

18 **“Uses and Limitations of Observations, Data, Forecasts, and Other Projections**
19 **in Decision Support for Selected Sectors and Regions”**

20
21 **(Climate Change Science Program, Synthesis and Assessment Product [SAP] 5.1)**

22
23 **Executive Summary**

24
25 Earth information—the diagnostics of Earth’s climate, water, air, land, and other dynamic processes—is essential for
26 our understanding of humankind’s relationship to our natural resources and our environment. Earth information can
27 inform our scientific knowledge, our approach to resource and environmental management and regulation, and our
28 stewardship of the planet for future generations. New data sources, new ancillary and complementary technologies in
29 hardware and software, and ever-increasing modeling and analysis capabilities characterize the current and prospective
30 states of Earth science and are a harbinger of its promise. A host of Earth science data products is enabling a revolution
31 in our ability to understand climate and its anthropogenic and natural variations. Crucial to this relationship, however, is
32 understanding and improving the integration of Earth science information in the activities that support decisions
33 underlying national priorities: ranging from homeland security and public health to air quality and natural resource
34 management.

35
36 Also crucial is the role of Earth information in improving our understanding of the processes and effects of climate as it
37 influences or is influenced by actions taken in response to national priorities. Global change observations, data,
38 forecasts, and projections are integral to informing climate science.

39
40 The Synthesis and Assessment Product (SAP), “Uses and Limitations of Observations, Data, Forecasts, and Other
41 Projections in Decision Support for Selected Sectors and Regions” (SAP 5.1), examines the current and prospective
42 contributions of Earth science information in decision support activities and their relationship to climate change science.
43 The SAP contains a characterization and catalog of observational capabilities in an illustrative set of decision support
44 activities. It also contains a description of the challenges and promise of these capabilities and discusses the interaction

45 between users and producers of information (including the role, measurement, and communication of uncertainty and
46 confidence levels associated with decision support outcomes and their related climate implications).

47

48 **Decision Support Tools and Systems**

49 In 2002, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) formulated a conceptual framework in the form of
50 a flow chart (Figure 1) to characterize the link between Earth science data and their potential contribution to resource
51 management and public policy. The framework begins with Earth observations, including measurements made *in situ*
52 and from airborne and space-based instruments. These data are input into Earth system models that simulate the
53 dynamic processes of land, the atmosphere, and the oceans. These models lead in turn to predictions and forecasts to
54 inform decision support tools (DST).

55

56 In this framework, DSTs are typically computer-based models assessing such phenomena as resource supply, the status
57 of real-time events (e.g., forest fires and flooding), or relationships among environmental conditions and other scientific
58 metrics (i.e., water-borne disease vectors and epidemiological data). These tools use data, concepts of relations among
59 data, and analysis functions to allow analysts to build relationships—including spatial, temporal, and process-based—
60 among different types of data, merge layers of data, generate model outcomes, and make predictions or forecasts.

61 Decision support tools are an element of the broader decision making context or Decision Support System (DSS). DSSs
62 include not just computer tools but the institutional, managerial, financial, and other constraints involved in the
63 decision-making process.

64

65 The outcomes in these decision frameworks are intended to enhance our ability to manage resources (management of
66 public lands and measurements for air quality and other environmental regulatory compliance) and evaluate policy
67 alternatives (as promulgated in legislation or regulatory directives) affecting local, state, regional, national, or even
68 international actions. To be exact, for a variety of reasons, many decisions are not based on data or models. In some
69 cases, formal modeling is not appropriate, timely, or feasible for all decisions. But among decisions that are influenced
70 by this information, the flow chart (Figure 1) characterizes a systematic approach for science to be connected to
71 decision processes.

72 For purposes of providing an organizational framework, the CCSP provides additional description of decision support:

73

74 In the context of activities within the CCSP framework, decision-support resources, systems, and
75 activities are climate-related products or processes that directly inform or advise stakeholders in order
76 to help them make decisions. These products or processes include analyses and assessments,
77 interdisciplinary research, analytical methods (including scenarios and alternative analysis
78 methodologies), model and data product development, communication, and operational services that
79 provide timely and useful information to decision makers, including policymakers, resource
80 managers, planners, government officials, and other stakeholders. (*“Our Changing Planet,” CCSP*
81 *FY2007, Chapter 7, p. 155*).

