	8.5	
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	Zuni Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NM--AZ (part) Zuni Reservation (part) Apache County (part)
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	
-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	

Table 18. Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

American Indian Area County	Total population	Race									Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	
		One race						Two or more races				
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Number	Percent of total popu- lation	Two races exclud- ing Some, or three or more races		
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>												
All areas .....	179 064	11 039	298	161 284	170	52	3 377	2 844	1.6	1 659		9 010
Cocopah Reservation, AZ .....	1 025	474	1	519	-	-	17	14	1.4	13		47
Yuma County (part) .....	1 025	474	1	519	-	-	17	14	1.4	13		47
Colorado River Reservation, AZ--CA (part) .....	7 466	3 433	85	2 253	36	8	1 356	295	4.0	159		2 805
La Paz County (part) .....	7 466	3 433	85	2 253	36	8	1 356	295	4.0	159		2 805
Fort Apache Reservation, AZ .....	12 429	490	23	11 702	7	1	40	166	1.3	148		267
Apache County (part) .....	370	48	9	291	-	-	10	12	3.2	12		39
Gila County (part) .....	1 514	39	-	1 466	1	-	4	4	0.3	3		14
Navajo County (part) .....	10 545	403	14	9 945	6	1	26	150	1.4	133		214
Fort McDowell Reservation, AZ .....	824	27	4	755	1	-	20	17	2.1	9		89
Maricopa County (part) .....	824	27	4	755	1	-	20	17	2.1	9		89
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--CA--NV (part) .....	773	375	3	360	1	-	15	19	2.5	12		203
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	773	375	3	360	1	-	15	19	2.5	12		203
Mohave County (part) .....	773	375	3	360	1	-	15	19	2.5	12		203
Fort Yuma Reservation, CA--AZ (part) .....	36	22	2	9	-	-	-	3	8.3	-		-
Yuma County (part) .....	36	22	2	9	-	-	-	3	8.3	-		-
Gila River Reservation, AZ .....	11 257	417	25	10 353	2	3	219	238	2.1	191		1 055
Maricopa County (part) .....	2 699	59	4	2 532	1	1	42	60	2.2	54		307
Pinal County (part) .....	8 558	358	21	7 821	1	2	177	178	2.1	137		748
Havasupai Reservation, AZ .....	503	50	-	453	-	-	-	-	-	-		9
Coconino County (part) .....	503	50	-	453	-	-	-	-	-	-		9
Hopi Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	6 946	269	14	6 573	4	1	16	69	1.0	53		133
Hopi Reservation .....	6 815	269	14	6 442	4	1	16	69	1.0	53		131
Coconino County (part) .....	1 003	13	-	972	-	-	2	16	1.6	10		11
Navajo County (part) .....	5 812	256	14	5 470	4	1	14	53	0.9	43		120
Hopi Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	131	-	-	131	-	-	-	-	-	-		2
Coconino County (part) .....	131	-	-	131	-	-	-	-	-	-		2
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-		-
Hualapai Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	1 353	71	1	1 253	-	1	19	8	0.6	5		69
Hualapai Reservation .....	1 353	71	1	1 253	-	1	19	8	0.6	5		69
Coconino County (part) .....	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Mohave County (part) .....	1 351	69	1	1 253	-	1	19	8	0.6	5		69
Yavapai County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-		-
Hualapai Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-		-
Mohave County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-		-
Kaibab Reservation, AZ .....	196	46	-	131	-	-	9	10	5.1	6		20
Coconino County (part) .....	5	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Mohave County (part) .....	191	41	-	131	-	-	9	10	5.2	6		20
Maricopa (Ak Chin) Reservation, AZ .....	742	32	2	652	1	-	44	11	1.5	10		99
Pinal County (part) .....	742	32	2	652	1	-	44	11	1.5	10		99
Navajo Nation Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--NM--UT (part) .....	104 565	2 840	80	100 382	79	23	250	911	0.9	654		1 233
Navajo Nation Reservation (part) .....	104 532	2 840	80	100 350	79	23	250	910	0.9	654		1 232
Apache County (part) .....	54 435	1 547	43	52 134	49	14	153	495	0.9	334		614
Coconino County (part) .....	23 216	618	20	22 248	20	5	70	235	1.0	183		360
Navajo County (part) .....	26 881	675	17	25 968	10	4	27	180	0.7	137		258
Navajo Nation Off-Reservation Trust Land (part) .....	33	-	-	32	-	-	-	1	3.0	-		1
Apache County (part) .....	33	-	-	32	-	-	-	1	3.0	-		1
Coconino County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-		-
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-		-
Pascua Yaqui Reservation, AZ .....	3 315	36	8	3 002	1	-	197	71	2.1	29		756
Pima County (part) .....	3 315	36	8	3 002	1	-	197	71	2.1	29		756
Salt River Reservation, AZ .....	6 405	1 233	27	3 366	6	3	1 078	692	10.8	145		1 085
Maricopa County (part) .....	6 405	1 233	27	3 366	6	3	1 078	692	10.8	145		1 085
San Carlos Reservation, AZ .....	9 385	274	10	8 921	15	-	16	149	1.6	111		275
Gila County (part) .....	4 784	225	9	4 404	13	-	12	121	2.5	93		143
Graham County (part) .....	4 601	49	1	4 517	2	-	4	28	0.6	18		132
Pinal County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-		-
Tohono O'odham Reservation and Off- Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	10 787	873	11	9 718	17	10	54	104	1.0	63		761
Tohono O'odham Reservation .....	10 483	872	11	9 417	17	10	54	102	1.0	63		758
Maricopa County (part) .....	143	1	-	142	-	-	-	-	-	-		3
Pima County (part) .....	9 545	862	11	8 495	17	10	51	99	1.0	60		729
Pinal County (part) .....	795	9	-	780	-	-	3	3	0.4	3		26
Tohono O'odham Off-Reservation Trust Land (part) .....	304	1	-	301	-	-	-	2	0.7	-		3
Maricopa County (part) .....	304	1	-	301	-	-	-	2	0.7	-		3
Tonto Apache Reservation, AZ .....	132	14	-	115	-	-	2	1	0.8	1		5
Gila County (part) .....	132	14	-	115	-	-	2	1	0.8	1		5

Table 18. Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Not Hispanic or Latino										American Indian Area County	
Total	One race						Two or more races		Total		Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races
	White		Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race				
	Number	Percent of total popu- lation									
170 054	9 021	5.0	288	157 586	162	42	724	2 231	1 458	<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>	
										All areas	
978	465	45.4	1	499	-	-	-	13	13	Cocopah Reservation, AZ	
978	465	45.4	1	499	-	-	-	13	13	Yuma County (part)	
4 661	2 414	32.3	85	1 961	34	1	5	161	118	Colorado River Reservation, AZ--CA (part)	
4 661	2 414	32.3	85	1 961	34	1	5	161	118	La Paz County (part)	
12 162	455	3.7	21	11 528	7	1	1	149	137	Fort Apache Reservation, AZ	
331	39	10.5	7	273	-	-	-	12	12	Apache County (part)	
1 500	37	2.4	-	1 458	1	-	-	4	3	Gila County (part)	
10 331	379	3.6	14	9 797	6	1	1	133	122	Navajo County (part)	
735	22	2.7	4	693	1	-	1	14	8	Fort McDowell Reservation, AZ	
735	22	2.7	4	693	1	-	1	14	8	Maricopa County (part)	
570	260	33.6	3	296	1	-	-	10	6	Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--CA--NV (part)	
570	260	33.6	3	296	1	-	-	10	6	Fort Mojave Reservation (part)	
570	260	33.6	3	296	1	-	-	10	6	Mohave County (part)	
36	22	61.1	2	9	-	-	-	3	-	Fort Yuma Reservation, CA--AZ (part)	
36	22	61.1	2	9	-	-	-	3	3	Yuma County (part)	
10 202	367	3.3	24	9 583	1	3	27	197	166	Gila River Reservation, AZ	
2 392	38	1.4	4	2 294	-	1	4	51	47	Maricopa County (part)	
7 810	329	3.8	20	7 289	1	2	23	146	119	Pinal County (part)	
494	45	8.9	-	449	-	-	-	-	-	Havasupai Reservation, AZ	
494	45	8.9	-	449	-	-	-	-	-	Coconino County (part)	
6 813	240	3.5	14	6 494	4	1	2	58	46	Hopi Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ	
6 684	240	3.5	14	6 365	4	1	2	58	46	Hopi Reservation	
992	13	1.3	-	966	-	-	-	13	10	Coconino County (part)	
5 692	227	3.9	14	5 399	4	1	2	45	36	Navajo County (part)	
129	-	-	-	129	-	-	-	-	-	Hopi Off-Reservation Trust Land	
129	-	-	-	129	-	-	-	-	-	Coconino County (part)	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Navajo County (part)	
1 284	64	4.7	1	1 212	-	1	-	6	4	Hualapai Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ	
1 284	64	4.7	1	1 212	-	1	-	6	4	Hualapai Reservation	
2	2	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Coconino County (part)	
1 282	62	4.6	1	1 212	-	1	-	6	4	Mohave County (part)	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Yavapai County (part)	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Hualapai Off-Reservation Trust Land	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Mohave County (part)	
176	46	23.5	-	120	-	-	-	10	6	Kaibab Reservation, AZ	
5	5	100.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Coconino County (part)	
171	41	21.5	-	120	-	-	-	10	6	Mohave County (part)	
643	13	1.8	2	619	-	-	1	8	8	Maricopa (Ak Chin) Reservation, AZ	
643	13	1.8	2	619	-	-	1	8	8	Pinal County (part)	
103 332	2 678	2.6	77	99 722	77	20	6	752	609	Navajo Nation Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--NM--UT (part)	
103 300	2 678	2.6	77	99 690	77	20	6	752	609	Navajo Nation Reservation (part)	
53 821	1 453	2.7	41	51 854	47	13	6	407	317	Apache County (part)	
22 856	584	2.5	20	22 040	20	5	-	187	166	Coconino County (part)	
26 623	641	2.4	16	25 796	10	2	-	158	126	Navajo County (part)	
32	-	-	-	32	-	-	-	-	-	Navajo Nation Off-Reservation Trust Land (part)	
32	-	-	-	32	-	-	-	-	-	Apache County (part)	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Coconino County (part)	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Navajo County (part)	
2 559	19	0.6	8	2 506	1	-	-	25	17	Pascua Yaqui Reservation, AZ	
2 559	19	0.6	8	2 506	1	-	-	25	17	Pima County (part)	
5 320	1 124	17.5	23	2 920	6	3	681	563	117	Salt River Reservation, AZ	
5 320	1 124	17.5	23	2 920	6	3	681	563	117	Maricopa County (part)	
9 110	258	2.7	10	8 694	13	-	-	135	104	San Carlos Reservation, AZ	
4 641	213	4.5	9	4 298	12	-	-	109	87	Gila County (part)	
4 469	45	1.0	1	4 396	1	-	-	26	17	Graham County (part)	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Pinal County (part)	
10 026	464	4.3	11	9 457	17	10	-	67	50	Tohono O'odham Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ	
9 725	463	4.4	11	9 158	17	10	-	66	50	Tohono O'odham Reservation	
140	-	-	-	140	-	-	-	-	-	Maricopa County (part)	
8 816	456	4.8	11	8 256	17	10	-	66	50	Pima County (part)	
769	7	0.9	-	762	-	-	-	-	-	Pinal County (part)	
301	1	0.3	-	299	-	-	-	1	-	Tohono O'odham Off-Reservation Trust Land	
301	1	0.3	-	299	-	-	-	1	-	Maricopa County (part)	
127	13	9.8	-	113	-	-	-	1	1	Tonto Apache Reservation, AZ	
127	13	9.8	-	113	-	-	-	1	1	Gila County (part)	

Table 18. **Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000**—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

American Indian Area County	Total population	Race									Hispanic or Latino (of any race)
		One race						Two or more races			
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Number	Percent of total popu- lation	Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races	
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL—Con.</b>											
Yavapai-Apache Nation Reservation, AZ .....	743	37	2	650	-	2	25	27	3.6	12	77
Yavapai County (part) .....	743	37	2	650	-	2	25	27	3.6	12	77
Yavapai-Prescott Reservation, AZ .....	182	26	-	117	-	-	-	39	21.4	38	22
Yavapai County (part) .....	182	26	-	117	-	-	-	39	21.4	38	22
Zuni Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NM-AZ (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-
Zuni Reservation (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-
Apache County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	-	-

Table 18. **Race and Hispanic or Latino: 2000**—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

Not Hispanic or Latino											American Indian Area County	
Total	One race							Two or more races		Total		Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races
	White		Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race	Total	Two races excluding Some other race, and three or more races			
	Number	Percent of total popu- lation										
666	31	4.2	2	608	-	2	-	23	12	Yavapai-Apache Nation Reservation, AZ Yavapai County (part)		
666	31	4.2	2	608	-	2	-	23	12			
160	21	11.5	-	103	-	-	-	36	36	Yavapai-Prescott Reservation, AZ Yavapai County (part)		
160	21	11.5	-	103	-	-	-	36	36			
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	Zuni Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NM--AZ (part) Zuni Reservation (part) Apache County (part)	
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		



Table 19. Population for Selected Categories of Race: 2000

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

American Indian Area County	Selected combinations of two races				Race alone or in combination with one or more other races					
	White; Black or African American	White; American Indian and Alaska Native	White; Asian	Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native	White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>										
All areas .....	36	1 103	36	238	12 519	623	163 799	282	158	4 647
Cocopah Reservation, AZ .....	-	9	-	4	484	5	532	-	-	18
Yuma County (part) .....	-	9	-	4	484	5	532	-	-	18
Colorado River Reservation, AZ--CA (part) .....	4	104	8	15	3 629	119	2 460	50	18	1 507
La Paz County (part) .....	4	104	8	15	3 629	119	2 460	50	18	1 507
Fort Apache Reservation, AZ .....	1	113	3	21	622	45	11 854	12	4	64
Apache County (part) .....	1	3	-	8	52	18	302	-	-	10
Gila County (part) .....	-	3	-	-	42	-	1 470	1	-	5
Navajo County (part) .....	-	107	3	13	528	27	10 082	11	4	49
Fort McDowell Reservation, AZ .....	-	6	-	2	33	6	771	2	1	28
Maricopa County (part) .....	-	6	-	2	33	6	771	2	1	28
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--CA--NV (part) .....	-	11	-	1	393	4	372	1	-	22
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	-	11	-	1	393	4	372	1	-	22
Mohave County (part) .....	-	11	-	1	393	4	372	1	-	22
Fort Yuma Reservation, CA--AZ (part) .....	-	-	-	-	22	2	12	-	-	3
Yuma County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	22	2	12	-	-	3
Gila River Reservation, AZ .....	3	152	1	24	581	57	10 578	3	12	268
Maricopa County (part) .....	-	41	1	4	101	9	2 591	2	9	48
Pinal County (part) .....	3	111	-	20	480	48	7 987	1	3	220
Havasupai Reservation, AZ .....	-	-	-	-	50	-	453	-	-	-
Coconino County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	50	-	453	-	-	-
Hopi Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	1	36	1	5	316	22	6 633	12	1	35
Hopi Reservation .....	1	36	1	5	316	22	6 502	12	1	35
Coconino County (part) .....	1	8	-	1	23	2	986	-	-	8
Navajo County (part) .....	-	28	1	4	293	20	5 516	12	1	27
Hopi Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	131	-	-	-
Coconino County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	131	-	-	-
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hualapai Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	-	2	-	1	73	3	1 261	-	3	22
Hualapai Reservation .....	-	2	-	1	73	3	1 261	-	3	22
Coconino County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-
Mohave County (part) .....	-	2	-	1	71	3	1 261	-	3	22
Yavapai County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hualapai Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mohave County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kaibab Reservation, AZ .....	-	6	-	-	56	-	137	-	-	13
Coconino County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-
Mohave County (part) .....	-	6	-	-	51	-	137	-	-	13
Maricopa (Ak Chin) Reservation, AZ .....	-	8	-	-	41	2	663	2	-	46
Pinal County (part) .....	-	8	-	-	41	2	663	2	-	46
Navajo Nation Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--NM--UT (part) .....	14	428	20	92	3 414	193	101 170	140	64	539
Navajo Nation Reservation (part) .....	14	428	20	92	3 414	193	101 137	140	64	538
Apache County (part) .....	3	226	9	40	1 856	92	52 555	82	39	333
Coconino County (part) .....	2	118	4	35	765	58	22 461	32	15	128
Navajo County (part) .....	9	84	7	17	793	43	26 121	26	10	77
Navajo Nation Off-Reservation Trust Land (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	33	-	-	1
Apache County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	33	-	-	1
Coconino County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pascua Yaqui Reservation, AZ .....	-	12	-	12	55	24	3 070	2	-	240
Pima County (part) .....	-	12	-	12	55	24	3 070	2	-	240
Salt River Reservation, AZ .....	4	82	3	35	1 342	76	4 029	14	12	1 638
Maricopa County (part) .....	4	82	3	35	1 342	76	4 029	14	12	1 638
San Carlos Reservation, AZ .....	-	77	-	8	364	20	9 065	24	7	65
Gila County (part) .....	-	67	-	6	305	17	4 520	18	5	51
Graham County (part) .....	-	10	-	2	59	3	4 545	6	2	14
Pinal County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tohono O'odham Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	8	33	-	8	937	32	9 794	20	18	96
Tohono O'odham Reservation .....	8	33	-	8	935	32	9 492	20	18	94
Maricopa County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	1	-	142	-	-	-
Pima County (part) .....	8	31	-	8	922	32	8 567	20	17	91
Pinal County (part) .....	-	2	-	-	12	-	783	-	1	3
Tohono O'odham Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	-	-	2	-	302	-	-	2
Maricopa County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	2	-	302	-	-	2
Tonto Apache Reservation, AZ .....	-	1	-	-	15	-	116	-	-	2
Gila County (part) .....	-	1	-	-	15	-	116	-	-	2

Table 19. **Population for Selected Categories of Race: 2000**—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

American Indian Area County	Selected combinations of two races				Race alone or in combination with one or more other races					
	White; Black or African American	White; American Indian and Alaska Native	White; Asian	Black or African American; American Indian and Alaska Native	White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL—Con.</b>										
Yavapai-Apache Nation Reservation, AZ .....	1	6	-	2	47	5	673	-	5	40
Yavapai County (part) .....	1	6	-	2	47	5	673	-	5	40
Yavapai-Prescott Reservation, AZ .....	-	17	-	8	45	8	156	-	13	1
Yavapai County (part) .....	-	17	-	8	45	8	156	-	13	1
Zuni Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NM—AZ (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Zuni Reservation (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Apache County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Table 20. **Households and Families With American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

American Indian Area County	Households with American Indian and Alaska Native householder (one race)	Family households						Nonfamily households			Average size	
		Total		Married-couple family		Female householder, no husband present		Total	Householder living alone		Households	Families
		Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years		Total	65 years and over		
								Number			Percent with own children under 18 years	Number
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>												
All areas .....	41 313	33 565	60.1	18 258	63.8	12 029	56.6	7 748	6 872	2 095	3.89	4.39
Cocopah Reservation, AZ .....	153	124	46.8	39	38.5	64	50.0	29	23	5	3.37	3.57
Yuma County (part) .....	153	124	46.8	39	38.5	64	50.0	29	23	5	3.37	3.57
Colorado River Reservation, AZ--CA (part) .....	701	546	56.2	236	50.8	228	63.2	155	124	26	3.21	3.58
La Paz County (part) .....	701	546	56.2	236	50.8	228	63.2	155	124	26	3.21	3.58
Fort Apache Reservation, AZ .....	2 784	2 372	66.6	1 254	71.4	939	62.8	412	343	49	4.15	4.47
Apache County (part) .....	71	58	79.3	31	77.4	23	87.0	13	13	2	3.87	4.33
Gila County (part) .....	366	310	58.7	167	65.9	123	49.6	56	52	9	4.01	4.35
Navajo County (part) .....	2 347	2 004	67.5	1 056	72.1	793	64.2	343	278	38	4.18	4.49
Fort McDowell Reservation, AZ .....	222	190	62.6	88	53.4	77	76.6	32	28	6	3.64	3.85
Maricopa County (part) .....	222	190	62.6	88	53.4	77	76.6	32	28	6	3.64	3.85
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--CA--NV .....	94	85	76.5	32	84.4	41	73.2	9	8	-	4.18	4.27
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	94	85	76.5	32	84.4	41	73.2	9	8	-	4.18	4.27
Mohave County (part) .....	94	85	76.5	32	84.4	41	73.2	9	8	-	4.18	4.27
Fort Yuma Reservation, CA--AZ (part) .....	2	2	50.0	-	(X)	2	50.0	-	-	-	7.00	6.50
Yuma County (part) .....	2	2	50.0	-	(X)	2	50.0	-	-	-	7.00	6.50
Gila River Reservation, AZ .....	2 538	2 116	58.7	746	59.9	1 039	59.8	422	339	93	4.03	4.31
Maricopa County (part) .....	596	498	60.2	134	62.7	287	63.4	98	80	19	4.21	4.50
Pinal County (part) .....	1 942	1 618	58.2	612	59.3	752	58.4	324	259	74	3.97	4.25
Havasupai Reservation, AZ .....	119	94	62.8	50	76.0	32	43.8	25	19	1	3.82	4.32
Coconino County (part) .....	119	94	62.8	50	76.0	32	43.8	25	19	1	3.82	4.32
Hopi Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	1 831	1 451	50.8	770	49.5	569	52.9	380	348	128	3.61	4.11
Hopi Reservation .....	1 801	1 421	50.0	762	49.1	550	51.8	380	348	128	3.60	4.12
Coconino County (part) .....	272	222	41.9	125	39.2	78	42.3	50	44	20	3.64	4.05
Navajo County (part) .....	1 529	1 199	51.5	637	51.0	472	53.4	330	304	108	3.59	4.13
Hopi Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	30	30	86.7	8	87.5	19	84.2	-	-	-	4.37	3.93
Coconino County (part) .....	30	30	86.7	8	87.5	19	84.2	-	-	-	4.37	3.93
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Hualapai Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	332	267	59.2	130	57.7	111	59.5	65	49	12	3.70	4.02
Hualapai Reservation .....	332	267	59.2	130	57.7	111	59.5	65	49	12	3.70	4.02
Coconino County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Mohave County (part) .....	332	267	59.2	130	57.7	111	59.5	65	49	12	3.70	4.02
Yavapai County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Hualapai Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Mohave County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Kaibab Reservation, AZ .....	46	37	73.0	15	66.7	18	77.8	9	8	-	3.07	3.35
Coconino County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Mohave County (part) .....	46	37	73.0	15	66.7	18	77.8	9	8	-	3.07	3.35
Maricopa (Ak Chin) Reservation, AZ .....	192	155	61.3	76	65.8	60	58.3	37	32	3	3.47	3.89
Pinal County (part) .....	192	155	61.3	76	65.8	60	58.3	37	32	3	3.47	3.89
Navajo Nation Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--NM--UT (part) .....	25 910	20 795	60.6	12 419	64.7	6 625	55.0	5 115	4 692	1 549	3.86	4.45
Navajo Nation Reservation (part) .....	25 900	20 788	60.6	12 414	64.7	6 623	55.0	5 112	4 689	1 547	3.86	4.45
Apache County (part) .....	13 907	10 905	60.4	6 288	64.8	3 694	55.3	3 002	2 752	888	3.71	4.36
Coconino County (part) .....	5 415	4 532	61.9	2 826	65.3	1 337	55.2	883	793	264	4.12	4.60
Navajo County (part) .....	6 578	5 351	60.0	3 300	63.9	1 592	54.2	1 227	1 144	395	3.95	4.52
Navajo Nation Off-Reservation Trust Land (part) .....	10	7	71.4	5	80.0	2	50.0	3	3	2	3.30	4.29
Apache County (part) .....	10	7	71.4	5	80.0	2	50.0	3	3	2	3.30	4.29
Coconino County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Pascua Yaqui Reservation, AZ .....	702	648	63.7	272	64.0	291	65.3	54	34	14	4.43	4.39
Pima County (part) .....	702	648	63.7	272	64.0	291	65.3	54	34	14	4.43	4.39
Salt River Reservation, AZ .....	818	697	62.4	290	66.9	316	57.9	121	92	20	4.18	4.40
Maricopa County (part) .....	818	697	62.4	290	66.9	316	57.9	121	92	20	4.18	4.40
San Carlos Reservation, AZ .....	2 085	1 791	63.7	1 017	68.9	626	58.1	294	250	52	4.26	4.57
Gila County (part) .....	1 072	904	59.5	508	63.2	315	56.2	168	138	31	4.04	4.38
Graham County (part) .....	1 013	887	68.0	509	74.7	311	60.1	126	112	21	4.49	4.77
Pinal County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Tohono O'odham Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	2 537	1 997	50.7	714	52.0	926	51.4	540	444	122	3.84	4.28
Tohono O'odham Reservation .....	2 468	1 937	50.6	688	51.6	899	51.4	531	436	118	3.82	4.27
Maricopa County (part) .....	38	29	51.7	13	46.2	14	64.3	9	9	2	3.76	4.41
Pima County (part) .....	2 239	1 750	50.4	610	51.3	823	50.9	489	397	108	3.80	4.25
Pinal County (part) .....	191	158	52.5	65	55.4	62	54.8	33	30	8	4.09	4.51
Tohono O'odham Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	69	60	53.3	26	61.5	27	51.9	9	8	4	4.33	4.63
Maricopa County (part) .....	69	60	53.3	26	61.5	27	51.9	9	8	4	4.33	4.63

Table 20. **Households and Families With American Indian and Alaska Native Householder (One Race): 2000—Con.**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

American Indian Area County	Households with American Indian and Alaska Native householder (one race)	Family households						Nonfamily households			Average size	
		Total		Married-couple family		Female householder, no husband present		Total	Householder living alone		House- holds	Families
		Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years	Number	Percent with own children under 18 years		Total	Total		
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL—Con.</b>												
Tonto Apache Reservation, AZ .....	31	25	56.0	18	50.0	5	80.0	6	6	-	3.55	4.04
Gila County (part) .....	31	25	56.0	18	50.0	5	80.0	6	6	-	3.55	4.04
Yavapai-Apache Nation Reservation, AZ .....	175	144	66.7	77	63.6	47	70.2	31	23	13	3.82	4.09
Yavapai County (part) .....	175	144	66.7	77	63.6	47	70.2	31	23	13	3.82	4.09
Yavapai-Prescott Reservation, AZ .....	41	29	51.7	15	40.0	13	69.2	12	10	2	3.07	3.62
Yavapai County (part) .....	41	29	51.7	15	40.0	13	69.2	12	10	2	3.07	3.62
Zuni Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NM-AZ (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Zuni Reservation (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-
Apache County (part) .....	-	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-

**Table 21. Household Relationship and Group Quarters Population for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

American Indian Area County	Household population							Group quarters population			
	Total	Householder	Relationship to householder					Under 18 years, child and other relatives	Total	Institution- alized population	Non- institution- alized population
			Spouse	Child	Other relatives	Non- relatives					
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>											
All areas .....	159 789	41 313	17 787	70 612	24 560	5 517	66 537	1 495	922	573	
Cocopah Reservation, AZ .....	510	153	37	195	86	39	179	9	-	9	
Yuma County (part) .....	510	153	37	195	86	39	179	9	-	9	
Colorado River Reservation, AZ--CA (part) .....	2 225	701	209	888	284	143	835	28	13	15	
La Paz County (part) .....	2 225	701	209	888	284	143	835	28	13	15	
Fort Apache Reservation, AZ .....	11 603	2 784	1 259	5 127	1 892	541	5 133	99	81	18	
Apache County (part) .....	291	71	35	141	29	15	147	-	-	-	
Gila County (part) .....	1 465	366	166	578	295	60	619	1	-	1	
Navajo County (part) .....	9 847	2 347	1 058	4 408	1 568	466	4 367	98	81	17	
Fort McDowell Reservation, AZ .....	755	222	65	332	100	36	330	-	-	-	
Maricopa County (part) .....	755	222	65	332	100	36	330	-	-	-	
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--CA--NV (part) .....	360	94	23	179	49	15	171	-	-	-	
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	360	94	23	179	49	15	171	-	-	-	
Mohave County (part) .....	360	94	23	179	49	15	171	-	-	-	
Fort Yuma Reservation, CA--AZ (part) .....	9	2	-	2	5	-	4	-	-	-	
Yuma County (part) .....	9	2	-	2	5	-	4	-	-	-	
Gila River Reservation, AZ .....	10 116	2 538	676	4 233	2 037	632	4 326	237	154	83	
Maricopa County (part) .....	2 487	596	119	1 068	550	154	1 114	45	-	45	
Pinal County (part) .....	7 629	1 942	557	3 165	1 487	478	3 212	192	154	38	
Havasupai Reservation, AZ .....	453	119	50	191	69	24	188	-	-	-	
Coconino County (part) .....	453	119	50	191	69	24	188	-	-	-	
Hopi Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	6 517	1 831	749	2 480	1 205	252	2 394	56	56	-	
Hopi Reservation .....	6 386	1 801	741	2 405	1 200	239	2 322	56	56	-	
Coconino County (part) .....	972	272	121	345	192	42	324	-	-	-	
Navajo County (part) .....	5 414	1 529	620	2 060	1 008	197	1 998	56	56	-	
Hopi Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	131	30	8	75	5	13	72	-	-	-	
Coconino County (part) .....	131	30	8	75	5	13	72	-	-	-	
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hualapai Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	1 216	332	122	536	135	91	469	37	37	-	
Hualapai Reservation .....	1 216	332	122	536	135	91	469	37	37	-	
Coconino County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mohave County (part) .....	1 216	332	122	536	135	91	469	37	37	-	
Yavapai County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hualapai Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mohave County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Kaibab Reservation, AZ .....	131	46	11	55	11	8	56	-	-	-	
Coconino County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mohave County (part) .....	131	46	11	55	11	8	56	-	-	-	
Maricopa (Ak Chin) Reservation, AZ .....	652	192	69	267	102	22	268	-	-	-	
Pinal County (part) .....	652	192	69	267	102	22	268	-	-	-	
Navajo Nation Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--NM--UT (part) .....	99 546	25 910	12 293	45 353	13 725	2 265	41 498	836	447	389	
Navajo Nation Reservation (part) .....	99 514	25 900	12 288	45 339	13 722	2 265	41 487	836	447	389	
Apache County (part) .....	51 482	13 907	6 250	23 392	6 785	1 148	21 186	652	296	356	
Coconino County (part) .....	22 135	5 415	2 769	10 096	3 291	564	9 468	113	89	24	
Navajo County (part) .....	25 897	6 578	3 269	11 851	3 646	553	10 833	71	62	9	
Navajo Nation Off-Reservation Trust Land (part) .....	32	10	5	14	3	-	11	-	-	-	
Apache County (part) .....	32	10	5	14	3	-	11	-	-	-	
Coconino County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pascua Yaqui Reservation, AZ .....	2 993	702	209	1 474	445	163	1 383	9	-	9	
Pima County (part) .....	2 993	702	209	1 474	445	163	1 383	9	-	9	
Salt River Reservation, AZ .....	3 316	818	249	1 407	620	222	1 425	50	44	6	
Maricopa County (part) .....	3 316	818	249	1 407	620	222	1 425	50	44	6	
San Carlos Reservation, AZ .....	8 830	2 085	988	3 882	1 485	390	3 838	91	57	34	
Gila County (part) .....	4 313	1 072	489	1 810	739	203	1 813	91	57	34	
Graham County (part) .....	4 517	1 013	499	2 072	746	187	2 025	-	-	-	
Pinal County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Tohono O'odham Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	9 675	2 537	693	3 637	2 185	623	3 670	43	33	10	
Tohono O'odham Reservation .....	9 374	2 468	666	3 520	2 109	611	3 561	43	33	10	
Maricopa County (part) .....	142	38	13	56	30	5	52	-	-	-	
Pima County (part) .....	8 452	2 239	590	3 154	1 899	570	3 192	43	33	10	
Pinal County (part) .....	780	191	63	310	180	36	317	-	-	-	
Tohono O'odham Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	301	69	27	117	76	12	109	-	-	-	
Maricopa County (part) .....	301	69	27	117	76	12	109	-	-	-	
Tonto Apache Reservation, AZ .....	115	31	17	56	8	3	48	-	-	-	
Gila County (part) .....	115	31	17	56	8	3	48	-	-	-	

Table 21. **Household Relationship and Group Quarters Population for the American Indian and Alaska Native Population (One Race): 2000—Con.**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

American Indian Area County	Household population							Group quarters population			
	Total	Householder	Relationship to householder					Under 18 years, child and other relatives	Total	Institutionalized population	Non-institutionalized population
			Spouse	Child	Other relatives	Non-relatives					
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL—Con.</b>											
Yavapai-Apache Nation Reservation, AZ .....	650	175	52	287	93	43	280	-	-	-	
Yavapai County (part) .....	650	175	52	287	93	43	280	-	-	-	
Yavapai-Prescott Reservation, AZ .....	117	41	16	31	24	5	42	-	-	-	
Yavapai County (part) .....	117	41	16	31	24	5	42	-	-	-	
Zuni Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NM—AZ (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Zuni Reservation (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Apache County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Table 22. Housing Occupancy and Tenure: 2000

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

American Indian Area County	Occupied housing units with American Indian and Alaska Native householder (one race)							Vacant housing units				
	Total housing units	Owner occupied			Renter occupied	Average household size		Total	For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use	Vacancy rate		
		Total	Number	Percent of occupied housing units		Owner- occupied units	Renter- occupied units			Available housing	Home- owner	Rental
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>												
All areas .....	65 068	41 313	30 276	73.3	11 037	3.85	4.01	17 681	9 533	2.1	0.9	5.1
Cocopah Reservation, AZ .....	970	153	80	52.3	73	2.96	3.81	563	229	0.2	0.3	-
Yuma County (part) .....	970	153	80	52.3	73	2.96	3.81	563	229	0.2	0.3	-
Colorado River Reservation, AZ--CA (part) .....	2 956	701	433	61.8	268	3.12	3.34	531	204	6.9	2.1	15.6
La Paz County (part) .....	2 956	701	433	61.8	268	3.12	3.34	531	204	6.9	2.1	15.6
Fort Apache Reservation, AZ .....	3 532	2 784	1 864	67.0	920	4.18	4.08	469	227	0.8	0.2	2.0
Apache County (part) .....	248	71	35	49.3	36	3.66	4.08	146	122	7.3	2.0	11.7
Gila County (part) .....	429	366	306	83.6	60	3.97	4.20	50	8	0.8	0.3	2.9
Navajo County (part) .....	2 855	2 347	1 523	64.9	824	4.23	4.07	273	97	0.6	0.1	1.3
Fort McDowell Reservation, AZ .....	275	222	202	91.0	20	3.66	3.40	44	4	0.9	-	7.7
Maricopa County (part) .....	275	222	202	91.0	20	3.66	3.40	44	4	0.9	-	7.7
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--CA--NV .....	294	94	14	14.9	80	4.00	4.21	50	30	2.8	2.1	3.7
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	294	94	14	14.9	80	4.00	4.21	50	30	2.8	2.1	3.7
Mohave County (part) .....	294	94	14	14.9	80	4.00	4.21	50	30	2.8	2.1	3.7
Fort Yuma Reservation, CA--AZ (part) .....	18	2	-	-	2	-	7.00	5	-	7.1	16.7	-
Yuma County (part) .....	18	2	-	-	2	-	7.00	5	-	7.1	16.7	-
Gila River Reservation, AZ .....	2 901	2 538	1 628	64.1	910	3.95	4.17	215	22	0.6	0.5	0.7
Maricopa County (part) .....	685	596	362	60.7	234	3.90	4.70	56	2	0.5	-	1.2
Pinal County (part) .....	2 216	1 942	1 266	65.2	676	3.96	3.99	159	20	0.6	0.6	0.6
Havasupai Reservation, AZ .....	161	119	75	63.0	44	3.85	3.77	21	3	-	-	-
Coconino County (part) .....	161	119	75	63.0	44	3.85	3.77	21	3	-	-	-
Hopi Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	2 512	1 831	1 441	78.7	390	3.62	3.58	544	355	0.6	0.1	1.9
Hopi Reservation .....	2 480	1 801	1 441	80.0	360	3.62	3.52	542	353	0.6	0.1	2.0
Coconino County (part) .....	371	272	171	62.9	101	3.36	4.13	95	70	-	-	-
Navajo County (part) .....	2 109	1 529	1 270	83.1	259	3.65	3.28	447	283	0.7	0.2	2.6
Hopi Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	32	30	-	-	30	-	4.37	2	2	-	(X)	-
Coconino County (part) .....	32	30	-	-	30	-	4.37	2	2	-	(X)	-
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Hualapai Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	475	332	164	49.4	168	3.98	3.44	124	12	4.6	1.1	7.9
Hualapai Reservation .....	475	332	164	49.4	168	3.98	3.44	124	12	4.6	1.1	7.9
Coconino County (part) .....	3	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	2	1	-	-	(X)
Mohave County (part) .....	471	332	164	49.4	168	3.98	3.44	121	10	4.6	1.1	7.9
Yavapai County (part) .....	1	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	1	1	(X)	(X)	(X)
Hualapai Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Mohave County (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Kaibab Reservation, AZ .....	88	46	31	67.4	15	2.97	3.27	23	9	8.5	-	16.2
Coconino County (part) .....	1	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(X)
Mohave County (part) .....	87	46	31	67.4	15	2.97	3.27	23	9	8.6	-	16.2
Maricopa (Ak Chin) Reservation, AZ .....	234	192	133	69.3	59	3.44	3.53	18	2	-	-	-
Pinal County (part) .....	234	192	133	69.3	59	3.44	3.53	18	2	-	-	-
Navajo Nation Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--NM--UT (part) .....	40 975	25 910	19 925	76.9	5 985	3.81	4.00	13 533	7 710	2.3	0.9	6.2
Navajo Nation Reservation (part) .....	40 955	25 900	19 915	76.9	5 985	3.81	4.00	13 523	7 707	2.3	0.9	6.2
Apache County (part) .....	22 548	13 907	10 585	76.1	3 322	3.67	3.85	7 754	4 216	2.4	0.9	6.1
Coconino County (part) .....	7 664	5 415	4 201	77.6	1 214	4.08	4.25	1 928	1 045	1.4	0.6	3.6
Navajo County (part) .....	10 743	6 578	5 129	78.0	1 449	3.89	4.15	3 841	2 446	3.0	1.1	8.5
Navajo Nation Off-Reservation Trust Land (part) .....	20	10	10	100.0	-	3.30	-	10	3	-	-	(X)
Apache County (part) .....	19	10	10	100.0	-	3.30	-	9	2	-	-	(X)
Coconino County (part) .....	-	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	-	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Navajo County (part) .....	1	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	1	1	(X)	(X)	(X)
Pascua Yaqui Reservation, AZ .....	785	702	371	52.8	331	4.35	4.52	40	1	0.4	0.8	-
Pima County (part) .....	785	702	371	52.8	331	4.35	4.52	40	1	0.4	0.8	-
Salt River Reservation, AZ .....	2 526	818	589	72.0	229	4.23	4.04	567	449	2.3	2.1	3.3
Maricopa County (part) .....	2 526	818	589	72.0	229	4.23	4.04	567	449	2.3	2.1	3.3
San Carlos Reservation, AZ .....	2 497	2 085	1 318	63.2	767	4.20	4.35	268	106	0.6	0.6	0.7
Gila County (part) .....	1 388	1 072	752	70.1	320	3.99	4.14	188	82	0.9	0.8	1.1
Graham County (part) .....	1 108	1 013	566	55.9	447	4.48	4.50	79	23	0.3	0.2	0.4
Pinal County (part) .....	1	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	1	1	(X)	(X)	(X)
Tohono O'odham Reservation and Off- Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	3 572	2 537	1 837	72.4	700	3.83	3.86	654	167	1.4	0.8	3.1
Tohono O'odham Reservation .....	3 492	2 468	1 787	72.4	681	3.82	3.84	645	167	1.4	0.8	3.1
Maricopa County (part) .....	49	38	24	63.2	14	4.04	3.29	11	5	-	-	-
Pima County (part) .....	3 213	2 239	1 601	71.5	638	3.79	3.83	600	157	1.5	0.9	3.3
Pinal County (part) .....	230	191	162	84.8	29	4.04	4.38	34	5	-	-	-
Tohono O'odham Off-Reservation Trust Land (part) .....	80	69	50	72.5	19	4.26	4.53	9	-	1.4	-	4.8
Maricopa County (part) .....	80	69	50	72.5	19	4.26	4.53	9	-	1.4	-	4.8
Tonto Apache Reservation, AZ .....	38	31	29	93.5	2	3.66	2.00	1	-	-	-	-
Gila County (part) .....	38	31	29	93.5	2	3.66	2.00	1	-	-	-	-

Table 22. **Housing Occupancy and Tenure: 2000**—Con.

