

## Appendix B - Tables

**Table 2: TEQs for PAHs**

Analytical results are multiplied by the following factors and then added together to obtain one number to be compared with the screening value for Benzo[a]pyrene, the EPA adds half the detection level for all carcinogenic PAHs, if any carcinogenic PAHs are detected.

PAH	Toxicity Equivalency Factor
Dibenz[a,h]anthracene	5
Benzo[a]pyrene	1
Benzo[a]anthracene	0.1
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	0.1
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	0.1
Indeno[1,2,3-c,d]pyrene	0.1
Anthracene	0.01
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	0.01
Chrysene	0.01
Acenaphthene	0.001
Acenaphthylene	0.001
Fluoranthene	0.001
Fluorene	0.001
Phenanthrene	0.001
Pyrene	0.001

Source: ATSDR 1995.

**Table 3: TEQs for Dioxins/Furans**

Analytical results are multiplied by the following factors and then added together to obtain one number to be compared with the screening value for 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD), the EPA adds half the detection level for all congeners, if any congeners are detected.

Dioxin/Furan	Toxicity Equivalency Factor
2,3,7,8-TCDD	1
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDD	1
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDD	0.1
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDD	0.1
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDD	0.1
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDD	0.01
OCDD	0.0001
2,3,7,8-TCDF	0.1
1,2,3,7,8-PeCDF	0.05
2,3,4,7,8-PeCDF	0.5
1,2,3,4,7,8-HxCDF	0.1
1,2,3,6,7,8-HxCDF	0.1
1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF	0.1
2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF	0.1
1,2,3,4,6,7,8-HpCDF	0.01
1,2,3,4,7,8,9-HpCDF	0.01
OCDF	0.0001

Source: WHO 1998.

**Table 4: DEP intervals sampled and analyses performed**

Study/Location (MV samples)	Samples analyzed for As, Cd, Ni, Pb	Samples analyzed for Ba, Ca, Fe, K, Mg, Mn, Na and VOCs	Samples analyzed for SVOCs (PAHs) and PCBs	Samples analyzed for dioxins
DEP Surface Soil inside fill area, <i>west</i>	2, 3, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, 15, 16, 18, 19, 21, 22, 24, 25, 27, 29, 31, 36, 43	43	Scrape 18, Scrape 42, 43	
DEP Surface Soil outside fill area, <i>west</i>	1, 4, 5, 8, 11, 14, 17, 20, 23, 26, 28, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35,			
DEP Subsurface Soil inside fill area, <i>west</i>	2, 3, 3, 6, 6, 7, 9, 10, 12, 12, 13, 15, 15, 16, 16, 18, 19, 19, 19A, 21, 22, 24, 25, 25, 27, 29, 31, 36, 36A, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 44, 45, 46	19/19A, 36, 36A, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 44, 45, 46	3, 6, 9, 12, 13, 15, 16, 19A, 21, 22, 24, 25, 29, 36, 36A, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 44, 45, 46,	38, 42, 44
DEP Subsurface Soil outside fill area, <i>west</i>	1, 4, 5, 8, 11, 14, 17, 20, 23, 26, 28, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35,		14, 17, 20, 23, 26, 33,	
DEP Surface soil inside fill area, <i>east</i>	49	49	49	
DEP Surface soil outside fill area, <i>east</i>	48, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54	48, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54	48, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54	48, 50, 52
DEP Subsurface soil inside fill area, <i>east</i>				
DEP Subsurface soil outside fill area, <i>east</i>	47	47	47	47

SVOCs = semivolatile organic chemicals PAHs = polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

PCBs = polychlorinated biphenyls

Source: DEP 2002a

**Table 5: EPA’s contractor, Weston, intervals sampled and analyses performed**

Study/Location (MA samples)	Samples analyzed for TAL metals and cyanide, VOCs, SVOCs (PAHs), pesticides, and PCBs	Samples analyzed for dioxins
EPA Surface Soil	MA-01-SS (bkg), MA-02 through 024-SS; MA-17-SS and MA-18-SS, had duplicates run	MA-01-SS (bkg), MA-04-22, MA-05-SS, MA-08-SS, MA-10-SS, MA-12-SS, MA-17-SS, MA-20-SS, MA-23-SS
EPA Subsurface Soil	MA-01-SB (bkg), MA-02 through 024-SB; MA-17-SB and MA-18-SB, had duplicates run	

SVOCs = semivolatile organic chemicals

PAHs = polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

PCBs = polychlorinated biphenyls

Source: Weston 2003

**Table 6: Dr. Flowers intervals sampled and analyses performed**

Study/Location (M samples)	Samples analyzed for <b>RCRA metals</b> ( <b>arsenic, barium, cadmium, chromium, lead, selenium, and silver</b> ) and mercury	Samples analyzed for <b>arsenic and lead</b>
Flowers <b>Surface soil samples</b>		M138 through M239 Control locations E5 through E22 and C1 through C25
Flowers <b>Subsurface samples</b>	M101 through M137 (reported arsenic, lead and mercury) Control locations E1-E4	

Source: Flowers 2004

**Table 7. Soil Concentrations for Contaminants of Concern, samples taken by Weston Solutions, Inc. for the EPA**

Contaminants of Concern	Screening Value (mg/kg) ATSDR: Child/Adult	DEP:	Highest Soil Concentration (mg/kg)	Location of Highest Concentration	Number Soil Samples Above Screening Value
arsenic	0.5 CREG	2.1 draft SCTL**	19	MA-17-SB	8/46, 5/46
barium	4,000/50,000 RMEG	120 SCTL***	340	MA-17-SB	0/46, 4/46
copper		150 SCTL***	520	MA-17-SB	1/46
dieldrin	0.04 CREG	0.06 SCTL	0.13	MA-17D-SS	1/46, 1/46
dioxin TEQ	0.00005/0.0007 EMEG	0.000007 SCTL	0.000038J	MA-12-SS	0/46, 3/46
lead		400 SCTL	800	MA-17D-SS	1/46
n-nitroso di-n-propylamine	0.1 CREG		0.18J	MA-12-SB	1/46
PAH TEQ	0.1 CREG		0.6	MA-18D-SS/24-SB	6/46
PCBs (Arochlor-1260)	0.4 CREG		4.1	MA-17D-SS	2/46
vanadium	Int. EMEG 200/2,000	51 SCTL	1,100	MA-17-SB	1/46, 2/46

CREG—ATSDR’s Cancer Risk Evaluation Guide for 1 excess cancer case in 1 million people (ATSDR 1992a).

