

General Characteristics		
1	Abstract of Model Capabilities	<p>PC-AQPAC is a Personal Computer-based Air Quality Package which has been designed for use in emergency responses to accidental releases of hazardous substances into the atmosphere. The system predicts hazard zones for potential evacuation.</p> <p>LFL — Lower Flammability Limit. This defines the minimum concentration of material in air which will support combustion on contact with a source of ignition. The risk of fire is high. In the context of emergency response, this defines a first-priority hazard zone for potential evaluation.</p> <p>IDLH — Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health. This is defined as the maximum concentration level from which one could escape within 30 minutes without any impairing symptoms or any irreversible health effects. In the context of emergency response this also defines a first-priority hazard zone.</p> <p>STEL — Short Term Exposure Limit. This is defined as the concentration level to which humans can be exposed continuously for a period of up to 15 minutes without suffering from irritation, chronic or irreversible tissue change, or narcosis of sufficient degree to increase the likelihood of accidental injury, to impair self rescue, or to materially reduce work efficiency, provided that the daily TLV (Threshold Limit Value) is not exceeded. In the context of emergency response this defines a second-priority hazard zone.</p> <p>TLV — Threshold Limit Value. This is defined as the time-weighted average concentration for a normal 8-hour workday and 40-hour workweek, to which nearly all humans may be repeatedly exposed, day after day, without adverse effect. In the context of emergency response, this defines a third-priority hazard zone.</p> <p>NOTE: Expert users can change the concentration limits defined in the chemical database. It should also be recognized that PC-AQPAC employs short-range models (<50 km). It should be assumed that a 1 km radius around an accident site represents a first-priority hazard zone for potential evacuation.</p> <p>Both Gaussian puff and plume models are available for short- and long-term releases, respectively. A heavy gas model is included. The model is designed for rapid field use in an emergency, runs on commonly configured PC hardware and has a large chemical database with toxic limits.</p>
2	Sponsor and/or Developing Organization	AQPAC is a chemical and radiological consequences code originally written by the Atmospheric Environment Service (AES) of the Canadian Government.
3	Last Custodian/ Point of Contact	<p>Dr. Dan McGillvray 64 Ferris Road Toronto, Ontario, Canada M4B164 (416) 285-9305</p> <p>Dr. Sam M. Daggupaty Atmospheric Environmental Service 4905 Dufferin Street Downsview, Ontario, Canada M3H 5T4 (416) 739-4451 sam.daggupaty@tor.ec.gc.ca primary individual</p>
4	Life-Cycle	It has been modified over the past several years and is now offered commercially with an enhanced user interface.

5	Model Description Summary	PC-AQPAC provides menu-driven access to the following models, databases and modules. Source strength model which computes the rate and type of emission (instantaneous or continuous) and automatically selects the appropriate dispersion model (or models) to run. Expert users have the option to override the selections made by the source strength model. Heavy gas puff and plume models which predict the dispersion and concentration of heavier-than-air gases. Output data are presented in both graphical and tabular form. Neutral gas puff and plume models which predict the dispersion, depletion and ground deposition, as well as the atmospheric concentration of lighter-than-air gases as well as radioactive gas clouds, forest fire smoke particles, airborne viruses, etc. Output data are presented in both graphical and tabular form. Chemical database which contains detailed information on over 75 hazardous substances (including radioactive materials) and facilitates the entry of new chemical data into the database. Meteorological database which contains more than five worst-case and common case weather conditions and may be easily added to or updated with "nowcast."
5	Model Description Summary (Cont.)	Emergency response report module which guides the user through a series of questions concerning an accident and maintains an official record for review after the accident. Review module for reviewing both graphical and tabular output from the PC-AQPAC models. The review module also provides access to the graphical interface which allows the user to print (or reprint at different scales) selected graphical output.
6	Application Limitation	Straight-line plume, invariant meteorology and source term, no topographical effects, no fires or chemical reactions. The model does include plume depletion and deposition. There is no mention of building wake or downwash models for close-in calculations. Several simplifying assumptions are made in the source term model such as constant pool diameter for liquid spills.
7	Strengths/ Limitations	Straight-line plume, invariant meteorology and source term, no topographical effects, no fires or chemical reactions. The model does include plume depletion and deposition. There is no mention of building wake or downwash models for close-in calculations. Several simplifying assumptions are made in the source term model such as constant pool diameter for liquid spills.
8	Model References	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ! Colenbrander, G.W., 1980: A mathematical model for the transient behavior of dense vapour clouds, Third International Symposium on Loss Prevention and Safety Promotion in the Process Industries, Basle, Switzerland, September 15-19, 1980. ! Colenbrander, G.W. and J.S. Puttock, 1983: Dense gas dispersion behavior: Experimental observations and model development, 4th International Symposium on Loss Prevention and Safety Promotion in the Process Industries, Harrogate, England, September 12-16, 1983. ! Daggupaty, S.M., 1990: A source strength model for accidental release of hazardous substances, Proceedings of the 7th Annual Technical Seminar on Chemical Spills, June 4-5, 1990, published by the Minister of Supply and Services, 55-60. ! Havens, J.A. and Spicer T.O., 1985: Development of an atmospheric dispersion model for heavier-than-air mixtures, University of Arkansas, Department of Chemical Engineering, Final Report under Contract No. DT-CG-23-80-C-20029, prepared for the U.S. Coast Guard, Washington, D.C. ! Matthias, C.S., 1990: Dispersion of a dense cylindrical cloud in calm air, Journal of Hazardous Materials, 24: 39-66. ! Matthias, C.S., 1992: Dispersion of a dense cylindrical cloud in a turbulent atmosphere, Journal of Hazardous Materials, 30: 117-150. ! Turner, D.B., 1964: A diffusion model for an urban area, Journal of Applied Meteorology, 3: 83-91.
9	Input Data/Parameter Requirements	Input of weather data can be manual or automatic using an appropriate digital interface with a source of meteorological data. A minimum of information is required for a run since data for a relatively large number (75) of common toxic chemicals is built in.
10	Output Summary	Output is both printed and graphical, showing the downwind and lateral area where toxic limits are exceeded. Each run is separate with its own meteorological and source data. No indication of error or diagnostic messages was found in the user's manual. Setup time is minimal.
11	Applications	Primarily developed as an emergency response model. May have been used for other applications.
12	User-Friendliness	Appears to be easy to run based on review of demo only.
13	Hardware-Software Interface Constraints/ Requirements	<p>Computer operating system: DOS 4.0 or higher on PC.</p> <p>Computer platform:</p> <p>Disk space requirements: 5 MB</p> <p>Run execution time (for a typical problem): No runs were made.</p> <p>Programming language: Believed to be FORTRAN (original version.)</p> <p>Other computer peripheral information: Appears to be easily installed on PC.</p>

14	Operational Parameters	Identify whether the code has any error diagnostic messages to assist the user in troubleshooting operational problems: No error diagnostics.
15	Surety Considerations	Benchmark test and comparison with field experiments have been made with good results.
Specific Characteristics		
Part A: Source Term Submodel Type		
A1	Source Term Algorithm?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO
A2	For Chemical Consequence Assessment Models	Liquid spill: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> pool evaporation <input type="checkbox"/> particulate resuspension Pressurized releases: <input type="checkbox"/> two-phase jets <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> flashing <input type="checkbox"/> entrainment <input type="checkbox"/> aerosol formation Solid spills: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> resuspension <input type="checkbox"/> sublimation.
