mean, there is a lot of organizations at the 1 2 state levels that would be wonderful partners for FDA. 3 my question before, 4 But is it because of lack of funding or because of the 5 logistic processing you are having difficulty? 6 MS. RICE: Well, for the Center for 7 Devices, I can tell you it is there are both. 8 All of what you said is a struggle. 9 The 10 money that we get to do these kinds of things and to get outreach, you know, again, things 11 get prioritized and a lot of times, whether 12 13 you end up with that money in a particular fiscal year or you don't. And decisions based 14 15 on other things going on in our center. And 16 then process itself is a long and drawn out And we tend to want the information 17 process. today, the answers to the questions we keep 18 19 putting out here. And for us to do it, could 20 take years to get those answers. So, what happens is other things take over. 21

22

DR. SELIGMAN: I think -- well hold

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 on a second. The other thing I think is a 2 challenge for us is that we, FDA is embedded larger healthcare system. 3 in a There are 4 other federal agencies, like the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. The Centers 5 for Disease Control that really have a broader 6 7 mandate when it comes to looking at the way healthcare is practiced, the way information 8 is delivered, the effectiveness of various 9 10 public health approaches, when it comes to behavior, changing influencing 11 the way information is taken and translated 12 into 13 appropriate practice. So, I think that part of it may be just, I think from our sense, 14 that historically FDA's role and mission has 15 been somewhat narrower. And we have, in many 16 ways, seeded the, not necessarily seeded but I 17 think recognize that there are other agencies, 18 19 associations, both in the public as well as private sector, as well as in academia who 20 have both the responsibility, expertise, and 21 resources to do this kind of work, where we 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 basically haven't.

2	DR. DAVIDSON: We actually do a lot
3	of research that we haven't been able, you
4	know, in the limits of these short
5	presentations been able to share with you.
6	And many of you at the table actually are very
7	familiar with a lot of the research that is
8	done at our center. I will say that the time
9	constraints are enormously frustrating. The
10	process that you have to go through to get
11	your research done to give you information
12	when the next issue arises is tedious, very
13	tedious.
14	CHAIR FISCHHOFF: Mona, and then
15	David and then
16	DR. KHANNA: Then John. Right?
17	All right. I have a comment and
18	actually two comments. Two different subjects.
19	I wanted to respond to Marielos,
20	what you said about screening for breast
21	cancer and I don't think that that is an FDA
22	issue. It is more of an issue of medical
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 associations and competing medical specialties 2 offering different recommendations. And breast cancer has turned out not to be that 3 simple either because you probably have all 4 heard recently of the guideline that came out 5 that women should not do self breast exams. 6 7 And there is the clinical breast exams, there is a mammogram, there is an ultrasound. So 8 all of this is, as you know, is dependent on 9 10 family history and personal history. Just as confusing is the screening 11 for colorectal cancer with your fecal occult 12 13 blood testing, colonoscopy, sigmoidoscopy, digital rectal exam, and we could go on and 14 15 on. So unfortunately, that is a medical 16 issue more than an FDA issue. 17 The comment that I was originally 18 19 going to make refers to a little bit of what Dr. Goldstein was saying where we have to 20 understand the needs of the population and 21 then taking off on Dr. Peter's presentation 22 **NEAL R. GROSS** 

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

> > WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 where less is more. I have found that making 2 the transition from practicing medicine to practicing medical journalism 3 most was 4 difficult in one sense that is directly related to this and that 5 was you have to 6 select the information that you present, not 7 compress it. In medicine and in most of what we do, we try to do our due diligence with 8 get all the different viewpoints, 9 research, 10 qet all of the different professionals, expertise, etcetera, then make 11 and our decision based on all of that. 12 Perhaps what we need to do is select different pieces of 13 information that we deem are most important 14 15 instead of cramming everything into the 16 message. And I think Dr. Peters, that is what you were trying to say. That is the technique 17 that is also effective for journalism, is 18 19 selection not compression.

DR. SMITH: You know, the common theme throughout all of your issues was riskbenefit. And I think sort of following up on

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

206

what Mona just said, you know, it really hit home on the communication issue that Ellen talked about and how we tend to use our perspective on what we communicate versus what the audience needs to hear.

And there have been so many cases 6 7 in the food industry where what happens is an alarmist view of communication of gee, we have 8 evidence that something is a concern and there 9 10 is a risk. And you know, a recent one is trans fat, which we have known about for years 11 it has 12 but been more recent that the 13 communications come out and the public tends to get very alarmist and we, as the food 14 15 industry react to that and so we take trans fat out. And a lot of people put other fats 16 saturated fat, and you know, 17 in, а qood example is well, gee, margarine is bad for me 18 19 because it has trans fat so I will eat butter. And you know, we don't have that balanced 20 communication of the good fat, bad fat, and 21 moderation is really one message that I think 22

## NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

food industry, all 1 we, as а across and 2 including the FDA as part of the food industry, has really missed that moderation 3 4 issue that it is not just taking today's science that says trans is bad and that is the 5 But the news really has to be what the 6 news. 7 consumer really needs to hear versus what we, as scientists know should go out there. So, I 8 think it really hit 9 home and probably 10 affecting all of your communications here in that whole moderation issue. 11 CHAIR FISCHHOFF: Musa and then 12 13 Mike, and then Dr. Seligman. Sorry. One can't see 14 MS. MAYER: That is why we are struggling with it. 15 it. Peters listed first, 16 So, Dr. among the potential barriers 17 actually, to effective communication insufficient, 18 19 uncertain, and changing information. What I have been thinking about and dealing with most 20 over the last years is the safety of various 21 drugs and, specifically, drugs used for the 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

(202) 234-4433

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 treatment of cancer.

2	And one of the things that has
3	hampered FDA most, I think, has simply been
4	the inadequacies of the passive adverse event
5	reporting system they have had, which are
6	about to be remedied, we hope, anyway, in some
7	important ways. And so during the break, I
8	asked Dr. Seligman if he would talk a little
9	bit about the Sentinel Program and share that
10	because it is an issue that gives me a lot of
11	hope that we may be, that we may actually have
12	better information to communicate and that
13	that may really help the whole process a great
14	deal.
15	DR. SELIGMAN: Yes, I would
16	actually be happy to talk about it but since
17	we are nigh on lunch, is this something you
18	would like me to do now or later? I can spend
19	five or ten minutes talking about the Sentinel
20	Initiative and what it consists of and what
21	our hopes are for it.
22	But the two second synopsis is
	NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 basically to work with healthcare 2 organizations that have databases that we could use in a sort of distributed fashion to 3 4 really get at data that we currently don't which 5 have access to, is how frequently products are being used, for what indications, 6 7 how often adverse events are being observed, abnormal laboratory values, etcetera. And 8 really get at the kinds of population-based 9 10 information in a rapid fashion that would really improve the evidentiary basis for our 11 So that is it in, sort of, recommendation. 12 13 two sentences.

The Sentinel Initiative 14 has а webpage on a website that describes it in 15 great detail. But I couldn't agree more and I 16 think that was a point that Ellen made in her 17 presentation, which is, you have got to have, 18 I think it was the first point you made, 19 actually, which was you have got to have good 20 information and good evidence. And we have 21 always been hampered, particularly in a post-22

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433

market environment, with information that was very difficult to interpret and weigh against information that was collected in the course of clinical trials.

CHAIR FISCHHOFF: Let me say since 5 we will be, we would like to start the public 6 7 hearing punctually at 1:00. So let me sort of call the conversation now. Let me encourage 8 people in the audience who would like to speak 9 10 to come and to see Lee during the break. And then perhaps we will pick this up right after 11 both about the data opportunity and 12 lunch, 13 then, in sense, the communication some obligation that will with the data 14 qo 15 opportunity provided by the Sentinel.

Somebody found a pair of glasses in the men's room, bifocals, very attractive. And there is a place for lunch across the street.

(Whereupon, at 12:03 p.m. a lunch recess was

taken.)

A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N S-E-S-S-I-O-N

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

20

21

22

1 (1:02 p.m.) CHAIR FISCHHOFF: 2 Okay. Let me welcome everybody back. And we are now at the 3 public hearing part of our meeting. 4 There is some language that needs to be read into the 5 record. So, both the Food and Drug 6 7 Administration, FDA, and the public believe in for the transparent process information 8 gathering and decision-making. To ensure such 9 10 transparency at the open public hearing session of the advisory committee, meeting, 11 believes it 12 FDA that is important to 13 understand the context of an individual's presentation. For this reason, FDA encourages 14 15 you, the open public hearing speaker, at the 16 beginning of your written or oral statement, to advise the committee of 17 any financial relationship that you may have with 18 any 19 company or group that may be affected by the topic of this meeting. For example, financial 20 information may include a company's or group's 21

payment of your travel, lodging or other

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

22

www.nealrgross.com

expenses in connection with your attendance at
the meeting.

Likewise, FDA encourages you, at the beginning of your statement, to advise the Committee if you do not have any financial relationships. If you choose not to address this issue of financial relationships at the beginning of your statement, it will not preclude you from speaking.

We are fortunate now to have three members of the public speaking to us. I would like each person to come to the microphone, to state his or her name, and to speak directly into the microphone over there. And we would like to keep your comments to no more than ten minutes, at a maximum. Okay, thank you.

The first person is Dr. William Maisel, Director of the Medical Device Safety Institute also the Director of the Pacemaker and ICD service at Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center in Massachusetts. Please.

