# **CRITERIA FOR A RECOMMENDED STANDARD**

; .

L

Occupational Exposure to Metalworking Fluids

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health Cincinnati, Ohio

January 1998

## DISCLAIMER

Mention of any company name or product does not constitute endorsement by the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health.

This document is in the public domain and may be freely copied or reprinted.

Copies of this and other NIOSH documents are available from

Publications Dissemination Education and Information Division National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health 4676 Columbia Parkway Cincinnati, Ohio 45226–1998

Fax: (513) 533-8573 Telephone: 1-800-35-NIOSH (1-800-356-4674) E-mail: pubstaft@cdc.gov

To receive other information about occupational safety and health problems, call 1-800-35-NIOSH (1-800-356-4674), or visit the NIOSH Home Page on the World Wide Web at http://www.cdc.gov/niosh

#### DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 98–102

### FOREWORD

In the Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (Public Law 91-596), Congress declared that its purpose was to assure, insofar as possible, safe and healthful working conditions for every working man and woman and to preserve our human resources. In this Act, the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) is charged with recommending occupational safety and health standards and describing exposure concentrations that are safe for various periods of employment—including but not limited to concentrations at which no worker will suffer diminished health, functional capacity, or life expectancy as a result of his or her work experience. By means of criteria documents, NIOSH communicates these recommended standards to regulatory agencies (including the Occupational Safety and Health Administration [OSHA]) and to others in the occupational safety and health community.

Criteria documents provide the scientific basis for new occupational safety and health standards. These documents generally contain a critical review of the scientific and technical information available on the prevalence of hazards, the existence of safety and health risks, and the adequacy of control methods. In addition to transmitting these documents to the Department of Labor, NIOSH also distributes them to health professionals in academic institutions, industry, organized labor, public interest groups, and other government agencies.

This criteria document reviews available information about the adverse health effects associated with occupational exposure to metalworking fluids (MWFs) and MWF aerosols. Substantial evidence indicates that workers currently exposed to MWF aerosols have an increased risk of nonmalignant respiratory disease and skin diseases. To prevent or greatly reduce the risk of adverse health effects in exposed workers, NIOSH recommends that exposures to MWF aerosols be limited to 0.4 mg/m<sup>3</sup> of air for thoracic particulate mass (or 0.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for total particulate mass) as a time-weighted average (TWA) concentration for up to 10 hr/day during a 40-hr workweek. Total particulate mass is an acceptable substitute for thoracic particulate mass until thoracic samplers are widely available. This recommended exposure limit (REL) is based on evaluation of health effects data, sampling and analytical feasibility, and technological feasibility. The NIOSH recommendation for reducing MWF aerosol exposures is supported by substantial evidence associating some MWFs used before the mid-1970s with cancer at several organ sites, and by the potential for current MWFs to pose a similar carcinogenic hazard. However, the primary basis of the NIOSH recommendation is the risk that MWFs pose for nonmalignant respiratory disease.

In addition to the REL, NIOSH recommends that a comprehensive safety and health program be developed and implemented as part of the employer's management system. This program should include safety and health training, worksite analysis, hazard prevention and control, and medical monitoring of exposed workers. Future research may provide new and more effective methods for minimizing occupational health risks among workers exposed to MWFs. If future developments permit a lower exposure limit that is technologically feasible and prudent for the public health, NIOSH will revise its recommended standard. Until then, adherence to the REL of 0.4 mg/m<sup>3</sup> will minimize the risk that workers exposed to MWFs will suffer adverse health effects.

Ande Rosenstod

Linda Rosenstock, M.D., M.P.H. Director, National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

### ABSTRACT

This criteria document reviews available information about the adverse health effects associated with occupational exposure to metalworking fluids (MWFs) and MWF aerosols. Substantial evidence indicates that workers currently exposed to MWF aerosols have an increased risk of nonmalignant respiratory disease and skin diseases. To prevent or greatly reduce the risk of adverse health effects in exposed workers, NIOSH recommends that exposures to MWF aerosols be limited to 0.4 mg/m<sup>3</sup> of air for thoracic particulate mass (or 0.5 mg/m<sup>3</sup> for total particulate mass) as a time-weighted average (TWA) concentration for up to 10 hr/day during a 40-hr workweek. Total particulate mass is an acceptable substitute for thoracic particulate mass until thoracic samplers are widely available. This recommended exposure limit (REL) is based on evaluation of health effects data, sampling and analytical feasibility, and technological feasibility. The NIOSH recommendation for reducing MWF aerosol exposures is supported by substantial evidence associating some MWFs used before the mid-1970s with cancer at several organ sites, and by the potential for current MWFs to pose a similar carcinogenic hazard. However, the primary basis of the NIOSH recommendation is the risk that MWFs pose for nonmalignant respiratory disease.

