

## ISRAEL

### TRADE SUMMARY

The U.S. goods trade deficit with Israel totaled \$4.5 billion in 2001, down \$730 million from its level in 2000. U.S. goods exports to Israel totaled \$7.5 billion in 2001, down \$264 million, a decrease of 3.4 percent. U.S. imports from Israel were \$12.0 billion in 2001, a decrease of \$1.0 billion or 7.7 percent from 2000.

U.S. exports of private commercial services (i.e., excluding military and government) to Israel were \$2.3 billion in 2000 (latest data available), and U.S. imports were \$1.6 billion.

The stock of U.S. foreign direct investment (FDI) in Israel at the end of 2001 was \$3.4 billion, an increase of 12.3 percent over 2000. U.S. FDI in Israel was concentrated largely in the manufacturing, services and financial sectors.

### The United States-Israel Free Trade Area Agreement

The United States-Israel Free Trade Area Agreement (FTA), signed in 1985, called for phased tariff reductions culminating in the complete elimination of duties on all products by 1995. The agreement eliminated most tariffs between the United States and Israel, although tariff and non-tariff barriers continue to affect a significant portion of U.S. agricultural exports.

In 1996, the United States and Israel signed a five year Agreement on Trade in Agricultural Products (ATAP), establishing a program of gradual and steady market access liberalization for food and agricultural products effective through December 31, 2001. The agreement was negotiated to temporarily and partially address the differing views between the two countries over how the U.S.-Israel FTA applies to trade in agricultural products. The ATAP

provided U.S. food and agricultural products access to the Israeli market under one of three different categories: unlimited duty-free access; duty-free tariff-rate quotas (TRQs); or preferential tariffs, which are generally set at least 10 percent below Israel's most-favored nation (MFN) rates. The ATAP also provided for annual increases in TRQs and in the discount from MFN tariff levels for many U.S. goods. To allow for continued negotiations on removing remaining barriers to bilateral agricultural trade, the ATAP was extended through 2002 with its TRQs and preferential discounts from MFN tariffs being maintained at 2001 levels.

### IMPORT POLICIES

#### Tariffs

The 1985 FTA eliminated duties on all products by January 1, 1995, the end of the implementation period. Israel removed all duties on U.S. non-agricultural products according to the FTA schedule. However, substantial tariffs remain on some U.S. agricultural products.

#### Agriculture

Approximately 80 percent of U.S. agricultural exports (by value) enter Israel enter duty and quota free as a result of Israel's commitments under the WTO, the FTA and the ATAP. However, remaining U.S. agricultural exports consisting largely of consumer oriented goods face extensive restrictions such as a complicated quota system, prohibitive tariffs, and bans on some products. The ability of U.S. exporters to utilize available quotas is hampered by problems with the administration and transparency of Israel's TRQs. TRQ-related problems include lack of data on quota fill rates and an array of license allocation issues such as small non-commercially viable quota quantities and difficulties in obtaining licenses for within-quota imports. In addition, Israel uses its calculation of the costs of production in Israel as the basis for

## ISRAEL

high import levies imposed on imported food and agricultural goods.

U.S. agricultural exports without free access to Israel are primarily high value goods such as dairy products, fresh fruits and vegetables, nuts, wine and processed foods. According to industry estimates, elimination of levies on processed foods could result in increased sales by U.S. companies in the range of \$25 million to \$50 million (with appropriate market development efforts). Removal of quotas and levies on dried fruits could result in increases in sales by U.S. exporters of up to \$10 million. U.S. growers of apples, pears, cherries and stone fruits estimate that elimination of Israeli trade barriers would lead to an increase of \$5 million to \$25 million in export sales of these products. It is estimated that free trade in agriculture could result in U.S. almond exports growing by as much as \$10 million.

