U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HOMELAND SECURITY U.S. COAST GUARD

OFFICER EVALUATION REPORT (OER)

١,	2	10	at	1	n.

CG-5310D (Rev. 10-06)											
1. ADMINISTRATIVE DAT	Α							(YYYY/MM/DD)	(YYYY/MI	M/DD)	
a. NAME (Last)			(Initia	ıls)	b. EMPLID	c. GR	ADE	d. DATE OF RANK	e. DATE REPOR	RTED /	
f. UNIT				•				g. ATU - OPFAC	h. DAYS NOT OF		ED
- LV OTHER											
i. PERIOD OF REPORT (YYYY/MM/DD) j. OCCASION FOR REPORT (Mark only one) j. OCCASION FOR REPORT (Mark only one) Annual Detachment/Change of Detachment o									,		
/ / TO / / Semiannual Detactified of Officer Promotion Special Oconcurrent / / 2. DESCRIPTION OF DUTIES: List primary duty and summarize all duties and responsibilities (provide Reserve time if applicable).										1	
	ES:	List primary o	luty and summarize all d	luties	s and responsibilities (provide Rese	erve tin	ne if	applicable).			
PRIMARY DUTY:											
ATTACHMENTS:											
3. PERFORMANCE OF DU	TIES	: Measures	an officer's ability to m	anaç	ge and to get things done.						
a. PLANNING AND PREPAREDNESS	1	Got caught by th	e unexpected; appeared to be	3	Consistently prepared. Set high but rea goals. Used sound criteria to set priorities deadlines. Used quality tools and processor	alistic	5 E	xceptional preparation. Alway	s looked beyond	7	N/O
Ability to anticipate, determine goals		goals. Used u	e unexpected; appeared to be ents. Set vague or unrealistic inreasonable criteria to set adlines. Rarely had plan of		deadlines. Used quality tools and processor	es to	b	nmediate events or prob alanced competing deman trategies with contingency plar spects of problems, including	ds. Developed		
Ability to anticipate, determine goals, identify relevant information, set priorities and deadlines, and create a		action. Failed to	focus on relevant information.		develop action plans. Identified information. Kept supervisors and since holders informed.	take-	a	spects of problems, including and impact.	underlying issues		
shared vision of the unit's and Coast Guard's future.	0		0		noiders informed.		ɔlª	Пи іпірасі.		lol	0
b. USING RESOURCES:		Concentrated or	unproductive activities or		Effectively managed a variety of activities	with	l	Unusually skilled at bringing s	scarce resources		
		often overlooked use people prod	r diproductive activities of critical demands. Failed to uctively. Did not follow up. ormation, money or time. tools or left subordinates to accomplish tasks.		Effectively managed a variety of activities available resources. Delegated, empower and followed up. Skilled time man budgeted own and subordinates' productively. Ensured subordinates	ered, ager,	l t	to bear on the most crific demands. Optimized prod	al of competing uctivity through		
Ability to manage time, materials, information, money, and people (i.e. all CG components as well as		Mismanaged inf Used ineffective	ormatión, money or time. tools or left subordinates		budgeted own and subordinates productively. Ensured subordinates	time had	1 6	effective delegation, emp follow-up control. Foun	owerment, and		
external publics).		without means Employed wastef	to accomplish tasks.		adequate fools, materials, time and direct Cost conscious, sought ways to cut waste.	ction.		systematically reduce cost, and improve efficiency.	eliminaté waste,		
	0	Employed Waster	O	0	O CONSCIOUS, SOUGHI MAYS to out waste.		ol`	O		lol	0
c. RESULTS/EFFECTIVENESS:		Routine tasks a	ccomplished with difficulty.		Got the job done in all routine situations an	nd in	1	Maintained optimal balance	among quality,	Ť	
