#### U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission



#### Regulatory Alternatives for Upholstered Furniture Flammability\*

#### CPSC Staff Briefing December 6, 2007

\*This information was prepared by the CPSC staff; it has not been reviewed or approved by, and does not necessarily represent the views of, the Commission.

### Background

#### **October 2003 ANPR**

- Expanded proceeding to address both smoldering & open flame
- CPSC staff's 2005 draft standard
  - Presented in January 2006 briefing package

#### Peer-reviewed CPSC staff technical reports

– Presented in November and December 2006 status updates

CPSC staff's 2007 alternative draft standard

 Developed as possible option in view of recent technical data and stakeholder comments 2

#### November 2007 Briefing Package

#### Updates fire hazard data

- Summarizes stakeholder input, chiefly:
  - Scope (e.g., smoldering vs. open flame) and technical issues (e.g. test methods)
  - FR chemical issues
- Describes recent CPSC Laboratory testing
- Outlines specifications and technical rationale for staff's 2007 alternative draft
- Presents updated environmental assessment and regulatory analyses of principal alternatives

#### Fire Hazard Update

- Average annual national fire loss estimates, 2002-2004 - <u>addressable</u> residential fires in which upholstered furniture was 1<sup>st</sup> item ignited:
  - 3,500 non-intentional fires
  - 280 civilian deaths
  - 500 civilian injuries
  - \$112.5 million property damage

Annual average societal cost = \$1.6 billion

90% of deaths and 65% of injuries resulted from smoking material-ignited fires

#### **Stakeholder Recommendations**

#### ANPR public comments

- July 2007 stakeholder meeting
- Scope and Test Method Issues:
  - Smoldering vs. open flame ignition
  - UFAC guidelines for smoldering ignition
  - Controls for standard test materials
  - Fire barrier option
  - Large scale testing
  - Impact of Reduced-IP cigarettes

#### **Stakeholder Recommendations**

#### FR Chemical Issues:

- Incomplete data on toxicity, exposure & environmental fate for some filling material FR additives
- Inherently-FR fiber materials available (e.g., mattress technologies)
- Standard should not increase use of fabric or filling material FR additives

# **Recent CPSC Laboratory Testing**

- Smolder-prone fabrics can lead to hazardous conditions -- progressive smoldering or transition to flaming combustion -- in as little as one hour, despite smolder resistance of polyurethane foam fillings
- Some inherently-FR fiber interior barriers (mattress technologies) can provide adequate protection for flammable fillings with burning cover fabrics
- Polyester layer can provide smolder resistance in combination with open flameresistant barrier (as in mattresses)

# Highly Smolder-Prone Fabric Over Non-FR Foam & Polyester Batting: Excessive Smoldering





Time = 45 min. after cigarette placement

#### Highly Smolder-Prone Fabric Over Non-FR Foam: Transition to Flaming

Time =  $\sim$  50 min. after cigarette placement

#### Open Flame-Ignitable Fabric with Conventional Fillings vs. Interior Barrier



Time = 2:20 after flame placement





#### 6 min

#### Interior fire barriers protect fillings (example: rayon/poly/cotton fabric over PAN fiber high-loft interior barrier over untreated polyurethane foam)





# CPSC Laboratory Findings: Standard Test Materials

Standard test materials must be controlled to maintain repeatability Cotton velvet test fabric too inconsistent in open flame tests; more consistent in smoldering tests FR foam too inconsistent in smoldering tests

# CPSC Staff's 2007 Alternative Draft Standard: Development Factors

- Consider stakeholder data & recommendations
- Incorporate findings of recent technical research
- Recognize FR chemical concerns
- Provide flexibility in compliance methods for manufacturers / importers
- Consider potential costs & benefits, seek burden-reducing alternatives

# CPSC Staff's 2007 Alternative Draft Standard: Technical Rationale

- Goal: protect interior filling materials, the primary fuel load in a fire
- Bench-scale test methods, with standard materials, reflect interactions of upholstery components
- Cessation of smoldering a primary objective
- Mass loss over time reflects involvement of fillings in both smoldering and open flame ignition behavior
- Performance requirements should address deficiencies of UFAC guidelines
- Stringent but technically feasible acceptance criteria for fabrics and barriers

### CPSC Staff's 2007 Alternative Draft Standard: Key Concepts

- Focuses on principal aspect of risk by limiting smoldering contribution of upholstery cover materials
- Fire barrier option provides some open flame protection, offers industry flexibility and preserves consumer choice
- Does not rely on FR additives to achieve compliance
- More effective than UFAC fabric classification approach

#### CPSC Staff 2007 Alternative Draft Standard for Upholstered Furniture Flammability: Summary of Draft Performance Requirements