82

83 **Our Approach**

84 Our approach to this SAP has involved two overall tasks. The first task defines and describes an illustrative set of DSTs
85 in areas selected from a number of areas deemed nationally important by NASA and also included in societal benefit
86 areas identified by the intergovernmental Group on Earth Observations (GEO) in leading an international effort to build
87 a Global Earth Observation System of Systems (GOESS) (see Tables 1 and 2).

88

89

90 The areas we have chosen as our case studies are air quality, agricultural efficiency, energy management, water
91 management, and public health. As required by the *SAP 5.1 Prospectus*, in the case studies we:

92

- 93 • explain the observational capabilities that are currently or potentially used in these tools;
- 94 • identify the agencies and organizations responsible for their development, operation, and maintenance;
- 95 • characterize the nature of interaction between users and producers of information in delivering accessing and
96 assimilating information;

- 97 • discuss sources of uncertainty associated with observational capabilities and the decision tools and how they
98 are conveyed in decision support context and to decision makers; and
- 99 • describe relationships between the decision systems and global change information, such as whether the tools
100 at present contribute or in the future could contribute to climate-related predictions or forecasts.

101

102 Because our purpose in this first task is to offer case studies by way of illustration rather than a comprehensive
103 treatment of all DSTs in all national applications, in our second task we have taken steps to catalog other DSTs which
104 use or may use, or which could contribute to, forecasts and projections of climate and global change. The catalog is an
105 exciting first step toward an ever-expanding inventory of existing and emerging DSTs. The catalog can be maintained
106 on-line for community input, expansion, and updating to provide a focal point for information about the status of DSTs
107 and how to access them.

108

109 The information in this report is largely from published literature and interviews with the sponsors and stakeholders of
110 the decision processes, as well as publications by and interviews with the producers of the scientific information used in
111 the tools.

112

113 **Our Case Studies**

114 We illustrate the following DSTs:

- 115 1. The Production Estimate and Crop Assessment Division and its Crop Condition Data Retrieval and Evaluation
116 (PECAD/CADRE) system of the US Department of Agriculture, Foreign Agricultural Service (FAS).
117 PECAD/CADRE is the world's most extensive and longest running (over two decades) operational user of
118 remote sensing for evaluation of worldwide agricultural productivity.
- 119 2. The Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) modeling system of the US Environmental Protection
120 Agency (EPA). CMAQ is a widely used, US continental/regional/urban-scale air quality decision support tool.
- 121 3. The Hybrid Optimization Model for Electric Renewables (HOMER), a micropower optimization model of the
122 US Department of Energy's National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL). HOMER is used around the
123 world to optimize deployment of renewable energy technologies.

124 4. Decision Support System to Prevent Lyme Disease (DDSPL) of the US Centers for Disease Control and
125 Prevention (CDC) and Yale University. DDSPL seeks to prevent the spread of the most common vector-borne
126 disease, Lyme disease, of which there are tens of thousands of cases annually in the US

127 5. RiverWare, developed by the University of Colorado-Boulder's Center for Advanced Decision Support for
128 Water and Environmental Systems (CADSWES) in collaboration with the Bureau of Reclamation, Tennessee
129 Valley Authority, and the Army Corps of Engineers. RiverWare is a hydrologic or river basin modeling
130 system that integrates features of reservoir systems, such as recreation, navigation, flood control, water
131 quality, and water supply, in a basin management tool with power system economics to provide basin
132 managers and electric utilities a method of planning, forecasting, and scheduling reservoir operations.

133
134 Taken together, these DSTs demonstrate a rich variety of applications of observations, data, forecasts, and other
135 predictions. In four of our studies, agricultural efficiency, air quality, water management, and energy management, the
136 DSTs have become well established as a basis for public policy decision making. In the case of public health, our lead
137 author points out reasons why direct applications of Earth observations to public health have tended to lag behind these
138 other applications and thus is a relatively new application area. He also reminds us that management of air quality,
139 agriculture, water, and energy—in and of themselves—have implications for the quality of public health. The DST he
140 selects is a new, emerging tool intended to assist in prevention of the spread of infectious disease.

141
142 Our selection also varies in the geographic breadth of application, illustrating how users of these tools tailor them to
143 relevant regions of analysis and how, in some cases, the geographic coverage of the tools carries over to their
144 requirements for observations. For instance, PECAD/CADRE is used for worldwide study of agricultural productivity
145 and has data requirements of wide geographic scope, HOMER can be used for renewable energy optimization
146 throughout the world, and DDSPL focuses on the eastern, upper Midwest, and West Coast portions of the US. CMAQ is
147 used to predict air quality for the contiguous US as well as regions and urban locales. RiverWare provides basin
148 managers and electric utilities a method of planning, forecasting, and scheduling reservoir operations.

149
150 With the exception of DDSPL, none of the DSTs we considered for potential selection, nor those we discuss in this
151 report, have to date made extensive use of climate change information or been used to study the effect of a changing

152 climate. However, in all cases, the developers and users of these DSTs fully recognize their applicability to climate
153 change science. In the discussion of the five DSTs presented in this SAP, the authors describe how climate data and/or
154 predictions might be used in these DSTs so that long-range decisions and planning might be accomplished.

155

156 **Overview of the Chapters**

157 We next summarize the case studies. For each case study, we describe the DST and its data sources, highlight potential
158 uses as well as limits of the DSTs, note sources of uncertainty in using the tools, and finally, discuss the link between
159 the DST and climate change and variability. After our summary, we offer general observations about similarities and
160 differences among the studies.

161

162 *Agricultural Efficiency*: The Production Estimate and Crop Assessment Division (PECAD) of the US Department of
163 Agriculture, FAS is the world's most extensive and longest running operational user of remote sensing data for
164 evaluation of worldwide agricultural productivity. PECAD supports the FAS mission to collect and analyze global crop
165 intelligence information and provide periodic estimates used to inform official USDA forecasts for the agricultural
166 market, including farmers; agribusiness; commodity traders and researchers; and federal, state, and local agencies.
167 PECAD is often referred to as PECAD/CADRE with one of its major automated components known as the Crop
168 Condition Data Retrieval and Evaluation (CADRE) geospatial database management system. Of all the DSTs we
169 consider in this report, CADRE has the oldest pedigree as the operational outcome of two early, experimental earth
170 observation projects during the 1970s and 1980s: the Large Area Crop Inventory Experiment (LACIE) and the
171 Agriculture and Resources Inventory Surveys through Aerospace Remote Sensing (AgRISTARS).

172

173 Sources of data for CADRE include a large number of weather and other earth observations from US, European,
174 Japanese, and commercial systems. PECAD combines these data with crop models, a variety of GIS tools, and a large
175 amount of contextual information, including official government reports, trade and news sources, and on-the-ground
176 reports from a global network of embassy attaches and regional analysts.

177

178 Potential future developments in PECAD/CADRE could include space-based observations of atmospheric carbon
179 dioxide (CO₂) measurements and measurement of global sea surface salinity to improve understanding of the links

180 between the water cycle, climate, and oceans. Other opportunities for enhancing PECAD/CADRE include
181 improvements in predictive modeling capabilities in weather and climate.

182
183 One of the largest technology gaps in meeting PECAD requirements is the practice of designing earth observation
184 systems for research rather than operational use, limiting the ability of PECAD/CADRE to rely on data sources from
185 non-operational systems. PECAD analysts require dependable inputs, implying use of operational systems that ensure
186 continuous data streams and that minimize vulnerability to component failure through redundancy.

187
188 Sources of uncertainty can arise at each stage of analysis, from the accuracy of data inputs to the assumptions in
189 modeling. PECAD operators have been able to benchmark, validate, verify, and then selectively incorporate additional
190 data sources and automated decision tools by way of detailed engineering reviews. Another aspect of resolving
191 uncertainty in PECAD is the extensive use of a convergence methodology to assimilate information from regional field
192 analysts and other experts. This convergence of evidence analysis seeks to reconcile various independent data sources to
193 achieve a level of agreement to minimize estimate error.

194
195 The relationship between climate and agriculture is complex, as agriculture is influenced not only by a changing
196 climate, but agricultural practices themselves are a contributory factor (e.g., in affecting land use and influencing
197 carbon fluxes. At present, PECAD is not directly used to address these dimensions of the climate-agriculture
198 interaction. However, many of the data inputs for PECAD are climate-related, thereby enabling PECAD to inform
199 understanding of agriculture as a “recipient” of climate-induced changes. For instance, observing spatial and geographic
200 trends in the output measures from PECAD can contribute to understanding how the agricultural sector is responding to
201 a changing climate. Likewise, trends in PECAD’s measures of the composition and production of crops could shed light
202 on the agricultural sector as a “contributor” to climate change (for instance, in terms of greenhouse gas emissions or
203 changes in soil that may affect the potential for agricultural soil carbon sequestration). PECAD may also be influenced
204 by, as well as a barometer of climate-induced changes in land use, such as conversion from food production to biomass
205 fuel production.

206

207 **Air Quality:** The EPA CMAQ modeling system has been designed to approach air quality by including state-of-the-
208 science capabilities for modeling tropospheric ozone, fine particles, toxics, acid deposition, and visibility degradation.
209 CMAQ is used to guide the development of air quality regulations and standards and to create state implementation
210 plans for managing air emissions. CMAQ also can be used to evaluate longer-term as well as short-term transport from
211 localized sources and to perform simulations using downscaled regional climate from global climate change scenarios.

212
213 The CMAQ modeling system contains three types of modeling components: a meteorological modeling system for the
214 description of atmospheric states and motions, emission models for man-made and natural emissions that are injected
215 into the atmosphere, and a chemistry-transport modeling system for simulation of the chemical transformation and fate.
216 Inputs for CMAQ, and their associated regional meteorological model, mesoscale model version 5 (MM5), can include,
217 but are not limited to, the comprehensive output from a general circulation model, anthropogenic and biogenic
218 emissions, description of wildland fires, land use and demographic changes, and meteorological and atmospheric
219 chemical species measurements by *in-situ* and remote sensing platforms, including satellites and aircraft.

220
221 CMAQ can be used to study questions such as: How will present and future emission changes affect attainment of air
222 quality standards? Will present and future emissions and/or climate/meteorological changes affect the frequency and
223 magnitudes of high pollution events? How will land use changes due to urbanization and global warming affect air
224 quality? How does long-range air pollution from other regions affect US air quality? How will changes in the long-
225 range transport due to the climate change affect air quality? How does wildland fire affect air quality and will climate
226 change affect wildland fire and subsequently air quality? How sensitive are the air quality predictions to changes in both
227 anthropogenic and biogenic emissions?

228
229 **Energy Management:** HOMER is a micropower optimization model of the US Department of Energy's NREL.
230 HOMER is able to calculate emission reductions enabled by replacing diesel-generating systems with renewable energy
231 systems in a micro-grid or grid-connected configuration. HOMER helps the user design grid-connected and off-grid
232 renewable energy systems by performing a wide range of design scenarios. HOMER can be used to address questions
233 such as: Which technologies are most cost-effective? What happens to the economics if the project's costs or loads

234 change? Is the renewable energy resource adequate for the different technologies being considered to meet the load?
235 HOMER does this by finding the least-cost combination of components that meet electrical and thermal loads.

236
237 The earth observation information serving as input to HOMER is centered on wind and solar resource assessments
238 derived from a variety of sources. Wind data include surface and upper air station data, satellite-derived ocean and ship
239 wind data, and digital terrain and land cover data. Solar resource data include surface cloud, radiation, aerosol optical
240 depth, and digital terrain and land cover data from both *in-situ* and remote sensing sources.

241
242 All of the input data for HOMER can have a level of uncertainty attached to them. HOMER allows the user to perform
243 sensitivity tests on one or more variables and has graphical capabilities to display these results to inform decision
244 makers. As a general rule, the error in estimating the performance of a renewable energy system over a year is roughly
245 linear to the error in the input resource data.

246
247 One of the largest challenges in HOMER is the absence of direct or *in-situ* solar and wind resource measurements at
248 specific locations to which HOMER is applied. In addition, in many cases, values are not based on direct measurement
249 at all but are approximations based on the use of algorithms to convert a signal into the parameter of interest as is the
250 case with most satellite-derived data products. For example, satellite-derived ocean wind data are not based on direct
251 observation of the wind speed above the ocean surface but from an algorithm that infers wind speed based on wave
252 height observations. Observations of aerosol optical depths (for which considerable research is underway) can be
253 complicated by irregular land-surface features that complicate the application of algorithms for satellite-derived
254 measures.