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

American Indian Area County	Total housing units	Occupied housing units with American Indian and Alaska Native householder (one race)						Vacant housing units				
		Total	Owner occupied		Renter occupied	Average household size		Total	For seasonal, recrea- tional, or occasional use	Vacancy rate		
			Number	Percent of occupied housing units		Owner- occupied units	Renter- occupied units			Available housing	Home- owner	Rental
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL—Con.</b>												
Yavapai-Apache Nation Reservation, AZ .....	197	175	110	62.9	65	3.59	4.20	4	1	0.5	-	1.3
Yavapai County (part) .....	197	175	110	62.9	65	3.59	4.20	4	1	0.5	-	1.3
Yavapai-Prescott Reservation, AZ .....	60	41	32	78.0	9	3.22	2.56	5	2	-	-	-
Yavapai County (part) .....	60	41	32	78.0	9	3.22	2.56	5	2	-	-	-
Zuni Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NM—AZ (part) .....	2	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	2	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Zuni Reservation (part) .....	2	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	2	-	(X)	(X)	(X)
Apache County (part) .....	2	-	-	(X)	-	-	-	2	-	(X)	(X)	(X)



**Table 23. Occupied Housing Units (Households) by Race and Hispanic or Latino Origin of Householder: 2000**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

American Indian Area County	Total occupied housing units	Race of householder							Two or more races	House- holder Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	House- holder White alone, not Hispanic or Latino
		One race									
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race				
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>											
All areas .....	47 387	4 600	85	41 313	64	10	829	486	1 775	4 101	
Cocopah Reservation, AZ .....	407	242	-	153	-	-	4	8	16	239	
Yuma County (part) .....	407	242	-	153	-	-	4	8	16	239	
Colorado River Reservation, AZ--CA (part) .....	2 425	1 299	30	701	15	1	320	59	688	1 018	
La Paz County (part) .....	2 425	1 299	30	701	15	1	320	59	688	1 018	
Fort Apache Reservation, AZ .....	3 063	221	9	2 784	2	-	11	36	56	210	
Apache County (part) .....	102	23	3	71	-	-	4	1	11	21	
Gila County (part) .....	379	13	-	366	-	-	-	-	-	13	
Navajo County (part) .....	2 582	185	6	2 347	2	-	7	35	45	176	
Fort McDowell Reservation, AZ .....	231	4	-	222	-	-	4	1	14	4	
Maricopa County (part) .....	231	4	-	222	-	-	4	1	14	4	
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--CA--NV (part) .....	244	141	1	94	1	-	4	3	34	124	
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	244	141	1	94	1	-	4	3	34	124	
Mohave County (part) .....	244	141	1	94	1	-	4	3	34	124	
Fort Yuma Reservation, CA--AZ (part) .....	13	11	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	11	
Yuma County (part) .....	13	11	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	11	
Gila River Reservation, AZ .....	2 686	47	2	2 538	-	-	48	51	159	41	
Maricopa County (part) .....	629	11	1	596	-	-	10	11	44	8	
Pinal County (part) .....	2 057	36	1	1 942	-	-	38	40	115	33	
Havasupai Reservation, AZ .....	140	21	-	119	-	-	-	-	5	16	
Coconino County (part) .....	140	21	-	119	-	-	-	-	5	16	
Hopi Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	1 968	125	2	1 831	-	-	4	6	21	123	
Hopi Reservation .....	1 938	125	2	1 801	-	-	4	6	21	123	
Coconino County (part) .....	276	3	-	272	-	-	1	-	1	3	
Navajo County (part) .....	1 662	122	2	1 529	-	-	3	6	20	120	
Hopi Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	30	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Coconino County (part) .....	30	-	-	30	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hualapai Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	351	15	-	332	-	1	2	1	9	13	
Hualapai Reservation .....	351	15	-	332	-	1	2	1	9	13	
Coconino County (part) .....	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
Mohave County (part) .....	350	14	-	332	-	1	2	1	9	12	
Yavapai County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Hualapai Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mohave County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Kaibab Reservation, AZ .....	65	13	-	46	-	-	4	2	6	13	
Coconino County (part) .....	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
Mohave County (part) .....	64	12	-	46	-	-	4	2	6	12	
Maricopa (Ak Chin) Reservation, AZ .....	216	9	-	192	1	-	12	2	23	6	
Pinal County (part) .....	216	9	-	192	1	-	12	2	23	6	
Navajo Nation Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--NM--UT (part) .....	27 442	1 266	32	25 910	28	4	78	124	228	1 224	
Navajo Nation Reservation (part) .....	27 432	1 266	32	25 900	28	4	78	124	228	1 224	
Apache County (part) .....	14 794	725	18	13 907	18	3	48	75	126	702	
Coconino County (part) .....	5 736	262	9	5 415	6	1	21	22	59	253	
Navajo County (part) .....	6 902	279	5	6 578	4	-	9	27	43	269	
Navajo Nation Off-Reservation Trust Land (part) .....	10	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Apache County (part) .....	10	-	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Coconino County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Navajo County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pascua Yaqui Reservation, AZ .....	745	9	-	702	1	-	19	14	105	5	
Pima County (part) .....	745	9	-	702	1	-	19	14	105	5	
Salt River Reservation, AZ .....	1 959	720	6	818	2	1	296	116	175	699	
Maricopa County (part) .....	1 959	720	6	818	2	1	296	116	175	699	
San Carlos Reservation, AZ .....	2 229	102	1	2 085	6	-	8	27	62	95	
Gila County (part) .....	1 200	90	1	1 072	6	-	7	24	38	84	
Graham County (part) .....	1 029	12	-	1 013	-	-	1	3	24	11	
Pinal County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Tohono O'odham Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	2 918	335	1	2 537	8	2	12	23	158	240	
Tohono O'odham Reservation .....	2 847	334	1	2 468	8	2	12	22	157	239	
Maricopa County (part) .....	38	-	-	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pima County (part) .....	2 613	330	1	2 239	8	2	11	22	151	235	
Pinal County (part) .....	196	4	-	191	-	-	1	-	6	4	
Tohono O'odham Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	71	1	-	69	-	-	-	1	1	1	
Maricopa County (part) .....	71	1	-	69	-	-	-	1	1	1	
Tonto Apache Reservation, AZ .....	37	5	-	31	-	-	1	-	1	5	
Gila County (part) .....	37	5	-	31	-	-	1	-	1	5	

Table 23. **Occupied Housing Units (Households) by Race and Hispanic or Latino Origin of Householder: 2000—Con.**

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

American Indian Area County	Total occupied housing units	Race of householder							Two or more races	House- holder Hispanic or Latino (of any race)	House- holder White alone, not Hispanic or Latino
		One race									
		White	Black or African American	American Indian and Alaska Native	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander	Some other race				
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL—Con.</b>											
Yavapai-Apache Nation Reservation, AZ .....	193	7	1	175	-	1	2	7	10	7	
Yavapai County (part) .....	193	7	1	175	-	1	2	7	10	7	
Yavapai-Prescott Reservation, AZ .....	55	8	-	41	-	-	-	6	5	8	
Yavapai County (part) .....	55	8	-	41	-	-	-	6	5	8	
Zuni Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NM—AZ (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Zuni Reservation (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Apache County (part) .....	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

Table 24. Land Area and Population Density: 2000

[For information on confidentiality protection, nonsampling error, and definitions, see text]

American Indian Area County	Land area in square miles	Total population		American Indian Area County	Land area in square miles	Total population	
		Number	Average per square mile			Number	Average per square mile
<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL</b>				<b>AMERICAN INDIAN RESERVATION AND OFF-RESERVATION TRUST LAND — FEDERAL—Con.</b>			
All areas .....	31 636.04	179 064	5.7	Maricopa (Ak Chin) Reservation, AZ .....	32.93	742	22.5
Cocopah Reservation, AZ .....	10.02	1 025	102.3	Pinal County (part) .....	32.93	742	22.5
Yuma County (part) .....	10.02	1 025	102.3	Navajo Nation Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--NM--UT (part) .....	15 874.49	104 565	6.6
Colorado River Reservation, AZ--CA (part) .....	360.54	7 466	20.7	Navajo Nation Reservation (part) .....	15 864.72	104 532	6.6
La Paz County (part) .....	360.54	7 466	20.7	Apache County (part) .....	6 857.53	54 435	7.9
Fort Apache Reservation, AZ .....	2 627.61	12 429	4.7	Coconino County (part) .....	5 065.79	23 216	4.6
Apache County (part) .....	779.07	370	0.5	Navajo County (part) .....	3 941.39	26 881	6.8
Gila County (part) .....	825.73	1 514	1.8	Navajo Nation Off-Reservation Trust Land (part) .....	9.77	33	3.4
Navajo County (part) .....	1 022.81	10 545	10.3	Apache County (part) .....	2.51	33	13.1
Fort McDowell Reservation, AZ .....	38.55	824	21.4	Coconino County (part) .....	3.26	-	-
Maricopa County (part) .....	38.55	824	21.4	Navajo County (part) .....	4.00	-	-
Fort Mojave Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ--CA--NV (part) .....	36.59	773	21.1	Pascua Yaqui Reservation, AZ .....	1.87	3 315	1 776.8
Fort Mojave Reservation (part) .....	36.59	773	21.1	Pima County (part) .....	1.87	3 315	1 776.8
Mohave County (part) .....	36.59	773	21.1	Salt River Reservation, AZ .....	80.96	6 405	79.1
Fort Yuma Reservation, CA--AZ (part) .....	3.28	36	11.0	Maricopa County (part) .....	80.96	6 405	79.1
Yuma County (part) .....	3.28	36	11.0	San Carlos Reservation, AZ .....	2 910.71	9 385	3.2
Gila River Reservation, AZ .....	583.75	11 257	19.3	Gila County (part) .....	1 011.10	4 784	4.7
Maricopa County (part) .....	149.73	2 699	18.0	Graham County (part) .....	1 690.02	4 601	2.7
Pinal County (part) .....	434.02	8 558	19.7	Pinal County (part) .....	209.59	-	-
Havasupai Reservation, AZ .....	276.15	503	1.8	Tohono O'odham Reservation and Off- Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	4 453.31	10 787	2.4
Coconino County (part) .....	276.15	503	1.8	Tohono O'odham Reservation .....	4 453.23	10 483	2.4
Hopi Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	2 531.77	6 946	2.7	Maricopa County (part) .....	149.72	143	1.0
Hopi Reservation .....	2 531.46	6 815	2.7	Pima County (part) .....	3 886.21	9 545	2.5
Coconino County (part) .....	868.21	1 003	1.2	Pinal County (part) .....	417.29	795	1.9
Navajo County (part) .....	1 663.25	5 812	3.5	Tohono O'odham Off-Reservation Trust Land Maricopa County (part) .....	0.08	304	3 778.6
Hopi Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	0.31	131	418.8	Maricopa County (part) .....	0.08	304	3 778.6
Coconino County (part) .....	0.12	131	1 076.6	Tonto Apache Reservation, AZ .....	0.13	132	991.6
Navajo County (part) .....	0.19	-	-	Gila County (part) .....	0.13	132	991.6
Hualapai Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, AZ .....	1 600.85	1 353	0.8	Yavapai-Apache Nation Reservation, AZ .....	1.00	743	740.9
Hualapai Reservation .....	1 590.66	1 353	0.9	Yavapai County (part) .....	1.00	743	740.9
Coconino County (part) .....	904.08	2	-	Yavapai-Prescott Reservation, AZ .....	2.21	182	82.4
Mohave County (part) .....	685.25	1 351	2.0	Yavapai County (part) .....	2.21	182	82.4
Yavapai County (part) .....	1.33	-	-	Zuni Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, NM--AZ (part) .....	20.57	-	-
Hualapai Off-Reservation Trust Land .....	10.19	-	-	Zuni Reservation (part) .....	20.57	-	-
Mohave County (part) .....	10.19	-	-	Apache County (part) .....	20.57	-	-
Kaibab Reservation, AZ .....	188.75	196	1.0				
Coconino County (part) .....	20.86	5	0.2				
Mohave County (part) .....	167.89	191	1.1				

# Appendix A.

## Geographic Terms and Concepts

---

### CONTENTS

	Page
Alaska Native Regional Corporation (ANRC) (See American Indian Area, Alaska Native Area, Hawaiian Home Land) .....	A-4
Alaska Native Village (ANV) (See American Indian Area, Alaska Native Area, Hawaiian Home Land).....	A-5
Alaska Native Village Statistical Area (ANVSA) (See American Indian Area, Alaska Native Area, Hawaiian Home Land).....	A-5
American Indian Area, Alaska Native Area, Hawaiian Home Land .....	A-4
American Indian Off-Reservation Trust Land (See American Indian Area, Alaska Native Area, Hawaiian Home Land).....	A-6
American Indian Reservation (See American Indian Area, Alaska Native Area, Hawaiian Home Land).....	A-5
American Indian Tribal Subdivision (See American Indian Area, Alaska Native Area, Hawaiian Home Land) .....	A-6
American Samoa (See Island Areas of the United States).....	A-16
Area Measurement .....	A-8
Barrio (See Puerto Rico) .....	A-20
Barrio-Pueblo (See Puerto Rico) .....	A-20
Block (See Census Block) .....	A-10
Block Group (BG) .....	A-8
Borough (See County (or Statistically Equivalent Entity), see County Subdivision, see Place).....	A-13
Boundary Changes .....	A-9
Census Area (See County (or Statistically Equivalent Entity)) .....	A-13
Census Block .....	A-10
Census Code (See Geographic Code) .....	A-15
Census County Division (CCD) (See County Subdivision) .....	A-13
Census Designated Place (CDP) (See Place).....	A-18
Census Division (See also Census Region).....	A-11
Census Geographic Code (See Geographic Code) .....	A-15
Census Region (See also Census Division).....	A-11
Census Subarea (See County Subdivision).....	A-13
Census Tract .....	A-11
Central City (See Metropolitan Area).....	A-16
Central Place (See Urban and Rural) .....	A-22
City (See Place) .....	A-18
Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (See Island Areas of the United States) ....	A-16
Comparability (See Boundary Changes) .....	A-9
Comunidad (See Puerto Rico) .....	A-20
Congressional District (CD) .....	A-12
Consolidated Metropolitan Statistical Area (CMSA) (See Metropolitan Area).....	A-16
Consolidated City (See Place) .....	A-18
County (or Statistically Equivalent Entity) .....	A-13
County Subdivision.....	A-13
District (See County (or Statistically Equivalent Entity)).....	A-13
Division (See Census Division).....	A-11
Extended City (See Urban and Rural) .....	A-22
Extended Place (See Urban and Rural) .....	A-23
Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) Code (See Geographic Code) .....	A-15
Geographic Code .....	A-15
Geographic Hierarchy (See Introduction—Geographic Presentation of Data).....	A-3
 Geographic Terms and Concepts	 A-1

Geographic Presentation (See Introduction—Geographic Presentation of Data).....	A-3
Guam (See Island Areas of the United States) .....	A-16
Hawaiian Home Land (HHL) (See American Indian Area, Alaska Native Area, Hawaiian Home Land).....	A-6
Hierarchical Presentation (See Introduction—Geographic Presentation of Data) .....	A-3
Incorporated Place (See Place, see County Subdivision) .....	A-19
Independent City (See County (or Statistically Equivalent Entity)) .....	A-13
Internal Point .....	A-15
Introduction—Geographic Presentation of Data.....	A-3
Inventory Presentation (See Introduction—Geographic Presentation of Data).....	A-3
Island (See County (or Statistically Equivalent Entity)) .....	A-13
Island Areas of the United States .....	A-16
Joint Use Area (See American Indian Area, Alaska Native Area, Hawaiian Home Land) .....	A-4
Land Area (See Area Measurement) .....	A-8
Latitude (See Internal Point).....	A-15
Longitude (See Internal Point).....	A-15
Metropolitan Area (MA).....	A-16
Metropolitan Area Title and Code (See Metropolitan Area).....	A-17
Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) (See Metropolitan Area) .....	A-17
Minor Civil Division (MCD) (See County Subdivision) .....	A-14
Municipality (See County (or Statistically Equivalent Entity)) .....	A-13
Municipio (See Puerto Rico) .....	A-20
New England County Metropolitan Area (NECMA) (See Metropolitan Area) .....	A-17
Off-Reservation Trust Land (See American Indian Area, Alaska Native Area, Hawaiian Home Land).....	A-6
Oklahoma Tribal Statistical Area (OTSA) (See American Indian Area, Alaska Native Area, Hawaiian Home Land) .....	A-7
Outlying Areas (See Island Areas of the United States).....	A-16
Parish (See County).....	A-13
Place .....	A-18
Place Within Consolidated City (See Place) .....	A-18
Population or Housing Unit Density .....	A-19
Precinct (See Voting District) .....	A-24
Primary Metropolitan Statistical Area (PMSA) (See Metropolitan Area).....	A-16
Public Use Microdata Area (PUMA) .....	A-19
Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS) File (See Public Use Microdata Area) .....	A-19
Puerto Rico .....	A-20
Region (See Census Region).....	A-11
Rural (See Urban and Rural).....	A-22
School District .....	A-20
State (or Statistically Equivalent Entity).....	A-21
State Designated American Indian Statistical Area (SDAISA) (See American Indian Area, Alaska Native Area, Hawaiian Home Land) .....	A-7
State Legislative District (SLD) .....	A-21
Subbarrio (See Puerto Rico) .....	A-20
Sub-MCD (See Puerto Rico) .....	A-20
Super-PUMA (See Public Use Microdata Area).....	A-19
Tabulation Block Group (See Block Group).....	A-8
TIGER® Database .....	A-21
Town (See County Subdivision, see Place).....	A-13
Township (See County Subdivision).....	A-13
Tract (See Census Tract) .....	A-11
Traffic Analysis Zone (TAZ) .....	A-21
Tribal Block Group.....	A-22
Tribal Census Tract.....	A-22
Tribal Designated Statistical Area (TDSA) (See American Indian Area, Alaska Native Area, Hawaiian Home Land) .....	A-7
Tribal Jurisdiction Statistical Area (TJSA) (See American Indian Area, Alaska Native Area, Hawaiian Home Land) .....	A-7
Trust Land (See American Indian Area, Alaska Native Area, Hawaiian Home Land) .....	A-4
United States .....	A-22

United States Postal Service (USPS) Code (See Geographic Code).....	A-15
Unorganized Territory (See County Subdivision) .....	A-14
Urban (See Urban and Rural) .....	A-22
Urban and Rural .....	A-22
Urban Cluster (UC) (See Urban and Rural) .....	A-23
Urban Cluster Central Place (See Urban and Rural).....	A-23
Urban Cluster Title and Code (See Urban and Rural) .....	A-22
Urban Growth Area (UGA) .....	A-23
Urban Growth Boundary (See Urban Growth Area) .....	A-23
Urbanized Area (UA) (See Urban and Rural) .....	A-22
Urbanized Area Central Place (See Urban and Rural) .....	A-23
Urbanized Area Title and Code (See Urban and Rural) .....	A-23
Village (See Place) .....	A-18
Virgin Islands of the United States (See Island Areas of the United States).....	A-16
Voting District (VTD) .....	A-24
Water Area (See Area Measurement) .....	A-8
ZIP Code® (See ZIP Code® Tabulation Area) .....	A-24
ZIP Code® Tabulation Area (ZCTA™) .....	A-24
Zona Urbana (See Puerto Rico) .....	A-20

**INTRODUCTION—GEOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION OF DATA**

In decennial census data products, geographic entities usually are presented in an hierarchical arrangement or as an inventory listing.

**Hierarchical Presentation**

An hierarchical geographic presentation shows the geographic entities in a superior/subordinate structure. This structure is derived from the legal, administrative, or areal relationships of the entities. The hierarchical structure is depicted in report tables by means of indentation and is explained for computer-readable media in the geographic coverage portion of the abstract in the technical documentation. An example of hierarchical presentation is the “standard census geographic hierarchy”: census block, within block group, within census tract, within place, within county subdivision, within county, within state, within division, within region, within the United States. Graphically, this is shown as:




Figure A-1, which is a diagram of the geographic hierarchy, presents this information as a series of “nesting” relationships. For example, a line joining the lower-level entity “place” and the higher-level entity “state” means that a place cannot cross a state boundary; a line linking “census tract” and “county” means that a census tract cannot cross a county line; and so forth.

**Inventory Presentation**

An inventory presentation of geographic entities is one in which all entities of the same type are shown in alphabetical, code, or geographic sequence, without reference to their hierarchical relationships. Generally, an inventory presentation shows totals for entities that may be split in a hierarchical presentation, such as place, census tract, or block group. An example of a series of

---

inventory presentations is state, followed by all the counties in that state, followed by all the places in that state. Graphically, this is shown as:

State

County A

County B

County C

Place X

Place Y

Place Z

### **American Indian/Alaska Native Area/Hawaiian Home Land (AIANA/HHL) Entities**

Exceptions to the standard hierarchical presentation occur in the case of some American Indian/Alaska Native area (AIANA) entities, which do not necessarily “nest” within states and counties. For instance, the following American Indian entities can cross state lines: federally recognized American Indian reservations, off-reservation trust lands, tribal subdivisions, and tribal designated statistical areas. National summary data for American Indian reservations may be presented as an alphabetical listing of reservation names followed by the state portions of each reservation. Also, a census tract or block group delineated by American Indian tribal authorities may be located in more than one state or county (see CENSUS TRACT, TRIBAL BLOCK GROUP, and TRIBAL CENSUS TRACT) for the purpose of presenting census data in the American Indian/Alaska Native area/Hawaiian home land (AIANA/HHL) hierarchy.

The diagram in Figure A-2 shows geographic relationships among geographic entities in the AIANA/HHL hierarchy. It does not show the geographic levels “county,” “county subdivision,” and “place” because AIANA/HHL entities do not necessarily nest within them.

The definitions below are for geographic entities and concepts that the U.S. Census Bureau includes in its standard data products. Not all entities and concepts are shown in any one data product.

### **AMERICAN INDIAN AREA, ALASKA NATIVE AREA, HAWAIIAN HOME LAND**

There are both legal and statistical American Indian, Alaska Native, and native Hawaiian entities for which the U.S. Census Bureau provides data for Census 2000. The legal entities consist of federally recognized American Indian reservations and off-reservation trust land areas, the tribal subdivisions that can divide these entities, state recognized American Indian reservations, Alaska Native Regional Corporations, and Hawaiian home lands. The statistical entities are Alaska Native village statistical areas, Oklahoma tribal statistical areas, tribal designated statistical areas, and state designated American Indian statistical areas. Tribal subdivisions can exist within the statistical Oklahoma tribal statistical areas.

In all cases, these areas are mutually exclusive in that no American Indian, Alaska Native, or Hawaiian home land can overlap another tribal entity, except for tribal subdivisions, which subdivide some American Indian entities, and Alaska Native village statistical areas, which exist within Alaska Native Regional Corporations. In some cases where more than one tribe claims jurisdiction over an area, the U.S. Census Bureau creates a joint use area as a separate entity to define this area of dual claims. The following provides more detail about each of the various American Indian areas, Alaska Native areas, and Hawaiian home lands.

### **Alaska Native Regional Corporation (ANRC)**

Alaska Native Regional Corporations (ANRCs) are corporate entities established to conduct both business and nonprofit affairs of Alaska Natives pursuant to the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act of 1972 (Public Law 92-203). Twelve ANRCs are geographic entities that cover most of the state of Alaska (the Annette Island Reserve—an American Indian reservation—is excluded from any ANRC). (A thirteenth ANRC represents Alaska Natives who do not live in Alaska and do not identify with any of the 12 corporations; the U.S. Census Bureau does not provide data for this ANRC because it has no geographic extent.) The boundaries of ANRCs have been legally established.

---

The U.S. Census Bureau offers representatives of the 12 nonprofit ANRCs the opportunity to review and update the ANRC boundaries. The U.S. Census Bureau first provided data for ANRCs for the 1990 census.

Each ANRC is assigned a five-digit Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code, which is assigned in alphabetical order by ANRC name.

### **Alaska Native Village Statistical Area (ANVSA)**

Alaska Native village statistical areas (ANVSAs) are statistical entities that represent the densely settled portion of Alaska Native villages (ANVs), which constitute associations, bands, clans, communities, groups, tribes or villages, recognized pursuant to the Alaska Native Claims Settlement Act of 1972 (Public Law 92-203). ANVSAs are reviewed and delineated by officials of the ANV (or officials of the Alaska Native Regional Corporation (ANRC) in which the ANV is located if no ANV official chooses to participate in the delineation process) solely for data presentation purposes.

An ANVSA may not overlap the boundary of another ANVSA, an American Indian reservation, or a tribal designated statistical area. The U.S. Census Bureau first provided data for ANVSAs for the 1990 census.

Each ANVSA is assigned a national four-digit census code ranging from 6000 through 7999. Each ANVSA also is assigned a state-based five-digit Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code. Both the census and FIPS codes are assigned in alphabetical order by ANVSA name.

### **American Indian Reservation**

Federal American Indian reservations are areas that have been set aside by the United States for the use of tribes, the exterior boundaries of which are more particularly defined in the final tribal treaties, agreements, executive orders, federal statutes, secretarial orders, or judicial determinations. The U.S. Census Bureau recognizes federal reservations as territory over which American Indian tribes have primary governmental authority. These entities are known as colonies, communities, pueblos, rancherias, ranches, reservations, reserves, villages, Indian communities, and Indian villages. The Bureau of Indian Affairs maintains a list of federally recognized tribal governments. The U.S. Census Bureau contacts representatives of American Indian tribal governments to identify the boundaries for federal reservations.

Some state governments have established reservations for tribes recognized by the state. A governor-appointed state liaison provides the names and boundaries for state recognized American Indian reservations to the U.S. Census Bureau. The names of these reservations are followed by "(State)" in census data presentations.

Federal reservations may cross state boundaries, and federal and state reservations may cross county, county subdivision, and place boundaries. For reservations that cross state boundaries, only the portions of the reservations in a given state are shown in the data products for that state. Lands that are administered jointly and/or are claimed by two tribes, whether federally or state recognized, are called "joint use areas," and are treated as if they are separate American Indian reservations for data presentation purposes. The entire reservations are shown in data products for the United States. The U.S. Census Bureau first provided data for American Indian reservations in the 1970 census.

Each federal American Indian reservation is assigned a four-digit census code ranging from 0001 through 4999. These census codes are assigned in alphabetical order of American Indian reservation names nationwide, except that joint use areas appear at the end of the code range. Each state American Indian reservation is assigned a four-digit census code ranging from 9000 through 9499. Each American Indian reservation also is assigned a five-digit Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code; because FIPS codes are assigned in alphabetical sequence within each state, the FIPS code is different in each state for reservations that include territory in more than one state.



---

## **American Indian Off-Reservation Trust Land**

Trust lands are areas for which the United States holds title in trust for the benefit of a tribe (tribal trust land) or for an individual Indian (individual trust land). Trust lands can be alienated or encumbered only by the owner with the approval of the Secretary of the Interior or his/her authorized representative. Trust lands may be located on or off of a reservation. The U.S. Census Bureau recognizes and tabulates data for reservations and off-reservation trust lands because American Indian tribes have primary governmental authority over these lands. Primary tribal governmental authority generally is not attached to tribal lands located off the reservation until the lands are placed in trust.

In the U.S. Census Bureau's data tabulations, off-reservation trust lands always are associated with a specific federally recognized reservation and/or tribal government. Such trust lands may be located in more than one state. Only the portions of off-reservation trust lands in a given state are shown in the data products for that state; all off-reservation trust lands associated with a reservation or tribe are shown in data products for the United States. The U.S. Census Bureau first provided trust land data for off-reservation tribal trust lands in the 1980 census; in 1990, the trust land data included both tribal and individual trust lands. The U.S. Census Bureau does not identify restricted fee land or land in fee simple status as a specific geographic category.

In decennial census data tabulations, off-reservation trust lands are assigned a four-digit census code and a five-digit Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code that is the same as that for the reservation with which they are associated. As with reservations, FIPS codes for off-reservation trust lands are unique within state, so they will differ if they extend into more than one state. The FIPS codes for such off-reservation trust lands are the same as those for the associated reservation. In the TIGER/Line® products, a letter code—"T" for tribal and "I" for individual—identifies off-reservation trust lands. In decennial census data tabulations, a trust land flag uniquely identifies off-reservation trust lands. Printed reports show separate tabulations for all off-reservation trust land areas, but do not provide separate tabulations for the tribal versus individual trust lands. Trust lands associated with tribes that do not have a reservation are presented and coded by tribal name, interspersed alphabetically among the reservation names.

## **American Indian Tribal Subdivision**

American Indian tribal subdivisions are administrative subdivisions of federally recognized American Indian reservations, off-reservation trust lands, or Oklahoma tribal statistical areas (OTSAs), known as areas, chapters, communities, or districts. These entities are internal units of self-government or administration that serve social, cultural, and/or economic purposes for the American Indians on the reservations, off-reservation trust lands, or OTSAs.

The U.S. Census Bureau obtains the boundary and name information for tribal subdivisions from tribal governments. The U.S. Census Bureau first provided data for American Indian tribal subdivisions in the 1980 census when it identified them as "American Indian subreservation areas." It did not provide data for these entities in conjunction with the 1990 census.

Each American Indian tribal subdivision is assigned a three-digit census code that is alphabetically in order and unique within each reservation, associated off-reservation trust land, and OTSA. Each tribal subdivision also is assigned a five-digit Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code. FIPS codes are assigned alphabetically within state; the FIPS codes are different in each state for tribal subdivisions that extend into more than one state.

## **Hawaiian Home Land (HHL)**

Hawaiian home lands (HHLs) are areas held in trust for native Hawaiians by the state of Hawaii, pursuant to the Hawaiian Homes Commission Act of 1920, as amended. The U.S. Census Bureau obtained the names and boundaries of HHLs from state officials. HHLs are a new geographic entity for Census 2000.

Each HHL area is assigned a national four-digit census code ranging from 5000 through 5499 based on the alphabetical sequence of each HHL name. Each HHL also is assigned a five-digit Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code in alphabetical order within the state of Hawaii.

---

## **Oklahoma Tribal Statistical Area (OTSA)**

Oklahoma tribal statistical areas (OTSAs) are statistical entities identified and delineated by the U.S. Census Bureau in consultation with federally recognized American Indian tribes in Oklahoma that do not currently have a reservation, but once had a reservation in that state. Boundaries of OTSAs will be those of the former reservations in Oklahoma, except where modified by agreements with neighboring tribes for data presentation purposes. OTSAs replace the “tribal jurisdiction statistical areas” of the 1990 census. The U.S. Census Bureau first provided data for the former Oklahoma reservations in conjunction with the 1980 census, when it defined a single all-encompassing geographic entity called the “Historic Areas of Oklahoma (excluding urbanized areas).”

Each OTSA is assigned a national four-digit census code ranging from 5500 through 5999 based on the alphabetical sequence of each OTSA’s name, except that the joint use areas appear at the end of the code range. Each OTSA also is assigned a five-digit Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code in alphabetical order in Oklahoma.

## **State Designated American Indian Statistical Area (SDAISA)**

State designated American Indian statistical areas (SDAISAs) are statistical entities for state recognized American Indian tribes that do not have a state recognized land base (reservation). SDAISAs are identified and delineated for the U.S. Census Bureau by a state liaison identified by the governor’s office in each state. SDAISAs generally encompass a compact and contiguous area that contains a concentration of people who identify with a state recognized American Indian tribe and in which there is structured or organized tribal activity. A SDAISA may not be located in more than one state unless the tribe is recognized by both states, and it may not include area within an American Indian reservation, off-reservation trust land, Alaska Native village statistical area, tribal designated statistical area (TDSA), or Oklahoma tribal statistical area.

The U.S. Census Bureau established SDAISAs as a new geographic statistical entity for Census 2000, to differentiate between state recognized tribes without a land base and federally recognized tribes without a land base. For the 1990 census, all such tribal entities had been identified as TDSAs.

Each SDAISA is assigned a four-digit census code ranging from 9500 through 9999 in alphabetical sequence of SDAISA names nationwide. Each SDAISA also is assigned a five-digit Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code in alphabetical order within state.

## **Tribal Designated Statistical Area (TDSA)**

Tribal designated statistical areas (TDSAs) are statistical entities identified and delineated for the U.S. Census Bureau by federally recognized American Indian tribes that do not currently have a federally recognized land base (reservation or off-reservation trust land). A TDSA generally encompasses a compact and contiguous area that contains a concentration of people who identify with a federally recognized American Indian tribe and in which there is structured or organized tribal activity. A TDSA may be located in more than one state, and it may not include area within an American Indian reservation, off-reservation trust land, Alaska Native village statistical area, state designated American Indian statistical area (SDAISA), or Oklahoma tribal statistical area.

The U.S. Census Bureau first reported data for TDSAs in conjunction with the 1990 census, when both federally and state recognized tribes could identify and delineate TDSAs. TDSAs now apply only to federally recognized tribes. State recognized tribes without a land base, including those that were TDSAs in 1990, are identified as SDAISAs, a new geographic entity for Census 2000.

Each TDSA is assigned a four-digit census code ranging from 8000 through 8999 in alphabetical sequence of TDSA names nationwide. Each TDSA also is assigned a five-digit Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code in alphabetical order within state; because FIPS codes are assigned within each state, the FIPS code is different in each state for TDSAs that extend into more than one state.

---

## AREA MEASUREMENT

Area measurement data provide the size, in square units (metric and nonmetric) of geographic entities for which the U.S. Census Bureau tabulates and disseminates data. Area is calculated from the specific boundary recorded for each entity in the U.S. Census Bureau's geographic database (see TIGER® database). These area measurements are recorded as whole square meters. (To convert square meters to square kilometers, divide by 1,000,000; to convert square kilometers to square miles, divide by 2.589988; to convert square meters to square miles, divide by 2,589,988.)

The U.S. Census Bureau provides area measurement data for both land area and total water area. The water area figures include inland, coastal, Great Lakes, and territorial water. (For the 1990 census, the U.S. Census Bureau provided area measurements for land and total water; water area for each of the four water classifications was available in the Geographic Identification Code Scheme (GICS) product only.) "Inland water" consists of any lake, reservoir, pond, or similar body of water that is recorded in the U.S. Census Bureau's geographic database. It also includes any river, creek, canal, stream, or similar feature that is recorded in that database as a two-dimensional feature (rather than as a single line). The portions of the oceans and related large embayments (such as the Chesapeake Bay and Puget Sound), the Gulf of Mexico, and the Caribbean Sea that belong to the United States and its territories are classified as "coastal" and "territorial" waters; the Great Lakes are treated as a separate water entity. Rivers and bays that empty into these bodies of water are treated as "inland water" from the point beyond which they are narrower than one nautical mile across. Identification of land and inland, coastal, territorial, and Great Lakes waters is for data presentation purposes only and does not necessarily reflect their legal definitions.

Land and water area measurements may disagree with the information displayed on U.S. Census Bureau maps and in the TIGER® database because, for area measurement purposes, features identified as "intermittent water" and "glacier" are reported as land area. For this reason, it may not be possible to derive the land area for an entity by summing the land area of its component census blocks. In addition, the water area measurement reported for some geographic entities includes water that is not included in any lower-level geographic entity. Therefore, because water is contained only in a higher-level geographic entity, summing the water measurements for all the component lower-level geographic entities will not yield the water area of that higher-level entity. This occurs, for example, where water is associated with a county but is not within the legal boundary of any minor civil division. Crews-of-vessels entities (see CENSUS TRACT and CENSUS BLOCK) do not encompass territory and, therefore, have no area measurements.

The accuracy of any area measurement data is limited by the accuracy inherent in (1) the location and shape of the various boundary information in the TIGER® database, (2) the location and shapes of the shorelines of water bodies in that database, and (3) rounding affecting the last digit in all operations that compute and/or sum the area measurements.

## BLOCK GROUP (BG)

A block group (BG) is a cluster of census blocks having the same first digit of their four-digit identifying numbers within a census tract. For example, block group 3 (BG 3) within a census tract includes all blocks numbered from 3000 to 3999. BGs generally contain between 600 and 3,000 people, with an optimum size of 1,500 people. BGs on American Indian reservations, off-reservation trust lands, and special places must contain a minimum of 300 people. (Special places include correctional institutions, military installations, college campuses, worker's dormitories, hospitals, nursing homes, and group homes.)

Most BGs were delineated by local participants as part of the U.S. Census Bureau's Participant Statistical Areas Program. The U.S. Census Bureau delineated BGs only where a local, state, or tribal government declined to participate or where the U.S. Census Bureau could not identify a potential local or tribal participant.

---

BGs never cross the boundaries of states, counties, or statistically equivalent entities, except for a BG delineated by American Indian tribal authorities, and then only when tabulated within the American Indian hierarchy (see TRIBAL BLOCK GROUP). BGs never cross the boundaries of census tracts, but may cross the boundary of any other geographic entity required as a census block boundary (see CENSUS BLOCK).

In decennial census data tabulations, a BG may be split to present data for every unique combination of American Indian area, Alaska Native area, Hawaiian home land, congressional district, county subdivision, place, voting district, or other tabulation entity shown in the data products. For example, if BG 3 is partly in a city and partly outside the city, there are separate tabulated records for each portion of BG 3. BGs are used in tabulating data nationwide, as was done for the 1990 census, for all block-numbered areas in the 1980 census, and for selected areas in the 1970 census. For data presentation purposes, BGs are a substitute for the enumeration districts (EDs) used for reporting data in many parts of the United States for the 1970 and 1980 censuses and in all areas before 1970. Also, BGs are the lowest level of the geographic hierarchy for which the U.S. Census Bureau tabulates and presents sample data.

### **BOUNDARY CHANGES**

Many of the legal and statistical entities for which the U.S. Census Bureau tabulates decennial census data have had boundary changes between the 1990 census and Census 2000; that is, between January 2, 1990, and January 1, 2000. Boundary changes to legal entities result from:

1. Annexations to or detachments from legally established governmental units.
2. Mergers or consolidations of two or more governmental units.
3. Establishment of new governmental units.
4. Disincorporations or disorganizations of existing governmental units.
5. Changes in treaties or executive orders, and governmental action placing additional lands in trust.
6. Decisions by federal, state, and local courts.
7. Redistricting for congressional districts or county subdivisions that represent single-member districts for election to a county governing board.

Statistical entity boundaries generally are reviewed by local, state, or tribal governments and can have changes to adjust boundaries to visible features to better define the geographic area each encompasses or to account for shifts and changes in the population distribution within an area.

The historical counts shown for counties, county subdivisions, places, and American Indian, Alaska Native, and Native Hawaiian areas are not updated for such changes, and thus reflect the population and housing units in each entity as delineated at the time of each decennial census. Boundary changes are not reported for some entities, such as census designated places and block groups.

Changes to the boundaries for census tracts and, for the first time, for census blocks are available in relationship files, which are only available in computer-readable form. The census tract relationship files feature the relationship of census tracts/block numbering areas at the time of the 1990 census to census tracts for Census 2000, and vice versa, including partial relationships. For the first time, the census tract relationship files show a measure of the magnitude of change using the proportion of the length of roads and sides of roads contained in partial census tracts. This information can be used to proportion the data for the areas where census tracts have changed.

The census block relationship files, which are available only in computer-readable form, present relationships of the 1990 census and Census 2000 blocks on the basis of whole blocks or part blocks ("P"). The following relationships can be derived:

	1990 census block	2000 census block
One to one .....	601	1017
One to many .....	101 P	3028
	101 P	2834
Many to one .....	410	2554 P
	503	2554 P
Many to many .....	404	1007 P
	501 P	1007 P
	502 P	1008 P

Block relationship files are available to compare the following sets of census blocks:

- 1990 tabulation block to 2000 collection block,
- 2000 collection block to 2000 tabulation block, and
- 1990 tabulation block to 2000 tabulation block.

Census tract relationship files and block relationship files are not geographic equivalency files. For a true areal comparison between the census tracts/block numbering areas and blocks used for the 1990 census and the census tracts and blocks used for Census 2000 (as well as other geographic areas), it is necessary to use the 2000 TIGER/Line® files. The 2000 TIGER/Line files will contain 1990 and 2000 boundaries for counties and statistically equivalent entities, county subdivisions, places, American Indian areas, Alaska Native village statistical areas, census tracts, census blocks, and, by derivation from the census blocks, block groups.

## CENSUS BLOCK

Census blocks are areas bounded on all sides by visible features, such as streets, roads, streams, and railroad tracks, and by invisible boundaries, such as city, town, township, and county limits, property lines, and short, imaginary extensions of streets and roads. Generally, census blocks are small in area; for example, a block bounded by city streets. However, census blocks in sparsely settled areas may contain many square miles of territory.

All territory in the United States, Puerto Rico, and the Island Areas has been assigned block numbers, as was the case for the 1990 census. To improve operational efficiency and geographic identifications, the U.S. Census Bureau has introduced different numbering systems for tabulation blocks used in decennial census data products, and for collection blocks, used in administering the census. (In 1990, there generally was a single numbering system.) Collection block numbers are available only in the TIGER/Line® data products; the U.S. Census Bureau does not tabulate data for collection blocks.

Many tabulation blocks, used in decennial census data products, represent the same geographic area as the collection blocks used in the Census 2000 enumeration process. Where the collection blocks include territory in two or more geographic entities, each unique piece required for data tabulation is identified as a separate tabulation block with a separate block number. It is possible for two or more collection blocks to be combined into a single tabulation block. This situation can occur when a visible feature established as a collection block boundary is deleted during the field update operation. Tabulation blocks do not cross the boundaries of any entity for which the U.S. Census Bureau tabulates data, including American Indian areas, Alaska Native areas, Hawaiian home lands, census tracts, congressional districts, counties, county subdivisions, places, state legislative districts, urban and rural areas, school districts, voting districts, and ZIP Code® tabulation areas. Tabulation blocks also generally do not cross the boundaries of certain landmarks, including military installations, national parks, and national monuments.

Tabulation blocks are identified uniquely within census tract by means of a four-digit number. (The 1990 census block numbers had three digits, with a potential alphabetic suffix.) The Census 2000 collection blocks are numbered uniquely within county (or statistically equivalent entity), and consist of four or five digits. For its Census 2000 data tabulations, the U.S. Census Bureau created a unique set of census block numbers immediately before beginning the tabulation process. These

---

are the census block numbers seen in the data presentations. For the 1990 census, the U.S. Census Bureau created a separate block with a suffix of “Z” to identify crews-of-vessels population. For Census 2000, crews-of-vessels population is assigned to the land block identified by the U.S. Census Bureau as associated with the home port of the vessel.

Participants in certain U.S. Census Bureau-sponsored programs were able to request that line features in the TIGER® database be held as tabulation block boundaries, provided that these conformed to U.S. Census Bureau criteria. This option was available to participants in the Census 2000 Redistricting Data Program (the Block Boundary Suggestion Project), American Indian and Alaska Native Area Tribal Review (Block Definition Project), and the District of Columbia and the Puerto Rico Block Boundary Definition Project.

The U.S. Census Bureau introduced a different method for identifying the water areas of census blocks. For the 1990 census, water was not uniquely identified within a census block; instead, all water area internal to a block group was given a single block number ending in “99” (for example, in block group 1, all water was identified as block 199). A suffix was added to each water block number where the block existed in more than one tabulation entity within its block group. For Census 2000, water area located completely within the boundary of a single land tabulation block has the same block number as that land block. Water area that touches more than one land block is assigned a unique block number not associated with any adjacent land block. The water block numbers begin with the block group number followed by “999” and proceed in descending order (for example, in block group 3, the numbers assigned to water areas that border multiple land blocks are 3999, 3998, etc.). In some block groups, the numbering of land blocks might use enough of the available numbers to reach beyond the 900 range within the block group. For this reason, and because some land blocks include water (ponds and small lakes), no conclusions about whether a block is all land or all water can be made by looking at the block number. The land/water flag, set at the polygon level in the TIGER® database and shown in TIGER/Line® and statistical data tabulation files, is the only way to know if a block is all water when viewing the computer files. On maps, water areas are shown with a screen symbol.

## **CENSUS DIVISION**

Census divisions are groupings of states and the District of Columbia that are subdivisions of the four census regions. There are nine census divisions, which the U.S. Census Bureau established in 1910 for the presentation of census data. Each census division is identified by a one-digit census code; the same number appears as the first digit in the two-digit census state code (see STATE).

Puerto Rico and the Island Areas are not part of any census region or census division. For a list of all census regions, census divisions, and their constituent states, see Figure A-3.

## **CENSUS REGION**

Census regions are groupings of states and the District of Columbia that subdivide the United States for the presentation of census data. There are four census regions—Northeast, Midwest, South, and West. Each of the four census regions is divided into two or more census divisions. Before 1984, the Midwest region was named the North Central region. From 1910, when census regions were established, through the 1940s, there were three census regions—North, South, and West. Each census region is identified by a single-digit census code.

Puerto Rico and the Island Areas are not part of any census region or census division. For a list of all census regions, census divisions, and their constituent states, see Figure A-3.

