FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING

PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency)		Date Of L	Date Of Land Evaluation Request 11/1/06						
Name Of Project Proposed Baseload Power Plant Proposed Land Use Coal-fired electricity generating unit		Federal A	Federal Agency Involved USDA/Rural Development						
		County A	County And State Carroll County, Missouri, northwest of Norborne						
PART II (To be completed by NRCS)		Date Req	uest Received B			704020000000000000000000000000000000000			
Does the site contain prime, unique, statewide or local important farmlan (If no, the FPPA does not apply do not complete additional parts of th		armland? 's of this form	nd? Yes N his form). 🔽 [Acres Irrigated Average Farm Size 416		Farm Size		
Major Crop(s) Corn, Soybeans	Farmable Land In (Acres: 408,55		on % 91		Amount Of Farmland As Defined in FPPA Acres: 408,555 % 9*				
Name Of Land Evaluation System Used LESA	Name Of Local Site	e Assessment	System	Date Land Evaluation Returned By NRCS 11/14/06					
PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency)						Site Rating			
A. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly			Site A		Site B	Site C	Site D		
	· · · · ·		472.0						
B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly C. Total Acres In Site			523.0	-		0.0			
			995.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		
PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Eva	luation Information								
A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland			955.0						
B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland			40.0						
C. Percentage Of Farmland In County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted			0.24						
D. Percentage Of Farmland In Govt. Jurisdiction W		lative Value	87.2						
PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Criterion Relative Value Of Farmland To Be Converted (Scale of 0 to 100 Pc PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency)			66	0		0	0		
ite Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in	7 CFR 658.5(b)	Maximum Points							
1. Area In Nonurban Use			15						
2. Perimeter In Nonurban Use			10						
3. Percent Of Site Being Farmed			20						
4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government			0	_					
5. Distance From Urban Builtup Area			15						
6. Distance To Urban Support Services			15						
7. Size Of Present Farm Unit Compared To A	Average		0						
8. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland			10	_					
9. Availability Of Farm Support Services			5	_					
10. On-Farm Investments			10	_					
11. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services			0	_					
12. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use			0	_					
TOTAL SITE ASSESSMENT POINTS		160	100	0		0	0		
ART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency)									
Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V)		100	66	0		0	0		
Total Site Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site assessment)		160	100	0 0		0	0		
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)		260	166	0		0	0		
TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines)		260	100	0		0	0		

Reason For Selection:

3. Date of Land Evaluation Request PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency) 11/17/06 Sheet 1 of _1 1. Name of Project ederal Agency Involved **Proposed Baseload Power Plant USDA/Rural Development** 2. Type of Project 6. County and State Carroll County, Missouri **Railroad line south of Plant** 1. Date Request Received by NRCS 11/17/06 2. Person Completing Form D. Kacirek PART II (To be completed by NRCS) Acres Irrigated Average Farm Size 3. Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmland? YES 🖊 NO 416 (If no, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). 5. Major Crop(s) 6. Farmable Land in Government Jurisdiction 7. Amount of Farmland As Defined in FPPA Acres: 408,555 Corn, Soybeans % 91 Acres: 408,555 91 % 10. Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS 8. Name Of Land Evaluation System Used 9. Name of Local Site Assessment System LESA ੂ 11/21/06 **Alternative Corridor For Segment** PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor B Corridor C South of Plant Corridor D Total Acres To Be Converted Directly Α. 25.0 B. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services 0.0 C. Total Acres In Corridor 25.0 0 0 Ô PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland 25 B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland 0 C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted 0.01 D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value 48 PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criterion Relative 81 value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points) PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Maximum Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c)) Points 1. Area in Nonurban Use 15 15 2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use 10 10 3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed 20 20 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 20 0 5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 10 0 6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 25 12 7. Availablility Of Farm Support Services 5 5 8. On-Farm Investments 20 0 9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 25 0 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10 0 TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 62 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 81 Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site 160 assessment) 62 0 0 0 TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) 260 143 0 O 0 1. Corridor Selected: 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be 3. Date Of Selection: 4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used? Converted by Project: YES 🔲 NO 🔽

5. Reason For Selection:

NOTE: Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor

NRCS-CPA-106

(Rev. 1-91)

DATE

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

3. Date of Land Evaluation Request PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency) Sheet 1 of _1 11/17/06 1. Name of Project 5. Federal Agency Involved **Proposed Baseload Power Plant USDA/Rural Development** 6. County and State Carroll County, Missouri 2. Type of Project Railroad line north of Plant 1. Date Request Received by NRCS 11/17/06 Person Completing Form D. Kacirek 2. PART II (To be completed by NRCS) 4. Acres Irrigated Average Farm Size 3. Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmland? YES NO 416 (If no, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). Major Crop(s) 6. Farmable Land in Government Jurisdiction 7. Amount of Farmland As Defined in FPPA 5. Corn, Soybeans Acres: 408,555 _% 91 Acres: 408,555 91 % 8. Name Of Land Evaluation System Used 10. Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS 9. Name of Local Site Assessment System LESA 2 11/21/06 **Alternative Corridor For Segment** PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency) North of Plant Corridor B Corridor C Corridor D Total Acres To Be Converted Directly A. 95.0 Β. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services 0.0 C. Total Acres In Corridor 95.0 0 0 0 PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland 70 Α. B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland 25 C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt, Unit To Be Converted 0.02 D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value 48 PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criterion Relative 83 value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points, PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Maximum Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c)) Points 1. Area in Nonurban Use 15 15 2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use 10 10 3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed 20 10 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 20 0 5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 10 0 6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 25 12 5 5 7. Availablility Of Farm Support Services 8. On-Farm Investments 20 0 9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 0 25 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10 0 TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 52 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 83 Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site 160 assessment) 52 0 0 0 TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) 260 135 0 0 0 1. Corridor Selected: 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be 3. Date Of Selection: 4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used? Converted by Project: YES NO 🖊