Int. EMEG—Environmental Media Evaluation Guide for exposures lasting 15-364 days.

mg/kg—milligrams per kilogram

PAHs—polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

PCBs—polychlorinated biphenyls, neither ATSDR nor FDEP has a screening value for Arochlor 1260 alone.

RMEG—ATDR’s Reference Dose Environmental Media Evaluation Guide

SCTL—FDEP’s Soil Target Cleanup Level for residential land uses.

\*\*DEP’s  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  excess Cancer Risk Evaluation Guide for arsenic is 0.8 mg/kg. DEP is proposing to increase the  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  excess cancer risks to 2.1 mg/kg. DEP bases this factor on primate and hog bioavailability studies that give factors of 1/3 and 1/4 for actual bodily uptake of arsenic from ingested sources.

\*\*\*DEP’s direct exposure Residential Soil Target Cleanup Level, based on acute toxicity considerations (for barium, this value is based on soluble barium salts).

**Table 8. Soil Concentrations for Contaminants of Concern, Dr. Flowers for Levin et al.**

<i>Contaminants of Concern</i>	<i>Screening Value (mg/kg) ATSDR:</i>	<i>DEP:</i>	<i>Highest Soil Concentration (mg/kg)</i>	<i>Location of Highest Concentration</i>	<i>Number Soil Samples Above Screening Value</i>
arsenic	0.5 CREG	2.1 draft SCTL**	53	M149	89/166, 31/166
lead		400 SCTL***	610	E22	3/166
mercury		3 SCTL***	25	M132	1/41

CREG—ATSDR’s Cancer Risk Evaluation Guide for 1 excess cancer case in 1 million people (ATSDR 1992a).

mg/kg—milligrams per kilogram

PAHs—polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

PCBs—polychlorinated biphenyls, neither ATSDR nor FDEP has a screening value for Arochlor 1260 alone.

RMEG—Reference Dose Environmental Media Evaluation Guide

SCTL—FDEP’s Soil Target Cleanup Level for residential land use.

\*\*DEP’s  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  excess Cancer Risk Evaluation Guide for arsenic is 0.8 mg/kg. DEP is proposing to increase the  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  excess cancer risks to 2.1 mg/kg. DEP bases this factor on primate and hog bioavailability studies that give factors of 1/3 and 1/4 for actual bodily uptake of arsenic from ingested sources.

\*\*\*DEP’s direct exposure Residential Soil Target Cleanup Level, based on acute toxicity considerations (for barium, this value is based on soluble barium salts).

*Arsenic values measured above 20 mg/kg—M211 (33 mg/kg), E14 (43 mg/kg), M202 (47 mg/kg), and M140 (53 mg/kg).*

*Lead values measured above 400 mg/kg—E14 (470 mg/kg), M235 (560 mg/kg), and E22 (610 mg/kg).*

**Table 9. Soil Concentrations for Contaminants of Concern, initial data collected by Florida DEP**

<i>Contaminants of Concern</i>	<i>Screening Value (mg/kg) ATSDR:</i>	<i>DEP:</i>	<i>Highest Soil Concentration (mg/kg)</i>	<i>Location of Highest Concentration</i>	<i>Number Soil Samples Above Screening Value</i>
arsenic	0.5 CREG	2.1 draft SCTL**	10.6	MV19	38/95, 9/95
barium	4,000/50,000 RMEG	120*** SCTL	304	MV40 3-4'	0/42, 2/42
PAHs	0.1 CREG*		3.5	MV36A	7/42
PCBs	0.4 CREG*		6.9	MV6	2/42

CREG—ATSDR’s Cancer Risk Evaluation Guide for 1 excess cancer case in 1 million people (ATSDR 1992a).

mg/kg—milligrams per kilogram

PAHs—polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

PCBs—polychlorinated biphenyls, neither ATSDR nor FDEP has a screening value for Arochlor 1260 alone.

SCTL—FDEP’s Soil Target Cleanup Level for residential land use.

\*\*DEP’s  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  excess Cancer Risk Evaluation Guide for arsenic is 0.8 mg/kg. DEP is proposing to increase the  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  excess cancer risks to 2.1 mg/kg. DEP bases this factor on primate and hog bioavailability studies that give factors of 1/3 and 1/4 for actual bodily uptake of arsenic from ingested sources.

\*\*\*DEP’s direct exposure Residential Soil Target Cleanup Level, based on acute toxicity considerations (for barium, this value is based on soluble barium salts).

**Table 10. Soil Concentrations for Contaminants of Concern, combined studies**

<i>Contaminants of Concern</i>	<i>Screening Value (mg/kg)</i> ATSDR:	<i>DEP:</i>	<i>Highest Soil Concentration (mg/kg)</i>	<i>Location of Highest Concentration</i>	<i>Number Soil Samples Above Screening Value</i>
arsenic	0.5 CREG	2.1 draft SCTL**	53	M149 (Flowers)	135/307, 45/307
barium	4,000/50,000 RMEG	120*** SCTL	340	MA-17-SB (EPA)	0/88, 6/88
copper	2,000 20,000 Int. EME	150*** SCTL	520	MA-17-SB (EPA)	1/46
dieldrin	0.04 CREG	0.06 SCTL	0.13	MA-17D-SS (EPA)	1/46, 1/46
dioxin TEQ	0.00005/0.0007 EMEG	0.000007 SCTL	0.000038J	MA-12-SS (EPA)	0/46, 3/46
lead		400 SCTL	800	MA-17D-SS (EPA)	3/307
mercury		3 SCTL	25	M132 (Flowers)	1/183
n-nitroso di-n-propylamine	0.1 CREG	0.003 SCTL	0.18J	MA-12-SB (EPA)	1/88
PAHs TEQ	0.1 CREG		3.5	MV36A (FDEP)	13/88
PCBs (Arochlor-1260)	(ATSDR—Arochlor mixtures—DEP) 0.4 CREG	0.5 SCTL	6.9	MV6 (FDEP)	4/88
vanadium	Int. EMEG 200/2,000	67*** SCTL	1,100	MA-17-SB (EPA)	1/46, 2/46

CREG—ATSDR’s Cancer Risk Evaluation Guide for 1 excess cancer case in 1 million people (ATSDR 1992a).

