must be revised by the licensee to meet the requirements of $\S 50.55a(g)(4)(iii)$ when used in lieu of compliance with the requirements of $\S\S 50.55a(g)(4)(i)$ or (g)(4)(ii).

(ii) If a revised inservice inspection program for a facility conflicts with the technical specification for the facility, the licensee shall apply to the Commission for amendment of the Technical Specifications to conform the technical specification to the revised program. The licensee shall submit this application, as specified in § 50.4, at least 6 months before the start of the period during which the provisions become applicable.

(iii) If the licensee has determined that conformance with certain Code requirements is impractical for its facility, the licensee shall notify the Commission and submit, as specified in § 50.4, information to support the determinations within one year from the date on which the examination was determined to be impractical.

(iv) Where an examination requirement by the Code edition or addenda is determined to be impractical by the licensee and is not included in the revised inservice inspection program as permitted by § 50.55a(g)(4)(iii), the basis for this determination must be submitted to the Commission before the start of the revised inservice inspection program.

Dated at Rockville, MD this 15th day of April 1999.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. William D. Travers,

Executive Director for Operations.

[FR Doc. 99–10491 Filed 4–26–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

17 CFR Parts 1 and 30

Access to Automated Boards of Trade

AGENCY: Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

ACTION: Extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Commodity Futures Trading Commission ("Commission") published proposed rules concerning access to automated boards of trade on March 24, 1999 (64 FR 14159). Comments on the proposed rules were originally due on April 23, 1999. By letter dated April 11, 1999, David P. Brennan, Chairman of the Chicago Board of Trade, M. Scott Gordon, Chairman of the Chicago Mercantile Exchange, Daniel Rappaport, Chairman

of the New York Mercantile Exchange, and John M. Damgard, President of the Futures Industry Association, jointly have requested (collectively the "Brennan Request") that the Commission extend the comment period on the proposed rules concerning Access to Automated Boards of Trade ("proposed rules") for an additional seven days. Each of these organizations had earlier requested sixty-day extensions of the comment period, but the Brennan Request withdrew these requests. In addition, the Commission has received three written requests for an extension of the comment period on the proposed rules for an additional sixty days.1 The commenters generally cited the complexity of the proposed rules in support of their requests for additional time to finalize their views. In light of the fact that the Commission issued a concept release on this matter and provided a comment period of seventy-five days thereon, as well as the fact that the Commission held a Roundtable discussion on April 20, 1999, on the proposed rules, the Commission believes that a sixty-day extension of the comment period is unwarranted. However, the Commission has determined to grant a seven-day extension of the deadline for comments on the proposed rules, so that comments must now be submitted by April 30, 1999.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before April 30, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Any person interested in submitting comments on the proposed rules should submit them by the specified date to Jean A. Webb, Secretary, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 1155 21st Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20581. In addition, comments may be sent by facsimile transmission to facsimile number (202) 418–5521 or by electronic mail to secretary@cftc.gov. Reference should be made to "Access to Automated Boards of Trade."

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Please contact David M. Battan, Chief Counsel, Lawrence B. Patent, Associate

Chief Counsel, or Charles T. O'Brien, Attorney Advisor, Division of Trading and Markets, Commodity Futures Trading Commission, Three Lafayette Centre, 21st Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20581. Telephone number (202) 418–5450. Issued in Washington, D.C., on this 22nd day of April, 1999, by the Commodity Futures Trading Commission.

Jean A. Webb,

Secretary of the Commission. [FR Doc. 99–10580 Filed 4–26–99; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6351–01–M

NATIONAL INDIAN GAMING COMMISSION

25 CFR Chapter III

Standards for Constructing and Maintaining Gaming Facilities Operated on Indian Lands

AGENCY: National Indian Gaming Commission.

ACTION: Advance notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the initiation of the rulemaking process and requests information relevant to implementing regulations governing standards for constructing and maintaining gaming facilities operated on Indian lands in a manner which protects the environment and the public health and safety.

DATES: Comments in response to this advance notice must be submitted by June 28, 1999.

ADDRESSES: Commenters may submit their comments by mail, facsimile, or delivery to: Environment and Public Health and Safety Rule Comments, National Indian Gaming Commission, Suite 9100, 1441 L Street N.W., Washington, DC 20005. Fax number: 202–632–7066 (not a toll-free number). Public comments may be delivered or inspected from 9 a.m. until noon and from 2 p.m. to 5 p.m. Monday through Friday.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Todd J. Araujo at 202–632–7003, or by facsimile at 202–632–7066 (not toll-free numbers).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

1. Introduction

The Indian Gaming Regulatory Act (IGRA or the Act), 25 U.S.C. 2701 et seq., was signed into law on October 17, 1988. The Act established the National Indian Gaming Commission (the Commission). The IGRA required that an approved tribal gaming ordinance contain a provision requiring each tribal gaming facility to be constructed and maintained in a manner which adequately protects the environment and the public health and safety. 25 U.S.C. § 2710(2)(E). The Commission has determined that standards are

¹ Written requests for an extension of the comment period were received from the Singapore International Monetary Exchange Limited, ABN– AMRO Incorporated and the Committee on Derivatives and Futures Law of the New York State Bar Association