						<u>11th F</u>	CIE Hur	ricane	Katrina R	eport:	To Date A	s of 09-30-0	<u>6</u>										
	CNCS	DHS	DOC	DOD	DOE(3)	DOI	LOG	DOL	DOT	Ð	EPA	GSA	HHS	НИБ	NASA	SBA	SSA	TIGTA	TREAS	USDA	USPS	۸۸	TOTAL
Section 01. OIG STAFF ASSI	GNED																						
A. Full-Time Equivalent 1. Administrative 2. Auditors 3. Consultants/Contractors	- 0.50 -	4.00 54.00 4.00	-	2.00 134.00 -		- 6.00 -	- 0.50 -	- 19.00 -	5.00	- 19.50 -	- 2.25 -	- 3.75 -	19.00 - 6.00	2.00 10.00 -	1.00 8.00		3.00	- 5.00 -	3.00	34.00	- 5.00 -	- 8.00 -	28.00 337.50 10.00
4. Inspectors5. Investigators6. Other (e.g. Technical, Specialists)	- -	34.00 3.00		12.00	-	0.25	0.50	15.00 1.00	1.00 1.00	1.00	0.50	1.00	- - -	9.00 6.00	2.00	3.00	8.00	-	-	1.50	1.00 2.00	1.00	88.75 16.00
Total	0.50	99.00	0 1.00	148.00	6.00	6.25	1.00	35.00	7.00	20.50	2.75	4.75	25.00	27.00	11.00	14.00	11.00	5.00	3.00	35.50	8.00	9.00	480.2
Continue 02 CONTRACT: ACI	ENCY	ACTIONS																					
Section 02. CONTRACT: AGI A. # Contracts Awarded	ENCT	ACTIONS																					
1. <= \$500K 2. > \$500K (1)	-	3,505		-	-	863 26	75	4		-	191	1,658	169	11			13	19	-	403	24	-	7,020
a. Full and Open Competition b. "Limited" Competition c. No Bid/Sole Source	- - -	173 1 410		see DoD comments	- - -	10 2 11	3	- - 2	7 2 6	-	76 - 13	35 27 153	23 - 10	4 3	6 2		- -	- - -	- - -	51 1 4	- 3	- - -	384 39 618 347
d. Other Sub-Total of > \$500K (1) Total # Contracts Awarded	-	323 907 4,412	-	-	-	26 889	5 8 83				89 280	13 228 1,886	33 202	3 10 21	8		1 14	- - 19	-	56 459	5 29	-	1,388
Total # Contracts Awarded		4,412		-	_	003	03	0	7.1		200	1,000	202	21	31		14	19		433	23		0,400
3. \$ Value Contracts Awarded (in thousands) 1. <= \$500K 2. > \$500K (1)	*		\$5,300 \$1,200			\$29,873 \$23,102			\$ 7,655 \$391,863						\$ 4,920 \$176,704		\$1,454 \$ 600	\$ 356 \$ -	\$- \$-	\$ 42,798 \$ 83,605	\$ 2,176 \$ 6,173	\$ - \$ -	(in thousands) \$ 588,492 \$ 11,417,710
Total \$ Value of Contracts Awarded	\$ -		\$6,500		\$-	\$52,975	\$16,961	\$4,760	\$399,518	\$ -	\$275,355	\$ 670,721	\$87,356	\$19,385	\$181,624	\$ -	\$2,054	\$ 356	\$-	\$126,403		\$ -	\$ 12,006,208
Continue OO CONTRACT: OIC	DEVU	-140																					
Section 03. CONTRACT: OIG	KEVI	=W5												1									
In-Process Completed Total	- -	142 169 311	-	7 4 11		- -	- 1	5 1 6	-	-	1 135 136	255 - 255	63 9 72	3	11 22 33		-	- -	-		- 3 3	- 1 1	48 34 83
<u> </u>		011					<u> </u>				100	200	,,,									•	
2. Completed		\$ 1,489,420	\$ \$6,500 \$ - \$ \$6,500	\$ 249,000	\$-	\$ - \$ -		\$1,435	\$247,023 \$ - \$247,023	\$ -		\$ -		\$22,800	\$ 11,243 \$ 77,917 \$ 89,160	\$ -		\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$- \$- \$-	\$ - \$ - \$ -	\$ - \$ 8,349 \$ 8,349	\$ - \$709,440 \$709,440	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		. 2,2 : 7,000	+ -,	-, -= 1,000			. 1,230	, , , , , , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		,,		,-52	,. 50	. 22,.00	, ,	-				,		,,
Section 04. PURCHASE CAR	D: AG	ENCY TRANS	SACTIO	NS																			
A Total Computer day																							(in thousands)
A. Total \$ spent to date								1							1	1		1	1				

						<u>11th</u>	PCIE Hui	rricane k	Katrina R	eport:	To Date As	of 09-30-06											