In addition to the REL, NIOSH recommends that a comprehensive safety and health program be developed and implemented as part of the employer's management system. This program should include safety and health training, worksite analysis, hazard prevention and control, and medical monitoring of exposed workers.

### **CONTENTS**

Abstract . Abbreviation	iii v ons
1 Re	commendation for a Metalworking Fluids Standard
1.1	Recommended Exposure Limits
	1.1.1   Exposure   1     1.1.2   Safety and Health Program.   2
1.2	Definitions
	1.2.1 MWF Aerosol21.2.2 The Metalworking Environment21.2.3 MWF Classes2
1.3	Sampling and Analysis
1.4	Exposure Monitoring
1.5	Informing Workers about the Hazards
	1.5.1Safety and Health Training.41.5.2Hazard Prevention and Control4
1.6	Engineering Controls and Work Practices
	1.6.1 MWF Selection 5   1.6.2 Fluid Use and Delivery. 5   1.6.3 Fluid Maintenance 5   1.6.4 Ventilation Systems 6   1.6.5 Protective Clothing and Equipment 7
1.7	Respiratory Protection
1.8	Sanitation and Hygiene
1.9	Medical Monitoring
	1.9.1Supervision of the Medical Monitoring Program.101.9.2Initial or Preplacement Examinations.101.9.3Periodic Examinations111.9.4Detailed Medical Examinations for Selected Workers11

		1.9.5 Physician's Reports to the Worker
		1.9.6 Physician's Reports to the Employer
		1.9.7 Employer Actions
		1.9.8 Followup Medical Evaluations
,		Labeling and Posting
2		oduction, Formulation, Application, Ind Deterioration
	2.1	Production and Use
	2.2	Formulation
		2.2.1 Straight Oil MWFs
		2.2.2 Soluble Oil MWFs
		2.2.3 Semisynthetic MWFs
		2.2.4 Synthetic MWFs
		2.2.5 MWF Ingredients and Additives
	2.3	MWF Application
	2.4	Deterioration of In-service MWFs
3	Po	tential for Occupational Exposures to MWFs 21
	3.1	The National Occupational Exposure Survey
	3.2	Occupational Exposures to Mineral Oil Mists
	3.3	NIOSH Health Hazard Evaluations
	3.4	Reported Exposures in the Automotive Industry
4		ected Potentially Hazardous Chemical Ingredients, Additives, and Contaminants 25
	4.1	Chemical Ingredients and Additives
		4.1.1 Triethanolamine
		4.1.2 Mineral Oil
		4.1.3 Antimicrobial Agents
		4.1.4 Chlorinated Paraffins
		4.1.5 Potential Sensory or Pulmonary Irritants
	4.2	Hazardous Contaminants
		4.2.1 Nitrosamines
		4.2.1.1 NIOSH Reports of Nitrosamine Contamination

---

		4.2.2	Microb	ial Contamination
				Ecology
	4.3	Metal	ls and M	etal Alloy Contaminants
5				Health Risks rs Exposed to MWFs
	5.1	Nonn	nalignant	Respiratory Effects
		5.1.1	Disease	s of the Lung Parenchyma
			5.1.1.2 5.1.1.3 5.1.1.4	Lipid Pneumonia44Hard Metal Disease44Legionellosis45HP45Summary47
		5.1.2	Asthma	and Other Disorders of the Pulmonary Airways
				Background
			5.1.2.3	Symptoms of Airways Disorders
			5.1.2.4	Cross-Sectional Studies of Lung Function
			5.1.2.5	Cross-Shift Studies of Acute Effects on Lung Function
		5.1.3	Discuss	ion
	5.2	Tumo	rigenic H	Effects in Animals
	5.3	Carcin	nogenic l	Effects
		5.3.2	Studies	for Inclusion88of Cancer in Broad Occupational Groups88gations of Selected Cancers89
			5.3.3.1 5.3.3.2 5.3.3.3 5.3.3.4 5.3.3.5 5.3.3.6 5.3.3.7 5.3.3.8 5.3.3.9	Skin and Scrotal Cancer93Laryngeal Cancer123Rectal Cancer124Pancreatic Cancer125Bladder Cancer127Stomach Cancer129Esophageal Cancer131Other Sites132Brain/Nervous System Cancer132
			5.3.3.10	Prostate Cancer   132     Lung Cancer   133