DR. MAISEL: Good afternoon. Thank

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

22

1	you very much for having me here today. My
2	name is Dr. William Maisel. I direct the
3	Medical Device Safety Institute at Beth Israel
4	Deaconess Medical Center and I am privileged
5	to be here today on behalf of the Heart Rhythm
6	Society. My travel and lodging has been paid
7	by the Heart Rhythm Society to be at this
8	meeting, although I am not being paid for my
9	time today. Could I have the next slide,
10	please?
11	What I hope to do in the brief time
12	allotted to me is to give you a little bit of
12 13	allotted to me is to give you a little bit of background of what the Heart Rhythm Society
13	background of what the Heart Rhythm Society
13 14	background of what the Heart Rhythm Society is, what type of patients we take care of, and
13 14 15	background of what the Heart Rhythm Society is, what type of patients we take care of, and why we think we are relevant to the discussion
13 14 15 16	background of what the Heart Rhythm Society is, what type of patients we take care of, and why we think we are relevant to the discussion that is going on today. I would like to
13 14 15 16 17	background of what the Heart Rhythm Society is, what type of patients we take care of, and why we think we are relevant to the discussion that is going on today. I would like to describe the Heart Rhythm Society experience
13 14 15 16 17 18	background of what the Heart Rhythm Society is, what type of patients we take care of, and why we think we are relevant to the discussion that is going on today. I would like to describe the Heart Rhythm Society experience with product notifications that have affected
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	background of what the Heart Rhythm Society is, what type of patients we take care of, and why we think we are relevant to the discussion that is going on today. I would like to describe the Heart Rhythm Society experience with product notifications that have affected some of the devices we use every day in our

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

different and I would like to explain why and why the communication to patients with medical devices may not be the same as communication for other devices.

We will talk about the terminology for medical device issues and, obviously, communication. And then I, of course, want to address what the panel is here to address today, which are emerging issues. Next slide, please.

The Hearth Rhythm Society is the 11 international leader in science, education, in 12 13 advocacy for cardiac arrhythmia professionals patients the primary information 14 and and resource for these people on heart rhythm 15 disorders. We represent approximately 5,000 16 hearth rhythm specialists and cardiac pacing 17 in electrophysiology, which is the management 18 19 of heart rhythm disorders. And arrhythmias leading cause of heart disease 20 are the death with sudden cardiac related 21 arrest, claiming hundreds of thousands of American 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

lives each year. 1

2	We also have millions of additional
3	patients that have implanted cardiac rhythm
4	management devices like pacemakers and
5	implanted defibrillators. There are literally
6	millions of patients in this country alone
7	that have heart rhythm disorders and there are
8	likely several people in this room who have
9	heart rhythm disorders. Next slide.
10	So these are the type of tools that
11	we use in our daily practice. There are
12	implanted pacemakers and defibrillators which
13	are "permanent implants." They treat very
14	slow or dangerously fast heart rhythms. They
15	are really amazing devices that have amazing
16	technology and have been proven to save lives.
17	We do cardiac ablation procedures,
18	where we pass catheters up into the heart to
19	treat or cauterize the heart muscle to prevent
20	or get rid of abnormal heart rhythms.
21	AEDs are automatic defibrillators
22	which have saved enumerable lives in airports
	NEAL R. GROSS     COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS     1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.     (202) 234-4433   WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701   www.nealrgross.com

and hopefully there is one somewhere in this
buildings.

And we also, obviously, use medication. So we are not just about devices. We have many patients who take medications both for other heart-related issues and their co-morbidities. Next slide, please.

I think it is probably self-So, 8 devices evident that different than 9 are 10 medications or food or what have you but there certain characteristics that 11 are are particularly important to consider. 12 Number 13 one is that they may be a permanent implant. A device might be implanted in a patient and 14 15 that patient is going to have that device 16 forever. And sometimes removing the device is Sometimes it 17 dangerous. has potential complications, including the potential to die 18 from attempted removal of a device. 19 And so the words we use to describe product issues 20 with medical devices needs to be carefully 21 considered. 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

> > WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 Ι mentioned that they have 2 sophisticated technology which benefits many of the patients. And because these 3 are 4 permanent implants, they inevitably will experience what we consider "normal wear and 5 6 tear." They wear out over time. For example, 7 a pacemaker that is connected to the heart via lead or a wire will undergo five hundred 8 million heartbeats over about a 13 year period 9 10 back and forth, back and forth. So these will, inevitably, have performance devices 11 issues and that is part of their normal life 12 13 experience. And so we need to be very careful when we start talking about performance issues 14 for medical devices. Next slide. 15 16 So, I chose the word recall to highlight one of the examples here but it is 17

17 highlight one of the examples here but it is 18 really pretty amazing that we use a single 19 word to describe the "recall" of products from 20 the market for the FDA ranging from pet food 21 to tomatoes to heparin, all of which can 22 easily be taken off a shelf without any harm

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 to anyone. And we use the exact same word to 2 remove that device in the bottom right, which implantable defibrillator that is 3 is an connected to the heart via a wire that has 4 5 approximately a one percent mortality to remove the device. And so we need to be very 6 7 careful with the terminology that we use. Next slide, please. 8

The other problem is that the words 9 10 we use mean different things to different people. And so to the FDA, the word "recall" 11 and this is from their regulations, is "the 12 firm's removal or correction." So it doesn't 13 require that the device be removed. There may 14 15 be a way to mitigate the problem without removing the device. And to the FDA, that is 16 what they mean. And if you read any of the 17 FDA recalls that have affected heart rhythm 18 19 devices, they are always very careful to say it does not necessarily mean your device needs 20 to be removed. 21

22

(202) 234-4433

But patients don't hear that. What

www.nealrgross.com

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

they hear is my device is recalled. 1 Next 2 And what they tell their family and slide. their doctor and what they understand is that 3 4 I need my device removed. And so just using recall affects the clinical 5 that word interaction between a patient and a physician. 6 7 And I have sat in the office with literally hundreds of patients who have had devices 8 And they all come into the office 9 recalled. 10 thinking they need surgery to remove their device. They don't get it. 11 And it is a communication issue. 12

And if you just go on the internet, I chose dictionary.com, it is no wonder they don't understand what recall means because recall does mean return of goods or a product. It doesn't mean to the general population removal or correction. And so we need to be careful about the terminology. Next slide.

20 So, we are talking about emerging 21 and uncertain risks today. And it is a 22 challenging issue because there often is not a

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433

1 line in the sand. It is often very difficult to decide when we are business as usual, when 2 are seeing a normal amount of product 3 we 4 performance issues, and when there is an emerging or uncertain risk. And we could draw 5 6 another line for recall or product advisory 7 above emerging risk. And those lines are And that is probably the blurry. 8 most challenging issue here. Next slide. 9 10 And so, as has already been well

11 outlined by some of the speakers this morning, 12 it is a balance. You need to decide when it 13 is worth notifying and when you shouldn't 14 notify. And these are some of the factors 15 that we think are important to consider.

16 Certainly, on the side of notification is informed 17 consent. Many patients want to know about what is going on 18 19 with their devices and the performance, although we would argue and we have advocated 20 as a society, that physicians should be doing 21 this before the device goes into the patient. 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 We routinely recommend that our implanting 2 physicians tell patients this is a complicated device. The device is designed to work at a 3 certain success rate and there may be product 4 performance issues that develop over time. 5 Ιt is still beneficial for you to have the 6 7 device. And so that can mitigate the need to notify over some of these low risk emerging 8 issues, if patients are already understanding 9 10 that that could occur. Obviously, if you notify and that 11 facilitate additional reports or will data 12 13 collection, or accelerate getting an answer about a problem, that would be worthwhile and 14 improve patient it 15 it may care. But definitely increases patient anxiety when you 16 It may not turn out to be a true 17 notify. performance issue, so that is 18 unnecessary 19 patient anxiety. It can have an adverse impact on industry. And by that, I don't just 20 mean their bottom line financially but in an 21 industry where 22 there may not be many

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

> > WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

suppliers, it can make it difficult to get a product implanted into a patient who really needs a product. It can mitigate how quickly they are willing to bring new products to the market. And so, we need to be very careful and not unnecessarily notify.

And then it may adversely affect 7 patient care when notification results in 8 coming into physician offices 9 patients 10 demanding to have their device come out, even when you try to reason with them. And it 11 happens because patients get anxious. 12 Next 13 slide.

The Hearth Rhythm Society has dealt 14 15 with this issue over several years. And in 2005 and 2006, we had an ongoing discussions 16 with the FDA, with industry, with physicians 17 and patients to address many of the issues 18 19 that the panel is discussing today. And the culmination of that was this report in October 20 2006 in the medical journal Heart Rhythm. 21 It recommendations from the Heart Rhythm 22 was

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

> > 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

6

Society Task Force on Device Performance Policies and Guidelines. And obviously, I don't have time to go through the entire document, which is on the Heart Rhythm Society website. But there were a couple of important messages that are relevant today.

7 One is, it was recommended that the eliminated term recall be in public 8 communications concerning implanted 9 devices 10 because of the reasons I have already spoken talked about. We about standardizing 11 physician and patient communication. 12 And in 13 fact, we have a template for the type of information, the type of data that physicians 14 15 and patients want from the FDA and from 16 manufacturers. How many devices have been How many have failed? 17 implanted? What type of failures were observed? What is the rate? 18 19 What is the anticipated rate? A lot of very basic simple, data-driven information that we 20 want when we are dealing with these issues. 21 And also recommended direct patient 22 we

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

6

1

notification for important issues.

have the advantage 2 Now, we of having implanted registered devices. And 3 although there are hundreds of thousands, if 4 not millions of devices, we do have contact 5 information. And in fact, this was instituted 6 7 last year for the first time in bulk, where 170,000 patients were directly notified by a 8 performance manufacturer about product 9 а 10 issue. You can give the message to the person who needs to get the message. You can give 11 them the information that they need and you 12 13 can deliver the message directly to them without necessarily alarming people. 14

One of the things that happens is 15 if you see in the news pacemaker recalled, we 16 have millions of pacemaker patients. 17 They may not get that it is a certain brand and a 18 19 certain model and doesn't affect all of them. And so you create this huge wave of anxiety 20 among a number of patients unnecessarily. 21 Next slide please. 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	This is a brief portion of the
2	clinical recommendation and perspective that
3	we included on our one-page form. So, the
4	form includes specific issues about data. But
5	it is very important not to stop with just
6	data or to say contact your physician.
7	Patients don't like just being told to contact
8	their physician. It can be weeks, sometimes
9	before they can get an appointment or get on
10	the telephone. And if we have thousands or
11	hundred of patients calling, it is very
12	difficult, even if we want to do the right
13	thing, to get back to them.
14	And so we think that it is very
15	important to give some recommendations, even
16	if the recommendation is that there are no
17	recommendations, but to explicitly say what
18	you want done. For us, it is things like
19	verify normal device function at the next
20	normal follow-up or as soon as possible,
21	etcetera. Next slide, please.
22	So, to conclude, timely accurate

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

www.nealrgross.com

communication is critical. We think 1 that 2 efforts to standardize and develop terminology by product type, and we think medical devices 3 4 certainly permanent implanted medical or devices are an important subgroup, to better 5 communicate the intended message should be 6 7 undertaken. And hopefully, you don't leave here with the idea that medical devices are 8 different and you work on everything else and 9 10 decide that medical devices are too tough to tackle. I think we would argue that they are 11 to tackle 12 one of the most important areas 13 first.

