

COL, the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, and the Commission's regulations. 10 CFR 52.103(g) requires that the Commission find that the acceptance criteria in the ITAAC have been met before a facility can be authorized to operate. The staff is seeking public comment on whether or not COL applications should contain ITAAC on operational programs such as security, training, and emergency planning (programmatically ITAAC).

In SECY-00-0092, "Combined License Review Process" dated April 20, 2000, the staff provided a basis for its stated position that "programmatically" ITAAC are necessary to meet the requirements of 10 CFR Part 52 and the Atomic Energy Act of 1954. In the staff requirements memorandum (SRM) on SECY-00-0092 dated September 6, 2000, the Commission provided guidance to the staff in this area and stated that "in connection with the Part 52 rulemaking, the staff should specifically seek comment on and continue to work with stakeholders on the need for and scope of the ITAAC for programmatic areas." In accordance with the Commission direction, the NRC staff is seeking comments on the need for and scope of ITAAC for programmatic areas. Comments received will be evaluated by the staff.

In a letter dated May 14, 2001, to Chairman Meserve the Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) provided its position that COL applications should not contain ITAAC on operational programs. NEI's letter contains a paper that summarizes its position. NEI requests an "early resolution of this issue to allow licensees, the NRC, and other stakeholders to be clear on how key Part 52 requirements on the scope of COL ITAAC are to be met."

The documents discussed above (i.e., SECY-00-0092, the SRM on SECY-00-0092, and the May 14, 2001, letter from NEI) are available in NRC's Public Document Room. Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room, located at One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland. These documents are also accessible electronically from the ADAMS Public Library component on the NRC Web site, <http://www.nrc.gov> (the Electronic Reading Room). Questions and comments should be directed to Joseph M. Sebrosky, Mail Stop O-11 F1, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555-001, E-mail: jms3@nrc.gov or by telephone at 301-415-1132. Comments should be submitted within 45 days of the publication of this notice.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 19th day of June 2001.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Richard J. Barrett,

Acting Director, Future Licensing Organization, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 01-15817 Filed 6-22-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Review of an Existing Information Collection: Court Orders Affecting Retirement Benefits

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) intends to submit to the Office of Management and Budget a request for review of an existing information collection. The regulations describe how former spouses give us written notice of a court order requiring us to pay benefits to the former spouse. Specific information is needed before OPM can make court-ordered benefit payments.

Approximately 19,000 former spouses apply for benefits based on court orders annually. We estimate it takes approximately 30 minutes to collect the information. The annual burden is 9,500 hours.

Comments are particularly invited on:

- Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of OPM, and whether it will have practical utility;
- Whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; and
- Ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through use of the appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

For copies of this proposal, contact Mary Beth Smith-Toomey on (202) 606-8358, or E-mail to mbtoomey@opm.gov.

DATES: Comments on this proposal should be received within 60 calendar days from the date of this publication.

ADDRESSES: Send or deliver comments to—Ronald W. Melton, Chief, Operations Support Division,

Retirement and Insurance Service, U.S. Office of Personnel Management, 1900 E Street, NW., Room 3349A, Washington, DC 20415-3450.

FOR INFORMATION REGARDING ADMINISTRATIVE COORDINATION—CONTACT: Donna G. Lease, Team Leader, Forms Analysis and Design, Budget and Administrative Services Division, (202) 606-0623.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

Steven R. Cohen,

Acting Director.

[FR Doc. 01-15828 Filed 6-22-01; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6325-50-P

OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT

Proposed Collection; Comment Request for Review of a Revised Information Collection: Information and Instructions on Your Reconsideration Rights, RI 38-47

AGENCY: Office of Personnel Management.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Public Law 104-13, May 22, 1995), this notice announces that the Office of Personnel Management (OPM) intends to submit to the Office of Management and Budget a request for review of a revised information collection. Information and Instructions on Your Reconsideration Rights, RI 38-47, outlines the procedures required to request reconsideration of an initial OPM decision about Civil Service or Federal Employees retirement, Retired Federal or Federal Employee Health Benefits requests to enroll or change enrollment, or Federal Employees' Group Life Insurance coverage. The form lists the procedures and time periods required for requesting reconsideration.

Comments are particularly invited on:

- Whether this collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of functions of OPM, and whether it will have practical utility;
- Whether our estimate of the public burden of this collection is accurate, and based on valid assumptions and methodology; and
- Ways in which we can minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, through the use of the appropriate technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology.