

-----Original Message-----

From: David Ng [mailto:davidng1@yahoo.com]

Sent: Tue 5/2/2006 10:21 PM

To: AB94Comments

Cc:

Subject: RE: Proposed rule changes on claims examination

Dear Commissioner Doll,

I am an independent inventor and an engineer. My funds come from savings. I have a very limited budget for development and marketing of my invention. All of my patent costs come out of my limited budget.

I understand that the proposed rule changes on claims examination will drastically increase my costs for obtaining a patent, while at the same time restricting the protection I pay for.

I understand that even though I paid for twenty claims to be examined, the examiners will only examine ten claims.

I understand that the proposed rule will force me to file many additional applications all on the same day to cover my invention.

I want you to understand that the proposed rules will force me to file fewer patent applications, leaving some of my inventions unpublished or unprotected.

Rather than the changing the continuation rules, I recommend that you continue to increase rate of hiring of examiners and redirect the fees to training, salaries, and retention. As the examiner force increases, the number of examiners you can hire and train each year will also increase. By your own numbers, the recent increases in examiner hiring has stabilized the time to examine applications. Continuing to hire at the present rate will inevitably drive that time down. Thank you for your consideration of my recommendations.

Sincerely
/David Ng/
Independent Inventor