

-----Original Message-----

From: Rudy_Moreno@mkdiamond.com [mailto:Rudy_Moreno@mkdiamond.com]

Sent: Friday, April 28, 2006 3:58 PM

To: AB94Comments

Subject: Proposed rule changes on claims examination

John Doll

Commissioner for Patents

RE: Proposed rule changes on claims examination

Dear Commissioner Doll,

I am an independent inventor and an inspector at a California based masonry saw manufacturing company. My funds come from my job at that company and my wife's part-time job. I have a very limited budget for development and marketing of my invention. All of my patent costs come out of my limited budget.

I understand that the proposed rule changes on claims examination will drastically increase my costs for obtaining a patent, while at the same time restricting the protection I pay for.

I understand that even though I paid for twenty claims to be examined, the examiners will only examine ten claims.

I understand that the proposed rule will force me to file many additional applications all on the same day to cover my invention.

I understand that the propose rules will result in me to abandon my dream to have the more then five un-patented inventions from becoming patented.

Rather than the changing the continuation rules, I recommend that you continue to increase rate of hiring of examiners and redirect the fees to training, salaries, and retention. As the examiner force increases, the number of examiners you can hire and train each year will also increase. By your own numbers, the recent increases in examiner hiring has stabilized the time to examine applications. Continuing to hire at the present rate will inevitably drive that time down. Thank you for your consideration of my recommendations.

Sincerely

Rudy R. Moreno

Independent Inventor