Quality quantity timolinoss and		Results often lat had a negative in	re or of poor quality. Work npact on department or unit. e status quo despite		many unusual ones. Work was timely an high quality; required same of subordina Results had a positive impact on departmer	d of ites.	2	Maintained optimal balance quantity, and timeliness of wor and subordinates' work surpas Results had a significant posit or Coast Guard. Established	k. Quality of own sed expectations.		
Quality, quantity, timeliness and impact of work.		Maintained the opportunities to ir	e status quo despite nprove.		Rěsults had a positive impact on departmer unit. Continuously improved services	nt or and	F	Results had a significant pösiti or Coast Guard. Established	ve impact on unit clearly effective		
					unit. Continuously improved services organizational effectiveness.		_ l ³	systems of continuous improve	ment.		
	\circ		<u> </u>	\circ	0		2	<u> </u>		0	\bigcirc
d. <u>ADAPTABILITY</u> :		unable to gau recognize polit	ge effectiveness of work, ical realities, or make en needed. Overlooked or		Receptive to change, new information, technology. Effectively used benchmarks improve performance and service. Monit progress and changed course as requirectively dealt with pressure and ambig	and s to		Rapidly assessed and adjust conditions, political realities,	ed to changing new information		
Ability to modify work methods and priorities in response to new		adjustments who screened out nev	en needed. Overlooked or v information. Overreacted or		l improve performance and service. Monito progress and changed course as requi	ored ired.	r	and technology. Very skilled responding to measureme	I at using and ent indicators.		
information, changing conditions, political realities, or unexpected		responded slowly environment.	v information. Overreacted or y to change in direction or neffective in ambiguous,		Facilitateu Sillootti transitions. Auju:	Sieu	(E	rapinly assessed and adjust conditions, political realities, and technology. Very skiller esponding to measureme Championed organizational Effectively dealt with extra situations. Turned pressure are constructive forces for schape.	improvements. emely complex		
obstacles.		complex, or press	sured situations.		direction to accommodate societal trends political realities.	s or	5	situations. Turned pressure ar constructive forces for change.	ıd ambiguity into		
	0		0	0	0			0			0
e. PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCE:		Operational or sr	ompetence and credibility. Decialty expertise inadequate		Competent and credible authority on speci or operational issues. Acquired and app		۱,	Superior expertise; advice and great breadth and depth	of knowledge		
Ability to acquire, apply and share		or lacking in key	areas. Made little effort to ally. Used knowledge as thers or bluffed rather than		excellent operational or specialty expertise assigned duties. Showed professional gro	e for	j	Remarkable grasp of complex and situations. Rapidly devel growth beyond expectatic conveyed knowledge, direc ncreased workplace produc	issues, concepts,		
technical and administrative knowledge and skills associated with		power against o	thers or bluffed rather than		through education, training and profession	onal	Ş	growth beyond expectation	ns. Vigorously		
description of duties. (Includes operational aspects such as marine		reduced due to	ignorance. Effectiveness limited knowledge of own		through education, training and professis reading. Shared knowledge and informa with others clearly and simply. Underst own organizational role and customer needs	tood	ļ	ncreased workplace produc	tivity. Insightful		
safety, seamanship, airmanship, SAR, etc., as appropriate.)		organizational role	e and customer needs.			·	\ \	knowledge of own role, custoralue of work.	omer needs, and	$ \cap $	
,	\cup		0	\cup	O		<u> </u>				\cup
COMMENTS:											

