

seq.), and the provisions of § 216.39 of the Regulations Governing the Taking and Importing of Marine Mammals (50 CFR part 216).

Dated: July 1, 1998.

Ann Terbush,

*Chief, Permits and Documentation Division,
Office of Protected Resources, National
Marine Fisheries Service.*

[FR Doc. 98-18121 Filed 7-7-98; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-F

**COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING
COMMISSION**

Sunshine Act Meeting

TIME AND PLACE: 10:30 a.m., Tuesday,
July 28, 1998.

PLACE: 1155 21st St., N.W., Washington,
D.C., 9th Floor Conference Room.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED: Rule
Enforcement Matter.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:
Jean A. Webb, 202-418-5100.

Jean A. Webb,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 98-18250 Filed 7-6-98; 2:22 pm]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-M

**COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING
COMMISSION**

Sunshine Act Meeting

TIME AND PLACE: 2:00 p.m., Wednesday,
July 29, 1998.

PLACE: 1155 21st St., N.W., Washington,
D.C., 9th Floor Conference Room.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:
Enforcement Matters.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION:
Jean A. Webb, 202-418-5100.

Jean A Webb,

Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc. 98-18249 Filed 7-6-98; 2:22 p.m.]

BILLING CODE 6351-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

[DFARS Case 97-D035]

**DD Form 2631, Performance
Evaluation (Architect-Engineer)**

AGENCY: Department of Defense (DoD).

ACTION: Notice and request for
comments regarding a proposed revision
of DD Form 2631.

SUMMARY: The Director of Defense
Procurement is proposing to revise the
form used for preparation of contractor
performance evaluations under
architect-engineer (A-E) contracts.
Additions are made to the form to
provide a more complete listing of the
disciplines and attributes to be
evaluated under A-E contracts, and the
descriptive rating terms are changed for
consistency with the terms used in
evaluating contractor performance
under supply and service contracts.

DATES: Comments on the proposed
revision should be submitted in writing
to the address shown below on or before
September 8, 1998.

ADDRESSES: Interested parties should
submit written comments to: Defense
Acquisition Regulations Council, Attn:
Ms. Amy Williams, PDUSD (A&T) DP
(DAR), IMD 3D139, 3062 Defense
Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301-3062.
Telefax (703) 602-0350.

E-mail comments submitted over the
Internet should be addressed to:
dfars@acq.osd.mil

Please cite DFARS Case 97-D035 in
all correspondence related to this issue.
E-mail comments should cite DFARS
Case 97-D035 in the subject line.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms.
Amy Williams, telephone (703) 602-
0131.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

A. Background

DoD uses DD Form 2631, Performance
Evaluation (Architect-Engineer), to
prepare contractor performance
evaluations under A-E contracts. DD
Form 2631 was developed primarily for
conventional design work relating to
construction of buildings and other
structures. Changes to the form are
needed to add disciplines and attributes
associated with other types of work
performed under A-E contracts, and to
address small business subcontracting
plan requirements. This proposed
revision of the form adds the following
to the list of disciplines and attributes
to be evaluated under A-E contracts
when applicable: Geospatial
Information Services; Chemistry; Risk
Assessment; Safety/Occupational
Health; Hydrographic Surveying; Field

Analysis; Innovative Approaches/
Technologies; and Implementation of
Small Business Subcontracting Plan.

In addition, the proposed revision
changes the five overall rating terms in
Block 12 of the form, for consistency
with the terms used in evaluating
contractor performance under supply
and service contracts, as follows:

From/To

Excellent—Exceptional
Above Average—Very Good
Average—Satisfactory
Below Average—Marginal
Poor—Unsatisfactory

The proposed revision also removes
the three descriptive terms
(Outstanding, Satisfactory, and
Unsatisfactory) used to rate the
disciplines and attributes listed in
Blocks 16, 17, and 19 of the form, and
replaces these terms with the five terms
proposed for use in the overall rating
category.

B. Regulatory Flexibility Act

The proposed revision is not expected
to have a significant economic impact
on a substantial number of small entities
within the meaning of the Regulatory
Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq.,
because the proposed changes to the
form are not expected to significantly
alter the manner in which contractor
performance is evaluated under A-E
contracts. Therefore, an initial
regulatory flexibility analysis has not
been performed. Comments are invited
from small businesses and other
interested parties. Please cite DFARS
Case 98-D035 in correspondence.

C. Paperwork Reduction Act

The Paperwork Reduction Act does
not apply because the proposed form
does not impose any information
collection requirements that require the
approval of the Office of Management
and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501, et
seq.

Michele P. Peterson,

*Executive Editor, Defense Acquisition
Regulations Council.*

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M