	CNCS	DHS	DOC	gog	DOE(3)	DOI	roq	DOL	DOT	ED	EPA	GSA	HHS	HUD	NASA	SBA	SSA	TIGTA	TREAS	USDA	USPS	4	TOTAL
Section 05. PURCHASE CA	RD: OIG	REVIEWS																					
A. % of PCard Transaction \$s																							<u> </u>
reviewed to date	0%	100	% 0%	% see DoD comr	ments -	0%	63%	0%	0%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	91%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	100%	0%	
Section 06. NON-CONTRA	CT: OIG	REVIEWS																					
A. # Grant Reviews																						<u></u>	
In-Process Completed	-	2:				-	- 1	3 5	2 2	7	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	37 4*
B. # Mission Assignment Reviews								Ü															
In-Process Completed	-	-	2 -		2 - 1 -	-	-	-	2 4	-	- 5	- 1 -	-	-	1 -	-	- 1	-	-	10 4	- 1	-	19 15
C. # Other Non- Contract Reviews 1. In-Process		1:	0		8 1		_	5	1		_	1	2	3	_	7	_	1	2	11		3	65
2. Completed	-		9 -		4 1	i - '	1	8	2		-	- '	1	-	-	5	-	9	- 2	1	10	-	51
																				,			
Section 07. OIG REPORTIN	_																						
A. # Reports Issued B. Reported \$ Value	-	5	-		8 1	-	3	13	-	1	6	3	9	-	2	-	1	9	-	1	-	-	115
(in thousands) 1. Questioned Costs	\$ -	\$ 20,320.0	0 6	·	- \$-	\$ -	s -	s -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ - \$		\$ - !	\$ 79	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$- \$	_	\$ -	\$ -	(in thousands) \$ 20,399
(a). Unsupported Costs	\$ -	\$ 20,320.0	0 \$ - \$ -	\$ \$	- \$- - \$-	\$ - \$ -	Ι Ψ	Ψ	\$ - \$ 33,292		\$ - \$ \$ - \$			\$ -					\$- \$- \$		\$ -	\$ -	\$ 20,399
2. Funds Put to Better Use	\$ 2,918	\$ 77,803.0	0 \$ -	\$	- \$-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -		\$ 184 \$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$- \$	-	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 80,905
Section 08. OIG CONGRES	SIONAL	RESPONSE	S																				
A. # Congressionals Received	1	2	9 -		9 -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	44
B. # OIG Congressional Responses 1. In-Process					0									4									
In-Process Completed	1	2			2 -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	- 1	-	- 1	- 1	-	-	-	- 1	-	11 29
							1																
Section 09. OIG INVESTIGA	ATIVE S	TATISTICS																					
A. # Hotline Complaints (2) B. # Cases Opened	1	12,71		2	9,664 2	- 4	-	14	1	1	9	-	6 17	126	-	19	29	-	-	6 16	56 7	-	22,647
C. # Arrests	- 1	1,23 31			8 -	- 1	8	260 28	18 4	- 1	- 9	3	- 17	83 11	11 5	12 7	55 25	3 1	3 2	16 7	- /	-	1,756 407
D. # Indictments	-	33	- 8		- ' -	-	1	39	3		-	-	-	10	2	8	28	1	2	7	-	-	439
E. # Convictions	-	20	3 -		2 -	-	-	24	1	-	-	-	-	3	-	2	15	1	2	2	-	-	255

LEGEND:

(1) DOD = over \$1 million

(2) DOD = # complaints received on Katrina Hotline;
(3) DOE = To date, DOE has received only a small portion of the funds allocated for hurricane relief-

11th PCIE Hurric	cane Katrina Report: To Date As of 09-30-06
Section 01. O	IG STAFF ASSIGNED - Managers are reported within the appropriate staff category
Agency:	Comment/Footnote:
DoD	Numbers reported reflect staff assigned not FTEs
DOL/OIG/OA	A.2 represents staff assigned as of 9-30-06. Figure is not annualized
DOL/OIG/OLRFI	A.5/A.6 represent cumulative staff through 9-30-06. Figures are not annualized.