5. Reason For Selection:

Signature of Persor	Completing this Part:
---------------------	-----------------------

DATE

NOTE: Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor

NRCS-CPA-106

(Rev. 1-91)

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

3. Date of Land Evaluation Request PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency) 11/17/06 Sheet 1 of 1 1. Name of Project ederal Agency Involved Proposed Baseload Power Plant USDA/Rural Development 2. Type of Project 6. County and State Carroll, Chariton, and Randolph Counties, MO **Transmission Facilities - Norborne to Thomas Hill** 1. Date Request Received by NRCS 11/17/06 2. Person Completing Form D. Kacirek PART II (To be completed by NRCS) 4. Acres Irrigated Average Farm Size 3. Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmland? YES 🚺 NO 416 (If no, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). 6. Farmable Land in Government Jurisdiction 7. Amount of Farmland As Defined in FPPA 5. Major Crop(s) Corn, Soybeans Acres: 408,555 _% 91 Acres: 408,555 91 % 8. Name Of Land Evaluation System Used 10. Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS 9. Name of Local Site Assessment System LESA 11/21/06 Alternative Corridor For Segment PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency) to ThomasHill **Corridor B Corridor** C Corridor D Total Acres To Be Converted Directly A 0.13 Β. Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services 17.87 18.0 C. Total Acres In Corridor 0 0 0 PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland 14 A. B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland 4 C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted 0.01 D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value 48 PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criterion Relative 81 value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points) PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Maximum Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5(c)) Points 1. Area in Nonurban Use 15 15 2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use 10 10 3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed 20 15 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 20 0 5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 10 0 6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 25 0 5 5 7. Availablility Of Farm Support Services 5 20 8. On-Farm Investments 9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 25 0 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 10 0 TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 50 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 81 Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site 160 assessment) 50 0 0 0 TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) 260 131 0 n 0 1. Corridor Selected: 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be 3. Date Of Selection: 4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used? Converted by Project: NO 🔽 YES

5. Reason For Selection:

DATE

NOTE: Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor

NRCS-CPA-106

(Rev. 1-91)

FARMLAND CONVERSION IMPACT RATING FOR CORRIDOR TYPE PROJECTS

NRCS-CPA-106

(Rev. 1-91)

3. Date of Land Evaluation Request PART I (To be completed by Federal Agency) Sheet 1 of __1 11/17/06 1. Name of Project Federal Agency Involved **Proposed Baseload Power Plant** USDA/Rural Development 2. Type of Project 6. County and State Carroll, Saline, Johnson, Pettis and Benton Counties, MO Transmission Facilities - Norborne to Sedalia/Mt.Hulda 1. Date Request Received by NRCS 11/17/06 PART II (To be completed by NRCS) 2. Person Completing Form D. Kacirek 4. Acres Irrigated Average Farm Size 3. Does the corridor contain prime, unique statewide or local important farmland? YES 🔽 NO 🗌 416 (If no, the FPPA does not apply - Do not complete additional parts of this form). Major Crop(s) 6. Farmable Land in Government Jurisdiction 5. 7. Amount of Farmland As Defined in FPPA Corn, Soybeans % 91 Acres: 408,555 Acres: 408,555 91 % 8. Name Of Land Evaluation System Used 9. Name of Local Site Assessment System 10. Date Land Evaluation Returned by NRCS LESA . 11/21/06 **Alternative Corridor For Segment** PART III (To be completed by Federal Agency) toSedalia/MtHulda Corridor B **Corridor** C Corridor D Α. Total Acres To Be Converted Directly 2.91 Total Acres To Be Converted Indirectly, Or To Receive Services Β. 30.29 C. Total Acres In Corridor 33.2 0 0 0 PART IV (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information A. Total Acres Prime And Unique Farmland 19.2 B. Total Acres Statewide And Local Important Farmland 3 C. Percentage Of Farmland in County Or Local Govt. Unit To Be Converted 0.01 D. Percentage Of Farmland in Govt. Jurisdiction With Same Or Higher Relative Value 26 PART V (To be completed by NRCS) Land Evaluation Information Criterion Relative 85 value of Farmland to Be Serviced or Converted (Scale of 0 - 100 Points) PART VI (To be completed by Federal Agency) Corridor Maximum Assessment Criteria (These criteria are explained in 7 CFR 658.5/c)) Points 1. Area in Nonurban Use 15 15 2. Perimeter in Nonurban Use 10 10 3. Percent Of Corridor Being Farmed 20 15 4. Protection Provided By State And Local Government 20 0 5. Size of Present Farm Unit Compared To Average 10 0 6. Creation Of Nonfarmable Farmland 25 0 5 7. Availablility Of Farm Support Services 5 8. On-Farm Investments 20 5 0 9. Effects Of Conversion On Farm Support Services 25 10 10. Compatibility With Existing Agricultural Use 0 TOTAL CORRIDOR ASSESSMENT POINTS 160 50 0 0 0 PART VII (To be completed by Federal Agency) Relative Value Of Farmland (From Part V) 100 85 Total Corridor Assessment (From Part VI above or a local site 160 assessment) 50 0 0 0 TOTAL POINTS (Total of above 2 lines) 260 135 0 n 0 1. Corridor Selected: 2. Total Acres of Farmlands to be 3. Date Of Selection: 4. Was A Local Site Assessment Used? Converted by Project: YES 🗌 NO 🔽

5. Reason For Selection:

Signature of Person Completing this Part:	DATE	<u> </u>
NOTE: Complete a form for each segment with more than one Alternate Corridor		