## **CENSUS TRACT**

Census tracts are small, relatively permanent statistical subdivisions of a county or statistically equivalent entity delineated by local participants as part of the U.S. Census Bureau’s Participant Statistical Areas Program. The U.S. Census Bureau delineated census tracts where no local participant existed or where a local or tribal government declined to participate. The primary purpose of



---

census tracts is to provide a stable set of geographic units for the presentation of decennial census data. This is the first decennial census for which the entire United States is covered by census tracts. For the 1990 census, some counties had census tracts and others had block numbering areas (BNAs). For Census 2000, all BNAs were replaced by census tracts, which may or may not represent the same areas.

Census tracts in the United States, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands of the United States generally have between 1,500 and 8,000 people, with an optimum size of 4,000 people. For American Samoa, the Northern Mariana Islands, and Guam, the optimum size is 2,500 people. Counties and statistically equivalent entities with fewer than 1,500 people have a single census tract. Census tracts on American Indian reservations, off-reservation trust lands, and special places must contain a minimum of 1,000 people. (Special places include correctional institutions, military installations, college campuses, workers' dormitories, hospitals, nursing homes, and group homes.) When first delineated, census tracts are designed to be relatively homogeneous with respect to population characteristics, economic status, and living conditions. The spatial size of census tracts varies widely depending on the density of settlement. Census tract boundaries are delineated with the intention of being maintained over many decades so that statistical comparisons can be made from decennial census to decennial census. However, physical changes in street patterns caused by highway construction, new developments, and so forth, may require occasional boundary revisions. In addition, census tracts occasionally are split due to population growth or combined as a result of substantial population decline.

Census tracts are identified by a four-digit basic number and may have a two-digit numeric suffix; for example, 6059.02. The decimal point separating the four-digit basic tract number from the two-digit suffix is shown in the printed reports and on census maps. In computer-readable files, the decimal point is implied. Many census tracts do not have a suffix; in such cases, the suffix field is either left blank or is zero-filled. Leading zeros in a census tract number (for example, 002502) are shown only in computer-readable files. Census tract suffixes may range from .01 to .98. For the 1990 census, the .99 suffix was reserved for census tracts/block numbering areas (BNAs) that contained only crews-of-vessels population; for Census 2000, the crews-of-vessels population is included with the related census tract.

Census tract numbers range from 1 to 9999 and are unique within a county or statistically equivalent entity. The U.S. Census Bureau reserves the basic census tract numbers 9400 to 9499 for census tracts delineated within or to encompass American Indian reservations and off-reservation trust lands that exist in multiple states or counties (see TRIBAL CENSUS TRACTS). The number 0000 in computer-readable files identifies a census tract delineated to provide complete coverage of water area in territorial seas and the Great Lakes.

### **CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT (CD)**

Congressional districts (CDs) are the 435 areas from which people are elected to the U.S. House of Representatives. After the apportionment of congressional seats among the states, based on census population counts, each state is responsible for establishing CDs for the purpose of electing representatives. Each CD is to be as equal in population to all other CDs in the state as practicable.

The CDs in effect at the time of Census 2000 are those of the 106th Congress, whose session began in January 1999. The CDs of the 103rd Congress (January 1993 to 1995) were the first to reflect redistricting based on the 1990 census. These CD boundaries and numbers remained in effect until after Census 2000, except where a state initiative or a court-ordered redistricting had required a change. Six states redistricted for the 104th Congress (Georgia, Louisiana, Maine, Minnesota, South Carolina, and Virginia), five states redistricted for the 105th Congress (Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, and Texas), and three states (New York, North Carolina, and Virginia) redistricted for the 106th Congress. The 108th Congress will be the first to reflect reapportionment and redistricting based on Census 2000 data.

CDs are identified with a two-digit Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code. The code "00" is used for states with a single representative.

---

American Samoa, Guam, the Virgin Islands of the United States, and the District of Columbia are represented in the House of Representatives by a delegate, and Puerto Rico by a resident commissioner, all of whom may not vote on the floor of the House of Representatives, but may vote on legislation as it is considered by committees to which they have been named. In computer-readable data products that display a congressional district field, the two-digit FIPS code “98” is used to identify such representational areas. The Northern Mariana Islands does not have representation in Congress. The FIPS code “99” identifies areas with no representation in Congress.

### **COUNTY (OR STATISTICALLY EQUIVALENT ENTITY)**

The primary legal divisions of most states are termed “counties.” In Louisiana, these divisions are known as parishes. In Alaska, which has no counties, the statistically equivalent entities are census areas, city and boroughs (as in Juneau City and Borough), a municipality (Anchorage), and organized boroughs. Census areas are delineated cooperatively for data presentation purposes by the state of Alaska and the U.S. Census Bureau. In four states (Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia), there are one or more incorporated places that are independent of any county organization and thus constitute primary divisions of their states; these incorporated places are known as “independent cities” and are treated as equivalent to counties for data presentation purposes. (In some data presentations, they may be treated as county subdivisions and places.) The District of Columbia has no primary divisions, and the entire area is considered equivalent to a county for data presentation purposes. In American Samoa, the primary divisions are districts and islands; in the Northern Mariana Islands, municipalities; in the Virgin Islands of the United States, the principal islands of St. Croix, St. John, and St. Thomas. Guam has no primary divisions, and the entire area is considered equivalent to a county for data presentation purposes.

Each county and statistically equivalent entity is assigned a three-digit Federal Information Processing Standards code that is unique within state. These codes are assigned in alphabetical order of county or county equivalent within state, except for the independent cities, which are assigned codes higher than and following the listing of counties.

### **COUNTY SUBDIVISION**

County subdivisions are the primary divisions of counties and statistically equivalent entities for data presentation purposes. They include census county divisions, census subareas, minor civil divisions (MCDs), unorganized territories, and incorporated places that are independent of any MCD.

Each county subdivision is assigned a five-digit Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code in alphabetical order within each state.

### **Census County Division (CCD)**

Census county divisions (CCDs) are county subdivisions that were delineated by the U.S. Census Bureau, in cooperation with state and local government officials for data presentation purposes. CCDs have been established in 21 states where there are no legally established minor civil divisions (MCDs), where the MCDs do not have governmental or administrative purposes, where the boundaries of the MCDs are ambiguous or change frequently, and/or where the MCDs generally are not known to the public. CCDs have no legal functions and are not governmental units.

The boundaries of CCDs usually are delineated to follow visible features and coincide with census tracts where applicable. (In a few instances, two CCDs may constitute a single census tract.) The name of each CCD is based on a place, county, or well-known local name that identifies its location. CCDs have been established in the following 21 states: Alabama, Arizona, California, Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Hawaii, Idaho, Kentucky, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming.

### **Census Subarea**

Census subareas are statistical subdivisions of boroughs, census areas, city and boroughs, and the municipality (entities that are statistically equivalent to counties) in Alaska. Census subareas are delineated cooperatively by the state of Alaska and the U.S. Census Bureau. They were first used for data presentation purposes in conjunction with the 1980 census.



---

## Minor Civil Division (MCD)

Minor civil divisions (MCDs) are the primary governmental or administrative divisions of a county in many states (parish in Louisiana). MCDs represent many different kinds of legal entities with a wide variety of governmental and/or administrative functions. MCDs are variously designated as American Indian reservations, assessment districts, boroughs, charter townships, election districts, election precincts, gores, grants, locations, magisterial districts, parish governing authority districts, plantations, precincts, purchases, road districts, supervisors' districts, towns, and townships. In some states, all or some incorporated places are not located in any MCD (independent places) and thus serve as MCDs in their own right. In other states, incorporated places are part of the MCDs in which they are located (dependent places), or the pattern is mixed—some incorporated places are independent of MCDs and others are included within one or more MCDs. Independent cities, which are statistically equivalent to a county, also are treated as a separate MCD equivalent in states containing MCDs. In Maine and New York, there are American Indian reservations and off-reservation trust lands that serve as MCD equivalents; a separate MCD is created in each case where the American Indian area crosses a county boundary.

The U.S. Census Bureau recognizes MCDs in the following 28 states: Arkansas, Connecticut, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and Wisconsin. The District of Columbia has no primary divisions, and the city of Washington is considered equivalent to an MCD for data presentation purposes. Arlington County, VA, also has no MCDs and the entire county is designated as an MCD with the name Arlington.

In the Island Areas, the U.S. Census Bureau recognizes the following entities as MCDs:

- American Samoa: Counties (within the three districts; the two islands have no legal subdivisions).
- Northern Mariana Islands: Municipal districts.
- Guam: Election districts.
- Virgin Islands of the United States: Census subdistricts.

The MCDs in 12 states (Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, and Wisconsin) also serve as general-purpose local governments that generally can perform the same governmental functions as incorporated places. The U.S. Census Bureau presents data for these MCDs in all data products in which it provides data for places.

In eight MCD states (Illinois, Indiana, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, and South Dakota) the MCD townships serve as general-purpose local governments but do not have the ability to perform all the governmental functions as incorporated places. This category also includes the counties in American Samoa. Missouri is exceptional in that it has a minority of townships that serve as general-purpose governments (the majority of townships in Missouri fall into the category described below).

In the remaining eight MCD states (Arkansas, Iowa, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Virginia, and West Virginia); the counties containing precincts in Illinois and Nebraska; the townships in Williamson County, Illinois; and the majority of townships in Missouri, the MCDs are geographic subdivisions of the counties and are not governmental units. The MCDs in Puerto Rico and the Island Areas (except American Samoa) also fall into this classification.

## Unorganized Territory

Unorganized territories occur in 10 minor civil division (MCD) states (Arkansas, Indiana, Iowa, Louisiana, Maine, Minnesota, North Carolina, North Dakota, Ohio, and South Dakota) where portions of counties are not included in any legally established MCD or independent incorporated place. The U.S. Census Bureau recognizes such areas as one or more separate county subdivisions

---

for purposes of data presentation. It assigns each unorganized territory a descriptive name, followed by the designation “unorganized territory” or “UT.” Unorganized territories were first used for data presentation purposes in conjunction with the 1960 census.

## **GEOGRAPHIC CODE**

Geographic codes are shown primarily in computer-readable data products, such as computer tape and CD-ROM/DVD media, including data tabulations and data tables associated with computer-readable boundary files, but they also are shown on some U.S. Census Bureau maps. Census codes are used only if there is no Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code for the same geographic entity or if the FIPS code is not adequate for data presentation. A code that is not identified as either “census” or “FIPS” is usually a census code for which there is no FIPS equivalent. Entities that use only FIPS codes in U.S. Census Bureau products are congressional district, county and statistically equivalent entity, county subdivision, subbarrio, Alaska Native Regional Corporation, metropolitan area (that is, metropolitan statistical area, consolidated metropolitan statistical area, primary metropolitan statistical area, and New England county metropolitan area), place, and state. (A census code exists for each state, but was not assigned in alphabetical sequence and serves to organize the states by census region and census division.)

### **Census Code**

Census codes are assigned for a variety of geographic entities, including American Indian area, Alaska Native village statistical area, Hawaiian home land, census division, census region, urbanized area, urban cluster, state legislative district, school district, urban growth area, and voting district. The structure, format, and meaning of census codes used in U.S. Census Bureau data products appear in the appropriate technical documentation.

### **Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) Code**

Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) codes are assigned for a variety of geographic entities, including American Indian area, Alaska Native area, Hawaiian home land, congressional district, county, county subdivision, metropolitan area, place, and state. The structure, format, and meaning of FIPS codes used in U.S. Census Bureau data products appear in the appropriate technical documentation.

The objective of FIPS codes is to improve the ability to use the data resources of the federal government and avoid unnecessary duplication and incompatibilities in the collection, processing, and dissemination of data. The FIPS codes and FIPS code documentation are available online at <http://www.itl.nist.gov/fipspubs/index.htm>. Further information about the FIPS 5-2, 6-4, and 9-1 publications (states, counties, and congressional districts, respectively) is available from the Geographic Areas Branch, Geography Division, U.S. Census Bureau, Washington, DC 20233-7400, telephone 301-457-1099. Further information about the FIPS 55-DC3 publication (places, consolidated cities, county subdivisions, and noncensus locational entities) is available from the Geographic Names Office, National Mapping Division, U.S. Geological Survey, 523 National Center, Reston, VA 20192, telephone 703-648-4544.

### **United States Postal Service (USPS) Code**

United States Postal Service (USPS) codes for states are used in all decennial census data products. The codes are two-character alphabetic abbreviations. These codes are the same as the Federal Information Processing Standards two-character alphabetic abbreviations.

## **INTERNAL POINT**

An internal point is a set of geographic coordinates (latitude and longitude) that is located within a specified geographic entity. A single point is identified for each entity; for many entities, this point represents the approximate geographic center of that entity. If the shape of the entity causes this point to be located outside the boundary of the entity or in a water body, it is relocated to land area within the entity. In computer-readable products, internal points are shown to six decimal places; the decimal point is implied.

---

The first character of the latitude or longitude is a plus (+) or a minus (–) sign. A plus sign in the latitude identifies the point as being in the Northern Hemisphere, while a minus sign identifies a location in the Southern Hemisphere. For longitude, a plus sign identifies the point as being in the Eastern Hemisphere, while a minus sign identifies a location in the Western Hemisphere.

### **ISLAND AREAS OF THE UNITED STATES**

The Island Areas of the United States are American Samoa, Guam, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands (Northern Mariana Islands), and the Virgin Islands of the United States. The U.S. Census Bureau treats the Island Areas as entities that are statistically equivalent to states for data presentation purposes. Geographic definitions specific to the Island Areas are shown in the appropriate publications and documentation that accompany the data products for the Island Areas.

Sometimes the Island Areas are referred to as “Island Territories” or “Insular Areas.” For the 1990 and previous censuses, the U.S. Census Bureau referred to the entities as “Outlying Areas.” The term “U.S. Minor Outlying Islands” refers to certain small islands under U.S. jurisdiction in the Caribbean and Pacific: Baker Island, Howland Island, Jarvis Island, Johnston Atoll, Kingman Reef, Midway Islands, Navassa Island, Palmyra Atoll, and Wake Island.

### **METROPOLITAN AREA (MA)**

The general concept of a metropolitan area (MA) is one of a large population nucleus, together with adjacent communities that have a high degree of economic and social integration with that nucleus. Some MAs are defined around two or more nuclei.

The MAs and the central cities within an MA are designated and defined by the federal Office of Management and Budget, following a set of official standards that are published in a Federal Register Notice. These standards were developed by the interagency Federal Executive Committee on Metropolitan Areas, with the aim of producing definitions that are as consistent as possible for all MAs nationwide.

Each MA must contain either a place with a minimum population of 50,000 or a U.S. Census Bureau-defined urbanized area and a total MA population of at least 100,000 (75,000 in New England). An MA contains one or more central counties. An MA also may include one or more outlying counties that have close economic and social relationships with the central county. An outlying county must have a specified level of commuting to the central counties and also must meet certain standards regarding metropolitan character, such as population density, urban population, and population growth. In New England, MAs consist of groupings of cities and county subdivisions (mostly towns) rather than whole counties.

The territory, population, and housing units in MAs are referred to as “metropolitan.” The metropolitan category is subdivided into “inside central city” and “outside central city.” The territory, population, and housing units located outside territory designated “metropolitan” are referred to as “nonmetropolitan.” The metropolitan and nonmetropolitan classification cuts across the other hierarchies; for example, generally there are both urban and rural territory within both metropolitan and nonmetropolitan areas.

To meet the needs of various users, the standards provide for a flexible structure of metropolitan definitions that classify each MA either as a metropolitan statistical area (MSA) or as a consolidated metropolitan statistical area divided into primary metropolitan statistical areas. In New England, there also is an alternative county-based definition of MSAs known as the New England County Metropolitan Areas. (See definitions below.) Documentation of the MA standards and how they are applied is available from the Population Distribution Branch, Population Division, U.S. Census Bureau, Washington, DC 20233-8800.

### **Central City**

In each metropolitan statistical area and consolidated metropolitan statistical area, the largest place and, in some cases, one or more additional places are designated as “central cities” under the official standards. A few primary metropolitan statistical areas do not have central cities. The

---

largest central city and, in some cases, up to two additional central cities, are included in the title of the metropolitan area (MA); there also are central cities that are not included in an MA title. An MA central city does not include any part of that place that extends outside the MA boundary.

### **Consolidated and Primary Metropolitan Statistical Area (CMSA and PMSA)**

If an area that qualifies as a metropolitan area (MA) has 1 million people or more, two or more primary metropolitan statistical areas (PMSAs) may be defined within it. Each PMSA consists of a large urbanized county or cluster of counties (cities and towns in New England) that demonstrate very strong internal economic and social links, in addition to close ties to other portions of the larger area. When PMSAs are established, the larger MA of which they are component parts is designated a consolidated metropolitan statistical area (CMSA). CMSAs and PMSAs are established only where local governments favor such designations for a large MA.

### **Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA)**

Metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) are metropolitan areas (MAs) that are not closely associated with other MAs. These areas typically are surrounded by nonmetropolitan counties (county subdivisions in New England).

### **Metropolitan Area Title and Code**

The title of a metropolitan statistical area (MSA) contains the name of its largest central city and up to two additional central city names, provided that the additional places meet specified levels of population, employment, and commuting. Generally, a place with a population of 250,000 or more is in the title, regardless of other criteria.

The title of a primary metropolitan statistical area (PMSA) may contain up to three place names, as determined above, or up to three county names, sequenced in order of population size, from largest to smallest. A consolidated metropolitan statistical area (CMSA) title also may include up to three names, the first of which generally is the most populous central city in the area. The second name may be the first city or county name in the most populous remaining PMSA; the third name may be the first city or county name in the next most populous PMSA. A regional designation may be substituted for the second and/or third names in a CMSA title if local opinion supports such a designation and the federal Office of Management and Budget deems it to be unambiguous and suitable.

The titles for all metropolitan areas (MAs) also contain the U.S. Postal Service's abbreviation for the name of each state in which the MA is located. Each MA is assigned a four-digit Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code, in alphabetical order nationwide. If the fourth digit of the code is "2," it identifies a CMSA. Additionally, there is a separate set of two-digit FIPS codes for CMSAs, also assigned alphabetically.

### **New England County Metropolitan Area (NECMA)**

New England county metropolitan areas (NECMAs) are defined as a county-based alternative to the city- and town-based New England metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs) and consolidated metropolitan statistical areas (CMSAs). The NECMA defined for an MSA or a CMSA includes:

- The county containing the first-named city in that MSA/CMSA title (this county may include the first-named cities of other MSAs/CMSAs as well), and
- Each additional county having at least half its population in the MSAs/CMSAs whose first-named cities are in the previously identified county. NECMAs are not identified for individual primary metropolitan statistical areas.

Central cities of a NECMA are those places in the NECMA that qualify as central cities of an MSA or a CMSA. NECMA titles derive from the names of these central cities. Each NECMA is assigned a four-digit Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code.

---

## **PLACE**

Places, for the reporting of decennial census data, include census designated places, consolidated cities, and incorporated places. Each place is assigned a five-digit Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code, based on the alphabetical order of the place name within each state. If place names are duplicated within a state and they represent distinctly different areas, a separate code is assigned to each place name alphabetically by primary county in which each place is located, or if both places are in the same county, alphabetically by their legal description (for example, “city” before “village”).

### **Census Designated Place (CDP)**

Census designated places (CDPs) are delineated for each decennial census as the statistical counterparts of incorporated places. CDPs are delineated to provide census data for concentrations of population, housing, and commercial structures that are identifiable by name but are not within an incorporated place. CDP boundaries usually are defined in cooperation with state, local, and tribal officials. These boundaries, which usually coincide with visible features or the boundary of an adjacent incorporated place or other legal entity boundary, have no legal status, nor do these places have officials elected to serve traditional municipal functions. CDP boundaries may change from one decennial census to the next with changes in the settlement pattern; a CDP with the same name as in an earlier census does not necessarily have the same boundary.

For Census 2000, for the first time, CDPs did not need to meet a minimum population threshold to qualify for tabulation of census data. For the 1990 census and earlier censuses, the U.S. Census Bureau required CDPs to qualify on the basis of various minimum population size criteria.

Beginning with the 1950 census, the U.S. Census Bureau, in cooperation with state and local governments (and American Indian tribal officials starting with the 1990 census), identified and delineated boundaries and names for CDPs. In the data products issued in conjunction with Census 2000, the name of each such place is followed by “CDP,” as was the case for the 1990 and 1980 censuses. In the data products issued in conjunction with the 1950, 1960, and 1970 censuses, these places were identified by “(U),” meaning “unincorporated place.”

Hawaii is the only state that has no incorporated places recognized by the U.S. Census Bureau. All places shown in the data products for Hawaii are CDPs. By agreement with the state of Hawaii, the U.S. Census Bureau does not show data separately for the city of Honolulu, which is coextensive with Honolulu County.

All places in the Northern Mariana Islands and Guam are CDPs. The Virgin Islands of the United States has both CDPs and incorporated places. There are no CDPs in American Samoa; the U.S. Census Bureau treats the traditional villages as statistically equivalent to incorporated places.

### **Consolidated City**

A consolidated government is a unit of local government for which the functions of an incorporated place and its county or minor civil division (MCD) have merged. The legal aspects of this action may result in both the primary incorporated place and the county or MCD continuing to exist as legal entities, even though the county or MCD performs few or no governmental functions and has few or no elected officials. Where this occurs, and where one or more other incorporated places in the county or MCD continue to function as separate governments, even though they have been included in the consolidated government, the primary incorporated place is referred to as a consolidated city.

The presentation of data for consolidated cities varies depending on the geographic presentation. In some hierarchical presentations, consolidated cities are not shown. These presentations include the places within the consolidated city and the “consolidated city (balance).” Although hierarchical presentations do not show the consolidated city, the data for it are the same as the county or county subdivision with which it is coextensive. Other hierarchical presentations do show the consolidated city, county or county subdivision, and (balance) as separate entities.

---

For inventory geographic presentations, the consolidated city appears alphabetically sequenced within the listing of places; in 1990, consolidated places appeared at the end of the listing. The data for the consolidated city include the data for all places that are part of and within the consolidated city. The “consolidated city (balance)” entry shows the data for the portion of the consolidated government minus the separately incorporated places within the consolidated city, and is shown in alphabetical sequence with other places that comprise the consolidated city. For data presentation purposes these “balance” entities are treated as statistically equivalent to a place; they have no legal basis or functions.

In summary presentations by size of place, the consolidated city is not included. The places within consolidated cities are categorized by their size, as is the “consolidated city (balance).” A few incorporated places are partially inside and partially outside a consolidated city. Data tabulations by place will include all territory within the place, while the tabulation for the place within a consolidated city is only for part of the place.

Each consolidated city is assigned a five-digit Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code that is unique within state. The places within consolidated cities and the “consolidated city (balance)” also are assigned five-digit FIPS place codes that are unique within state. The code assigned to each place within a consolidated city is the same as its regular place code; a place that is partially included in a consolidated city does not have a different code for the portions inside and outside the consolidated city. FIPS codes are assigned based on alphabetical sequence within each state.

### **Incorporated Place**

Incorporated places recognized in decennial census data products are those reported to the U.S. Census Bureau as legally in existence on January 1, 2000, under the laws of their respective states, as cities, boroughs, city and boroughs, municipalities, towns, and villages, with the following exceptions: the towns in the New England states, New York, and Wisconsin, and the boroughs in New York are recognized as minor civil divisions for decennial census purposes; the boroughs, city and boroughs (as in Juneau City and Borough), and municipality (Anchorage) in Alaska are county equivalents for decennial census statistical presentation purposes. In four states (Maryland, Missouri, Nevada, and Virginia), there are one or more incorporated places known as “independent cities” that are primary divisions of a state and legally not part of any county. For data presentation purposes, the U.S. Census Bureau may treat an independent city as a county equivalent, county subdivision, and place.

The U.S. Census Bureau treats the villages in American Samoa as incorporated places because they have their own officials, who have specific legal powers as authorized in the American Samoa Code. The village boundaries are traditional rather than being specific, legally defined locations. There are no incorporated places in Guam and the Northern Mariana Islands. The U.S. Census Bureau treats the three towns in the Virgin Islands of the United States as incorporated places.

There are a few incorporated places that do not have a legal description. An incorporated place is established to provide governmental functions for a concentration of people as opposed to a minor civil division, which generally is created to provide services or administer an area without regard, necessarily, to population.

### **POPULATION OR HOUSING UNIT DENSITY**

Population and housing unit density are computed by dividing the total population or number of housing units within a geographic entity (for example, United States, state, county, place) by the land area of that entity measured in square kilometers or square miles. Density is expressed as both “people (or housing units) per square kilometer” and “people (or housing units) per square mile” of land area.

### **PUBLIC USE MICRODATA AREA (PUMA)**

A public use microdata area (PUMA) is a decennial census area for which the U.S. Census Bureau provides specially selected extracts of raw data from a small sample of long-form census records that are screened to protect confidentiality. These extracts are referred to as “public use microdata sample (PUMS)” files. Since 1960, data users have been using these files to create their own statistical tabulations and data summaries.



---

For Census 2000, state, District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and Island Area participants, following U.S. Census Bureau criteria, delineated two types of PUMAs within their states. PUMAs of one type comprise areas that contain at least 100,000 people. The PUMS files for these PUMAs contain a 5-percent sample of the long-form records. The other type of PUMAs, super-PUMAs, comprise areas of at least 400,000 people. The sample size is 1 percent for the PUMS files for super-PUMAs.

PUMAs cannot be in more than one state or statistically equivalent entity. The larger 1-percent PUMAs are aggregations of the smaller 5-percent PUMAs. PUMAs of both types, wherever the population size criteria permit, comprise areas that are entirely within or outside metropolitan areas or the central cities of metropolitan areas.

## **PUERTO RICO**

The U.S. Census Bureau treats the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico as the statistical equivalent of a state for data presentation purposes. Each state and statistically equivalent entity is assigned a two-digit Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code in alphabetical order by state name, followed in alphabetical order by Puerto Rico and the Island Areas. Each state and statistically equivalent entity also is assigned the two-letter FIPS/U.S. Postal Service code.

### **Municipio**

The primary legal divisions of Puerto Rico are termed “municipios.” For data presentation purposes, the U.S. Census Bureau treats a municipio as the equivalent of a county in the United States.

Each municipio is assigned a unique three-digit Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code in alphabetical order within Puerto Rico.

### **Barrio, Barrio-Pueblo, and Subbarrio**

The U.S. Census Bureau recognizes barrios and barrios-pueblo as the primary legal divisions of municipios. These entities are similar to the minor civil divisions (MCDs) used for reporting decennial census data in 28 states of the United States. Subbarrios in 23 municipios are the primary legal subdivisions of the barrios-pueblo and some barrios. The U.S. Census Bureau presents the same types of Census 2000 data for these “sub-MCDs” as it does for the barrios and barrios-pueblo. (There is no geographic entity in the United States equivalent to the subbarrio.)

Each barrio, barrio-pueblo, and subbarrio is assigned a five-digit Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code in alphabetical order within Puerto Rico.

### **Zona Urbana and Comunidad**

There are no incorporated places in Puerto Rico; instead, the U.S. Census Bureau provides decennial census data for two types of census designated places (CDPs): (1) zonas urbanas, representing the governmental center of each municipio, and (2) comunidades, representing other settlements. For Census 2000, there are no minimum population size requirements for CDPs. (For the 1990 census, the U.S. Census Bureau had required comunidades to have at least 1,000 people.)

Each zona urbana and comunidad is assigned a five-digit Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code in alphabetical order within Puerto Rico.

Some types of geographic entities do not apply in Puerto Rico. For instance, Puerto Rico is not in any census region or census division. In addition, the U.S. Census Bureau does not tabulate data for state legislative districts and traffic analysis zones in Puerto Rico. (See also CONGRESSIONAL DISTRICT (CD).)

## **SCHOOL DISTRICT**

School districts are geographic entities within which state, county, or local officials or the Department of Defense provide public educational services for the areas residents. The U.S. Census Bureau obtains the boundaries and names for school districts from state officials. The U.S. Census Bureau first provided data for school districts in conjunction with the 1970 census. For Census 2000, the U.S. Census Bureau tabulated data for three types of school districts: elementary, secondary, and unified.

---

Each school district is assigned a five-digit code that is unique within state. School district codes are assigned by the Department of Education and are not necessarily in alphabetical order by school district name.

### **STATE (OR STATISTICALLY EQUIVALENT ENTITY)**

States are the primary governmental divisions of the United States. The District of Columbia is treated as a statistical equivalent of a state for data presentation purposes. For Census 2000, the U.S. Census Bureau also treats a number of entities that are not legal divisions of the United States as statistically equivalent to a state: American Samoa, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands of the United States.

Each state and statistically equivalent entity is assigned a two-digit numeric Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code in alphabetical order by state name, followed in alphabetical order by Puerto Rico and the Island Areas. Each state and statistically equivalent entity also is assigned a two-letter FIPS/U.S. Postal Service code and a two-digit census code. The census code is assigned on the basis of the geographic sequence of each state within each census division; the first digit of the code identifies the respective division, except for Puerto Rico and the Island Areas, which are not assigned to any region or division. The census regions, census divisions, and their component states are listed in Figure A-3.

### **STATE LEGISLATIVE DISTRICT (SLD)**

State legislative districts (SLDs) are the areas from which members are elected to state legislatures. The SLDs embody the upper (senate) and lower (house) chambers of the state legislature. (Nebraska has a unicameral legislature that the U.S. Census Bureau treats as an upper-chamber legislative area for data presentation purposes. There are, therefore, no data by lower chamber.) A unique census code of up to three characters, identified by state participants, is assigned to each SLD within state. The code “ZZZ” identifies parts of a county in which no SLDs were identified.

As an option in the Census 2000 Redistricting Data Program (Public Law 94-171), participating states receive P.L. 94-171 census data for their SLDs (see VOTING DISTRICT (VTD)). Not all states delineated SLDs for the purpose of presenting Census 2000 data, in which case the entire state is treated as a single SLD coded with blanks at both levels.

### **TIGER® DATABASE**

TIGER® is an acronym for the Topologically Integrated Geographic Encoding and Referencing (System or database). It is a digital (computer-readable) geographic database that automates the mapping and related geographic activities required to support the U.S. Census Bureau's census and survey programs. The U.S. Census Bureau developed the TIGER® System to automate the geographic support processes needed to meet the major geographic needs of the 1990 census: producing the cartographic products to support data collection and map presentations, providing the geographic structure for tabulation and dissemination of the collected statistical data, assigning residential and employer addresses to the correct geographic location and relating those locations to the geographic entities used for data tabulation, and so forth. The content of the TIGER database is undergoing continuous updates and is made available to the public through a variety of TIGER/Line® files that may be obtained free of charge from the Internet or packaged on CD-ROM or DVD from Customer Services, U.S. Census Bureau, Washington, DC 20233-1900; telephone 301-763-INFO (4636); Internet <http://www.census.gov/geo/www/tiger>.

### **TRAFFIC ANALYSIS ZONE (TAZ)**

A traffic analysis zone (TAZ) is a statistical entity delineated by state and/or local transportation officials for tabulating traffic-related census data—especially journey-to-work and place-of-work statistics. A TAZ usually consists of one or more census blocks, block groups, or census tracts. For the 1990 census, TAZs were defined as part of the Census Transportation Planning Package (CTPP). The U.S. Census Bureau first provided data for TAZs in conjunction with the 1980 census, when it identified them as “traffic zones.”



---

Each TAZ is identified by a six-character alphanumeric code that is unique within county or statistically equivalent entity. For the 1990 census, TAZ codes were unique within CTPP area, which generally conformed to a metropolitan area.

### **TRIBAL BLOCK GROUP**

A tribal block group (BG) is a cluster of census blocks having the same first digit of their four-digit identifying numbers and are within a single tribal census tract. For example, tribal BG 3 consists of all blocks within tribal tract 9406 numbered from 3000 to 3999. Where a federally recognized American Indian reservation and/or off-reservation trust land crosses county and/or state lines, the same tribal BG may be assigned on both sides of the state/county boundary within a tribal census tract that is numbered from 9400 to 9499. The optimum size for a tribal BG is 1,000 people; it must contain a minimum of 300 people. (See also BLOCK GROUP (BG).)

The difference between a tribal BG and a nontribal BG is in the hierarchical presentation of the data. A tribal BG is part of the American Indian hierarchy; that is, the tribal BG is within a tribal census tract that is within a federally recognized American Indian reservation and/or off-reservation trust land. (See INTRODUCTION—GEOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION OF DATA.)

### **TRIBAL CENSUS TRACT**

Tribal census tracts are small, relatively permanent statistical subdivisions of a federally recognized American Indian reservation and/or off-reservation trust land. The optimum size for a tribal census tract is 2,500 people; it must contain a minimum of 1,000 people. Where a federally recognized American Indian reservation or off-reservation trust land crosses county or state lines, the same tribal census tract number may be assigned on both sides of the state/county boundary. The U.S. Census Bureau uses the census tract numbers 9400 to 9499 for tribal census tracts that cross state/county boundaries and are within or encompassing American Indian reservations and off-reservation trust land. (See also CENSUS TRACT.)

The difference between a tribal census tract and a nontribal census tract is in the hierarchical presentation of the data. A tribal census tract is part of the American Indian hierarchy; that is, the tribal census tract is within a federally recognized American Indian reservation and/or off-reservation trust land. (See INTRODUCTION—GEOGRAPHIC PRESENTATION OF DATA.)

### **UNITED STATES**

The United States consists of the 50 states and the District of Columbia.

### **URBAN AND RURAL**

The U.S. Census Bureau classifies as urban all territory, population, and housing units located within urbanized areas (UAs) and urban clusters (UCs). It delineates UA and UC boundaries to encompass densely settled territory, which generally consists of:

- A cluster of one or more block groups or census blocks each of which has a population density of at least 1,000 people per square mile at the time.
- Surrounding block groups and census blocks each of which has a population density of at least 500 people per square mile at the time.
- Less densely settled blocks that form enclaves or indentations, or are used to connect discontinuous areas with qualifying densities.

Rural consists of all territory, population, and housing units located outside of UAs and UCs.

Geographic entities, such as metropolitan areas, counties, minor civil divisions, and places, often contain both urban and rural territory, population, and housing units.

This urban and rural classification applies to the 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, American Samoa, Guam, the Northern Mariana Islands, and the Virgin Islands of the United States.

---

## **Urbanized Area (UA)**

An urbanized area (UA) consists of densely settled territory that contains 50,000 or more people. The U.S. Census Bureau delineates UAs to provide a better separation of urban and rural territory, population, and housing in the vicinity of large places.

For Census 2000, the UA criteria were extensively revised and the delineations were performed using a zero-based approach. Because of more stringent density requirements, some territory that was classified as urbanized for the 1990 census has been reclassified as rural. (Area that was part of a 1990 UA has not been automatically grandfathered into the 2000 UA.) In addition, some areas that were identified as UAs for the 1990 census have been reclassified as urban clusters.

## **Urban Cluster (UC)**

An urban cluster (UC) consists of densely settled territory that has at least 2,500 people but fewer than 50,000 people.

The U.S. Census Bureau introduced the UC for Census 2000 to provide a more consistent and accurate measure of the population concentration in and around places. UCs are defined using the same criteria that are used to define UAs. UCs replace the provision in the 1990 and previous censuses that defined as urban only those places with 2,500 or more people located outside of urbanized areas.

## **Urban Area Title and Code**

The title of each urbanized area (UA) and urban cluster (UC) may contain up to three incorporated place names, and will include the two-letter U.S. Postal Service abbreviation for each state into which the UA or UC extends. However, if the UA or UC does not contain an incorporated place, the urban area title will include the single name of a census designated place, minor civil division, or populated place recognized by the U.S. Geological Survey's Geographic Names Information System.

Each UA and UC is assigned a five-digit numeric code, based on a national alphabetical sequence of all urban area names. For the 1990 census, the U.S. Census Bureau assigned a four-digit UA code based on the metropolitan area codes. A separate flag is included in data tabulation files to differentiate between UAs and UCs. In printed reports, this differentiation is included in the name.

## **Urban Area Central Place**

A central place functions as the dominant center of an urban area. The U.S. Census Bureau identifies one or more central places for each urbanized area (UA) or urban cluster (UC) that contains a place. Any incorporated place or census designated place (CDP) that is in the title of the urban area is a central place of that UA or UC. In addition, any other incorporated place or CDP that has an urban population of 50,000 or an urban population of at least 2,500 people and is at least 2/3 the size of the largest place within the urban area also is a central place.

## **Extended Place**

As a result of the urbanized area (UA) and urban cluster (UC) delineations, an incorporated place or census designated place may be partially within and partially outside of a UA or UC. Any place that is split by a UA or UC is referred to as an extended place.

Documentation of the UA, UC, and extended place criteria is available from the Geographic Areas Branch, Geography Division, U.S. Census Bureau, Washington, DC 20233-7400; telephone 301-457-1099.

## **URBAN GROWTH AREA (UGA)**

An urban growth area (UGA) is a legally defined geographic entity in Oregon that the U.S. Census Bureau includes in the TIGER® database in agreement with the state. UGAs, which are defined around incorporated places, are used to control urban growth. UGA boundaries, which need not follow visible features, are delineated cooperatively by state and local officials and then confirmed in state law. UGAs are a new geographic entity for Census 2000.

---

Each UGA is identified by a five-digit census code, which generally is the same as the Federal Information Processing Standards (FIPS) code for the incorporated place for which the UGA is named. The codes are assigned alphabetically within Oregon.

### **VOTING DISTRICT (VTD)**

Voting district (VTD) is the generic name for geographic entities, such as precincts, wards, and election districts, established by state, local, and tribal governments for the purpose of conducting elections. States participating in the Census 2000 Redistricting Data Program as part of Public Law 94-171 (1975) may provide boundaries, codes, and names for their VTDs to the U.S. Census Bureau. The U.S. Census Bureau first reported data for VTDs following the 1980 census. Because the U.S. Census Bureau requires that VTDs follow boundaries of census blocks, participating states often adjusted the boundaries of the VTDs they submit to conform to census block boundaries for data presentation purposes. If requested by the participating state, the U.S. Census Bureau identifies the VTDs that have not been adjusted as an “A” for actual in the VTD indicator field of the PL data file. The VTD indicator for all other VTDs is shown as “P” for pseudo.

For Census 2000, each VTD is identified by a one- to six-character alphanumeric census code that is unique within county. The code “ZZZZZ” identifies parts of a county in which no VTDs were identified. For a state or county that did not participate in the VTD project, the code fields are blank.

### **ZIP CODE® TABULATION AREA (ZCTA™)**

A ZIP Code® tabulation area (ZCTA™) is a statistical geographic entity that approximates the delivery area for a U.S. Postal Service five-digit or three-digit ZIP Code. ZCTAs are aggregations of census blocks that have the same predominant ZIP Code associated with the residential mailing addresses in the U.S. Census Bureau’s Master Address File. Three-digit ZCTA codes are applied to large contiguous areas for which the U.S. Census Bureau does not have five-digit ZIP Code information in its Master Address File. ZCTAs do not precisely depict ZIP Code delivery areas, and do not include all ZIP Codes used for mail delivery. The U.S. Census Bureau has established ZCTAs as a new geographic entity similar to, but replacing, data tabulations for ZIP Codes undertaken in conjunction with the 1990 and earlier censuses.

Figure A-1. **Standard Hierarchy of Census Geographic Entities**





Figure A-2. **Hierarchy of American Indian, Alaska Native, and Native Hawaiian Entities**



---

Figure A-3. **Census Regions, Census Divisions, and Their Constituent States**

**Northeast Region**

*New England Division:*

Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut

*Middle Atlantic Division:*

New York, New Jersey, Pennsylvania

**Midwest Region**

*East North Central Division:*

Ohio, Indiana, Illinois, Michigan, Wisconsin

*West North Central Division:*

Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, Kansas

**South Region**

*South Atlantic Division:*

Delaware, Maryland, District of Columbia, Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida

*East South Central Division:*

Kentucky, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi

*West South Central Division:*

Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, Texas

**West Region**

*Mountain Division:*

Montana, Idaho, Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, Arizona, Utah, Nevada

*Pacific Division:*

Washington, Oregon, California, Alaska, Hawaii

# Appendix B.

## Definitions of Subject Characteristics

---

### CONTENTS

	Page
<b>POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS</b>	
Age .....	B-2
Alaska Native Tribe (See Race) .....	B-12
American Indian Tribe (See Race) .....	B-12
Average Family Size (See Household Type and Relationship) .....	B-11
Average Household Size (See Household Type and Relationship) .....	B-9
Child (See Household Type and Relationship) .....	B-10
Families (See Household Type and Relationship) .....	B-11
Family Composition (See Household Type and Relationship) .....	B-11
Family Size (See Household Type and Relationship) .....	B-11
Family Type (See Household Type and Relationship) .....	B-11
Foster Children (See Household Type and Relationship) .....	B-11
Group Quarters .....	B-3
Hispanic or Latino .....	B-8
Household (See Household Type and Relationship) .....	B-9
Household Size (See Household Type and Relationship) .....	B-9
Household Type and Relationship .....	B-9
Householder (See Household Type and Relationship) .....	B-9
Institutionalized Population (See Group Quarters) .....	B-3
Median Age (See Age) .....	B-2
Noninstitutionalized Population (See Group Quarters) .....	B-5
Nonrelatives (See Household Type and Relationship) .....	B-10
Other Relatives (See Household Type and Relationship) .....	B-10
Own Child (See Household Type and Relationship) .....	B-10
People in Family (See Household Type and Relationship) .....	B-9
People in Household (See Household Type and Relationship) .....	B-9
Presence of Children (See Household Type and Relationship) .....	B-9
Race .....	B-12
Related Children (See Household Type and Relationship) .....	B-10
Relationship to Householder (See Household Type and Relationship) .....	B-9
Sex .....	B-17
Sex Ratio (See Sex) .....	B-17
Spanish Origin (See Hispanic or Latino) .....	B-8
Spouse (See Household Type and Relationship) .....	B-10
Stepfamily (See Household Type and Relationship) .....	B-9
Type of Institution (See Group Quarters) .....	B-3
Unmarried-Partner Household (See Household Type and Relationship) .....	B-12
Unrelated Individual (See Household Type and Relationship) .....	B-11
<b>HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS</b>	
Available Housing (See Vacancy Status) .....	B-20
Average Household Size of Owner-Occupied Unit .....	B-18
Average Household Size of Renter-Occupied Unit .....	B-18
Homeowner Vacancy Rate (See Vacancy Status) .....	B-20
Housing Unit (See Living Quarters) .....	B-17
Living Quarters .....	B-17
Occupied Housing Unit (See Living Quarters) .....	B-17
Owner-Occupied Housing Unit (See Tenure) .....	B-18
Rental Vacancy Rate (See Vacancy Status) .....	B-20
Definitions of Subject Characteristics .....	B-1

---

## HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS—Con.

Renter-Occupied Housing Unit (See Tenure) .....	B-19
Tenure .....	B-18
Vacancy Status .....	B-19
Vacant Housing Unit (See Living Quarters) .....	B-18

## DERIVED MEASURES

Average .....	B-20
Interpolation .....	B-20
Mean .....	B-20
Median .....	B-20
Percentage .....	B-21
Rate .....	B-21

## POPULATION CHARACTERISTICS

### Age

The data on age were derived from answers to a question that was asked of all people. The age classification is based on the age of the person in complete years as of April 1, 2000. The age of the person was usually derived from their date of birth information. Their reported age was used only when date of birth information was unavailable.