Int. EMEG—Environmental Media Evaluation Guide for exposures lasting 15-364 days.

mg/kg—milligrams per kilogram

PAHs—polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons

PCBs—polychlorinated biphenyls, neither ATSDR nor FDEP has a screening value for Arochlor 1260 alone.

RMEG—Reference Dose Environmental Media Evaluation Guide

SCTL—FDEP’s Soil Target Cleanup Level for residential land use.

\*\*DEP’s  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  excess Cancer Risk Evaluation Guide for arsenic is 0.8 mg/kg. DEP is proposing to increase the  $1 \times 10^{-6}$  excess cancer risks to 2.1 mg/kg. DEP bases this factor on primate and hog bioavailability studies that give factors of 1/3 and 1/4 for actual bodily uptake of arsenic from ingested sources.

\*\*\*DEP’s direct exposure Residential Soil Target Cleanup Level, based on acute toxicity considerations (for barium, this value is based on soluble barium salts).



---

## Model Parameters and Assumptions for Tables 11 and 12

**Exposure Medium:** Soil  
**Exposure Point:** On-site soil and dust  
**Scenario Time frame:** Current and Future  
**Land Use Conditions:** Residential

**Receptor Population:** Residents

These doses were calculated using Risk Assistant software and accepted values for soil consumption, dust inhalation exposure and dermal exposure parameters (EPA, 1997).

The following doses were calculated using the following values:

Adult body weight- 70 kg  
Child body weight- 15 kg  
Adult soil consumption- 100 mg/day  
Child soil consumption- 200 mg/day

\* The air concentration is given in milligrams per cubic meter because the values for inhalation studies in most of the Toxicological Profiles are given in these units. The air concentration is not a dose; therefore, it is the same for adults and children.

mg/kg = milligram per kilogram of soil

mg/kg/day = milligrams per kilogram body weight per day

**Table 11. Estimated dose from exposure to on-site surface soil, doses calculated from highest measured levels.**

Contaminant of Concern (maximum concentration) (mg/kg)	Oral MRL (mg/kg/day)	Soil/dust- Ingestion (mg/kg/day)		Inhalation MRL (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Soil/dust- Inhalation (mg/m <sup>3</sup> )
		Child	Adult		Child and Adult
arsenic (53)	0.005 Prov. Acute 0.0003 Chr	0.0007	0.00008	-	0.000003
barium (340)	-	0.005	0.0005	-	0.00002
copper (520)	0.02 Acute 0.02Int.	0.007	0.0007	-	0.00003
dieldrin (0.13)	0.0001 Int.	0.000002	0.0000002	-	0.000000007
dioxin TEQ (0.000038)	0.0000002 Acute 0.00000002 Int. 0.000000001 Chr.	0.0000000005	0.00000000005	-	0.000000000002
mercury (25)	-	0.0003	0.00004	-	0.000001
n-nitroso di-n-propylamine (0.18)	0.095 Acute	0.000002	0.0000003	-	0.00000001
PAHs TEQ (3.5)	-	0.00005	0.000005	-	0.0000002
PCBs Arochlor-1260 (6.9 0)	0.00003 Int. 0.00002 Chr.	0.00009	0.00001	-	0.0000004
Vanadium 1100	0. 003 Int.	0.02	0.002	0.0002 Acute	0.00006

**MRL**—Minimal Risk Level. An MRL is an estimate of the daily human exposure to a hazardous substance that is likely to be without appreciable risk of adverse noncancer health effects over a specified duration of exposure. **Chr**—Chronic exposure length of more than 365 days. **Int**—Intermediate exposure length of more than 14 and less than 365 days. **Acute**—Exposure length of less than 14 days. **mg/kg**—milligrams per kilogram **mg/kg/day**—milligram chemical per kilogram body weight per day **PAHs TEQ**—polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons **mg/m<sup>3</sup>**—microgram of chemical per cubic meter of air. **MD**—Missing Data to allow calculation of estimate.

**Table 12. Comparison of doses calculated from highest measured values to most sensitive effects (effects occurring at the lowest doses in animal and human medical studies). Shaded doses are above sensitive dose or minimum risk level.**

Chemical	Doses are in mg/kg/day				Soil
	children's dose	adult's dose	children's theoretical increased cancer risk	adult's theoretical increased cancer risk	
Arsenic	Ing 0.0007 Inh 0.000004	Ing 0.00008 Inh 0.000004	Ing 5:100,000 Inh 2:1,000,000	Ing 5:100,000 Inh 4:1,000,000	
ATSDR 2000 (Update)	<p><u>Child ingestion dose</u> (0.0007) is 31 times less than the Lowest Observable Adverse Effect Level dose (0.022) associated with gastrointestinal irritation, diarrhea, nausea, skin pigmentation changes, and hyperkeratosis (dark raised spots on the skin that are possibly precancerous); persons in this study continuously ingested arsenic in their drinking water. While this level is 1.75 times greater than the (0.0004) No Observable Adverse Effect Level (NOAEL), for these health effects (same study) and 2.3 times greater than the MRL (0.0003) calculated from another NOAEL (0.0008) for adverse skin effects from long-term ingestion of arsenic in drinking water. ATSDR scientists divided this second NOEL dose (0.0008) by 3 to account for human diversity in calculating the MRL. Since the MRL was based on a NOEL, it is unlikely daily exposure at this level would cause noncancer health effects.</p> <p><u>Adult ingestion dose</u> is 5 times less than the (0.0004) dose referenced for children, we would not expect skin or gastrointestinal health effects for most adults.</p> <p><u>Inhalation dose</u> (0.000004) is 175 times less than the amount associated with increased risk of stillbirth in humans (0.0007) and 1,750 times less than the dose causing dermatitis (0.007) in humans inhaling arsenic. Dermatitis is skin inflammation that may cause redness, pain, and occasionally itching.</p> <p><u>Associated cancers:</u> From lowest to highest dose cancer effect levels, chronic arsenic exposures in people have been linked to lung cancer, basal and squamous cell skin cancers, liver cancer (haemangioendothelioma), urinary tract cancers (bladder, kidney, ureter, and all urethral cancers), and intraepidermal cancers. Intraepidermal is the name for the early pre-invasive form of squamous cell skin cancer. Pre-invasive means that the cancer cells are confined to the outermost layer of skin, the epidermis. At this stage, the cancer cells are unlikely to have spread to the lymph nodes, but they can spread along the skin surface. If left untreated, these cells can develop into an invasive cancer and spread into the lymphatic system.</p>				
Barium	Ing 0.005 Inh 0.00002	Ing 0.0005 Inh 0.00002	No slope.	No slope.	
ATSDR 1992c TP-91/03	<p><u>Child ingestion dose</u> (0.005) is 108 times less than the dose (0.54) associated with increased blood pressure in rats exposed 7 days a week for 16 months in their drinking water. However, one person drinking water with barium (0.21 mg/kg/day) for 4 weeks, seven days a week did not experience cardiac effects.</p> <p><u>Adult ingestion dose</u> is 1,080 times less than the (0.54) sensitive dose health effects described above for children.</p> <p><u>Inhalation dose</u> Case reports and animal studies for establishing the health effects of barium inhalation exposure are inadequate. Nonetheless, (0.00002) is 3,000 times less than the dose that caused increased blood pressure and cardiac irregularities in guinea pigs exposed for an unspecified period to aerosolized barium chloride solution.</p> <p><u>Cancer association:</u> Animal studies and human medical case studies are insufficient for evaluating the carcinogenicity of barium via inhalation or ingestion. Animal studies involving dermal exposure to barium hydroxide from tobacco indicates barium hydroxide promotes tumor growth.</p>				

