

Drinking Water Infrastructure Needs Survey and Assessment Third Report to Congress

Content

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
Methods for the Assessment	
Methods Used to Assess State Needs	
Methods Used to Assess American Indian and Alaska Native Village Water System Needs	
Models for Assigning Costs to Projects Without Costs	
Total National Need Total Need Compared to Previous Needs Assessments	
Total Need: System Size and Type	
Total Need: Current and Future	
Total Need: Project Type	
The Regulatory Need	9
Security Needs	
Needs for Small Water Systems	
Needs of American Indian and Alaska Native Village Water Systems	
Challenges for Future Assessments	
Conclusions	12
SCOPE OF THE ASSESSMENT AND SURVEY METHODS	. 13
Scope of the Assessment	13
Assessment Methods	
Conducting the State Survey for Large and Medium Systems	
Improvements for the 2003 Needs Assessment of Medium and Large Systems	16
Method for Estimating the Small System, Not-for-Profit Noncommunity System, and American Indian and Alaska Native Village Need	17
Documented Costs and Cost Models	
Information Quality	
•	
FINDINGS	
Total 20-Year National Need Current and Future Needs	
Total Need by Project Type	
The Regulatory Need	
Existing Regulations	
Security Needs	
Community Water Systems Serving 10,000 and Fewer People	
Not-for-Profit Noncommunity Water Systems	
American Indian and Alaska Native Village Water System Need	
American Indian Water System Needs	35
Alaska Native Village Water System Needs	35
·	
APPENDIX A—PAYING FOR AND FINANCING INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS	. 39
APPENDIX B—METHODS: SAMPLING AND COST MODELING	. 43
APPENDIX C—SUMMARY OF QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCEDURES	. 51
APPENDIX D—SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	. 57
APPENDIX E—SUMMARY OF FINDINGS FOR SYSTEMS SERVING 10,000 AND FEWER PEOPLE	. 67
APPENDIX F—GLOSSARY	. 69



These photographs show the construction and completion of a tank in Bartlesville, Oklahoma.

Exhibits

Exhibit ES-1: 1999 versus 2003 Current and Future Need	6
Exhibit ES-2: Total 20-Year Need	7
Exhibit ES-3: Total 20-Year Need by Project Type	8
Exhibit ES-4: 20-Year Regulatory and Non-Regulatory Need	
Exhibit ES-5: 20-Year Regulatory Need	
Exhibit ES-6: Total 20-Year American Indian and Alaska Native Village Water System Need by Project Type	11
Exhibit 1: Overview of Needs by System Size and Type	. 24
Exhibit 2: Overview of Needs by State	
Exhibit 3: Total Need by Project Type	
Exhibit 4: 20-Year Total Regulatory and Non-Regulatory Need	
Exhibit 5: 20-Year Regulatory Need	
Exhibit 6: Security Needs by Project Type	
Exhibit 7: Total 20-Year Need for Not-for-Profit Noncommunity Water Systems by Project Type	
Exhibit 8: Total American Indian and Alaska Native Village Water System Need by Project Type	. 34
Exhibit 9: Total 20-Year Need by Project Type for American Indian Water Systems	. 35
Exhibit 10: Total 20-Year Need by Project Type for Alaska Native Village Water Systems	. 35
Exhibit B-1: Size Category Definitions	. 44
Exhibit B-2: Community Water System Sample Sizes	
Exhibit B-3: Conventional Treatment Plant Project Cost Curve	
Exhibit D-1: Total Need for Water Systems in the States by Project Type	. 58
Exhibit D-2: Current Need for Water Systems in the States by Project Type	
Exhibit D-3: Total Need for Water Systems in the States by System Size	. 60
Exhibit D-4: Current Regulatory Need for Water Systems in the States	. 61
Exhibit D-5: Total Existing Regulatory Need for Water Systems in the States	. 62
Exhibit D-6: Total Need for American Indian and Alaska Native Village Systems by EPA Region	. 63
Exhibit D-7: Total Need by Project Type for American Indian and Alaska Native Village Water Systems	
Exhibit D-8: Total Existing Regulatory Need for American Indian and Alaska Native Village Water Systems	
Exhibit D-9: Total Proposed and Recently Promulgated Regulatory Need	. 66
Exhibit E-1: Total Need for Systems Serving 10,000 and Fewer People	. 68