GSA	The 3.75 FTE for auditors includes two GS-13s, and one GS-12 assigned to the audit full-time, as well as two GS-15 part time.
GSA	The one FTE investigator is comprised of two part-time investigators.
HUD	A.2 Staff consists of 5 permanent auditors including the Director and 5 detailed auditors for a total of 10
HUD	A.6 Other: The 6 specialists (other) listed are forensic auditors assigned to the Office of Investigations.
HOD	71.0 Ct. of. The o specialists (strict) liciou die forentie addition addition and the office of investigations.
Section 02. C	ONTRACT: AGENCY ACTIONS
Agency:	Comment/Footnote:
DoD	The total dollar value of contracts or contract actions was based on data provided by the Defense Management Data Center and is current as of March 30, 2006. The reported dollar amount
	has not been audited and does not include contract data from the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers.
DOI	The total \$ value of contract awarded excludes those transations completed by purcharse card. This figure also includes transactions completed by DOI for other agencies.
DOT	These numbers were reported by DOT management and have not been verified or audited by the OIG
EPA	Number of contracts awarded includes new awards and other actions on existing contracts such as delivery and purchase orders.
EPA	Data as of 6/30/06 - EPA OIG has not reviewed any additional contract actions during the quarter ending 9/30/06.
GSA	Under Emergency Support Function 7 (ESF-7), GSA awards contracts on behalf of FEMA and FEMA is responsible for receiving orders and paying the contractor directly. As these contracts
	do not affect GSA financially, they are not input into any of GSA's procurement or financial systems. As GSA has no central data repository for these awards, we are using data from the
	Federal Procurement Data System as the basis for reporting as this is the only data that includes the data elements being reported. However, this data may include GSA procurements in
	addition to procurements made in support of FEMA under ESF-7.
GSA	Some adjustments to the data have been made to the FPDS data to provide a better assessment. For example, FPDS line items with \$0 and negative amounts were removed from counts of
	contract actions (but were included in "\$ Value Contracts Awarded") as these are primarily modifications to contract actions already being reported.
GSA	Independent of the FPDS information, GSA has maintained informal procurement logs at ESF-7 locations. The logs are not uniform and vary with regard to the information included.
	According to the logs we have obtained, GSA made over 1,300 procurements for FEMA valued at over \$900 million.
HUD	B.2 Noted - the HUD OIG has completed 3 audits two external audits and one internal audit. The external audits involved 2 M&M contractors Cityside (\$1.1 mil) and SW Alliance(\$3.8 mil)
	with the objective of evaluating the reasonableness of subcontractor costs. The internal audit looked at all disaster contract dollars (\$17.9 mil) to ensure that effective contracting practices
	are being used. The M&M contract amt is included in the total contract amt, but the reviews are separate and have different objectives. However, because of this overlapping, the total of
	these reviews is \$19 mil which exceeds the total contract dollars of \$17.9 mil because of the \$ value of the individual reviews. The M&M audit reports were issued on 6-23-06 and 8-31-06.
	The total \$ value of reviews totaled \$22.8 mil (1.1 + 3.8 + 17.9).
HHS	2.B.2 10th PCIE overstated in error.
Cootion 02 C	ONTRACT: OIC DEVIEWS
	ONTRACT: OIG REVIEWS
Agency:	Comment/Footnote:
DoD OIG/AAA/NAS	Completed contact reviews include one Naval Audit Service review; two DoD OIG contract reviews and one Army Audit Agency review
DoD AAA	The dollar value of contract reviews in-process reflects audits involving the US Corps of Engineers. These dollars are not included in Section 2 of this report regarding agency actions.
DoD AAA/NAS	The dollar value of contract reviews completed reflects audits involving the US Corps of Engineers and Navy's Military Sealfit Command. The US Army Corp of Engineer dollars are not
2327000100	included in Section 2 of this report regarding agency actions.
DoD	Value of reviews is adjusted downward due to changes in Army Audit Agency input. Prior reports' figures were estimated.
