# Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

### Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

1. Date of Submission:	12/14/2007
2. Agency:	Department of Justice
3. Bureau:	Justice Management Division
4. Name of this Capital Asset:	JMD Integrated Wireless Network (IWN)
5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)	011-03-02-00-01-1640-00
6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2009? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY2009, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2009 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)	Mixed Life Cycle
7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?	FY2001 or earlier
	and the development of the first of the second states of the second states

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:

The IWN program is a joint effort of the Departments of Justice, Homeland Security, and Treasury to provide secure, interoperable wireless communications that support the missions of the respective federal agencies. Under the IWN, each department will deploy communications systems and services that best meet the respective department needs. For DOJ, the IWN will provide a range of secure and reliable wireless communications services, including voice, data and multimedia services that support counterterrorism, counterintelligence, law enforcement and emergency response operations. Through the IWN partnership, DOJ will implement these solutions in a manner that maximizes interoperability with other federal, state, local and tribal public safety and homeland security entities - in particular, DHS and the Treasury. Where department interests and requirements intersect, DOJ, DHS and the Treasury will deploy joint systems in order to maximize economies of scale, reduce utilization of radio spectrum, and optimize interoperability. To address the increasing sophistication of criminals and terrorists, the Department's law enforcement missions require wireless communications with the following capabilities:

+Coverage- flexible communications services available wherever agents need to operate.

+Security- voice and data communications must be encrypted.

+Reliability- communications services must always be available.

+ Interoperability- DOJ agents must be able to communicate with agents/ officers from other federal, state and local law enforcement agencies. The interoperability must be dynamic and achieved rapidly in order to account for changing environments and degree of needs for officer safety.

+Flexibility- communication services type depends on mission (surveillance, arrest, protective detail, task force coordination, incident response).

These capabilities will support the wide range of DOJ agent missions including (but not limited to): investigative or counterintelligence surveillance operations; protective details for VIPs; fugitive or other task force arrest operations; and coordination with state and local law enforcement during an emergency scenario.

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?	Yes
a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?	5/12/2006
10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?	Yes
11. Contact information of Project Manager?	
Name	Oden, Claude
Phone Number	202-598-2001
Email	claude.m.oden@usdoj.gov
a. What is the current FAC-P/PM certification level of the project/program manager?	TBD
12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project?	
a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?	Yes

b. Is this investment for new construction or major No retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only) 1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment? 2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles? 3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code? 13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA Yes initiatives? If "yes," check all that apply: Expanded E-Government a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected Through the IWN program, the DOJ will provision and how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? maintain a range of secure and reliable wireless (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service communications services, including voice, data and provider or the managing partner?) multimedia services that support counterterrorism, counterintelligence, law enforcement and emergency response operations. 14. Does this investment support a program assessed using No the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.) a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness No found during a PART review? b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program? c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive? 15. Is this investment for information technology? Yes If the answer to Question 15 is "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below. If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16-23. For information technology investments only: 16. What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Level 3 Guidance) 17. What project management qualifications does the (1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) investment 18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this Yes investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23) 19. Is this a financial management system? No a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA No compliance area? 1. If "yes," which compliance area: 2. If "no," what does it address? b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52 20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2009 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%) Hardware 62 Software 2 Services 35

Other 1 21. If this project produces information dissemination N/A

products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Name

Hitch, Vance

Exhibit 300: JMD Integrated Wir	eless Network (IWN) (Revision 6)
Phone Number	202-514-0507
Title	Chief Information Officer
E-mail	Vance.Hitch@usdoj.gov
23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?	No
Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:	

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO No High Risk Areas?

## Section B: Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES           (REPORTED IN MILLIONS)           (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)										
	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2007	CY 2008	BY 2009	BY+1 2010	BY+2 2011	BY+3 2012	BY+4 and beyond	Total	
Planning:	18.5	6.724	2.319	6.882						
Acquisition:	280.845	17.619	8.918	37.018						
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	299.345	24.343	11.237	43.900						
Operations & Maintenance:	329.658	62.958	67.27	78.586						
TOTAL:	629.003	87.301	78.507	122.486						
	Governme	nt FTE Cost	s should not	be included	in the amou	unts provide	d above.			
Government FTE Costs	12.186	1.897	2.846	2.846						
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	36	13	19	19						

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional Yes FTE's?

a. If "yes," How many and in what year?

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2008 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes:

## Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1. Complete the table for all (including all non-Federal) contracts and/or task orders currently in place or planned for this investment. Total Value should include all option years for each contract. Contracts and/or task orders completed do not need to be included.

### Exhibit 300: JMD Integrated Wireless Network (IWN) (Revision 6)

Contracts/T	ontracts/Task Orders Table: * Costs in millions											osts in millions				
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract∕ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?		End date of Contract/	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Interagenc y	Is it performanc e based? (Y/N)	Competitiv ely awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact	Contracting Officer Certificatio	has the competenci es and skills
DJJ06-C- 1410	FFP, T&M, CPFF, CPIF, CPAY, IDIQ	Yes	6/1/2006	6/1/2006												
DJJ-05-F- 1125	T&M	Yes	9/30/2005	9/30/2005	1/31/2008	16.069	No	No	Yes	NA	No	Yes	-	/ stacey.b.low enberg@usd oj.gov	N/A	Yes
DJJ-04-F- 0866	T&M	Yes	3/1/2004	10/1/2005	1/31/2008	4.621	No	No	Yes	NA	No	Yes	5	/ stacey.b.low enberg@usd oj.gov	N/A	Yes

Exhibit 300: JMD Integrated Wireless Network (IWN) (Revision 6)

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

The following contracts do not require EVM as part of the contract for the following reasons:

Contract 2) This contract/task order expires in January 08, all EVM for this contract was managed by the PMO. Contract 3) This contract/task order expires in January 08, was part of the SS funds and was for PM support (therefore EVM contract provisions are not required).

All future DME contracts/task orders will be requiring the contractor to provide ANSI/EIA 748 compliant EVM data and reports.

3. Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance?	Yes
a. Explain why:	
4. Is there an acquisition plan which has been approved in accordance with agency requirements?	Yes
a. If "yes," what is the date?	9/1/2004

b. If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed?

1. If "no," briefly explain why:

### Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond FY 2009.

Performance In	Performance Information Table										
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results			
2007		Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Impact or Burden	Number of Top 25 Cities with Interoperable Solution Deployed	23	24	TBD			
2007		Mission and Business Results	Administrative Management	Facilities, Fleet, And Equipment Management	Cost avoidance by procuring in bulk quantities	N/A	\$1.3 million	TBD			
2007		Processes and Activities	Productivity and Efficiency	Efficiency	% USMS districts Consolidated onto FBI systems	90%	95%	TBD			
2007		Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission		48% portable; 62% mobile	52% portable; 64% mobile	TBD			
2008		Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Impact or Burden	Number of Top 25 Cities with Interoperable Solution Deployed	24	25	TBD			
2008		Mission and Business Results	Administrative Management	Facilities, Fleet, And Equipment Management	Cost avoidance by procuring in bulk quantities	N/A	10% discount of total contract purchase price	TBD			
2008		Processes and Activities	Productivity and Efficiency	Efficiency	% USMS Districts consolidated onto FBI systems	95%	100%	TBD			
2008		Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission		52% portable; 64% mobile	56% portable; 68% mobile	TBD			

Performance In	Performance Information Table										
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results			
2009			Customer Benefit	Customer Impact or Burden	Number of Top 25 Cities with Interoperable Solution Deployed	25	25	TBD			
2009		Mission and Business Results	Administrative Management	Facilities, Fleet, And Equipment Management	Cost avoidance by procuring in bulk quantities	N/A	10% discount of total contract purchase price	TBD			
2009			Productivity and Efficiency	Efficiency	% USMS Districts consolidated onto FBI system	100%	100%	TBD			
2009		Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	% Justice units converted to IWN Narrowband compliant units	56%portable; 68% mobile	60% portable; 72% mobile	TBD			

## Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case, each question below must be answered at the system/application level, not at a program or agency level. Systems supporting this investment on the planning and operational systems security tables should match the systems on the privacy table below. Systems on the Operational Security Table must be included on your agency FISMA system inventory and should be easily referenced in the inventory (i.e., should use the same name or identifier).

For existing Mixed-Life Cycle investments where enhancement, development, and/or modernization is planned, include the investment in both the "Systems in Planning" table (Table 3) and the "Operational Systems" table (Table 4). Systems which are already operational, but have enhancement, development, and/or modernization activity, should be included in both Table 3 and Table 4. Table 3 should reflect the planned date for the system changes to be complete and operational, and the planned date for the associated C&A update. Table 4 should reflect the current status of the requirements listed. In this context, information contained within Table 3 should characterize what updates to testing and documentation will occur before implementing the enhancements; and Table 4 should characterize the current statu of the materials associated with the existing system.

All systems listed in the two security tables should be identified in the privacy table. The list of systems in the "Name of System" column of the privacy table (Table 8) should match the systems listed in columns titled "Name of System" in the security tables (Tables 3 and 4). For the Privacy table, it is possible that there may not be a one-to-one ratio between the list of systems and the related privacy documents. For example, one PIA could cover multiple systems. If this is the case, a working link to the PIA may be listed in column (d) of the privacy table more than once (for each system covered by the PIA).

The questions asking whether there is a PIA which covers the system and whether a SORN is required for the system are discrete from the narrative fields. The narrative column provides an opportunity for free text explanation why a working link is not provided. For example, a SORN may be required for the system, but the system is not yet operational. In this circumstance, answer "yes" for column (e) and in the narrative in column (f), explain that because the system is not operational the SORN is not yet required to be published.

Please respond to the questions below and verify the system owner took the following actions:

1. Have the IT security costs for the system(s) been identified and integrated into the overall costs of the investment:

a. If "yes," provide the "Percentage IT Security" for the budget year:

2. Is identifying and assessing security and privacy risks a part of the overall risk management effort for each system supporting or part of this investment.

3. Systems in Planning and Undergoing Enhancement(s), Development, and/or Modernization - Security Table(s):								
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	Planned Operational Date	Date of Planned C&A update (for existing mixed life cycle systems) or Planned Completion Date (for new systems)					

4. Operational Systems - Security Table:									
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, NIST 800-26, Other, N/A)	Date Complete(d): Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested		

4. Operational Sys	4. Operational Systems - Security Table:									
Name of System		NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)		Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, NIST 800-26, Other, N/A)	Date Complete(d): Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested			

5. Have any weaknesses, not yet remediated, related to any of the systems part of or supporting this investment been identified by the agency or IG?

a. If "yes," have those weaknesses been incorporated into the agency's plan of action and milestone process?

6. Indicate whether an increase in IT security funding is requested to remediate IT security weaknesses?

a. If "yes," specify the amount, provide a general description of the weakness, and explain how the funding request will remediate the weakness.

7. How are contractor security procedures monitored, verified, and validated by the agency for the contractor systems above? This is not a contractor owned system; this is a government-owned system.

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:									
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation				
IWN San Diego	No		A PIA is not required under the E-Government Act fo this system.	No	No SORN is required for this system under the Privacy Act.				
IWN Seattle	No		A PIA is not required under the E-Government Act for this system.		No SORN is required for this system under the Privacy Act.				

Details for Text Options:

Column (d): If yes to (c), provide the link(s) to the publicly posted PIA(s) with which this system is associated. If no to (c), provide an explanation why the PIA has not been publicly posted or why the PIA has not been conducted.

Column (f): If yes to (e), provide the link(s) to where the current and up to date SORN(s) is published in the federal register. If no to (e), provide an explanation why the SORN has not been published or why there isn't a current and up to date SORN.

Note: Working links must be provided to specific documents not general privacy websites. Non-working links will be considered as a blank field.

## Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

<ol> <li>Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?</li> </ol>	Yes
a. If "no," please explain why?	
<ol><li>Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?</li></ol>	Yes
a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.	Integrated Wireless Network (IWN)
b. If "no," please explain why?	
3. Is this investment identified in a completed (contains a target architecture) and approved segment architecture?	Yes
a. If "yes," provide the name of the segment architecture as provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.	

Friday, January 04, 2008 - 10:02 AM Page 7 of 13

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
Back Office Services	IWN will integrate separate communications systems into a common infrastructure.	Back Office Services	Development and Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	Legacy Integration		Internal	20
Back Office Service	IWN will upgrade/modern ize selected DOJ component LMR	Back Office Services	Development and Integration	Legacy Integration	Legacy Integration		Internal	15
Business Management Services	IWN will develop the methodology for collecting, analyzing, and tracking user voice communication requirements		Management of Processes	NEW	Requirements Management		Internal	3
Business Management Services	IWN Program/Project Management will establish the programmatic framework for the nationwide deployment of an integrated network	Business Management Services	Management of Processes	NEW	Program / Project Management		Internal	6
Business Management Services	IWN will develop the suite of tools and services to monitor and maintain a nationwide system of systems		Organizational Management	Network Management	Network Management		Internal	5
Support Services	-	Support Services	Communication	Voice Communications	Voice Communications		Internal	46
Support Services	IWN will ensure the security/privacy of voice/ data carried on the network through AES encryption and will be compliant with the DOJ Identity Management Architecture.	Support Services	Security Management	Cryptography			Internal	5

a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in the column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table: To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.						
FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)		
Cryptography	Component Framework	Security	Supporting Security Services	AES		
Network Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications			
Enterprise Application Integration	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	IP		
Voice Communications	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	P25		
Legacy Integration	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Wireless / Mobile	P25		

a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications

b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or No applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?

a. If "yes," please describe.

#### Exhibit 300: Part II: Planning, Acquisition and Performance Information

#### Section A: Alternatives Analysis (All Capital Assets)

Part II should be completed only for investments identified as "Planning" or "Full Acquisition," or "Mixed Life-Cycle" investments in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

In selecting the best capital asset, you should identify and consider at least three viable alternatives, in addition to the current baseline, i.e., the status quo. Use OMB Circular A-94 for all investments and the Clinger Cohen Act of 1996 for IT investments to determine the criteria you should use in your Benefit/Cost Analysis.

