1 2 3 4 5	S. JAY GOVINDAN, Trial Attorney United States Department of Justice Environment & Natural Resources Division Wildlife & Marine Resources Section Ben Franklin Station, P. O. Box 7369 Washington, D.C. 20044-7369 Ph: (202) 305-0237 Email: Jay.Govindan@usdoj.gov	
6	JAMES J. DUBOIS, Trial Attorney U.S. Department of Justice	
7	Environment and Natural Resources Division Natural Resources Section	
8	1961 Stout Street, 8 th Floor Denver, CO 80294	
9	Phone: (303) 844-1375 Email: <u>james.dubois@usdoj.gov</u>	
10	Additional Counsel listed at end for space	
11	Attorneys for Federal Defendants	
12	MATT KENNA, CO Bar #22159 Western Environmental Law Center	
13	679 E. 2d Avenue, Suite 11B Durango, CO 81301	
14	Ph: (970) 385-6941 Email: mattkenna@gmail.com	
15	Attorney for Plaintiffs (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)	
16	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE	
17	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
18	CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY; SIERRA CLUB; GLEN CANYON INSTITUTE;	Case No: 3:06-00494 DGC
19	LIVING RIVERS; and ARIZONA WILDLIFE FEDERATION,	STIPULATION OF
20		DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE
21	Plaintiffs,	
22	v.	
23	UNITED STATES BUREAU OF RECLAMATION;	
2425	and DIRK KEMPTHORNE, in his official capacity as Secretary of the Department of the Interior,	
25 26	Defendants.	
27		
28		
20		

STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

1	
2	
3	
4	he
5	In
6	Βι
7	
8	De
9	ag
10	Rı
11	in
12	
13	de
14	wa
15	th
16	
17	co
18	en
19	th
20	
21	Co
22	re
23	 A1

24

25

26

27

28

For the reasons set forth in the Settlement Agreement ("Agreement"), attached ereto as Exhibit 1, Plaintiffs, Center for Biological Diversity, Sierra Club, Glen Canyon sttute, Living Rivers, and Arizona Wildlife Federation, and Defendants United States ureau of Reclamation and Dirk Kempthorne, in his official capacity as Secretary of the epartment of Interior, by and through their undersigned attorneys, hereby stipulate and gree that the above-captioned case be dismissed with prejudice, pursuant to Federal ule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1). The parties agree that this Agreement was negotiated good faith and it constitutes a settlement of claims that were vigorously contested, nied, and disputed by the parties. By entering into this Agreement, the parties do not aive any claim or defense. The undersigned representatives of each party certify that ey are fully authorized by the party or parties they represent to agree to the terms and onditions of this Agreement. The terms of this Agreement shall become effective upon try and approval of this Stipulation by the Court. Notwithstanding the dismissal of is action, the parties hereby stipulate and respectfully request that the U.S. District ourt retain jurisdiction to oversee compliance with the terms of this Agreement and to solve any motions to modify such terms. See Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co. of merica, 511 U.S. 375 (1994).

1	Respectfully submitted August 25, 2006.
2	/s/Matt Kenna
3	MATT KENNA
4	Western Environmental Law Center
	679 E. 2d Avenue, Suite 11B
5	Durango, CO 81301 (970) 385-6941
6	mattkenna@gmail.com
7	
8	Counsel for Plaintiffs
9	PAUL K. CHARLTON, United States Attorney
10	RICHARD PATRICK, Assistant United States Attorney
	Two Renaissance Square
11	40 N. Central, Suite 1200 Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4408
12	Thomas, Thizona 05001 1100
13	SUE ELLEN WOOLDRIDGE
14	Assistant Attorney General Environment & Natural Resources Division
15	Environment & Natural Resources Division
	/s/S. Jay Govindan
16	S. JAY GOVINDAN, Trial Attorney
17	United States Department of Justice
18	Environment & Natural Resources Division Wildlife & Marine Resources Section
	Ben Franklin Station, P. O. Box 7369
19	Washington, D.C. 20044-7369
20	Ph: (202) 305-0237
21	Email: Jay.Govindan@usdoj.gov
22	/s/James J. DuBois
23	JAMES J. DUBOIS, Trial Attorney U.S. Department of Justice
24	Environment and Natural Resources Division
25	Natural Resources Section
25	1961 Stout Street, 8th Floor
26	Denver, CO 80294
27	Phone: (303) 844-1375
28	Email: james.dubois@usdoj.gov