_					
		Material	Test Description	Post-test Requirement	
		Manufacturer selects cover fabrics meeting smoldering requirements			
	Cc	over fabrics / materials (Type I)	Modified ASTM / UFAC mockup; std non-FR foam substrate, std cigarette ignition source; 45 min. test	No smoldering Max. 10% substrate mass loss; No transition to flaming	
		- OR - Manufacturer selects qualified interior fire barrier			
	Int	terior Barriers (Type II)	Smolder Resistance: Modified ASTM / UFAC mockup; std cotton velvet cover fabric, std non-FR foam substrate, std cigarette ignition source; 45 min. test	Max. 1% substrate mass loss	
			Open Flame Resistance: BS 5852 mockup; std rayon cover fabric, std non-FR foam substrate, std (240 mm / 70 sec) open flame ignition source; 45 min. test	Max. 20% mockup assembly mass loss	

2007 Alternative Draft Standard: Cover Material Smoldering Test

About 14% of existing fabrics expected to fail

Options: re-engineered fabrics (e.g., modified fiber content), or furniture constructed with barriers; FR fabrics possible but unlikely

Complying fabrics can be used with any filling materials
17

# 2007 Alternative Draft Standard: Barrier Tests

- Smoldering & open flame tests
   Projected for use in about 5% of furniture
- High-loft battings with inherently-FR fiber, perhaps in combination with polyester layer
- Complying barriers can be used with any fabrics and filling materials

### Mockup & Ignition Source for Cover Fabric & Barrier Smoldering Tests



Standard cigarette ignition source, standard cotton sheeting covering cigarette, standard cotton velvet cover fabric (for barrier test), standard polyurethane foam substrate

### Mockup & Ignition Source for Barrier Open Flame Test



Nominal 240 mm flame, 70 sec. exposure, standard rayon cover fabric, standard polyurethane foam substrate

# Flame Retardant Chemicals

- CPSC staff's objective: reduce fire risk without imposing chemical risks
- CPSC flammability rule would be a performance standard, would not specify or require any FRs
- CPSC sponsored studies, staff risk assessments
- CPSC & EPA staffs continuing to discuss possible Significant New Use Rule

# **Environmental Assessment**

- Under 2007 alternative draft standard, manufacturers & importers would likely choose options that do not involve FR additive use in fabrics or filling materials
- FR barriers (variation of mattress technology) would be used in small proportion of furniture
   Significant onvironmental impacts not likely
- Significant environmental impacts not likely

#### **Updated Preliminary Regulatory Analysis**

Updated estimates of benefits & costs reflecting latest hazard data

 Comparative estimates for 5 principal regulatory options, including staff's 2007 alternative draft standard and mandating UFAC guidelines

### Benefit / Cost Summary for 2007 Alternative Draft Standard

Benefits range:\$419 to \$424 mil.Cost range:\$ 32 to \$ 57 mil.Net benefits =\$367 to \$387 mil.

Includes an estimated 170 deaths averted over the expected life of a year's complying production

# **Other Regulatory Options**

CPSC staff's 2005 draft standard
 CPSC staff's 2001 draft standard
 California BHF's 2002 draft revised standard TB-117
 Mandate ASTM / UFAC voluntary guidelines

No action / terminate proceeding

# Reduced Ignition Propensity (IP) Cigarettes

- 22 states have passed legislation;
   5 have regulations in effect
- Based on ASTM / NIST test method; 75% of tested cigarettes must not burn their entire length

Data on effectiveness not yet available

Staff analysis: if reduced-IP cigarettes eliminated 50% of furniture losses, a CPSC standard would still have substantial net benefits (~ \$155 mil. for 2007 alternative)

# Small Business Impacts

- Initial regulatory flexibility analysis required under Regulatory Flexibility Act
  - Identify impacts on small entities
  - Consider alternatives to reduce impacts
- Nearly all affected firms are small businesses
- 2007 alternative draft standard designed to minimize potential impacts:
  - Uses material tests instead of finished product tests
  - Provides compliance options, e.g., barriers
  - Does not require production testing

# **CPSC Staff Conclusions**

- A flammability rule could effectively address the upholstered furniture fire risk
  - Staff's 2007 alternative draft focuses on smoldering, the principal aspect of the risk; would also have some open flame benefits
- Several regulatory alternatives would have substantial net benefits to the public
- Increased fire safety can be achieved without posing appreciable chemicalrelated health or environmental risks
  - Staff's 2007 alternative draft would require no FR additives; mattress-technology FR barriers could be used

# Next Steps

- Possible NPR, evaluate public comments
- Continued technical research, including large scale testing
- Ongoing project to evaluate reduced-IP cigarettes
- Continued cooperation with EPA on FR chemical issues

#### U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission



#### Regulatory Alternatives for Upholstered Furniture Flammability

For further information contact Dale Ray, Project Manager 301-504-7704 <dray@cpsc.gov>