EPA	Number of contracts reviewed includes new awards and other actions on existing contracts such as delivery and purchase orders.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

11th PCIE Hu	ırricane Katrina Report: To Date As of 09-30-06
GSA	The GSA OIG is performing a programmatic review that looks at GSA's support for FEMA in its entirety, including GSA's procurements for FEMA under ESF-7. This review will include an examination of procurements for price reasonableness, the level of competition used for the award, and management controls related to the procurement award. In accordance with guidelines from the PCIE Katrina Audit Task Force, all contract actions valued over \$500,000 are being reviewed. These contracts were awarded by GSA under ESF-7 at the joint field offices (JFO) in Jackson, MS, and Baton Rouge, LA, as well as in Chicago, IL, Atlanta, GA, and Fort Worth, TX. These contracts were indentified primarily from procurement logs kept at the contracting locations. To date, there are 255 contract actions under review valued at over \$695 million.
Section 04	PURCHASE CARD: AGENCY TRANSACTIONS
Agency:	Comment/Footnote:
DoD	A total universe cannot be dervied for purchase card transactions related to Hurricane Katrina.
DOI	The purchase card total is a total for all "charge cards - travel and purchase" and includes both reimbursable and non-reimbursable transactions. The totals are lower than previous reports due to adjustments for non-hurricane charges identified after the fact.
DOJ	The amount reported as total purchase card expenditures is less than the amount shown in earlier reports. During our audit of purchase card expenditures, we determined that some
	expenditures for the period August 2005 through December 2005 were incorrectly reported as purchase card expenditures.
DOT	These numbers were reported by DOT management and have not been verified or audited by the OIG
EPA	Data is as of 6/30/06 - EPA OIG has not conducted any review of purchase card transactions during the quarter ending 9/30/06.
GSA	Under the procurement flexibilities allowed for contracting in response to Hurricane Katrina, GSA raised the procurement ceiling for charge cards to \$15,000 and only for a limited number of
	cardholders. Generally, GSA does not utilize purchase cards to make procurements in support of FEMA. The data reported is based on the FPDS database.
Section 05	. PURCHASE CARD: OIG REVIEWS
Agency:	Comment/Footnote:
DoD	Without a total universe, we cannout determine the percentage of purchase card transactions reviewed,
DOI	We are reviewing purchase card transactions as part of a larger audit effort and details of transactions reviewed are not published as of this date.
EPA	Data is as of 6/30/06 - EPA OIG has not conducted any review of purchase card transactions during the quarter ending 9/30/06.
GSA	There have been no reviews to date.
Coation OC	NON CONTRACT. OLO DEVIENO
	NON-CONTRACT: OIG REVIEWS
Agency:	Comment/Footnote:
DoD	Although we reported only 3 ongoing reviews related to mission assignments, each review encompasses multiple mission assignments.
DoD NAS	Audit Report No. N2006-0015, Chartered Cruise Ships," 16 February 2006
DoD	This number reflects only final audit reports issued and does not include draft reports issued.
DOJ	The audit report listed in this section is entitled DOJ Purchase Card Expenditures Related to Hurricane Recovery Efforts.
ED	Impact Aid and Re-Start Program audits (2) have been moved to planned work.
EPA	"Lessons Learned: EPA's Response to Hurricane Katrina," Report No. 2006-P-00033, dated September 14, 2006
GSA	The GSA OIG is performing a programmatic review that looks at GSA's support for FEMA in its entirety. This review will include a look at GSA's procurements for FEMA under ESF-7,
	charges against mission assignments, as well as management controls and processes internal to GSA.
HHS	Medicaid Services and Payments under Hurricane Katrina Waivers (OEI-05-06-00140)
HHS	Reviewing the Use of Purchase Cards in Response to Hurricane Katrina (OEI-07-06-00150)
HHS	Review of Commissioned Corps Deployment in Response to Hurricane Katrina (OEI-09-06-00030)
HUD	Reviews include the Homeownership review for Miss & Louis. (2) & the KDHAP voucher program (1)
NASA	One OIG review has been established to review the 5 FEMA mission assignments reported in the #10 06/30/06 report.

Section 07	'. OIG REPORTING
Agency:	Comment/Footnote:
DoD OIG	The 9 Congressionals recevied include 6 audit requests and 3 requests for testimony.
DoD OIG	The 1 completed Congressional was a request that was transferred to the Department of Homeland Security. The DoD OIG considered this request completed as it does not require further
	action of behalf of the DoD OIG.
DoD OIG	Congressional data being reported is cumulative.
DOI	A comprehensive audit report looking at hurricane-related issues for DOI is scheduled to be issued in January 2007.
