Comment Info: =========

General Comment: After reviewing the proposed rule, it only makes sense that the recall would include the

retail consignee. I never realized that it didn't contain this information. As a consumer,

if I knew of a store I shopped at regularly, had meat on recall, I would definet ly be

checking my freezer and refrigerator for that product.

I also believe that by providing this information, there will be more recalled $\ensuremath{\mathtt{m}}$ eat

recovered instead of only a small amount.

I took the time to view the current meat recalls and noticed that there hasn't b een much

recovered. Just providing the public with the state seems to me to be insufficie nt

information and the public isn't so willing to go through their items to double check that

they ${\tt don't}$ have a recalled item. I think that by providing them with the specific retail

store, the consumer would be digging for recalled products and thus the recovery rate $\frac{1}{2}$

will increase.