255
256 For renewable energy resource mapping, improved observations of key weather parameters (for instance, wind speed
257 and direction at various heights above the ground, particularly at the hub height of wind energy turbine systems, and
258 over the open oceans at higher and higher spatial resolutions, and improved ways of differentiating snow cover and
259 bright reflecting surfaces from clouds) will be of value to the renewable energy community. New, more accurate
260 methods of related parameters, such as aerosol optical depth, would also improve the resource data.

261

262 The relationship between HOMER and global change information is largely by way of the dependence of renewable
263 energy resource input measurements on weather and local climate conditions. Although HOMER was not designed to
264 be a climate-related management decision-making tool, by optimizing the mix of hybrid renewable energy technologies
265 for meeting load conditions, HOMER also enables users to respond to climate change and variability in their energy
266 management decisions. HOMER could be used to evaluate how renewable energy systems can be used cost-effectively
267 to displace fossil-fuel-based systems.

268

269 **Public Health:** The DDSPL is operated by the US CDC and Yale University to address questions related to the likely
270 distribution of Lyme disease east of the 100th meridian, where most cases occur. Lyme disease is the most common
271 vector-borne disease in the US, with tens of thousands of cases annually. Most human cases occur in the Eastern and
272 upper Midwest portions of the US, although there is a secondary focus along the West Coast. Vector-borne diseases are
273 those in which parasites are transmitted among people or from wildlife to people by insects or arthropods (as vectors,
274 they do not themselves cause disease). The black-legged tick is typically the carrier of the bacteria causing Lyme
275 disease.

276

277 Early demonstrations during the 1980s showed the utility of earth observations for identifying locations and times that
278 vector-borne diseases were likely to occur, but growth of applications has been comparatively slow. Earth observing
279 instruments have not been designed to monitor disease risk; rather, data gathered from these platforms are “scavenged”
280 for public health risk assessment. DDSPL uses satellite data and derived products, such as land cover together with
281 meteorological data and census data, to characterize statistical predictors of the presence of black-legged ticks. The
282 model is validated by field surveys. The DDSPL is thus a means of setting priorities for the likely geographic extent of
283 the vector; the tool does not at present characterize the risk of disease in the human population.

284

285 Future use of DDSPL partly depends on whether the goal of disease prevention or the goal of treatment drives public
286 health policy decisions. In addition, studies have shown that communication to the public about the risk in regions with
287 Lyme disease often fails to reduce the likelihood of infection. Use of the DDSPL is also limited by restrictions on the
288 dissemination of detailed information on the distribution of human disease. The role of improved Earth science data is
289 unclear in terms of improving the performance of DDSPL because at present the system has a level of accuracy deemed

290 “highly satisfactory.” Future use may instead require a model of sociological/behavioral influences among the
291 population.

292

293 Standard statistical models and in-field validation are used to assess the uncertainty in decision making with DDSPL.

294 The accuracy of clinical diagnoses also influences the ultimate usefulness of DDSPL as an indicator tool to characterize
295 the geographic extent of the vectors.

296

297 The DDSPL is one of the few public health DSTs that has explicitly evaluated the effects of climate variability. Using
298 outputs of a Canadian climate change model, study has shown that with warming global mean temperatures by the year
299 2050 to 2080 the geographic range of the tick vector will decrease at first, with reduced presence in the southern
300 boundary, and then expand into Canada and the central region of North America where it now absent. The range also
301 moves away from population concentrations.

302

303 **Water Management:** RiverWare was developed and is maintained by CADSWES in collaboration with the Bureau of
304 Reclamation, Tennessee Valley Authority, and the Army Corps of Engineers. It is a river basin modeling system that
305 integrates features of reservoir systems, such as recreation, navigation, flood control, water quality, and water supply in
306 a basin management tool, with power system economics to provide basin managers and electric utilities a method of
307 planning, forecasting, and scheduling reservoir operations. RiverWare uses an object-oriented software engineering
308 approach in model development. The object oriented software-modeling strategy allows computational methods for new
309 processes, additional controllers for providing new solution algorithms, and additional objects for modeling new
310 features to be added easily to the modeling system. RiverWare is data intensive in that a specific river/reservoir system
311 and its operating policies must be characterized by the data supplied to the model. This allows the models to be
312 modified as new features are added to the river/reservoir system and/or new operating policies are introduced. The
313 data-intensive feature allows the model to be used for water management in most river basins.