Mill View Subdivision Health Consultation



Chemical	Doses are in mg/kg/day				Soil
	children's dose	adult's dose	children's theoretical increased cancer risk	adult's theoretical increased cancer risk	
Copper	Ing 0.007 Inh 0.00003	Ing 0.0007 Inh 0.00003	No slope.	No slope.	
ATSDR 2003b (Update)	<p><u>Child ingestion dose</u> (0.007) is 2.4 times less than the Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level (0.017) for the copper dose causing nausea in a person who drank copper sulfate in water, one time.</p> <p><u>Adult ingestion dose</u> (0.0007) is 0.0006 mg/kg/day below the (0.0013) No Observed Adverse Effect Level dose referenced for children, so nausea would not be expected.</p> <p><u>Inhalation dose</u> (0.00003) is 4000 times less than the copper inhalation dose (0.12) associated with decreased lung bactericidal activity and 4,333 times less than the copper inhalation dose (0.13) for decreased average survival time. For both studies, mice were exposed 5 days a week for 1 to 2 weeks, 3 hours a day.</p> <p><u>Cancer association:</u> Animal studies and occupational epidemiological studies are insufficient for evaluating the carcinogenicity of copper via inhalation or dermal exposure. Animal studies involving ingestion are limited and the significance has not been determined.</p>				
Dieldrin	Ing 0.000002 Inh 0.000000007	Ing 0.0000002 Inh 0.000000007	Ing 1:1,000,000 Inh <1:1,000,000	Ing <1:1,000,000 Inh <1:1,000,000	
ATSDR 2002b (Update)	<p><u>Child ingestion dose</u> (0.000002) is 5,000 times less the No Observed Adverse Effect Level dose (0.01) associated with learning deficits in monkeys exposed 55–109 days, once per day, 5 days a week, in food (50,000 times &lt; learning deficit level).</p> <p><u>Adult ingestion dose</u> (0.0000002) is 50,000 times less the (0.01) No Observed Adverse Effect Level dose health effects described above for children.</p> <p><u>Inhalation dose</u> Information ATSDR located regarding the effects dieldrin inhalation exposures in animals was extremely limited. Many studies involved simultaneous inhalation and dermal exposure. In human case reports and occupational studies, doses were not precisely known.</p> <p><u>Associated cancers:</u> Chronic exposure studies in mice have linked dieldrin ingestion to liver cancer.</p>				

Mill View Subdivision Health Consultation



Chemical	Doses are in mg/kg/day			Soil
	children's dose	adult's dose	children's theoretical increased cancer risk	adult's theoretical increased cancer risk
Dioxin TEQ	Ing 0.0000000005 Inh 0.000000000002	Ing Inh 0.000000000002	No slope.	No slope.
ATSDR 1998b (Update)	<p><u>Child ingestion dose</u> (0.0000000005) is 40,000 times less than the dose (0.00000012) associated with reproductive effects (moderate endometriosis) and altered social behavior in a dioxin rhesus monkey study. The results of oral animal studies suggest that the effects that occur at the lowest levels of dioxin doses are immune, endocrine, and developmental effects. People's ingestion exposures are mainly known from low levels of food contamination.</p> <p><u>Adult ingestion dose</u> (0.0000000005) is 400,000 times less than the (0.00000012) sensitive dose health effects described above for children.</p> <p><u>Inhalation</u> of dioxins has not been studied in animals. People's occupational and accidental exposures to dioxin involve primarily inhalation and dermal exposure, but health effects are known primarily from associations with the levels stored in fat. The lowest levels of exposure are associated with hormone changes that can result in changes in sex ratios in children (more females are born). Higher levels are associated with immunosuppression, changes in the liver, abnormal glucose tolerance, and increased risk of diabetes. The highest exposure levels are associated with nervous system effects, chloracne, respiratory effects, and increased risk of cancer.</p> <p><u>Cancers</u> Statistically significant increases in risks for all cancers were found in workers highly exposed to dioxins with longer latency periods. Although the estimated Standardized Mortality Ratios are low<sup>†</sup>, they are consistent across studies with the highest dioxin exposures. The evidence linking doses with site-specific cancers is weaker, with some data suggesting a possible relationship between soft-tissue sarcoma, non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, or respiratory cancer.</p>			
Lead ATSDR 1999a	2.5-6.7 µg/dl (modeled)	1.9-6.3 µg/dl (modeled)		

<sup>†</sup> Standardized Mortality / Morbidity Ratio (SMR) is a widely used method of reporting death or disease which adjusts for differences in age and sex across regions. It is a measure of premature mortality. Instead of giving an adjusted rate, the SMR gives a ratio that is a direct comparison with a standard (e.g. the entire state).