Page 3 of CG-5310D (Rev. 10-06)			I				·	/	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>			
7. REPORTING OFFICER COMMENTS: Supplement or amplify Supervisor's evaluation. Oconcur Ono not concur ORO is Supervisor															
8. PERSONAL AND PROF	ESS	IONAL QU	ALITIE	S: Measur	es select	ed	qualities which	illustrat	te the individua	al's c	character.		9.99	1 7	I N/O
a. INITIATIVE:		Postponed ne supported imp do so. Sho development.	eeded act rovements owed little	on. Impieme only when di interest ir	rected to career	,	Championed impromethods, and pracproblems and tool resolve them. Soucer development. Fenhanced mission ideas and methods.	vement th ices; self- prompt a	rough new ideas, starter. Anticipated action to avoid or		Aggressively sougl A self-learner. I practices work who Extremely innovative and methods to decision-making, ar	nt out additional Made worthwhile en others might h	responsibility. e ideas and lave given up.	′	IN/O
Ability to originate and act on new ideas, pursue opportunities to learn and develop, and seek responsibility without guidance and supervision.		development. methods, so unexplored.	Feasible ervices,	e improveme or products	ents in s went		resolve them. Souce eer development. Feenbanced mission	ht opportun ursued pro performanc	nities for own car- ductivity gains and e by applying new		Extremely innovative and methods to decision-making are	re. Optimized use improve work nd service delivery	e of new ideas processes,		
	\cup		n diaplaya)	a Failed	긱	ideas and methods.	O thou	abt and common	0		\circ		IO.	0
b. JUDGMENT: Ability to make sound decisions and		Decisions ofte to make nec conclusions alternatives, a weigh risk, co concerned with	essary de without	cisions, or ju considering	imped to facts,		Demonstrated and sense in making and experience, all alternatives and pocost and time of decisions promptly information.	ytical thou lecisions. nd consider	Used facts, data, red the impact of		Combined keen and ing of political properties appropriate decision and the most relevation of impact of decision and the most relevation of decisions.	arytical thought, a ocesses, and ins ns. Focused on t	ight to make he key issues		
Ability to make sound decisions and provide valid recommendations by using facts, experience, political acumen, common sense, risk assessment, and analytical thought.		alternatives, a weigh risk, co concerned with	and impac ist, and tir n political d	i. Did not c ne considerati ivers on organ	effectively ons. Un- lization.		alternatives and po cost and time of decisions promptly	itical realiti onsideratior with th	es. Weighed risk, ns. Made sound e best available		and the most releventhing at the right time of impact of deci-	/ant information. ne. Actions indicat sions on others.	Did the right ed awareness Not afraid to		
assessment, and analytical thought.	lol		C)		וכ		\circ		IO	take reasonable risi	O acriieve posi	tive results.	10	0
c. RESPONSIBILITY:		Actions demor of commitmen to hold sub organization to ther than conf not to speak imal support fo	nstrated qu	estionable eth	cs or lack e or failed	1	Held self and s professionally accornecessary, even positions. Supporte decisions which ma ideas. Committed to of organizational goo	ubordinates ountable.	personally and Spoke up when		Integrity and ethics self and subordinal sonal and professic thing even when making even unpop Actions demonstral achievement of org	beyond reproach	. Always held indards of per-		
Ability to act ethically, courageously, and dependably and inspire the same in others; accountability for own and subordinates' actions.		organization to ther than conf	ordinates o absorb ronting the	accountable. personnel pro m as required	blems ra- l. Tended		positions. Supported decisions which ma	wnen exp d organiza y have be	tional policies and en counter to own		thing even when making even unpo	onal accountability it was difficult. pular policies or d	Succeeded in ecisions work.		
subordinates' actions.		not to speak imal support fo	up or get or decisions	nvolved. `Pro counter to ow	vided min- vn ideas.	$\langle $	ideas. Committed to of organizational goal	o the succe ils.	essful achievement		Actions demonstra achievement of org	ted unwavering o panizational goals.	ommitment to		