It should be data driven. 14 Survey 15 specific audience, such as patients whose 16 lives literally depend on their device, to determine which terms best convey the intended 17 message. And there is an important role here 18 19 for medical societies, and certainly the Heart Rhythm Society has and will continue to be 20 available, but whenever possible, include the 21 delivering professional society in 22 your

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 message, particularly if it is not an hour-byhour thing, but you have a 24 hour or 48 hour 2 window, when you are going to issue a press 3 4 release. We have had much more success, and we have done this with the FDA where the Heart 5 Rhythm Society either simultaneously issues a 6 7 statement or it has sometimes even been in concert with the FDA to provide a clinical 8 perspective. Here is the information and the 9 10 Heart Rhythm Society recommends A, B and C to give physicians and patients some reassurance 11 that some knowledgeable people are working on 12 13 the problem. I very much appreciate your 14 So, time and would be happy to answer questions 15 now or later. Thank you. 16 17 CHAIR FISCHHOFF: Thank you. We have time for one or two questions. 18 Mona? 19 DR. KHANNA: What term would you prefer instead of the term recall? 20 DR. MAISEL: We have recommended 21 terms such as safety alert, which doesn't have 22 **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

the implication of product recall, of removing 1 2 a device. So that would probably be our preferable term. 3

I have always got to 4 DR. PETERS: check to see if it is on. You talked about 5 direct patient notification, after first 6 7 notifying physicians. Did you evaluate how well that message worked, evaluate 8 comprehension of it, reactions to it? 9

DR. MAISEL: That is an excellent -- we spent a lot of time thinking about how to deal with this issue. And it is а verv 13 complicated issue that I am sure you will wrestle with as well. 14

Here are some of the factors that 15 go into that. Number one, major companies 16 have an obligation, financial obligation to 17 not withhold information. So we have asked, 18 19 requested the opportunity as a physician to have a little window to go to our patients and 20 contact them quickly about the information, 21 without them getting it on the front page of 22

> **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

10

11

12

some newspaper. That can't happen because the companies are obligated to publicly report that information that could affect their financial is bottom line as soon as it available. They withhold can't that information.

So, we have advocated that, when possible, physicians receive a letter and have a window of about seven days to contact their patients to call them into the office to tell them what is going on before the patient gets the letter. And that is what we did this last time around.

talking to the company that 14 In 15 orchestrated that and in my own experience, it was highly successful, at least with regard to 16 patient anxiety. 17 reducing These are complicated issues. The patients don't walk 18 19 away with perfect understanding of the issue but they really appreciate being thought of. 20 They very much appreciate being in the loop, 21 rather than talked at. They feel like they 22

## NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

6

1	are invested and someone is thinking about
2	them. And so I don't mean to imply that that
3	is the perfect and only method of
4	communication because the message will be
5	lost, but it was definitely beneficial.
6	CHAIR FISCHHOFF: Do you have a
7	follow-up?
8	DR. PETERS: It is not quite what I
9	asked. I was wondering whether you actually
10	evaluated how well consumers comprehended the
11	message that you sent, how much they trusted
12	the source of the information, versus perhaps
13	some other source, the extent to which you
14	actually tested the message and its affects.
15	DR. MAISEL: We have not formally
16	conducted testing on the message delivery.
17	CHAIR FISCHHOFF: Marielos?
18	MS. VEGA: As a physician, has your
19	experience been different with different
20	populations, like the elderly, Hispanics,
21	etcetera?
22	DR. MAISEL: It is definitely
	COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.
	(202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

1 different. It varies by those things. 2 Certainly probably age is the biggest predictor of response. Elderly patients often 3 4 have trouble getting the details of the message and will often rely on their physician 5 for management of their problem or they will 6 7 have family members come in. Young patients are researching on the internet and come in 8 printouts with articles 9 of news and 10 information they have downloaded from the FDA and industry. And there is 11 so а very different process that goes on, based on the 12 13 age of the patient. Yes, thank you for 14 DR. GOLDSTEIN: your presentation. just wondering, 15 I was because you mentioned there were some examples

your presentation. I was just wondering, because you mentioned there were some examples where there was a good partnership, where the message was crafted together. And I wonder if you could, if not tell us about those specific examples subsequently, share those examples of a better process so that that might serve as a template for the future. That is the first

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 question. And then I have another question if 2 there is time to allow him to respond. DR. MAISEL: So I would rather not 3 4 get into device-specific or company-specific responses at this meeting right now. 5 I would be happy to talk to you offline about that. 6 But I would more describe it as we had a 7 meeting of the minds with FDA and industry 8 that was published in 2006. And since then, 9 10 there has been a nice progress in how that process has worked. But I will give you 11 specific examples offline. 12 13

DR. GOLDSTEIN: And the second part of the question was about the partnership it 14 15 sounds like your organization has with the 16 patients that are receiving these medical So, if you could say more about how 17 devices. that works and what you have done as a society 18 19 to make sure you are getting as much patient involvement in this process as possible. 20

21 DR. MAISEL: One of the benefits we 22 have of implanting permanent devices of the

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 FDA's thoughtful decision to require 2 registration of these devices is that when a device is implanted, before the patient leaves 3 4 the operating room, the device is registered with the manufacturer, as required by the FDA. 5 There are other permanent implants to which 6 7 this applies. And so that supplies patient information, addresses, those sorts of things. 8 The patient is certainly notified that this 9 10 is happening. The patient can certainly have the opportunity to opt out of that process. 11 I have never had a patient opt out. 12 And that 13 allows this contact. Now patients move, physicians move, so it is not perfect but it 14 certainly allows the opportunity. 15 We also have our devices and many other implanted 16 devices are developing automated technology to 17 communicate without the patient needing to do 18 19 anything. So, bedside monitors that allow transmission and updates over 20 wireless the telephone, those sorts of things that allow 21 the ability to keep track of patients and 22

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

NEAL R. GROSS

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	devices. And as I said, there are permanent
2	implants that have that ability as well, not
3	just our devices.
4	DR. GOLDSTEIN: Okay, actually
5	CHAIR FISCHHOFF: No, no. I want
6	to make certain there is time for other
7	speakers.
8	DR. GOLDSTEIN: Okay.
9	CHAIR FISCHHOFF: We were sort of
10	getting oh, please. Dr. Maisel, wait, one
11	more question. And I think we were getting
12	off the communication topic.
13	DR. PALING: I would like to say
14	that everything, and I want to say everything
15	in your presentation I heartily endorse. This
16	is the sort, in my mind, of self-evident issue
17	that we sometimes can be too academic to
18	understand the implications of. I say this
19	with no discourtesy intended whatsoever to my
20	dear colleagues at the FDA. Every single one
21	I have met, I greatly admire.
22	But hearing Ellen's excellent
	NEAL R. GROSS
	(202) 234-4433 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 www.nealrgross.com

1 point, have you done -- I would ask this 2 question more importantly, isn't it selfevident that if the FDA uses words in its 3 4 communications with general public that do general public 5 not mean what the overall then means, that is tantamount to 6 а 7 discourtesy, unless there is some legal reason why that should not be the case. 8

So, I would just want to put on 9 10 record my hearty endorsement of the simple basic communication reality, the to the 11 general public should use words in the manner 12 13 that the general public expects those words to And to that degree, I would, of 14 be used. 15 course, encourage you to keep doing what you 16 are doing.

DR. MAISEL: Thank you.

18CHAIR FISCHHOFF: Thank you very19much. Our next speaker is Jennifer Wilmes20from the National Fisheries Institute.

21 MS. WILMES: Hello to the 22 Committee. Thank you very much for the

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

> > WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

17

opportunity to speak with you again. You may remember me. I am a registered dietician with the National Fisheries Institute and I spoke in February.

to discuss 5 Today Ι here am an additional challenge, in addition to the 6 7 challenges that have been brought forth by the different Centers within FDA. I wanted to add 8 the challenge, which is also an opportunity of 9 10 consistency across agencies. The success of communication is FDA contingent 11 upon the amplification either the or muddling of 12 13 messages from other agencies. In the case of seafood, the intertwinement of communication 14 is particularly unavoidable, as the advisory 15 is co-authored by FDA and EPA. 16

As a case study of mixed messages, 17 I want to bring your attention to a website 18 19 released August 1 of this year, just earlier this month, by the environmental protection 20 agency, called Fish Kids. According to EPA's 21 Assistant for Water, Benjamin 22 Manager

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

Grumbles, the new website is a fun way for kids and parents to learn about the importance of fish in a healthy diet and how to choose the healthiest fish to eat.