Mill View Subdivision Health Consultation



Chemical	Doses are in mg/kg/day				Soil
	children's dose	adult's dose	children's theoretical increased cancer risk	adult's theoretical increased cancer risk	
Mercury	Ing 0.0003 Inh 0.000001	Ing 0.00004 Inh 0.000001	No slope.	No slope.	
ATSDR 1999b (Update)	<p><u>Child ingestion dose</u> (0.0003) is 1,866 times less than the dose (0.56) associated with kidney symptoms<sup>†</sup> in mice exposed for 10 weeks ad lib. via drinking water.</p> <p><u>Adult ingestion dose</u> (0.00004) is 14,000 times less than the (0.56) sensitive dose health effects described above for children.</p> <p><u>Inhalation dose</u> (0.000001) is 14,000 times less than the dose (0.014) associated with impaired performance on neurobehavioral tests in persons exposed 0.7-24 years.</p> <p><u>Cancer association:</u> Animal studies and human epidemiological studies for evaluating the carcinogenicity of mercury via inhalation or dermal exposure were not located. Animal studies involving ingestion exposure were equivocal.</p>				
N-nitroso di-n-propylamine	Ing 0.04 Inh 0.0002	Ing 0.005 Inh 0.0002	Ing ~8:100,000 Inh <1:1,000,000	Ing ~8:1,000,000 Inh <1:1,000,000	
ATSDR 1989	<p><u>Child ingestion dose</u> (0.04) is 65 times less than the dose (2.6) associated with esophagus and forestomach tumors in rats exposed 300 weeks, 5 days a week, via drinking water.</p> <p><u>Adult ingestion dose</u> (0.005) is 520 times less than the (2.6) sensitive dose health effects described above for children.</p> <p><u>Inhalation dose</u> No studies were located regarding the effects in humans or animals following inhalation exposure to N-nitroso di-n-propylamine.</p> <p><u>Cancer association:</u> Animal studies and human epidemiological studies for evaluating the carcinogenicity of N-nitroso di-n-propylamine via inhalation or dermal exposure were not located. Animal studies involving ingestion exposure indicated forestomach and pulmonary tumors in mice, and esophagus, forestomach, liver, nasal, and esophagus tumors in rats from lowest to highest cancer effect dose.</p>				

<sup>†</sup> The mouse kidney symptoms were increased granular IgG deposits, slight glomerular endocapillary cell hyperplasia; slight tubular atrophy, inflammation, and fibrosis.

Mill View Subdivision Health Consultation



Chemical	Doses are in mg/kg/day				Soil
	children's dose	adult's dose	children's theoretical increased cancer risk	adult's theoretical increased cancer risk	
PAHs TEQ	Ing 0.00005 Inh 0.0000002	Ing 0.000005 Inh 0.0000002	Ing 1:100,000 Inh ~6:1,000,000	Ing 2:100,000 Inh ~6:1,000,000	
ATSDR 1995 (Update)	<p><u>Child ingestion dose</u> (0.00005) is 52,000 times less than the dose (2.6) associated with stomach cancer in mice exposed to benzo[a]pyrene ad lib in food for 30 to 197 days.</p> <p><u>Adult ingestion dose</u> (0.000005) is 520,000 times less than the (2.6) sensitive dose health effects described above for children.</p> <p><u>Inhalation dose</u> (0.0000002) is 500 times less than the dose (0.0001) associated with reduced lung function, abnormal chest x-ray, cough, bloody vomit, and throat and chest irritation, in persons exposed from 6 months to 6 years.</p> <p><u>Cancer and occupational studies</u>; Worker exposures to high levels of PAHs show cancers (skin, bladder, lung and gastrointestinal) are the most significant endpoint of PAH toxicity. Long-term worker PAH exposures have been linked with skin and eye irritation, photosensitivity, respiratory irritation (with cough and bronchitis), leukoplakia†, precancerous skin growths enhanced by exposure to sunlight, erythemaΔ, skin burns, acneiform lesions, mild hepatotoxicity, and haematuria‡. Also several PAH compounds are immunotoxic, and some suppress selective compounds of the immune system. Workers' dermal exposure studies indicate that although direct contact may be of concern at high exposure levels, they do not suggest that lower levels are likely to cause significant irritation (Goodfellow et al. 2001).</p>				

---

† Leukoplakia is a common, potentially pre-cancerous disease of the mouth that involves the formation of white spots on the mucous membranes of the tongue and inside of the mouth. Despite the increased risk associated with having leukoplakia, many people with this condition never get oral cancer

Δ Erythema nodosum is an inflammation of subcutaneous fat tissue.

‡ Haematuria is passage of blood in the urine.

---

Mill View Subdivision Health Consultation



Chemical	Doses are in mg/kg/day				Soil
	children's dose	adult's dose	children's theoretical increased cancer risk	adult's theoretical increased cancer risk	
PCBs	Ing 0.00009 Inh 0.000003	Ing 0.00001 Inh 0.000003	Ing 2:100,000 Inh. No slope.	Ing 8:1,000,000 Inh. No slope.	
ATSDR 2000b (Update)	<p><u>Child ingestion dose</u> (0.00009) is 55 times less than the dose (0.005) associated with elevated and separated toenails, and immune system effects (reduced IgM and IgG antibody responses to sheep red blood cells) in studies of rhesus monkeys given Arochlor-1254 in capsules for longer than a year. <b>Nonetheless this child ingestion dose is greater than the intermediate oral exposure minimum risk level of 0.00003 set by dividing 0.0075 by an uncertainty factor of 300 (10 for extrapolation from a lowest observed adverse effect level to a no observed adverse effect level, 3 for extrapolation from animals to humans, and 10 for human variability).</b> It is also higher than the chronic oral exposure minimum risk level of 0.00002 set by dividing 0.005 by an uncertainty factor of 300 (10 for extrapolation from a lowest observed adverse effect level to a no observed adverse effect level, 3 for extrapolation from animals to humans, and 10 for human variability).</p> <p><u>Adult ingestion dose</u> (0.00001) is 500 times less than the (0.005) sensitive dose health effects described above for children.</p> <p><u>Inhalation dose</u> (0.000003) is 3,000 times less than the dose (0.009) associated with epithelial hyperplasia in the urinary bladder and endocrine symptoms (increased thyroid serum T3 and T4 hormones) in rats exposed 30 days, for 7 days a week 23 hours a day to Arochlor-1242).</p> <p><u>Associated cancer</u> Chronic oral PCB exposures have been linked with liver cancer in 6 rat studies and thyroid follicular cell adenoma in 3 other rat studies.</p>				
Vanadium	Ing 0.02 Inh 0.00006	Ing 0.002 Inh 0.00006	No slope.	No slope.	
ATSDR 1992b TP-91/29	<p><u>Child ingestion dose</u> (0.02) is 15 times less than the no observed adverse effect level dose (0.3) causing mild bleeding in the kidneys of rats exposed to sodium metavanadate for 3 months. <b>Nonetheless this child ingestion dose is greater than the intermediate oral exposure minimum risk level of 0.003 set by dividing 0.3 by an uncertainty factor of 100 (10 for extrapolation from animals to humans, and 10 for human variability).</b></p> <p><u>Adult ingestion dose</u> (0.002) is 150 times less than the (0.3) sensitive dose health effects described above for children.</p> <p><u>Inhalation dose</u> (0.00006) is 1,000 times less than the dose (0.06) associated with bronchial irritation (mucous formation and coughing) in two persons exposed for 8 hours to vanadium as vanadium pentoxide. The onset of coughing and mucus formation was delayed 7 to 24 hours. Pulmonary function test were normal. Other effects in workers chronically exposed to vanadium dusts included eye irritation, skin rashes, and weight loss.</p> <p><u>Cancer:</u> Animal studies and human medical case studies are insufficient for evaluating the carcinogenicity of vanadium via inhalation or ingestion.</p>				