Data on age are used to determine the applicability of some of the sample questions for a person and to classify other characteristics in census tabulations. Age data are needed to interpret most social and economic characteristics used to plan and examine many programs and policies.

**Median age.** This measure divides the age distribution into two equal parts: one-half of the cases falling below the median value and one-half above the value. Median age is computed on the basis of a single year of age distribution.

**Limitation of the data.** The most general limitation for many decades has been the tendency of people to overreport ages or years of birth that end in zero or five. This phenomenon is called “age heaping.” In addition, the counts in the 1970 and 1980 censuses for people 100 years old and over were substantially overstated. So also were the counts of people aged 69 in 1970 and aged 79 in 1980. Improvements have been made since then in the questionnaire design, and in the allocation procedures which have further minimized these problems. The count of people aged 89 in the 1990 census was not overstated.

Review of detailed 1990 census information indicated that respondents tended to provide their age as of the date they completed the questionnaire, not their age as of April 1, 1990. One reason this happened was that respondents were not specifically instructed to provide their age as of April 1, 1990. Another reason was that data collection efforts continued well past the census date. In addition, there may have been a tendency for respondents to round their age up if they were close to having a birthday. It is likely that approximately 10 percent of people in most age groups were actually one year younger. For most single years of age, the misstatements were largely offsetting. The problem is most pronounced at age zero because people lost to age one probably were not fully offset by the inclusion of babies born after April 1, 1990. Also, there may have been more rounding up to age one to avoid reporting age as zero years. (Age in complete months was not collected for infants under age one.)

The reporting of age one year older than true age on April 1, 1990, is likely to have been greater in areas where the census data were collected later in calendar year 1990. The magnitude of this problem was much less in the 1960, 1970, and 1980 censuses where age was typically derived from respondent data on year of birth and quarter of birth.

These shortcomings were minimized in Census 2000 because age was usually calculated from exact date of birth and because respondents were specifically asked to provide their age as of April 1, 2000. (For more information on the design of the age question, see the section below that discusses “Comparability.”)



---

**Comparability.** Age data have been collected in every census. For the first time since 1950, the 1990 data were not available by quarter year of age. This change was made so that coded information could be obtained for both age and year of birth. In 2000, each individual has both an age and an exact date of birth. In each census since 1940, the age of a person was assigned when it was not reported. In censuses before 1940, with the exception of 1880, people of unknown age were shown as a separate category. Since 1960, assignment of unknown age has been performed by a general procedure described as “imputation.” The specific procedures for imputing age have been different in each census. (For more information on imputation, see “Accuracy of the Data.”)

## Group Quarters

All people not living in housing units are classified by the Census Bureau as living in group quarters. We recognize two general categories of people in group quarters: (1) institutionalized population and (2) noninstitutionalized population.

**Institutionalized population.** Includes people under formally authorized, supervised care or custody in institutions at the time of enumeration. Such people are classified as “patients or inmates” of an institution regardless of the availability of nursing or medical care, the length of stay, or the number of people in the institution. Generally, the institutionalized population is restricted to the institutional buildings and grounds (or must have passes or escorts to leave) and thus have limited interaction with the surrounding community. Also, they are generally under the care of trained staff who have responsibility for their safekeeping and supervision.

**Type of institution.** The type of institution was determined as part of census enumeration activities. For institutions that specialize in only one specific type of service, all patients or inmates were given the same classification. For institutions that had multiple types of major services (usually general hospitals and Veterans’ Administration hospitals), patients were classified according to selected types of wards. For example, in psychiatric wards of hospitals, patients were classified in “mental (psychiatric) hospitals”; in general hospital wards for people with chronic diseases, patients were classified in “other hospitals for the chronically ill.” Each patient or inmate was classified in only one type of institution. Institutions include the following types:

*Correctional institutions.* Includes prisons, federal detention centers, military disciplinary barracks and jails, police lockups, halfway houses used for correctional purposes, local jails, and other confinement facilities, including work farms.

*Prisons.* Where people convicted of crimes serve their sentences. In some census products, the prisons are classified by two types of control: (1) “federal” (operated by the Bureau of Prisons of the Department of Justice) and (2) “state.” In census products this category includes federal detention centers. Residents who are criminally insane were classified on the basis of where they resided at the time of enumeration: (1) in institutions (or hospital wards) operated by departments of correction or similar agencies, or (2) in institutions operated by departments of mental health or similar agencies.

*Federal detention centers.* Operated by the Immigration and Naturalization Service (INS) and the Bureau of Prisons. These facilities include: detention centers used by the Park Police; Bureau of Indian Affairs Detention Centers; INS Centers, such as the INS Federal Alien Detention Facility; INS Processing Centers; INS Contract Detention Centers used to detain aliens under exclusion or deportation proceedings, as well as those aliens who have not been placed into proceedings, such as custodial required departures; and INS Detention Centers operated within local jails, and state and federal prisons.

*Military disciplinary barracks and jails.* Operated by military police and used to hold people awaiting trial or convicted of violating military laws.

*Local jails and other confinement facilities.* Includes facilities operated by counties and cities that primarily hold people beyond arraignment, usually for more than 48 hours and police lockups operated by county and city police that hold people for 48 hours or less only if they

---

have not been formally charged in court. Also, includes work farms used to hold people awaiting trial or serving time on relatively short sentences and jails run by private businesses under contract for local governments (but not by state governments).

*Halfway houses.* Operated for correctional purposes and include probation and restitution centers, prerelease centers, and community-residential centers.

*Other types of correctional institutions.* Privately operated correctional facilities and correctional facilities specifically for alcohol or drug abuse.

**Nursing homes.** Comprises a heterogeneous group of places providing continuous nursing and other services to patients. The majority of patients are elderly, although people who require nursing care because of chronic physical conditions may be found in these homes regardless of their age. Included in this category are skilled-nursing facilities, intermediate-care facilities, long-term care rooms in wards or buildings on the grounds of hospitals, or long-term care rooms/nursing wings in congregate housing facilities. Also included are nursing, convalescent, and rest homes, such as soldiers', sailors', veterans', and fraternal or religious homes for the aged, with nursing care.

**Mental (psychiatric) hospitals.** Includes hospitals or wards for the criminally insane not operated by a prison and psychiatric wards of general hospitals and veterans' hospitals. Patients receive supervised medical/nursing care from formally trained staff.

**Hospitals or wards for chronically ill.** Includes hospitals for patients who require long-term care, including those in military hospitals and wards for the chronically ill located on military bases; or other hospitals or wards for the chronically ill, which include tuberculosis hospitals or wards; wards in general and Veterans' Administration hospitals for the chronically ill; neurological wards; hospices and homes for chronically ill patients; wards for patients with Hansen's Disease (leprosy) and other incurable diseases; and other unspecified wards for the chronically ill. Patients who had no usual home elsewhere were enumerated as part of the institutional population in the wards of general and military hospitals. Most hospital patients are at the hospital temporarily and were enumerated at their usual place of residence. In some census products, patients in hospitals or wards for the chronically ill are classified in three categories: (1) military hospitals or wards for chronically ill, (2) other hospitals or wards for chronically ill, and (3) hospices or homes for chronically ill.

**Schools, hospitals, or wards for the mentally retarded.** Includes those institutions, such as wards in hospitals for the mentally retarded and intermediate-care facilities for the mentally retarded that provide supervised medical/nursing care from formally trained staff.

**Schools, hospitals, or wards for the physically handicapped.** Includes three types of institutions: institutions for the blind, those for the deaf, and orthopedic wards and institutions for the physically handicapped. Institutions for people with speech problems are classified with "institutions for the deaf." The category "orthopedic wards and institutions for the physically handicapped" includes those institutions providing relatively long-term care to accident victims and to people with polio, cerebral palsy, and muscular dystrophy.

**Hospitals and wards for drug/alcohol abuse.** Includes hospitals and wards for drug/alcohol abuse. These facilities are equipped medically and designed for the diagnosis and treatment of medical or psychiatric illnesses associated with alcohol or drug abuse. Patients receive supervised medical care from formally trained staff.

**Wards in general hospitals for patients who have no usual home elsewhere.** Includes maternity, neonatal, pediatric (including wards for boarder babies), and surgical wards of hospitals and wards for people with infectious diseases. If not shown separately, this category includes wards in military hospitals for patients who have no usual home elsewhere.

**Wards in military hospitals for patients who have no usual home elsewhere.** (See above definition for "Wards in general hospitals for patients who have no usual home elsewhere.")

---

**Juvenile institutions.** Includes homes, schools, and other institutions providing care for children (short- or long-term care). Juvenile institutions include the following types:

*Homes for abused, dependent, and neglected children.* Includes orphanages and other institutions that provide long-term care (usually more than 30 days) for children.

*Residential treatment centers.* Includes those institutions that primarily serve children who, by clinical diagnosis, are moderately or seriously disturbed emotionally. Also, these institutions provide long-term treatment services, usually supervised or directed by a psychiatrist.

*Training schools for juvenile delinquents.* Includes residential training schools or homes and industrial schools, camps, or farms for juvenile delinquents.

*Public training schools for juvenile delinquents.* Usually operated by a state agency (for example, department of welfare, corrections, or a youth authority). Some are operated by county and city governments. These public training schools are specialized institutions serving delinquent children, generally between the ages of 10 and 17 years old, all of whom are committed by the courts.

*Private training schools.* Operated under private auspices. Some of the children they serve are committed by the courts as delinquents. Others are referred by parents or social agencies because of delinquent behavior. One difference between private and public training schools is that, by their administrative policy, private schools have control over their selection and intake.

*Detention centers.* Includes institutions providing short-term care (usually 30 days or less) primarily for delinquent children pending disposition of their cases by a court. This category also covers diagnostic centers. In practice, such institutions may be caring for both delinquent and neglected children pending court disposition.

**Noninstitutionalized population.** Includes people who live in group quarters other than institutions. Includes staff residing in military and nonmilitary group quarters on institutional grounds who provide formally authorized, supervised care or custody for the institutionalized population.

*Group homes.* Includes “community-based homes” that provide care and supportive services. Such places include homes for the mentally ill, mentally retarded, and physically handicapped; drug/alcohol halfway houses not operated for correctional purposes; communes; and maternity homes for unwed mothers.

*Homes for the mentally ill.* Includes community-based homes that provide care primarily for the mentally ill. Homes that combine treatment of the physically handicapped with treatment of the mentally ill are counted as homes for the mentally ill.

*Homes for the mentally retarded.* Includes community-based homes that provide care primarily for the mentally retarded. Homes that combine treatment of the physically handicapped with treatment of the mentally retarded are counted as homes for the mentally retarded.

*Homes for the physically handicapped.* Includes community-based homes for the blind, for the deaf, and other community-based homes for the physically handicapped. People with speech problems are classified with homes for the deaf. Homes that combine treatment of the physically handicapped with treatment of the mentally ill are counted as homes for the mentally ill. Homes that combine treatment of the physically handicapped with treatment of the mentally retarded are counted as homes for the mentally retarded.

*Homes or halfway houses for drug/alcohol abuse.* Includes people with no usual home elsewhere in places that provide community-based care and supportive services to people suffering from a drug/alcohol addiction and to recovering alcoholics and drug abusers. Places providing community-based care for drug and alcohol abusers include group homes, detoxification centers, quarterway houses (residential treatment facilities that work closely with accredited hospitals), halfway houses, and recovery homes for ambulatory, mentally competent recovering alcoholics and drug abusers who may be reentering the work force.

---

*Other group homes.* Includes people with no usual home elsewhere in communes, foster care homes, and maternity homes for unwed mothers. Most of these types of places provide communal living quarters, generally for people who have formed their own community in which they have common interests and often share or own property jointly. The maternity homes for unwed mothers provide domestic care for unwed mothers and their children. These homes may provide social services and postnatal care within the facility, or may make arrangements for women to receive such services in the community. Nursing services are usually available in the facility.

*Religious group quarters.* Includes, primarily, group quarters for nuns teaching in parochial schools and for priests living in rectories. It also includes other convents and monasteries, except those associated with a general hospital or an institution.

*College quarters off campus.* Includes university-owned off-campus housing, if the place is reserved exclusively for occupancy by college students who do not have their families living with them. In census products, people in this category are classified as living in a college dormitory.

*College dormitories.* Includes college students in dormitories (provided the dormitory is restricted to students who do not have their families living with them), fraternity and sorority houses, and on-campus residential quarters used exclusively for those in religious orders who are attending college. College dormitory housing includes university-owned, on-campus and off-campus housing for unmarried residents.

*Military quarters.* Includes military personnel living in barracks and dormitories on base, transient quarters on base for temporary residents (both civilian and military), and military ships. However, patients in military hospitals receiving treatment for chronic diseases or who had no usual home elsewhere, and people being held in military disciplinary barracks were included as part of the institutionalized population.

*Agriculture workers' dormitories.* Includes people in migratory farm workers' camps on farms, bunkhouses for ranch hands, and other dormitories on farms, such as those on "tree farms." (A tree farm is an area of forest land managed to ensure continuous commercial production.)

*Other workers' dormitories.* Includes people in logging camps, construction workers' camps, fire-house dormitories, job-training camps, energy enclaves (Alaska only), and nonfarm migratory workers' camps (for example, workers in mineral and mining camps).

*Dormitories for nurses and interns in general and military hospitals.* Includes group quarters for nurses and other staff members, excluding patients. If not shown separately, dormitories for nurses and interns in general and military hospitals are included in the category "Staff Residents of Institutions."

*Job corps and vocational training facilities.* Includes facilities that provide a full-time, year-round residential program offering a comprehensive array of training, education, and supportive services, including supervised dormitory housing, meals, and counseling for at-risk youth ages 16 through 24.

*Emergency and transitional shelters (with sleeping facilities).* Includes people without conventional housing who stayed overnight on March 27, 2000, in permanent and emergency housing, missions, Salvation Army shelters, transitional shelters, hotels and motels used to shelter people without conventional housing, and similar places known to have people without conventional housing staying overnight. Also included are shelters that operate on a first come, first-serve basis where people must leave in the morning and have no guaranteed bed for the next night OR where people know that they have a bed for a specified period of time (even if they leave the building every day). Shelters also include facilities that provide temporary shelter during extremely cold weather (such as churches). If shown, this category also includes shelters for children who are runaways, neglected, or without conventional housing.

---

*Shelters for children who are runaways, neglected, or without conventional housing.* Includes shelters/group homes that provide temporary sleeping facilities for juveniles. In census products, this category is included with emergency and transitional housing.

*Shelters for abused women (shelters against domestic violence or family crisis centers).* Includes community-based homes or shelters that provide domiciliary care for women who have sought shelter from family violence and who may have been physically abused. Most shelters also provide care for children of abused women. These shelters may provide social services, meals, psychiatric treatment, and counseling. In census products, this category is included with “other non-institutional group quarters.”

*Soup kitchens.* Includes soup kitchens, food lines, and programs distributing prepared breakfasts, lunches, or dinners on March 28, 2000. These programs may be organized as food service lines, bag or box lunches, or tables where people are seated, then served by program personnel. These programs may or may not have a place for clients to sit and eat the meal. In census products, this category is included with “other noninstitutional group quarters.” This category excludes regularly scheduled mobile food vans.

*Regularly scheduled mobile food vans.* Includes mobile food vans that are regularly scheduled to visit designated street locations for the primary purpose of providing food to people without conventional housing. In census products, this category is included with “other noninstitutional group quarters.”

*Targeted nonsheltered outdoor locations.* Includes geographically identifiable outdoor locations open to the elements where there is evidence that people who do not usually receive services at soup kitchens, shelters, and mobile food vans lived on March 29, 2000, without paying to stay there. Sites must have a specific location description that allowed a census enumeration team to physically locate the site; for example, “the Brooklyn Bridge at the corner of Bristol Drive” or “the 700 block of Taylor Street behind the old warehouse.” Excludes pay-for-use campgrounds; drop-in centers; post offices; hospital emergency rooms; and commercial sites, including all-night theaters and all-night diners. In census products, this category is included with “other noninstitutional group quarters.”

*Crews of maritime vessels.* Includes officers, crew members, and passengers of maritime U.S. flag vessels. All ocean-going and Great Lakes ships are included.

*Residential facilities providing “protective oversight.”* Includes facilities providing assistance to people with disabilities.

*Staff residents of institutions.* Includes staff residing in military and nonmilitary group quarters on institutional grounds who provide formally authorized, supervised care or custody for the institutionalized population.

*Other nonhousehold living situations.* Includes people with no usual home elsewhere enumerated at locations, such as YMCAs, YWCAs, and hostels. People enumerated at those places that did not have a usual home elsewhere are included in this category.

*Living quarters for victims of natural disasters.* Includes living quarters for people temporarily displaced by natural disasters.

**Comparability.** For Census 2000, the definition of the institutionalized population was consistent with the definition used in the 1990 census. As in 1990, the definition of “care” only includes people under organized medical or formally authorized, supervised care or custody.

In Census 2000, the 1990 and 1980 rule of classifying ten or more unrelated people living together as living in noninstitutional group quarters was dropped. In 1970, the criteria was six or more unrelated people.

---

Several changes have occurred in the tabulation of specific types of group quarters. In Census 2000, police lockups were included with local jails and other confinement facilities, and homes for unwed mothers were included in "Other group homes"; in 1990, these categories were shown separately. For the first time, Census 2000 tabulates separately the following types of group quarters: military hospitals or wards for the chronically ill, other hospitals or wards for the chronically ill, hospices or homes for the chronically ill, wards in military hospitals with patients who have no usual home elsewhere, wards in general hospitals with patients who have no usual home elsewhere, and job corps and vocational training facilities. For Census 2000, rooming and boarding houses were classified as housing units rather than group quarters as in 1990.

As in 1990, workers' dormitories were classified as group quarters regardless of the number of people sharing the dormitory. In 1980, ten or more unrelated people had to share the dorm for it to be classified as a group quarters. In 1960, data on people in military barracks were shown only for men. In subsequent censuses, they include both men and women.

The phrase "institutionalized persons" in 1990 data products was changed to "institutionalized population" for Census 2000. In 1990, the Census Bureau used the phrase "other persons in group quarters" for people living in noninstitutional group quarters. In 2000, this group is referred to as the "noninstitutionalized population." The phrase "staff residents" was used for staff living in institutions in both 1990 and 2000.

In Census 2000, the category "emergency and transitional shelters" includes emergency shelters, transitional shelters, and shelters for children who are runaways, neglected, or without conventional housing. Those people tabulated at shelters for abused women, soup kitchens, regularly scheduled mobile food vans, and targeted nonsheltered outdoor locations were included in the category "other noninstitutional group quarters." Each of these categories were enumerated from March 27-29, 2000, during Service-Based Enumeration. (For more information on the "Service-Based Enumeration" operation, see "Collection and Processing Procedures.")

### **Hispanic or Latino**

The data on the Hispanic or Latino population were derived from answers to a question that was asked of all people. The terms "Spanish," "Hispanic origin," and "Latino" are used interchangeably. Some respondents identify with all three terms while others may identify with only one of these three specific terms. Hispanics or Latinos who identify with the terms "Spanish," "Hispanic," or "Latino" are those who classify themselves in one of the specific Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino categories listed on the questionnaire ("Mexican," "Puerto Rican," or "Cuban") as well as those who indicate that they are "other Spanish/Hispanic/Latino." People who do not identify with one of the specific origins listed on the questionnaire but indicate that they are "other Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino" are those whose origins are from Spain, the Spanish-speaking countries of Central or South America, the Dominican Republic, or people identifying themselves generally as Spanish, Spanish-American, Hispanic, Hispano, Latino, and so on. All write-in responses to the "other Spanish/Hispanic/Latino" category were coded.

Origin can be viewed as the heritage, nationality group, lineage, or country of birth of the person or the person's parents or ancestors before their arrival in the United States. People who identify their origin as Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino may be of any race.

Some tabulations are shown by the origin of the householder. In all cases where the origin of households, families, or occupied housing units is classified as Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino, the origin of the householder is used. (See the discussion of householder under "Household Type and Relationship.")

If an individual could not provide a Hispanic origin response, their origin was assigned using specific rules of precedence of household relationship. For example, if origin was missing for a natural-born daughter in the household, then either the origin of the householder, another natural-born child, or spouse of the householder was assigned. If Hispanic origin was not reported for anyone in the household, the Hispanic origin of a householder in a previously processed household with the same race was assigned. This procedure is a variation of the general imputation procedures described in "Accuracy of the Data" and is similar to those used in 1990, except for Census 2000 race and Spanish surnames were used to assist in assigning an origin (see the "Comparability" section below also).



---

**Comparability.** There are two important changes to the Hispanic origin question for Census 2000. First, the sequence of the race and Hispanic origin questions for Census 2000 differs from that in 1990; in 1990, the race question preceded the Hispanic origin question. Testing prior to Census 2000 indicated that response to the Hispanic origin question could be improved by placing it before the race question without affecting the response to the race question. Second, there is an instruction preceding the Hispanic origin question indicating that respondents should answer both the Hispanic origin and the race questions. This instruction was added to give emphasis to the distinct concepts of the Hispanic origin and race questions, and to emphasize the need for both pieces of information.

Furthermore, there has been a change in the processing of the Hispanic origin and race responses. In the 1990 census, respondents provided Hispanic origin responses in the race question and race responses in the Hispanic origin question. In 1990, the Hispanic origin question and the race question had separate edits; therefore, although information may have been present on the questionnaire, it was not fully utilized due to the discrete nature of the edits. However, for Census 2000 there is a joint race and Hispanic origin edit, which can utilize Hispanic origin and race information that was reported in the inappropriate question.

## **Household Type and Relationship**

### ***Household***

A household includes all of the people who occupy a housing unit. A housing unit is a house, an apartment, a mobile home, a group of rooms, or a single room occupied (or if vacant, intended for occupancy) as separate living quarters. Separate living quarters are those in which the occupants live separately from any other people in the building and that have direct access from the outside of the building or through a common hall. The occupants may be a single family, one person living alone, two or more families living together, or any other group of related or unrelated people who share living quarters.

In 100-percent tabulations, the count of households or householders always equals the count of occupied housing units. In sample tabulations, the numbers may differ as a result of the weighting process.

**Average household size.** A measure obtained by dividing the number of people in households by the number of households (or householders). In cases where household members are tabulated by race or Hispanic origin, household members are classified by the race or Hispanic origin of the householder rather than the race or Hispanic origin of each individual.

### ***Relationship to Householder***

The phrase “Coverage Improvement Adjustment” was included in the table outlines and the technical documentation before the review, analysis, and recommendation on whether to adjust Census 2000 data for coverage improvement was completed. As the data are not adjusted, a zero (0) will appear. This phrase does not refer to any other outreach or collection operations that were introduced to improve coverage in Census 2000.

**Householder.** The data on relationship to householder were derived from the question “How is this person related to Person 1,” which was asked of Persons 2 and higher in housing units. One person in each household is designated as the householder (Person 1). In most cases, this is the person, or one of the people, in whose name the home is owned, being bought, or rented. If there is no such person in the household, any household member 15 years old or over could be designated as the householder (that is, Person 1).

Households are classified by type according to the sex of the householder and the presence of relatives. Two types of householders are distinguished: family householders and nonfamily householders. A family householder is a householder living with one or more people related to him or her by birth, marriage, or adoption. The householder and all of the people in the household related to him or her are family members. A nonfamily householder is a householder living alone or with nonrelatives only.

---

**Spouse (husband/wife).** Includes a person married to and living with a householder. This category includes people in formal marriages, as well as people in common-law marriages. The number of spouses is equal to the number of “married-couple families” or “married-couple households” in 100-percent tabulations. Marital status categories cannot be inferred from the 100-percent tabulations since the marital status item was not included on the 100-percent form.

**Child.** Includes a son or daughter by birth, a stepchild, or an adopted child of the householder, regardless of the child’s age or marital status. The category excludes sons-in-law, daughters-in-law, and foster children.

*Natural-born son/daughter.* A son or daughter of the householder by birth, regardless of the age of the child.

*Adopted son/daughter.* A son or daughter of the householder by legal adoption, regardless of the age of the child. If the stepson/stepdaughter of the householder has been legally adopted by the householder, the child is then classified as an adopted child.

*Stepson/stepdaughter.* A son or daughter of the householder through marriage but not by birth, regardless of the age of the child. If the stepson/stepdaughter of the householder has been legally adopted by the householder, the child is then classified as an adopted child.

*Own child.* A child under 18 years old who is a son or daughter by birth, marriage (a stepchild), or adoption. In certain tabulations, own children are further classified as living with two parents or with one parent only. For 100-percent tabulations, own children consist of all sons/daughters of householders who are under 18 years of age. For sample data, own children consist of sons/daughters of householders who are under 18 years of age and who have never been married, therefore, numbers of own children of householders may be different in these two tabulations.

“Related children” in a family include own children and all other people under 18 years of age in the household, who are related to the householder, except the spouse of the householder. Foster children are not included since they are not related to the householder.

**Other relatives.** Includes any household member related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption, but not included specifically in another relationship category. In certain detailed tabulations, the following categories may be shown:

*Grandchild.* The grandson or granddaughter of the householder.

*Brother/sister.* The brother or sister of the householder, including stepbrothers, stepsisters, and brothers and sisters by adoption. Brothers-in-law and sisters-in-law are included in the “Other relative” category on the questionnaire.

*Parent.* The father or mother of the householder, including a stepparent or adoptive parent. Fathers-in-law and mothers-in-law are included in the “Parent-in-law” category on the questionnaire.

*Parent-in-law.* Includes the mother-in-law or father-in-law of the householder.

*Son-in-law/daughter-in-law.* By definition, these are spouses of the children of the householder.

*Other relatives.* Anyone not listed in a reported category above who is related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption (brother-in-law, grandparent, nephew, aunt, cousin, and so forth).

**Nonrelatives.** Includes any household member who is not related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption, including foster children. The following categories may be presented in more detailed tabulations:



---

*Roomer, boarder.* Includes roomers or boarders, who live in a room in the household of Person 1 (householder). Some sort of cash or noncash payment (e.g., chores) is usually made for their living accommodations.

*Housemate or roommate.* A person who is not related to the householder and who shares living quarters primarily to share expenses.

*Unmarried partner.* A person who is not related to the householder, who shares living quarters, and who has a close personal relationship with the householder.

*Foster child.* Foster children are people under 18 placed by the local government in a household to receive parental care. They may be living in the household for just a brief period or for several years. Foster children are nonrelatives of the householder. If the foster child is also related to the householder, the child should be classified as that specific relative.

*Other nonrelatives.* A person who is not related by birth, marriage, or adoption to the householder and who is not described by the categories given above.

When relationship is not reported for an individual, it is imputed according to the responses for the age and sex for that person while maintaining consistency with responses for other individuals in the household.

### **Unrelated Individual**

An unrelated individual is: (1) a householder living alone or with nonrelatives only, (2) a household member who is not related to the householder, or (3) a person living in group quarters who is not an inmate of an institution.

### **Family Type**

A family includes a householder and one or more other people living in the same household who are related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption. All people in a household who are related to the householder are regarded as members of his or her family. A household can contain only one family for purposes of census tabulations. Not all households contain families since a household may be a group of unrelated people or one person living alone.

Families are classified by type as either a “married-couple family” or an “other family” according to the presence of a spouse. “Other family” is further broken out according to the sex of the householder. The data on family type are based on answers to questions on sex and relationship that were asked on a 100-percent basis.

**Married-couple family.** A family in which the householder and his or her spouse are enumerated as members of the same household.

#### **Other family**

*Male householder, no wife present.* A family with a male householder and no spouse of the householder present.

*Female householder, no husband present.* A family with a female householder and no spouse of the householder present.

*Nonfamily household.* A householder living alone or with nonrelatives only.

**Average family size.** A measure obtained by dividing the number of people in families by the total number of families (or family householders). In cases where this measure is tabulated by race or Hispanic origin, the race or Hispanic origin refers to that of the householder rather than to the race or Hispanic origin of each individual.

---

## Unmarried-Partner Household

An unmarried-partner household is a household other than a “married-couple household” that includes a householder and an “unmarried partner.” An “unmarried partner” can be of the same sex or of the opposite sex of the householder. An “unmarried partner” in an “unmarried-partner household” is an adult who is unrelated to the householder, but shares living quarters and has a close personal relationship with the householder.

**Comparability.** The 1990 relationship category “Natural-born or adopted son/daughter” has been replaced by “Natural-born son/daughter” and “Adopted son/daughter.” The following categories have been added: “Parent-in-law” and “Son-in-law/daughter-in-law.” The 1990 nonrelative category, “Roomer, boarder, foster child” has been replaced by two categories, “Roomer, boarder” and “Foster child.” In 2000, foster children had to be in the local governments’ foster care system to be so classified. In 1990, foster children were estimated to be those children in households who were not related to the householder nor who had any people over 18 who may have been their parents. In 1990, stepchildren who were adopted by the householder were still classified as stepchildren. In 2000, stepchildren who were legally adopted by the householder were classified as adopted children.

## Race

The data on race were derived from answers to the question on race that was asked of all people. The concept of race, as used by the Census Bureau, reflects self-identification by people according to the race or races with which they most closely identify. These categories are socio-political constructs and should not be interpreted as being scientific or anthropological in nature. Furthermore, the race categories include both racial and national-origin groups.

The racial classifications used by the Census Bureau adhere to the October 30, 1997, Federal Register Notice entitled, “Revisions to the Standards for the Classification of Federal Data on Race and Ethnicity” issued by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB). These standards govern the categories used to collect and present federal data on race and ethnicity. The OMB requires five minimum categories (White, Black or African American, American Indian or Alaska Native, Asian, and Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander) for race. The race categories are described below with a sixth category, “Some other race,” added with OMB approval. In addition to the five race groups, the OMB also states that respondents should be offered the option of selecting one or more races.

If an individual did not provide a race response, the race or races of the householder or other household members were assigned using specific rules of precedence of household relationship. For example, if race was missing for a natural-born child in the household, then either the race or races of the householder, another natural-born child, or the spouse of the householder were assigned. If race was not reported for anyone in the household, the race or races of a householder in a previously processed household were assigned. This procedure is a variation of the general imputation procedures described in “Accuracy of the Data.”

**White.** A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Europe, the Middle East, or North Africa. It includes people who indicate their race as “White” or report entries, such as Irish, German, Italian, Lebanese, Near Easterner, Arab, or Polish.

**Black or African American.** A person having origins in any of the Black racial groups of Africa. It includes people who indicate their race as “Black, African Am., or Negro,” or provide written entries, such as African American, Afro American, Kenyan, Nigerian, or Haitian.

**American Indian or Alaska Native.** A person having origins in any of the original peoples of North and South America (including Central America) and who maintain tribal affiliation or community attachment. It includes people who classified themselves as described below.

*American Indian.* Includes people who indicated their race as “American Indian,” entered the name of an Indian tribe, or reported such entries as Canadian Indian, French American Indian, or Spanish-American Indian.

---

*American Indian tribe.* Respondents who identified themselves as American Indian were asked to report their enrolled or principal tribe. Therefore, tribal data in tabulations reflect the written entries reported on the questionnaires. Some of the entries (for example, Iroquois, Sioux, Colorado River, and Flathead) represent nations or reservations. The information on tribe is based on self identification and therefore does not reflect any designation of federally or state-recognized tribe. Information on American Indian tribes is presented in summary files. The information for Census 2000 is derived from the American Indian Tribal Classification List for the 1990 census that was updated based on a December 1997 Federal Register Notice, entitled "Indian Entities Recognized and Eligible to Receive Service From the United States Bureau of Indian Affairs," Department of the Interior, Bureau of Indian Affairs, issued by the Office of Management and Budget.

*Alaska Native.* Includes written responses of Eskimos, Aleuts, and Alaska Indians as well as entries such as Arctic Slope, Inupiat, Yupik, Alutiiq, Egegik, and Pribilovian. The Alaska tribes are the Alaskan Athabascan, Tlingit, and Haida. The information for Census 2000 is based on the American Indian Tribal Classification List for the 1990 census, which was expanded to list the individual Alaska Native Villages when provided as a written response for race.

**Asian.** A person having origins in any of the original peoples of the Far East, Southeast Asia, or the Indian subcontinent including, for example, Cambodia, China, India, Japan, Korea, Malaysia, Pakistan, the Philippine Islands, Thailand, and Vietnam. It includes "Asian Indian," "Chinese," "Filipino," "Korean," "Japanese," "Vietnamese," and "Other Asian."

*Asian Indian.* Includes people who indicated their race as "Asian Indian" or identified themselves as Bengalese, Bharat, Dravidian, East Indian, or Goanese.

*Chinese.* Includes people who indicate their race as "Chinese" or who identify themselves as Cantonese, or Chinese American. In some census tabulations, written entries of Taiwanese are included with Chinese while in others they are shown separately.

*Filipino.* Includes people who indicate their race as "Filipino" or who report entries such as Filipino, Philippine, or Filipino American.

*Japanese.* Includes people who indicate their race as "Japanese" or who report entries such as Nipponese or Japanese American.

*Korean.* Includes people who indicate their race as "Korean" or who provide a response of Korean American.

*Vietnamese.* Includes people who indicate their race as "Vietnamese" or who provide a response of Vietnamese American.

*Cambodian.* Includes people who provide a response such as Cambodian or Cambodia.

*Hmong.* Includes people who provide a response such as Hmong, Laohmong, or Mong.

*Laotian.* Includes people who provide a response such as Laotian, Laos, or Lao.

*Thai.* Includes people who provide a response such as Thai, Thailand, or Siamese.

*Other Asian.* Includes people who provide a response of Bangladeshi; Bhutanese; Burmese; Indochinese; Indonesian; Iwo Jiman; Madagascar; Malaysian; Maldivian; Nepalese; Okinawan; Pakistani; Singaporean; Sri Lankan; or Other Asian, specified and Other Asian, not specified.

**Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander.** A person having origins in any of the original peoples of Hawaii, Guam, Samoa, or other Pacific Islands. It includes people who indicate their race as "Native Hawaiian," "Guamanian or Chamorro," "Samoan," and "Other Pacific Islander."

*Native Hawaiian.* Includes people who indicate their race as "Native Hawaiian" or who identify themselves as "Part Hawaiian" or "Hawaiian."

---

*Guamanian or Chamorro.* Includes people who indicate their race as such, including written entries of Chamorro or Guam.

*Samoan.* Includes people who indicate their race as “Samoan” or who identify themselves as American Samoan or Western Samoan.

*Other Pacific Islander.* Includes people who provide a write-in response of a Pacific Islander group, such as Carolinian, Chuukese (Trukese), Fijian, Kosraean, Melanesian, Micronesian, Northern Mariana Islander, Palauan, Papua New Guinean, Pohnpeian, Polynesian, Solomon Islander, Tahitian, Tokelauan, Tongan, Yapese, or Pacific Islander, not specified.

**Some other race.** Includes all other responses not included in the “White,” “Black or African American,” “American Indian or Alaska Native,” “Asian,” and “Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander” race categories described above. Respondents providing write-in entries such as multi-racial, mixed, interracial, or a Hispanic/Latino group (for example, Mexican, Puerto Rican, or Cuban) in the “Some other race” write-in space are included in this category.

**Two or more races.** People may have chosen to provide two or more races either by checking two or more race response check boxes, by providing multiple write-in responses, or by some combination of check boxes and write-in responses. The race response categories shown on the questionnaire are collapsed into the five minimum race groups identified by the OMB, and the Census Bureau “Some other race” category. For data product purposes, “Two or more races” refers to combinations of two or more of the following race categories:

1. White
2. Black or African American
3. American Indian and Alaska Native
4. Asian
5. Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander
6. Some other race

There are 57 possible combinations (see Figure B-1) involving the race categories shown above. Thus, according to this approach, a response of “White” and “Asian” was tallied as two or more races, while a response of “Japanese” and “Chinese” was not because “Japanese” and “Chinese” are both Asian responses. Tabulations of responses involving reporting of two or more races within the American Indian and Alaska Native, Asian, or Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander categories are available in other data products.

Given the many possible ways of displaying data on two or more races, data products will provide varying levels of detail. The most common presentation shows a single line indicating “Two or more races.” Some data products provide totals of all 57 possible combinations of two or more races, as well as subtotals of people reporting a specific number of races, such as people reporting two races, people reporting three races, and so on.

In other presentations on race, data are shown for the total number of people who reported one of the six categories alone or in combination with one or more other race categories. For example, the category “Asian alone or in combination with one or more other races” includes people who reported Asian alone and people who reported Asian in combination with White, Black or African American, Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander, and Some other race. This number, therefore, represents the maximum number of people who reported as Asian in the question on race. When this data presentation is used, the individual race categories will add to more than the total population because people may be included in more than one category.

**Coding of write-in entries.** During 100-percent processing of Census 2000 questionnaires, subject-matter specialists reviewed and coded written entries from four response categories on the race item American Indian or Alaska Native, Other Asian, Other Pacific Islander, and Some other race. The Other Asian and Other Pacific Islander response categories shared the same write-in area on the questionnaire.

---

**Comparability.** The data on race in Census 2000 are not directly comparable to those collected in previous censuses. The October 1997 revised standards issued by the OMB led to changes in the question on race for Census 2000. The Census 2000 Dress Rehearsal data were the first to reflect these changes. First, respondents were allowed to select more than one category for race. Second, the sequence of the questions on race and Hispanic origin changed. In 1990, the question on race (Item 4) preceded the question on Hispanic origin (Item 7) with two intervening questions. For Census 2000, the question on race immediately follows the question on Hispanic origin. Third, there were terminology changes to the response categories, such as spelling out “American” instead of “Amer.” for the American Indian or Alaska Native category; and adding “Native” to the Hawaiian response category. The 1990 category “Other race” was renamed “Some other race.”

Other differences that may affect comparability involve the individual categories on the Census 2000 questionnaire. The 1990 category, “Asian and Pacific Islander” was separated into two categories, “Asian” and “Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander.” Accordingly, on the Census 2000 questionnaire, there were seven Asian categories and four Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander categories. The two residual categories, “Other Asian” and “Other Pacific Islander,” replaced the 1990 single category “Other API.” The 1990 categories “American Indian,” “Eskimo,” and “Aleut” were combined into “American Indian and Alaska Native.” American Indians and Alaska Natives can report one or more tribes.

As in 1990, people who reported a Hispanic or Latino ethnicity in the question on race and did not mark a specific race category were classified in the “Some other race” category (“Other race” in 1990). They commonly provided a write-in entry such as Mexican, Puerto Rican, or Latino. In the 1970 census, most of these responses were included in the “White” category. In addition, some ethnic entries that in 1990 may have been coded as White or Black are now shown in the “Some other race” group.

For Puerto Rico, separate questions on race and Hispanic origin were included on their Census 2000 questionnaire, identical to the questions used in the United States. The 1950 census was the last census to include these questions on the Puerto Rico questionnaire.

Census 2000 included an automated review, computer edit, and coding operation on a 100-percent basis for the write-in responses to the race question, similar to that used in the 1990 census. Write-in responses such as Laotian or Thai, and Guamanian or Tongan were reviewed, coded, and tabulated as “Other Asian” and “Other Pacific Islander,” respectively, in the census. All tribal entries were coded as either American Indian or as Alaska Native.

**Figure B-1. Two or More Races (57 Possible Specified Combinations)**

1. White; Black or African American
2. White; American Indian and Alaska Native
3. White; Asian
4. White; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander
5. White; Some other race
6. Black; American Indian and Alaska Native
7. Black; Asian
8. Black; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander
9. Black; Some other race
10. American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian
11. American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander
12. American Indian and Alaska Native; Some other race
13. Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander
14. Asian; Some other race
15. Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some other race
16. White; Black; American Indian and Alaska Native
17. White; Black; Asian
18. White; Black; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander

---

Figure B-1. **Two or More Races (57 Possible Specified Combinations)**—Con.

19. White; Black; Some other race
20. White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian
21. White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander
22. White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Some other race
23. White; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander
24. White; Asian; Some other race
25. White; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some other race
26. Black; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian
27. Black; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander
28. Black; American Indian and Alaska Native; Some other race
29. Black; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander
30. Black; Asian; Some other race
31. Black; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some other race
32. American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander
33. American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Some other race
34. American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some other race
35. Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some other race
36. White; Black; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian
37. White; Black; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander
38. White; Black; American Indian and Alaska Native; Some other race
39. White; Black; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander
40. White; Black; Asian; Some other race
41. White; Black; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some other race
42. White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander
43. White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Some other race
44. White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some other race
45. White; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some other race
46. Black; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander
47. Black; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Some other race
48. Black; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some other race
49. Black; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some other race
50. American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some other race
51. White; Black; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander
52. White; Black; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Some other race
53. White; Black; American Indian and Alaska Native; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some other race
54. White; Black; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some other race
55. White; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some other race
56. Black; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some other race
57. White; Black; American Indian and Alaska Native; Asian; Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander; Some other race

---

## Sex

The data on sex were derived from answers to a question that was asked of all people. Individuals were asked to mark either “male” or “female” to indicate their sex. For most cases in which sex was not reported, it was determined by the appropriate entry from the person’s given (i.e., first) name and household relationship. Otherwise, sex was imputed according to the relationship to the householder and the age of the person. (For more information on imputation, see “Accuracy of the Data.”)

**Sex ratio.** A measure derived by dividing the total number of males by the total number of females and multiplying by 100.

**Comparability.** A question on the sex of individuals has been asked of the total population in every census.

## HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS

### Living Quarters

Living quarters are either housing units or group quarters. (For more information, see the discussion of “Group Quarters” under “Population Characteristics.”) Living quarters are usually found in structures intended for residential use, but also may be found in structures intended for nonresidential use as well as in places such as tents, vans, emergency and transition shelters, dormitories, and barracks.

**Housing unit.** A housing unit may be a house, an apartment, a mobile home, a group of rooms, or a single room that is occupied (or, if vacant, is intended for occupancy) as separate living quarters. Separate living quarters are those in which the occupants live separately from any other individuals in the building and that have direct access from outside the building or through a common hall. For vacant units, the criteria of separateness and direct access are applied to the intended occupants whenever possible. If that information cannot be obtained, the criteria are applied to the previous occupants.