While this seems, at face value, 5 6 consistent with FDA's communication about 7 fish, the content within Fish Kids strays dramatically from a science-based imbalanced 8 benefit-risk approach promoted 9 by FDA. 10 Nowhere on the site can kids find any information on why they should eat fish or 11 the tangible benefits of 12 what eating fish 13 would be. The site focuses on warnings throughout, accentuating the negative without 14 ever highlighting the benefits. 15

16 The site's audience of eight to twelve-year-old children is exposed to phrases 17 such as "mercury can damage growing brains in 18 19 kids so they don't develop normally" and "we have to be careful when we eat these fish 20 some of them contain the chemical 21 because mercury that damages growing brains in 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

children and others have chemicals like PCBs
that cause cancer."

body of research There is no 3 in children 4 showing brain damage from consumption of commercial fish. And likewise, 5 6 this claim is not scientifically sited on the 7 Fish Kids website. The use of these unsupported claims can frighten young children 8 about mercury in fish and unnecessarily warn 9 10 them away from a low total fat high protein food rich in omega-3 fatty acids at a time 11 when the harmful effects of childhood obesity 12 13 are all too clear.

importantly for this panel, 14 Most initiatives 15 communication like Fish Kids 16 deteriorate the ability for any well done, well tested communications from FDA 17 to do their job. I challenge the committee to 18 19 consider not only the persuasive potential of FDA communications but that of sister agencies 20 messaging in the same space and to examine the 21 possible interagency 22 need for greater

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 coordination.

2 And I am happy to take questions. CHAIR FISCHHOFF: Okay. Thank you 3 4 very much. So we have time for a couple of questions. 5 I will ask a question. 6 7 MS. WILMES: Okay. CHAIR FISCHHOFF: Do you have 8 evidence that kids negative 9 have these 10 reactions? MS. WILMES: We are not aware of 11 Fish Kids being tested. We are not aware of 12 13 any testing that happened with Fish Kids. We are currently in the process, it is a brand 14 new website, of reaching out to EPA. 15 We think 16 it is imperative that the website was tested and should not be exposed to children, if it 17 And I think there is also a hasn't been. 18 19 question of is this even an appropriate eight to twelve-year-olds, 20 audience, to be messaging a somewhat sophisticated benefit-21 risk concept to. So, I think that that needs 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

testing at that level in the first place and 1 2 then the actual website, itself. CHAIR FISCHHOFF: Okay, thank you. 3 MS. WILMES: You're welcome. 4 CHAIR FISCHHOFF: Oh, wait, 5 one more. keep calling people back to the 6 Ι 7 microphone. Thank you. Thank you for your DR. PETERS: 8 presentation and I just wanted to comment that 9 there is some scientific evidence about the 10 ability of children to understand benefits and 11 Now, it is at a pretty early stage but 12 risks. it would be valuable to think about that. 13 And then, of course, the presentations of benefits 14 and risks has to be done well. 15 16 MS. WILMES: Exactly. DR. PETERS: But there shouldn't be 17 a worry that children of say eight to twelve-18 19 years-old would not be able to understand well-designed communication. 20 Right. MS. WILMES: And I think 21 the emphasis is on well-designed. It is the 22 **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

actual word choice that, you know, had it not 1 2 been tested, could be concerning. CHAIR FISCHHOFF: Wait. 3 Not quite, almost. DR. PETERS: Ι 4 would also just add to that there is some data 5 to understand around adolescents' ability 6 7 this. And I would also add that I agree 8 with completely about the 9 you need to 10 empirically evaluate these messages. That really is critical because you want to know, 11 just what people read but what 12 not thev 13 understand and how they using are that information. But it is also the case that, I 14 15 think, eight to twelve-year-olds are, in some ways, ideal audiences. In some ways, they are 16 the people who, as they become educated, they 17 bring that education and knowledge, if it is 18 19 done well, into older age groups and they can educate their own children. So, in some ways 20 it is almost the perfect audience, I think. 21 MS. WILMES: Yes, my issue isn't so 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

> > WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

much with audience. I mean, I think that the 1 2 audience needs to be explored. The take away from my comments are consistencies in the 3 words that we are using and the ways that we 4 communicate about these things 5 across 6 agencies. And that would need to be adapted 7 if, you know, if FDA is communicating to pregnant women and that is their goal while 8 EPA's goal is young children. But we don't 9 10 want kids to be coming how saying different things than their parents are hearing. 11 I think that the need for 12 So, 13 consistency is really an opportunity to increase the persuasive potential of 14 the 15 communications. 16 CHAIR FISCHHOFF: Thank you. 17 MS. WILMES: Okay. CHAIR FISCHHOFF: And our third 18 19 speaker is Ronald Barrett? I'm sorry. From NIH. 20 DR. BARNETT: is 21 My name Ron I am a science policy analyst at the 22 Barnett. **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1	National Institutes of Health. I want to
2	thank the committee and the FDA for opening my
3	mind this morning to the complexity of risk
4	communications. I did quite a bit of reading
5	when I was in grad school about risk
6	communications, even cited Dr. Fischhoff on my
7	dissertation. So, but obviously things are
8	much more complex than they were 20 years ago.
9	But I am really here not as a
10	policy analyst so much as a cognitive
11	psychologist. And my question has to do with
12	the role or potential role of visual
12	
13	communications in the risk communication
	communications in the risk communication process. We know from multi-media learning
13	
13 14	process. We know from multi-media learning
13 14 15	process. We know from multi-media learning that, in many cases when verbal knowledge and
13 14 15 16	process. We know from multi-media learning that, in many cases when verbal knowledge and verbal communications, written communications
13 14 15 16 17	process. We know from multi-media learning that, in many cases when verbal knowledge and verbal communications, written communications are complimented by pictures that are related
13 14 15 16 17 18	process. We know from multi-media learning that, in many cases when verbal knowledge and verbal communications, written communications are complimented by pictures that are related to the semantic base of the textual
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	process. We know from multi-media learning that, in many cases when verbal knowledge and verbal communications, written communications are complimented by pictures that are related to the semantic base of the textual information, that people learn information

**NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 culture that is somewhat biased against using pictures to communicate as if somehow it is 2 more primitive. It is not as sophisticated as 3 verbal language. All we need to do is look at 4 the typical PowerPoint presentation, with the 5 possible exception of a couple this morning, 6 7 one being there were some good examples from the one we just saw from the Heart Rhythm 8 Society using pictures 9 of to compliment 10 textual information. is, So question does the 11 my committee have any knowledge base in this area 12

13 that could inform the FDA on the role of using 14 pictures along with text to communicate risk 15 communications? And if not, would you might 16 speculate on its potential value? Thank you.

17CHAIR FISCHHOFF:I guessed we18would have a response or two.Linda.

DR. NEUHAUSER: I am glad you brought that up. And it makes me nervous about my upcoming presentation with just not enough pictures of it. But there is a fair

> Court Reporters and Transcribers 1323 Rhode Island Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005-3701

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

(202) 234-4433

1 amount of literature. Let's say there is an 2 increasing amount of literature, you know, a growing body of literature, not substantial, 3 that looks at issues of graphic literacy and 4 cognition and so forth. And it is completely 5 in line with what you are saying. So the mix 6 7 of text or pictures or in particular photos, realistic photos, there is a lot to be said 8 for that. 9 10 My own experience of working with diverse audiences to co-develop communication, 11 almost the first thing that they ask for is a 12 13 lot of pictures, a lot of photos, in particular, to be linked with the text and 14 15 illustrate that with small stories that go

with them. So, the combination of text and narrative or stories and pictures seems to be quite a powerful combination.

Obviously in the private sector, advertising agencies and the like, they have a great deal of expertise in mixing graphics and texts. They have to. So, thank you for

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433

1 bringing that up.

2	DR. PALING: I too, thank you and
3	for a different reason. I have a difficulty
4	speaking about it, as you can tell from my
5	voice. My background, among other things, is
6	that I was a television producer. So, I tend
7	to be quite strong in my feelings about the
8	efficacy of visual communications. And I,
9	along with several other people, have given a
10	substantial amount of time to improving
11	healthcare communication by using visual aids.
12	I have been asked at this meeting,
13	not to bring my own materials into the
14	discussions. And so I would say to the simple
15	answer to your question, is there, at least I
16	feel that I am very well informed upon this
17	field, and I hope FDA will strive to find from
18	the many available resources, good visual
19	communications to try and overcome some of the
20	ongoing difficulties in effective
21	communication.
22	I couldn't be any more bland than
	NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

that.

1

2 (Laughter.) DR. SLEATH: I just wanted to call 3 attention to the pictogram literature 4 your have not looked 5 that used, I at the was literature in a long time, but used to help 6 7 convey messages about prescription medications to patients. And part of the problem that was 8 into is that people interpret 9 run them 10 differently and so they have to be very carefully tested. Especially culturally, 11 things can be interpreted differently. 12 And 13 so, just, and I believe the United States Pharmacopeia right the 14 across street was 15 involved quite a bit with some of its testing. 16 So that is another body of literature that is available. 17

MS. MAYER: Well, John Paling can't speak about his work but I can speak about his work because I just, actually, recently used one of his tools in a training module for healthcare advocates that has to do with being

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

able to give patients, a physician being able 1 2 to give a patient a visual representation of what a certain number in a thousand actually 3 It is in the context in this 4 represents. training module of a discussion on numeracy 5 and the difficulty people have with processing 6 7 figures that have to do with risk or benefit, for that matter. 8

And it is just such a powerful tool 9 10 because two in a thousand means an entirely different thing when spoken and when presented 11 on a page of a thousand little figures. 12 It is 13 such a powerful tool, it is difficult to -- I don't have an academic background, so I don't 14 15 have the wherewithal to talk about why that 16 processing is different. But it is profoundly different and I thank you for bringing this 17 18 up.

19 DR. PETERS: In addition to some of excellent work that John does in sort of 20 the applied world, actually teaching people 21 the do this, there is also 22 how to some more

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

academic work out of Peter Ubel's group at the University of Michigan, Peter Ubel, Angie Fagerlin, there is a series of people who are doing work, looking at things like tables versus pictographs, for example.

