## Enclosure 3 Staff Responses to Public Comments on Draft Regulatory Guide DG-1162, "Spent Fuel Storage Facility Design Basis" (Proposed Revision 2 of Regulatory Guide 1.13)

Comments			NRC Comment Resolution
Originator	DG-1162 Section	Specific Comments	
Nuclear Energy Institute (NEI) 12/13/2006 (ML063610047)	general comment	The industry identified two minor comments— (1) "shipping cask" should be "spent fuel cask" in all cases; and (2) an exact weight should not be provided for casks (e.g., 100-ton cask) because it could be misleading.	The NRC staff agrees with the comments. The staff changed the term "shipping cask" in DG-1162 to the term "spent fuel cask" in Revision 2 of RG 1.13, and deleted a reference to a specific cask weight (i.e., 100-ton cask).