d. PROFESSIONAL PRESENCE:	Н	Unaware of g	eneral CG	objectives; u	incooper-	4	Knowledgeable in h	ow CG ob	jectives serve the	۲	Always self-assure	ed, projected ide	al CG image.	10	0
Ability to bring credit to the Coast Guard through one's actions, competence, demeanor, and appearance. Extent to which an officer displayed the Coast Guard's core values of honor, respect, and devotion to duty.		Unaware of g ative or biased in difficult situ self and CG. mon military and grooming the core value	ations. Co Ignorant o	onveyed poor of or sloppy v	image of vith com-		Knowledgeable in h public; cooperative Composed in difficu- tive image of self a etiquette; precise ir tary courtesies. G ance and grooming, honor,respect, and d	and raii It situations nd CG. Wel	s. Conveyed posi- ll versed in military		Always self-assure Poised in response Contributed leaders munity. Exemplifie for the core values customs and proto	ship role in civiliar d and held other	/military com- s accountable		
Extent to which an officer displayed the Coast Guard's core values of honor,		mon military and grooming the core value	courtesies. below stan s of honor	Uniform ap dard. Failed t respect. and	pearance o display devotion		tary courtesies. Grance and grooming.	rendering a eat care ii Abided by	and upholding mili- n uniform appear- the core values of		customs and proto ance and grooming	and finest traditi col. Meticulous u a: inspired simila	ons of military niform appear- r standards in		
respect, and devotion to duty.		to duty.	C)		71		()		1()	others.				
e. HEALTH AND WELL-BEING:		Did not adhere gram. Failed	to the Co	ast Guard Fitr ninimum stan	ness Pro- dards of		Maintained weight Coast Guard Fitne health and well-bei Enhanced personal supporting physica Recognized and Ensured that safe followed	tandards a ss Prograr	nd adhered to the n. Committed to		Remarkable vitalit energy. Consiste and actively follo program. Optim through involveme physical and emot helped others dea and well-being, commitment towa	y, enthusiasm, ntly_contributed_a	alertness and at high levels		
Ability to invest in the Coast Guard's future by caring for the physical health, safety, and emotional well-being of self and others.		Did not adhere gram. Failed weight control doned others' ed subordinate ing or unable despite apparidentify and hazards.	or sobrie alcohol ab es' health	ty. Tolerated use. Seldom and well-beind	or con- consider- 1. Unwill-		Enhanced personal supporting physica	ng of self Derformance and em	e through activities otional well-being.		program. Optime through involveme	wed a comprend ized personal nt in activities wh	performance performance nich supported		
and others.		ing or unable despite appare identify and	to recogni ent need. protect p	ze and mana) Failed to a ersonnel fron	ge stress dequately safety		Recognized and Ensured that safe followed.	nanaged s operating	stress effectivelў. procedures were		physical and emot helped others dea and well-being	ional well-being. al with stress, e Demonstrated	Monitored and nhance health a signficant		
		hazards.	,)	,	اد		0		lo	commitment towa	rds safety of pers	onnel.	0	
COMMENTS:															
9 RATING SCALE Conside	aring	the perform	ance in	ormation i	n this ran	ort	L rate this Ca	ntain:							
9. RATING SCALE. Considering the performance information in this report, I rate this Captain: Performance rarely Performance satisfactory, A steady, reliable A good, solid Captain. Skilled in Up to par for a Captain. but limited in assignment performer. Capable of management and leadership. Should be given selection at a future board. selection at next board.															
Not suitable for most potential.															
Work. O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O O															
IO. I GIENTIAE. Bosonibe	abilit	y to accum	o groate	i ioaacioii	ip roico di	· iu	тоорогюющиес	(c.g. c	ommana, opec	Jiui c	looigilinent, pro	motion, and	opcolal old	110).	
11. REPORTING OFFICER	AUT	HENTICAT		h CDADE	o EMPLIO	_		ם דודיר	OF DOCITION					Y/MM/I	DD)
a. NAME AND SIGNATURE				b. GRADE	c. EMPLIC	J		a. IIILE	OF POSITION				e. DATE		1
12. REVIEWER AUTHENTION	CATI	ON	a.			_	Required when the R			Guard	Officer or Coast Gua	rd SES.)	<u>'</u>		
b. NAME AND SIGNATURE				c. GRADE	d. EMPLIC)		e. TITLE (OF POSITION				f. DATE	,	,