**Table 13. Estimated Blood Lead Concentrations In Children Ingesting On-Site Surface Soil (micrograms per deciliter - µg/dl)**

Media	Conc. *		Time	Slope†		Low	High
	low	high		low	high		
Air (out) *	0.1	0.2	0.33	2.46	3.04	0.08118	0.20064
Air (in) *	0.3	0.6	0.33	2.46	3.04	0.24354	0.60192
Food*	5	5	0.33	0.24	0.24	0.396	0.396
Water*	4	4	0.33	0.16	0.16	0.2112	0.2112
Soil	800	800	0.33	0.002	0.016	0.528	4.224
Dust	800	800	0.33	0.004	0.004	1.056	1.056
<b>Total</b>						<b>2.51592</b>	<b>6.68976</b>

\*Default Value from ATSDR 1999a, Appendix D.

†These slopes were for children from ATSDR 1999a, Appendix D.

ATSDR’s Regression Analysis with Multiple-uptake Parameters to Estimate Blood Lead from Environmental Exposures (ATSDR 1999a, Appendix D)

**Table 14. Estimated Blood Lead Concentrations In Adults Ingesting On-Site Surface Soil (micrograms per deciliter - µg/dl)**

Media	Conc. *		Time	Slope†		Low	High
	low	high		low	high		
Air (out) *	0.1	0.2	0.33	1.59	3.56	0.05247	0.23496
Air (in) *	0.3	0.6	0.33	1.53	3.56	0.15147	0.70488
Food*	5	5	0.33	0.016	0.0195	0.0264	0.032175
Water*	4	4	0.33	0.03	0.06	0.0396	0.0792
Soil	800	800	0.33	0.002	0.016	0.528	4.224
Dust	800	800	0.33	0.004	0.004	1.056	1.056
<b>Total</b>						<b>1.85394</b>	<b>6.331215</b>

\*Default Value from ATSDR 1999a, Appendix D.

†These slopes were for adults from ATSDR 1999a, Appendix D.

ATSDR’s Regression Analysis with Multiple-uptake Parameters to Estimate Blood Lead from Environmental Exposures (ATSDR 1999a, Appendix D)

---

## Appendix C—Cancer Study Results

Liver Cancer Incidence Rates per 100,000 population, 1981-2000			Florida	
Gulf County		Age-Specific Rate	Number	Age-Specific Rate
Age Group	Number	Rate		
0 to 4	0	-	72	0.4
5 to 9	0	-	14	0.1
10 to 14	0	-	17	0.1
15 to 19	0	-	18	0.1
20 to 24	0	-	36	0.2
25 to 29	0	-	51	0.3
30 to 34	0	-	95	0.5
35 to 39	0	-	162	0.8
40 to 44	1	6.2	235	1.3
45 to 49	0	-	433	2.9
50 to 54	0	-	513	3.8
55 to 59	0	-	613	4.8
60 to 64	3	23.3	933	6.9
65 to 69	2	16.2	1,409	10.1
70 to 74	0	-	1,660	13.2
75 to 79	1	15.1	1,422	14.6
80 to 84	0	-	894	14.8
85 and Up	0	-	708	16.4
TOTAL	7	-	9,285	-
Age-adj Rate		2.4		2.92

All Cancers Incidence Rates per 100,000 population, 1981-2000			Florida	
Gulf County		Age-Specific Rate	Number	Age-Specific Rate
Age Group	Number	Rate		
0 to 4	4	28.0	3,548	20.9
5 to 9	2	12.3	1,961	11.9
10 to 14	4	22.9	2,005	12.2
15 to 19	3	18.1	3,073	18.1
20 to 24	6	41.5	5,276	29.6
25 to 29	7	41.3	10,136	51.8
30 to 34	7	43.6	17,237	87.2
35 to 39	14	87.4	25,542	131.7
40 to 44	26	161.5	37,155	213.0
45 to 49	54	371.8	52,829	358.6
50 to 54	68	485.0	75,075	558.9
55 to 59	99	703.7	110,087	861.3
60 to 64	159	1,234.9	162,546	1,198.2
65 to 69	212	1,719.7	239,844	1,724.0
70 to 74	191	2,036.0	264,015	2,102.8
75 to 79	144	2,174.6	228,090	2,338.9
80 to 84	85	2,035.4	150,878	2,494.1
85 and Up	79	2,854.0	106,295	2,458.5
TOTAL	1,164	-	1,495,592	-
Age-adj Rate		436.7		464.87

Source: Department of Health  
 Environmental Epidemiology  
 Florida Cancer Data System  
 Age-Adjusted to U.S. 2000 Standard Million

**Incidence Number, Age-Specific and Age-Adjusted Rates for Liver and All Cancers in Florida and Gulf County, 1981-1999**