Both occupied and vacant housing units are included in the housing unit inventory. Boats, recreational vehicles (RVs), vans, tents, and the like are housing units only if they are occupied as someone’s usual place of residence. Vacant mobile homes are included provided they are intended for occupancy on the site where they stand. Vacant mobile homes on dealers’ lots, at the factory, or in storage yards are excluded from the housing inventory. Also excluded from the housing inventory are quarters being used entirely for nonresidential purposes, such as a store or an office, or quarters used for the storage of business supplies or inventory, machinery, or agricultural products.

**Occupied housing unit.** A housing unit is occupied if it is the usual place of residence of the person or group of people living in it at the time of enumeration or if the occupants are only temporarily absent; that is, away on vacation or business. The occupants may be a single family, one person living alone, two or more families living together, or any other group of related or unrelated people who share living quarters.

Occupied rooms or suites of rooms in hotels, motels, and similar places are classified as housing units only when occupied by permanent residents; that is, people who consider the hotel as their usual place of residence or who have no usual place of residence elsewhere.

If any of the occupants in rooming or boarding houses, congregate housing, or continuing care facilities live separately from others in the building and have direct access, their quarters are classified as separate housing units.

The living quarters occupied by staff personnel within any group quarters are separate housing units if they satisfy the housing unit criteria of separateness and direct access; otherwise, they are considered group quarters.



---

**Vacant housing unit.** A housing unit is vacant if no one is living in it at the time of enumeration, unless its occupants are only temporarily absent. Units temporarily occupied at the time of enumeration entirely by people who have a usual residence elsewhere are classified as vacant.

New units not yet occupied are classified as vacant housing units if construction has reached a point where all exterior windows and doors are installed and final usable floors are in place.

Vacant units are excluded from the housing inventory if they are open to the elements; that is, the roof, walls, windows, and/or doors no longer protect the interior from the elements. Also excluded are vacant units with a sign that they are condemned or they are to be demolished.

**Comparability.** The first Census of Housing in 1940 established the “dwelling unit” concept. Although the term became “housing unit” and the definition was modified slightly in succeeding censuses, the housing unit definition remained essentially comparable between 1940 and 1990. Since 1990, two changes were made to the housing unit definition.

The first change eliminated the concept of “eating separately.” The elimination of the eating criterion is more in keeping with the United Nations’ definition of a housing unit that stresses the entire concept of separateness rather than the specific “eating” element. Although we previously included the “eating separately” criterion in the definition of a housing unit, data were not collected that allowed us to distinguish whether the occupants ate separately from any other people in the building. (Questions that asked households about their eating arrangements have not been included in the census after 1970.) Therefore, the current definition better reflects the information that is used in the determination of a housing unit.

The second change for Census 2000 eliminated the “number of nonrelatives” criterion; that is, “9 or more people unrelated to the householder” which caused a conversion of housing units to group quarters. This change was prompted by the following considerations: (1) there were relatively few such conversions made as a result of this rule in 1990; (2) household relationship and housing data were lost by converting these units to group quarters; and (3) there was no empirical support for establishing a particular number of nonrelatives as a threshold for these conversions.

In 1960, 1970, and 1980, vacant rooms in hotels, motels, and other similar places where 75 percent or more of the accommodations were occupied by permanent residents were counted as part of the housing inventory. We intended to classify these vacant units as housing units in the 1990 census. However, an evaluation of the data collection procedures prior to the 1990 census indicated that the concept of permanency was a difficult and confusing procedure for enumerators to apply correctly. Consequently, in the 1990 census, vacant rooms in hotels, motels, and similar places were not counted as housing units. In Census 2000, we continued the procedure adopted in 1990.

### **Average Household Size of Owner-Occupied Unit**

A measure obtained by dividing the number of people living in owner-occupied housing units by the number of owner-occupied housing units.

### **Average Household Size of Renter-Occupied Unit**

A measure obtained by dividing the number of people living in renter-occupied housing units by the number of renter-occupied housing units.

### **Tenure**

Tenure was asked at all occupied housing units. All occupied housing units are classified as either owner occupied or renter occupied.

**Owner occupied.** A housing unit is owner occupied if the owner or co-owner lives in the unit even if it is mortgaged or not fully paid for. The owner or co-owner must live in the unit and usually is Person 1 on the questionnaire. The unit is “Owned by you or someone in this household



---

with a mortgage or loan” if it is being purchased with a mortgage or some other debt arrangement, such as a deed of trust, trust deed, contract to purchase, land contract, or purchase agreement. The unit is also considered owned with a mortgage if it is built on leased land and there is a mortgage on the unit.

A housing unit is “Owned by you or someone in this household free and clear (without a mortgage or loan)” if there is no mortgage or other similar debt on the house, apartment, or mobile home including units built on leased land if the unit is owned outright without a mortgage. Although owner-occupied units are divided between mortgaged and owned free and clear on the questionnaire, census data products containing 100-percent data show only total owner-occupied counts. More extensive mortgage information is collected on the long-form questionnaire.

**Renter occupied.** All occupied housing units that are not owner occupied, whether they are rented for cash rent or occupied without payment of cash rent, are classified as renter occupied. “No cash rent” units are separately identified in the rent tabulations. Such units are generally provided free by friends or relatives or in exchange for services, such as resident manager, caretaker, minister, or tenant farmer. Housing units on military bases also are classified in the “No cash rent” category. “Rented for cash rent” includes units in continuing care, sometimes called life care arrangements. These arrangements usually involve a contract between one or more individuals and a service provider guaranteeing the individual shelter, usually a house or apartment, and services, such as meals or transportation to shopping or recreation.

**Comparability.** Data on tenure have been collected since 1890. In 1990, the response categories were expanded to allow the respondent to report whether the unit was owned with a mortgage or loan, or free and clear (without a mortgage). The distinction between units owned with a mortgage and units owned free and clear was added in 1990 to improve the count of owner-occupied units. Research after the 1980 census indicated some respondents did not consider their units owned if they had a mortgage. In Census 2000, we continued with the same tenure categories used in the 1990 census.

### **Vacancy Status**

The data on vacancy status were obtained from Enumerator Questionnaire, Item C. Vacancy status and other characteristics of vacant units were determined by census enumerators obtaining information from landlords, owners, neighbors, rental agents, and others. Vacant units are subdivided according to their housing market classification as follows:

**For rent.** These are vacant units offered “for rent” and vacant units offered either “for rent or for sale.”

**For sale only.** These are vacant units offered “for sale only,” including units in cooperatives and condominium projects if the individual units are offered “for sale only.”

**Rented or sold, not occupied.** If any money rent has been paid or agreed upon but the new renter has not moved in as of the date of enumeration, or if the unit has recently been sold but the new owner has not yet moved in, the vacant unit is classified as “rented or sold, not occupied.”

**For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use.** These are vacant units used or intended for use only in certain seasons, for weekends, or other occasional use throughout the year. Seasonal units include those used for summer or winter sports or recreation, such as beach cottages and hunting cabins. Seasonal units also may include quarters for such workers as herders and loggers. Interval ownership units, sometimes called shared-ownership or time-sharing condominiums, also are included in this category.

**For migrant workers.** These include vacant units intended for occupancy by migratory workers employed in farm work during the crop season. (Work in a cannery, a freezer plant, or a food-processing plant is not farm work.)

---

**Other vacant.** If a vacant unit does not fall into any of the classifications specified above, it is classified as “other vacant.” For example, this category includes units held for occupancy by a caretaker or janitor, and units held for personal reasons of the owner.

**Available housing.** Available housing units are vacant units that are for sale only or for rent.

**Available housing vacancy rate.** The proportion of the housing inventory that is available for sale only or for rent. It is computed by dividing the number of available units by the sum of the occupied units and the number of available units, and then multiplying by 100.

**Homeowner vacancy rate.** The proportion of the homeowner housing inventory that is vacant for sale. It is computed by dividing the number of vacant units for sale only by the sum of the owner-occupied units and vacant units that are for sale only, and then multiplying by 100.

**Rental vacancy rate.** The proportion of the rental inventory that is vacant for rent. It is computed by dividing the number of vacant units for rent by the sum of the renter-occupied units and the number of vacant units for rent, and then multiplying by 100.

**Comparability.** Data on vacancy status have been collected since 1940. Since 1990, we have used the category “For seasonal, recreational, or occasional use.” In earlier censuses, separate categories were used to collect data on these types of vacant units. Also, in 1970 and 1980, housing characteristics generally were presented only for year-round units. Beginning in 1990 and continuing into Census 2000, housing characteristics are shown for all housing units.

## DERIVED MEASURES

Census data products include various derived measures, such as medians, means, and percentages, as well as certain rates and ratios. Derived measures that round to less than 0.1 are not shown but indicated as zero.

### Average

See Mean.

### Interpolation

Interpolation frequently is used in calculating medians based on interval data and in approximating standard errors from tables. Linear interpolation is used to estimate values of a function between two known values. This is the form of interpolation used to calculate median age.

### Mean

This measure represents an arithmetic average of a set of values. It is derived by dividing the sum (or aggregate) of a group of numerical items by the total number of items in that group. For example, average family size is obtained by dividing the number of people in families by the total number of families (or family householders). (Additional information on means and aggregates is included in the separate explanations of many of the population and housing subjects.)

### Median

This measure represents the middle value (if  $n$  is odd) or the average of the two middle values (if  $n$  is even) in an ordered list of  $n$  data values. The median divides the total frequency distribution into two equal parts: one-half of the cases fall below the median and one-half of the cases exceed the median. Each median is calculated using a standard distribution. (See also “Interpolation.”)

For data products in publication or display table format, if the median falls within the upper interval of an open-ended distribution, the median is shown as the initial value of the interval followed by a plus sign (+), or if within the lower interval, the median is shown as the upper value of the category followed by a minus sign (-).

---

For products on CD-ROM and products that can be downloaded by a user as data files (no text, just numbers), if the median falls within the upper or lower interval, it is set to a specified value, but with no plus or minus symbol.

**Percentage**

This measure is calculated by taking the number of items in a group possessing a characteristic of interest and dividing by the total number of items in that group, and then multiplying by 100.

**Rate**

This is a measure of occurrences in a given period of time divided by the possible number of occurrences during that period. Rates are sometimes presented as percentages.

# Appendix C.

## Data Collection and Processing Procedures

### CONTENTS

	Page
Enumeration and Residence Rules .....	C-1
United States .....	C-1
Puerto Rico .....	C-3
Major Components of the Census 2000 Plan.....	C-4
Master Address File .....	C-4
Public Outreach and Marketing.....	C-5
Questionnaire Mailout/Mailback.....	C-7
Collecting Data on Populations Living in Nontraditional Households .....	C-7
Collecting Long Form Data to Meet Federal Requirements .....	C-7
Retrieving and Processing the Data From Returned Forms .....	C-7
Matching and Unduplication.....	C-8
Geographic Database Development – TIGER® .....	C-8
Field Offices and Staffing .....	C-8
Data Collection: Basic Enumeration Strategy .....	C-9
Special Populations .....	C-12
Telecommunications Support and Automated Data Processing .....	C-13
Quality Assurance.....	C-13
The Census 2000 Dress Rehearsal in 1998 .....	C-14
Data Dissemination Through the Internet.....	C-14
Evaluation and Preparation for 2010 .....	C-14
Glossary .....	C-14

### ENUMERATION AND RESIDENCE RULES

In accordance with census practice dating back to the first U.S. census in 1790, each person was to be enumerated as an inhabitant of his or her “usual residence” in Census 2000. Usual residence is the place where the person lives and sleeps most of the time. This place is not necessarily the same as the person’s legal residence or voting residence. In the vast majority of cases, however, the use of these different bases of classification would produce substantially the same statistics, although there might be appreciable differences for a few areas.

The implementation of this practice has resulted in the establishment of rules for certain categories of people whose usual place of residence is not immediately apparent. Furthermore, this practice means that people were not always counted as residents of the place where they happened to be staying on Census Day (April 1, 2000).

#### United States

**Enumeration rules.** Each person whose usual residence was in the United States was to be included in the census, without regard to the person’s legal status or citizenship. As in previous censuses, people specifically excluded from the census were citizens of foreign countries temporarily traveling or visiting in the United States who had not established a residence.

Americans temporarily overseas were to be enumerated at their usual residence in the United States. With some exceptions, Americans with a usual residence outside the United States were not enumerated in Census 2000. U.S. military personnel and federal civilian employees stationed outside the United States, and their dependents living with them, are included in the population counts for the 50 states for purposes of Congressional apportionment but are excluded from all other tabulations for states and their subdivisions. The counts of overseas U.S. military personnel,

---

federal civilian employees, and their dependents were obtained from administrative records maintained by the employing federal departments and agencies. Other Americans living overseas who were not affiliated with the U.S. government were not included in the census.

**Residence rules.** Each person included in the census was to be counted at his or her usual residence—the place where he or she lives and sleeps most of the time. If a person had no usual residence, the person was to be counted where he or she was staying on Census Day.

People temporarily away from their usual residence on Census Day, such as on a vacation or business trip, were to be counted at their usual residence.

**Armed forces personnel in the United States.** Members of the U.S. Armed Forces were counted at their usual residence (the place where they lived and slept most of the time), whether it was on or off the military installation. Family members of armed forces personnel were counted at their usual residence (for example, with the armed forces person or at another location).

Personnel assigned to each Navy and Coast Guard vessel with a U.S. homeport were given the opportunity to report an onshore residence where they usually stayed when they were off the ship. Those who reported an onshore residence were counted there; those who did not were counted at their vessel's homeport.

**Personnel on U.S. flag merchant vessels.** Crews of U.S. flag merchant vessels docked in a U.S. port, sailing from one U.S. port to another U.S. port, or sailing from a U.S. port to a Puerto Rico port were counted at their usual onshore residence if they reported one. Those who did not were counted as residents of the ship and were assigned as follows:

- The U.S. port, if the vessel was docked there on Census Day.
- The port of departure, if the ship was sailing from one U.S. port to another U.S. port, or from a U.S. port to a Puerto Rico port.

Crews of U.S. merchant ships docked in a foreign port (including the U.S. Virgin Islands, American Samoa, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and Guam), sailing from one foreign port to another foreign port, sailing from a U.S. port to a foreign port, or sailing from a foreign port to a U.S. port were not included in the census.

**People away at school.** College students were counted as residents of the area in which they were living while attending college, as they have been since the 1950 census. Children in boarding schools below the college level were counted at their parental home.

**People in institutions.** People under formally authorized, supervised care or custody, such as in federal or state prisons; local jails; federal detention centers; juvenile institutions; nursing or convalescent homes for the aged or dependent; or homes, schools, hospitals, or wards for the physically handicapped, mentally retarded, or mentally ill; or in drug/alcohol recovery facilities were counted at these places.

**People in general hospitals.** People in general hospitals or wards (including Veterans Affairs hospitals) on Census Day were counted at their usual residence. Newborn babies were counted at the residence where they would be living.

**People in shelters.** People staying on Census Day at emergency or transitional shelters with sleeping facilities for people without housing, such as for abused women or runaway or neglected youth, were counted at the shelter.

**People with multiple residences.** People who lived at more than one residence during the week, month, or year were counted at the place where they lived most of the time. For example, commuter workers living away part of the week while working were counted at the residence where they stayed most of the week. Likewise, people who lived in one state but spent the winter in another state with a warmer climate (“snowbirds”) were to be counted at the residence where they lived most of the year.

---

**People away from their usual residence on Census Day.** Temporary, migrant, or seasonal workers who did not report a usual U.S. residence elsewhere were counted as residents of the place where they were on Census Day.

In some areas, natural disasters (hurricanes, tornadoes, flooding, and so forth) displaced households from their usual place of residence. If these people reported a destroyed or damaged residence as their usual residence, they were counted at that location.

People away from their usual residence were counted by means of interviews with other members of their families, resident managers, or neighbors.

## **Puerto Rico**

**Enumeration rules.** Each person whose usual residence was in Puerto Rico was to be included in the census, without regard to the person's legal status or citizenship. As in previous censuses, people specifically excluded from the census were citizens of foreign countries temporarily traveling or visiting in Puerto Rico who had not established a residence.

Americans usually living in Puerto Rico but temporarily overseas were to be enumerated at their usual residence in Puerto Rico. Americans with a usual residence outside Puerto Rico were not counted as part of the Puerto Rico resident population.

**Residence rules.** Each person included in the census was to be counted at his or her usual residence—the place where he or she lives and sleeps most of the time. If a person had no usual residence, the person was to be counted where he or she was staying on Census Day.

People temporarily away from their usual residence on Census Day were to be counted at their usual residence.

**Armed forces personnel in Puerto Rico.** Members of the U.S. Armed Forces were counted at their usual residence (the place where they lived and slept most of the time), whether it was on or off the military installation. Family members of armed forces personnel were counted at their usual residence (for example, with the armed forces person or at another location).

Personnel assigned to each Navy and Coast Guard vessel with a Puerto Rico homeport were given the opportunity to report an onshore residence where they usually stayed when they were off the ship. Those who reported an onshore residence were counted there; those who did not were counted at their vessel's homeport.

**Personnel on U.S. flag merchant vessels.** Crews of U.S. flag merchant vessels docked in a Puerto Rico port, sailing from one Puerto Rico port to another Puerto Rico port, or sailing from a Puerto Rico port to a U.S. port were counted at their usual onshore residence if they reported one. Those who did not were counted as residents of the ship and were assigned as follows:

- The Puerto Rico port if the vessel was docked there on Census Day.
- The port of departure if the ship was sailing from one Puerto Rico port to another Puerto Rico port or from a Puerto Rico port to a U.S. port.

Crews of U.S. merchant ships docked in a foreign port (including the U.S. Virgin Islands, American Samoa, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, and Guam), sailing from a Puerto Rico port to a foreign port, or sailing from a foreign port to a Puerto Rico port were not included in the census.

**People away at school.** College students were counted as residents of the area in which they were living while attending college, as they have been since the 1950 census. Children in boarding schools below the college level were counted at their parental home.

---

**People in institutions.** People under formally authorized, supervised care or custody, such as in federal or state prisons; local jails; federal detention centers; juvenile institutions; nursing or convalescent homes for the aged or dependent; or homes, schools, hospitals, or wards for the physically handicapped, mentally retarded, or mentally ill; or in drug/alcohol recovery facilities were counted at these places.

**People in general hospitals.** People in general hospitals or wards (including Veterans Affairs hospitals) on Census Day were counted at their usual residence. Newborn babies were counted at the residence where they would be living.

**People in shelters.** People staying on Census Day at emergency or transitional shelters with sleeping facilities for people without housing, such as for abused women or runaway or neglected youth, were counted at the shelter.

**People with multiple residences.** People who lived at more than one residence during the week, month, or year were counted at the place where they lived most of the time. For example, commuter workers living away part of the week while working were counted at the residence where they stayed most of the week.

**People away from their usual residence on Census Day.** Temporary, migrant, or seasonal workers who did not report a usual Puerto Rico residence elsewhere were counted as residents of the place where they were on Census Day.

In some areas, natural disasters (hurricanes, tornadoes, flooding, and so forth) displaced households from their usual place of residence. If these people reported a destroyed or damaged residence as their usual residence, they were counted at that location.

People away from their usual residence were counted by means of interviews with other members of their families, resident managers, or neighbors.

## **MAJOR COMPONENTS OF THE CENSUS 2000 PLAN**

The Census Bureau prepared the Census 2000 plan to ensure the most accurate decennial census legally possible. This plan included data collection from 100 percent of households and housing units. In addition, the plan included an extensive statistical operation to measure and correct overall and differential coverage of U.S. residents in Census 2000. This operation consisted of a scientific sample of approximately 300,000 housing units and used regional groupings to generate corrected counts. To ensure that Census 2000 will be both more accurate and more cost-effective than the 1990 Census, the Census Bureau reviewed its procedures with input from a wide array of experts. In addition, the Census Bureau and Department of Commerce officials held more than 100 briefings for the members of Congress and their staff on the plan for Census 2000. The result has been an innovative departure from past practices that substantially increased overall accuracy and addressed the differential undercount of children, renters, and minorities. At the same time, the new methods of enumeration saved money and delivered results more quickly. The major components of the plan for Census 2000 included:

### **1. The Master Address File**

To conduct Census 2000, the Census Bureau needed to identify and locate an estimated 118 million housing units in the Nation. The Census Bureau accomplished this goal by developing and maintaining the Master Address File (MAF). This vital operation took place with the assistance of the U.S. Postal Service (USPS); other federal agencies; tribal, state and local governments; community organizations; and by an intensive canvass of selected areas. The resulting file was more comprehensive than ever before.

In 1990, the Census Bureau relied on address lists purchased from vendors. As these lists were originally generated for marketing purposes, they proved to be less accurate in low-income areas. As a result, during the 1990 census, housing units were missed often enough to contribute notably to the undercount problem. Plans for Census 2000 were designed to address weaknesses found in the 1990 address list. The Census 2000 MAF started with the USPS address list, a list that



---

does not discriminate against certain areas because of their marketing potential. Partnerships with state and local officials, community organizations, and tribal governments also played an important role in making sure the MAF is accurate; the local officials who knew the areas best helped develop the MAF. Finally, the Bureau made intensive efforts to create address lists in rural areas well in advance of the census.

**City-style addresses.** The USPS uses the term “city-style” for an address such as “123 Main Street,” even though such an address may occur in small towns and increasingly along country roads. In areas where the USPS delivers mail primarily to city-style addresses, the Census Bureau created the MAF by combining addresses from the 1990 Census Address Control File with those addresses in the USPS Delivery Sequence File (DSF). The DSF is a national file of individual delivery point addresses. As part of a cooperative agreement, the USPS provided the Census Bureau with updated DSFs on a regular basis. The Bureau then located these addresses in its computer mapping system called TIGER® (Topologically Integrated Geographic Encoding and Referencing). If an address could not be located, the location was researched and resolved through an office operation or through assistance from local partners. As a result of this research, the Bureau identified new features and corrected and added address ranges to the TIGER® database.

**Noncity-style addresses.** In late 1998 and early 1999, the Census Bureau launched a comprehensive effort to canvass areas where most residences did not have city-style addresses. Over 30,000 canvassers visited approximately 22 million residences without a street address to enter their locations in the TIGER® system. The combination of innovative use of computer data and technology along with these visits allowed the Bureau to construct the most accurate address list ever, giving field enumerators more time to meet other challenges presented by the 2000 count.

**Remote areas.** In a few extremely remote and sparsely settled areas, census enumerators created the address list at the time of the initial census data collection while canvassing their assignment area and picking up or completing unaddressed questionnaires that the USPS previously had delivered to each household.

**Nontraditional living quarters.** A separate operation built an inventory of all facilities that were not traditional living quarters; for example, prisons and hospitals. The Bureau interviewed an official at each location using a Facility Questionnaire. The responses to the questionnaire identified each group quarters and any housing units associated with the location. The Bureau classified each group quarters and its associated housing units at the location according to whether they would be enumerated as part of special place enumeration or through regular enumeration. The Bureau added these group quarters and housing units to the MAF and linked them to the TIGER® database.

**Local government partnerships.** The Bureau relied on local knowledge to build the MAF. State, local, and tribal governments; regional and metropolitan planning agencies; and related nongovernmental organizations were encouraged to submit locally developed and maintained city-style address lists to the Census Bureau to enhance the MAF. The Bureau matched the local lists both to the MAF and TIGER® database and verified the status of each newly identified address through ongoing matches to updated address information from the USPS, other independent sources, and its own field operations. The Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) program was a partnership that allowed local and tribal governments to designate a liaison to review the portion of the MAF that covered their jurisdiction to help ensure its completeness. After processing the LUCA input, the Census Bureau provided feedback on the status of the adds, deletes, and corrections of addresses to the liaisons. The updated address list then was used to deliver census questionnaires.

## 2. Public Outreach and Marketing

In 1990, the mail response rate dropped in spite of the Census Bureau’s support of a public service announcement (PSA) effort that aired donated advertisements. Part of this drop was caused by the Bureau’s inability to ensure that PSAs were broadcast at optimum times and in appropriate



---

markets. An evaluation of the 1990 PSA campaign noted that the ads were seldom placed at optimal times because decisions about when to air PSAs rested with local radio and television stations. Sixty percent of the U.S. population received 91 percent of the census advertising impact; 40 percent received only 9 percent. Based on its studies of prior outreach campaigns, the Bureau concluded that the professional control of a paid media campaign would produce the best results. Census 2000 launched a vigorous public outreach campaign to educate everyone about the importance of being counted. Among the improvements in public outreach and marketing were:

**Partnerships/targeted community outreach.** The Census Bureau built partnerships with local and tribal governments, businesses, and community groups to get the word out, to endorse the census, and to encourage constituents to respond. Beginning in 1996 and expanding in 1998, the Bureau hired government and community specialists to build relationships with local community and service-based organizations, focusing on groups representing traditionally undercounted populations. The Bureau deployed an extensive outreach program to reach schools, public sector employees, American Indians, and religious organizations. Businesses, nonprofit groups, and labor organizations also were asked to endorse participation and to publicize the census through employee newsletters, inserts with paychecks, and through communications with members and local chapters.

**Direct mail.** The census questionnaire and related materials delivered to individual addresses carried the same themes and messages as the overall campaign.

**Public relations.** The Census Bureau used public meetings and the news media to inform the public about the value of the census and to encourage response. Communications specialists were assigned to each field office to perform media outreach, to respond to media inquiries, and to coordinate the dissemination of the Census 2000 message. In many communities, the Census Bureau established local broadcaster/news director committees to emphasize Census 2000 to television viewers and radio listeners through broadcast segments and editorials in newspapers.

**Paid advertising.** The Census Bureau planned a targeted campaign to reach everyone through ads in newspapers, magazines, billboards, posters, radio, and television. A private advertising firm designed and implemented the Census 2000 advertising campaign. The Census Bureau conducted a first-ever paid advertising campaign, including a national media campaign aimed at increasing mail response. The campaign included advertising directed at raising mail response rates among historically undercounted populations, with special messages targeted to hard-to-enumerate populations. Advertising also focused on encouraging cooperation during the nonresponse follow-up procedures.

**Media public relations.** The Census Bureau assigned media specialists to the regional census centers to cultivate local press contacts and respond to local media inquiries.

**Promotion and special events.** A variety of special events, including parades, athletic events and public services television documentaries were cosponsored by state, local, and tribal governments and by community organizations and businesses to motivate people to respond.

**More ways to respond.** In 2000, in addition to mailing the census questionnaires, the Census Bureau made the forms available in stores and malls, in civic or community centers, in schools, and in other locations frequented by the public. A well-publicized, toll-free telephone number was available for those who wished to respond to the census by telephone. People also had the option to respond to the short form via the Internet.

**Multiple languages.** In 2000, as in all prior decennial censuses, questionnaires were in English (the Census Bureau has made Spanish-language questionnaires available in the past). However, for the first time in a decennial census, households had the option to request and receive questionnaires in five other languages (Spanish, Chinese, Korean, Tagalog, and Vietnamese). In addition, questionnaire assistance booklets were available in 49 languages.

---

### **3. Questionnaire Mailout/Mailback**

In Census 2000, the questionnaire mailout/mailback system was the primary means of census-taking, as it has been since 1970. The short form was delivered to approximately 83 percent of all housing units. The short form asked only the basic population and housing questions, while the long form included additional questions on the characteristics of each person and of the housing unit. The long form was delivered to a sample of approximately 17 percent of all housing units.

USPS letter carriers delivered questionnaires to the vast majority of housing units that had city-style addresses. In areas without such addresses, enumerators hand delivered addressed census questionnaires to each housing unit. In very remote or sparsely populated areas, enumerators visited each housing unit and picked up or completed unaddressed questionnaires that the USPS previously delivered to each unit.

### **4. Collecting Data on Populations Living in Nontraditional Households**

During a decennial census, the Census Bureau not only counts people living in houses and apartments, but also must count people who live in group quarters and other nontraditional housing units, as well as people with no usual residence. These units include nursing homes, group homes, college dormitories, migrant and seasonal farm worker camps, military barracks or installations, American Indian reservations, and remote areas in Alaska.

Some of the methods that were used for these special populations are listed below:

- The Census Bureau designed an operation for Census 2000 called Service-Based Enumeration (SBE) to improve the count of individuals who might not be included through standard enumeration methods. The SBE operation was conducted in selected service locations, such as shelters and soup kitchens, and at targeted outdoor locations.
- Another special operation counted highly transient individuals living at recreational vehicle campgrounds and parks, commercial or public campgrounds, marinas, and even workers' quarters at fairs and carnivals.
- The Census Bureau worked with tribal officials to select the appropriate data collection methodologies for American Indian reservations.
- Remote areas of Alaska, often accessible only by small airplanes, snowmobiles, four wheel-drive vehicles, or dogsleds, were enumerated beginning in mid-February. This special timing permitted travel to these areas while conditions are most favorable.
- The Census Bureau worked with the Department of Defense and the U.S. Coast Guard to count individuals living on military installations, and with the U.S. Maritime Administration to identify maritime vessels for enumeration.

### **5. Collecting Long Form Data to Meet Federal Requirements**

The census is the only data gathering effort that collects the same information from enough people to get comparable data for every geographic area in the United States. The Census Bureau has used the long form on a sample basis since 1940 to collect more data, while reducing overall respondent burden. The Census 2000 long form asked questions addressing the same 7 subjects that appeared on the short form, plus an additional 27 subjects which were either specifically required by law to be included in the census or were required in order to implement other federal programs.

### **6. Retrieving and Processing the Data From the Returned Forms**

The Census Bureau contracted with the private sector to secure the best available data capture technology. This technology allowed the Census Bureau to control, manage, and process Census 2000 data more efficiently.

The Census 2000 Data Capture System has been a complex network of operational controls and processing routines. The Census Bureau recorded a full electronic image of many of the questionnaires, sorted mail-return questionnaires automatically, used optical mark recognition for all check-box items, and used optical character recognition to capture write-in character based data

---

items. The system allowed the Census Bureau to reduce the logistical burdens associated with handling large volumes of paper questionnaires. Once forms were checked in, prepared, and scanned, all subsequent operations were accomplished using the electronic image and data capture.

## **7. Matching and Unduplication**

One of the main goals of Census 2000 was to make it simpler for people to be counted by having census forms available in public locations and providing multiple language translations. Responses also were accepted over the telephone and, for the short form only, on the Internet. These options made it easier for everyone to be counted, but increased the possibility of multiple responses for a given person and household. Advances in computer technology in the areas of computer storage, retrieval, and matching, along with image capture and recognition, gave the Census Bureau the flexibility to provide multiple response options without incurring undue risk to the accuracy of the resulting census data. Unduplication of multiple responses in past censuses required massive clerical operations. Modern technology allowed the Census Bureau to spot and eliminate multiple responses from the same household.

## **8. Geographic Database Development—TIGER®**

The Census Bureau's TIGER® (Topologically Integrated Geographic Encoding and Referencing) system provided the geographic structure for the control of the data collection, tabulation, and dissemination operations for Census 2000. The TIGER® system links each living quarter to a spatial location, each location to a specific geographic area, and each geographic area to the correct name or number and attributes. The database constantly changes; for example, when new streets are built and the names and address ranges of existing streets change. To ensure that the TIGER® database is complete and correct, the Census Bureau works with other federal agencies; state, local and tribal governments; and other public and private groups to update both its inventory of geographic features and its depiction of the boundaries, names, and attributes of the various geographic entities for which the Census Bureau tabulates data.

The Census Bureau obtains updates to the features in the TIGER® system, including associated address ranges, from its various address list improvement activities, from partnership efforts like the Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) program, from digital files provided by some local and tribal governments, and from local and tribal governments in response to a preview of the census map of their jurisdictions.

As a part of updating the TIGER® system, the Census Bureau conducted boundary surveys in 1998 and 1999 to determine the boundaries that were in effect on January 1, 2000, which were the official Census 2000 boundaries for functioning governments. The Census Bureau also relied on other programs to update the TIGER® boundaries data, including a program that allowed local or tribal officials to review proposed Census 2000 boundaries a program that allowed local and tribal participants the opportunity to delineate Census 2000 participant statistical areas (block groups, census county divisions, census designated places, and census tracts) and additional programs that offered participants the opportunity to identify other areas for which the Census Bureau would tabulate data (for example, traffic analysis zones).

## **9. Field Offices and Staffing**

The Census Bureau opened a national network of temporary offices from which employees collected and processed the data for Census 2000. Establishing the office network required, for most offices, the leasing of office space, purchasing furniture and equipment, purchasing and installing computer hardware and software, and establishing voice and data line connections. The plan for the office structure included:

- **12 Regional Census Centers (RCCs).** Through a network of Census Field Offices, the RCCs managed all census field data collections operations, address listings, and address list enhancement for city-style address areas; coordinated the LUCA program; produced maps; updated TIGER®; worked with local participants in the Public Law 94–171 Redistricting Data Program; and recruited temporary staff.

- **402 Census Field Offices (CFOs).** Opened in September 1998, these offices helped with address listing; conducted local recruiting; and performed clerical review of completed field address listing work.
- **520 Local Census Offices (LCOs).** These offices produced enumerator maps and assignments; conducted local recruiting; conducted outreach and promotion; conducted group quarters and service-based enumeration activities; conducted update/leave and list/enumerate operations; conducted nonresponse follow-up, coverage improvement follow-up, and address verifications; and performed the block canvass operations.
- **3 New Data Capture Centers (DCCs).** These centers checked in mail returns, prepared questionnaires, and conducted data capture.
- **1 National Processing Center (NPC).** In addition to performing the functions of a Data Processing Center, it processed address listing data and performed coding of questionnaire data.

To conduct a successful Census 2000, the Census Bureau recruited and tested hundreds of thousands of applicants for a wide range of positions, such as local census office managers, enumerators, partnership specialists, media specialists, and clerks. This required an extraordinary recruiting effort throughout the country. Every job applicant was required to pass a written test and was screened for criminal history. Applicants selected for employment had to take an oath of office and sign an affidavit agreeing not to disclose census information.

Many factors converged to present the Census Bureau with unprecedented challenges in hiring, retraining, and training the necessary employees for Census 2000. To address this challenge, the Census Bureau implemented several new approaches:

- Innovative methods of setting pay and incentives.
- Expanding the potential labor force by working with other federal agencies and state agencies to reduce barriers presented by various income transfer programs, and encouraging recipients of these programs to work for the Census Bureau. Consistent with these efforts, the Census Bureau hired more welfare-to-work employees than any other federal agency.
- Earlier and expanded training for enumerators.

## 10. Data Collection: Basic Enumeration Strategy

To ensure that the Census Bureau obtained a completed questionnaire from every household, or as close to that as possible, the Census Bureau developed a ten-part, integrated enumeration strategy.

- The first part of this strategy ensured that a questionnaire was delivered to every housing unit, by one of three data collection methods:
  - **Mailout/mailback.** U.S. Postal Service delivered questionnaires to every “city style” housing unit with a street name and house number.
  - **Update/leave.** Census enumerators delivered questionnaires to housing units without street names and house numbers to be mailed back, mainly in rural areas, and corrected and updated the address list and maps for any additions or errors.
  - **List/enumerate.** In remote and sparsely populated areas, enumerators visited every housing unit and completed the enumeration as delivered.
- The second part of this strategy provided people with assistance, as needed, to complete and return their questionnaires.
  - **Telephone questionnaire assistance (TQA).** The Census Bureau operated a toll-free TQA system, in English, Spanish, and several other languages, providing automated touch-tone answers to common questions, personal operator answers to those requesting it, and special service for the hearing impaired to assist them in completing a short form. Callers also could request a questionnaire.

- 
- **Internet.** Respondents were able to access an Internet Web site to both receive assistance and, for short forms, submit their responses.
  - **Questionnaire assistance centers.** The Census Bureau opened Walk-In Questionnaire Assistance Centers in convenient locations to assist respondents with filling out questionnaires in person. Bilingual staff was available in these centers.
  - **Questionnaire assistance guides.** Questionnaire Assistance Guides were available in 49 languages.
  - The third part of this strategy provided a means for people who believed they had not received a questionnaire or were not included on one. Part of this operation was targeted to members of historically undercounted groups. The major element of this operation was the distribution of “Be Counted Questionnaires.” The Census Bureau distributed these questionnaires at public locations, such as Walk-In Questionnaire Assistance Centers and some public and private facilities, staffed with bilingual competencies when appropriate. These forms were available in English, Spanish, Korean, Chinese, Vietnamese, and Tagalog.
  - The fourth part of this strategy was designed to enumerate people who did not live in traditional housing units, including group quarters situations, such as nursing homes and college dormitories; people living in migrant farm worker camps, on boats, on military installations; and federal employees living overseas. This part of the strategy was expanded further because the Census 2000 Dress Rehearsal results indicated that, compared to 1990, many more people did not live in traditional housing units.
    - **Group quarters enumeration.** This operation identified the location of all group living quarters and made advance visits to each group quarter. Census staff listed all residents in April 2000 and distributed questionnaire packets.
    - **Transient night operation.** Transient night enumerated people living a mobile lifestyle by visiting and interviewing people at racetracks, commercial or public campgrounds and those for recreational vehicles, fairs and carnivals, and marinas.
    - **Remote Alaska enumeration.** This operation sent out enumerators to deliver and complete questionnaires for people living in outlying or remote settlements in Alaska.
    - **Domestic military/maritime enumeration.** The Census Bureau, in cooperation with the Department of Defense and U.S. Coast Guard, identified living quarters and housing units on military installations and ships assigned to a U.S. home port and used appropriate enumeration methods.
    - **Overseas enumeration.** The Census Bureau, in cooperation with the Department of Defense and other departments, counted federal employees assigned overseas (including members of the armed forces) and their dependents, for apportionment purposes.
  - The fifth part of this strategy targeted people with no usual residence or address. This operation was conducted at selective service locations, such as shelters and soup kitchens and non-sheltered outdoor locations.
  - The sixth part of this strategy deployed special data collection methods to improve cooperation and enumeration in certain hard-to-enumerate areas.
    - Regional Census Centers used the planning database and their knowledge of local conditions to identify appropriate areas for targeted methods. A team of enumerators then went to targeted areas, such as areas with high concentrations of multiunit buildings, safety concerns or low enumerator production rates, and conducted team enumerations.
    - Mail response rates and maps were available to local and tribal officials so they could work with Census Bureau staff to identify low-response areas and implement additional outreach and publicity efforts and targeted enumeration efforts.

- 
- In partnership with local and tribal governments and community-based organizations, local census offices established Walk-In Questionnaire Assistance Centers in locations, such as community centers and large apartment buildings, to provide assistance in English, Spanish, and other and foreign languages.
  - The Be Counted Program made unaddressed questionnaires available in the Walk-In Assistance Centers and other locations.
  - Letters were mailed to managers of large multiunit structures and gated communities informing them of upcoming census operations.
  - In preidentified census blocks, census enumerators canvassed the blocks, updated the address list, and delivered and completed census questionnaires for all housing units.
  - In preidentified blocks originally classified as “Mailout/Mailback” areas, enumerators delivered the questionnaire and updated the address list (Urban Update/Leave).
  - The seventh part of this strategy, coverage-edit and telephone follow-up, reviewed completed questionnaires for potential missing, incomplete, or inconsistent data.
    - **Coverage edit.** The Census Bureau checked completed questionnaires for discrepancies between the number of persons reported and the number of persons for whom information was provided, forms returned where population count was blank, and forms for certain households that contained complex living arrangements.
    - **Follow-up.** Telephone clerks contacted and reinterviewed the households with discrepancies identified after mail returns were data captured; field staff resolved discrepancies found on enumerator returned questionnaires.
    - **Content edit.** Computer operations identified missing or incomplete responses to population or housing units and used statistical imputation to complete the information.
  - The eighth part of this strategy, nonresponse follow-up (NRFU), was the effort to secure a response in Census 2000 from every housing unit and resident. One hundred percent of nonresponding households were followed up.
    - In the initial period, the Census Bureau used reminder publicity urging people to return their questionnaires.
    - Following the period of mail response, nonresponding households were identified and listed.
    - Enumerators visited all nonresponding addresses to obtain a completed questionnaire for each household.
    - In mailout/mailback areas, enumerators also followed up 100 percent of housing units identified as nonexistent or vacant by the U.S. Postal Service.
    - In update/leave areas, enumerators followed up 100 percent of housing units where the Census Bureau was unable to deliver questionnaires.
    - The Census Bureau conducted quality assurance checks of NRFU to ensure the completeness and accuracy of the operations.
  - The ninth part of strategy involved additional operations to improve the coverage of Census 2000.
    - In mailout/mailback areas, enumerators revisited addresses for which questionnaires were returned in NRFU reporting the housing unit as vacant or delete and which were not initially identified by the U.S. Postal Service as undeliverable as addressed.
    - In update/leave areas, enumerators revisited addresses for which a questionnaire was returned as vacant or nonexistent in NRFU, but the questionnaire was not returned as undeliverable during the update/leave operation.
    - In both mailout/mailback and update/leave areas, mail returns checked in but not data captured were rechecked and, if necessary, revisited.



- 
- The tenth part of this strategy was unduplication, which involved reviewing and selecting person information when more than one questionnaire data set was reported for a single address. Dress Rehearsal results showed that the multiple ways in which people could respond to the census increased the possibility of more than one response being submitted for a given person or household. Automated matching technologies allowed the Census Bureau to resolve situations where more than one form was received for an address.

## 11. Special Populations

### American Indian and Alaska Native Areas and Hawaiian Home Lands

The Census Bureau based its strategy for enumerating the populations in the American Indian and Alaska Native Areas (AIANAs) and Hawaiian home lands on building partnerships for:

- **Address list development.** The Census Bureau used U.S. Postal Service's Delivery Sequence Files in AIANAs and Hawaiian home lands where there were city-style addresses. In other areas, the census enumerators used the "update/leave" method where a form is left with the respondent for return by mail. In more remote areas, the census enumerator actually delivered the form and conducted the census interview all in one visit. Tribal governments had an opportunity to participate in the LUCA program. The Census Bureau worked with tribal officials to select the appropriate data collection methodology for each area.
- **Geographic programs.** There were many programs available to review and define geographic areas (see Appendix A for more details).
- **Marketing.** Census Bureau staff and tribal liaisons compiled lists of available media for paid advertising and promotion. The Census Bureau also enlisted the help of tribal liaisons and locally established "Complete Count Committees" to assist with promotional activities.
- **Field operations.** The Census Bureau worked with tribal governments to assist in all levels of field operations, including training local staff in cultural awareness, assisting in recruiting efforts, and identifying locations for census questionnaire assistance centers.
- **Data dissemination.** While most data were processed in the same way as data for rest of the nation, the Census Bureau worked with tribal governments to meet their data needs.

### Puerto Rico

The Census 2000 operations in Puerto Rico were comparable to activities in the 50 states and the District of Columbia. The Census Bureau worked in partnership with the government of Puerto Rico to ensure that Census 2000 data met the federal legal requirements.