1

2

3

4

5

One of the things they find, which 6 7 is sort of congruent with an issue I brought up earlier is that people, at least in this 8 one study that they have, believe that tables 9 10 are more effective at communicating But they actually do understand information. 11 gist of the information better if 12 the а 13 pictograph or some other more visual form of communication is used. 14

15 So there are lots of issues. Ι would actually agree with what Linda said. 16 There is science around this but it. 17 is actually fairly new and lots of work to be 18 19 done. But potentially some things that we can in terms of helping the FDA 20 use here, to figure out at least some of what is known and 21 how to apply it. So, thank you. 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 DR. BARNETT: And I would just add, 2 there is also evidence in health-risk behavior chains that tailoring material based on, first 3 4 of all, low literacy groups respond more to visual cues, obviously, than those that are 5 high literacy when low literacy can't even use 6 7 the written materials. And then even evidence that when you tailor based on ethnicity, it is 8 show photographs videos 9 helpful to or of 10 people from the same background, that that actually has an impact on the reception of the 11 12 message, as well as even on the subsequent 13 health behavior. So, can bring that we literature and I can help some of that. 14 I am 15 sure others in this group have access to that literature, too. 16

In a few minutes I get 17 DR. BRUHN: a chance to talk about some of my work. 18 And 19 again, as you have described here, pictures They lead people to read 20 are very important. something. In our focus group research we 21 ask, what would make you to read this or look 22

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 at it. And it is the pictures that lead them 2 to do that.

am going to be handing out a Ι 3 4 sample of one of the things that we have developed and there will be a picture of it on 5 the slides. Regretfully, when we went from 6 7 the brochure we developed for our audience to a web-based version of that brochure, the 8 photographs were reduced or the line drawings 9 10 were reduced because the Communication Services decided that there were too many 11 pictures and that if things were going to be 12 13 downloaded by the public, that the number of pictures would reduce the time of the 14 15 download. And our pictures were in color and they felt that might also reduce the time. 16 technological 17 So, sometimes there are restraints that prevent the use of pictures or 18 19 photographs as much as one would like.

But, so I don't have research that indicates comprehension is so much better if you have pictures or no pictures. But I have

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 heard repeatedly that the use of pictures 2 increased the likelihood that someone would at least read the material and catch a message. 3 CHAIR FISCHHOFF: Would you like --4 everybody just agreed with you. You didn't 5 get a chance -- would you just like to add 6 7 another comment? DR. BARNETT: No. I just thank you 8 very much. 9 One thing, if the committee is not 10 Hans Rosling and his work with of 11 aware pictorially depicting large numbers, Google 12 13 Hans Rosling. He is a public health official from Scandinavia. He does marvelous things 14 15 with representing numbers. 16 The other is a recent book called Made to Stick by Heath. And the question they 17 try to address is why are some sayings like, 18 19 "Where is the beef?", why are those kinds of sayings so memorable in a culture or 20 in a society? What are the characteristics of 21 those kinds of statements? It is called Made 22

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 to Stick. It has a big piece of tape on the 2 front of it. So, you can't miss it. Thank 3 you. 4 CHAIR FISCHHOFF: Thank you very 5 much. So let me make the -- so I think 6 7 what we should do now, here is my proposal, since we are a little bit early, let's have 8 the 2:00 session. I think the people who are 9 10 going to be here at 2:00, let's guess that they are here by 20 to 2:00. 11 Let's have our 2:00 session and 12 13 then let's ask our colleagues from FDA who were here earlier to join us again at 14 the other table and then for us to have a general 15 16 discussion. I think that probably would be best rather than to, probably the best way to 17 do it. Okay? 18 19 And so our first speaker will be Christine Bruhn. 20 DR. BRUHN: Thank you. I am really 21 pleased to have an opportunity to talk with 22 **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

you about some of the communication activities 1 2 that we have engaged in. And this title is persuasive communication. But I don't know if 3 I, as a person from the University can really 4 say persuasive is right. Our goal is to allow 5 people to act in a manner consistent with 6 7 their personal values. So, I may choose one thing, someone else may choose another and we 8 need to respect their wish to do that. 9 10 Our goal as part of the university and I think a goal of FDA might be to make 11 people aware of the science-based information 12 13 about a particular issue, its risks and its benefits, that make 14 SO а person can as 15 informed choice as is possible and then they 16 choose to do or not do, based upon their personal value system. 17 So, it might sound inappropriate 18

but I think the first step in communicating is to listen. One needs to listen to understand where the public is coming from, where that target audience is coming from, what

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 information they want to know, what concerns 2 they have, where their knowledge base is. As one of our earlier speakers, Dr. 3 4 Peters pointed out, sometimes we misrepresent whether other people know. We take the base 5 6 of knowledge, what our base of knowledge is, 7 or even the knowledge of our friends and start from there. And what we really need to do is 8 look at who our target audience is and begin 9 10 the knowledge with where they are and then take them to the next step. 11 determine their We need to 12 13 information sources. So that we know how to reach them and also others like them in this 14 15 target audience. And it might not be the source one thinks it might be. Then we need, 16 of course, to develop and then deliver the 17 message and to evaluate its effectiveness. 18 19 I will strive to look at each of

19 I will strive to look at each of 20 these aspects or will show you some examples 21 of each of these aspects and indicate then 22 what challenges I see in the future for FDA

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

and for communication in general.

My first two examples are fairly 2 straight forward. I am going to be looking 3 first of all, at safe handling of produce and 4 safe handling of foods 5 secondly, at in general. The first one on produce was 6 а 7 project I did with a colleague. Safe handling of foods in general for a high, at-risk 8 audience was professional colleagues at other 9 universities who I believe did one of the best 10 jobs of communication that I have seen. When 11 I give examples and I talk about the best of 12 13 the best, I talk about this particular group's 14 program. 15 And then last, I would like to 16 finish with a controversial more topic, something that has great potential but is 17 often misunderstood. 18 19 I provided for the panel there a copy of the brochure that we have prepared and 20 I have extra copies over here from the sides. 21

22

NEAL R. GROSS

There is plenty of brochure copies.

(202) 234-4433

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701 256

There is

just a few magnet copies. 1

2	So this first project is consumer
3	handling of fresh fruits and vegetables. This
4	was a project funded by FDA in the end of the
5	90s, I think. And it was generally about, it
6	was in response to a safe handling consumers -
7	- I don't know if it particularly said
8	consumer. I think it just said a call for
9	research proposals on food safety in general.
10	And it was a program that a colleague of mine
11	at the university who was a microbiologist and
12	I did jointly. My aspect was to identify
13	consumer handling practices. We wanted to
14	start where the public was. So we are going
15	to express some changes but we feel those
16	changes are more likely to be adopted if they
17	are just small changes from what people are
18	already doing.
19	And then my microbiology colleague
20	evaluated the effectiveness of washing
21	produce. People use the whole range of
22	methods. We chose the ones that were most

**NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

commonly used and seemed most intuitive to our public and she evaluated to see how well they worked.

And then together, we developed science-based recommendations on how people should be handling produce. And then we evaluated these guidelines by using focus groups again to go back and determine how this information was understood.

10 So again, focus groups were used at the beginning to assess current practice, 11 knowledge, attitudes. this 12 Then was all 13 quantified by a mail survey nationwide that included questions on handling, questions on 14 15 convenient sources of information, how they 16 wanted to have the information presented to them, if they had a reminder piece and so 17 forth. And then focus groups again at the end 18 19 to refine the publication. I have in the packet for the committee the publication on 20 refining the brochure. 21

22

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

This is the brochure that you have.

www.nealrgross.com

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 And notice the pictures. Line drawings in 2 this case but that was cheaper for us than photographs and maybe easier to come up with 3 and maybe more visible, perhaps, on a black 4 and white publication. Every variation in 5 print size, print style, boldness, italics, 6 7 pictures, including red in some spots, these all came from interactions with our target 8 9 audience, our consumers about what was 10 meaningful for them, what would make them look. 11

I don't have the front page for you 12 13 but we wanted to start out with pointing out how nutritious fruits and vegetables are. 14 We want to scare people away from a safe 15 didn't product. But we also wanted to give them, to 16 have the feel that there are bacteria in this 17 world. And some bacteria is harmful, and 18 19 are neutral, and you don't want the some harmful bacteria there. So the brochure's 20 focus is to help the people protect themselves 21 from harmful bacteria, while still enjoying 22

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

the benefits of fruits and vegetables.

Sometimes you find out things you 2 would have quessed health never 3 as а 4 professional. For example, we were qoinq through these focus groups really well. 5 Man, we had it all down. And then someone says, 6 7 should I even wash the produce from my own garden? Of course, was our response. 8 But their response was, well I didn't put 9 any 10 pesticides on it. And I suppose our response as we talked about us was, well, do you have 11 birds in your garden? Are their birds in the 12 13 back yard? Are there maybe snails? Do you have a pet? How about a dog, or a cat, or 14 15 even a duck? And all of these things can transfer microbes, including dust. 16

that is why we added in red 17 So there, wash all fruits and vegetables, 18 19 including organically grown, farmers market and homegrown produce. So, sometimes what is 20 obvious to you is not obvious to them. 21 They wanted specific details. Should I wash it 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

every time? Yes. Always wash fruits and vegetables. How long should I wash it or how should I wash it? And so forth. So, the details all came from interacting with the public.

They also wanted a reminder and 6 7 that is why I have the magnets. And the 8 magnets, by the way, were the most expensive Those are 50 cents each. 9 thing. We used round because we wanted to be consistent with 10 the Fight BAC! campaign. So we didn't use all 11 of our magnetic paper but that is what we did. 12

13 So, how do you distribute this? What do you take from this? We distribute it 14 15 online because have it available we now 16 through our cooperative extension as part of a free educational 17 resource. But, as Т mentioned, fewer pictures. Master Gardener's, 18 19 popular in California, very, very are available to anybody who wants to stop by the 20 Master Gardener's displays, which they have 21 programs and they also sometimes set up booths 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

1

at the farmers market and so forth.