	Liver		Florida		Gulf		All Cancers		Florida	
	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate	Count	Rate
Age 0 to 4	0	0	0	0.40	64	0.40	4	27.41	3,364	20.76
Age 5 to 9	0	0	0	0.10	15	0.10	2	12.87	1,784	11.65
Age 10 to 14	0	0	0	0.10	15	0.10	1	6.23	1,872	12.43
Age 15 to 19	0	0	0	0.12	18	0.12	3	18.05	2,866	18.40
Age 20 to 24	0	0	0	0.21	35	0.21	6	35.08	4,985	29.62
Age 25 to 29	0	0	0	0.27	47	0.27	6	33.37	9,571	54.03
Age 30 to 34	0	0	0	0.47	86	0.47	8	45.04	16,430	89.93
Age 35 to 39	0	0	0	0.84	149	0.84	11	69.62	24,089	136.08
Age 40 to 44	1	6.51	196	1.22	196	1.22	27	175.64	34,730	216.31
Age 45 to 49	0	0.00	354	2.51	354	2.51	48	356.14	49,093	348.67
Age 50 to 54	0	0.00	421	3.36	421	3.36	63	487.73	69,502	555.48
Age 55 to 59	0	0.00	519	4.32	519	4.32	94	725.70	2,572	854.68
Age 60 to 64	2	17.10	818	6.46	818	6.46	150	1,282.38	52,729	1,207.07
Age 65 to 69	2	17.65	1,222	9.34	1,222	9.34	193	1,703.29	26,923	1,734.96
Age 70 to 74	0	0	1,416	11.84	1,416	11.84	177	2,084.31	47,274	2,067.38
Age 75 to 79	0	0	1,226	13.20	1,226	13.20	135	2,156.55	11,647	2,279.02
Age 80 to 84	0	0	737	12.41	737	12.41	79	1,975.49	38,666	2,335.83
Age 85 & Up	0	0	521	12.45	521	12.45	69	2,470.46	94,668	2,261.36
<b>Total Count</b>	<b>5</b>		<b>7,859</b>		<b>7,859</b>		<b>1,076</b>		<b>492,765</b>	
<b>Age-Adjusted Rate</b>		<b>1.80</b>		<b>2.60</b>		<b>2.60</b>		<b>429.82</b>		<b>456.69</b>

---

## Appendix D—Glossary of Environmental Health Terms

**Absorption:** How a chemical enters a person's blood after the chemical has been swallowed, has come into contact with the skin, or has been breathed in.

**Acute Exposure:** Contact with a chemical that happens once or only for a limited period of time. ATSDR defines acute exposures as those that might last up to 14 days.

**Additive Effect:** A response to a chemical mixture, or combination of substances, that might be expected if the known effects of individual chemicals, seen at specific doses, were added together.

**Adverse Health Effect:** A change in body function or the structures of cells that can lead to disease or health problems.

**Antagonistic Effect:** A response to a mixture of chemicals or combination of substances that is less than might be expected if the known effects of individual chemicals, seen at specific doses, were added together.

**ATSDR:** The Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry. ATSDR is a federal health agency in Atlanta, Georgia, that deals with hazardous substance and waste site issues. ATSDR gives people information about harmful chemicals in their environment and tells people how to protect themselves from coming into contact with chemicals.

**Background Level:** A background level is an average or expected amount of a chemical in a specific environment, or an amount of chemical that occurs naturally in a specific environment.

**Biota:** Used in public health, things that humans would eat – including animals, fish and plants.

**CAP:** See Community Assistance Panel.

**Cancer:** A group of diseases, which occur when cells in the body become abnormal and grow, or multiply, out of control.

**Carcinogen:** Any substance shown to cause tumors or cancer in experimental studies is a carcinogen.

**CERCLA:** See Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act.

**Chronic Exposure:** A contact with a substance or chemical that happens over a long period of time. ATSDR considers exposures of more than one year to be *chronic*.

**Completed Exposure Pathway:** See Exposure Pathway.

**Community Assistance Panel (CAP):** A group of people from the community and health and environmental agencies who work together on issues and problems at hazardous waste sites.

**Comparison Value: (CVs)** Concentrations or the amount of substances in air, water, food, and soil that are unlikely, upon exposure, to cause adverse health effects. Health assessors use comparison values to select which substances and environmental media (air, water, food and soil) need additional evaluation while health concerns or effects are investigated.

---

**Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA):**

**CERCLA** was put into place in 1980. It is also known as **Superfund**. This act concerns releases of hazardous substances into the environment, and the cleanup of these substances and hazardous waste sites. ATSDR was created by this act and is responsible for looking into the health issues related to hazardous waste sites.

**Concern:** A belief or worry that chemicals in the environment might cause harm to people.

**Concentration:** How much or the amount of a substance present in a certain amount of soil, water, air, or food.

**Contaminant:** See **Environmental Contaminant**.

**Delayed Health Effect:** A disease or injury that happens as a result of exposures that may have occurred far in the past.

**Dermal Contact:** A chemical getting onto your skin. (see **Route of Exposure**).

**Dose:** The amount of a substance to which a person may be exposed, usually on a daily basis. Dose is often explained as “an amount of substance(s) per body weight per day”.

**Dose / Response:** The relationship between the amount of exposure (dose) and the change in body function or health that result.

**Duration:** The amount of time (days, months, years) that a person is exposed to a chemical.

**Environmental Contaminant:** A substance (chemical) that gets into a system (person, animal, or the environment) in amounts higher than that found in **Background Level**, or what would be expected.

**Environmental Media:** Usually refers to the air, water, and soil in which chemicals of interest are found. Sometimes refers to the plants and animals that are eaten by humans.

**Environmental Media** is the second part of an **Exposure Pathway**.

**U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA):** The federal agency that develops and enforces environmental laws to protect the environment and the public’s health.

**Epidemiology:** The study of the different factors that determine how often, in how many people, and in which people will disease occur.

**Exposure:** Coming into contact with a chemical substance. (For the three ways people can come in contact with substances, see **Route of Exposure**.)

**Exposure Assessment:** The process of finding the ways people come in contact with chemicals, how often and how long they come in contact with chemicals, and the amounts of chemicals with which they come in contact.

**Exposure Pathway:** A description of the way that a chemical moves from its source (where it began) to where and how people can come into contact with (or get exposed to) the chemical.

ATSDR defines an exposure pathway as having 5 parts:

- Source of Contamination,
- Environmental Media and Transport Mechanism,

- 
- Point of Exposure,
  - Route of Exposure, and
  - Receptor Population.

When all 5 parts of an exposure pathway are present, it is called a **Completed Exposure Pathway**. Each of these 5 terms is defined in this Glossary.

**Frequency:** How often a person is exposed to a chemical over time; for example, every day, once a week, twice a month.

**Hazardous Waste:** Hazardous wastes are substances that have been released or thrown away into the environment and, under certain conditions, could be harmful to people who come into contact with them.

