## Crane, Nancy T

From: MICHAEL GRAEBNER [mgraebner@hotmail.com]

Sent: Thursday, August 15, 2002 9:43 AM

To: Crane, Nancy T

Cc: senator@levin.senate.gov; senator@stabenow.senate.gov; tellhoek@mail.house.gov

Subject: Comment on FDA Draft Proposal to Codex CCNFSDU on Dietary Supplements

To: Beth Yetley, FDA c/o Nancy Crane, FDA

Dear Dr. Yetley:

Re FDA proposed language: item 5.9 which states: "We recommend the following revision: 'All labels should bear a statement that a supplement should be taken on an advice of a

02-022N 02-022N-510 Michael Graebner

nutritionist, a dietician or a medical doctor"

Dr. Yetley: When is the FDA going to STOP attempting to medicalize safe dietary supplements which are regulated in the USA as FOODS?

You have no legal mandate to attempt to go beyond the firm dictates of US law when you are at Codex meetings in Germany. FDA has lost on first amendment grounds in the Pearson

decision, and when the FDA refused to obey the court's decision, the FDA was sued again

and lost. FDA has no choice but to allow health claims to be put on labels pertaining to folic

acid and the prevention of neural tube defects and other similar matters.

This is as it should be, not only in the USA, but throughout the world. Consumers has a RIGHT to learn about the beneficial health properties of dietary supplements on the label, at

the point of sale. By putting the above language on the label (that supplements should be

taken on the advice of a nutritionist, dietician or an MD) you are attempting to violate the

spirit of DSHEA in an international forum, and you have no legal right to do that. You are

attempting to waste valuable space on the label that can be put to better use directly informing

consumers regarding the beneficial properties of these safe food supplements, you are

attempting to medicalize dietary supplements, and you are attempting to go through CODEX to make an end run around US domestic law by attempting to set us up for harmonization to

restrictive international standards.

I therefore INSIST that you STRIKE the above proposed revision in item 5.9 and replace it

with the following language instead: "item 5.9 we recommend the following revision "All labels

should bear scientific structure function health claims similiar to those provided for under the

American Dietary Supplement Health and Education Act of 1994 to directly assist consumers

in making positive health decisions for themselves and their families at the point of sale while

reading the label of the product. The USA again reiterates its desire that all attempts to

continue creating an international standard for vitamins and minerals cease at Codex and that

this matter is best left up to national authorities to decide."

Dr. Yetley: I remind you, and Congress, that you lost the Pearson court decision, and again

when you attempted to ignore the Judge, you were sued and lost again on this issue. Do not

attempt to get around US law when you are in Germany representing the USA at Codex

meetings. You have legal right to make the statement in item 5.9 'All labels should bear a

statement that a supplement should be taken on the advice of a nutritionist, a dietician, or a

medical doctor."

I INSIST that you replace that language with "All labels should bear scientific structure

function health claims similar to those provided for under the American Dietary Supplement

Health and Education Act of 1994 to directly assist consumers in making positive health

decisions for themselves and their families at the point of sale while reading the label on the

product. The USA again reiterates its desire that all attempts to continue creating a international standard for vitamins and minerals cease at Codex and that this matter is best left

up to national authorities to decide."

I am copying this letter to you to my Senators and Congressmen and am asking them to

oppose all efforts to erode US sovereignty via FTAA. The last thing we need in this

hemisphere is a version of the EU dictatorship given the way the EU is

attempting to ban consumer access to dietary supplements. See Pearson v Thomson http://www.emord.com.

Sincerely, Michael Graebner 541 Marcia Lane Holland, MIchigan 49424

Join the world's largest e-mail service with MSN Hotmail. Click Here

۰.