People sometimes have education 2 materials at programs. We have included that 3 there, referenced it. In media communications 4 and that is an important piece. Many of us 5 get contacted by the media frequently. And so 6 we are able to fax the brochure to whoever the 7 person who is interviewing us for Red Book or 8 Ladies Home Journal or whatever, so they have 9 10 a copy, too and can either refer to the online or regurgitate the information in their own 11 style and byline as appropriate. 12 13 We offered it to the Fight BAC! campaign although when this was developed, 14

14 campaign although when this was developed, 15 Fight BAC! was having difficulty with funding and they did not express any interest. They 16 have subsequently developed their own piece, 18 but we did offer it to Fight BAC!. It was 19 updated and reprinted in 2008, with additional 20 emphasis on buying pre-washed produce.

This is the best of the best. So that is an example of a risk communication

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

that had, I think, all of the components, tying it with science, addressing people's needs, validating that it was communicating to the people. I will talk to you more about evaluating in a few minutes.

This is example of another 6 an 7 piece. This is USDA funded, and this is my colleagues at Ohio State, Colorado State, and 8 Washington State. It is food safety materials 9 10 for persons living with HIV/AIDS. And this is, then, for the highest risk population. 11

And I pull this up for you for a 12 13 couple of important pieces. First of all, it is comprehensive. You might be able to see 14 15 here at the top, it is Take Control: A Hands-16 On Approach to Food Safety for Persons Living And then Eating Away From Home 17 With AIDS. While Traveling, and then Protect Yourself: A 18 19 Guide for Persons Living with AIDS. And then 20 the last one, Food Safety for High-Risk Populations: A Continuing Educational Course 21 for the Healthcare Providers. 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

So, it indicated then different areas where people might have questions about food safety and it also addressed the support people that assisted those.

This one is specific for HIV/AIDS. 5 Their grant also included developing funding 6 7 for people with cancer or for people with heart disease. One of our questions that came 8 up from the panel today is should we have 9 10 specific information or should we have general information? At least for these audiences, 11 the researchers found that if they made one 12 13 brochure for all three groups, none of the paid much attention. Ιt 14 groups wasn't 15 targeted to them. So, they actually came out 16 with three publications. The same information is inside because it is the same way of being 17 safe but it had their condition on the front. 18 19 And because it had their condition, they looked and paid attention to it. 20

So, here is the cover <u>Take Control</u>. And this is what the inside looks like and I

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

21

22

1

2

3

4

1 apologize for my own inept photography. Ι 2 know a professional could do better than this. There are pictures. 3 Not as many as they would have liked but there are some pictures 4 There are bold headlines, "Eating Away 5 there. from Home." Here are a few valuable tips 6 7 bulleted and then а checklist underneath. They say what to do and they say why to do it. 8 The people wanted to know why. Why I had to 9 10 change my behavior. Why can't I do it the way I had always done it before? So these pieces 11 information were very important of to 12 the 13 target audience. How was that distributed? Well, I 14 know it is available to people in cooperative 15 extension because I have seen it that way. 16 Ι know it was referenced in USDA's Food Safety 17 Educator. I don't know other ways that they 18 19 are distributing it. I would like to see it being distributed through medical offices. 20 Ι think that would be, if it was available for 21 the physicians, so every time they had one of 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

these people with these conditions, they gave them this food safety guide, that would be quite appropriate.

So now my third example looks at a 4 controversial topic. So we have talked about 5 educating the people. But it is more than 6 7 reaching the public with food safety Enhancing safety and quality of information. 8 life is more than just consumer education. 9 10 This indicates that safe handling of food must be addressed in all stages from production 11 through consumption. And there are different 12 13 activities to take place at each of these 14 stages.

The one area that is being used in 15 some commodities but not in others, is the 16 area of the pathogen killing step. The one in 17 yellow. You have milk in the market that is 18 19 pasteurized. That is a pathogen killing step. There are some people right now who believe 20 they should be having raw milk and there are a 21 number of health risks associated with raw 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

milk. But there are some individuals who, for their personal philosophy, want to purchase raw milk. I would be happy to talk about raw milk in greater detail, and personal philosophy, and the difference between adults and children. I, of course, have strong views on this topic.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

But I will go to another one that I 8 have very strong views on and that is the one 9 Irradiation. 10 also in yellow. Irradiation is food is process when exposed 11 а to very carefully measured levels of energy. 12 And this 13 energy, depending upon the amount delivered can destroy harmful bacteria. It can replace 14 15 fumigants that are used to destroy insects. 16 It can extend shelf life. It has a number of benefits, just like heating has a number of 17 In fact, it is parallel in many benefits. 18 19 ways.

The food is like fresh. It is considered safe by the scientific community. It is approved by FDA because Congress

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

established a number of years ago that any application of irradiation must be treated like a food additive and must go by petition for specific applications that FDA approves.

1

2

3

4

So meat, poultry, and some other 5 approved by FDA. foods are There is 6 а 7 petition before FDA now for fresh cut produce, which includes leafy greens. I would like to 8 indicate that irradiation right 9 now is 10 probably the only method that can enhance, to a very high degree of safety, the safety of 11 safety leafy greens like spinach and lettuce 12 13 because it destroys the microbes which could even be in side the produce but it is not 14 approved yet for that application. 15

It is considered safe the 16 by scientific community. 17 However, it is controversial in that there are special 18 19 interests groups that speak against They say dangerous chemicals are 20 irradiation. formed. nutritional value is 21 They say destroyed. They say it is used to clean up 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

filthy handling operations. And they say all
people have to do is cook the food.

The allegations that they present 3 4 here are not supported by the science and the recommendation that all people have to do is 5 the risk cook foods ignores from cross-6 7 contamination which occurs quite readily. Even though one might fully cook meat or 8 poultry, cross-contamination can make people 9 10 very ill. And of course, it doesn't pertain to fresh leafy greens, does it? Because you 11 can't have a cooked lettuce or -- well, you 12 13 can have cooked spinach but many people like salad greens. 14

So why are you speaking about this, 15 Christine? Whey is this important? Is this 16 because of profound public 17 important the health advantage this technology offers? 18 Α 19 report by Robert Tauxe from the Centers for and published 20 Disease Control in emerging infectious diseases indicated that if half of 21 the ground beef that is currently permitted, 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 half of the poultry that is also currently 2 permitted, and half of the processed meat products that is not permitted yet but there 3 is petition before FDA and has been for almost 4 ten years to permit processed meats, if half 5 6 of these products were irradiated, then the number of foodborne illnesses related to E. 7 coli, campylobacter, salmonella, listeria, 8 toxoplasma, could be reduced significantly and 9 could save 352 lives every year, based upon 10 their estimates of foodborne illness. 11 Wow, is profound. that Save also, of 12 course, 13 preventing major diseases and preventing hospitalizations. So the potential impact is 14 15 immense. do people respond to this 16 How technology? So then why is risk communication 17 needed? When people hear science-based 18 19 information about irradiation, the majority

21

20

22

(202) 234-4433

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

will buy the product but most people don't

hear this so the communication is lacking.

There is a need to have this communication.

When people only hear what those opposed to the technology speak, then very few were interested in buying the technology. You say, why even ten to fifteen percent? Because some have heard and know that what the special interest groups present is not science based.

7 Parents of young children, and you might know that as one of the groups that is 8 at increased risk, the young children, that 9 10 is. But the parents of young children are likely to select irradiated products 11 least because again, they are in that protective 12 13 And if they hear controversy, they go mode. to let's do what we have always done, which is 14 15 not select this product that is processed by a new technology. 16

negative information 17 When is countered, and there are studies that 18 19 illustrate this specifically, then interest in buying increases. So communication can be 20 it is effective when delivered in the 21 appropriate main. So that is my overview of 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

6

www.nealrgross.com

1 those three technologies.

2 Now, what about extending that message, whether it be irradiation or washing, 3 4 appropriate washing or other ways in food extended 5 safety, messages through are 6 community meetings and trainings, through 7 handouts, through web-based messages and through a variety of media sources which the 8 consumers use quite heavily? How do we tell 9 10 if we have been effective in what we have These are general ways that one could done? 11 effectiveness, the interest in 12 the assess 13 educational materials. I tell you that brochure has had a great deal of interest. 14 15 But we don't really know if it has changed 16 behavior. We have not requested and received money to measure if it has changed behavior. 17 Reported behavior is something I 18 19 will show you in a moment. A change in reported behavior. Reported behavior 20 is easier to assess but it is not necessarily 21 accurate because people say things but they 22

NEAL R. GROSS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 don't necessarily do what they say. And the newest area of focus in consumer 2 research nowadays is actually videotaping the public. 3 And so they may say, oh, yes, I wash my hands 4 before I start dinner. And then you have go 5 the videotape on and you just watch how few 6 7 people wash their hands or wash their hands when they should. So, observed behavior is 8 the latest way that this research is going. 9 10 Changes in foodborne illness data, of course, that is the bottom line. That 11 would be great to observe and to record. It 12 13 is more difficult because there are so many compounding variables like, for example, our 14 15 population where we have an increased number 16 of people who are at risk for a foodborne Marketplace purchases can also be 17 illness. observed in some areas. 18

Now, if you educate people, will they change their behavior? This is from the HIV/AIDS individuals where they have had a great educational opportunity. They have seen

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

and read this brochure and then they were 1 2 asked, what would you do? And would you wash your hands? Oh, I'm already washing my hands. 3 That is what the green line shows. 4 Oh. I definitely would wash my hands. 5 There are some over here who even though they are at 6 7 highest risk are still not going to wash their hands. Incredible. 8

Would you avoid rare ground beef? 9 10 Some say I am already doing it. Even more saying I will do it. Avoid raw seafood and so 11 Let's look toward the bottom. 12 forth. Avoid 13 unheated luncheon meats. The issue here is listeria, which would possibly be there. 14 You 15 get a little bit more than 60 percent who say 16 they are currently or definitely would.

Use a thermometer. That is what 17 the Meat Institute, meat groups, and what 18 19 Fight BAC! is recommending. Use a thermometer sure that your food is thoroughly 20 to make cooked. It is an appropriate recommendation 21 but people don't want to do it, even those who 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

are at very high risk. You have about 18 percent who say they are currently doing it. And only half, if you add currently and definitely would, only half indicate that they would use this method.