- **Build partnerships at every stage of the process.** The Census Bureau entered a Memorandum of Agreement with the governor of Puerto Rico which outlined mutual roles and responsibilities. In consultation with the government of Puerto Rico, census questionnaire content was developed to meet the legislative and programmatic needs of Puerto Rico. A separate advertisement and promotion campaign was conducted in Puerto Rico to build awareness of the census and boost participation. Address list development allowed Puerto Rico to participate in the LUCA program.
- **Census questionnaires.** Census questionnaires were readily available in Spanish and also in English, if requested. In Puerto Rico, only update/leave method was used to distribute questionnaires. However, questionnaires also were placed in Walk-In Questionnaire Assistance Centers and other locations identified through consultation with local partners.
- **Use of technology.** The Census Bureau made use of the same technological advances that were used in the United States. Many operations performed clerically in 1990 were automated. Data users have access to Census 2000 data products through the Internet using the American FactFinder® (AFF) system. The AFF offers a separate user interface utilizing the Spanish language for Census 2000 Puerto Rico data.

- 
- **Special techniques to improve coverage.** The update/leave methodology for census data collection was used for the first time in Puerto Rico. Census enumerators updated the Master Address File for Puerto Rico while delivering questionnaires. Respondents had the opportunity to complete the census questionnaires and return them by mail.

### **Island Areas**

The Census Bureau conducted the Census 2000 operations in American Samoa, the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands, Guam, and the U.S. Virgin Islands (collectively referred to as the “Island Areas”) in partnerships with the government of each area. These partnerships ensured that Census 2000 data met federal legal requirements, as well as the specific needs of each area. The Census 2000 operations in the Island Areas were built around the following:

- **Data collection.** Data collection in the Island Areas used the list/enumerate method. This decision was based on recommendations from Island Area representatives and an analysis of the various data collection methodologies. Unlike stateside list/enumerate procedures, the Census Bureau delivered Advance Census Reports before the list/enumerate operation and asked respondents to complete the form and hold it for enumerator to pick up.
- **Build partnerships at every stage of the process.** The Census Bureau developed and signed a Memorandum of Agreement with the governor of each Island Area that outlined mutual roles and responsibilities. In consultation with the governments of the Island Areas, census questionnaire content was developed to meet the legislative and programmatic needs of each Island Area. A separate advertisement and promotion campaign was developed for each Island Area to build awareness of the census and boost participation.
- **Census questionnaires.** Census questionnaires and other forms were readily available to respondents in convenient locations identified through consultation with local partners.
- **Use of technology.** The Census Bureau made greater use of the telephone to provide assistance to respondents with questions about Census 2000. Data users have access to Census 2000 data and products through the Internet using the American FactFinder system.

### **12. Telecommunications Support and Automated Data Processing**

Using dedicated links and other secure lines, the Census 2000 telecommunications network linked all census offices including: Census Headquarters in Suitland, Maryland, the 520 Local Census Offices, the 12 Regional Census Offices, the 12 Regional Census Centers, the Puerto Rico Area Office, the Maryland Computer Center in Bowie, the National Processing Center in Jeffersonville, Indiana, and the three contracted Data Capture Centers (Phoenix, AZ, Pomona, CA, and Essex, MD). The Census Bureau also established communication links with planned commercial telephone centers to assist with the Telephone Questionnaire Assistance program and the coverage edit follow-up program.

The use of electronic imaging reduced the logistical and staffing requirements of handling large volumes of paper questionnaires. Some components of data capture were performed by private-sector partners. The Census Bureau used commercially available advanced hardware and software rather than limiting itself to creating in-house solutions.

The most significant features of the Data Capture System included (1) work divided among four centers, (2) full electronic imaging and processing of questionnaires, (3) automated sorting of mailed responses, (4) optical mark recognition for check-box data, (5) optical character recognition for write-in data with automated processes to resolve difficult cases, and (6) quality assurance checks.

### **13. Quality Assurance**

To detect, correct, and minimize performance errors in critical census operations, the Census Bureau developed individual quality assurance plans for all activities that could contribute to errors in outcome, such as misprinted census forms, inaccurate maps or address lists, faulty intelligent character recognition, inadequate training of enumerators, and miskeyed entries.



---

#### **14. The Census 2000 Dress Rehearsal in 1998**

A good dress rehearsal is crucial to a successful census, and the key to any dress rehearsal is making it as much like the actual event as possible. The Census Bureau conducted Census 2000 Dress Rehearsal in three sites: Sacramento, California; Columbia, South Carolina along with 11 surrounding counties in north central South Carolina; and the Menominee American Indian Reservation in northeastern Wisconsin.

Since the summer of 1996, the Census Bureau worked closely with local officials and community-based organizations in each of the three sites to plan and build the various infrastructures needed to ensure a successful dress rehearsal. These joint activities included refining the geographic database, building and refining the address list, and working with community and tribal organizations to plan effective outreach and promotion efforts. Also, the Census Bureau recruited staff in all three sites to complete address list development and verification.

The dress rehearsal allowed for a thorough demonstration of the most critical procedures for Census 2000. These procedures included address list development; marketing and promotion; and data collection, processing, and tabulation. The dress rehearsal plan also demonstrated the use of statistical sampling in four major census operations: nonresponse follow-up, housing units designated as undeliverable as addressed by the U.S. Postal Service, integrated coverage measurement (ICM), and the long form survey.

#### **15. Data Dissemination Through the Internet**

The census provides a wealth of data that researchers, businesses, and government agencies are eager to use. Taking advantage of modern computer and Internet capabilities, the Census Bureau planned to make data from Census 2000 more readily available than any previous decennial census data. The Census 2000 data are tabulated using the Data Products Production (DPP) system and disseminated using the American FactFinder (AFF) system on the Internet, in addition to CD-ROMs and DVDs. The AFF provides an interactive electronic system to allow data users to access data products, documents, and online help, as well as to build custom data products.

The Census Bureau solicited the advice and recommendations of data users throughout the planning, design, and testing stages of the AFF system (initially known as the Data Access and Dissemination System (DADS)). The system is accessible to the widest possible array of users through the Internet and all available intermediaries, including the nearly 1,800 data centers and affiliates, the 1,400 Federal Depository libraries and other libraries, universities, and private organizations. It also allows users to create customized products, such as tables, charts, graphs, and maps for census geographic areas of their choice, and access metadata that provide documentation and explanatory information for data subjects and geographic areas.

#### **16. Evaluation and Preparation for 2010**

After the completion of Census 2000, the Census Bureau plans to conduct a variety of post census evaluation studies, as it has after all the previous censuses. These studies will help data users, both within and outside the Census Bureau, to assess the data and plan for the 2010 Census. The evaluation studies generally rely on demographic analysis, statistical methods, and ethnographic analyses.

### **GLOSSARY**

#### **100-Percent Data**

Information based on a limited number of basic population and housing questions collected from both the short form and the long form for every inhabitant and housing unit in the United States.

#### **100-Percent Edited Detail File (HEDF)**

Files composed of individual records of information on people and housing units for the 100-percent census data items from the census questionnaires. Estimation is included in these files. These files are used for tabulation purposes and are not released to the public.

---

### **Accuracy and Coverage Evaluation (A.C.E.)**

The Accuracy and Coverage Evaluation (A.C.E.) is a survey designed to measure the undercount/overcount of the census. The A.C.E. was designed to assess the size and characteristics of the population missed or double-counted in Census 2000, similar to the originally planned Integrated Coverage Measurement (ICM) Survey.

### **Advance Notice Letter/Reminder Card (ANL/RC)**

These are part of the questionnaire mailing strategy. In every area except list/enumerate, the Census Bureau sends an advance notice letter to every mailout address to alert households that the census form will be sent to them soon. Reminder Card is a postcard that is sent to addresses on the decennial Master Address File (see definition below) to remind respondents to return their census questionnaires or to thank them if they already have. All addresses in mailout/mailback areas receive a postcard. The Census Bureau also mails these postcards to postal patrons in update/leave areas.

### **American FactFinder® (AFF)**

An electronic system for access and dissemination of Census Bureau data. The system is available through the Internet and offers prepackaged data products and the ability to build custom products. The system serves as the vehicle for accessing and disseminating data from Census 2000 (as well as economic censuses and the American Community Survey). The system was formerly known as the Data Access and Dissemination System (DADS).

### **Apportionment**

Apportionment is the process of dividing up the 435 memberships, or seats, in the House of Representatives among the 50 states. The Census Bureau has a dual responsibility in this connection. It conducts the census at 10-year intervals. At the conclusion of each census, the Census Bureau uses the results for calculating the number of House memberships each state is entitled to have. The latter process is the initial use of the basic results of each census.

### **Be Counted Enumeration and Be Counted Form**

The Be Counted enumeration procedure targets areas that are traditionally undercounted. Unaddressed census questionnaires (Be Counted forms) are placed at selected sites where people who believe they were not counted can pick them up, complete them, and mail them to the Census Bureau. The sites are in targeted areas that local governments and community groups, in conjunction with the Census Bureau, identify as traditionally undercounted.

### **Census 2000 Publicity Office (C2PO)**

An office at the Census Bureau which developed, implemented, and coordinated an integrated marketing program for Census 2000, including paid advertising, direct mail, public relations, partnerships, and local outreach.

### **Census Address List Improvement Act of 1994**

See Program for Address List Supplementation (PALS) below.

### **Census Edited File (CEF)**

This file contains the 100-percent edited characteristics/records for all households and people in the census. The edits include consistency edits and imputation for items or persons where the data are insufficient. See descriptions for 100-percent data and census unedited file.

### **Census Information Center (CIC)**

The Census Information Center Program (CIC) is the community-based component of the Census Bureau's data dissemination network. While census data are readily available on CD-ROM, the Census Bureau's Web site on the Internet, in its 12 Regional Offices, 1,400 Federal Depository Libraries, and 1,800 state and local government agencies participating in the State Data Center Program, the CICs provide access to local communities that might not have access through these traditional channels. CIC's goal is to provide efficient access to Census Bureau data and data products to organizations representing populations that have been traditionally undercounted in censuses and surveys.

---

### **Census Unedited File (CUF)**

A file created by merging the control file for the decennial master address file with the decennial response file of unedited data after the primary selection algorithm has been applied. This file contains the final housing unit and person counts. It is used to generate apportionment data as well as related “raw” or unedited census data.

### **Computer-Assisted Personal Interview (CAPI)**

A method of data collection consisting of the interviewer asking questions displayed on a laptop computer screen and entering the answers directly into the computer.

### **Computer-Assisted Telephone Interviewing (CATI)**

A method of data collection using telephone interviews in which the questions to be asked are displayed on a computer screen and responses are entered directly into the computer.

### **Confidentiality**

The guarantee made by law (Title 13, United States Code) to individuals who provide census information regarding nondisclosure of that information to others.

### **Confidentiality Edit**

The name for the Census 2000 disclosure avoidance procedure.

### **Coverage Edit/Coverage Edit Follow-Up (CEFU)**

An edit performed on the mailback census response universe. Census staff make telephone calls to resolve forms that are incomplete or have other coverage discrepancies, such as a difference between the number of people reported in that household and the number of people for whom census information was provided on the form. This edit includes the large household follow-up.

### **Coverage Improvement Adjustment**

This phrase was included in the table outlines and the technical documentation before the review, analysis, and recommendation on whether to adjust Census 2000 data for coverage improvement was completed. As the data are not adjusted, a zero (0) will appear. This phrase does not refer to any other outreach or collection operations which were introduced to improve coverage in Census 2000.

### **Coverage Improvement Follow-Up (CIFU)**

A procedure for the traditional census in which housing units with conflicting status information are followed up.

### **Data Access and Dissemination System (DADS)**

The system is now known as the American FactFinder (AFF).

### **Data Capture Center (DCC)**

A decentralized facility that checks in questionnaires returned by mail, creates images of all questionnaire pages, and converts data to computer readable format. The DCCs also perform other computer processing activities, including automated questionnaire edits, work flow management, and data storage. There is one permanent DCC, the National Processing Center in Jeffersonville, Indiana. For Census 2000, the Census Bureau set up three temporary DCCs. The temporary facilities were provided and operated by a private contractor through the Data Capture Services contract.

---

## **Data Capture System 2000 (DCS 2000)**

The DCS 2000 is a data capture system that is used to capture information from census forms. For Census 2000, this system processed more than 150 million incoming forms, digitally captured and processed billions of bits of information on the forms, converted automatically the image of the form to text-based data, and edited/repairs data that the system was unable to decipher automatically.

## **Decennial Census**

The census of population and housing, taken by the Census Bureau in years ending in 0 (zero). Article I of the Constitution requires that a census be taken every 10 years for the purpose of reapportioning the U.S. House of Representatives.

## **Decennial Master Address File (DMAF)**

The decennial version of the Master Address File has features for controlling and tracking the long- and short-term operations and programs of the Census 2000. The DMAF contains the processing status information to support document mailouts; data capture progress control, tracking, and reporting; and field enumeration processes (notably follow-ups). The DMAF is limited to addresses that the Census Bureau has successfully linked to the TIGER® database. See Master Address File.

## **Decennial Response File (DRF)**

Contains every response to the census from all sources. The primary selection algorithm is applied to this file to unduplicate people between multiple returns for a housing unit and to determine the housing unit record and the people to include at the housing unit. The DRF is then combined with the Decennial Master Address File to create the census unedited file (CUF).

## **Delivery Sequence File (DSF)**

A computerized file containing all delivery point addresses serviced by the U.S. Postal Service (USPS). The USPS updates the DSF continuously as its letter carriers identify addresses for new delivery points or changes in the status of existing addresses.

## **Demographic Analysis (DA)**

A method the Census Bureau uses to measure coverage at the national level. It differs from survey coverage estimates, such as Post-Enumeration Survey, Integrated Coverage Measurement, or Accuracy and Coverage Evaluation, in that it does not rely on case-by-case matching of census records. To produce an estimate of the total population, DA relies on administrative records to provide estimates of births, deaths, immigration, and emigration. DA provides estimates on the national level only.

## **Derived Measures**

Census data products include various derived measures, such as medians, means, and percentages, as well as certain rates and ratios. Derived measures that round to less than 0.1 are normally indicated as 0.

## **Disclosure Avoidance (DA)**

Statistical methods used in the tabulation of data prior to releasing data products to ensure the confidentiality of responses.

## **Dual-System Estimation (DSE)**

The estimation methodology used for the Accuracy and Coverage Evaluation (A.C.E.). This operation uses a geographic sample of block clusters to find people missed by the census or A.C.E. and any errors from the census. The information is then processed using computer matching, clerical matching, and field follow-up to resolve discrepancies.

---

## **Family**

A group of two or more people who reside together and who are related by birth, marriage, or adoption.

## **Geocoding**

A code assigned to identify a geographic entity; to assign an address (such as housing unit, business, industry, farm) to the full set of geographic code(s) applicable to the location of that address on the surface of Earth.

## **Group Quarters**

A facility where people live that is not a typical household-type living arrangement. The Census Bureau classifies all individuals not living in households as living in group quarters. There are two types of group quarters institutional (for example, correctional facilities, nursing homes, and mental hospitals) and noninstitutional (for example, college dormitories, military bases and ships, hotels, motels, rooming houses, group homes, missions, shelters, and flophouses).

## **Heterogeneity**

Heterogeneity occurs when blocks of housing units assigned to sampling strata or groupings are not similar in terms of the likelihood of being included or missed by the census. Heterogeneity creates difficulty for the small area estimation process because the correction factor gets applied to all people with the specified characteristic in that sampling poststratum, even though some of them do not actually have the coverage characteristics.

## **Homogeneity**

The assumption of homogeneity expects that all people in a particular sampling stratum or grouping will be very much alike in terms of their likelihood of being included or missed by the census. The grouping of people in a particular stratum is called poststratum, such as all White, non-Hispanic male renters ages 18-22 in a rural area. A lack of homogeneity in a particular sample block is not an error, but it does create difficulty for the small area estimation process. This happens because the correction factor gets applied to all people with the specified characteristic in that poststratum, even though some of them do not exhibit the same coverage characteristics.

## **Household**

Household refers to all of the people who occupy a housing unit.

## **Housing Unit**

A housing unit is a house, an apartment, a mobile home or trailer, a group of rooms, or a single room occupied as a separate living quarters, or if vacant, intended for occupancy as a separate living quarters. Separate living quarters are those in which the occupants live separately from any other individuals in the building and which have direct access from outside the building or through a common hall. For vacant units, the criteria of separateness and direct access are applied to the intended occupants whenever possible.

## **Imputation**

When information is missing or inconsistent, the Census Bureau uses a method called imputation to assign values. Imputation relies on the statistical principle of “homogeneity,” or the tendency of households within a small geographic area to be similar in most characteristics. For example, the value of “rented” is likely to be imputed for a housing unit not reporting on owner/renter status in a neighborhood with multiunits or apartments where other respondents reported “rented” on the census questionnaire. In past censuses, when the occupancy status or the number of residents was not known for a housing unit, this information was imputed.

---

### **Internet Questionnaire Assistance (IQA)**

An operation which allows respondents to use the Census Bureau's Internet site to (1) ask questions and receive answers about the census form, job opportunities, or the purpose of the census and (2) provide responses to the short form.

### **Interpolation**

Interpolation frequently is used in calculating medians or quartiles based on interval data and in approximating standard errors from tables. Linear interpolation is used to estimate values of a function between two known values. Pareto interpolation is an alternative to linear interpolation. In Pareto interpolation, the median is derived by interpolating between the logarithms of the upper and lower income limits of the median category. It is used by the Census Bureau in calculating median income within intervals wider than \$2,500.

### **List/Enumerate**

A method of data collection in which temporary field staff, called enumerators, list each residential address, spot the location of each on a census map, and interview the residents of the household during a single visit. This completes the census address list for these areas and provides the information needed to update the TIGER® database and Master Address File (see definitions below).

### **Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA)**

A Census 2000 program, established in response to requirements of P. L. 103-430. It provided an opportunity for state, local, and tribal governments to review and update individual address information in the Master Address File and associated geographic information in the TIGER® database before using the addresses for questionnaire delivery. This improved the completeness and accuracy of both computer files and the census.

### **Long Form**

The decennial census questionnaire, sent to approximately one in six households, contains all questions on the short form, as well as additional detailed questions relating to the social, economic, and housing characteristics of each individual and household. Information derived from the long form is referred to as sample data and is tabulated for geographic entities as small as the block group level.

### **Mailout/Mailback (MO/MB)**

A method of data collection in which the U.S. Postal Service delivers addressed questionnaires to residents who are asked to complete and mail back the questionnaire to the appropriate Census Bureau office. This method is used for more than 80 percent of all households (usually with city-style addresses).

### **Master Address File (MAF)**

A computer file based on a combination of the addresses in the 1990 census address file and current versions, supplemented by address information provided by state, local, and tribal governments. The MAF is continually updated to provide a basis for creating the Census 2000 address list, the address list for the American Community Survey, and the address list for the Census Bureau's other demographic surveys.

### **Metadata**

Information about the content, quality, condition, and other characteristics of data.

### **Microdata**

Nonaggregated data about the units sampled. For surveys of individuals, microdata contain records for each individual interviewed; for surveys of organizations, the microdata contain records for each organization.

---

### **Nongovernment Organization**

The partnerships developed during Census 2000 planning include national and local organizations and community groups that are not governmental entities.

### **Nonresponse Follow-up**

A census follow-up operation in which temporary field staff, known as enumerators, visit addresses from which no response was received.

### **Nonsampling Error**

Errors that occur during the measuring or data collection process. Nonsampling errors can be the most serious types of errors because they yield biased results when most of the errors distort the results in the same direction. Unfortunately, the full extent of nonsampling error is unknown. Decennial censuses traditionally have experienced nonsampling errors, most notably undercount, resulting from people being missed in the enumeration processes.

### **Optical Character Recognition (OCR)**

Technology that uses an optical scanner and computer software to “read” human handwriting.

### **Optical Mark Recognition (OMR)**

Technology that uses an optical scanner and computer software to scan a page, recognize the presence of marks in predesignated areas, and assign a value to the mark depending on its specific location and intensity on a page.

### **Poststratum**

Information about the current occupants of each housing unit in the Accuracy and Coverage Evaluation (A.C.E.) survey found during the A.C.E. interview is used to form groupings called “poststrata.” This information, including the age of respondent, current owner/renter status, etc., is used to form homogeneous groupings and improve the estimation process. By contrast, the initial A.C.E. strata are formed using aggregate information about each block as of the 1990 census.

### **Primary Selection Algorithm (PSA)**

Computer program applied to the decennial response file (DRF) to eliminate duplicate responses and to determine the housing unit record and the people to include at the housing unit. After this procedure, the DRF is merged with the Decennial Master Address File to create the census unedited file.

### **Program for Address List Supplementation (PALS)**

A program providing all governmental units and regional and metropolitan agencies the opportunity to submit lists of individual addresses for their community to the Census Bureau for use in building the MAF. Ongoing submissions and feedback between the Census Bureau and local governments on this program, enabled by the Census Address List Improvement Act of 1994 (P.L. 103-430) help ensure the completeness and accuracy of the Master Address File and the TIGER® database.

### **Public Law (P.L.) 94-171**

Public Law (P.L.) 94-171, enacted in 1975, directs the Census Bureau to make special preparations to provide redistricting data needed by the 50 states. Within a year following Census Day, the Census Bureau must send the data agreed upon to redraw districts for the state legislature to each state’s governor and majority and minority legislative leaders.

To meet this legal requirement, the Census Bureau set up a voluntary program that enables participating states to receive data for voting districts (e.g., election precincts, wards, state house, and senate districts) in addition to standard census geographic areas, such as counties, cities, census tracts, and blocks.



---

### **Public Law (P.L.) 103-430**

Public Law (P.L.) 103-430, enacted in 1994, amends Title 13, United States Code, to allow designated local and tribal officials access to the address information in the Master Address File to verify its accuracy and completeness. This law also requires the U.S. Postal Service to provide its address information to the Census Bureau to improve the Master Address File.

### **Public Law (P.L.) 105-119**

Public Law (P.L.) 105-119, enacted in 1997, directs the Census Bureau to make publicly available a second version of Census 2000 data that does not include the corrections for overcounts and undercounts measured in the Accuracy and Coverage Evaluation (A.C.E.). The format, timing, geographic levels, and price of the P.L. 94-171 and these data are identical.

### **Public Use Microdata Area (PUMA)**

An area that defines the extent of territory for which the Census Bureau tabulates public use microdata sample (PUMS) data.

### **Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS)**

Hierarchical files containing small samples (5% and 1%) of individual records from the census long form showing characteristics of the housing units and people included on those forms.

### **Quality Assurance (QA)**

Quality assurance represents a broad philosophy and specific procedures that are designed to build quality into the system, constantly improve the system, and integrate responsibility for quality with production.

### **Questionnaire Mailing Strategy**

For Census 2000, an advance notice letter, a questionnaire, and a reminder/thank you postcard were sent to every mailout address.

### **Reapportionment**

The redistribution of seats in the U.S. House of Representatives among several states on the basis of the most recent decennial census as required by Article 1, Section 2 of the Constitution. See apportionment and redistricting.

### **Redistricting**

The process of revising the geographic boundaries of areas from which people elect representatives to the U.S. Congress, a state legislature, a county or city council, a school board, and the like to meet the legal requirement that such areas be as equal in population as possible following a census. See apportionment and reapportionment.

### **Sample Census Edited File (SCEF)**

A file containing 100-percent and sample characteristics for housing units and people in the long form sample. Processing for the SCEF includes merging the results of industry and occupation coding and place of work and migration coding, coding several other items, and weighting the long forms.

### **Sample Edited Detail File (SEDF)**

A file containing 100-percent and sample characteristics for housing units and people in the long form sample. The file is used for tabulation purposes only and is not released to the public.



---

## **Sampling Error**

Errors that occur because only a part of the population is being contacted directly. With any sample, differences are likely to exist between the characteristics of the sampled population and the larger group from which the sample was chosen. However, sampling error, unlike nonsampling error, is readily measured.

## **Sampling Stratum**

A sampling stratum, as used in the A.C.E., is a grouping or classification that has a similar set of characteristics, based on the 1990 census. For example, one might define a stratum as all blocks in large central cities with a 1990 census population that was 30 percent or more Black renters.

## **Scanner**

Equipment used to capture images from documents for the purpose of entering the information into an electronic format. For Census 2000, scanners replaced some keying operations.

## **Seasonal/Recreational/Occasional Use**

A housing unit held for occupancy only during limited portions of the year, such as a beach cottage, ski cabin, or time-share condominium.

## **Separate Living Quarters**

Those living quarters in which the occupants live separately from any other individual in the building and which have direct access from outside the building or through a common hall. For vacant units, the criteria of separateness and direct access are applied to the intended occupants whenever possible.

## **Service-Based Enumeration (SBE)**

An operation designed to enumerate people at facilities where they might receive services, such as shelters, soup kitchens, healthcare facilities, and other selected locations. This operation targets the types of services that primarily serve people who have no usual residence.

## **Service Locations**

Locations where clients are enumerated during the service-based enumeration operation, such as emergency or transitional shelters, soup kitchens, regularly scheduled mobile food vans, and targeted nonsheltered outdoor locations.

## **Short Form**

The decennial census questionnaire, sent to approximately 5 of 6 households, that contains population questions related to household relationship, age, sex, relationship, race, Hispanic origin, and tenure (i.e., whether home is owned or rented). The questions contained on the short form also are asked, along with additional questions, on the long form.

## **Simplified Enumerator Questionnaire (SEQ)**

A questionnaire that enumerators use for transient, or T-night, enumeration and when conducting the nonresponse follow-up after the decennial census.

## **Soup Kitchens**

Includes soup kitchens, food lines, and programs distributing prepared breakfasts, lunches, or dinners. These programs may be organized as food service lines, bag or box lunches, or tables where people are seated, then served by program personnel. These programs may or may not have a place for clients to sit and eat the meal. These are service locations.

---

## **Special Place**

An institution that includes facilities where people live or stay other than the usual house, apartment, or mobile home. Examples are colleges and universities, nursing homes, hospitals, and prisons. Often the facilities that house people are group quarters, but they may include standard houses or apartments as well.

## **Special Place Facility Questionnaire (SPFQ)**

A questionnaire used to interview an official at a special place for the purpose of collecting/updating address information for the special place and any associated group quarters and housing units, determining the type of special place/group quarters, and collecting additional administrative information about each group quarters at the special place.

## **State Data Center (SDC)**

A state agency or university facility identified by the governor of each state and state equivalent to participate in the Census Bureau's cooperative network for the dissemination of census data. SDCs also provide demographic data to local agencies participating in the Census Bureau's statistical areas programs and assist the Census Bureau in the delineation and identification of statistical areas.

## **Summary File (SF)**

A series of census summary tabulations of 100-percent and sample population and housing data available for public use on CD-ROM and the Internet. In 1990, these files were available on computer tapes and, as a result, were known as summary tape files (STF).

## **Summary Table**

A collection of one or more data elements that are classified into some logical structure either as dimensions or data points.

## **Tabulation Block**

A physical block that does not have any legal or statistical boundaries passing through it; or each portion of a physical block after the Census Bureau recognizes any legal or statistical boundaries that pass through it.

## **Targeted Nonsheltered Outdoor Location (TNSOL)**

A geographically identifiable outdoor location open to the elements where there is evidence that people might be living without paying and who also do not usually receive services at soup kitchens, shelters, and mobile food vans. These sites must have a specific location description that allows a census enumeration team to physically locate the site and excludes pay-for-use campgrounds, drop-in centers, post offices, hospital emergency rooms, and commercial sites (including all-night theaters and all-night diners).

## **Telephone Questionnaire Assistance (TQA)**

A toll-free service that was provided by a commercial phone center to answer questions about Census 2000 and the Census 2000 questionnaire and to take interviews from people who prefer to be interviewed over the telephone.

## **Thematic Map**

A map that reveals the geographic patterns in statistical data.

## **Title 13 (United States Code)**

The law under which the Census Bureau operates and that guarantees the confidentiality of census information and establishes penalties for disclosing this information.

---

### **Topologically Integrated Geographic Encoding and Referencing (TIGER®)**

A computer database that contains a digital representation of all census-required map features (streets, roads, rivers, railroads, lakes, and so forth), the related attributes for each (street names, address ranges, etc.), and the geographic identification codes for all entities used by the Census Bureau to tabulate data for the United States, Puerto Rico, and the Island Areas. The TIGER® database records the interrelationships among these features, attributes, and geographic codes and provides a resource for the production of maps, entity headers for data tabulations, and automated assignment of addresses to a geographic location in a process known as “geocoding.”

### **Transient Night (T-Night)/T-Night Enumeration (TNE)**

A method of enumeration in which Census Bureau staff enumerate people at transient locations, such as campgrounds at race tracks, recreational vehicle campgrounds or parks, commercial or public campgrounds, fairs and carnivals, and marinas. Enumerators conduct a personal interview using Simplified Enumerator Questionnaire. No vacant units are generated by this operation.

### **Type of Enumeration Area (TEA)**

A classification identifying how the Census Bureau takes the decennial census of a geographic area. Examples of TEAs include (1) the area inside the “blue line” - this is the mailout/mailback and urban update/leave operations area, (2) address listing areas, (3) list/enumerate areas, and (4) remote areas of Alaska.

### **Urban Update/Leave (UU/L)**

Update/leave procedures are used in targeted urban areas where mail delivery may be a problem, such as an apartment building where the mail carrier may leave the forms in a common area. Enumerators deliver census questionnaires for residents to complete and mail back, update the address register, and update the census maps.

### **Usual Home Elsewhere (UHE)**

A housing unit that is temporarily occupied by a person(s) who has a usual home elsewhere.

### **Usual Residence**

The living quarters where a person spends more nights during a year than any other place.

### **Voting District (VTD)**

Any of a variety of areas, such as election districts, precincts, legislative districts, or wards, established by states and local governments for voting purposes.

### **Whole Household Usual Home Elsewhere (WHUHE)**

See Usual Home Elsewhere.

# Appendix D. Questionnaire

## United States Census 2000

U.S. Department of Commerce • Bureau of the Census

**This is the official form for all the people at this address. It is quick and easy, and your answers are protected by law. Complete the Census and help your community get what it needs — today and in the future!**

### Start Here

Please use a black or blue pen.

**1. How many people were living or staying in this house, apartment, or mobile home on April 1, 2000?**

Number of people

**INCLUDE** in this number:

- foster children, roomers, or housemates
- people staying here on April 1, 2000 who have no other permanent place to stay
- people living here most of the time while working, even if they have another place to live

**DO NOT INCLUDE** in this number:

- college students living away while attending college
- people in a correctional facility, nursing home, or mental hospital on April 1, 2000
- Armed Forces personnel living somewhere else
- people who live or stay at another place most of the time

**2. Is this house, apartment, or mobile home —**  
Mark  **ONE** box.

- Owned by you or someone in this household with a mortgage or loan?
- Owned by you or someone in this household free and clear (without a mortgage or loan)?
- Rented for cash rent?
- Occupied without payment of cash rent?

**3. Please answer the following questions for each person living in this house, apartment, or mobile home. Start with the name of one of the people living here who owns, is buying, or rents this house, apartment, or mobile home. If there is no such person, start with any adult living or staying here. We will refer to this person as Person 1.**

**What is this person's name?** *Print name below.*

Last Name

First Name

MI

OMB No. 0607-0856: Approval Expires 12/31/2000

Form **D-1**

**4. What is Person 1's telephone number?** *We may call this person if we don't understand an answer.*

Area Code + Number

-   -

**5. What is Person 1's sex?** Mark  **ONE** box.

- Male  Female

**6. What is Person 1's age and what is Person 1's date of birth?**

Age on April 1, 2000

*Print numbers in boxes.*

Month Day Year of birth

→ **NOTE: Please answer BOTH Questions 7 and 8.**

**7. Is Person 1 Spanish/Hispanic/Latino?** Mark  the **"No"** box if **not** Spanish/Hispanic/Latino.

- No**, not Spanish/Hispanic/Latino  Yes, Puerto Rican
- Yes, Mexican, Mexican Am., Chicano  Yes, Cuban
- Yes, other Spanish/Hispanic/Latino — *Print group.* ↗

**8. What is Person 1's race?** Mark  **one or more races** to indicate what this person considers himself/herself to be.

- White
- Black, African Am., or Negro
- American Indian or Alaska Native — *Print name of enrolled or principal tribe.* ↗

- Asian Indian  Japanese  Native Hawaiian
- Chinese  Korean  Guamanian or Chamorro
- Filipino  Vietnamese  Samoan
- Other Asian — *Print race.* ↗  Other Pacific Islander — *Print race.* ↗

- Some other race — *Print race.* ↗

→ **If more people live here, continue with Person 2.**

## Person 2

Your answers are important!  
Every person in the Census counts.



1. What is Person 2's name? *Print name below.*

Last Name

First Name

MI

2. How is this person related to Person 1? Mark  ONE box.

- Husband/wife  
 Natural-born son/daughter  
 Adopted son/daughter  
 Stepson/stepdaughter  
 Brother/sister  
 Father/mother  
 Grandchild  
 Parent-in-law  
 Son-in-law/daughter-in-law  
 Other relative — *Print exact relationship.* →
- If NOT RELATED to Person 1:  
 Roomer, boarder  
 Housemate, roommate  
 Unmarried partner  
 Foster child  
 Other nonrelative

3. What is this person's sex? Mark  ONE box.

- Male  Female

4. What is this person's age and what is this person's date of birth? *Print numbers in boxes.*

Age on April 1, 2000

Month

Day

Year of birth

→ NOTE: Please answer BOTH Questions 5 and 6.

5. Is this person Spanish/Hispanic/Latino? Mark  the "No" box if **not** Spanish/Hispanic/Latino.

- No, not Spanish/Hispanic/Latino  Yes, Puerto Rican  
 Yes, Mexican, Mexican Am., Chicano  Yes, Cuban  
 Yes, other Spanish/Hispanic/Latino — *Print group.* ↴

6. What is this person's race? Mark  one or more races to indicate what this person considers himself/herself to be.

- White  
 Black, African Am., or Negro  
 American Indian or Alaska Native — *Print name of enrolled or principal tribe.* ↴

- Asian Indian  Japanese  Native Hawaiian  
 Chinese  Korean  Guamanian or Chamorro  
 Filipino  Vietnamese  Samoan  
 Other Asian — *Print race.* ↴   Other Pacific Islander — *Print race.* ↴

- Some other race — *Print race.* ↴

→ If more people live here, continue with Person 3.

## Person 3

Census information helps your  
community get financial  
assistance for roads, hospitals,  
schools, and more.



1. What is Person 3's name? *Print name below.*

Last Name

First Name

MI

2. How is this person related to Person 1? Mark  ONE box.

- Husband/wife  
 Natural-born son/daughter  
 Adopted son/daughter  
 Stepson/stepdaughter  
 Brother/sister  
 Father/mother  
 Grandchild  
 Parent-in-law  
 Son-in-law/daughter-in-law  
 Other relative — *Print exact relationship.* →
- If NOT RELATED to Person 1:  
 Roomer, boarder  
 Housemate, roommate  
 Unmarried partner  
 Foster child  
 Other nonrelative

3. What is this person's sex? Mark  ONE box.

- Male  Female

4. What is this person's age and what is this person's date of birth? *Print numbers in boxes.*

Age on April 1, 2000

Month

Day

Year of birth

→ NOTE: Please answer BOTH Questions 5 and 6.

5. Is this person Spanish/Hispanic/Latino? Mark  the "No" box if **not** Spanish/Hispanic/Latino.

- No, not Spanish/Hispanic/Latino  Yes, Puerto Rican  
 Yes, Mexican, Mexican Am., Chicano  Yes, Cuban  
 Yes, other Spanish/Hispanic/Latino — *Print group.* ↴

6. What is this person's race? Mark  one or more races to indicate what this person considers himself/herself to be.

- White  
 Black, African Am., or Negro  
 American Indian or Alaska Native — *Print name of enrolled or principal tribe.* ↴

- Asian Indian  Japanese  Native Hawaiian  
 Chinese  Korean  Guamanian or Chamorro  
 Filipino  Vietnamese  Samoan  
 Other Asian — *Print race.* ↴   Other Pacific Islander — *Print race.* ↴

- Some other race — *Print race.* ↴

→ If more people live here, continue with Person 4.

# Person 4

Information about children helps your community plan for child care, education, and recreation.



1. What is Person 4's name? *Print name below.*

Last Name

First Name

MI

2. How is this person related to Person 1? Mark  ONE box.

- |                                                                              |                                              |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Husband/wife                                        | If NOT RELATED to Person 1:                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Natural-born son/daughter                           | <input type="checkbox"/> Roomer, boarder     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adopted son/daughter                                | <input type="checkbox"/> Housemate, roommate |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Stepson/stepdaughter                                | <input type="checkbox"/> Unmarried partner   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Brother/sister                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Foster child        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Father/mother                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Other nonrelative   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grandchild                                          |                                              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Parent-in-law                                       |                                              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Son-in-law/daughter-in-law                          |                                              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other relative — <i>Print exact relationship.</i> → | <input type="text"/>                         |

3. What is this person's sex? Mark  ONE box.

- Male  Female

4. What is this person's age and what is this person's date of birth? *Print numbers in boxes.*

Age on April 1, 2000	Month	Day	Year of birth
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

→ NOTE: Please answer BOTH Questions 5 and 6.

5. Is this person Spanish/Hispanic/Latino? Mark  the "No" box if **not** Spanish/Hispanic/Latino.

- |                                                                                     |                                            |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No, not Spanish/Hispanic/Latino                            | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, Puerto Rican |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, Mexican, Mexican Am., Chicano                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, Cuban        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, other Spanish/Hispanic/Latino — <i>Print group.</i> ↴ |                                            |

6. What is this person's race? Mark  one or more races to indicate what this person considers himself/herself to be.

- White  
 Black, African Am., or Negro  
 American Indian or Alaska Native — *Print name of enrolled or principal tribe.* ↴

- |                                                             |                                     |                                                                        |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asian Indian                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Japanese   | <input type="checkbox"/> Native Hawaiian                               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Chinese                            | <input type="checkbox"/> Korean     | <input type="checkbox"/> Guamanian or Chamorro                         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Filipino                           | <input type="checkbox"/> Vietnamese | <input type="checkbox"/> Samoan                                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Asian — <i>Print race.</i> ↴ |                                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Other Pacific Islander — <i>Print race.</i> ↴ |

- Some other race — *Print race.* ↴

→ If more people live here, continue with Person 5.

# Person 5

Knowing about age, race, and sex helps your community better meet the needs of everyone.



1. What is Person 5's name? *Print name below.*

Last Name

First Name

MI

2. How is this person related to Person 1? Mark  ONE box.

- |                                                                              |                                              |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Husband/wife                                        | If NOT RELATED to Person 1:                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Natural-born son/daughter                           | <input type="checkbox"/> Roomer, boarder     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adopted son/daughter                                | <input type="checkbox"/> Housemate, roommate |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Stepson/stepdaughter                                | <input type="checkbox"/> Unmarried partner   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Brother/sister                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Foster child        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Father/mother                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Other nonrelative   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grandchild                                          |                                              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Parent-in-law                                       |                                              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Son-in-law/daughter-in-law                          |                                              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other relative — <i>Print exact relationship.</i> → | <input type="text"/>                         |

3. What is this person's sex? Mark  ONE box.

- Male  Female

4. What is this person's age and what is this person's date of birth? *Print numbers in boxes.*

Age on April 1, 2000	Month	Day	Year of birth
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

→ NOTE: Please answer BOTH Questions 5 and 6.

5. Is this person Spanish/Hispanic/Latino? Mark  the "No" box if **not** Spanish/Hispanic/Latino.

- |                                                                                     |                                            |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No, not Spanish/Hispanic/Latino                            | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, Puerto Rican |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, Mexican, Mexican Am., Chicano                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, Cuban        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, other Spanish/Hispanic/Latino — <i>Print group.</i> ↴ |                                            |

6. What is this person's race? Mark  one or more races to indicate what this person considers himself/herself to be.

- White  
 Black, African Am., or Negro  
 American Indian or Alaska Native — *Print name of enrolled or principal tribe.* ↴

- |                                                             |                                     |                                                                        |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asian Indian                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Japanese   | <input type="checkbox"/> Native Hawaiian                               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Chinese                            | <input type="checkbox"/> Korean     | <input type="checkbox"/> Guamanian or Chamorro                         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Filipino                           | <input type="checkbox"/> Vietnamese | <input type="checkbox"/> Samoan                                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Asian — <i>Print race.</i> ↴ |                                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Other Pacific Islander — <i>Print race.</i> ↴ |

- Some other race — *Print race.* ↴

→ If more people live here, continue with Person 6.



# Person 6

Your answers help  
your community plan  
for the future.



1. What is Person 6's name? *Print name below.*

Last Name

First Name

MI

2. How is this person related to Person 1? Mark  ONE box.

- |                                                                              |                                              |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Husband/wife                                        | If NOT RELATED to Person 1:                  |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Natural-born son/daughter                           | <input type="checkbox"/> Roomer, boarder     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Adopted son/daughter                                | <input type="checkbox"/> Housemate, roommate |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Stepson/stepdaughter                                | <input type="checkbox"/> Unmarried partner   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Brother/sister                                      | <input type="checkbox"/> Foster child        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Father/mother                                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Other nonrelative   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grandchild                                          |                                              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Parent-in-law                                       |                                              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Son-in-law/daughter-in-law                          |                                              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other relative — <i>Print exact relationship.</i> → | <input type="text"/>                         |

3. What is this person's sex? Mark  ONE box.

- Male       Female

4. What is this person's age and what is this person's date of birth? *Print numbers in boxes.*

Age on April 1, 2000	Month	Day	Year of birth
<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

→ NOTE: Please answer BOTH Questions 5 and 6.

5. Is this person Spanish/Hispanic/Latino? Mark  the "No" box if *not* Spanish/Hispanic/Latino.

- |                                                                                     |                                            |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> No, not Spanish/Hispanic/Latino                            | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, Puerto Rican |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, Mexican, Mexican Am., Chicano                         | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, Cuban        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Yes, other Spanish/Hispanic/Latino — <i>Print group.</i> ↘ |                                            |

6. What is this person's race? Mark  one or more races to indicate what this person considers himself/herself to be.

- White  
 Black, African Am., or Negro  
 American Indian or Alaska Native — *Print name of enrolled or principal tribe.* ↘

- |                                                             |                                     |                                                                        |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Asian Indian                       | <input type="checkbox"/> Japanese   | <input type="checkbox"/> Native Hawaiian                               |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Chinese                            | <input type="checkbox"/> Korean     | <input type="checkbox"/> Guamanian or Chamorro                         |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Filipino                           | <input type="checkbox"/> Vietnamese | <input type="checkbox"/> Samoan                                        |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Other Asian — <i>Print race.</i> ↘ |                                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Other Pacific Islander — <i>Print race.</i> ↘ |

- Some other race — *Print race.* ↘

→ If more people live here, list their names on the back of this page in the spaces provided.