.

	5.3.3.12   Colon Cancer   133     5.3.3.13   Hematopoietic and Lymphopoietic Cancer   134
	5.3.4 Genetic Effects 134   5.3.5 Information about Exposure Concentrations 135   5.3.6 Route of Exposure 136   5.3.7 Conclusion 137
5.4	Dermatologic Conditions
	5.4.1 Cutaneous Disorders. 139   5.4.2 Irritants. 140   5.4.3 Allergens. 140   5.4.4 Prognosis and Preventive Measures. 141
5 Cu	rrent Occupational Recommendations and Standards
7 Sc	Impling and Analytical Methods
7.1	Background of Current Methods
7.2	Potential Sampling and Analytical Method Bias and Sources of Error in Measuring MWFs
	7.2.1Sampling According to ACGIH Conventions.1497.2.2Thoracic Samplers.1497.2.3Sampler Inlet Biases.1507.2.4Other Sampler Biases1507.2.5Estimating Total Method Bias.1517.2.6Estimating Total Method Precision151
7.3	Sampling and Analytical Issues Involved in Establishing the Rel
	7.3.1 Loq. .<
B Ba	usis for the Recommended Standard
8.1	Introduction
8.2	Effects of MWF Exposure
	8.2.1 Nonmalignant Respiratory Effects
	8.2.1.1 Asthma and Synthetic MWFs

		8.2.1.3Asthma and Straight Oil MWFs128.2.1.4Respiratory Effects Other Than Asthma128.2.1.5Rationale for Reducing MWF Exposures12	59
		8.2.2 Cancer 16   8.2.3 Dermatologic Effects 16   8.2.4 Effects of Microbial Contamination 16	63
	8.3	Rationale for the REL	66
		8.3.1 Respiratory Health Effects. 10   8.3.2 Index for Measuring MWF Exposures 10   8.3.3 Applicability of REL to All MWFs 10   8.3.4 Technologic Feasibility of Controlling MWF Exposures 10	67 69
	8.4	Summary	71
9		commendations for an Occupational Safety and Health Program	73
	9.1	Safety and Health Training	73
	9.2	Environmental Monitoring	73
	9.3	Hazard Prevention and Control	74
		9.3.1 Work Practices	75
		9.3.1.1 Fluid Selection, Use, and Application 1   9.3.1.2 Fluid Maintenance 1   9.3.1.3 Sanitation and Hygiene 1	76
		9.3.2 Labeling and Posting	<b>79</b>
		9.3.3 Engineering Controls	79
		9.3.3.1 Isolation	
		9.3.4 Protective Clothing and Equipment	81
		9.3.4.1 Protective Clothing19.3.4.2 Respiratory Protection1	
	9.4	Medical Monitoring of Exposed Workers	84
		9.4.1Information Provided to Program Director19.4.2Initial or Preplacement Examination19.4.3Periodic Examination19.4.4Detailed Medical Examinations for Selected Workers19.4.5Physician's Reports to the Worker19.4.6Physician's Reports to the Employer1	86 86 87 88

ł

		Emplo Follov																							
10	Resea	rch N	lee	ds.	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	٠	•	•	•	1	90
Refe	erences	;	• •	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	1	92

