

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Schalnat, Ria Farrell

**Sent:** Monday, August 14, 2006 3:33 PM

**To:** AB95 Comments

**Subject:** [Docket No: PTO-P-2005-0024];[FR Doc: 06-06027];[Page 38808-38823]; Practice and procedure: Information disclosure statement requirements and other related matters; proposed changes

To whom it may concern:

As a patent practitioner, I am extremely concerned about the proposed rules for revamping the IDS filings. This would place an incredibly onerous burden on our clients in terms of expense to make this kind of determination. If we, as attorneys, didn't face the kind of grilling that we would be subjected to in litigation if a mistake is made and a critical reference is not included, that would be one thing, but to substantively review the hundreds (if not thousands) of references that we are exposed to in the course of our careers to determine which 20 are the most critical to the patent application that we are currently filing is just beyond ridiculous.

Please let me know if you have any response to this or you would like further input from me.

Regards,

*Ria Farrell Schalnat*

*Patent Attorney*

*201 East Fifth St.*

*Cincinnati, OH 45202*

*Frost Brown Todd*

*rschalnat@fbtlaw.com*

*(513) 651-6426*

*Fax: (513) 651-6981*