**Please turn  
to go to last  
page.**

## Persons 7 – 12

If you didn't have room to list everyone who lives in this house or apartment, please list the others below. You may be contacted by the Census Bureau for the same information about these people.

**Person 7** — Last Name

First Name

MI

**Person 8** — Last Name

First Name

MI

**Person 9** — Last Name

First Name

MI

**Person 10** — Last Name

First Name

MI

**Person 11** — Last Name

First Name

MI

**Person 12** — Last Name

First Name

MI

The Census Bureau estimates that, for the average household, this form will take about 10 minutes to complete, including the time for reviewing the instructions and answers. Comments about the estimate should be directed to the Associate Director for Finance and Administration, Attn: Paperwork Reduction Project 0607-0856, Room 3104, Federal Building 3, Bureau of the Census, Washington, DC 20233.

Respondents are not required to respond to any information collection unless it displays a valid approval number from the Office of Management and Budget.

**Thank you for  
completing your official  
U.S. Census 2000 form.**

### FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

**A. JIC1**

**B. JIC2**

**C. JIC3**

**D. JIC4**





**If you need help completing this form, call 1-800-471-9424 between 8:00 a.m. and 9:00 p.m., 7 days a week. The telephone call is free.**

**TDD — Telephone display device for the hearing impaired. Call 1-800-582-8330 between 8:00 a.m. and 9:00 p.m., 7 days a week. The telephone call is free.**

**¿NECESITA AYUDA?** Si usted necesita ayuda para completar este cuestionario llame al 1-800-471-8642 entre las 8:00 a.m. y las 9:00 p.m., 7 días a la semana. La llamada telefónica es gratis.



# Appendix E.

## Data Products and User Assistance

---

	Page
Census 2000 Data Products.....	E-1
Census 2000 Maps and Geographic Products .....	E-3
Reference Materials.....	E-4
Sources of Assistance .....	E-4

### CENSUS 2000 DATA PRODUCTS

The decennial census yields a wealth of data, which have virtually unlimited applications. A comprehensive data program offers census information on the Internet, in electronic media (CD-ROM/DVD), and in print. A complete list of Census 2000 data products, with their release status, is available at <http://www.census.gov/population/www/censusdata/c2kproducts.html>.

Detailed results of Census 2000 are contained in a series of five summary files. These are available on the Internet and on CD-ROM or DVD. In addition, three series of reports derived from these files are available in print and in Portable Document Format (PDF) on the Internet.

#### Internet and CD-ROM/DVD Products

Census 2000 data are available at several locations on the Census Bureau's Web site. The Census 2000 Gateway page provides links to Census 2000 data, information, and reference materials. It is accessed from the Census Bureau's home page ([www.census.gov](http://www.census.gov)) or at <http://www.census.gov/main/www/cen2000.html>. Links from the Gateway page include American FactFinder®; State and County QuickFacts; other prepared Census 2000 tables, including rankings and comparisons; reference materials; user updates; and Census in the Schools.

American Factfinder ([factfinder.census.gov](http://factfinder.census.gov)) is the most comprehensive source of Census 2000 data, providing all summary file tables for all levels of census geography. Quick tables (single geography tables) and geographic comparison tables (data for more than one geographic area) are also available on American FactFinder.

Most Census 2000 tabulations are also available on CD-ROM and/or DVD. Software is included on the DVDs and most CDs. These may be ordered by phone through the Census Bureau's Customer Services Center on 301-763-4636, or via e-commerce by selecting Catalog from the Census Bureau's home page. For more information on the products and ordering options, access the Census Catalog's product order form at <https://catalog.mso.census.gov>.

**Census 2000 Redistricting Data (Public Law 94-171) Summary File.** The first Census 2000 data files released provide the data required for local redistricting. The data include tabulations of 63 race categories, cross-tabulated by Hispanic or Latino and not Hispanic or Latino for the total population and the population 18 years old and over. These tabulations are presented for areas as small as blocks, census tracts, and voting districts. They are available through the Internet (American FactFinder) and as a CD-ROM series (state files). In American FactFinder ([factfinder.census.gov](http://factfinder.census.gov)), all redistricting data tables are available by selecting Data Sets on the FactFinder main page. FactFinder also has one quick table and one geographic comparison table based on this file.

**Summary File 1 (SF 1).** This file presents counts and basic cross-tabulations of information collected from all people and housing units. This information includes age, sex, race, Hispanic or Latino origin, household relationship, and whether the residence is owned or rented. Data are available down to the block level for many tabulations, but only to the census-tract level for others. Summaries are included for other geographic areas, such as ZIP Code® Tabulation Areas

---

(ZCTAs™) and Congressional Districts (106th Congress). There are individual state files and two national files in this series. The final national file provides the first available urban and rural data. The complete Summary File 1 is available on the Internet (American FactFinder) and on CD-ROM/DVD.

Additional tables derived from this summary file are also available on the Census Bureau's Internet site. These can be located through the Census 2000 Gateway page at <http://www.census.gov/main/www/cen2000.html>. Related products include a demographic profile that provides a snapshot of the geographic area, quick tables, geographic comparison tables, and two printed report series, *Summary Population and Housing Characteristics* (PHC-1) and *Population and Housing Unit Counts* (PHC-3).

**Summary File 2 (SF 2).** This file presents data similar to the information included in Summary File 1, but the tables in this file are iterated for a selected list of race and Hispanic or Latino categories and for American Indian and Alaska Native tribes. These data are shown down to the census tract level for up to 250 race and ethnic categories that meet a specified minimum population size threshold of 100 in a geographic area. The complete SF 2 is available on the Internet (American FactFinder) and on CD-ROM/DVD. American FactFinder also offers various quick tables and geographic comparison tables derived from SF 2.

**Summary File 3 (SF 3).** This file is the first release of the information collected on a sample basis. It includes data on income, educational attainment, poverty status, home value, and population totals for foreign born and ancestry groups. Data are provided down to the block group level for many tabulations but only to the census tract level for others. SF 3 also includes data by ZCTAs and Congressional Districts (106th Congress).

Data for each state and a national file are available on the American Factfinder and on CD-ROM/DVD. Related products include a three-page demographic profile available on the Internet, various quick tables and geographic comparison tables available through American Factfinder, and a printed report series, *Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics* (PHC-2).

**Summary File 4 (SF 4).** This file includes tabulations of the population and housing data collected from a sample of the population. Just as in Summary File 2, the tables in SF 4 are iterated for a selected list of race and Hispanic or Latino origin groups and for American Indian and Alaska Native tribes. Tables are also iterated for 86 ancestry groups. The file is available on the Internet (American FactFinder) and on CD-ROM/DVD. American FactFinder also offers various quick tables and geographic comparison tables derived from Summary File 4.

**Microdata.** Microdata products allow users to prepare their own customized tabulations and cross tabulations of most population and housing subjects, using specially prepared microdata files. These files are the actual responses to census questionnaires, but with names or addresses removed and the geography sufficiently broad to protect confidentiality. Microdata are available on CD-ROM/DVD and may be available for query via the Internet.

*Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS) Files.* There are two PUMS files: a 1-percent sample for developing tabulations for metropolitan areas and a 5-percent sample that provides tabulations for state and substate areas. Both files are available on CD-ROM/DVD.

*Advanced Query Function.* Tabulations can be prepared online using the full database of individual responses, subject to restrictions and filters required to protect the confidentiality of individual responses. The Internet availability of this function is subject to policy decisions on access and confidentiality.

### **Printed Reports and Profiles**

There are three series of printed reports with one report per state and a national summary volume. These reports are sold through the U.S. Government Printing Office. Much of the information in these series is available earlier in other data products. For release and ordering information, see the Census Catalog (<https://catalog.mso.census.gov/>).

---

Profiles and other data tables are generally available on the Internet. Printed copies of the profiles are offered as a print-on-demand product. Contact the Customer Services Center (301-763-4636) for pricing and availability.

**Summary Population and Housing Characteristics (PHC-1).** This publication series includes information on the 100-percent population and housing subjects. The data are available for the United States, regions, divisions, states, counties, county subdivisions, places, metropolitan areas, urbanized areas, American Indian and Alaska Native areas, and Hawaiian home lands. This series is comparable to the 1990 CPH-1 report series, Summary Population and Housing Characteristics. The series is also available in PDF format on the Internet.

**Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics (PHC-2).** This publication series includes information on the sample population and housing subjects. Data are shown for the same geographic areas as Summary Population and Housing Characteristics (PHC-1) described above. This series is comparable to the 1990 CPH-5 report series, Summary Social, Economic, and Housing Characteristics. The series is available in PDF format on the Internet.

**Population and Housing Unit Counts (PHC-3).** This publication series includes population and housing unit counts for Census 2000 as well as the 1990 and earlier censuses. Information on area measurements and population density is included. There is one printed report for each state, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico plus a national report. The series is available in PDF format on the Internet.

**Profiles and Other Data Tables.** Demographic profiles, quick tables, and geographic comparison tables include predefined sets of data to meet the needs of the majority of data users. They are convenient and readily available sources when moderate subject and geographic detail is needed. Demographic profiles (PDF) are available on the Census Bureau's Web site. Demographic profiles as well as quick tables and geographic comparison tables are available through American FactFinder.

## **CENSUS 2000 MAPS AND GEOGRAPHIC PRODUCTS**

A variety of maps, boundary files, and other geographic products are available to help users locate and identify geographic areas. These products are available in various media, such as the Internet, CD-ROM, DVD, and, for maps, as print-on-demand products. A complete description of Census 2000 geographic products and resources is available at [www.census.gov/geo/www/](http://www.census.gov/geo/www/).

**TIGER/Line Files.** These files contain geographic boundaries and codes, streets, address ranges, and coordinates for use with commercially available geographic information systems (GIS) for mapping and other applications.

**Census Block Maps.** These maps show the boundaries, names, and codes for American Indian and Alaska Native areas and Hawaiian home lands, states, counties, county subdivisions, places, census tracts, and census blocks. This map series is also produced by specified governmental units (e.g., American Indian/Alaska Native areas, Hawaiian home lands, counties, incorporated places, and functioning minor civil divisions).

**Census Tract Outline Maps.** These county maps provide the boundaries and numbers of census tracts and names of features underlying the boundaries. They also show the boundaries, names, and codes for American Indian/Alaska Native areas, counties, county subdivisions, and places.

**Reference Maps.** This series shows the boundaries for tabulation areas including states, counties, American Indian reservations, county subdivisions (minor civil divisions (MCDs)/census county divisions (CCDs)), incorporated places, and census designated places. This series includes the state and county subdivision outline maps, urbanized area maps, and metropolitan area maps. These maps vary from page size to wall size.

---

**Generalized Boundary Files.** These files are designed for use in a geographic information system (GIS) or similar computer mapping software. Boundary files are available for most levels of census geography.

**Thematic Maps.** These colorful maps display Census 2000 data on such topics as population density and population distribution.

## REFERENCE MATERIALS

The reference materials for Census 2000 are available at the Census Bureau's Internet site ([www.census.gov](http://www.census.gov)) or, in the case of CD-ROMs/DVD, on the product itself.

**Census 2000 Gateway.** This page provides descriptions and links to Internet tables and reference materials relating to Census 2000. It is available at <http://www.census.gov/main/www/cen2000.html> or by selecting the Census 2000 logo on the Census Bureau's home page ([www.census.gov](http://www.census.gov)).

**Census Online Catalog.** Census 2000 data products, their availability, and their prices are described in the Catalog portion of the Web site. The catalog can be reached from the Census Bureau home page by selecting Catalog from the side bar or at <https://catalog.mso.census.gov>.

**American FactFinder®.** American FactFinder (AFF) is the system that presents, via the Internet, comprehensive data from Census 2000 and other Census Bureau data programs. Reference materials about the data, including subject and geographic glossaries, are included. In addition, AFF presents reference maps, which provide boundaries and features for the requested geography, and thematic maps, which offer data in a map presentation.

All data and all geography available in the Census 2000 Summary Files are accessible through AFF. FactFinder is available through the Census Bureau's home page ([www.census.gov](http://www.census.gov)) or from [factfinder.census.gov](http://factfinder.census.gov).

**Technical Documentation.** Technical documentation includes an abstract, a how-to-use chapter, the table layouts, the summary level sequence chart, the subject and geographic glossaries, accuracy of the data, and the data dictionary. CD-ROM and DVD products include the relevant technical documentation file on the disc. Technical documentation for files released on CD-ROM/DVD is also available on the Web site at <http://www.census.gov/prod/cen2000/>.

## SOURCES OF ASSISTANCE

**U.S. Census Bureau.** Census 2000 CD-ROM and DVD products are available through the Census Bureau's Customer Services Center. These can be ordered via e-commerce from the Census Catalog at <https://catalog.mso.census.gov/> or by telephoning Customer Services at 301-763-4636.

The Census Bureau also has an active customer information program in each of its 12 regions. This program, called the Partnership and Data Services (PDS) program, provides information about Census Bureau statistics and offers training and assistance to data users. The Partnership and Data Services specialists in the Census Bureau's 12 Regional Offices answer thousands of questions each year. State coverage for each region as well as contact information is available at <http://www.census.gov/contacts/www/c-regoff.html>.

**Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office (GPO).** The GPO ([www.gpo.gov](http://www.gpo.gov)) handles the sale of most of the federal government's publications, including Census 2000 reports. For the current information on ordering publications from GPO, see <http://bookstore.gpo.gov/prf/ordinfo.html>.

**State Data Centers.** The Census Bureau furnishes data products, training in data access and use, technical assistance, and consultation to all states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, U.S. Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands. State Data Centers (SDCs) offer publications for reference, specially prepared reports, maps, other

---


products, and assistance to data users. A component of the program is the Business and Industry Data Center (BIDC) Program, which supports the business community by expanding SDC services to government, academic, and nonprofit organizations that directly serve businesses. For a list of SDC/BIDCs, including their services and their Web sites, access <http://www.census.gov/sdc/www/>.

**Census Information Centers.** The Census Information Center (CIC) program is a cooperative activity between the Census Bureau and national nonprofit organizations representing interests of racial and ethnic communities. The program objective is to make census information and data available to the participating organizations for analysis, policy planning, and for further dissemination through a network of regional and local affiliates. For a listing of the organizations and the contacts, access <http://www.census.gov/clo/www/cic.html>.

The Census Bureau's Customer Liaison Office administers both the SDC and CIC programs. For more information on programs of that office, access <http://www.census.gov/clo/www/clo.html>.

# Appendix F. Maps

## Counties



# County Subdivision Outline Map Legend and County Location Index

## Map Legend

---	International
	<b>CAMPO</b> American Indian Reservation (Federal) <sup>1</sup>
	<b>ZIA</b> Off-Reservation Trust Land <sup>1</sup>
---	State
	<b>ERIE</b> County
	<b>YORK</b> County Subdivision
---	<b>ROME</b> Incorporated Place
---	<i>Zena</i> Census Designated Place
	<i>Lake Erie</i> Large River, Lake, Water Body, or Shoreline
	A fishhook joins contiguous and/or discontinuous parts of the same geographic entity

## Map Sections



<sup>1</sup> A 'w' following an American Indian area name indicates that tribal subdivisions are defined for that area. The tribal subdivision boundaries are displayed on the tribal subdivision map(s) immediately following the State/County Subdivision maps.

Note: All legal boundaries and names are as of January 1, 2000. Where international, state, county, and/or county subdivision boundaries coincide, the map shows the boundary symbol for the highest level of these geographic entities. The county boundary is always shown. Where a county subdivision boundary coincides with a place boundary, the map does not show the place boundary symbol. Any geographic entity name may include '(pt.)' if some portion of the entity extends beyond the limits of the map area displayed on the page, or if multiple discontinuous pieces of the entity have been discretely labeled on the page. A geographic entity name may include '(pts.)' if many discontinuous pieces exist for that entity that cannot be discretely labeled. The boundaries shown on this map are for Census Bureau statistical data collection and tabulation purposes only; their depiction and designation for statistical purposes does not constitute a determination of jurisdictional authority or rights of ownership or entitlement.

## County Location Index

This list presents the reference coordinates for each county on the county subdivision outline map. Map section numbers refer to the county subdivision outline maps only.

COUNTY	MAP SEC	MAP REF
Apache.....	1	DP-DD
Cochise.....	2	DN-DY
Coconino.....	1	DB-DA
Gila.....	2	DH-DN
Graham.....	2	DM-DS
Greenlee.....	2	DQ-DQ
La Paz.....	2	CO-DN
Maricopa.....	2	CX-DP
Mohave.....	1	CP-DB
Navajo.....	1	DK-DD
Pima.....	2	DB-DX
Pinal.....	2	DD-DS
Santa Cruz.....	2	DG-EA
Yavapai.....	1	CW-DI
Yuma.....	2	CO-DT











# American Indian Reservation, Tribal Subdivisions – Gila River




# American Indian Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, Tribal Subdivisions – Hopi






# American Indian Reservation, Tribal Subdivisions – Salt River



## Map Legend


- American Indian Reservation
- Tribal Subdivision

Note: All legal boundaries and names are as of January 1, 2000. Where American Indian area and tribal subdivision boundaries coincide, the map shows the boundary symbol for the American Indian area. Any geographic entity name may include '(pt.)' if some portion of the entity extends beyond the limits of the map area displayed on the page, or if multiple discontinuous pieces of the entity have been discretely labeled on the page. A geographic entity name may include '(pts.)' if many discontinuous pieces exist for that entity that cannot be discretely labeled. The boundaries shown on this map are for Census Bureau statistical data collection and tabulation purposes only; their depiction and designation for statistical purposes does not constitute a determination of jurisdictional authority or rights of ownership or entitlement.





# American Indian Reservation and Off-Reservation Trust Land, Tribal Subdivisions - Tohono O'odham





# Appendix G.

## Accuracy of the Data

---

### **MASTER ADDRESS FILE AND ENUMERATION PROCEDURES**

The majority of addresses in the United States are in what is known for census purposes as the mailout/mailback area, which in general consists of areas with predominantly city-style mailing addresses. The original source of addresses on the Master Address File (MAF) for the mailout/mailback areas was the 1990 Census address file, the Address Control File (ACF). The first update to the ACF addresses is a U.S. Postal Service (USPS) Delivery Sequence File (DSF) of addresses. The November 1997, September 1998, November 1999, and April 2000 DSFs were incorporated into the MAF.

Until shortly before the census, the ACF addresses and the November 1997 and September 1998 residential DSF addresses constituted the MAF. These addresses were tested against Census Bureau geographic information to determine their location at the census block level. The geographic information is maintained in the Census Bureau's Topologically Integrated Geographic Encoding Referencing (TIGER®) system. When an address on the MAF can be uniquely matched to the address range in TIGER® for a street segment that forms one of the boundaries of a particular block, the address is said to be geocoded to that block. Valid and geocoded addresses appeared on each address list used for a field operation.

The Block Canvass operation was the next major address list operation in the mailout/mailback areas for Census 2000, taking place in January through May 1999. There was a 100-percent canvass of every block. Every geocoded address was printed in a block-by-block address register, and Block Canvassing listers identified the addresses as verified as a housing unit (with possible corrections to the address); a delete (no such address); a duplicate, implying the unit exists elsewhere on the list with a different, unmatchable designation, such as a different street name or building name; uninhabitable; or nonresidential.

Occurring in approximately the same time frame as Block Canvassing was a cooperative address list check with local governmental units throughout the country, called Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) 98. In LUCA 98, the participating governmental units received an address list and were asked for input mostly on added units but also on deleted units and corrected street names or directionals. The outcome of this operation was similar to that of Block Canvassing; units were added to and deleted from blocks, and address corrections were made.

The Decennial Master Address File (DMAF) was created in July 1999. This was the file used for printing most of the Census 2000 questionnaires. In the mailout/mailback areas, the operations that had yielded housing units and their status before this initial printing stage were the ACF, the November 1997 DSF, the September 1998 DSF, LUCA 98, and Block Canvassing.

Following the creation of the initial DMAF, there were updates to the DMAF. Addresses were added by the November 1999, February 2000, and April 2000 DSFs. Address update operations that occurred subsequent to the creation of the initial DMAF were the LUCA 98 field verification and appeal processes. Units receiving a conflicting status from the Block Canvassing and the LUCA 98 operation were sent for field verification by the Census Bureau; the results of the field verification were sent to the governmental units. At this stage the governmental unit could appeal the Census Bureau's findings for particular units. At an appeal, the Census Bureau and the governmental unit submitted their evidence of the status of a housing unit for independent review, and a ruling was issued. Both the field verification and the appeal process had the potential to change the status of a housing unit.

---

A final operation in mailout/mailback areas that added addresses before Census Day was the New Construction operation, another cooperative effort with participating governmental units. This operation used governmental units' local knowledge to identify new housing units in February and March of 2000.

After mailout/mailback, the second most common method of questionnaire delivery was update/leave. The address list for update/leave areas was constructed during a Census Bureau field operation called Address Listing rather than from the ACF and DSF, because the addresses are primarily noncity-style. Census employees were sent to the field with maps of their assignment areas and were instructed to record the city-style address, noncity-style address or location description, or possibly some combination of the above, for every housing unit. In addition, the location of the unit was noted on the census map with what is known as a map spot. This operation took place in the fall of 1998.

At the completion of the processing of the address listing data, it was possible to tabulate the number of housing units in each block. Because the housing units in these areas may have non-standard mailing addresses and may be recorded in census files solely with a location description, the governmental units participating in the local review operation in these areas were sent lists of housing unit counts by block. This operation was called LUCA 99. When the LUCA 99 participant disagreed with a Census block count, that block was sent out for LUCA 99 recanvassing, in which census employees were redeployed to make updates to the address list. There was also a LUCA 99 appeal process for settling housing unit status discrepancies, which has the potential to add units to the address list. The LUCA 99 recanvassing and LUCA 99 appeal process took place at various times during the updating of the DMAF. Most of the LUCA 99 entities had their recanvassing results processed before creation of the initial DMAF, but many did not. There were DMAF updates designed specifically for getting late recanvassing and appeal results added into the census files in time for USPS delivery of a questionnaire.

The last address list-building operation in the update/leave areas was the Update/Leave operation itself. This operation was responsible for having a census questionnaire hand-delivered at every housing unit. In the process the MAF and the maps were updated.

In the most remote areas of the United States, the housing units were listed at the time of Census 2000 as the persons within them were enumerated. These operations were called List/Enumerate and Remote Alaska enumeration. This was the only source of addresses in these areas. All housing units were map spotted at the time of enumeration.

For some other regions of the country, where the address list had already been created, it was thought that an enumeration of the population would be more successful than mailback of the forms. Here an update/enumerate operation was instituted. There are two types of update/enumerate areas. The urban areas had passed through all the mailout/mailback operations up through the point of the creation of the initial DMAF, and the rural areas had passed through Address Listing, and sometimes LUCA 99, by the time of the creation of the initial DMAF. Because of these separate paths taken, it was necessary to distinguish between the urban and rural update/enumerate areas.

Another special enumeration is urban update/leave, which took place in areas where mail delivery was considered to be problematic. The addresses had passed through all the operations of the mailout/mailback areas up through the creation of the initial DMAF, but the area was visited by enumerators during the census, and, therefore, additions, deletions, and corrections to the address list were made.

People who did not receive a questionnaire at their house could submit a Be Counted Form, or they could call Telephone Questionnaire Assistance and have their information collected over the phone. Addresses from these operations that did not match those already on the DMAF were visited in a Field Verification operation to determine if they exist. Verified addresses were added to the address list.

One more source of information about housing units listed on the DMAF is the Nonresponse Follow-up (NRFU) operation. During NRFU, enumerators follow up on units that had not returned a

---

preaddressed census form. Units in NRFU can possibly be deleted or deemed vacant. At the same time, units that do not appear on the address list or maps could be added and enumerated concurrently. This operation occurs in mailout/mailback, update/leave, and urban update/leave areas.

### **SERVICE-BASED ENUMERATION**

Service-Based Enumeration (SBE) was designed to account for persons without usual residence that use service facilities (i.e., shelters, soup kitchens, and mobile food vans). Only people using the service facility on the interview day were enumerated. In addition, people enumerated in targeted nonshelter outdoor locations and persons without usual residence that filed Be-Counted Forms (BCF) augmented the SBE count. The final total was included in the total population. This component of the enumeration should *not* be interpreted as a complete count of the homeless population.

### **CONFIDENTIALITY OF THE DATA**

The Census Bureau has modified some data in this data release to protect confidentiality. Title 13, United States Code, Section 9, prohibits the Census Bureau from publishing results in which an individual's data can be identified.

The Census Bureau's internal Disclosure Review Board sets the confidentiality rules for all data releases. A checklist approach is used to ensure that all potential risks to the confidentiality of the data are considered and addressed. Questions about confidentiality may be addressed to: [webmaster@census.gov](mailto:webmaster@census.gov) Attention Policy.

### **Title 13, United States Code**

Title 13 of the United States Code authorizes the Census Bureau to conduct censuses and surveys. Section 9 of the same Title requires that any information collected from the public under the authority of Title 13 be maintained as confidential. Section 214 of Title 13 and Sections 3559 and 3571 of Title 18 of the United States Code provide for the imposition of penalties of up to 5 years in prison and up to \$250,000 in fines for wrongful disclosure of confidential census information.

### **Disclosure Limitation**

Disclosure limitation is the process for protecting the confidentiality of data. A disclosure of data occurs when someone can use published statistical information to identify an individual that has provided information under a pledge of confidentiality. Using disclosure limitation procedures, the Census Bureau modifies or removes the characteristics that put confidential information at risk for disclosure. Although it may appear that a table shows information about a specific individual, the Census Bureau has taken steps to disguise the original data while making sure the results are still useful.

### **Data Swapping**

Data swapping is a method of disclosure limitation designed to protect confidentiality in tables of frequency data (the number or percentage of the population with certain characteristics). Data swapping is done by editing the source data or exchanging records for a sample of cases when creating a table. A sample of households is selected and matched on a set of selected key variables with households in neighboring geographic areas that have similar characteristics (such as the same number of adults and same number of children). Because the swap often occurs within a neighboring area, there is no effect on the marginal totals for the area or for totals that include data from multiple areas. Because of data swapping, users should not assume that tables with cells having a value of one or two reveal information about specific individuals.

### **NONSAMPLING ERROR**

In any large-scale statistical operation, such as Census 2000, human- and computer-related errors occur. These errors are commonly referred to as nonsampling errors. Such errors include not enumerating every household or every person in the population, not obtaining all required information from the respondents, obtaining incorrect or inconsistent information, and recording information incorrectly. In addition, errors can occur during the field review of the enumerators' work, during clerical handling of the census questionnaires, or during the electronic processing of the questionnaires.

---

While it is impossible to completely eliminate nonsampling error from an operation as large and complex as the decennial census, the Census Bureau attempts to control the sources of such error during the collection and processing operations. Described below are the primary sources of nonsampling error and the programs instituted to control this error in Census 2000. The success of these programs, however, was contingent upon how well the instructions actually were carried out during the census. As part of the Census 2000 evaluation program, both the effects of these programs and the amount of error remaining after their application will be evaluated.

### **Types of Nonsampling Error**

**Nonresponse.** Nonresponse to particular questions on the census questionnaire or the failure to obtain any information for a housing unit allows for the introduction of bias into the data because the characteristics of the nonrespondents have not been observed and may differ from those reported by respondents. As a result, any imputation procedure using respondent data may not completely reflect these differences either at the elemental level (individual person or housing unit) or on the average. Some protection against the introduction of large biases is afforded by minimizing nonresponse. Characteristics for the nonresponses were imputed by using reported data for a person or housing unit with similar characteristics.

**Respondent and enumerator error.** The person answering the mail questionnaire for a household or responding to the questions posed by an enumerator could serve as a source of error. Although the question wording was extensively tested in several experimental studies prior to the census, the mail respondent may overlook or misunderstand a question, or answer a question in a way that cannot be interpreted correctly by the data capture system. The enumerator may also misinterpret or otherwise incorrectly record information given by a respondent, may fail to collect some of the information for a person or household, or may collect data for households that were not designated as part of the sample. To control problems such as these with the field enumeration, the work of enumerators was monitored carefully. Field staff were prepared for their tasks by using standardized training packages that included hands-on experience in using census materials. A sample of the households interviewed by each enumerator was reinterviewed to control for the possibility of fabricated data being submitted by an enumerator.

**Processing error.** The many phases involved in processing the census data represent potential sources for the introduction of nonsampling error. The processing of the census questionnaires completed by enumerators included field review by the crew leader, check-in, and transmittal of completed questionnaires. No field reviews were done on the mail return questionnaires for this census. Error may also be introduced by the misinterpretation of data by the data capture system or the failure to capture all the information that the respondents or enumerators provided on the forms. Write-in entries go through coding operations, which may also be a source of processing error in the data. Many of the various field, coding, and computer operations undergo a number of quality assurance and quality control checks to help ensure their accurate application.

### **Reduction of Nonsampling Error**

To reduce various types of nonsampling errors, a number of techniques were implemented during the planning, development of the mailing address list, data collection, and data processing activities. Quality assurance methods were used throughout the data collection and processing phases of the census to improve the quality of the data. A reinterview program was implemented to minimize the errors in the data collection phase for enumerator-filled questionnaires.

Several coverage improvement programs were implemented during the development of the census address list and census enumeration and processing to minimize undercoverage of the population and housing units. These programs were developed based on experience from the 1990 census and results from the Census 2000 testing cycle.

- Be Counted questionnaires, unaddressed forms requesting all short form items, plus a few additional items were available in public locations for people who believed they were not otherwise counted.

- 
- An introductory letter was sent to all mailout/mailback addresses and many addresses in update/leave areas prior to the mailing of the census form. A reminder postcard was also sent to these addresses.
  - Forms in Spanish or other languages were mailed to those who requested them by returning the introductory letter.
  - A well-publicized, toll-free telephone number was available to answer questions about the forms. Also, responses of households who had received a short form could be taken over the phone.
  - Under the Local Update of Census Addresses (LUCA) program, many local governments had the opportunity to address specific concerns about the accuracy and completeness of the Master Address File before mailings began.

### **Resolving Multiple Responses**

With multiple ways for people to initiate their enumeration, as well as the field follow-up operations, it was very likely that some people would be enumerated more than once. A special computer process was implemented to control the extent of this type of nonsampling error by resolving situations where more than one form was received from an address. The process consisted of several steps. Addresses that had more than one viable return were analyzed. Housing data from one form were chosen as the housing data to use in subsequent census processing. Within each of these addresses, comparisons of the person records on each return were made against the person records on the other returns at the same address. People found to have been included on two or more different returns were marked as such, and only one of the person records was used in subsequent processing.

### **IMPUTING HOUSING UNIT STATUS AND POPULATION COUNTS**

Following the completion of all data collection activities for Census 2000, a computer file of census housing units was created. For some housing units, information about whether the housing unit was occupied, vacant, or nonexistent was not available. These housing units were defined as “unclassified.” Unclassified housing units were assigned a housing unit status of occupied, vacant, or nonexistent by assigning the status of a nearby housing unit to the unclassified unit. Additionally, the number of persons living in some housing units known to be occupied was unknown. Housing units with unknown population were assigned the population count of a nearby occupied housing unit. All other data for these housing units was assigned via substitution or allocation during the editing of unacceptable data described in the next section.

### **EDITING OF UNACCEPTABLE DATA**

The objective of the processing operation was to produce a set of data that describes the population as accurately and clearly as possible. In a major change from past practice, the information on Census 2000 questionnaires generally was not edited during field data collection nor during data capture operations for consistency, completeness, and acceptability. Enumerator-filled questionnaires were reviewed by census crew leaders and local office clerks for adherence to specified procedures. No clerical review of mail return questionnaires was done to ensure that the information on the form could be data captured, nor were households contacted as in previous censuses to collect data that were missing from census returns.

Most census questionnaires received by mail from respondents as well as those filled by enumerators were processed through a new contractor-built image scanning system that used optical mark and character recognition to convert the responses into computer files. The optical character recognition, or OCR, process used several pattern and context checks to estimate accuracy thresholds for each write-in field. The system also used “soft edits” on most interpreted numeric write-in responses to decide whether the field values read by the machine interpretation were acceptable. If the value read had a lower than acceptable accuracy threshold or was outside of the soft edit range, the image of the item was displayed to a keyer, who then entered the response.

---

To control the creation of possibly erroneous people from questionnaires completed incorrectly or containing stray marks, an edit on the number of people indicated on each mail return and enumerator-filled questionnaire was implemented as part of the data capture system. Failure of this edit resulted in the review of the questionnaire image at a workstation by an operator, that identified erroneous person records and corrected OCR interpretation errors in the population count field.

At Census Bureau headquarters, the mail response data records were subjected to a computer edit that identified households exhibiting a possible coverage problem and those with more than six household members—the maximum number of persons who could be enumerated on a mail questionnaire. Attempts were made to contact these households on the telephone to correct the count inconsistency and to collect the census data for those people for whom there was no room on the questionnaire.

Incomplete or inconsistent information on the questionnaire data records was assigned acceptable values using imputation procedures during the final automated edit of the collected data. Imputations, or computer assignments of acceptable codes in place of unacceptable entries or blanks, are needed most often when an entry for a given item is lacking or when the information reported for a person on that item is inconsistent with other information for that person. This process is known as allocation. As in previous censuses, the general procedure for changing unacceptable entries was to assign an entry for a person that was consistent with entries for persons with similar characteristics. The assignment of acceptable codes in place of blanks or unacceptable entries enhances the usefulness of the data. Allocation rates for census items are made available with the published census data.

Another way corrections were made during the computer editing process was through substitution; that is, the assignment of a full set of characteristics for people in a household. When there was an indication that a household was occupied by a specified number of people, but the questionnaire contained no information for the people within the household or the occupants were not listed on the questionnaire, a previously accepted household of the same size was selected as a substitute, and the full set of characteristics for the substitute was duplicated. Housing characteristics are not substituted. Table H18 in Summary File 1, Occupied Housing Units Substituted, represents a count of occupied housing units into which all persons have been substituted.



# Appendix H.

## Acknowledgments

---

The Office of the Associate Director for Decennial Census, **John H. Thompson**, Associate Director for Decennial Census; **Preston Jay Waite**, Assistant Director for Decennial Census; **Carolee Bush**, **Mimi L. Born**, Special Assistants; **Oscar G. Farah**, Decennial Systems Architecture and Integration Manager; **Robert Fay**, Senior Mathematical Statistician; **William Bell**, Senior Mathematical Statistician for Small Area Estimation; **Elizabeth Martin**, Senior Researcher for Survey Methodology.

**Gloria Gutierrez**, Assistant Director for Marketing and Customer Liaison; **LaVerne V. Collins**, Assistant to the Associate Director for Communications; **Kenneth C. Meyer**, Special Assistant, Office of the Associate Director for Communications.

The Decennial Management Division, **Susan M. Miskura**, Division Chief; **Teresa Angueira**, Lead Assistant Division Chief; **M. Catherine Miller**, Assistant Division Chief for Decennial Communications; **Miguel B. Perez**, Assistant Division Chief for Budget and Management Information Systems; **A. Edward Pike, III**, Assistant Division Chief for Systems, Geography and Content Programs; **Edison Gore**, Assistant Division Chief for Field Programs; **Fay F. Nash**, Assistant Division Chief for Statistical Design/Special Census Programs. Branch Chiefs and Staff: **Wilfredo Sauri Garcia**, **Kathleen M. Halterman**, **Idabelle B. Hovland**, **Jane H. Ingold**, **Agnes S. Kee**, **Edward L. Kobilarcik**, **Paulette M. Lichtman-Panzer**, **Carol M. Miller**, **William E. Norfolk**, **Burton H. Reist**, **Barbara S. Tinari**, **Maria E Urrutia**, **Violeta Vazquez**, **Andrew W. Visnansky**. Other Contributors: **Leonard R. Baer**, **Ramala Basu**, **William D. Biggar**, **Nicholas I. Birnbaum**, **Joanne L. Bluhm**, **Tasha R. Boone**, **Sharon K. Boyer**, **Sarah E. Brady**, **Carol Briggs**, **Andrea F. Brinson**, **Julia Buckley-Ess**, **Geneva A. Burns**, **Bennie K. Butler**, **Rochelle Carpenter**, **Edmund J. Coan, Jr.**, **David A. Coon**, **Donnesha Y. Correll**, **Karen A. Crook**, **Enid Cruz-Mirabal**, **Alex E. Cutter**, **KaTrina J. Dandie**, **Gail S. Davidson**, **Sherry P. Deskins**, **Gretchen A. Dickson**, **Mark E. Dickson**, **William B. Eaton**, **Richard T. Edwards**, **Cynthia R. Eurich**, **Karen S. Fields**, **Lourdes N. Flaim**, **Linda Flores-Baez**, **Charles F. Fowler, III**, **Wallace Fraser**, **Gemma M. Furno**, **Alfred Gigletto**, **John W. Gloster**, **Tere M. Glover**, **Audrian J. Gray**, **Mark T. Gray**, **Annette M. Guevarez**, **Rebecca J. Halterman**, **Carolyn L. Hampton**, **Catherine J. Hartz**, **Anne Jones**, **Doris M. Kling**, **Debra A. Latham**, **Douglas M. Lee**, **Charles T. Lee, Jr.**, **Vanessa M. Leuthold**, **Raymond N. Loftin**, **Jeannie A. McClees**, **Joy McLaughlin**, **Karen S. Medina**, **Hector X. Merced**, **Lourdes M. Morales**, **Laureen H. Moyer**, **Margarita M. Musquiz**, **Jaime Nazario-Perez**, **Jo Ann Norris**, **Ivonne Pabon-Marrero**, **Deborah Padua-Ferris**, **Eloise K. Parker**, **Alicia E. Pickett**, **Ann Quarzo**, **Annette M. Quinlan**, **Monica L. Rodia**, **Denise Sanders**, **Monique V. Sanders**, **Glenn C. Schneider**, **Clayton D. Spangenberg**, **Darlene L. Stewart**, **Kathleen J. Stoner**, **Shirley H. Stover**, **Myss R. Sykes**, **Wanda J. Thomas**, **Maura E. Tipping**, **Nichole Tillman**, **Nevalle Wade**, **Shelley A. Walker**, **Sherri M. Walker**, **Marcia S. Willhide**.