١

-

# **ABBREVIATIONS**

ACGIH	American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists
ANSI	American National Standards Institute
APF	Assigned protection factor
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
Ca	NIOSH potential occupational carcinogen
cc	Cubic centimeter
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CI	Confidence interval
СМА	Chemical Manufacturers Association
CPC	Chemical protective clothing
DEA	Diethanolamine
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
EPCRA	Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-Know Act
°F	Degrees Fahrenheit
Fed. Reg.	Federal Register
FEF	Forced expiratory flow
FEV <sub>1</sub>	Forced expiratory volume in 1 sec
ft	Feet or foot
FVC	Forced vital capacity
HEPA filter	High-efficiency particulate air filter
HHE	Health Hazard Evaluation
HP	Hypersensitivity pneumonitis
Hr	Hour(s)
IARC	International Agency for Research on Cancer
1b	Pound(s)
ILMA	Independent Lubricant Manufacturers Association
IMIS	Integrated Management Information System

ISO	International Standards Organization
L/min	Liters/minute
LOQ	Limit of quantitation
m <sup>3</sup>	Cubic meter
MEA	Monoethanolamine
mg	Milligram
min	Minute(s)
ml	Milliliter
MOR	Mortality odds ratio
MSDS	Material safety data sheet
MSHA	Mine Safety and Health Administration
MWF	Metalworking fluid
NCI	National Cancer Institute
NCMS	National Center for Manufacturing Sciences
NDBA	N-nitrosodibutylamine
NDELA	N-nitrosodiethanolamine
NDEA	N-nitrosodiethylamine
NDMA	N-nitrosodimethylamine
ng	Nanogram
NIOSH	National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health
NMOR	N-nitrosomorpholine
NO	Nitrous oxide
NOES	National Occupational Exposure Survey
NTP	National Toxicology Program
OHAB	Occupational Health Advisory Board of the UAW-GM
OR	Odds ratio
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration
Р	Probability
РАН	Polyaromatic hydrocarbons
PEL	Permissible exposure limit

•

.

PMR	Proportionate mortality ratio
ppm	Parts per million
psi	Pounds per square inch
PTFE	Polytetrafluoroethylene
RD <sub>50</sub>	Exposure concentration resulting in a 50% reduction in respiratory frequency
REL	Recommended exposure limit
RR	Relative risk, rate ratio
RSD	Relative standard deviation
SD	Standard deviation
sec	Second(s)
SENSOR	Sentinel Event Notification System for Occupational Risks
SIC	Standard Industrial Classification
SIR	Standardized incidence ratio
SMR	Standardized mortality ratio
spp.	Species
STEL	Short-term exposure limit
TEA	Triethanolamine
TLV	Threshold limit value
TWA	Time-weighted average
UAW	International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural Implement Workers of America
μg	Microgram
μm	Micrometer

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This document was prepared by the staff of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH). Principal responsibility for this document rested with the Division of Surveillance, Hazard Evaluations, and Field Studies (DSHEFS), Lawrence J. Fine, M.D., Director. Brenda Boutin (formerly with the NIOSH Education and Information Division and currently with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency) was the team leader for the document.

Major NIOSH contributors were Paul A. Baron, Ph.D.; Raymond E. Biagini, Ph.D.; Brenda Boutin; Geoffrey M. Calvert, M.D.; Robert Castellan, M.D.; Lawrence J. Fine, M.D.; William Heitbrink, Ph.D.; Miriam K. Lonon, Ph.D.; Boris K. Lushniak, M.D.; and Dennis O'Brien, Ph.D. Additional NIOSH contributors were Robert A. Glaser; Garry Kent Hatfield; Kay Kreiss, M.D.; Bonita D. Malit, M.D.; Leela Murthy, Ph.D.; Patricia A. Sullivan; Eugene M. White, Ph.D.; and Robert W. Mason, Ph.D. (formerly with NIOSH). Critical review of the document was provided by Leslie T. Stayner, Ph.D.; Nelson K. Steenland; and Greg Wagner, M.D.

We also acknowledge the contributions of Winston Dang and Andrea Blaschka of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; and Edward Stein, Ph.D., of the Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

The following NIOSH staff members are acknowledged for their support, assistance, and advice in preparing this document:

Penelope Arthur Stephen Berardinelli, Ph.D. Shirley Carr The late Charles V. Cooper Laura Delaney John Fajen Larry Foster Bryan D. Hardin, Ph.D. Daniel M. Lewis, Ph.D. Howie Ludwig Alan R. Lunsford Charlene Maloney Lawrence F. Mazzuckelli Judy Meese Vivian K. Morgan Richard W. Niemeier, Ph.D. Andrea Okun Tong Man Ong, Ph.D.