1	Counsel for Defendants
2	
3	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED:
5	11 IS SO ORDERED:
6	\
7	Daniel Gr. Campbell
8	
9	David G. Campbell United States District Judge
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1	S. JAY GOVINDAN, Trial Attorney
2	United States Department of Justice Environment & Natural Resources Division
3	Wildlife & Marine Resources Section Ben Franklin Station, P. O. Box 7369
4	Washington, D.C. 20044-7369 Ph: (202) 305-0237
5	Email: Jay.Govindan@usdoj.gov
	JAMES J. DUBOIS, Trial Attorney
6	U.S. Department of Justice Environment and Natural Resources Division
7	Natural Resources Section 1961 Stout Street, 8 th Floor
8	Denver, CO 80294 Phone: (303) 844-1375
9	Email: james.dubois@usdoj.gov
10	Additional Counsel listed at end for space
11	Attorneys for Federal Defendants
12	MATT KENNA, CO Bar #22159 Western Environmental Law Center
13	679 E. 2d Avenue, Suite 11B
14	Durango, CO 81301 Ph: (970) 385-6941
15	Email: mattkenna@gmail.com
16	Attorney for Plaintiffs (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
17	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA
18	CENTER FOR BIOLOGICAL DIVERSITY; Case No:
19	SIERRA CLUB; GLEN CANYON INSTITUTE; 3:06-00494 DGC LIVING RIVERS; and ARIZONA WILDLIFE
20	FEDERATION,
21	Plaintiffs, SETTLEMENT
22	v. AGREEMENT
23	UNITED STATES BUREAU OF RECLAMATION; and DIRK KEMPTHORNE, in his official
24	capacity as Secretary of the Department of the Interior,
	Defendants.
25	
26	
27	
28	

WHEREAS, Center for Biological Diversity, Sierra Club, Glen Canyon Institute, Living Rivers, and Arizona Wildlife Federation (hereafter jointly referred to as "Plaintiffs") in this action filed suit against the Secretary of the Interior, the United States Bureau of Reclamation (hereafter "Reclamation") and the United States (hereafter jointly referred to as "the Defendants") alleging violations of the Grand Canyon Protection Act of 1992 (hereafter "GCPA"), Pub. L. No. 102-575, 106 Stat. 4699, the National Environmental Policy Act (hereafter "NEPA"), 42 U.S.C. § 4321, *et seq.*, and the Endangered Species Act (hereafter "ESA"), 16 U.S.C. § 1531, *et seq.*, seeking an order compelling the Defendants to undertake preparation of a Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement pursuant to NEPA and initiation of formal consultation pursuant to section 7(a)(2) of the ESA, 16 U.S.C. § 1536(a)(2), regarding Reclamation's operation of federally-owned Glen Canyon Dam, as well as other related management actions;

WHEREAS, pursuant to ESA section 11(g)(2)(a), 16 U.S.C. § 1540(g)(2)(a), prior to 60 days before Plaintiffs filed their complaint in this action, Plaintiffs notified the Defendants of their intent to file suit, alleging a failure by the Defendants to undertake formal consultation pursuant to section 7(a)(2) of the ESA, 16 U.S.C. § 1536(a)(2), regarding the operation of Glen Canyon Dam, among other alleged violations of federal law; and,

WHEREAS, since approximately 1995, Defendants have been in ongoing contact with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service about conducting further analyses of the effects that current, and prospective, Glen Canyon Dam operations, as well as other associated management actions by Reclamation and other agencies within the Department of the Interior, may have on the humpback chub, bonytail chub, razorback sucker and Colorado pikeminnow. Beginning in May, 2004, Defendants, together with others including the Fish and Wildlife Service, have been actively engaged in a process to look at long term science and management planning for dam operations and other activities. Since that time, the Bureau of Reclamation has viewed formal consultation as one likely outcome of this process;

WHEREAS, it is in the interest of the public, the parties, and judicial economy to resolve this action without protracted litigation;

THEREFORE, without admission or adjudication of any question of fact or law, the parties

agree as follows:

- 1. Not later than January 31, 2007, Reclamation shall initiate environmental documentation activities pursuant to NEPA and the ESA with respect to modification of current, or other prospective, operations of Glen Canyon Dam and associated management actions of Reclamation and other agencies within the Department of the Interior;
- 2. Although the United States does not concede or agree that formal consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service is required as of the time of this agreement, in furtherance of such additional environmental documentation activities identified in Paragraph 1 above, the United States agrees that under its authority to independently request formal consultation pursuant to 50 C.F.R. § 402.14, on or before May 1, 2008, Reclamation shall prepare and submit a biological assessment(s) related to modification of current, or other prospective, operations of Glen Canyon Dam and associated management actions to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service for the purpose of initiating formal consultation;
- 3. It is the expectation of the parties that by September 30, 2008, with respect to the documentation activities undertaken pursuant to Paragraphs 1 and 2 above, Reclamation will have completed any respective NEPA documentation and that the United States Fish and Wildlife Service will have completed a biological opinion(s), if found to be required, on the biological assessment(s) related to modification of current, or other prospective, Glen Canyon Dam operations and associated management actions previously submitted by Reclamation. However, September 30, 2008 shall not be considered a deadline, pursuant to this agreement, for the completion of any respective NEPA documentation or prospective biological opinion(s), if found to be required;
- 4. Not later than October 15, 2008, Reclamation shall publish a notice in the Federal Register, regarding the status of any respective NEPA and ESA documentation and consultation efforts prepared pursuant to paragraphs 1 through 3 above;
- 5. The deadlines described/identified in paragraphs 1, 2, and 4 above of this Settlement Agreement may only be modified by the parties by written stipulation, and by submitting the

- 6. The Defendants agree to provide Plaintiffs, without further request, with copies of any final biological assessment(s), final concurrence letter(s), and final biological opinion(s) regarding the ESA consultation(s) described in paragraphs 1 through 3 above;
- 7. Notwithstanding the dismissal of this action, the parties agree that this Court shall retain jurisdiction to oversee compliance with the terms of this agreement, as described in Kokkonen v. Guardian Life Ins. Co. of America, 511 U.S. 375 (1994). The parties further agree that they will first attempt to resolve any disputes related to compliance with this agreement among themselves before invoking the jurisdiction of this Court to resolve compliance disputes;
- 8. In addition, Plaintiffs expressly reserve the right to challenge any final agency action following the conclusion of the activities described in paragraphs 1 through 3 above. Plaintiffs will provide a notice of intent to sue if they intend to challenge any such final agency action pursuant to the ESA or other statute with an applicable notice requirement.
- 9. This Settlement Agreement is effective upon entry of the "Stipulation and Order of Dismissal With Prejudice" (to which this Settlement Agreement is an attachment) by the Court.
- 10. The parties agree that this Settlement Agreement has no precedential value as to the merits of any claims and shall not be used as evidence in any future dispute regarding the applicability of the GCPA, NEPA, or the ESA.
- 11. No provision of this Settlement Agreement shall be interpreted as or constitute a commitment or requirement that the Federal Defendants take actions in contravention of the GCPA, NEPA, the ESA, or any other applicable federal law or regulation, either substantive or procedural, nor shall this Settlement Agreement be interpreted to modify in any manner the duties or roles and functions of the Glen Canyon Dam Adaptive Management Work Group, chartered pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. Appendix 2. No provision of this Settlement Agreement shall be interpreted or constitute a commitment or requirement that Defendants obligate or pay funds in violation of the Anti-Deficiency Act, 31 U.S.C. § 1341, or any other applicable federal law or regulation.

1	12. The provisions of this Settlement Agreement shall apply to and be binding upon
2	each of the Parties including, but not limited to, their officers, directors, servants, employees,
3	successors, and assigns.
4	13. This Settlement Agreement and the accompanying "Stipulation and Order of
5	Dismissal With Prejudice" constitute the entire agreement of the Parties concerning the rights and
6	obligations discussed herein and subject to dispute in this suit. No other agreement shall govern
7	the rights of the Parties with respect to the matters resolved by this Settlement Agreement, except
8	in accordance with the express terms herein. No modification to this Settlement Agreement shall
9	be valid unless written and executed by both parties.
10	Signed this 25 th date of August, 2006.
11	
12	/s/Matt Kenna MATT KENNA
13	Western Environmental Law Center
14	COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS
15	PAUL K. CHARLTON, United States Attorney RICHARD PATRICK, Assistant United States Attorney
16	Two Renaissance Square 40 N. Central, Suite 1200
17	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-4408
18	SUE ELLEN WOOLDRIDGE Assistant Attorney General
19	Environment & Natural Resources Division
20	/s/ S. Jay Govindan S. JAY GOVINDAN, Trial Attorney
21	United States Department of Justice Environment & Natural Resources Division
22	Wildlife & Marine Resources Section
23	JAMES J. DUBOIS, Trial Attorney U.S. Department of Justice
24	Environment & Natural Resources Division Natural Resources Section
25	COUNSEL FOR DEFENDANTS
26	OF COUNSEL
27	Robert F. Snow M. Rodney Smith, Jr.