The Decennial Systems and Contracts Management Office, **Michael J. Longini**, Division Chief; **Edwin B. Wagner, Jr.**, Deputy Division Chief; **Alan J. Berlinger**, Assistant Division Chief for Data Capture Program; **J. Gary Doyle**, Assistant Division Chief for Systems Integration; **Patricia Kelly**, Assistant Division Chief for 2000 Printing Contracts; **Michael L. Palensky**, Assistant Division Chief for Acquisition Division; **Robert A. Rinaldi**, Assistant Division Chief for Automation Infrastructure; **Dennis W. Stoudt**, Assistant Division Chief for Processing and Support. Branch and Staff Chiefs: **Curtis Broadway**, **Danny Burkhead**, **Neil Thomas Cotton**, **Don Danbury**, **Wendy D. Hicks**, **Donald R. Dwyer**, **Ben Eng**, **Suzanne Fratino**, **Pauline C. Hanson**, **Carolyn Hay**, **Robert J. Hemmig**, **James Marsden**, **Warren McKay**, **George H. McLaughlin**, **William L. Peil**, **William Russell**, **David Sliom**, **Emmett F. Spiers**, **Marie P. Sudik**, **Tracy Wessler**. Other Contributors: **Carolyn G. Blackford**, **Mary Louise Bohle**, **Jean M. Clark**,

---

**Michael Clark, Jack F. Davis, Gladys V. Davis, Julia B. Dickens, Michael S. Dugan, William A. Eng, Diana L. Giffin, Margaret E. Goldsmith, Charles J. Kahn, Ellen B. Katzoff, Sunhak Kim, Patricia L. Kirk, Andrew P. Kraynak, Sandra L. Lantz, Brenda F. Lukenich, Patricia Madson, Caroline S. Magill, Karen K. Mitchell, Gerard Moore, Patrick J. Mulcahy, Duc Mong Nguyen, Robert A. Peregoy, Mary S. Petrocci, Dan E. Philipp, Phyllis Simard, Frances A. Simmons, Johanne M. Stovall, David A. Tabaska, Jess D. Thompson, Mary M. Tucker, Michael T. Wharton, Mary M. Wright.**

The Data Access and Dissemination System Office, **E. Enrique Gomez**, Division Chief; **William K. Stuart**, Assistant Division Chief. Branch and Staff Chiefs: **Harold M. Brooks, Jack F. Davis, Mark I. Kronisch, Peter Rosenson, Sandra K. Rowland**. Other Contributors: **Susan Ann Baptist, Amy M. Bishton, Marian E. Brady, Rosalie A. Britt, John K. Butler, Jr., Raymond W. Davis, Radine L. Desperes, Karen S. Dutterer, Janis A. Ennis, Sharon K. Fortuna, Beverly B. Fransen, Jean M. Haynes, Jennifer L. Holland, Eugene M. Rashlich, Aric G. Smarra, Joann M. Sutton, Doung D. To, Berlyn Wheeler, Margaret G. Williams.**

The Decennial Statistical Studies Division, **Howard Hogan**, Division Chief; **Jon Clark**, Assistant Division Chief for Census Design; **Maureen P. Lynch**, Assistant Division Chief for Coverage Measurement Processing; **Donna Kostanich**, Assistant Division Chief for Sampling and Estimation; **Rajendra Singh**, Assistant Division Chief for Statistical Communications; **David C. Whitford**, Assistant Division Chief for Statistical Program Management; **Barbara Walter**, Special Assistant to the Division Chief. Branch Chiefs: **Nicholas Alberti, Patrick Cantwell, Danny Childers, Deborah Fenstermaker, Philip M. Gbur, Richard Griffin, Charisse E. Jones, Marjorie Martinez, Alfredo Navarro, Magdalena Ramos, Jennifer Reichert, James Treat**. Other Contributors: **Tamara Adams, Paula Anderson, Mark Asiala, Susan Atha, Diane Barrett, Stephanie Baumgardner, Michael Beaghen, Rosemary Byrne, Kathy Rae Carlers, Nathan Carter, Inez Chen, John Chesnut, Kara Morgan Clarke, Ryan Cromar, Peter Davis, Charles R. Dimitri, Carl Durant, Lisa Fairchild, James Farber, Golam Farooque, Roxanne Feldpausch, Patricia Fisher, Courtney Ford, Rhonda Geddings, Greg Golebiewski, Alicia Green, Dawn E. Haines, Kevin Haley, Steven Hefter, John Hilton, Maria Cupples Hudson, Jerry Imel, Lynn Imel, Meiliawati Iskandar, Levern Jacobs, Jr., Carrie Johanson, Kimball Jonas, John Jones, Loleysa Kelly, Jae Kwang Kim, Felipe Kohn, Bau Le, Xijian Liu, Anne McGaughey, Dave McGrath, Tracey McNally, Vincent T. Mule, Jr., Nganha Nguyen, Susan Odell, Broderick Oliver, Doug Olson, Robin A. Pennington, Rebecca Piegari, Barbara Ray, Miriam Rosenthal, Matthew Salganik, Robert Sands, Eric Schindler, Shuping Shen, Dave Sheppard, Roger Shores, Charles D. Sissel, Damon Smith, Phawn Stallone, Michael Starsinic, Martha Sutt, Michael Tenebaum, Ana Valentin, Joseph G. VanNest, Mark Viator, Erin Whitworth, Glenn Wolfgang, Kevin Zajac, Mary Frances Zelenak, Randal ZuWallack.**

The Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, **Daniel H. Weinberg**, Division Chief; **Leonard J. Norry**, Assistant Division Chief for Housing Characteristics; **Charles T. Nelson**, Assistant Division Chief for Income, Poverty, and Health Statistics; **Stephanie S. Shipp**, Assistant Division Chief for Labor Force Statistics and Outreach; **Richard A. Denby**, Assistant Division Chief for Estimation, Processing, and Programming. Branch Chiefs, Staff Chiefs, and Special Assistants: **Larry L. Beasley, Donald R. Dalzell, Peter J. Fronczek, Patricia A. Johnson, Susan P. Love, John M. McNeil, Mary Naifeh, Thomas J. Palumbo, Lydia Scoon-Rogers, Thomas S. Scopp, Edward J. Welniak, Jeanne M. Woodward**. Other Contributors: **Laura Adler, Elaine M. Anderson, Jana L. Asher, John T. Baker, II, Dana A. Bradley, Robert L. Bennefield, Donna Benton, Joanne Binette, Helen Bohle, Ester Buckles, Mary Thrift Bush, Stephen L. Campbell, Charita Castro, Linda B. Cavanaugh, William S. Chapin, Joan M. Clarke, Joseph P. Dalaker, Bonnie L. Damon, Michael E. Davern, Sarah C. Davis, Katharine M. Earle, Reita Glenn-Hackett, Timothy S. Grall, Ann-Margaret Jensen, Mary C. Kirk, Diana J. Lewis, Tracy A. Loveless, Sandra Lockett, Wynona L. Mims, Thomas Niemczyk, Roberta T. Payne, Hung X. Phan, Chandarith R. Phe, Kirby G. Posey, David M. Rajnes, Dwayne Ross, Howard A. Savage, Peter J. Sepielli, Paul Siegel, Nora Szeto, Jan Tin, Sherri C. Tompa, Victor M. Valdisera, Marjorie R. Ward, Myra A. Washington, Mai A. Weismantle, Ellen B. Wilson.**



---

The Population Division, **John F. Long**, Division Chief; **Louisa F. Miller**, Assistant Division Chief for Census Programs; **Signe Wetrogan**, Assistant Division Chief for Population Estimates and Projections; **Robert A. Kominski**, Assistant Division Chief for Social and Demographic Statistics; **Jorge del Pinal**, Assistant Division Chief for Special Population Statistics; **Peter Way**, International Programs Center Chief. Branch Chiefs, Staff Chiefs, and Special Assistants: **Michael J. Batutis, Jr., Judy Belton, Claudette Bennett, Lisa Blumerman, Robert Bush, Edwin R. Byerly, Arthur Cresce, Jr., Jennifer C. Day, Kevin Deardorff, Manuel de la Puente, Glenn S. Ferri, Campbell J. Gibson, Karen Humes, Diana Lopez-Meisel, Robert Nunziata, Martin O'Connell, E. Marie Pees, J. Gregory Robinson, Phillip A. Salopek, Arlene Saluter, William Schooling, Annetta C. Smith, Gregory Spencer, Janice A. Valdisera**. Other Contributors: **Arjun Adlakha, Patricia Anderson, Amy Arnett, Angela D. Asano, Lea Auman, Cassandra Banks, Jessica Barnes, Kurt Bauman, Bonny M. Berkner, Mary Blankenship, Celia G. Boertlein, Ellen J. Bradley, Angela Brittingham, Antonio Bruce, Rosalind Bruno, Katherine Campbell, Paul R. Campbell, Rachel Cassidy, Linda Chase, Charles L. Clark, Sheila Colbert, Margaret Cole, Joseph Costanzo, Rosemarie Cowan, Andrea Curry, James Creech, Prithwis Das Gupta, Cynthia Davis, Warren F. Davis, Kimberly A. DeBarros, Donna Defibaugh, Jason Devine, Tina Dosunmu, Bruce Durdin, Jane Dye, Carol S. Faber, Alison Fields, Jason Fields, Timothy R. Fitzgerald, Todd Gardner, Yvonne Gist, Sherrell Goggin, Rosalyn M. Green, Elizabeth Grieco, Betsy Guzman, Kristin A. Hansen, Kenneth Hawkins, Mary Hawkins, Lisa Hetzel, Keller Hill, Phyllis Hogan, Amie Jamieson, Tecora Jimason, Arvella Johnson, Rodger Johnson, Nicholas Jones, Colleen Joyce, Kay T. Jung, Linda B. Kehm, Mary Elizabeth Kennedy, Mary R Kennedy, Jennifer Kipple, Lois M. Kline, Jeffrey J. Kuenzi, Emily M. Lennon, Michael Levin, Mary Louviere, Terry Lugaila, Paul Mackun, Gladys Martinez, Linda Mayberry, Jesse McKinnon, Janin Menendez, Julie Meyer, Karen M. Mills, Terri Monroe, Kathleen Morris, Debra Niner, Catherine O'Brien, Grace O'Neill, Stella Ogunwole, Thomas Ondra, Marc Perry, Sherry B. Pollock, Ann Powell, David Rain, Roberto Ramirez, Michael Ratcliffe, Cynthia Ratliff, John Reed, Edith Reeves, Clara A. Reschovsky, Donna Robertson, Anne R. Ross, Camille Ryan, Rebecca Sauer, Selma Sawaya, Jason P. Schachter, Rebeckah Schlosser, Dianne Schmidley, Hyon Shin, Robert Shlanta, Linda Showalter, Tavia Simmons, Victoria Simmons, Larry Sink, Brenda Skillern, Amy Smith, Denise I. Smith, Pamela Smith, Steven Smith, Renee E. Spraggins, Gretchen A. Stiers, Michael Stroot, Trudy Suchan, Susan M. Swan, Nancy L. Sweet, Gloria A. Swieczkowski, Leah Taguba, Anthony Tchai, Herbert Thompson, Carolyn Tillman, Marylou Unsell, Barbara Van der Vate, Paula Vines, Grace T. Waibel, William Wannall, Elizabeth Weber, Kirsten West, Nina J. Williams, David Word, Janet Wysocki.**

The Customer Liaison Office, **Stanley J. Rolark**, Division Chief. Team Leaders/Branch Chiefs: **Renee Jefferson-Copeland, Barbara A. Harris, Thelma Stiffarm**. Other Contributors: **Franklin J. Ambrose, Michael Bryan, Kassandre Cowan, Russell Davis, Jr., LaShaunne Graves, Keller Hill, Edwina Jaramillo, Janice Jones, Wayne Kei, Brenda Kelly, Barbara LaFleur, William M. Millett, Cerafin (John) Morales, Catherine Yvonne Smallwood, Debra Spinazzola, Charmae G. Taliaferro, Ernest Wilson**.

The Administrative and Customer Services Division, **Walter C. Odom**, Division Chief; **Michael G. Garland**, Assistant Division Chief for Product Development and Publications Services. Branch Chiefs: **James R. Clark, Gary J. Lauffer**. Other Contributors: **Barbara H. Blount, Cynthia G. Brooks, Meshel L. Butler, Tina T. Egan, Bernadette J. Gayle, Shirley McLaughlin, Kim D. Ottenstein, Rena S. Pinkney, Laurene V. Qualls, Amanda D. Shields, Margaret A. Smith**.

The Census 2000 Redistricting Data Office, **Marshall L. Turner, Jr.**, Division Chief; **Catherine Clark McCully**, Assistant Division Chief.

The Geography Division, **Robert W. Marx**, Division Chief; **Robert LaMacchia**, Assistant Division Chief for Geocartographic Services; **Linda Franz**, Assistant Division Chief for Geographic Operations; **David Galdi**, Assistant Division Chief for Geographic Application Systems; **Carl Hantman**, Assistant Division Chief for Geoprocessing Systems; **Joseph Knott**, Geographic Operations Advisor. Primary Contributors: **Joanne Aikman, David Aultman, Maurice Austin**,

---

**Lawrence Bates, Constance Beard, Richard Birdsong, Ronald Blake, Gerard Boudriault, Kaile Bower, Bob Brown, Calvin Brown, John Byle, Gerald Coleman, Tracy Corder, Michael DeGennaro, Charles Dingman, Leo Dougherty, David Earles, Anita Easter, Amy Fischer, Deanna Fowler, Carol Gleason, Tammi Gorsak, Michael Hackelton, Kevin Holmes, Ruth Johnson, Stephen Jones, Mark Kueck, Sean Kinn, Quinn Lee, Carl Leggieri, Rhonda Levi, Alan Longshore, Joseph Marinucci, Joan Meiller, Carol Muscia, Kimberly Newkirk, Michael Niosi, Linda Orsini, Vincent Osier, Brian Osterloh, Nick Padfield, Linda Pike, Lourdes Ramirez, Patricia Ream, Anne Richards, Barbara Rosen, Janemary Rosenson, Ricardo Ruiz, Barbara Saville, Jeffrey Schneider, Brian Scott, Stephanie Spahlinger, Jay Spurlin, Dorothy Stroz, Brian Swanhart, David Tarr, William Thompson, Angela Thornton, Timothy Trainor, Jaime Turner, Meade Turner, Michael Van Dyke, Scott Wilcox, Donna Zorn.** Other Contributors: **David Alexander, Patricia Angus, Brian Beck, Frederick Broome, John Brown, Anthony Costanzo, Raymond Craig, Paul Daisey, Robert Damario, Beverly Davis, Sonya DeSha-Hill, Dorothea Donahue, Scott Fifield, Andy Flora, Gerald Furner, Randy Fusaro, Leslie Godwin, John Liadis, Paul Manka, John McKay, Victor Meiller, Gwendolyn McLaughlin, Lornell Parks, James Pender, Al Pfeiffer, Rose Quarato, Danielle Ringstrom, Carl Sanders, George Sarkees, Joel Sobel, Daniel Sweeney, Dan Todd, Charles Whittington.**

The Telecommunications Office, **Larry J. Patin**, Division Chief; **Kenneth A. Riccini**, Assistant Division Chief. Team Leaders: **Janet T. Absher, Donald E. Badrak, II, Edward H. Cormier, Pamela D. Mosley, Clement J. Scanlan, John R. Selock, Gary K. Sweely.** Senior Staff Contributors: **Teryl A. Baker, Judith K. Brunclik, Kevin D. Butler, Steven P. Joseph, Anthony L. Lesko, Jr., Deborah L. Ludka, Patrick L. McDonald, Jae M. Pak, Lee E. Rian, Robert M. Scott, Calvin R. Spears, Ronald L. Steinberg, Christopher D. Volatile, Marcus A. Ward, Gary L. Williams.** Other Contributors: **Joan A. Babb, Michael J. Bartolomeo, Jr., Krishan K. Chhibbar, Mary E. Deas, Sharon C. Dombrowski, Brenda J. Galvin, Priscilla A. Harrell, Leo T. Hool, Minh L. Huynh, Cyrus S. Jackson, Jr., Joseph J. Powell, Phyllis A. Shipley, Cynthia A. Simmonds, Lester R. Swann, Tonette M. Swanson, Carlene C. Tayman, Vivian A. Wilson.**

The Technologies Management Office, **Barbara M. LoPresti**, Division Chief; **Howard Prouse**, Assistant Division Chief for Census Automation; **Roy F. Borgstede**, Assistant Division Chief for Systems; **Judy Dawson**, Assistant to the Assistant Division Chief for Census Automation. Team Leaders: **Steven Angel, Leah Arnold, Jerome Garrett, Chris Garza, Tim McGarvey, Bob McGrath, Tom McNeal, Mark Peitzmeier, Jane Polzer, Ellen Soper, Robert Soper, Yiwei Yu.** Other Contributors: **Edgard Antonio, Sheila Astacio, Bill Ballew, Erica Bilek, Robert Brown, Annie Calhoun, Joanne Carruba, Cedric Carter, Carol Comisarow, Frank Fisiorek, Susan Galeano, Sharon Gross, Michael Haas, Carol Hammond, Deloris Higgins, Chris Kent, Michael Marini, Patricia Montgomery, Gail Nairn, Yu-Jihng Peng, Caroline Riker, Nancy Rogers, Gary Seigel, Sandra D. Stewart, Darrin Stolba, Lynn Swindler, Luana Tran, Douglas Vibbert, John View, Karen Wyatt.**

The Statistical Research Division, **Tommy Wright**, Division Chief; **Marty Appel, Leslie Brownrigg, Beverley Causey, Bor-Chung Chen, Carol Corby, Melinda Crowley, Manuel de la Puente, Theresa DeMaio, David DesJardins, Joyce Farmer, Maria Garcia, Eleanor Gerber, Dan Gillman, Sam Hawala, Samuel Highsmith, Jr., Richard Hoffman, III, C. Easley Hoy, Elizabeth Huang, Michael Ikeda, Cary Isaki, Catherine Keeley, Jay Kim, William LaPlant, Gregory Lestina, Jr., John Linebarger, Lawrence Malakhoff, Donald Malec, Kent Marquis, Paul Massell, Thomas Mayer, Jeffrey Moore, Elizabeth Murphy, Elizabeth Nichols, Thomas Petkunas, Edward Porter, Lorraine Randall, Cleo Redline, Matt Salo, Mary Scaggs, Laurel Schwede, Philip Steel, Yves Thibaudeau, Julie Tsay, Elizabeth Vacca, Todd Williams, William Winkler, Laura Zayatz.**

The Congressional Affairs Office, **Robin J. Bachman**, Division Chief; **Joanne M. Caldwell**, Assistant Division Chief. Congressional Affairs Associates: **John H. Ambler, Clive R. Richmond.** Liaison Staff and Assistants: **Lee E. AuCoin, Stuart P. Durst, Sharon K. Murtha, Joanne M. Ramsey, Leatha Lamison-White.** Other Contributors: **Martha E. Gigger, Tracey N. Harrison, Colleen Smith, Tammy Sutton, Regina M. Toye, Barbara J. Ziccardi.**

---

The Marketing Services Office, **John C. Kavaliunas**, Division Chief. Branch and Staff Chiefs: **Barbara Aldrich, Joanne Dickinson, Colleen Flannery, George Selby, Leslie D. Solomon, Joyce Ware**. Other Contributors: **William Crews, Barbara Garner, Mary Jane McCoy, Robert Schneider, Jr., David L. Wycinsky, Jr.**

The Public Information Office, **Maury Cagle**, Chief. Other Contributors: **Sharon Anderson, Angela Baker, Chris Baumgartner, Mike Bergman, Robert Bernstein, George Boyd, Patti Buscher, Catherine Childress, Renee Clagett, Noel Clay, Danielle Conceicao, Debra Corbett, Pauline Cornellier, Cat Crusan, Robin Davis, Darlene Dickens, Mary Dolezuchowicz, Pat Dunton, Karen Epp, Joe Forte, Mike Freeman, Fred Gatlin, Gerri Griffith, Kara Haley, Barbara Hatchl, David Hoffman, Bonnie Hopper, Danny Johnson, Dwight Johnson, Schere Johnson-Jordan, Ellie Juergens, Lucille Larkin, Debbie Law, Mark Mangold, Eileen Marra, Suzanne Moret, Mike Morgan, Linda Nancarrow, Bryan Niemiec, Ruth Osborne, James Pasierb, Mary Pelzer, Rick Reed, Victor Romero, Bey-Ling Sha, Barbara Soule, Mary G. Thomas, Beverly Thompson, Donna Tillery, Neil Tillman, Mark Tolbert, III, Gene Vandrovec, Jeanne Waples, Tom Webster, Everett Whiteley, Janet Wooding, J. Paul Wyatt, Kevin Younes.**

The Policy Office, **Gerald W. Gates**, Chief. Branch and Staff Chiefs: **Wendy L. Alvey, Thomas A. Jones, William F. Micarelli, Marilyn H. Moore, Jacqueline R. Yates**. Other Staff: **David G. Hendricks, Patricia L. Melvin, David M. Pemberton, Sandra L. Shahady, Fred J. Shenk.**

The Census 2000 Publicity Office, **Steven J. Jost**, Associate Director for Communications; **Jennifer P. Marks**, Division Chief; Special Assistants to the Division Chief, **Kerry Suttan** and **Judith Waldrop**. Branch Chiefs and Staff: **Angelia Banks, Patti Becker, Charlene Bickings, Cherrie Burgess, Shirley Clevinger, Dave Coontz, Paula Coupe, Kimberly A. Crews, Nedra Darling, Jenmaire Dewberry, Thomas W. Edwards, Michele Freda, Michelle Hammond, Angela M. Johnson, Sharon Massie, Dorothy G. Moorefield, Lillian Moy, Diane Norton, Kendall Oliphant, Elaine V. Quesinberry, Beverly A. Roberts, Monica Smith, Dorothy Winslow.**

The Planning, Research, and Evaluation Division, **Ruth Ann Killion**, Division Chief; **Deborah Bolton**, Assistant Division Chief for Coordination; **David Hubble**, Assistant Division Chief for Evaluations; **Charlene Leggieri**, Assistant Division Chief for Administrative Records Research; **Sally Obenski**, Assistant Division Chief for 2010 Planning. Staff Group Leaders and Staff: **Joan Marie Hill, Dean Judson, Vickie Kee, Juanita Lott, Randall Neugebauer, Rita Petroni, Arona Pistiner, Cotty Smith, Emilda Rivers, George Train, Frank Vitrano, Henry Woltman, Stephen Ash, Jana Asher, Elizabeth Banks, Mikahil Batkhan, Mark Bauder, Susanne Bean, Katie Bench, Keith Bennett, Michael Berning, Harold Bobbitt, Linda Brudvig, Joseph Burcham, Tammy Butler, Rita Cacas, Cynthia Chang, Joseph Conklin, Raph Cook, Ann Daniele, Mary Davis, Benita Dawson, Margaret Duffy, Matt Falkenstein, Eleni Franklin, Jennifer Guarino, David Hilnbrand, Christine Hough, Lionel Howard, Norman Kaplan, Anne Kearney, Donald Keathley, Francina Kerr, Jeong Kim, Elizabeth Krejsa, Dawn LeBeau, John Lukasiewicz, Jason Machowski, Daniella Mungo, Sherri Norris, Nancy Osbourn, Karen Owens, James Poyer, Joyce Price, David Raglin, Audrey Rebello, Dean Resnick, Pamela Ricks, Paul Riley, Cynthia Rothhaas, Megan Ruhnke, Jane Sandusky, Douglas Scheffler, Tammie Shanks, Kevin A. Shaw, Kevin M. Shaw, Diane Simmons, George Sledge, Carnelle Sligh, Courtney Stapleton, David Stemper, Mary Anne Sykes, Mary Untch, Deborah Wagner, Lisa Wallace, Phyllis Walton, Irene Zimmermann.** Other Contributors: **Jennifer Ambler, Nancy Bates, Genia Battle, Sara Buckley, Esther Butler, Gary Chappell, Kimberly Collora, Jill Duncan, Mark Gorsak, Matthew Hacker, Rachel Hall, Theresa Hall Marvin, Sam Hawala, Catherine Hooper, Juanita Jackson, Michael Larsen, Fred Lestina, Jason Martin, Jay Keller, Yolanda McMillan, Sara Munger, Natasha Pace, Dave Phelps, Ronald Prevost, Clive Richmond, David Rockoff, Zakiya Sackor, Herbert Thompson, Erin Vacca, Andrew Zbikowski.**

The Systems Support Division, **Robert G. Munsey**; Contributors: **Paul Friday, Cary Bean.**

The Field Division, **Marvin D. Raines**, Associate Director for Field Division; **Carol Van Horn**, Assistant to the Associate Director for Field Operations; **Michael Weiler**, Special Assistant to the Associate Director for Field Operations; **L. Diane Bennett**, Special Assistant to the Associate

---

Director for Field Operations; **Brian Monaghan**, Lead Assistant Division Chief, Censuses; **Janet Cummings**, Assistant Division Chief, Budget, Management, and Oversight; **Gail Leithauser**, Assistant Division Chief, Geography and Data Collection; **Richard Blass**, Assistant Division Chief for Evaluation and Research; **Mark Taylor**, Assistant Division Chief for Payroll Processing. Special Assistant for Space and Logistics: **Hugh Brennan**, **Jim Steed**. Branch Chiefs, Staff Chiefs, and Team Leaders: **Michael Thieme**, **Harold Hayes**, **Brenda August**, **Miriam Balutis**, **Jennifer Jones**, **Nola Krasko**, **Jan Jaworski**, **Karen Seebold**, **Pamela White**, **Dwight Osbourn**, **Bill Phalen**, **Isabelle McCants**, **Nancy Jones**, **Fred Borsa**, **Tim Devine**, **Gerald Brooke**, **Mike Stump**, **Clif Taylor**, **Cheryl Querry**, **Maisha Strozier**, **Geraldine Burt**, **Sandra Lucas**, **Dennis Van Langen**, **Karen Field**, **David McCormack**, **John Donnelly**, **Kathy Wimbish**, **Sharon Schoch**, **Jeanne Benetti**, **Peter Sefton**, **Alicia Morris**, **Sydnee Chattin-Reynolds**, **Diana Harley**, **Bettye Moohn**, **Kim Higginbotham**, **Lorraine Barnett**, **Charles Moore**, **Griland Hall**. Additional Contributors: **Mary Beth Williams**, **Keisha Wilson**, **Louise Sciukas**, **Alemayehu Bishaw**, **Monsita Hemsley**, **Maxine Judkins**, **Anita Lembo**, **Laura Sewell**, **Kathy Maney**, **Diana Martin**, **Georgina Manley**, **William Bivens**, **Carol Foley**, **Patricia Pace**, **Vicky Glasier**, **Veronica Pollard**, **Todd Gore**, **Stacie Lowe**, **Dorothy Wilson**, **Nancy Radcliffe**, **Shannon Hill**, **Troy Scott**, **Brenda Holmes**, **Orphas Sommerville**, **Thomas Ickes**, **Marcia White**, **Monica Parrott Jones**, **Virginia Zamaitis**, **Lillian Witters**, **Tina Cunningham**, **JoAnne Dewey**, **Chuck Hovland**, **Andrea Sugarman**, **Marcia Thessin**, **Jennifer Weitzel**, **Edwin Shaw**, **Neala Stevens**, **Edith Harvey**, **Charles Tull**, **Rene Toole**, **Richard Rodgers**, **Lori Vehrs**, **Debbie Blizzard**, **Kathleen Garcia**, **Lydia Hartley**, **Theresa Huseman**, **Dayna Jacobs**, **Jennifer Tate**, **Tammie Nelson**, **Samuel Santos**, **Tracy Block**, **Agnes Brown**, **Sandra Hatcher**, **Janice Watson**, **Catherine Valchera**, **Ken Graves**, **Connie Murray**, **Don Halcombe**, **Marilynn Kempf**, **June Lee**, **Anita Bryner**, **Edward Hightower**, **Marietta Johnson**, **Nicole Perrine**, **Russ Roberts**, **Bruce Williams**, **Michelle White**, **Lorraine Helms**, **Wanda Smith**, **Matthew Stewart**, **William Pope**, **Charlene McNeil**, **Sheri Smalls**, **Kathy Belfield**, **Lakrisha Morton**, **Geraldine Mekennon**, **Alvin Osborne**, **Linda Williams**, **Billi Jo Wickstrand**, **Jim Carrier**, **Phyllis Godette**, **Eric Florimon-Reed**, **Kimberly Ross**, **Mary Meadows**, **Gwen Thomas**, **Connie Williams**, **Lu Wood**, **Rosamond Harris**, **Craig Cassidy**, **Raymond Burgess**, **Arlet Aanestad**, **Joyce Boston**, **Yorlunza Brown**, **Elizabeth Squires**, **Gina Winchester**, **Eve Franklin**, **Tiffany Miller**, **Cheryl Banks**, **Maureen Brady**, **Kimberly Hollingsworth**, **Robert Tomassoni**, **Jean Williams**, **Michelle Williams**, **Evette Gomez**, **Warren Drummond**, **Paul Riley**, **Charles Roe**, **Laura Waggoner**, **Ron Whitehead**, **Jim Cawlo**, **Ian Millett**, **Alfonso Zapata**, **Cicely Stinson**, **Marcy Bailey**, **Carolyn Johnson**, **Elaine Neal**, **Elda Robinson**, **Deborah Russell**, **Milicent Stewart**, **Kathy Gaidis**, **Delores Jeter**, **Marilyn Quiles Amaya**, **Ruby Lewis**, **Gary Styles**, **Lillian Wilson**, **Sabrina Yates**, **Latoya Williams**, **Annetta Akins**, **Roger Clark**, **Brian Deevy**, **Charnessa Hanshaw**, **Dennis Hickey**, **Caleb Kriesberg**, **Tom Loo**, **Luis Padilla**, **Julia Williams**.

The Atlanta Regional Census Center, **James F. Holmes**, Regional Director; **Harold K. Wood**, Deputy Regional Director. Assistant Regional Census Managers: **Reginald Bigham**, **Manuel Landivar**, **Sneha Desai**. **Hilda S. Dimmock**, Assistant Regional Census Manager for Accuracy and Coverage Evaluation (A.C.E.); **Mary Struebing**, Area Manager (A.C.E.). Area Managers: **Allen Cranford**, **Allen Wells**, **Patrick Graeser**, **Stephanye Staggers-Profit**, **Dorothy Clayton**, **Margaret Kelly**, **Jazmin Mariani**, **Sherri Dickerson**. Regional Recruiters: **Bridgitte Wyche-McGee**, **Teri Henderson**. **Rose Polk**, Administrative Supervisor; **Ann Foster Marriner**, Supervisory Geographer; **Thomas S. Wilkie**, Supervisory Computer Specialist. Geographers: **Franklin Wallace**, **Ralph Rose**, **Nancy Bechler**. Partnership Coordinators: **Mary Love Sanford**, **Danielle Jones**.

The Boston Regional Census Center, **Arthur G. Dukakis**, Regional Director; **Kathleen Ludgate**, Deputy Regional Director. Assistant Regional Census Managers: **Cornelius S. Driscoll**, **David F. Hopkins**, **Bruce Kaminski**. Area Managers: **Marc Brochu**, **Bart Eaton**, **Hector Feliciano**, **Kate Folwell**, **Jack Hickey**, **Bryn K. Johnson**, **Jesse T. Potter**. **Susan Connors**, Administrative Supervisor; **James Cormier**, Automation Supervisor. Partnership Coordinators: **Tia Costello**, **Alfred Smith**. Partnership Team Leaders: **Kathleen Bradley**, **Apryl Edlund-Stith**, **Sixto Escobar**, **Cynthia Jennings**, **Giselle Laffitte**, **Mayra Ramos**, **Adib Sabree**, **Peter Walsh**,

---

**Wanda Wood.** Census Recruiters: **Diane Gallagher, John Sumner. Mike Horgan,** Geographic Program Supervisor. A.C.E. Assistant Managers: **Zoi Kalaitzidis, Juan R. Navarro.**

The Charlotte Regional Census Center, **Jess A. Avina,** Assistant Regional Census Manager for Field Operations, Recruiting and Geography. Area Managers for Field Operations: **R. Richard Buchholz, Teresa A. Clifton, Francis S. Collins, Linda S. Pike, Craig S. Pickett, Jeanie W. Presto, D. E. “Doug” Robertson, Vivian D. Roscoe.** Regional Recruiters: **Cynthia W. Beamon, John R. Davis, Robert C. Gabbard. Catherine J. Friedenreich,** Geography Coordinator. Geographers: **Lori L. Boston, Joanna C. Pitsikoulis, David H. Wiggins. E. Wilson Burdorff, Jr.,** Assistant Regional Census Manager for Administration, Automation, and Leasing. **Doreen D. Herod,** Administrative Supervisor; **Jerry W. Helms,** Automation Supervisor; **Lucindia E. ScurryJohnson,** Deputy Regional Director/Partnership. Partnership Coordinators: **E. Victoria Burke, William N. Ward, Jr.** Partnership Team Leaders: **Shirletta Vinson Best, Ronald E. Brown, Doris G. Greene, David J. McMahon, Amy C. Reece, Keith A. Sutton. Dorothy M. Ballard,** Assistant Regional Census Manager for A.C.E. **Rosa H. Little,** Assistant ARCM for A.C.E. Team Supervisors for A.C.E.: **Johnny D. Ledbetter, Deborah A. Martin, Stephanie G. Rogers, Kevin E. Winn. Tammy J. Zimmerman,** Supervisory Computer Specialist for A.C.E.

The Chicago Regional Census Center, **Stanley D. Moore,** Regional Director; **Marilyn Sanders,** Deputy Regional Director. Assistant Regional Census Managers: **Scott Deuel, Marcia Harmon, Gail Krmenech, Tracy Fitch.** Partnership Coordinators: **Marilyn Stephens, Joyce Marks. Richard Townsend,** Recruiting Coordinator; **Andrea Johnson,** Geographic Coordinator. Area Managers: **Monique Buckner, Audrey Iverson, Josiah Johnson, Marcia Maisenbacher, John Shankel, Natosha Thompson, Keith Vasseur, Jamie Whiteman. Laurie Walker,** Assistant A.C.E. Manager. Other Contributors: **Sandra Appler, Christina Flores, Judy Graham, Henry Gray, Dennis Green, Charles Howleit, Kalim Khan, John Koester, Dieter Krause, Toni Pitchford, John Rice, Kathy Yendrek, Steve Adrian, Cathy Armour, Terrill Barnes, Nakia Bartley, Gary Boyer, Barbara Brodsky, Sandra Coyle, Larry Cox, Sandra Dennis, James Gawronski, Marla Gibson, Gwendolyn Gray, Patricia Herschfeldt, Audrey Iverson, Toby Lee, Cindy Mailloux, Barbara Pittman, Ann Quattrocchi, Kevin Riggs, Coravonne Salm, James Schanzle, Mark Schmitz, Ileana Serrano, Anthony Shabazz, Susan Sprecher, Jerome Stevenson, Montree Svastisalee, Stacey Terry, Daphne Ward, Vernon Ward, Georgia Adams, Sherri Blumingburg, Cheryl Brown, Sherina Collins, Deborah Cullins Threets, Zretta Lewis, Mary Melone, Connie McKinley, Paula Miller, Ron Skelton, Vernon Spears, Mary Ellen Zbierski, Ricardo Capitulo, Ken Carter, Donna Conroy, Wanda Gilbert, Michael Greer, Jack Mahoney, Cora Rush, Alex Wolter, Lyndon Yin, Taron Dabney, Kathleen Derel, Paul Dziemiela, Matthew Fitzgibbon, Cynthia Garlington, Linda Gray, Patrick Hill, Kevin Husch, Carl Kozlowicz, Eileen Manning, Michael Mecaskey, Russell Pietrowiak, Joel Schoerner, Rapsody Mitra, Daniel Aguirre, Janice Bell, David Bennett, Kelli Lester Brown, Adam Gibson, Angela Edwards, Saul Garcia, Jill Giedt, Dana Gillon, Rafael Gonzalez, Salah Goss, Robert Gulick, Michael Holly, Kendall James, George Juretic, Ardell Ladd, Kimberly Long, Leona Maglaya, Earl McDowell, Joe McGlaughlin, Beverly Moore, Kenneth Moses, Anna Mustafa, JoAnn Russell, Harry Sampler, Kimberly Sanders, Detrice Shelton, Charles Slater, Christopher Smith, Stanley Smith, Gerardo Torres, Julio Villegas, Shirley Warren, Marlene Weisrock, Charles Wright, Susan Feldman, Helen Giles, Duane Marski, Karl Mirkes.**

The Dallas Regional Census Center, **Alfonso E. Mirabal,** Director; **Henry Tow,** Deputy Director. Assistant Regional Census Managers: **Michael Garner, Bonnie Young.** A.C.E. Staff: **Gail E. Streun, Eloy G. Hernandez, Cheryl L. Earnshaw. Alicia Laughlin,** Administrative Supervisor. Recruiting: **John Ortiz, Donna Stovall. Richard De La Garza,** Automation; **Betty Adamek,** Geographer. Partnership Coordinators: **Cherri Green, Marisela Lopez.** Partnership Team Leaders: **Cera Clark, Sam Gonzales, Gwen Goodwin, Kirk Hemphill, Luz Villegas.**

The Denver Regional Census Center, **Susan A. Lavin,** Regional Director; **George M. Cole,** Deputy Regional Director. Assistant Regional Census Managers: **James T. Christy, William W. Hatcher, Jr.** Area Managers: **William E. Bellamy, Leo E. Cardenas, Mark R. Hendrick,**



---

**Laura G. Lunsford, Samuel R. Martinez, Lori Putman.** Partnership Coordinator; **Pamela M. Lucero.** Partnership Specialist - Team Leaders: **Earl T. Brotten, Jr., Harold A. Knott, Belva Morrison. Douglas R. Wayland,** Media Partnership Specialist Team Leader. **Paul S. McAllister,** Assistant Regional Census Manager for A.C.E. Assistant Managers for A.C.E.: **Bradley E. Allen, Barry L. Stevelman. William F. Adams,** Census Recruiter; **Russell W. Frum,** Administrative Supervisor; **Mark K. Hellfritz,** Geographic Coordinator; **David C. Skeeahan,** Automation Supervisor.

The Detroit Regional Census Center, **Dwight Dean,** Regional Director; **Jon Spendlove,** Deputy Regional Director. Assistant Regional Census Managers: **Thomas Chodzko, Elaine Wagner, Janice Pentercs. Christine Blair,** Administrative Supervisor; **William Brewer, Jr.,** Automation Supervisor. Area Managers: **Joette Mumford, David Lackey, Katherine Workman, Sari Raykovitz, Mario Matthews, Susan Hack. Joseph Kogelmann,** Geographic Coordinator. Geographers: **Gary Guccio, G. Gordon Rector, Julie White.** Recruiters: **M. Randolph Edwards, Betty Hughes.** Partnership Coordinators: **Norma Rivas Ricci, Vincent Kountz.** Partnership Team Leaders: **Cynthia King, Katherine Shiflet. Robert Haisha, Kim Hunter, Richard Lundy, Kathryn Reisen. Barbara Clayton,** Information Specialist; **Katrina Carter,** Assistant Regional Census Manager for A.C.E.; **David Sinnott,** Assistant A.C.E. Manager; **Thomas Melaney,** Automation Supervisor for A.C.E.; **Kim Estmond,** Administrative Supervisor for A.C.E. Team Supervisors: **David Baize, Lolita Waters, Jennifer Hillman, Eleanor Bowie, Kristina Dalton, Brendan Best, David Glaza, Stephanie Miller.**

The Kansas City Regional Census Center, **Henry L. Palacios,** Regional Director. Assistant Regional Census Managers: **Dennis R. Johnson, Cathy L. Lacy.** Area Managers: **Mary E. Briscoe, Sharon Bunge, Kevin W. Gibson, Patricia M. Sasenick, Jessie M. Williams. Paula Givens-Bolder,** Recruiter. Partnership Coordinators: **Marietta Selmon-Gumbel, Tom Beaver. Robert A. Reed,** Automation Supervisor; **Craig D. Best,** Geographic Coordinator. Geographers: **Wes Flack, Peter Osei-Kwame. Dennis F. Deeney,** Administrative Supervisor; **Randall E. Cartwright,** Assistant Regional Census Manager A.C.E.; **Richard W. Taegel,** A.C.E. Area Manager.

The Los Angeles Regional Census Center, **John E. Reeder, Jr,** Regional Director; **Kendrick J. Ellwanger,** Deputy Regional Director. Assistant Regional Census Managers: **Stephen J. Alnwick, Jerry B. Wong, C. Kemble Worley, Hoa Julie Lam Ly. Jim Bussell,** A.C.E. Automation Staff. A.C.E. Management Staff: **Brenda Harvell, Elaine Marruffo, Faarax Sheikh-Noor, Wes White. Geoff Rolat,** RCC Administrative Staff. Regional Office Administrative Staff: **Isabel Cesena, Koupei (Gwen) White.** RCC Area Managers: **Linda Kane Akers, William H. Johnson, Leonard E. Lee, Annette M. Luna, Eleanor J. Miller, Jesse Rodriguez, Linda Kay Schagrin, Diana J. Turley.** RCC Automation Staff: **Yvonne Lam, Ben Rios. Timothy W. McMonagle,** RCC Geographic Coordinator: RCC Geographers: **Jeffrey P. Freeland, John D. Kennedy, John Joseph Moore.** RCC Recruiters: **Anthony R. Moccia, Jeanne Y. Kondo.** Partnership Coordinators: **Reina Ornelas, Monica Sandoval. Anthony Greno,** Media Team Leader. Partnership Team Leaders: **Luz Castillo, Susan Ng, Maria Padron, John Flores, Belinda Garcia, Ardiss Lilly, Tommy Randle.**

The Philadelphia Regional Census Center, **Fernando E. Armstrong,** Regional Director; **George Grandy, Jr.,** Deputy Regional Director. Assistant Regional Census Managers: **Nunzio V. Cerniglia, Philip M. Lutz. John M. Stuart,** A.C.E. Assistant Regional Census Manager; **John M. Mendenhall,** A.C.E. Assistant Manager; **Belinda Castro Gonzalez,** A.C.E. Supervisory Computer Specialist; **Geraldine Robinson-Ervin,** Administrative Supervisor. Area Managers: **Keith R. Bryant, Betty Ann Fretchel, Tedford J. Griffith, George T. Long, Theodore J. Roman, Linda J. Shell, Carolyn D. Williams. Eric N. Barson,** Automation Coordinator; **Vicki L. Lewis,** Geographic Coordinator. Partnership Coordinators: **Juanita C. Britton, K. Lyn Kirshenbaum.** Recruiters: **Barbara M. Nichols, Maritza Padilla-Laureda.**

The New York Regional Census Center, **Lester A. Farthing,** Regional Director; **John W. Dale, II,** Regional Census Manager; **Deborah M. Randall,** Census Manager. Assistant Regional Census Managers: **Ligia Jaquez, Richard Liquorie, Richard Turnage. Marion Britton,** Deputy Regional Director; **Glenda Morgan,** Assistant Regional Census Manager for A.C.E; **Jon Davis,** Assistant A.C.E. Manager. Area Managers: **Jon Beaulieu, Allison Cenac, Erik Cortes,**

---

**Monette Evans, Somonica Green, Bill Harfmann, George Paladino, Heirberto Rios, Pat Valle, Deirdre Bishop**, Supervisory Geographer. Partnership Coordinators: **Alice Chin, Martha Butler, Waleska Martinez**, Supervisory Computer Specialist. Census Recruiters: **Kathy Nicolaou, Raquel Strauss, Inocencio Castro**, Administrative Supervisor.

The Seattle Regional Census Center, **Moises M. Carrasco**, Regional Director; **Michael P. Burns**, Deputy Regional Director; **Timothy P. Olson**, Assistant Regional Census Manager; **Jolynn Lambert**, Assistant Regional Census Manager (A.C.E.). Area Managers: **Faye Amos, Linda Clark, Alice Greene, Pamela Harlan, Wendy Hawley, Sonya Jorgensen, Tom Szabla, Lynn Sorgenfrei**, Assistant Manager for A.C.E.; **Thomas Callahan**, Automation Coordinator; **Cathy Baker**, (A.C.E.) Supervisory Computer Specialist; **Lesca McKee**, Computer Specialist; **Dennis Duffy**, Supervisory Geographer. Geographers: **Richard Campbell, Elena Baranov, Gordon Wood**, Supervisory Geographic Specialist; **Andrew Haney**, Geographic Specialist; **Lynn O'Brien**, Supervisory Geographic Specialist. Administration Supervisors: **Mary Plumley, Rick Hunt, Theodore Heckathorn**, Administrative Specialist (Space); **Robert Clingman**, Partnership Coordinator. Partnership Team Leaders: **Lia Bolden, Elaine Dempsey, Nancy Holder, Nikolay Kvasnyuk, Dan Rosas, Tony Vaska**. Census Recruiters: **Jan McStay, Maria Hosack**.

The National Processing Center Staff, **Judith N. Petty**, Division Chief; **Stanley M. Domzalski**, Assistant Division Chief (Services); **Mark T. Grice**, Assistant Division Chief (Processing); **Jane L. Woods**, Assistant Division Chief (Teleprocessing); **David E. Hackbarth**, Assistant Division Chief (Technology and Information); **Mark J. Matsko**, Assistant Division Chief (Data Capture Center). Branch and Section Chiefs: **Denise D. Anderson, Matthew P. Aulbach, Jean A. Banet, Linda S. Banet, Debra S. Barksdale, Janice I. Benjamin, James L. Berger, Michael L. Blair, Carlene Bottorff, Gary L. Bower, Teresa A. Branstetter, William E. Brewer, Jr., Linda Broadus, Pamela D. Brown, Regina A. Cain, Jo I. Childress, Lester Lee Clement, Kathy L. Conn, Margaret R. Coy, Ida G. Damrel, Maria T. Darr, Carol A. Dawson, Glen M. Everhart, Darrell L. Farabee, Angela Feldman-Harkins, Neil C. Ferraiuolo, Grant G. Goodwin, Judith A. Gregory, Susan C. Hall, Janet L. Harmon, Linda R. Hayden, John Hoffmann, Leoda F. Houston, Pamela D. Hunter, Howard J. Knott, William A. Korb, Joni S. Krohn, Ruby M. Lawson, Patricia A. Linton, Eileen S. Little, Thomas M. Marks, Gayle Y. Mathis, Bernadette J. Mattingly, Donna J. Meredith, Gaye Ellen Miller, Marilyn K. Mink, Joye A. Mullins, Martha T. Myers, William B. Neely, Don E. Overton, S. Elaine Rogers, Theodore A. Sands, Kenneth F. Seis, Suzanne B. Shepherd, Ellen Slucher, Connie Smith, Marsha Sowders, Jill C. Spencer, Aretta Stallard, Arthur B. Stewart, Debra M. Stringer, Carol A. Stubblefield, Judith G. Van Gilder, Muriel Wharton, Russell O. White, Daniel L. Whitehouse, Ronald L. Willis, Betty J. Wright, Rosita Young.**

---

Arizona: 2000      **2000 Census of Population and Housing**  
*Summary Population and Housing Characteristics*