DOE-NETL Air Quality Research Program



U.S. Department of Energy Office of Fossil Energy

National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL)

William W. Aljoe, Project Manager/Program Coordinator National Energy Technology Laboratory





NETL: R&D in Fossil Energy Supply, Delivery, and Use

Clean Liquid Fuels

Electric Power Using Coal



Coal Production



Exploration & Production

Environmental Control



Refining & Delivery

Alternative



V21 Next Generation



Carbon Sequestration



Future Fuels

Fuels

Natural Gas



Exploration & Production



Pipelines & Storage



Fuel Cells



Combustion Turbines

Photo of hydrogen fueled car: Warren Gretz, NREL



Descriptor - include initials, /org#/date

DOE Office of Fossil Energy Innovations for Existing Plants (IEP) Program

Goal

 Enhance environmental performance of existing fleet of coal power plants and advanced power systems

R&D Approach

- Environmental Research



- Pollution Control Technology

How can we (economically) control these pollutants?



Bureaucratic Terminology

"Innovations for Existing Plants (IEP) Program"
 DOE Office of Fossil Energy (Funding)

a.k.a.

- "Environmental & Water Resources Product Line"
 - National Energy Technology Laboratory (Implementation)



NETL Environmental & Water Resources "Product Line"

- Technology Manager: Tom Feeley
- 6 Technical Focus areas:
 - -Air Quality Research
 - Coal Utilization Byproducts (CUB)
 - Power Plant Water Issues
 - -Mercury Emissions Control
 - Advanced NOx Emissions Control
 - PM/SO₃ Emissions Control





NETL Air Quality Research Program

• Recent Focus (1999-2003): Atmospheric PM_{2.5}

- -Ambient monitoring & analysis
- -Emissions characterization
- Predictive modeling & evaluation

• New Initiatives:

- Health effects of PM_{2.5} components
- -Atmospheric Hg measurement & modeling



Do Coal Plant Emissions Cause PM_{2.5} Problems? (A Simplistic View)





U.S. 1999 Primary PM_{2.5} Emissions



Source: National Air Pollution Emission Trends, 1999 (EPA-454/R-01-0049-80-009, March 2001)



Descriptor - include initials, /org#/date

1999 U.S. Secondary PM_{2.5} Precursor Emissions



Source: National Air Pollution Emission Trends, 1999 (EPA-454/R-01-0049-80-009, March 2001)



Descriptor - include initials, /org#/date

PM_{2.5} NAAQS and Coal Plants *General Rules-of-thumb*

- Reductions in primary PM_{2.5} emissions will not help compliance with mass-based standards
 –Fly ash <<1% of ambient PM_{2.5}
- Widespread reductions of SO₂ and NOx emissions will occur under existing programs

 Acid Rain, NOx SIP call, regional haze
- Reductions in coal plant emissions will not significantly reduce carbon component of PM_{2.5}
 –Coal plants produce minimal EC, OC, CO, VOC's



Ambient Monitoring & Analysis *NETL Project Portfolio*



PM_{2.5} mass and composition CMU-Pittsburgh PM Supersite

Steubenville, OH Study (SCAMP) Harvard Multi-pollutant Sampler

PM_{2.5} Emissions Characterization Projects

Dilution Sampler for Coal Plants

SO₂ to SO₄ Conversion in Scrubber Plume

Regional PM_{2.5} Modeling

- 36x36 km grid, 14 levels up to 6 km
- 10 aerosol sections, 13 aerosol species
- 20 million differential equations
- 8 CPU hours on a PC per simulation day (EQUIIibrium module)

What Will Happen to PM_{2.5} When We Reduce SO₂ and NOx Emissions from Coal Plants?

- SO₂ emission reductions will cause ambient PM_{2.5} sulfate to decrease
 Less regional haze, "Clear(er) Skies"
- SO₂ and NOx emission reductions may or may not reduce ambient PM_{2.5} mass
 - -NOx contribution from mobile sources
 - -Substitution of NH_4NO_3 for $(NH_4)_2SO_4$

Will SO₂ and NOx Reductions from Coal Plants Improve Human Health?

- Epidemiology says lower PM_{2.5} mass = better health, but ...
- Toxicology: all PM components are <u>not</u> equally toxic
- Atlanta epidemiology study (ARIES):
 - No association between sulfates and adverse health effects
 - -Significant association between carbon and health effects
- Few realistic studies of toxicity of secondary sulfates
 & nitrates vs. other PM_{2.5} components
- DOE-FE believes a better assessment of health benefits resulting from coal plant emission reductions is needed

PM Health Research: Policy Applications

- Better models of "externalities" of power plant emissions
 - -Health improvement = "Benefit" in cost-benefit analysis
- Current paradigm: Δ Health Effects = f(Δ PM_{mass}, Δ Gases, ...)
- New (better) paradigm: Δ Health Effects = f(Δ PM_{sulfate}, Δ PM_{EC}, Δ PM_{OC1}, Δ PM_{OC2}, Δ PM_{Metal1}, Δ PM_{Metal2}, ...)

PM Health Research: Technology R&D Applications

 Do we need more efficient SO₂ emission control technology (currently ~95%)?

 Do we need more efficient (or more selective) primary PM emission control technology (currently >99.5%)?

 What is the cost-effectiveness of new emission control technology compared with alternative coal-to-energy technologies (gasification)?

PM Health Research at NETL

Current Efforts

- -Laboratory development of "coal combustion atmospheres" (NERC Workshop)
- -TERESA project (EPRI)
 - Animals exposed to "aged" emissions from full-scale coal power plant
 - Mobile laboratories for plume reactions and animal exposure

New Solicitation – FY04

- -Epidemiology (Retrospective Pittsburgh only)
- -Toxicology: Focus on realistic concentrations & effects of atmospheric processes (acid catalysis)

Atmospheric Hg Studies

• Elemental mercury (Hg⁰) is relatively insoluble

- -Goes into global "pool;" Residence time ~ 1 year
- -Disadvantage: Hard to capture in power plant flue gas
- -Advantage: Trading not a problem
- Ionic mercury (Hg²⁺) ~ 10⁶ x more soluble
 - Can be washed out of plume close to source
 - -Advantage: Easier to remove from flue gas
 - Disadvantage: Trading may ignore local deposition
- Current models overestimate wet Hg deposition in key areas

- Hg reduction in plumes may play a role

Plume behavior in model atmosphere

NETL Atmospheric Hg Research

- Measure Hg speciation via instrumented aircraft at various distances downwind in plumes
- Compare Hg²⁺:Hg⁰ ratios with in-stack measurements
- Evaluate artificial plume dilution/reaction devices
- Incorporate reactions into Hg transport and deposition models

For More Information:

Links >>> Address 🔄 http://www.netl.doe.gov/coalpower/environment

NATIONAL ENERGY TECHNOLOGY LABORATORY ENVIRONMENTAL & WATER RESOURCES WEBSITE

Home | Site Index | Feedback |

March 20, 2002

What's New Description Events Mercury CCBs Air Quality PM NOx Water In-House ReD Ref. Shelf Links Contacts

Environmental and Water Resources

Leading the way in the development of environmental science and technology

The Environmental and Water Resources Product Line is focused on the development of highly efficient and cost-effective environmental control technologies for retrofitting to existing power plants, with application to new

plants as well. The Product Line also provides key scientific and technical

data on emerging environmental regulatory and policy issues. Mercury Emissions Control Coal Combustion By-Products Air Quality Research PM Emissions Control Advanced NOx Emissions Control Energy - Water Interface