EPA	"Existing Contracts Enabled EPA to Quickly Respond to Hurricane Katrina; Future Improvement Opportunities Exist," Report No. 2006-P-00038, dated September 27, 2006
GSA	The GSA OIG has issued an interim audit mermorandum regarding standard operating procedures for GSA as reported in our 9th report, contractor responsibility determinations as reported
	in the 10th report, and procurement processes that are being reported this period.
HHS	Audit of Selected Procurements Under Contract 200-2005-11531 with Sanofi Pasteur, Inc. (Report Number A-04-06-01024)
HHS	Audit of Selected Procurements Under Contract 200-2005-11533 with Merck Vaccine Division (Report Number A-04-06-01025)
HHS	Audit of Selected Procurements Under Contract 200-2005-12567 with Merck Vaccine Division (Report Number A-04-06-01026)
HHS	Audit of Selected Procurements Under Contract 200-2005-12645 with GlaxoSmithKline (Report Number A-04-06-01027)
HHS	Audit of Selected Procurements Under Contract 200-2005-11532 with GlaxoSmithKline (Report Number A-04-06-01028)
HHS	Audit of Selected Procurements Under Contract 200-2005-11534 with Wyeth (Report Number A-04-06-01029)
HHS	Audit of Program Support Center's Procurement Process for Contract HHSP233200500328A with Cardinal Health 200, Inc. (A-03-06-00503)
HHS	Audit of Program Support Center's Procurement Process for Contract Action HHSP233200500508G with Alaska Structures, Inc. (A-03-06-00504)
HHS	Audit of Program Support Center's Procurement Process for Contract Action HHSP233200600085U with Analytic Services, Inc (A-03-06-00511)
Section 00	. OIG CONGRESSIONAL RESPONSES
	Comment/Footnote:
Agency: DoD OIG	The Hotline statistics being reported are cumulative and are current as of last reporting period. The Hurrincane Relief Fraud Hotline was transferred from the DoD OIG to the Hurricane
DOD OIG	Katrina Fraud Task Force Command Center in Baton Rouge, LA on March 20, 2006.
DoD OIG	In addition to the one arrest reported, a second defendant surrendered to authorities.
DoD OIG	The two reported convictions are not the result of an indictment but rather the filing of criminal information.
HHS	In our report, "Nursing Home Evacuation Planning and Execution" (OEI-06-06-00020), we recommended that the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) consider strengthening
	III OUI TEDOIL. INDISITIU FIORITE EVACUATION FIARININA AND EXECUTION TOET-00-000201. WE TECONNICIENTE UNIT TOET OF NICES TOWNS CONSTRUCT STEETIGES FOR THE CONTRACT OF NICES TOWNS CONTRACT STEETIGES FOR THE CONTRACT OF NICES TOWNS CONTRACT STEETIGES FOR THE CONTRACT OF NICES TO NICE
	Federal certification standards for nursing home emergency plans after OIG's study of emergency preparedness for homes in five Gulf Coast States found that the selected nursing homes al
	Federal certification standards for nursing home emergency plans after OIG's study of emergency preparedness for homes in five Gulf Coast States found that the selected nursing homes al experienced problems during the 2004 and 2005 hurricanes, whether evacuating or sheltering in place. Nursing home administrators and staff often did not follow their emergency plans, the
	Federal certification standards for nursing home emergency plans after OIG's study of emergency preparedness for homes in five Gulf Coast States found that the selected nursing homes all experienced problems during the 2004 and 2005 hurricanes, whether evacuating or sheltering in place. Nursing home administrators and staff often did not follow their emergency plans, the plans were often missing suggested provisions, and a lack of collaboration between State and local emergency entities and nursing homes impeded emergency planning and response.
	Federal certification standards for nursing home emergency plans after OIG's study of emergency preparedness for homes in five Gulf Coast States found that the selected nursing homes all experienced problems during the 2004 and 2005 hurricanes, whether evacuating or sheltering in place. Nursing home administrators and staff often did not follow their emergency plans, the plans were often missing suggested provisions, and a lack of collaboration between State and local emergency entities and nursing homes impeded emergency planning and response. CMS concurred with these recommendations and is exploring ways to strengthen Federal certification standards for emergency preparedness and to promote better coordination among
	Federal certification standards for nursing home emergency plans after OIG's study of emergency preparedness for homes in five Gulf Coast States found that the selected nursing homes all experienced problems during the 2004 and 2005 hurricanes, whether evacuating or sheltering in place. Nursing home administrators and staff often did not follow their emergency plans, the plans were often missing suggested provisions, and a lack of collaboration between State and local emergency entities and nursing homes impeded emergency planning and response.