Part B: Dispersion Submodel Type		
B1	Gaussian	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Straight-line plume <input type="checkbox"/> Segmented plume <input type="checkbox"/> Statistical plume <input type="checkbox"/> Statistical puff
B2	Similarity	<input type="checkbox"/> Plume <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Puff
Part C: Transport Submodel Type		
C2	Deterministic	Yes
C4	Frame of Reference	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Eulerian <input type="checkbox"/> Lagrangian <input type="checkbox"/> Hybrid <input type="checkbox"/> Eulerian-Lagrangian
Part D: Fire Submodel Type (Not Applicable)		
Part E: Energetic Events Submodel Type (Not Applicable)		
Part F: Health Consequence Submodel Type		
F1	For Chemical Consequence Assessment Models	Health effects: <input type="checkbox"/> fatalities <input type="checkbox"/> cancers <input type="checkbox"/> latent cancers <input type="checkbox"/> symptom onset Health criteria <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IDLH <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> STEL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> TLV <input type="checkbox"/> TWA <input type="checkbox"/> ERPG <input type="checkbox"/> TEEL <input type="checkbox"/> AEGL <input type="checkbox"/> WHO Zones with flammable limits: <input type="checkbox"/> UFL <input type="checkbox"/> LFL Blast overpressure regions: Fire radiant energy zones: Risk qualification: Concentration: <input type="checkbox"/> single value <input type="checkbox"/> time-history <input type="checkbox"/> integrated dose Probits:
Part G: Effects and Countermeasures Submodel Type (No Information Provided.)		
Part H: Physical Features of Model		
H2	Release Elevation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ground <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> roof
H6	Mixing Layer	<input type="checkbox"/> trapping <input type="checkbox"/> lofting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> reflection <input type="checkbox"/> penetration <input type="checkbox"/> inversion breakup fumigation <input type="checkbox"/> temporal variability
H7	Cloud Buoyancy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> neutral [passive] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> dense [negative] <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> plume rise [positive]
H10	Deposition	<input type="checkbox"/> gravitational setting <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> dry deposition <input type="checkbox"/> precipitation scavenging <input type="checkbox"/> resistance theory deposition <input type="checkbox"/> simple deposition velocity <input type="checkbox"/> liquid deposition <input type="checkbox"/> plateout and re-evaporation
Part I: Model Input Requirements		

I1	Radio(chemical) and Weapon Release Parameters	Release rate: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Continuous <input type="checkbox"/> Time dependent <input type="checkbox"/> Instantaneous Release container characteristics: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> vapor temperature <input type="checkbox"/> tank diameter <input type="checkbox"/> tank height <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tank temperature <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> tank pressure <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> nozzle diameter <input type="checkbox"/> pipe length Jet release: <input type="checkbox"/> initial size <input type="checkbox"/> shape <input type="checkbox"/> concentration profile at end of jet affected zone Release dimensions: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> point <input type="checkbox"/> line <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> area Release elevation: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ground <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> roof <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> stack
I2	Meteorological Parameters	Wind speed and wind direction: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> single point <input type="checkbox"/> single tower/multiple point <input type="checkbox"/> multiple towers Temperature: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> single point <input type="checkbox"/> single tower/multiple point <input type="checkbox"/> multiple towers See above. Dew point temperature: <input type="checkbox"/> single point <input type="checkbox"/> single tower/multiple point <input type="checkbox"/> multiple towers Precipitation: <input type="checkbox"/> single point <input type="checkbox"/> single tower/multiple point <input type="checkbox"/> multiple towers See above. Turbulence typing parameters: <input type="checkbox"/> temperature difference <input type="checkbox"/> sigma theta <input type="checkbox"/> sigma phi <input type="checkbox"/> Monin-Obukhov length <input type="checkbox"/> roughness length <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> cloud cover <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> incoming solar radiation <input type="checkbox"/> user-specified Four dimensional meteorological fields from prognostic model:
Part J: Model Output Capabilities		
J1	Hazard Zone	Area above LEL is plotted on screen.
J2	Graphic Contours and Resolution	Plots for different limits.
J3	Concentration Versus Time Plots	Concentration isopleths only.
J4	Tabular at Fixed Downwind Locations	Yes
J5	Health Effects	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> toxicity indices [e.g., ERPG's, PAG's] <input type="checkbox"/> potential fatalities <input type="checkbox"/> cancers <input type="checkbox"/> other adverse effects
Part K: Model Usage Considerations (No Information Provided.)		