314

315 Riverware is menu driven through a graphical user interface (GUI). The basin topology is developed through the
316 selection of a reservoir, reach, confluence, and other necessary objects and by entering the data associated with each
317 object manually or through importing files. Utilities within RiverWare provide a means to automatically execute many

318 simulations, to access data from external sources, and to export model results. Users also define operating policies
319 through the GUI as system constraints or rules for achieving system management goals (e.g., related to flood control,
320 water supplies, water quality, navigation, recreation, and power generation). The direct use of earth observations in
321 RiverWare is limited. Unlike traditional hydrologic models that track the transformation of precipitation (e.g., rain and
322 snow) into soil moisture and streamflow, RiverWare uses supplies of water to the system as input data. These data are
323 derived from a hydrologic model where direct use of earth observations can be and have been made. Application of
324 RiverWare is limited by the specific implementation defined by the user and by the quality of the input data. It has
325 tremendous flexibility in the kinds of data it can use, but long records of data are required to overcome the issue of data
326 non-stationarity.

327

328 The specific application of RiverWare in the context of mid- or long-range planning for a specific river basin will reflect
329 whether decisions may rely on global change information. For mid-range planning of reservoir operations,
330 characterization and projections of interannual and decadal-scale climate variability (e.g., monitoring, understanding,
331 and predicting interannual climate phenomena such as the El Nino-Southern Oscillation) are important. For long-term
332 planning, global warming has moved from the realm of speculation to general acceptance. The impacts of global
333 warming on water resources, and their implications for management, have been a major focus in the assessments of
334 climate change. The estimates of potential impacts of climate change on precipitation have been mixed, leading to
335 increasing uncertainty about the reliability of future water supplies.

336

337 **General Observations**

338 Application of all of the DSTs involves a variety of input data types, all of which have some degree of uncertainty in
339 terms of their accuracy. The amount of uncertainty associated with resource data can depend heavily on how the data
340 are obtained. Quality *in-situ* measurements of wind and solar data suitable for application in HOMER are can have
341 uncertainties of less than $\pm 3\%$ of true value; however, when estimation methods are required, such as the use of earth
342 observations, modeling, and empirical techniques, uncertainties can be as much as $\pm 10\%$ or more. The DSTs address
343 uncertainty by allowing users to perform sensitivity tests on variables. With the exception of HOMER, a significant
344 amount of additional traditional on-the-ground reports are a critical component. In the case of PECAD/CADRE,
345 uncertainty is resolved in part by extensive use of a convergence methodology to assimilate information from regional

346 field analysts and other experts. This brings a large amount of additional information to PECAD/CADRE forecasts, well
347 beyond the automated outputs of DSTs. In RiverWare, streamflow and other hydrologic variables respond to
348 atmospheric factors such as precipitation, and obtaining quality precipitation estimates is a formidable challenge,
349 especially in the western US where orographic effects produce large spatial variability and where there is a scarcity of
350 real-time precipitation observations and poor radar coverage.