**Evelyn** Palassis Larry Reed Vicki Reuss **Faye Rice** Teresa M. Schnorr, Ph.D. Lucy Schoolfield Ronald L. Schuler Paul Schulte, Ph.D. Mitchell Singal, M.D. Marie Haring Sweeney, Ph.D. Doris Sweet Rodger Tatken David M. Votaw Elizabeth Ward, Ph.D. Martha Waters, Ph.D. Joann A. Wess Randy Young Ralph D. Zumwalde

Editorial review and camera-copy production were provided by Vanessa Becks, Susan Cairelli, Susan Feldmann, Anne C. Hamilton, Susan Kaelin, and Jane Weber.

Word-processing assistance was provided by Barb Cromer, Judy Curless, Karen Dragon, Pam Graydon, Rose Hagedorn, Laurel Jones, Sandy J. Kasper, Alma Mclemore, Diane Miller, Donna Pfirman, Ellen Starr, and Kellie Wilson

We also thank the following reviewers for their thoughtful comments on an earlier draft of this document:

Alkanolamines Panel Chemical Manufacturers Association

Paul Bailey American Petroleum Institute

John Bucher, Ph.D. Acting Deputy Director, ETP National Institute for Environmental Health Sciences

Howard Cohen, Ph.D.

Phillip Cole, M.D., Dr. P.H. University of Alabama School of Public Health

D.J. Crane Caterpillar Inc.

Mark Cullen, M.D. Yale University Occupational and Environmental Medicine Program

Elizabeth Delzell, S.D. University of Alabama School of Public Health

Nancy J. Demarco Independent Lubricant Manufacturers Association (ILMA)

Richard H. Eckfelt Independent Lubricant Manufacturers Association (ILMA) Ellen Eisen, Sc.D. University of Massachusetts, Lowell

Stanley W. Eller Center for Technology Transfer

David Felinski American Automobile Manufacturers Association

Ian Greaves, M.D. University of Minnesota School of Public Health

Marilyn F. Hallock Massachusetts Institute of Technology

John K. Howell Castrol Industrial North America

The Independent Lubricant Manufacturers Association (ILMA)

Industry Association of Chlorinated Paraffins

Institute of Advanced Manufacturing Sciences, Inc.

James J. Joseph Joseph Marketing, Inc.

Susan Kennedy, Ph.D. University of British Columbia

Robert King Maine Metal Products Association Ronald E. King 3M Company

David Kriebel, Sc.D. University of Lowell Department of Work Environment

David Leith, Ph.D. University of North Carolina School of Public Health

Henry Lick, Ph.D. Manager, Industrial Hygiene Ford Motor Company

James Lockey, M.D. University of Cincinnati Medical Center

William Lucke, Ph.D. Cincinnati, Milacron

G.C. Toby Mathias, M.D. Group Health Associates Cincinnati, Ohio

Dr. Franklin E. Mirer, Director Health and Safety Department International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural Implement Workers of America—UAW

Robert M. Park International Union, United Automobile, Aerospace and Agricultural Implement Workers of America—UAW

Diane Petroccine OLIN Corporation

Precision Metalforming Association

Thomas Robins, M.D. The University of Michigan School of Public Health Kenneth D. Rosenman, M.D. Michigan State University

Harold W. Rossmoore Biosan/Wayne State University

Richard A. Rotherham Reckitt & Colman

Michell M. Schaper, Ph.D. University of Pittsburgh

Edward Stein, Ph.D. Occupational Safety and Health Administration U.S. Department of Labor

Frances Storrs, M.D. Oregon Health Sciences University Department of Dermatology

Peter S. Thorne, Ph.D. The University of Iowa Department of Preventive Medicine and Environmental Health

James A. Vincent, Ph.D. University of Minnesota

William Wagner American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists

Cathy Walker National Automobile, Aerospace, Transportation and General Workers Union of Canada

G.E. Williams Caterpillar Inc.

Nathan Williams Briggs & Stratton Corporation

Susan Woskie, Ph.D. University of Massachusetts, Lowell