	Federal certification standards for nursing home emergency plans after OIG's study of emergency preparedness for homes in five Gulf Coast States found that the selected nursing homes all experienced problems during the 2004 and 2005 hurricanes, whether evacuating or sheltering in place. Nursing home administrators and staff often did not follow their emergency plans, the plans were often missing suggested provisions, and a lack of collaboration between State and local emergency entities and nursing homes impeded emergency planning and response. CMS concurred with these recommendations and is exploring ways to strengthen Federal certification standards for emergency preparedness and to promote better coordination among Federal, State, and local emergency management entities.
Section 09	Federal certification standards for nursing home emergency plans after OIG's study of emergency preparedness for homes in five Gulf Coast States found that the selected nursing homes all experienced problems during the 2004 and 2005 hurricanes, whether evacuating or sheltering in place. Nursing home administrators and staff often did not follow their emergency plans, the plans were often missing suggested provisions, and a lack of collaboration between State and local emergency entities and nursing homes impeded emergency planning and response. CMS concurred with these recommendations and is exploring ways to strengthen Federal certification standards for emergency preparedness and to promote better coordination among Federal, State, and local emergency management entities. CIG INVESTIGATIVE STATISTICS
Section 09 Agency:	Federal certification standards for nursing home emergency plans after OIG's study of emergency preparedness for homes in five Gulf Coast States found that the selected nursing homes all experienced problems during the 2004 and 2005 hurricanes, whether evacuating or sheltering in place. Nursing home administrators and staff often did not follow their emergency plans, the plans were often missing suggested provisions, and a lack of collaboration between State and local emergency entities and nursing homes impeded emergency planning and response. CMS concurred with these recommendations and is exploring ways to strengthen Federal certification standards for emergency preparedness and to promote better coordination among Federal, State, and local emergency management entities. COIG INVESTIGATIVE STATISTICS Comment/Footnote:
Section 09	Federal certification standards for nursing home emergency plans after OIG's study of emergency preparedness for homes in five Gulf Coast States found that the selected nursing homes all experienced problems during the 2004 and 2005 hurricanes, whether evacuating or sheltering in place. Nursing home administrators and staff often did not follow their emergency plans, the plans were often missing suggested provisions, and a lack of collaboration between State and local emergency entities and nursing homes impeded emergency planning and response. CMS concurred with these recommendations and is exploring ways to strengthen Federal certification standards for emergency preparedness and to promote better coordination among Federal, State, and local emergency management entities. Comment/Footnote: The Hotline statistics being reported are cumulative and are current as of last reporting period. The Hurrincane Relief Fraud Hotline was transferred from the DoD OIG to the Hurricane
Section 09 Agency: DoD OIG	Federal certification standards for nursing home emergency plans after OIG's study of emergency preparedness for homes in five Gulf Coast States found that the selected nursing homes at experienced problems during the 2004 and 2005 hurricanes, whether evacuating or sheltering in place. Nursing home administrators and staff often did not follow their emergency plans, the plans were often missing suggested provisions, and a lack of collaboration between State and local emergency entities and nursing homes impeded emergency planning and response. CMS concurred with these recommendations and is exploring ways to strengthen Federal certification standards for emergency preparedness and to promote better coordination among Federal, State, and local emergency management entities. Comment/Footnote: The Hotline statistics being reported are cumulative and are current as of last reporting period. The Hurrincane Relief Fraud Hotline was transferred from the DoD OIG to the Hurricane Katrina Fraud Task Force Command Center in Baton Rouge, LA on March 20, 2006.
Section 09 Agency:	Federal certification standards for nursing home emergency plans after OIG's study of emergency preparedness for homes in five Gulf Coast States found that the selected nursing homes at experienced problems during the 2004 and 2005 hurricanes, whether evacuating or sheltering in place. Nursing home administrators and staff often did not follow their emergency plans, the plans were often missing suggested provisions, and a lack of collaboration between State and local emergency entities and nursing homes impeded emergency planning and response. CMS concurred with these recommendations and is exploring ways to strengthen Federal certification standards for emergency preparedness and to promote better coordination among Federal, State, and local emergency management entities. Comment/Footnote: The Hotline statistics being reported are cumulative and are current as of last reporting period. The Hurrincane Relief Fraud Hotline was transferred from the DoD OIG to the Hurricane