351

352 In terms of their current or prospective use of climate change predictions or forecasts as DST *inputs*, or the
353 contributions of DST *outputs* to understanding, monitoring, and responding to a changing climate, the status is mixed.
354 DDSPL is one of the few public health decision support tools that has explicitly evaluated the potential impact of
355 climate change scenarios on an infectious disease system. None of the other DSTs at present is directly integrated with
356 climate change measurements, but all of them can and may in the future take this step. PECAD/CADRE's assessment of
357 global agricultural production will certainly be influenced by observations and forecasts of climate change and
358 variability as model inputs, just as the response of the agricultural sector to a changing climate will feedback into
359 PECAD/CADRE production estimates. HOMER's renewable energy optimization calculations will be directly affected
360 by climate-related changes in renewable energy resource supplies and will enhance our ability to adapt to climate-
361 induced changes in energy management and forecasting. Air quality will definitely be affected by global climate
362 change. The ability of CMAQ to predict those affects is conditional on acquiring accurate predictions of the
363 meteorology under the climate change conditions that will take place in the US and accurate emission scenarios for the
364 future. Given these inputs to CMAQ, reliable predictions of the air quality and their subsequent health affects can be
365 ascertained. It was noted that there is great difficulty in integrating climate change information into RiverWare and
366 other such water management models. The multiplicity of scenarios and vague attribution of their probability for
367 occurrence, which depends on feedback among social, economic, political, technological, and physical processes,
368 complicates conceptual integration of climate change impacts assessment results in a practical water management
369 context. Furthermore, the century timescales of climate change exceed typical planning and infrastructure design
370 horizons in water management.

371

372 **Audience and Intended Use**

373 The *CCSP SAP 5.1 Prospectus* describes the audience and intended use of this report:

374

375 This synthesis and assessment report is designed to serve decision makers and stakeholder
376 communities interested in using global change information resources in policy, planning, and other
377 practical uses. The goal is to provide useful information on climate change research products that
378 have the capacity to inform decision processes. The report will also be valuable to the climate change
379 science community because it will indicate types of information generated through the processes of
380 observation and research that are particularly valuable for decision support. In addition, the report will
381 be useful for shaping the future development and evaluation of decision-support activities, particularly
382 with regard to improving the interactions with users and potential users.


383

384 There are a number of national and international programs focusing on the use of Earth observations
385 and related prediction capacity to inform decision support tools (see Table 3, “Related National and
386 International Activities”). These programs both inform and are informed by the CCSP and are
387 recognized in the development of this product. (*CCSP Synthesis and Assessment Product 5.1,*
388 *Prospectus for “Uses and Limitations of Observations, Data, Forecasts, and Other Projections in*
389 *Decision Support for Selected Sectors and Regions,” 28 February 2006)*

390

391

392



393

394

395 Figure 1: The flow of information associated with decision support in the context of variability and change in climate
 396 and related systems (Source: CCSP Product 5.1 Prospectus, Appendix D).

397

398 Table 1: List of NASA National Applications Areas (*Appendix B, CCSP SAP 5.1 Prospectus*).

399

400

Nationally Important Applications	Nationally Important Applications
Agricultural Efficiency	Ecological Forecasting
Air Quality	Energy Management
Aviation	Homeland Security
Carbon Management	Invasive Species
Coastal Management	Public Health
Disaster Management	Water Management

401

402

403 Table 2. Societal benefit areas identified by the Group on Earth Observations (GEO) for the Global Earth Observations

404 System of Systems (GEOSS) (http://www.earthobservations.org/about/about_GEO.html) (accessed May 2007)

405

406

GEOSS Socio-Benefit Area Keywords	GEOSS Socio-Benefit Area Descriptions
Health	Understanding environmental factors affecting human health and well-being
Disasters	Reducing loss of life and property from natural and human-induced disasters
Forecasts	Improving weather information, forecasting, and warning
Energy	Improving management of energy resources
Water	Improving water resource management through better understanding of the water cycle
Climate	Understanding, assessing, predicting, mitigating, and adapting to climate variability and change

Agriculture	Supporting sustainable agriculture and combating desertification
Ecology	Improving the management and protection of terrestrial, coastal, and marine ecosystems

407

408

409 Table 3. References to Related National and International Activities (*Source: Appendix C, CCSP SAP 5.1 Prospectus*)

410

Priority	National	International
Climate Change	Climate Change Science Program and Climate Change Technology Program	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change and World Climate Research Programme
Global Earth Observations	NSTC CENR U.S. Interagency Working Group on Earth Observations	Group on Earth Observations (GEO)
Weather	U.S. Weather Research Program (USWRP)	World Meteorological Organization
Natural Hazards	NSTC CENR Subcommittee on Disaster Reduction	International Strategy for Disaster Reduction
Sustainability	NSTC CENR Subcommittee on Ecosystems	World Summit on Sustainable Development
E-Government	Geospatial One-Stop and the Federal Geographic Data	World Summit on the Information Society

411