So, why not? Obviously this 6 7 message, even though it is the best of the best, is reaching some behaviors but not 8 reaching others. Remember the feedback loop 9 10 that we saw earlier. Some of those behaviors have to go back into the feedback loop. 11 And here are some of the barriers that we have 12 13 identified that have led people to still follow this behavior and not embrace it yet. 14

Messages 15 are not heard by everybody. When you have to go to the web to 16 download something from FDA, then that takes 17 their effort, their initiation. And it is 18 19 hard when people have to initiate. They might not realize they need to. Sometimes targeted 20 programs, though targeted and very specific, 21 they are short-term. When the program is 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

over, the education materials just lay in the bookcase. They don't get out.

1

2

People think they already are 3 4 knowledgeable. And certainly on food safety, about 80 percent of the people say they 5 6 already know a lot about it. There is a time 7 delay between unsafe behavior and getting That is why as we heard earlier from sick. 8 Marjorie Davidson that people say I already 9 10 know how to do this and they don't realize, if they have been doing incorrect things, why 11 haven't they gotten sick every time? 12

13 People don't follow the recommendations because they believe that it 14 15 doesn't affect them or they are too busy, or 16 it is not convenient, or it is not necessary, or they like the taste of rare meat, or they 17 like the taste of runny yoked eggs and so 18 19 forth.

20 So, in today's world, food safety 21 education is better than it has ever been 22 before. There are specific recommendations.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

Fight BAC! has four. And if you look on the web and look at "Handling Fruits and Vegetables," it is up to six. The guidelines are very clear. They tell you what to wash and how to wash it. They tell you how to cook it. They tell you what temperature to use.

7 Sometimes some recommendations are specific by age or health conditions like 8 and listeria, and avoiding 9 pregnant women, 10 specific foods. Messages are presented nationwide and certainly Fight BAC! does that. 11 But consumers don't know all of the specifics 12 13 of these messages. They are listed on the Board but they haven't looked them up because 14 they think they already know. 15 People don't 16 follow all of the recommendations. Education is not sufficient and that is why I wanted to 17 throw irradiation in there for you because 18 19 people think they are cooking their meat It is brown on the inside and it 20 adequately. is darn hard to put the thermometer inside, so 21 they are not going to check it. 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

6

1	And I have got a project going
2	right now where we are having people cook
3	their ground beef. It is brown. It is black
4	on the edges. Flames are coming up. It is
5	really skinny. I stick my thermometer inside,
6	it is 142. The temperature is 160. That is
7	the recommended temperature. I have never
8	known that. I never used a thermometer. I
9	don't own a thermometer. Here is a
10	thermometer. Thank you. But will they still
11	use it? It is hot, inconvenient, and they
12	think vision is enough.
13	So what are our needs? Our needs,
14	I think, for FDA, and for this agenda in total
15	is sustained educational programs. It is not
16	just for two years or three years or while we
17	have got the grant. It has got to be
18	continued. We need endorsement and
19	encouragement by physicians, health providers
20	and others who are trusted parties. At my
	and others who are trusted parties. At my
21	HMO, my gynecologist, OB/GYN person says and

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

by saying I'm drinking milk. But wouldn't it 1 2 be great if they also said and do you have a meat thermometer? And do you test the inside 3 4 of your thermometer? And here is a brochure I'll bet 5 for you. And you have always wondered the best way to wash fruits and 6 7 vegetables. And here is a brochure for you. Trusted people sharing that food safety is 8 important. 9

10 We need models of appropriate behavior. If you are into watching the Food 11 Channel and if you are a food safety expert, 12 13 you just about cringe because there are so many examples of what people shouldn't do. 14 We 15 need a program to encourage what people should 16 do and honor chefs who use thermometers and who wash their hands and follow appropriate 17 action. 18

We need timely regulatory approval of innovative technologies like irradiation. And we need regulatory oversight of false and misleading claims. If the public hears it, if

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

the public sees it, if the public reads it, 1 2 they think it is true. And often they don't pay a great deal of attention about who said 3 "They say that it causes cancer." 4 it. Would the FDA approve something that causes cancer? 5 I mean, let's be reasonable and use our head. 6 7 So, we need stronger interactions with the federal trade commission and others 8 so that the messages that are under approval, 9 10 regulatory approval can be tested and validated for accuracy. 11 So thanks for letting me get all of 12 13 my enthusiasm and dedication, some of it at least, off my chest. 14 CHAIR FISCHHOFF: Our next speaker 15 16 is Linda Neuhauser. Good afternoon 17 DR. NEUHAUSER: And I would invite anybody who everyone. 18 19 wants to stand up for a moment and, you know, get the blood sugar rising, if you wish, 20 because I know it is hard around 2:00 to keep 21 your attention focused. Great. 22 **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1	My name is Linda Neuhauser and I am
2	really delighted to be here. And I wanted to
3	start off by saying how much I appreciate the
4	FDA's commitment to doing better with
5	communication and how heartened and impressed
6	I was this morning to hear about all of the
7	efforts that are going on. So, bravo to the
8	FDA.
9	I am going to talk about what we
10	are calling right now is persuasive
11	communication. But like Dr. Bruhn, I am not
12	quite so sure if that is the right word for
13	it. I do believe that our job is to empower
14	people with science and the best we can do.
15	And then they will make an informed decision
16	which, if we are doing our job correctly,
17	should go in the right direction.
18	What I am going to address today
19	are three questions. First of all, I am going
20	to be talking about whether communication,
21	mass communication in this case to the public,
22	whether this actually is successful in

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

changing people's behaviors in a positive way. Secondly, what are some of the barriers we have to doing better with communication that intended to influence people to change is behaviors? And third, how can the FDA improve communication?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7 For the last one, I am going to propose a different process of developing and 8 delivering communication and also have three 9 10 recommendations, one of which deals with building capacity within the FDA as Deputy 11 Commissioner Torti talked about this morning. 12

13 So, just an overview. And the reason I am going back to the fundamentals is 14 15 there is often a lot of debate in the health 16 communication around mass communication, about whether it actually works or not. 17 And we know that it at least works sometimes. But 18 19 does it work enough to make it worth our while? 20

And so looking at about four years 21 of evidence about the impact of health 22

(202) 234-4433

**NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

www.nealrgross.com

1 communication, mass communication, what we see 2 is there is modest but positive effects. We do see that those effects are less positive 3 among vulnerable audiences 4 who miqht face related 5 barriers to literacy, language, culture, or disability. And it is less 6 7 effective for complicated issues such as the ones we are discussing that relate to risk 8 communication where it is a complex mix of 9 10 risks and benefits and perhaps numeric information. 11 It is more effective when there are 12 13 multiple channels that reinforce each other

and a number of people have talked about that 14 15 today, the need to not look at the FDA as the only place that might get this information out 16 places with many 17 but one of the other And as we have all heard multiple 18 partners. 19 times today, have very little we as yet information 20 about the impact of FDA communication. 21

22

(202) 234-4433

So, a question might be, how come,

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 if we have been studying mass communication 2 for all of these years and doing it, investing billions of dollars in it, how come it is not 3 more effective? And I would argue that a key 4 reason is that we have built it on a very weak 5 theoretical foundation. And a traditional 6 7 model of health communication might look something like this, in which experts would 8 take scientific findings and develop factual 9 10 generic one-way messages that are delivered to the public in the hopes that people will learn 11 and will change ways in a positive direction. 12 13 And so there are many theoretical models like that and the whole enterprise of mass health 14 15 communication has really been built up with this kind of guidance. 16

Now, a new review is that this is a weak approach. That, actually, scientific information in and of itself has very little meaning and is not persuasive until it is actually socialized to fit within the context of people's lives. I like to say we have

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

messages to send to scientists and people have 1 2 lives to live and we really don't link those two very well. So, our job is to do better 3 with that, to socialize information. 4 One of my favorite books, by the 5 way, is a book called The Social Life of 6 7 Information published in 2000 by Brown and Duguid. And it is quite an eye-opener, if you 8 are interested in why factual approaches just 9 10 don't seem to be that effective. Ι would suggest that better 11 а

underlying model for our mass communication of 12 13 the type that FDA typically does would be something called a social ecological model. 14 15 And you could see a lot of different levels here. And one of the reasons it might be 16 have, is because it 17 useful to not onlv acknowledges the diversity of individuals but 18 19 it also acknowledges the influences of the multiple context in which they live. 20

21 So, if you just pause for a minute 22 and look at this graphic and think about the

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1 recent contaminated vegetable issues, 2 salmonella in vegetables, and then just try to think about how many of those social levels 3 4 were engaged, were not engaged at influencing individuals about what they should know and 5 what they should do. So, I think we could do 6 7 a lot better by having multiple layers of influence. 8 I am going to address, in terms of 9 barriers, two issues. One is looking at the 10 concept of health literacy. And the reason I 11 selected that was because health literacy is 12 13 emerging concept that seems bring an to together a lot of factors that make a very 14 15 large group of people in the United States 16 quite vulnerable to being able to access, to understand 17 read, to or act on health information. The whole area of health literacy 18 19 is about 15 years old, so there is quite a body of literature around this. 20 Health literacy and literacy 21 in general is measured by the national assessment 22 **NEAL R. GROSS** COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 of adult literacy. This is a national survey 2 that takes place very ten years. The most in 2003. And the survey 3 recent one was 4 measures for components for literacy, so literacy, people's understanding of 5 health 6 health concepts and being able to deal with 7 those, prose, being able to look at texts, documents, being deal with 8 able to the itself, quantitative 9 document and then or 10 numeracy skills. And you can see here that for each of those four components, that the 11 population is divided into four levels of 12 13 skills. So, there is below basic. There is

14 15 basic, intermediate and proficient. These run 16 across the bottom here. The two most important levels to think about are the lower 17 The below basic and the basic. But. T 18 two. would suggest that even the intermediate level 19 in which there are quite a few people, 20 is still one in which people lack skills to deal 21 with a lot of the information that we are 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

> > 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

talking about here that relates to risks and benefits across a wide variety of products that the FDA has authority over.