**Health Effect:** ATSDR deals only with **Adverse Health Effects** (see definition in this Glossary).

**Intermediate Exposure:** Any chemical exposure that has occurred for more 14 days but less than one year (365 days).

**Indeterminate Public Health Hazard:** The category is used in Public Health Assessment documents for sites where important information is lacking (missing or has not yet been gathered) about site-related chemical exposures.

**Ingestion:** Swallowing something, as in eating or drinking. It is a way a chemical can enter your body (See **Route of Exposure**).

**Inhalation:** Breathing. It is a way a chemical can enter your body (See **Route of Exposure**).

**LOAEL: Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level.** The lowest dose of a chemical in a study, or group of studies, that has caused harmful health effects in people or animals.

**Malignancy:** See **Cancer**.

**MRL: Minimal Risk Level.** An estimate of daily human exposure – by a specified route and length of time -- to a dose of chemical that is likely to be without a measurable risk of adverse, noncancerous effects. An MRL should not be used as a predictor of adverse health effects.

**NPL: The National Priorities List.** (This is part of Superfund.) A list kept by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) of the most serious, uncontrolled or abandoned hazardous waste sites in the country. An NPL site needs to be cleaned up or is being looked at to see if people can be exposed to chemicals from the site.

**NOAEL: No Observed Adverse Effect Level.** The highest dose of a chemical in a study, or group of studies, that did not cause harmful health effects in people or animals.

**No Apparent Public Health Hazard:** The category is used in ATSDR's Public Health Assessment documents for sites where exposure to site-related chemicals may have occurred in the past or is still occurring but the exposures are not at levels expected to cause adverse health effects.

**No Public Health Hazard:** The category is used in ATSDR's Public Health Assessment documents for sites where there is evidence of an absence of exposure to site-related chemicals.

**PHA: Public Health Assessment.** A report or document that looks at chemicals at a hazardous waste site and tells if people could be harmed from coming into contact with those chemicals. The PHA also tells if possible further public health actions are needed.

**Plume:** A line or column of air or water containing chemicals moving from the source to areas further away. A plume can be a column or clouds of smoke from a chimney or contaminated under groundwater sources or contaminated surface water (such as lakes, ponds and streams).

**Point of Exposure:** The place where someone can come into contact with a contaminated environmental medium (air, water, food or soil). For examples: the area of a playground that has contaminated dirt, a contaminated spring used for drinking water, the location where fruits or vegetables are grown in contaminated soil, or the backyard area where someone might breathe contaminated air.

**Population:** A group of people living in a certain area; or the number of people in a certain area.

**PRP: Potentially Responsible Party.** A company, government or person that is responsible for causing the pollution at a hazardous waste site. PRP's are expected to help pay for the clean up of a site.

**Public Health Assessment(s):** See **PHA**.

**Public Health Hazard:** The category is used in PHAs for sites that have certain physical features or evidence of chronic, site-related chemical exposure that could result in adverse health effects.

**Public Health Hazard Criteria:** PHA categories given to a site that tells whether people could be harmed by conditions present at the site. Each are defined in the Glossary. The categories are:

- Urgent Public Health Hazard
- Public Health Hazard
- Indeterminate Public Health Hazard
- No Apparent Public Health Hazard
- No Public Health Hazard

**Receptor Population:** People who live or work in the path of one or more chemicals, and who could come into contact with them (See **Exposure Pathway**).

**Reference Dose (RfD):** An estimate, with safety factors (see **safety factor**) built in, of the daily, lifetime exposure of human populations to a possible hazard that is not likely to cause harm to the person.

**Route of Exposure:** The way a chemical can get into a person's body. There are three exposure routes:

- Breathing (also called inhalation),
- Eating or drinking (also called ingestion), and
- Or getting something on the skin (also called dermal contact).



---

**Safety Factor:** Also called **Uncertainty Factor**. When scientists don't have enough information to decide if an exposure will cause harm to people, they use safety factors and formulas in place of the information that is not known. These factors and formulas can help determine the amount of a chemical that is not likely to cause harm to people.

**SARA:** The Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act in 1986 amended CERCLA and expanded the health-related responsibilities of ATSDR. CERCLA and SARA direct ATSDR to look into the health effects from chemical exposures at hazardous waste sites.

**Sample Size:** The number of people that are needed for a health study.

**Sample:** A small number of people chosen from a larger population (See **Population**).

**Source (of Contamination):** The place where a chemical comes from, such as a landfill, pond, creek, incinerator, tank, or drum. Contaminant source is the first part of an **Exposure Pathway**.

**Special Populations:** People who may be more sensitive to chemical exposures because of certain factors such as age, a disease they already have, occupation, sex, or certain behaviors (like cigarette smoking). Children, pregnant women, and older people are often considered special populations.

**Statistics:** A branch of the math process of collecting, looking at, and summarizing data or information.

**Superfund Site:** See **NPL**.

**Survey:** A way to collect information or data from a group of people (**population**). Surveys can be done by phone, mail, or in person. ATSDR cannot do surveys of more than nine people without approval from the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.

**Synergistic Effect:** A health effect from an exposure to more than one chemical, where one of the chemicals worsens the effect of another chemical. The combined effects of the chemicals acting together are greater than the effects of the chemicals acting by themselves.

**Toxic:** Harmful. Any substance or chemical can be toxic at a certain dose (amount). The dose is what determines the potential harm of a chemical and whether it would cause someone to get sick.

**Toxicology:** The study of the harmful effects of chemicals on humans or animals.

**Tumor:** Abnormal growth of tissue or cells that have formed a lump or mass.

**Uncertainty Factor:** See **Safety Factor**.

**Urgent Public Health Hazard:** This category is used in ATSDR's Public Health Assessment documents for sites that have certain physical features or evidence of short-term (less than 1 year), site-related chemical exposure that could result in adverse health effects and require quick intervention to stop people from being exposed.

## CERTIFICATION

The Florida Department of Health prepared this Mill View Subdivision Health Consultation under a cooperative agreement with the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR). They prepared it in accordance with the approved methodologies and procedures existing at the time the health consultation was begun. Editorial review was completed by the Cooperative Agreement partner.

---

Jennifer Freed  
Technical Project Officer  
Division of Health Assessment and Consultation (DHAC)  
ATSDR

The Division of Health Assessment and Consultation, ATSDR, has reviewed this health consultation, and concurs with its findings.

---

Roberta Erlwein  
Team Leader,  
SPAB, DHAC, ATSDR