1. Did you conduct an alternatives analysis for this project? Yes

a. If "yes," provide the date the analysis was completed? 9/14/2004

b. If "no," what is the anticipated date this analysis will be completed?

c. If no analysis is planned, please briefly explain why:

Alternative Analyzed	Description of Alternative	Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Costs estimate	Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Benefits estimate		
IWN Hybrid Solution	Utilizes trunking, conventional narrowband, and commercial wireless services. The strategic vision here is to maximize use of broadband services as technology matures and funding becomes available. For the near term, maximizes use of existing capital assets and infrastructure for metropolitan areas while providing dependable service through conventional means to rural areas.	2.245	1.355		

3. Which alternative was selected by the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee and why was it chosen?

The IWN Hybrid Solution was selected based on the following criteria:

Adherence to Administration's Policy Guidance

- +President's Management Agenda for Expanding E-Government
  - -Improve government effectiveness and efficiency, achieving costs savings where possible; and
  - -Results oriented creating value for both citizens and government

+Adherence to Clinger-Cohen of 1996 for modular implementation

+Adherence to goals set for Government to Government initiatives:

- -Facilitate better leverage of investments in IT systems;
- -Best applicability for interoperability across government agencies;
- -Provide better integration of key government operations, such as disaster response; and
- Consideration for Project SAFECOM goals

#### **Business Case Applicability**

- + Applicability to business case: meeting customer (users') functional requirements
- +Consideration of emerging technologies
- +Market-based, actively promoting innovative solutions and competition
- +Plan to adopt commercial best practices
- +Focus on improving and providing additional communications capabilites for officers/agents
- Promotes principles of Good Government
- +Focus on improving service quality
- +Citizen-centered; not bureaucracy or agency-centered
- +Strong privacy and security protections

-Maximizing personal safety of officers/agents -Protecting civil liberties of citizens

+Reduce redundant IT spending across OMB's identified six lines of business

+Best value approach for the government

- 4. What specific qualitative benefits will be realized?
- 1- Flexible communications services available wherever agents need to operate.
- 2- Increased security.
- 3- Communications services whenever they are needed.
- 4- Interoperable communications with agents/officers from other federal, state, and local law enforcement agencies.
- 5- Improved range.
- 6- Better voice quality.
- 7- Capable of Over-the Air-Rekeying.

5. Will the selected alternative replace a legacy system in-part Yes or in-whole?

a. If "yes," are the migration costs associated with the migration to the selected alternative included in this investment, the legacy investment, or in a separate migration investment.

b. If "yes," please provide the following information:

List of Legacy Investment or Systems						
Name of the Legacy Investment of Systems	UPI if available	Date of the System Retirement				

#### Section B: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

Yes

No

5/12/2006

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?

a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan?

b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?

c. If "yes," describe any significant changes:

2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?

a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date?

b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks?

3. Briefly describe how investment risks are reflected in the life cycle cost estimate and investment schedule:

Investment risks are identified in the system concept development phase where the cost estimates are made and the investment schedule is defined.

#### Section C: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

EVM is required only on DME portions of investments. For mixed lifecycle investments, O&M milestones should still be included in the table (Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline). This table should accurately reflect the milestones in the initial baseline, as well as milestones in the current baseline.

1. Does the earned value management system meet the Yes criteria in ANSI/EIA Standard-748?

2. Is the CV% or SV% greater than +/- 10%? (CV%= CV/EV x No 100; SV%= SV/PV x 100)

- a. If "yes," was it the CV or SV or both?
- b. If "yes," explain the causes of the variance:
- c. If "yes," describe the corrective actions:
- 3. Has the investment re-baselined during the past fiscal year? No
- a. If "yes," when was it approved by the agency head?

4. Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required. Indicate '0' for any milestone no longer active.

		Initial Baseline		Current Baseline				Current Baseline Variance		
Milestone Number	Description of Milestone			Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)		Total Cost (\$M)		Schedule (# days)	Cost (\$M)	Percent Complete
				Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual	(# uays)		
1	Eastern Washington	11/30/2008	\$14.878							44%
2	Western Washington	9/30/2008	\$18.447							46%
3	Oregon Coast	12/31/2007	\$1.301							70%
4	Oregon I-5	7/31/2008	\$5.048							50%
5	Gulf Coast	9/30/2008	\$20.895							61%
6	NW Survivability	3/31/2008	\$1.683							75%
7	Portland	1/31/2007	\$3.646		1/31/2007		\$3.646			100%