So, the below basic and basic. 4 We will start off there. Those might be groups 5 that you could call lower literate. And so 6 7 just to look at the numbers here, we have about 93 million Americans that would fall 8 into either below basic or basic skill levels. 9 10 And again, take a chunk of those in the intermediate. Because until you get to the 11 highest level which is called proficient, you 12 13 are not really sure that people can handle the kinds of complex information we are talking 14 15 about here. Tables, graphs, maybe having to 16 deal with ratios and complex information. up at really comes the higher level 17 That So 93 million people may well be cut 18 there. 19 off from most of the information that we are delivering to them today. 20

To get a sense of what does this mean in terms of skills, this list here talks

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

1 about the skills that you might be able to expect from somebody scoring at any one of 2 these levels. I would like to draw your 3 attention to the intermediate level right now. 4 And at that level, that is the third level, 5 people should be expected to understand the 6 7 timing of their medications. Now, to put this in a context of 8 the problem in the United States, only one-9 10 third of the population actually adheres to taking medications in the prescribed way. 11 Only one-third. 12 That is a very, very poor 13 outcome. And it is not so surprising when you see that the bottom two levels would not even 14

be able to be expected right now with the kind of information they are getting to be able to accomplish that task. And a certain chunk of those who are in the intermediate level. So, we have a very big challenge.

This is an exercise that I would like us all to take for a moment. Some of you may have seen this. But if you haven't, I

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

think it is very hard for highly literate people, and I would suggest that most people in this room are probably in the top three to five percent of literacy in this country. So, this will give you sense of what it is like to experience low literacy. What I would like you to do is to

read this. And a tip is that the words are written backwards. So, just take a moment and see if you can do it.

So, I will call on Dr. Ostrove. 11 physicians' Now, are sitting in the 12 you 13 office. I have given you enough time. You are at the pharmacy. You have only 30 seconds 14 15 to ask me a question. Did you understand 16 that?

DR. OSTROVE: Uh --

DR. NEUHAUSER: Do you feel 18 19 embarrassed having to talk to me about it? 20 DR. OSTROVE: Oh, yes. Okay. So imagine NEUHAUSER: 21 DR. the shame, the frustration you feel, when you 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

> > 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

8

9

10

17

291

are handed something that is beyond your capabilities of understanding and you are expected to make something out of this. And it might be a life and death situation for you.

how big is the gap between So, 6 7 people's abilities, in this case to read, and the kind of information they get? Well, it is 8 huge. So, the average American reads between 9 10 a seventh and eighth grade level. And it is important to know that the average American 11 has a high school education. 12 So one of the 13 findings from the health literacy research is people read about three to four levels below 14 15 their last completed grade and that literacy 16 falls off with age. We heard something about that earlier. About two-thirds of older 17 adults would be in the lower two levels, or 18 19 the lower literacy level groups.

And an important 20 percent read at or below the fifth grade level but most of the health information that is given out through

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

3

4

5

1 governments is at the tenth to twelfth grade. 2 I just had an experience of analyzing the USDA's food pyramid website and looking at the 3 level of that information. It was intended to 4 be around a seventh grade but it actually 5 ended up that parts of it were even at grade 6 7 23. So, again, it is not enough to have the intention to do something at a lower literacy 8 you actually have to have 9 level, qood а 10 process and we will get to that in a minute. I don't want to talk much about 11 risk communication because we have heard about 12 13 that and will hear more about that this afternoon and tomorrow. But I just wanted to 14 15 comment that overlaid over the problems of 16 people's challenges with literacy at the base are the added demands of risk communication 17 because it is so hard to understand, it 18 19 changes a lot, you need trust, it is laden with emotions and values, and requires quite a 20 bit of numeracy and it may be quite variable, 21 according to your cultural background. 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 Ι did want to point this out 2 because I think this is a useful maxim. This is Vincent Covello, of the risk 3 one 4 communicators. And he says in high concern situations, many of those the FDA deals with, 5 6 people want to know that you care before they 7 care what you know. So, when you look, this came up at an earlier meeting, I think in 8 February, where there was a comment. 9 We were 10 looking at the press releases sent out by the FDA and seeing if there might be ways to 11 12 improve them. And one comment was that in none of the press releases could there be 13 found emotional expression of 14 an caring, 15 except the one dealing with melamine in pet 16 food. And I can't remember, if anybody here can remember it, it was something like we 17 know that Americans are very concerned about 18 19 their animal's health. Was that it John? Thank you, John. John found that. 20 And this is so important to have emotional connection. 21 Aqain, for having 22 one of the reasons а

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

trusted humanized spokesperson from the FDA that can do a lot to get across that caring.

And then one last comment about 3 4 risk communication messages. Looking at the scientific literature, there has been a lot of 5 debate about whether high threat or high fear 6 7 messages really work. And if you look at sort of meta-analytical reviews about this, I find 8 it interesting that it seemed, the conclusions 9 10 right now seem to be that high threat messages are really successful, if they are connected 11 with high efficacy information, like here is 12 13 what you can do now, keeping in mind, as people have said earlier, just a few messages, 14 feasible things that people can do and so on. 15

So, taking all of this information 16 in, are there things that we can do to improve 17 our communication? And right 18 now, Ι am 19 talking about mass communication, pretty much textual communication, either printed or on 20 the internet. And these are some of the tips 21 We have talked about some of these, but 22 here.

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

1

2

1 the first one here underlined, of course, is 2 to co-design with the target audiences. Now, this is my story. I have spent the last 20 3 4 vears working to try to turn around the classic health communication way of 5 doing things into something different that is user 6 7 and audience focused so that the people who are the intended beneficiaries are actually 8 the ones who come up with and co-design the 9 10 information along with the scientists or This is the only thing, in my educators. 11 view, that actually works to get through all 12 of the complex issues that we 13 have talked about talked 14 because we have about many things. 15 talked about people's 16 We have

challenges to be able to read and comprehend. 17 We have talked about their challenges with 18 19 the way that issues make them feel with their underlying 20 values. Culture, language, There are so many issues that it is 21 etcetera. hard to quantify those and put those into some 22

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

kind of normative cookbook recipe for doing things. And what I have found is that the only way to deal with that complexity is to actually get those people with you, work with you, design and test together.

1

2

3

4

5

And the bulleted points here are 6 7 just some of the things that have come out from the literature and from experience, all 8 of which have already been mentioned today. 9 10 Ron Barnett's pictures, and stories and so forth, the emotions, putting information into 11 Think of USA Today. 12 small chunks. It is very 13 easy to read the front page of that paper compared to say The Wall Street Journal and so 14 15 forth. Focusing on behavior rather than too many facts and the like. 16

So, here is a proposed process for doing developing communication in a different way. And this starts out a lot differently from having experts take scientific findings and saying okay, now we are going to develop a message. We are going to send it out to you

> COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

**NEAL R. GROSS** 

(202) 234-4433

1 the public.

2	In this one, we would start out
3	much as Dr. Bruhn said, to think about what
4	are the actual communication objectives and
5	audiences. And Dr. Ostrove brought that issue
6	up this morning. You know, as the FDA, what
7	should we even think about when we are
8	considering some piece of scientific
9	information that should be communicated to the
10	public?
11	Then the next step would be to
12	actually get the intended audience, once they
13	are defined, to assess them, maybe to survey
14	them. Focus groups, whatever, find out what
15	they think about a particular issue and see
16	where their knowledge is, where their
17	attitudes are, where their behaviors are, just
18	like Dr. Bruhn did with the hand washing and
19	so forth. You know, where are we right now?
20	Where do we think people should go in terms of
21	changing their behavior?
22	The next step, which usually
	NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

297

(202) 234-4433

1 doesn't happen in most communication 2 development, is to set up a participatory design process with those intended audiences. 3 4 And Ι would say here, give а special attention to the lower literate groups. 5 One of the things that really bothers me when I 6 7 look at the literature is that we have a lot of scientific evidence about communication. 8 But if you look closely at it, you will find 9 10 that almost never have people taken the lower literate groups and involved them in testing 11 messages and so forth. 12 13 In one of the government websites that I examined, I saw that in the background 14

15 information it had been tested and found to be very usable. When I looked deeper, it turned 16 out that the group that actually examined it 17 So, college students was college students. 18 19 said a website was easy to use. Well, I would 20 assume so. So, we need to pay particular attention to the more vulnerable groups here. 21

And then the process goes on to

NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

22

1 interactively develop. So, you have 2 educators, scientists, various stakeholders, maybe policy makers, working with the intended 3 4 audiences in the same room to develop messages, ideas, communication strategies. 5 On 6 the side, or combined with that, have the 7 folks who will actually deliver the communication, those could be people from the 8 media, they could be spokespeople from 9 an agency, they could be professional groups, 10 seafood industry, public information officers. 11 There is all kinds of groups who could get 12 13 the messages out that the FDA would think are valuable. Have them get together to figure 14 15 systematic deliver this out to ways 16 information.

17 Then the process continues iteratively until all of the are 18 groups 19 satisfied with the way it is. It is implemented, then it is evaluated and then 20 there is always a sense it is going to be 21 revised again because if you evaluate 22 it

> NEAL R. GROSS COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 correctly, something will always be found that 2 could be improved.

Finally, the whole process needs to 3 be redone to adapt communication for other 4 and cultures. 5 languages I won't qo into details about adaptation versus translation 6 7 but it is an extremely important issue. Ι would just say that literal translations do 8 not work. They are not respectful. 9 They 10 often lead to miscommunication and can be dangerous. So, an adaptation with people of 11 the particular language group understanding, 12 13 of course, that for example in Spanish, there are many linguistic variations and you have to 14 15 bring folks like that together from Puerto 16 Rico or Mexico, wherever, to make sure you have a sort of neutral Spanish.

17

## **NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W. WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433