Fort Peck-Dry Prairie Regional Water System. This raw water intake pump station will draw an average daily flow of 5.5 million gallons per day (MGD) from the Missouri River to serve 22 communities in northeastern Montana. These communities are currently served by individual wells with poor water quality.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Many dedicated individuals contributed to the 2003 Drinking Water Infrastructure Needs Survey and Assessment. We would like to thank the state and EPA Needs Assessment Coordinators and their supporting staff and contractors for active participation and continuing interest in the project. Not listed are the operators and managers of the approximately 4,000 systems who spent their valuable time completing the questionnaires we sent to them. We thank them for their assistance.

Mark Spinale–U.S. EPA Region 1
Theodore Dunn, Raymond Jarema–Connecticut
Shannon Gallant, Roger Crouse–Maine
Michael Maynard, Jack Hamm–Massachusetts
Richard Skarinka–New Hampshire
Gary Chobanian, Romeo Mendes–Rhode Island
Rodney Pingree–Vermont

Raymond Kvalheim–U.S. EPA Region 2 Roger Tsao–New Jersey Steve Marshall–New York Eva Hernandez, Olga Rivera–Puerto Rico Christine Lottes–Virgin Islands

Don Niehus-U.S. EPA Region 3
George Rizzo-U.S. EPA Region 3, District of
Columbia
Ed Hallock-Delaware
Daniel Piasecki, Saeid Kasraei, Chris CarskiMaryland
Renee Bartholomew, Dana Aunkst-Pennsylvania
Thomas B. Gray-Virginia
Patrick Taylor-West Virginia

Walter Hunter, Dale Froneberger–U.S. EPA Region 4
Eric Holt–Alabama
Craig Diltz, Tim Banks–Florida
Aileen Trotter–Georgia
Carol Moore–Kentucky
Sheila Williams, Keith Allen–Mississippi
Sid Harrell–North Carolina
Tom McDonough–South Carolina
Khaldoun Kailani–Tennessee

William Tansey–U.S. EPA Region 5
Rick Cobb, Eric Portz–Illinois
Shelley Love, Cortney Stover–Indiana
Richard Benzie–Michigan
Brian Noma–Minnesota
Stacy Barna–Ohio
James Witthuhn–Wisconsin

Tom Poeton–U.S. EPA Region 6 and New Mexico Raymond Thompson–Arkansas Julie Comeaux–Louisiana Robert K. Mullins–Oklahoma Fawn McDonald–Texas

Kelly Beard-Tittone–U.S. EPA Region 7 Roy Ney–lowa William Carr–Kansas Stephen Jones–Missouri Larry Steele–Nebraska

Minnie Moore Adams, Adrienne Rivera–U.S. EPA Region 8 and Wyoming John Payne–Colorado Marc Golz, Gary Wiens–Montana Chuck Abel–North Dakota Jim Anderson–South Dakota Tim Pine–Utah

Jose Caratini, Sara Jacobs-U.S. EPA Region 9
Susan Cox, Barry Pollock-U.S. EPA Region 9, Pacific Islands
Moncef Tihami-Arizona
Uyen Trinh-Le, Robin Hook-California
Angel Marquez-Guam

Bill Wong, Denise Dang-Hawaii Adele Basham-Nevada

Richard Green–U.S. EPA Region 10 Sherri Trask, James Weise–Alaska Nancy Bowser–Idaho Mike Grimm–Oregon Peter Beaton–Washington

EPA Office of Water
David Travers, Lisa Almodovar and Robert Barles—
Needs Assessment Coordinators
Chuck Job—Drinking Water Infrastructure Branch
Chief
The Cadmus Group, Inc.—Prime Contractor