INSTRUCTIONS

PURPOSE: The Officer Evaluation Report (OER) primarily provides information for officer corps promotion, selection, and assignment determinations. Secondary purposes include: (1) prescribing common standards of expected performance; (2) reinforcing Coast Guard values; and (3) acting as one means of performance feedback for the Reported-on Officer.

GUIDING INSTRUCTION: Chapter 10-A of the CG Personnel Manual, COMDTINST M1000.6 (series), contains all official guidance on OES requirements.

RESPONSIBILITIES: All Coast Guard officers and raters of CG officers should be aware of their OES responsibilities as outlined in the CG Personnel Manual.

SUBMISSION SCHEDULE:

Grade	Active Duty	IDPL
Captain	Apr	Apr
Commander	Mar	Mar
Lieutenant Commander	Apr	Apr
Lieutenant	May	May
Lieutenant (Junior Grade)	Jan and Jul	Jul
Lieutenant (Junior Grade) in zone for LT	Jun	
Ensign	Mar and Sep	Mar
Chief Warrant Officer (W4)	Apr	Apr
Chief Warrant Officer (W3)	Jul	Jul
Chief Warrant Officer (W2)	Jun	Jun

Notes:

- (1) An OER period may be extended for up to 92 days (semiannual) or 182 days (annual) under certain conditions. Consult PERSMAN for further guidance.
- (2) OERs for IDPL grades of CAPT, LTJG, and ENS are annual. All other IDPL OERs are biennial.
- (3) Officers assigned to DUINS follow an annual/semiannual schedule according to school terms.

TIMELINE:

21 days before end of period:

Reported-on Officer submits to Supervisor a list of significant accomplishments during the period, supporting documents (as required), administrative data required for OER Section 1, and a completed OER page 4.

10 days after the period:

Supervisor sections of OER due to Reporting Officer.

30 days after the period:

Supervisor and Reporting Officer sections due to Reviewer. Reviewer sends completed OER to OER Administrator.

45 days after the period:

OER due to CGPC for review and entry into official record.

RESTRICTIONS:

Raters shall not mention a Reported-on Officer's: (1) First name; (2) Non-selection for promotion, including allusions thereto; (3) Record appeals; (4) Psychological or medical conditions; (5) marital or family status (including pregnancy); or (6) Performance observed outside the reporting period. Raters also shall not: (1) Expressly evaluate or place emphasis on gender, religion, color, race, or ethnic background (applies to both member and third parties); or (2) Include information which is subject to a security classification.

See PERSMAN for additional restrictions that apply to disciplinary proceedings.

PREPARATION CHECKLIST (OPTIONAL):

Administrative Data and Description of Duties (Sections 1 and 2):

- All fields completed (enter dates in YYYY/MM/DD format and enter only one occasion for report).
- Primary duty will be capitalized (no other text enhancements, such as underlining, bolding, or all capital letters, are allowed throughout the OER).
- Attachments listed (only personal award citations, punitive letters, or letter reports for senior service school allowed).

Performance Evaluation (Sections 3-5 and 7-8)

- Marks assigned according to standards which most closely describe Reported-on Officer's performance during the period.
- ___ Specific examples cited for each mark which deviated from "4".
 When applicable, comments on seamanship or airmanship ability are distinct.

Comparison or Rating Scale and Potential (Sections 9 and 10):

- Section 9 mark assigned according to the instructive clause on the form.
- Comments describe Reported-on Officer's overall potential for greater responsibility (include, as appropriate, recommendations for promotion, special assignment, and command).

TIPS FOR EFFECTIVE COMMENTS:

1. Be specific.

Concisely describe the performance by relating the action observed and its impact; quantify the action whenever possible and explain why it was important; avoid empty superlatives. Do not repeat the dimensions.

2. Save space

Use information bullets; reduce the use of pronouns; use member's name sparingly, if at all; use action verbs and semicolons; and avoid excess words. Acronyms and abbreviations are effective only if they are common to all Coast Guard communities or are initially defined in the comments.

3. Be clear.

Don't lose the meaning; watch for cryptic comments.

13. RETURN ADDRESS. (Name and address to which a copy is sent after filing the original in the officer's record.) | 14. OER ADMINISTRATOR REVIEW: | a. Initials: | b. Date: | | PRIVACY ACT STATEMENT | | This information is requested under the authority of 14 | | U.S.C. 833 to determine an officer's suitability for promotion or job assignment. Submitting this information is mandatory. Failure to provide it could adversely affect promotion opportunities and job assignments or lead to disciplinary action.