### **Meat Imports and Kosher Certification**

Israel prohibits the importation of any meat or meat product that is not certified as kosher by Israel's chief rabbinate, a policy that presents significant challenges for U.S. meat exporters. There is strong demand in Israel for quality kosher beef, lamb, and chicken. However, the process for granting kosher certificates is expensive and cumbersome. Industry estimates that kosher certification for U.S. meat could result in an annual increase in U.S. meat exports of \$10 million in the medium term and more than \$25 million in the longer term.

Israel permits the domestic production and marketing of non-kosher meat, but bans its importation. The ban on the import of non-kosher meat raises questions in terms of the 1985 FTA requirement that any religious-based restrictions be applied in accordance with the principle of national treatment. U.S. firms estimate that elimination of the prohibition on

non-kosher imports could result in increased sales of less than \$10 million.

### **Wine Imports**

U.S. wine exporters report facing numerous tariff and non-tariff barriers in supplying products to Israel, including inconsistent application of MFN applied tariff rates to U.S. products. In addition, wine importers note that the Government of Israel does not require Israeli wine producers to follow the Israeli Wine Institute's detailed labeling requirements, while these rules are strictly enforced on imported wines.

Rabbinical regulations for kosher certification also pose challenges for U.S. wine exporters. For example, rabbinical regulations do not permit use of the same company name on kosher and non-kosher wines. To keep their kosher certification, importers of kosher wines are not permitted to import non-kosher wines. Kosher wines cannot be stored in the same warehouse as non-kosher wines.

Sales of U.S. wines are about \$1 million per year. The industry estimates that the elimination of trade barriers could result in increased exports worth up to \$10 million per year.

### **Purchase Taxes**

Purchase taxes of 5 percent to 95 percent are applied to products ranging from imported automobiles and motorcycles to locally produced refrigerators, alcoholic beverages, and cigarettes, as well as several types of small electronic appliances. Israel reduced or abolished purchase taxes on more than 600 items in 2000. Imports of most of these items increased substantially in the months following the reduction. Purchase taxes on automobiles, alcohol, and tobacco were not reduced and taxes on color televisions, stereo systems, and other

## ISRAEL

items remain high. Further cuts in purchase taxes could lead to increases in U.S. exports in the \$10 million to \$25 million range.

### Textiles

There are no Israeli government barriers on imports of new clothing and textile products from the U.S., but there are restrictions on imports of used clothing, and Israel bans the importation of seconds fabrics.

### STANDARDS, TESTING, LABELING AND CERTIFICATION

Domestic products sometimes have an advantage over imports because enforcement of mandatory standards on domestic producers can be inconsistent, while standards are strictly applied to imported goods. Since 1999, Israeli law has provided that Israel can adopt more than one international or major national standard as the Israeli standard for a product. Thus far, however, the Standards Institution of Israel (SII) has declined to implement this directive.

### GOVERNMENT PROCUREMENT

Israel is a signatory to the WTO Agreement on Government Procurement (GPA), which covers most Israeli government entities and government-owned corporations. Open international public tenders are published in the local press. However, government-owned corporations make extensive use of selective tendering procedures.

In accordance with the Israel public tendering law, all international public tenders with a value of at least \$500,000 contain requirements for "industrial cooperation" (IC) with Israeli entities. Under the IC agreements, foreign companies offset their income from Israel by agreeing to invest in local industry, co-develop or co-produce, subcontract to local companies, or

purchase from Israeli industry. The current IC offset percentage for industries covered under the WTO GPA is 30 percent of the value of the contract; for industries excluded from the GPA, including most military procurements and El Al, it is 35 percent. Under the GPA, Israel has negotiated a gradual reduction in the IC requirement to a level of 20 percent. U.S. suppliers have found the size and nature of their IC proposals to be a decisive factor in tight tender competitions, despite a court decision that prohibits the use of offset proposals in determining award of a bid.

For civilian local currency procurement by the Ministry of Defense (MOD), a U.S.-Israeli Memorandum of Understanding (MOU), extended in 1997, gives U.S. competitors equal status with domestic suppliers. Despite this MOU, U.S. suppliers have expressed concern about the lack of transparency and apparent lack of justification for excluding U.S. suppliers from MOD tendering opportunities.

### INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS (IPR) PROTECTION

Israel is a member of the World Trade Organization (WTO) and the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO). It is a signatory to the Berne Convention for the Protection of Literary and Artistic Works, the Universal Copyright Convention, the Paris Convention for the Protection of Industrial Property, and the Patent Cooperation Treaty. Israel implemented the WTO Trade Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) agreement in 2000.

Israel's legal system provides for protection of Intellectual Property Rights (IPR), but enforcement of IPR laws and prosecution of IPR piracy has been insufficient. The U.S. Trade Representative (USTR) again placed Israel on the Special 301 Priority Watch List in 2001 due to continuing illegal copying and sale of

## ISRAEL

pirated videocassettes, music and software CDs and concerns about Israel's compliance with the TRIPS requirement to provide protection for confidential test data submitted by innovator pharmaceutical firms.

Since 1999, the Israeli government has taken steps to improve IPR protection. Israel created a special police unit dedicated to IPR and police action against IPR crimes has risen significantly. Israeli Customs has increased seizures of counterfeit products at ports of entry. The Ministry of Justice has hired and trained additional prosecutors to focus on IPR, and Israel has undertaken a number of public awareness efforts. Five of the six major CD plants in Israel are no longer producing unlicensed products; the sixth is under investigation after having recently been raided by police for allegedly producing pirated goods. In 2001, for the first time, an Israeli court gave jail sentences to several individuals convicted of IPR crimes. The Israeli Knesset is expected in 2002 to consider government proposals for revisions to the Copyright Law that would increase the penalties for some copyright crimes and streamline the process of prosecution of copyright violations.

### SERVICES

#### Telecommunications

Israel has made progress in liberalizing its telecommunications sector. Foreign companies are now able to participate in joint ventures providing cellular and international telephone service, DBS satellite broadcasts, cable television, and Internet service. Israel officially opened domestic telephone service to domestic and foreign competition in 2000. The GOI released a tender for the privatization of Bezeq, the telephone parastatal, in November 2001.

In 2001, Bezeq received a license to provide high speed Internet service with the condition that it permit other Internet service providers to have access to its infrastructure. The Knesset amended the telecommunications law to permit cable television providers (including firms with U.S. ownership) to provide fast Internet and other telecommunications services. The GOI expects to issue licenses for these services in 2002.

To address concerns of foreign cable companies, the GOI is developing regulations on cable television advertising that would distinguish between channels that broadcast to an international audience that includes Israel and those that target a primarily Israeli audience.

#### Professional Services

U.S. attorneys and accountants seeking to practice in Israel face strict testing requirements. Israel's financial services sector generally is open to foreign participation, subject to standard regulatory requirements.

### INVESTMENT

The Israeli government actively solicits foreign private investment, including joint ventures, especially in industries involving exports, tourism, telecommunications, and high technology. Foreign firms are accorded national treatment in terms of taxation and labor relations, and are eligible for incentives for designated "approved" investments in priority development zones. There are generally no ownership restrictions, but the foreign entity must be registered in Israel. Profits, dividends, and rents generally can be repatriated without difficulty through a licensed bank. In September 2001, the Ministry of Finance announced that foreign investors in Israeli venture capital firms would be exempt from capital gains taxes. This is a temporary measure scheduled to be reviewed in 2004.

## ISRAEL

Over 750 U.S. companies have subsidiaries in Israel. Estimates of the number of Israeli companies with subsidiaries in the United States range from 300 to 500. Investment in regulated sectors, including electronic commerce, banking, insurance, and defense industries, requires prior government approval. Israel is a member of the International Center for the Settlement Of Investment Disputes (ICSID) and a party to the 1958 New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards.

Israel generally supports U.S. efforts to ensure that electronic transmissions will not be subject to tariffs. U.S. industry has not reported any barriers to electronic commerce in Israel.